

Using water stable isotopes in the unsaturated zone to quantify recharge in two contrasted infiltration regimes

Florent Barbecot, Sophie Guillon, Eric Pili, Marie Larocque, E. Gibert, Jean-François Hélie, Aurélie Noret, Caroline Plain, Vincent Schneider, Alexandra Mattei, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Florent Barbecot, Sophie Guillon, Eric Pili, Marie Larocque, E. Gibert, et al.. Using water stable isotopes in the unsaturated zone to quantify recharge in two contrasted infiltration regimes. Vadose Zone Journal, 2018, 17 (1), pp.1-13. 10.2136/vzj2017.09.0170. hal-01856039v1

HAL Id: hal-01856039 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-01856039v1

Submitted on 28 Nov 2022 (v1), last revised 11 Oct 2018 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Using water stable isotopes in the unsaturated zone to quantify recharge in two contrasted infiltration regimes

³ Florent Barbecot¹, Sophie Guillon^{1,2}, Eric Pili³, Marie Larocque¹, Elisabeth Gibert-Brunet⁴, Jean-

³CEA, DAM, DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, France

- ⁵UMR EEF-UL1137, INRA/Université de Lorraine, Bld des Aiguillettes, BP 239, 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France
- ⁶ANDRA Centre de stockage de l'Aube, DOI/CA/QED, 10200 Soulaines-Dhuys, BP7, France
- 14
- 15 Core Ideas

16 Soil water stable isotope profiles can be used to quantify groundwater recharge 17 Climate conditions are recorded in water stable isotope profiles of the unsaturated zone 18 Soil water isotope profiles provide insight into the seasonality of recharge events 19

20

21 Abstract

22 A reliable estimate of recharge is needed for the sustainable management of groundwater resources.

Water stable isotope (δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H) profiles in the unsaturated zone are frequently used to quantify 23 groundwater recharge, based on the seasonality of water isotopic compositions in precipitation. A 24 25 very simple approach consists of integrating soil water content between peak values of soil water isotopic compositions, typically corresponding to precipitation signatures from warm and cold 26 seasons. When precipitation isotopic compositions are available, a conceptual surface water 27 28 isotopes budget and lumped parameter dispersion model can be computed. These models were applied on two field sites with similar permeable soils with grass cover but contrasting recharge 29 30 regimes and seasonality: one in the Paris Basin (France) with continuous recharge from autumn to spring, and another in the St. Lawrence Lowlands (Quebec, Canada) with episodic recharge in fall 31 and after snowmelt. For the two sites, the peak to peak method and isotope surface budget led to 32 33 comparable recharge intensities. At least at the Paris Basin site, evaporation is shown to slightly modify the average unsaturated zone and hence groundwater isotope composition. The proposed 34 parameterization of isotope fractionation due to evaporation allows qualitative estimation of the 35 36 fraction of evaporation, at least during the recharge seasons. In spite of its simplifications and 37 limitations, the proposed parsimonious model can give estimates of recharge in a variety of sites

<sup>François Hélie¹, Aurélie Noret⁴, Caroline Plain⁵, Vincent Schneider⁶, Alexandra Mattei^{1,2},
Guillaume Meyzonnat¹.</sup>

 ¹GEOTOP, Département des sciences de la Terre et de l'atmosphère - Université du Québec à Montréal, CP8888 succ.
 7 Centre-Ville, Montréal, QC, H3C 3P8, Canada

 ²Mines ParisTech, PSL University, Centre for geosciences and geoengineering, 35 rue Saint-Honoré, 77300
 ⁹ Fontainebleau, France

⁴UMR8148_GEOPS CNRS/UPS, Université Paris-Sud/Paris-Saclay, Bâtiment 504, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

- even if they are not well characterized, as it benefits from the large availability of monthly isotopic
- 39 compositions in precipitation.

41 1. Introduction

The UNESCO World Water Assessment Program identified a gap in knowledge on the impact of 42 climate change on groundwater resources. While numerous recent studies have attempted to bridge 43 this gap, they have also demonstrated that we currently do not have the ability to quantitatively 44 predict the impact of climate change on groundwater resources with a satisfying degree of 45 46 confidence (Crosbie et al., 2013, Kurylyk and MacQuarrie, 2013). Recharge is a key parameter in 47 groundwater management, and thus a reliable estimate of recharge is necessary for sustainable groundwater resource development (Rivard et al., 2014). To achieve accurate water budgets, 48 recharge quantification has been investigated at local scales using lysimeters (Pfletschinger et al., 49 50 2012, Xu and Chen, 2005), water-table fluctuations (Hagedorn et al., 2011, Healy and Cook, 2002, Liang and Zhang, 2012), and baseflow analysis (McCallum et al., 2014). Independently of the 51 52 method, the impact of all possible environmental stresses, meteorological variability, and changes in climate, land use, and water extraction on the recharge regime cannot be completely taken into 53 account (Kurylyk and MacQuarrie, 2013). 54 Variability in the stable isotope signature of soil pore water collected at depths with suction 55 lysimeters has been used to investigate subsurface processes (Darling and Bath, 1988, Stumpp et al., 56 57 2009a, 2009b, Thomas et al., 2013). As an alternative method, water stable isotopes in the 58 unsaturated zone offer a time-integrated fingerprint of recharge and of subsurface water pathways (Darling and Bath, 1988, Bengtsson et al., 1987, Koeniger et al., 2016). Unsaturated zone stable 59 isotope profiles under temperate climate conditions have thus been used to study groundwater 60 recharge mechanisms (Lee et al., 2007, Li et al., 2007, McConville et al., 2001, Mueller et al., 2014, 61 Saxena, 1984, Song et al., 2009, Stumpp and Hendry, 2012, 2009a, 2009b). Suction lysimeters are 62 quite time consuming to employ, with a sampling effort of at least one year, while cryogenic 63 extraction of soil water allows the collection of comparable stable isotope data within one or a few 64 65 field work days. New, faster methods, such as direct equilibration, are also becoming widely used, and raised issues regarding the possible biases between the various methods of soil water isotope 66 analysis employed (Orlowski et al., 2016). 67 The isotopic composition of precipitation is strongly correlated with air temperature. A distinct 68 seasonal pattern therefore occurs in precipitation under temperate climates, with summer rainfall 69

enriched in heavy isotopes and winter rainfall depleted (Rozanski et al., 1993). In addition to δ^{18} O

and δ^2 H, lc-excess values (Landwehr and Coplen, 2004) are very sensitive to local evaporation and

associated isotope fractionation occurring at the surface. These are therefore used in addition to

 δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H to evaluate recharge processes and apparent mean residence times of soil water (Lee

r4 et al., 2007).

75 Even if transpiration predominates under temperate climates and is considered to not fractionate water stable isotopes, evaporation from plant interception or from the upper soil leads to 76 fractionation and enrichment in heavy isotopes (Braud et al., 2005, 2009a, Sprenger et al., 2016, 77 Sutanto et al., 2012). Water recharging during a given season can therefore be identified in deep 78 unsaturated zone profiles, and recharge rates can be obtained from the displacement between 79 80 successive seasonal inputs (Gehrels et al., 1998, Maloszewski et al., 2006, Maloszewski and Zuber, 81 1993, McConville et al., 2001, Saxena, 1984). On the one hand, this simple peak-shift method is easily applied (Adomako et al., 2010), even if isotopic compositions of precipitation are not 82 available (Gehrels et al., 1998). On the other hand, numerical models, such as SiSPAT-Isotopes 83 (Braud et al 2005, 2009b), Soil-Litter-Iso (Haverd et al., 2010) or a modified version of HYDRUS-84 1D (Stumpp et al., 2009a, 2009b, 2012), are increasingly being used to understand the dynamics of 85 86 water stable isotopic composition in the unsaturated zone (Sprenger et al., 2015). Such level of model refinement is associated with high data requirement that can only be achieved for specific 87 88 study sites, but less for more numerous and less known sites at the regional scale where there is a 89 lack on recharge rates estimation.

90 The aim of this study is to apply a simple and parsimonious method to quantify the rate and 91 seasonal pattern of recharge, based on water stable isotope depth profiles, applicable to sites under 92 contrasted climate conditions, and requiring limited amount of additional data. First, the two study sites are presented, in the Paris Basin (France) and in the St. Lawrence Lowlands (Quebec, Canada), 93 including water stable isotopic compositions of both precipitation and the unsaturated zone for each. 94 Recharge is then calculated based on a simple peak-shift approach. A model coupling a surface 95 water and isotope budget to a lumped parameter model is proposed for a more robust quantification 96 of recharge, but also to explain the evolution of water isotopic composition in the unsaturated zone, 97 98 and particularly to discuss the influence of evaporation.

99 2 Materials and methods

100 **2.1 Study sites and sampling**

101 **2.1.1 The Paris Basin site (PB)**

102 The Paris Basin site (PB) is located 35 km south of Paris (France), in the very fine and well-sorted

103 sands of the Oligocene Fontainebleau aquifer. This regional unconfined aquifer has a maximum

thickness of 50-70 m. The total porosity of the aquifer is 25-40%, with volumetric water content in

105 the unsaturated zone in the range of 7-28 vol% (Schneider, 2005). The hydraulic conductivity

reaches 1.10^{-5} to 6.10^{-5} m/s (Corcho Alvarado et al., 2007, Renard and Tognelli, 2016).

- 107 This study site is covered with grass, with a 60 cm-thick sandy soil layer overlying a clayey-sand
- layer from 60 to 100 cm depth, and homogeneous sands below 100 cm (Figure 1a). In May 2006, a
- 109 3 m-deep trench was dug in the unsaturated zone using an excavator. Soil sampling for pore water
- 110 extraction and isotopic analysis was conducted immediately after opening the trench. Soil was
- sampled using a spatula, with a 2.5 cm spacing from 0 to 137 cm depth, and a 10 cm spacing from
- 112 140 to 280 cm depth (Figure 1a). Soil samples weighting on average 100 g were collected in
- polypropylene bottles, and were stored in these air-tight bottles until stable isotope analysis.
- 114 Gravimetric water content was measured on the grab samples and converted into volumetric water
- 115 content assuming a bulk density of 1500 kg m^{-3} .
- 116 The water table below the site lies on average 6 to 8 m below the surface. Several groundwater
- samples were collected from nearby wells for stable isotope analysis.
- 118 Meteorological data, precipitation and temperature, were obtained with a 15 day time step from the
- nearby Trappes station (Meteo France). The average annual precipitation is 668 mm/yr, while
- average monthly temperatures fluctuate between 0 and 25 °C. An average recharge rate of 100 to
- 121 150 mm/yr was calculated for the previous decades based on environmental tracers and at the
- regional scale around the study site (Corcho Alvarado et al., 2007) (Table 1), and confirmed by
- hydrological modelling in the Fontainebleau Sands (Renard and Tognelli, 2016).
- 124 Precipitation was collected 10 km away, at the GEOPS laboratory (Université Paris-Sud/Paris-
- 125 Saclay, Orsay, France), from August 2002 to July 2012, using paraffin oil to prevent evaporation of
- 126 water in the collector and isotopic fractionation. Cumulated bulk precipitation was sampled twice a
- month, and its isotopic composition was measured (Figures 2-4). d-excess (d-exc = $\delta^2 H 8 \delta^{18} O$) in
- 128 precipitation was calculated to look for variable origins of air masses. The Local Meteoric Water
- Line (LWML) was defined based on isotopic composition of precipitation. Its equation ($\delta^2 H = a$
- 130 $\delta^{18}O + b$) was used to calculate lc-excess values (lc-exc = $\delta^{2}H a \delta^{18}O b$) for the soil pore water
- 131 profile.
- 132

133 2.1.2 The St. Lawrence Lowlands site (SLL)

134 The St. Lawrence Lowlands site (SLL) is located 70 km southwest of Montreal, in the Vaudreuil-

135 Soulanges area (Quebec, Canada), and in the medium sands of the Saint-Télésphore esker. These

136 glacio-fluvial sediments can reach up to 40 m thickness, and lie above the regional bedrock aquifer

137 (Larocque et al., 2015). The aquifer is locally unconfined, with a total porosity of 40%, and a

- hydraulic conductivity on the order of 10^{-5} to 10^{-4} m/s. The study site is located in a flat area close
- to a sand quarry. The area is covered mainly by woodland, except on the site outcrops where the
- soil is covered with grass and where the unsaturated zone was sampled.

141 Taking advantage of an outcrop recently exposed on the border of the sandpit excavation, the entire unsaturated zone was sampled in May 2013, for the measurement of water content and pore water 142 isotopic composition. The vertical outcrop was refreshed immediately before sampling, by 143 removing the 5 to 10 cm of sand exposed to the atmosphere. Sand samples were taken using a 144 spatula, with a 5 cm spacing below the soil surface down to a depth of 2.5 m, and then a 10 cm 145 spacing down to the water table at 4.5 m depth (Figure 1b). Soil samples weighted on average 100 146 147 g, and were stored in air-tight polypropylene bottles until stable isotope analysis. The sand was homogeneous over the whole depth profile. Gravimetric water content was measured on the grab 148 samples and converted into volumetric water content assuming a bulk density of 1500 kg m⁻³. Daily 149 weather data (minimum and maximum temperature, and precipitation) were obtained from the 150 Coteau du Lac station (http://www.mddelcc.gouv.qc.ca/climat/donnees/index.asp), 20 km from the 151 152 study site. Average annual precipitation in this area for the period 1980-2010 is 960 mm/yr (Larocque et al., 2015), with average monthly temperatures ranging from -11 to 23°C. An average 153 154 recharge rate of 189 mm/yr was calculated for the period 1990-2010 using a regional surface water 155 budget (Larocque et al., 2015) (Table 1). 156 Monthly isotopic composition values and precipitation amounts were obtained from the closest station of the GNIP network (http://www-naweb.iaea.org/napc/ih/IHS resources gnip.html), 157 namely Ottawa, from January 2010 to June 2012 (Figure 4). Even though Ottawa is relatively far 158 (130 km) from the study site, the climate is similar at Ottawa and the SLL site, with similar average 159

temperatures and precipitations as well as altitude, and Ottawa precipitation isotope compositions

161 can thus be used for the SLL site model. Discrete snowpack and rain samples were collected at SLL

162 for measurement of isotope composition, in 2012 and 2013. As for PB, the LMWL was determined

and used to calculate the lc-excess for the soil pore water profile.

164

165 **2.2 Water extraction and isotopic analysis**

Stable isotopic analysis of soil pore water was conducted on water extracted from the collected soil 166 samples using cryogenic vacuum extraction. As soils sampled for this study were mainly of sand 167 168 type and relatively wet, water isotope composition from cryogenic extraction can be assumed to 169 have limited bias. A small soil sample of around 100 grams for PB (resp. 40 g for SLL) was 170 introduced into a vacuum line. Pore water was extracted during 6h for PB (resp. 2h for SLL) and condensed in a collection tube maintained in liquid nitrogen (Araguás-Araguás et al., 1995). The 171 soil sample was heated around 60 °C during the extraction, under a vacuum around 10^{-3} mbar. After 172 extraction, the soil sample was weighed, heated overnight at 100 °C, and then re-weighed to 173 determine the water extraction yield, as well as the initial soil water content. 174

- 175 The isotopic signature of water condensed in the collection tube was shown to follow a Rayleigh
- distillation curve (data not shown), and more than 98% of pore water must be extracted to ensure
- 177 the absence of isotopic fractionation in the pore water sample. For this study, extraction yields were
- 178 monitored to be above 98% for all samples.
- 179 Oxygen and hydrogen isotopic compositions of the extracted soil pore water as well as of the
- 180 groundwater and precipitations were measured with a Thermo Finnigan Delta +TM isotope ratio
- 181 mass spectrometer in dual inlet mode, coupled to an equilibration bench, at GEOPS Laboratory
- 182 (Université Paris Sud, France) for PB, and on a Micromass Isoprime[™] isotope ratio mass
- spectrometer in dual inlet mode, coupled to an Aquaprep[™] system, at GEOTOP-UQAM (Montreal,
- 184 Canada) for SLL. Each analysis required 200 μ l of water, equilibrated at 40^oC for 7 hours with CO₂
- 185 for δ^{18} O, and equilibrated at 40^oC for 4 hours with H₂ with a platinum catalyst for δ^{2} H.
- 186 Raw data were corrected using three internal working water standards, expressed in δ notation and
- 187 normalized to the VSMOW-SLAP scale:

188
$$\delta^{18}O = \frac{R_{sample}}{R_{VSMOW}} - 1, \text{ where } R_i = \left(\frac{[^{18}O]}{[^{16}O]}\right)_i$$
(1)

Where i corresponds to sample or VSMOW. Hydrogen isotopic composition is expressed similarly to δ^2 H, with R_i=([²H]/[¹H])_i. δ^{18} O and δ^2 H are reported in ‰ versus the VSMOW international standard. The precision of liquid water analysis (1 σ) was 0.05 ‰ for δ^{18} O, and 1 ‰ for δ D. To check that the uncertainty due to cryogenic extraction was small, dried soil samples were rewetted with standard water. The measured isotopic composition for the extracted water was equal to that of the standard water in the range of the analytical precision of the liquid water analysis.

195 196

197 **2.3. Recharge estimation from water isotope profiles in the unsaturated zone**

198 The seasonal variation in isotopic composition of precipitation (Figure 2), combined with a seasonal pattern of evapotranspiration, were both directly linked to atmospheric temperatures. This led to a 199 200 seasonal pattern of the amount and isotopic composition of infiltration and recharge. Even if the 201 input function (i.e., rain isotopic composition) was unknown, a simple interpretation of water stable 202 isotope depth profiles would allow the amount and periods of recharge to be quantified. The successive recharge seasons (summer/autumn vs. winter/spring) were identified in water isotope 203 profiles in the unsaturated zone due to their contrasting water isotopic compositions (enriched 204 versus depleted in heavy isotopes) (Figures 2, 5 and 6). The amount of recharge R (in m/yr) that 205 206 occurred during a certain time period T (in yr) was obtained by integrating the volumetric water

Page 8 of 33

207 content $\theta_w(z)$ over the depth interval $[Z_1, Z_2]$ (in m), corresponding to the time period T (equation 208 after and Leibundgut et al., 2009) :

209

$$R = \frac{\int_{Z_1}^{Z_2} \theta_w(z) \cdot dz}{T}$$
(2)

For this method, the time period could only be roughly estimated, typically to one hydrological season or one year, from the evolution of water isotope composition with depth.

- 212
- 213

214 **2.4.** Lumped parameter models for water isotopic composition in the unsaturated zone

In order to explain the observed evolution of water isotopic composition in the unsaturated zone, a surface water and isotopic mass balance was used, combined with a lumped parameter model for isotope transport in the unsaturated zone. The successive steps of the model, from time series of precipitation and its stable isotopic composition to the depth profile of water isotopes in the unsaturated zone, are described below.

220

221 **2.4.1. Water budget at the subsurface**

As a reference point from which to discuss the water budget at the surface, the traditional Thornthwaite method (Thornthwaite, 1948) was used to calculate the potential recharge fluxes. This conceptual box model applied to the soil layer from the surface to the root depth, according to:

225

$$P(i) = ET(i) + R(i) + I(i) + (S(i) - S(i - 1))$$
(3)

Where *i* is the time step, *P* is precipitation (rain), *ET* is actual evapotranspiration, *R* is surface and subsurface runoff, *I* is percolation below the root depth i.e. recharge, and *S* is soil storage, all expressed in mm.

229 This water budget was calculated at the smallest time step available for stable isotopes in precipitation (15 days for PB; one month for SLL). Potential evapotranspiration (PET) was 230 calculated following Turc (1961). Even if it was shown to tend to underestimate evapotranspiration 231 232 (Fisher et al., 2013), this method was originally developed for a monthly time step, close to the one used here, and could be applied solely with temperature data, hence well adapted for poorly 233 234 instrumented sites. For both PB and SLL sites, surface and subsurface runoff was assumed to be 235 negligible due to the flat topography and very permeable sandy soils. The conceptual soil storage reservoir, with a maximum capacity S_{max} , corresponded to the upper soil down to the maximum 236 rooting depth (0.1 to 0.2 m at both sites), where evaporation and transpiration occurred, and below 237 238 which there was no more water abstraction. Water "overflowing" and leaving this soil reservoir percolated vertically in the unsaturated zone and finally recharged the underlying aquifer. At each 239

240 time step i, precipitation P(i) and soil water S(i-1) were considered as available water for evaporation and transpiration. Actual evapotranspiration was calculated based on the demand on 241 242 potential evapotranspiration and this amount of water available in the precipitation and soil reservoir: ET(i) = min[PET(i), S(i-1)+P(i)]. If some water remained available after subtraction of 243 the actual evapotranspiration, it was added to the soil storage. Recharge was then calculated as 244 overflow, water exceeding the threshold capacity S_{max} of the soil storage reservoir. This could be 245 summarized as I(i) = max[S(i-1) + P(i) - min[PET(i), S(i-1) + P(i)], 0] and S(i) = max(S(i-1) + P(i))246 $-min[PET(i), S(i-1)+P(i)], S_{max}]$. Such simple conceptual box model was assumed valid as the 247 calculation time step was long, typically 15 to 30 days, and as the objective was to build a data 248 249 parsimonious model.

For SLL, recharge was expected to occur in autumn and with snowmelt in the spring, the soil usually being frozen from mid-December to March. Hence, the water budget was modified, with evapotranspiration and recharge set to zero when average monthly temperature remained below zero. The potential excess water then accumulated in the soil reservoir until positive temperatures occurred.

255

256

257 **2.4.2. Hydro-isotopic surface budget**

Following the traditional surface water budget (Equation 3), a simple mass balance approach was applied to determine the isotopic composition of percolating water. At each time step, the water isotopic composition of the soil storage reservoir was calculated by weighting the isotopic composition of water in the soil reservoir at the previous time step and the isotopic composition of the precipitation at the current time step:

263
$$\delta_{mix}(i) = \frac{\delta_{S}(i-1) \cdot S(i-1) + \delta_{P}(i) \cdot P(i)}{S(i-1) + P(i)}$$
(4)

where δ is the isotopic composition of oxygen δ^{18} O or deuterium, δ^{2} H, δ_{S} and δ_{P} are the isotopic compositions of soil storage and of precipitation respectively, and S(i) and P(i) are the amounts of water in soil storage and in precipitation respectively at time step *i*.

Partitioning between evaporation and transpiration was not included in the model, neither based on field properties nor directly based on water stable isotopes as done by Sutanto et al. (2012). However, for grassland under northern latitudes as for the two sites, transpiration was shown to dominate with more than 60 to 65 % of total actual evapotranspiration (Wei et al., 2017). As will be discussed in Section 4.4., the isotopic composition of water in the unsaturated zone was used as a simple proxy to identify whether some evaporation occurred during the recharge period(s), without going further into quantification. At PB, isotopic compositions clearly followed an evaporative trend, with negative lc-excess (Figure 6a), while it was not the case at SLL with null or slightly positive lc-excess (Figure 6b). In the model, isotopic fractionation was therefore assumed to occur due to evaporation of water in the soil reservoir. Soils of the two study sites were wet (Figure 5, water content above 10 vol%), consistent with medium intensity of evapotranspiration fluxes, and the equations of isotopic fractionation for open water (Gonfiantini, 1986) were used, including equilibrium fractionation as well as kinetic enrichment. At each time step, the isotopic composition of water in soil storage was calculated by:

$$\delta_{S}(i) = \left(\delta_{mix}(i) - \frac{A(T,Hr)}{B(T,Hr)}\right) \cdot f^{B(T,Hr)} + \frac{A(T,Hr)}{B(T,Hr)}$$
(5)

where *f* is the fraction of water remaining after evaporation, and A(T,Hr) and B(T,Hr) are parameters defined in Gonfiantini (1986), which depend on both atmospheric temperature, T, and atmospheric relative humidity, Hr. Following Barnes and Allison (1983), in A and B terms the kinetic enrichment is equal to (*1-Hr*), the relative difference of transport resistance in air between isotopes being neglected.

The outputs of the water budget (Sect. 2.4.1., Equation (3)) were used to calculate $\delta_{inf}(i)$, the isotopic composition of water percolating from soil storage into the unsaturated zone. $\delta_{inf}(i)$ was determined for the time steps during which recharge occured (Figure 3), and was equal to $\delta_s(i)$, the isotopic composition of water in soil storage at this same time step.

The model was applied to PB from January 2002 to May 2006. For SLL, the hydro-isotopic surface budget was calculated from January 2010 to May 2013, with a monthly time step.

293

281

294 **2.4.3.** Transport in the unsaturated zone: piston flow with dispersion

The second step of the model was the transport of water stable isotopes through the unsaturated 295 zone. Considering the limited amount of data available with which to constrain the model, a 296 297 conceptual input-output lumped parameter model based on transit time distribution was chosen in this study to identify the main processes. According to the literature (Barnes and Allison, 1988, 298 Lindström and Rodhe, 1992) and in agreement with the observed variability in $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$ with 299 depth (Figure 5), transport of water stable isotopes in the unsaturated zone occurred by convection 300 or piston flow, as well as dispersion, leading to attenuation at depth. The convolution integral 301 method of Maloszewski and Zuber (1993) was chosen to reproduce and interpret soil depth profile 302 303 data.

First, the amount of recharge, I(i) (Equation (3)), and the isotopic composition of the percolating water, $\delta_{inf}(i)$, obtained from the water and isotope budgets as a function of time (Figure 3) were combined and transformed into $\delta_{inf}(q)$, a series of isotopic compositions for each increment of recharge water q (in mm). Water content was not constant with depth (Figure 5), but the spatial and temporal variations of soil water content were not included in the model as it was intended only as a simple model for validation of the surface budget and main recharge processes and not as an investigation of transient processes. The assumption of homogeneous and constant water content by volume θ_w was done, corresponding to steady-state vertical flow of water. This assumption was required to apply the transit time distribution model. A simple mass balance, or piston flow model, was applied to propagate the series $\delta_{inf}(q)$ into a series $\delta'_{inf}(z)$ of isotopic composition as a function of depth in the unsaturated zone. This was done using the simple conversion:

315
$$z = \frac{Q_{max} - q}{\theta_w} \tag{6}$$

where z is the depth (in mm) reached by the parcel of water after a cumulated amount of recharge of q (in mm) and Q_{max} the cumulated recharge amount (in mm) calculated for the entire time series (2002-2006 at PB).

Second, the relationship between input and output soil water isotopic compositions was based on a transit time distribution function. The dispersion model (Maloszewski et al., 2006) was chosen as the most appropriate for solute transport in soil columns. This dispersion model was retained also for its parsimony, with dispersion parameter as the single parameter. However, the limitations of this model have to be kept in mind, especially through the steady-state assumption. The model proposed here aimed at giving a general estimate of water fluxes, not at explaining the transient dynamics of soil water isotopes.

Traditionally, the dispersion model was used to determine the temporal evolution of the isotopic 326 composition of unsaturated zone water collected at a fixed depth with a lysimeter, based on the 327 temporal evolution of the isotopic composition in precipitation. For the current application, the 328 329 isotopic composition of unsaturated zone water for all depth had to be calculated at a fixed time, namely time of sampling. The lumped parameter dispersion model was therefore modified as 330 follows. For each depth Z, the isotopic composition after dispersion $\delta'_{out}(Z)$ was obtained by a 331 332 convolution between the transit time distribution function with the theoretical non attenuated depth profile $\delta'_{inf}(z)$: 333

334
$$\delta'_{out}(Z) = \int_{z=0}^{Zmax} \delta'_{inf}(z) \cdot g(Z,z) \cdot dz$$
(7)

where $Z_{max} = Q_{max} / \theta_w$ (in m) is the maximum depth reached by water that recharged at the really beginning of the time series, and g is the transit time distribution function of the dispersion model:

337 $g(z) = \frac{\sqrt{Z} \cdot z^{-3/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi \cdot D}} \exp\left(-\frac{(Z-z)^2}{4D \ z \ Z}\right)$ (8)

where *D* is the dispersion parameter (-), that corresponds to the inverse of the Peclet number (Maloszewski and Zuber, 1993) and hence can theoretically be linked to dispersivity.

The depth profile of water content was not modeled, as it was not the main focus of the study, and not adapted to the lumped parameter model. 342 The transport model was applied only at PB. For SLL, the surface budget was less well constrained,

343 due to the lack on time series on snowpack and snowmelt, and the transport segment was not 344 pursued.

345

346 **2.4.4. Sensitivity analysis and calibration of the model parameters**

Four years of data were available prior to sampling at PB. The model was thus run from July 2002 347 to May 2006. Isotopic compositions were observed to be constant below 2 m at PB (Figure 5a). 348 With such dispersion the available 4-year period of data was long enough so that the first and oldest 349 recharged water isotopic compositions were attenuated at 2 m depth. Especially, any data older than 350 351 2002 would have been attenuated. To ensure initialization of the model but keep its simplicity, the 352 recharge time series, $\delta'_{inf}(z)$, was artificially extended into the past (corresponding to the deepest part of the unsaturated zone) using the measured average isotopic composition of the deep 353 354 unsaturated zone. For cases where shorter time series of meteorological data were available or if 355 dispersion was lower, monthly average data of precipitation amounts and isotope compositions should be used for a spin up period. 356

357 A simple sensitivity analysis was conducted to determine the influence of the hydro-isotopic budget parameters on the average isotopic composition and intensity of recharge (Table 2). The value of 358 atmospheric relative humidity Hr did not influence much the isotopic composition of recharged 359 water, but controlled the slope of the unsaturated zone data points relative to the meteoric water line 360 in δ^{18} O- δ^{2} H space. The value of f strongly influenced the isotopic composition of recharged water 361 (Table 2), and the value of S_{max} also influenced it, albeit to a lesser extent. The values of these three 362 363 latter parameters were determined by a simple inverse approach. The objective function φ that was minimized was defined as the difference between the calculated volume weighted average δ^{18} O of 364 recharge water and the measured volume weighted average δ^{18} O in the unsaturated zone: 365

366

$$\varphi = \left| \frac{\sum_{i} (I(i) \cdot \delta_{inf}(i))}{\sum_{i} I(i)} - \overline{\delta^{18} O_{UZ}} \right|$$
(9)

The calibration of the two parameters of the transport model, namely the dispersion coefficient, D, 367 and soil water content, θ_w , was then performed. The transport parameter values depended on those 368 of the parameters obtained from the surface budget. Soil water content θ_{w} , was not very sensitive 369 and was estimated by manual adjustment, For the dispersion coefficient, a coupled multi-parameter 370 calibration as in Sprenger et al. (2015) was not conducted, but rather a simple parameter adjustment 371 372 based on the minimization of the objective function φ' defined as the sum of the differences between the measured and calculated δ^{18} O in the unsaturated zone at each depth where 373 measurement was available: 374

375

$$\varphi' = \left| \sum_{Z0}^{Zmax} (\delta'_{out}(Z) - \delta^{18} O_{UZ}(Z)) \right|$$
(10)

The transport model applied only to water percolating below the active rooting depth. The upper 10 to 20 cm of the soil, which corresponded to the conceptual soil reservoir or at least where transient surface water fluxes occurred, were thus not handled by the transport model and not considered for the fit and interpretation.

380

381

382 **3 Results**

383 **3.1. Water budget**

384 For PB, the average annual precipitation and potential evapotranspiration were 668 mm/yr and 746 385 mm/yr respectively. The surface water budget was calculated for a fitted S_{max} value of 52 mm, 386 giving the best agreement for isotopic composition (see Section 3.5.). The actual evapotranspiration 387 was 455 mm/yr, for an average recharge of 203 mm/yr (Table 1). Recharge was obtained almost continuously from September/October until April/May (Figures 2 and 3). In that case, the value of 388 389 S_{max} could be compared to soil moisture capacity, according to $S_{max} = RD (\theta_{FC} - \theta_{WP})$ (Renard and Tognelli, 2016) where RD is the rooting depth (0.2 m for PB site), θ_{FC} (resp. θ_{WP}) the water content 390 391 of soil at field capacity (resp. at wilting point) (around 5 %, resp. 2 %). Physical soil properties gave 392 a S_{max} value of 6 mm, which was lower but compatible with the fitted value. The obtained intensities for the various components of the water budget were in agreement with those used by 393 Renard and Tognelli (2016) for another site nearby in the Paris Basin. 394

For SLL, the average annual precipitation (rain and snow) and potential evapotranspiration for the 395 2010-2013 period were 922 mm/yr and 630 mm/yr respectively. The S_{max} value leading to the best 396 397 agreement between modelled and measured volume weighted average isotopic composition was 398 300 mm, a large value that could not be linked to a physical interpretation of soil moisture content. It has to be emphasized here that S_{max} was a fitting parameter of the conceptual water budget, and 399 400 that the link with field observations was not straightforward, especially as S_{max} depended on the model time step. The large value of S_{max} was thus consistent with the long time step (one month) of 401 402 the model at SLL. The corresponding recharge was 200 mm/yr, in the same order of magnitude as the literature value (Table 1), but occurred only for snowmelt. The actual evapotranspiration was 403 404 608 mm/yr, almost equal to the potential evapotranspiration. At the regional scale, Larocque et al. (2015) obtained a lower value of 381 mm/yr, but this had to be considered carefully as they 405 considered runoff. The water budget thus appeared to be somehow too simple to fully handle 406

407 processes occurring in cold climate and thus to be affected by large uncertainty. Larocque et al. 408 (2015) used a more complex surface water budget better adapted to cold climate and showed that 409 recharge occurred during two separate periods for SLL, from October to December and then from 410 March to May. A S_{max} value of 50 mm was also tested, similar to that for PB site. This led to an 411 actual evapotranspiration of 517 mm/yr and recharge of 372 mm/yr, with an acceptable fit of 412 isotopic composition but this time with the two expected periods of recharge.

- 413
- 414

415 **3.2. Stable isotopic composition of precipitation**

416 Monthly-averaged amount-weighted isotopic compositions of precipitation for the two sites are 417 presented in Figure 2. The entire time series of isotopic compositions and d-excess in precipitation 418 from 2002 to 2006 for the PB site is presented in Figure 3. A marked seasonal fluctuation existed for both sites, due to temperature effects, with correlation coefficients (R^2) of 0.36 and 0.60 between 419 420 δ^{18} O in precipitation and temperature for PB and SLL sites respectively. Isotopic compositions of both oxygen and hydrogen were more depleted in heavy isotopes during winter, and more enriched 421 in heavy isotopes during summer. The amplitude of this seasonal fluctuation was greater for SLL 422 (97 ‰ for δ^2 H and 12 ‰ for δ^{18} O) than for PB (29 ‰ for δ^2 H and 5 ‰ for δ^{18} O) due to the larger 423 424 underlying temperature amplitude. For SLL, the isotopic compositions of the local rain samples were fully consistent with the values from the GNIP station in Ottawa. The average isotopic 425 composition of the snow samples was -17.3 ± 0.1 % for δ^{18} O and -126.1 ± 1.0 % for δ^{2} H, also in 426 the range of the GNIP station data. 427

For both sites, the local meteoric water lines (LMWL) were close to the global meteoric water line (Figure 4a, $\delta^2 H = 7.12 \cdot \delta^{18}O + 2.19$ for PB, Figure 4b $\delta^2 H = 7.93 \cdot \delta^{18}O + 10.1$ for SLL). They compared well with LWML equations in the same regions (see for example Millot et al., 2010 for PB and Arnoux et al. 2017 for SLL). For PB, the d-excess varied throughout the year according to the variable sources of vapour masses and the continental recycling of water vapour. The lc-excess was therefore used to overcome this variability and to investigate local evaporation effects.

- 434
- 435

436 **3.3. Stable isotopic composition of pore water in the unsaturated zone and of groundwater**

⁴³⁷ Depth profiles of the water isotopic composition in the unsaturated zone are presented in Figures 5a ⁴³⁸ and 6a for PB, and Figures 5b and 6b for SLL. Only δ^{18} O profiles are reported, as δ^{2} H profiles show

439 similar patterns of variability. At both sites, at least one cycle of pore water isotopic composition

440 variation was observed: higher isotopic compositions corresponding to recharge at the end of summer and during autumn, and lower values corresponding to recharge during winter. The 441 amplitude of soil water isotopic composition variability was dampened compared to that of 442 precipitation, with 12 ‰ for δ^2 H and 2 ‰ for δ^{18} O for PB, and with 51 ‰ for δ^2 H and 7 ‰ for δ^{18} O 443 for SLL. At PB, the 4.5 $\% \delta^{18}$ O amplitude in precipitation was attenuated to 1 % at 1 m depth and 444 to less than 0.1 ‰, in the range of noise, at 2 m depth. Depth profiles of soil water content also 445 446 showed some variability (Figure 5), with higher water content in the finer layer at PB and at the 447 surface at both sites, as sampling was conducted in May following winter infiltration.

The average isotopic composition of water in the unsaturated zone, weighted by the water content at each depth, was equal to $\delta^{18}O_{uz} = -6.9$ ‰ and lc-excess = -3.7 ‰ for PB, and $\delta^{18}O_{uz} = -11.3$ ‰ and lc-excess = 2.3 ‰ for SLL (Figures 5 and 6).

451 At PB, the average isotopic composition of groundwater was $\delta^{18}O = -6.3$ ‰ and $\delta^{2}H = -48.3$ ‰ 452 (Figure 4a), while the measured isotopic composition of groundwater in the regional surface aquifer 453 of SLL was $\delta^{18}O = -11.1$ ‰ and $\delta^{2}H = -78.5$ ‰ (Larocque et al., 2015) (Figure 4b).

Even if the two sites had contrasted recharge seasonality and mechanisms, the average isotopic 454 455 composition of the unsaturated zone was close to that of local groundwater (Figure 4). For SB, it 456 was quite close to the weighted average isotopic composition of local precipitation, reflecting the 457 continuous infiltration and recharge of precipitation from fall to spring. On the contrary, for SLL the average isotopic composition in the unsaturated zone was more depleted in heavy isotopes 458 459 compared to the weighted average isotopic composition of local precipitation, corresponding to the large amount of heavy isotope-depleted snowmelt water infiltration as freshet. Comparison between 460 δ^{18} O values in precipitation and in the unsaturated zone indicated that recharge occurred 461 predominantly during late fall (δ^{18} O around -10 ‰) and spring (δ^{18} O of snow around -15 ‰) at SLL 462 (Figures 2 and 5b) and more continuously during fall, winter and spring at PB (Figures 2, 3 and 5a). 463 At PB, lc-excess values in the unsaturated zone ranged between 0 and -5 ‰ (Figure 6a), indicating 464 that at least some evaporation occurs before or during infiltration, leading to a slight enrichment of 465 466 the isotopic composition in recharge in the unsaturated zone. At SLL, average lc-excess values in the unsaturated zone were positive (Figure 6b), showing no evidence of evaporation, and suggesting 467 existence of heterogeneity in precipitation sources between recharge and non recharge periods. 468

469

470 **3.4.** Quantification of recharge for the two contrasted sites from unsaturated zone profiles

Annual amounts of recharge were calculated following Equation (2) for both the PB and SLL
profiles, and are reported in Table 1. The depths between which soil water content was integrated
were chosen based on the visual observations of maximum / minimum as well as smooth or more

474 abrupt changes in the isotopic composition vs. depth (Figure 5), and on the expected seasonality of isotopic composition in recharge, from higher values in autumn to more negative values in spring. 475 At PB, one cycle between two minima of δ^{18} O was identified between 22 and 160 cm depth (Figure 476 5a), corresponding to an annual recharge of 304 mm/yr (Table 1). More specifically, infiltration 477 during autumn -winter 2005 could be identified by relatively higher isotope composition between 478 50 and 125 cm depth, while recent infiltration in spring 2006 would lie on top of the profile, down 479 to 50 cm depth (Figure 5a). At SLL, relatively abrupt changes of δ^{18} O vs. depth allowed 480 identification of spring snowmelt and autumn recharge for the two hydrological years preceding 481 sampling (Figure 5b). In the upper part of the profile, snowmelt recharge in 2013 was identified 482 with low δ^{18} O values between 22 and 72 cm and amounted to 48 mm, and a recharge in autumn 483 2012 of 66 mm was identified with high δ^{18} O values between 77 and 142 cm. This led to an annual 484 recharge of 114 mm/yr (Table 1). Below that, low δ^{18} O are again observed from 147 to 212 cm, 485 corresponding to spring snowmelt in 2012, with a calculated recharge of 68 mm. Below 217 cm. 486 487 δ^{18} O values increased slightly and probably corresponded to recharge from autumn 2011, but the amplitude of variations were too dampened to allow quantification. Quantification of recharge 488 489 based on integration of water content versus depth was based on a subjective identification of the 490 depth range corresponding to a given period of recharge, and thus subjected to a large uncertainty. 491 But the results obtained here provided a straightforward first order estimate of the amount of recharge and also a confirmation of the expected seasonality of recharge. 492

493

494 3.5. Modeling the isotopic composition evolution from precipitation to infiltration and 495 recharge

For PB site, Equation (5) and isotope fractionation were at first not included in the model, but the 496 497 minimization of the objective function φ never led to a good fit of the data (data not shown). As 498 already identified with the negative lc-excess value in the unsaturated zone, this confirmed that 499 some evaporation occurred at PB. Isotope fractionation was therefore included in the model. 500 Minimization of the objective function φ then led to the determination of the surface water budget parameters (S_{max} and evaporation parameters, f and Hr). The best fit (Figures 5a and 6a) was 501 obtained for $S_{max} = 52$ mm, Hr = 0.3 and f = 0.97. The obtained average annual recharge was then 203 502 503 mm/vr (Table 1), not fully similar to the values obtained from the depth integration method (Section 3.4) and the literature, but of the same order of magnitude and acceptable regarding the 504 simplifications of the model and associated uncertainties. It has to be noted that the surface hydro-505 506 isotopic budget was self-sufficient to quantify amount and seasonality of recharge. The transport model served as validation and confirmation of major recharge processes. 507

Regarding the lumped parameter transport model for PB, the distance between the two δ^{18} O minima 508 in the soil profile (Figure 5a) was compared to the amount of recharge between the two $\delta_{inf}(r)$ series 509 minima, and Equation (6) was used to deduce an average water content of $\theta_w = 0.42$. This value was 510 higher than the field value of 0.15 to 0.25 (Figure 5a), but this parameter was not optimized 511 512 automatically, was not very sensitive and thus affected by a large uncertainty. A dispersion 513 parameter D of 0.06 gave the best fit between observed and calculated profiles (Figures 5a and 6a). 514 This dispersion parameter was an effective bulk parameter for the whole profile, disregarding the 515 spatial variations of dispersivity with depth, and was not interpreted in terms of dispersivity.

For SLL, as already guessed by the positive lc-excess values in the unsaturated zone, a model 516 without evaporation and without isotope fractionation allowed to minimize the objective function 517 and was thus retained. There was thus only one parameter, Smax, to calibrate. The best agreement 518 between average δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H calculated for recharge versus measured values in the unsaturated 519 zone profile was obtained for a S_{max} of 300 mm, leading to an annual recharge of 200 mm/yr (Table 520 521 1), again different from but of the order of magnitude of values obtained from the simple depth integration method (Section 3.4) and from the literature. However, as already discussed above 522 (Section 3.1), a S_{max} value of 50 mm, similar to that for PB site and more physical, led to a higher 523 recharge of 372 mm/yr, and emphasized the current limitations of the model for cold climate. 524

525

526

527 **4 Discussion**

528 4.1. Processes to include in models of soil water isotope profiles

In this study, a conceptual water isotope budget was used at the surface, based on the traditional 529 water budget, but also taking physical processes that alter soil water isotopic composition into 530 account, namely mixing and isotopic fractionation associated with evaporation. Regarding transport 531 in the unsaturated zone, the choice of a lumped dispersion model to reproduce the measured δ^{18} O in 532 533 the unsaturated zone was based on the limited availability of data with which to constrain the model. The simplified surface budget was consistent with a simplified transport model that did not 534 incorporate the variations of water content with depth and with time. The influence of soil 535 heterogeneity as well as of immobile water on the average isotopic composition of recharge water, 536 were considered to be negligible by several authors (Barnes and Allison, 1988, Lindström and 537 Rodhe, 1992), but shown to occur by others (Gazis and Feng, 2004, Schoen et al., 1999). The use of 538 a lumped parameter model allowed these processes to be taken somehow into account through the 539 540 effective dispersion parameter.

541 Other modeling approaches with more physically based numerical models of surface water, isotopes, and heat budgets have been proposed, coupled with water and isotope transport in the 542 unsaturated zone based on Richard's equation (Braud et al., 2005, Gehrels et al., 1998, Haverd and 543 Cuntz, 2010, Melayah et al., 1996, Rothfuss et al., 2012, Sprenger et al., 2015, Stumpp et al., 2012). 544 Such physically based models handle transient dynamics of flow and transport in the unsaturated 545 546 zone as well as soil heterogeneity, and help improve the calibration of soil transport parameters and 547 the understanding of water residence time. However, they often lack detailed presentation of surface 548 isotope water budget. Non-fractionating transpiration is usually included in the models for sites in temperate areas (e.g. HYDRUS-1D, Stumpp et al., 2012), but isotope fractionating evaporation is 549 less often taken into account (Haverd and Cuntz, 2010, Rothfuss et al., 2012, Sprenger et al., 2017). 550 These modeling approaches can be more or less complex but always require many data and 551 552 parameters. On the opposite, the model proposed here is much more conceptual and simplified, but includes this isotope fractionation in a simple way while requiring few data and parameters. 553

554

555 **4.2. Parsimonious models for recharge quantification and uncertainties**

The two sites considered in this study have contrasted recharge mechanisms and seasonality, with episodic recharge in autumn and from snow melt at SLL, and more continuous recharge from autumn to spring at PB. The two models proposed here prove to allow quantification of recharge amount and possibly seasonality, for sites that are not so well characterized and where only limited data are available to interpret the isotope composition of pore water in the unsaturated zone.

The two methods give estimates or recharge intensity that are of the same order of magnitude as 561 562 values given in the literature and obtained with hydrogeological modeling (Table 1). However, even if a precise quantification was not the scope of the study and uncertainties on annual recharge 563 564 estimated from depth integration of water content as well as from our simple model are large, noticeable differences remain with literature values. Such uncertainties could not easily be handled 565 566 by water managers, and the proposed models are not intended for their use. More generally, uncertainties on recharge estimations remain significant, especially when comparing methods and 567 even with complex models, which emphasizes the need to further improve recharge quantification 568 569 methods.

570

4.3. Limitations of the proposed water isotope budget and transport model for recharge quantification

573 The main limitation of the use of unsaturated zone water isotope profiles is the attenuation of the

574 precipitation isotope signal at depth (Cook et al., 1992). As opposed to arid or semi arid regions

with deep unsaturated zone where several years of recharge intensity are stored, temperate areas generally have shallower unsaturated zone and higher recharge intensity that limits the preservation of the signals. The seasonal variability can clearly be observed at SLL, but is smaller for PB (Figure 5). The model shown here is intended to be theoretically applicable to any site under a temperate climate, even if sites characterized by strong seasonality, and especially by snow cover, are better suited to the quantification of recharge from soil water isotopes profiles.

581 Another limitation of the interpretation of soil water isotope profiles is that a long-term time series 582 of precipitation isotopic composition is required. Monthly time step time series have been used as they are more easily acquired or available from GNIP stations almost all over the world. A monthly 583 time step is shown here to enable the application of a hydro-isotopic surface budget and the 584 identification of seasonal variations in recharge. If associated with a soil sampling at fine spatial 585 586 resolution, typically every 5 cm or so, high resolution precipitation data could allow using a two components lumped parameter model, with both piston flow and dispersion models, to investigate 587 the existence of preferential infiltration events, as proposed by Stumpp and Maloszewski (2010). 588

- Uncertainties in the surface water budget propagate also into the transport model. The major limitation of the transport model is the assumption of steady-state flow (homogeneous and constant soil water content), that is required to apply the lumped parameter dispersion model, but that prevents any investigation of transient processes.
- Finally, the surface water budget and transport models are calibrated here on one single profile taken at one time, which is one of its strength but also a limitation as it increases the uncertainties, from sampling and cryogenic extraction to model calibration.
- 596 Despite these limitations, the simple surface and transport models are complementary to the peak to 597 peak method and are applicable to sites where little information is available.
- 598

599 4.4. Impact of evaporation on water isotopic composition in the unsaturated zone

600 Isotopic fractionation is associated with evaporation at the soil surface, and is known to be an important control of the isotopic composition of water in soils (Barnes and Allison, 1983, 1988, 601 Sutanto et al., 2012). The average negative lc-excess value in the unsaturated zone for PB indicates 602 603 the role of local evaporation, and this is confirmed by the hydro-isotopic surface budget, where 604 isotope fractionation has to be included in order to fit the data. For SLL, this is not the case, average lc-excess is positive and a good fit of the data is obtained without fractionation. The fitted f605 coefficient of 0.97 for evaporation at PB might not be directly transposed into evaporation 606 partitioning but confirms that transpiration largely dominates water uptake for soil under a 607 temperate climate and covered with grass. Evaporation occurring during the hot summers clearly 608

leads to isotope fractionation, but as there is no recharge during summer, this fractionated signal is barely preserved in a small amount of soil moisture. When autumn rain is mixed with this small amount of fractionated water remaining in the soil reservoir, the evaporated signal is largely dampened. These values of f and evaporation therefore correspond to the recharge periods, namely autumn and spring. At SLL, where average temperatures are lower, evaporation from the unsaturated zone is negligible during the recharge seasons, spring and autumn, and hence does not impact the isotopic composition of recharge.

For both sites, lc-excess appears to be a robust indicator of local evaporation intensity. Water stable isotopes in the unsaturated zone have thus already been used to partition evaporation and transpiration fluxes (Sutanto et al., 2012). Surface budgets for water stable isotopes, including fractionation associated with evaporation, as proposed in this study, should be further developed and tested under temperate climates.

Equation (5) is proposed as a simple parameterization of the isotope fractionation associated to evaporation in soils, but it would require improvement and validation by future experimental and numerical studies. Equation (5) is originally established for open water, and is adapted to sites such as PB where evaporation fluxes are small and kinetic fractionation is limited. Future work should focus more specifically on the validation of such isotope fractionation equation. One major issue would be to include a parameterization or a fit of a temporal evolution of the f parameter, which would require to include partitioning of evapotranspiration.

628

629 **5. Conclusion**

Based on the results from two field sites with contrasting hydrologic and climate conditions, water 630 631 stable isotope (δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H) profiles in the unsaturated zone are shown to permit the quantification and identification of seasonality in recharge, based on the seasonality of the water isotopic 632 composition of precipitation. A very simple approach consists of integrating soil water content 633 between extreme values of soil water isotopic composition, typically corresponding to precipitation 634 signatures during warm and cold seasons. When a time series of precipitation isotopic composition 635 636 is available, even only at a monthly time scale, a water isotope budget can be calculated at the surface using a conceptual overflowing soil reservoir model including mixing of successive 637 638 precipitation but also isotope fractionation due to evaporation. The intensity of evaporation, associated with enrichment in heavy isotopes, can be constrained by the lc-excess measured in the 639 640 unsaturated zone. A first and simple parameterization is proposed to take this fractionation into 641 account in the surface water budget. This water budget can be constrained with the volume weighted average isotopic composition of pore water in the unsaturated zone, and thus allows determination of the intensity and seasonality of recharge. This model and the peak to peak integration lead to similar values, consistent at first order with more complex methods, but emphasizing the uncertainties remaining on recharge quantification. A lumped parameter dispersion model is then used to represent percolation of water and attenuation of water isotope signal at depth. It allows the main variations of water isotope composition with depth to be reproduced and soil parameters and transport processes to be discussed.

Even if the attenuation of the precipitation isotopic signal during recharge limits the investigation to the two first meters of the unsaturated zone and to sites with contrasted recharge periods, the approaches proposed in this study could be applied to a large number of sites, and are very promising for the investigation of spatial and temporal variability of recharge as well as for drawing recharge maps at the local to regional spatial scales.

654

655 Data availability

All data are available upon request to the corresponding author.

657 Acknowledgments

We thank the *Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies* (FRQNT) and the *Programme d'acquisition de connaissances sur les eaux souterraines du Québec (PACES)* program for funding the Quebec portion of this research, as well as the *Institut de Radioprotection et Sureté Nucléaire* (IRSN) for assistance in collecting monthly rainfall samples. Camille Peretti and Karine Lefebvre are thanked for their help in the field and in the laboratory. We thank the Associate Editor Christine Stumpp, as well as Matthias Sprenger and an anonymous reviewer for their comments that greatly helped improving the manuscript.

665

666

667 References

- 668
- Adomako, D., P. Maloszewski, C. Stumpp, S. Osae and T.T. Akiti. 2010. Estimating groundwater
 recharge from water isotope (delta H-2, delta O-18) depth profiles in the Densu River basin,
 Ghana. Hydrological Sciences Journal-Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques 55: 1405-1416.
 doi:10.1080/02626667.2010.527847.
- Araguás-Araguás, L., K. Rozanski, R. Gonfiantini and D. Louvat. 1995. Isotope effects
 accompanying vacuum extraction of soil water for stable isotope analyses. Journal of
 Hydrology 168: 159-171. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(94)02636-P.

- Arnoux, M., F. Barbecot, E. Gibert-Brunet, J. Gibson, E. Rosa, A. Noret, et al. 2017. Geochemical
 and isotopic mass balances of kettle lakes in southern Quebec (Canada) as tools to document
 variations in groundwater quantity and quality. Environmental Earth Sciences 76.
 doi:10.1007/s12665-017-6410-6.
- Barnes, C.J. and G.B. Allison. 1983. The distribution of deuterium and 180 in dry soils: 1. Theory.
 Journal of Hydrology 60: 141-156. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(83)90018-5.
- Barnes, C.J. and G.B. Allison. 1988. Tracing of water-movement in the unsaturated zone using
 stable isotopes of hydrogen and oxygen. Journal of Hydrology 100: 143-176.
 doi:10.1016/0022-1694(88)90184-9.
- Bengtsson, L., R.K. Saxena and Z. Dressie. 1987. Soil water movement estimated from isotope
 tracers. Hydrological Sciences Journal 32: 497-520. doi:10.1080/02626668709491208.
- Braud, I., T. Bariac, J.P. Gaudet and M. Vauclin. 2005. SiSPAT-Isotope, a coupled heat, water and
 stable isotope (HDO and H218O) transport model for bare soil. Part I. Model description
 and first verifications. Journal of Hydrology 309: 277-300.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.12.013.
- Braud, I., P. Biron, T. Bariac, P. Richard, L. Canale, J.P. Gaudet, et al. 2009a. Isotopic composition
 of bare soil evaporated water vapor. Part I: RUBIC IV experimental set up and results.
 Journal of Hydrology 369: p. 1 p. 16. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.01.034.
- Braud, I., T. Bariac, P. Biron and M. Vauclin. 2009b. Isotopic composition of bare soil evaporated
 water vapor. Part II: Modeling of RUBIC IV experimental results. Journal of Hydrology
 369: 17-29. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.01.038.
- Cook, P.G., W.M. Edmunds and C.B. Gaye. 1992. Estimating paleorecharge and paleoclimate from
 unsaturated zone profiles. Water Resources Research 28: 2721-2731.
 doi:10.1029/92WR01298.
- 700 Corcho Alvarado, J.A., R. Purtschert, F. Barbecot, C. Chabault, J. Rueedi, V. Schneider, et al. 2007. Constraining the age distribution of highly mixed groundwater using 39Ar: A multiple 701 environmental tracer (3H/3He, 85Kr, 39Ar, and 14C) study in the semiconfined 702 Fontainebleau Sands Aquifer (France). Water Resources Research 703 43. doi:10.1029/2006WR005096. 704
- Crosbie, R.S., B.R. Scanlon, F.S. Mpelasoka, R.C. Reedy, J.B. Gates and L. Zhang. 2013. Potential
 climate change effects on groundwater recharge in the High Plains Aquifer, USA. Water
 Resources Research 49: 3936-3951. doi:10.1002/wrcr.20292.
- Darling, W.G. and A.H. Bath. 1988. A stable isotope study of recharge processes in the English
 Chalk. Journal of Hydrology 101: 31-46. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(88)90026-1.
- Fisher, D.K. and H.C. Pringle. 2013. Evaluation of alternative methods for estimating reference
 evapotranspiration. Agricultural Sciences 4: 51-60. doi:10.4236/as.2013.48A008.Gazis, C.
 and X. Feng. 2004. A stable isotope study of soil water: evidence for mixing and preferential
 flow paths. Geoderma 119: 97-111. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7061(03)00243-X.
- Gehrels, J.C., J.E.M. Peeters, J.J. De Vries and M. Dekkers. 1998. The mechanism of soil water
 movement as inferred from O-18 stable isotope studies. Hydrological Sciences Journal Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques 43: 579-594. doi:10.1080/02626669809492154.
- Gonfiantini, R. 1986. Environmental isotopes in lake studies. In: P. Fritz and J. C. Fontes, editors,
 Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry. Elsevier, Amsterdam. p. 113-168.
- Hagedorn, B., A.I. El-Kadi, A. Mair, R.B. Whittier and K. Ha. 2011. Estimating recharge in fractured aquifers of a temperate humid to semiarid volcanic island (Jeju, Korea) from water table fluctuations, and Cl, CFC-12 and 3H chemistry. Journal of Hydrology 409: 650-662.
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.08.060.
- Haverd, V. and M. Cuntz. 2010. Soil–Litter–Iso: A one-dimensional model for coupled transport of
 heat, water and stable isotopes in soil with a litter layer and root extraction. Journal of
 Hydrology 388: 438-453. doi:doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.05.029.
- Healy, R.W. and P.G. Cook. 2002. Using groundwater levels to estimate recharge. Hydrogeology
 Journal 10: 91-109. doi:10.1007/s10040-001-0178-0.

- 728 Koeniger, P., M. Gaj, M. Beyer and T. Himmelsbach. 2016. Review on soil water isotope-based 729 groundwater recharge estimations. Hydrological Processes 30: 2817-2834. doi:10.1002/hyp.10775. 730 Kurylyk, B.L. and K.T.B. MacQuarrie. 2013. The uncertainty associated with estimating future 731 groundwater recharge: A summary of recent research and an example from a small 732 733 unconfined aquifer in a northern humid-continental climate. Journal of Hydrology 492: 244-253. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.03.043. 734 Landwehr, J.M. and T.B. Coplen. 2004. Line-conditioned excess: A new method for characterizing 735 stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope ratios in hydrologic systems. International Atomic 736 Energy Agency (IAEA). p. 98-99. 737 Larocque, M., G. Meyzonnat, M.A. Ouellet, M.H. Graveline, S. Gagné, D. Barnetche, et al. 2015. 738 739 Projet de connaissance des eaux souterraines de la zone de Vaudreuil-Soulanges - Rapport scientifique. Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement et de la Lutte contre 740 les changements climatiques, Quebec. p. 202. 741 742 Lee, K.-S., J.-M. Kim, D.-R. Lee, Y. Kim and D. Lee. 2007. Analysis of water movement through an unsaturated soil zone in Jeju Island, Korea using stable oxygen and hydrogen isotopes. 743 744 Journal of Hydrology 345: 199-211. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.08.006. Leibundgut, C., P. Maloszewski and C. Külls. 2009. Tracers in HydrologyJohn Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 745 746 Chichester, UK. Li, F., X. Song, C. Tang, C. Liu, J. Yu and W. Zhang. 2007. Tracing infiltration and recharge using 747 748 stable isotope in taihang Mt., north China. Environmental Geology 53: 687-696. doi:10.1007/s00254-007-0683-0. 749 750 Liang, X. and Y.K. Zhang. 2012. A new analytical method for groundwater recharge and discharge estimation. Journal of Hydrology 450-451: 17-24. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.05.036. 751 752 Lindström, G. and A. Rodhe. 1992. Transit times of water in soil lysimeters from modeling of oxygen-18. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 65: 83-100. doi:10.1007/bf00482751. 753 Maloszewski, P., S. Maciejewski, C. Stumpp, W. Stichler, P. Trimborn and D. Klotz. 2006. 754 Modelling of water flow through typical Bavarian soils: 2. Environmental deuterium 755 transport. Hydrological Sciences Journal-Journal Des Sciences Hydrologiques 51: 298-313. 756 757 doi:10.1623/hysj.51.2.298. Maloszewski, P. and A. Zuber. 1993. Principles and practice of calibration and validation of 758 mathematical models for the interpretation of environmental tracer data in aquifers. 759 Advances in Water Resources 16: 173-190. doi:10.1016/0309-1708(93)90036-f. 760 McCallum, J.L., P.G. Cook, C.T. Simmons and A.D. Werner. 2014. Bias of Apparent Tracer Ages 761 in Heterogeneous Environments. Groundwater 52: 239-250. doi:10.1111/gwat.12052. 762 McConville, C., R.M. Kalin, H. Johnston and G.W. McNeill. 2001. Evaluation of recharge in a 763 764 small temperate catchment using natural and applied delta O-18 profiles in the unsaturated 765 zone. Ground Water 39: 616-623. doi:10.1111/j.1745-6584.2001.tb02349.x. Melayah, A., L. Bruckler and T. Bariac. 1996. Modeling the transport of water stable isotopes in 766 767 unsaturated soils under natural conditions .1. Theory. Water Resources Research 32: 2047-768 2054. doi:10.1029/96wr00674. Millot, R., E. Petelet-Giraud, C. Guerrot and P. Négrel. 2010. Multi-isotopic composition (δ7Li– 769 δ11B-δD-δ18O) of rainwaters in France: Origin and spatio-temporal characterization. 770 25: doi: 771 Applied Geochemistry 1510-1524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem. 2010.08.002. 772 Mueller, M.H., A. Alaoui, C. Kuells, H. Leistert, K. Meusburger, C. Stumpp, et al. 2014. Tracking 773 774 water pathways in steep hillslopes by $\delta 180$ depth profiles of soil water. Journal of Hydrology 519, Part A: 340-352. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.07.031. 775 Orlowski, N., D.L. Pratt and J.J. McDonnell. 2016. Intercomparison of soil pore water extraction 776 777 methods for stable isotope analysis. Hydrological Processes 30: 3434-3449.
- doi:10.1002/hyp.10870.

- Pfletschinger, H., I. Engelhardt, M. Piepenbrink, F. Königer, R. Schuhmann, A. Kallioras, et al.
 2012. Soil column experiments to quantify vadose zone water fluxes in arid settings.
 Environmental Earth Sciences 65: 1523-1533. doi:10.1007/s12665-011-1257-8.
- Renard, F. and A. Tognelli. 2016. A new quasi-3D unsaturated–saturated hydrogeologic model of
 the Plateau de Saclay (France). Journal of Hydrology 535: 495-508.
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.02.014.
- Rivard, C., R. Lefebvre and D. Paradis. 2014. Regional recharge estimation using multiple methods:
 an application in the Annapolis Valley, Nova Scotia (Canada). Environmental Earth
 Sciences 71: 1389-1408. doi:10.1007/s12665-013-2545-2.
- Rothfuss, Y., I. Braud, N. Le Moine, P. Biron, J.-L. Durand, M. Vauclin, et al. 2012. Factors
 controlling the isotopic partitioning between soil evaporation and plant transpiration:
 Assessment using a multi-objective calibration of SiSPAT-Isotope under controlled
 conditions. Journal of Hydrology 442: 75-88.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.03.041.
- Rozanski, K., L. Araguás-Araguás and R. Gonfiantini. 1993. Isotopic patterns in modern global
 precipitation. In: P. K. Swart, J. McKenzie, K. C. Lohmann and S. Savin, editors, Climate
 Change in Continental Isotopic Records. American Geophysical Union, Washington DC. p.
 1-36.
- Saxena, R.K. 1984. Seasonal variations of 18O in soil moisture and estimation of recharge in esker
 and moraine formations. Nordic Hydrology 15: 235-242.
- Schneider, V. 2005. Apport de l'hydrodynamique et de la géochimie à la caractérisation des nappes
 de l'Oligocène et de l'Eocène, et à la reconnaissance de leurs relations actuelles et passées:
 origine de la dégradation de la nappe de l'Oligocène (sud-ouest du Bassin de Paris). PhD
 thesis, Universite d'Orsay, Orsay, France.
- Schoen, R., J.P. Gaudet and T. Bariac. 1999. Preferential flow and solute transport in a large
 lysimeter, under controlled boundary conditions. Journal of Hydrology 215: 70-81.
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(98)00262-5.
- Song, X., S. Wang, G. Xiao, Z. Wang, X. Liu and P. Wang. 2009. A study of soil water movement
 combining soil water potential with stable isotopes at two sites of shallow groundwater areas
 in the North China Plain. Hydrological Processes 23: 1376-1388. doi:10.1002/hyp.7267.
- Sprenger, M., D. Tetzlaff, J. Buttle, H. Laudon, H. Leistert, C. Mitchell, et al. 2017. Measuring and
 modelling stable isotopes of mobile and bulk soil water. Vadose Zone Journal.
 doi:10.2136/vzj2017.08.0149.Sprenger, M., H. Leistert, K. Gimbel and M. Weiler. 2016.
 Illuminating hydrological processes at the soil-vegetation-atmosphere interface with water
 stable isotopes. Reviews of Geophysics 54: 674-704. doi:10.1002/2015RG000515.
- Sprenger, M., T.H.M. Volkmann, T. Blume and M. Weiler. 2015. Estimating flow and transport
 parameters in the unsaturated zone with pore water stable isotopes. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
 19: 2617-2635. doi:10.5194/hess-19-2617-2015.
- Stumpp, C. and M.J. Hendry. 2012. Spatial and temporal dynamics of water flow and solute
 transport in a heterogeneous glacial till: The application of high-resolution profiles of delta
 O-18 and delta H-2 in pore waters. Journal of Hydrology 438: 203-214.
 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.03.024.
- Stumpp, C. and P. Maloszewski. 2010. Quantification of preferential flow and flow heterogeneities
 in an unsaturated soil planted with different crops using the environmental isotope δ18O.
 Journal of Hydrology 394: 407-415. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.09.014.
- Stumpp, C., P. Maloszewski, W. Stichler and J. Fank. 2009a. Environmental isotope (δ18O) and
 hydrological data to assess water flow in unsaturated soils planted with different crops: Case
 study lysimeter station "Wagna" (Austria). Journal of Hydrology 369: 198-208.
 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.02.047.
- Stumpp, C., W. Stichler and P. Maloszewski. 2009b. Application of the environmental isotope
 δ18O to study water flow in unsaturated soils planted with different crops: Case study of a

- weighable lysimeter from the research field in Neuherberg, Germany. Journal of Hydrology
 368: 68-78. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.01.027.
- Sutanto, S.J., J. Wenninger, A.M.J. Coenders-Gerrits and S. Uhlenbrook. 2012. Partitioning of
 evaporation into transpiration, soil evaporation and interception: a comparison between
 isotope measurements and a HYDRUS-1D model. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 16: 2605-2616.
 doi:10.5194/hess-16-2605-2012.
- Thomas, E.M., H. Lin, C.J. Duffy, P.L. Sullivan, G.H. Holmes, S.L. Brantley, et al. 2013.
 Spatiotemporal Patterns of Water Stable Isotopic compositions at the Shale Hills Critical
 Zone Observatory: Linkages to Subsurface Hydrologic Processes. Vadose Zone Journal 12.
 doi:10.2136/vzj2013.01.0029.
- Thornthwaite, C.W. 1948. An approach toward a rational classification of climate. Geographical
 Review 38: 55-94.
- Turc, L. 1961. Estimation of irrigation water requirements, potential evapotranspiration: a simple
 climatic formula evolved up to date. Annals of Agronomy 12: 13:49.
- Wei, Z., K. Yoshimura, L. Wang, D.G. Miralles, S. Jasechko and X. Lee. 2017. Revisiting the
 contribution of transpiration to global terrestrial evapotranspiration. Geophysical Research
 Letters 44: 2792-2801. doi:10.1002/2016GL072235.
- Xu, C.Y. and D. Chen. 2005. Comparison of seven models for estimation of evapotranspiration and
 groundwater recharge using lysimeter measurement data in Germany. Hydrological
 Processes 19: 3717-3734. doi:10.1002/hyp.5853.
- 850

- 852 Figure captions
- 853

Figure 1: Locations and photographs of the trenches where sand samples were taken for soil water extraction and water isotope analysis, for PB (a.) and for SLL (b.).

856

Figure 2: Monthly average oxygen isotopic composition of precipitation at PB (black) and SLL (grey). For each site, dashed lines represent the volume weighted average oxygen isotopic composition of the unsaturated zone profiles sampled in May 2006 for PB and May 2013 for SLL. Periods of recharge obtained from the water budget are represented by horizontal bars.

861

Figure 3: Time series of water and isotope surface budget for the PB site from 2002 to 2006: isotopic composition measured in precipitation (black and grey solid lines with monthly average as dashed lines), isotopic composition calculated in infiltrating water (red and orange), d-excess in precipitation (dashed grey line), measured precipitation (blue), calculated potential evapotranspiration (green) and infiltration into the unsaturated zone (red). See text for details.

868 869

Figure 4: Stable isotopic composition of water in precipitation (blue circles), in the unsaturated zone pore water (red triangles), and in groundwater (black square) for PB (a) and SLL (b). For each site, filled symbols correspond to volume weighted averages of individual empty data point. For each site, the Local Meteoric Water Lines (LMWL) and Global Meteoric Water Lines (GMWL) are represented by a black solid line and grey dashed line respectively.

876

Figure 5: Depth profiles of pore water oxygen isotopic composition (black) and volumetric water content (grey) at PB (a) and SLL (b). Vertical dashed lines correspond to volume weighted average isotopic composition in groundwater (black) and precipitation (blue). Annual or seasonal recharge is calculated through depth integration of water content in shaded areas. For PB, the modeled depth profile of isotopic composition (dashed line) is calculated from the hydro-isotopic surface budget and the 1D lumped parameter model (see text for details).

884

Figure 6: Depth profiles of lc-excess in pore water at PB (a) and SLL (b). For PB, the modeled

depth profile of lc-excess (dashed line) is calculated from the hydro-isotopic surface budget
 and the 1D lumped parameter model (see text for details).

888

Tables 890

891

Table 1: Estimate of the amount of annual groundwater recharge at PB and SLL, using 892

several methods. See text for details. 893

894

		PB	SLL
	UZ isotope profile	304 mm/yr	114 mm/yr
	Hydro-isotopic water	203 mm/yr	200 - 370 mm/yr
	Regional Modeling	150 mm/yr ¹	189 mm/yr^2
895 896 897	¹ Corcho Alvarado et al. (2007) ² Larocque et al. (2015)		
898			

899

of the hydro isotonic budget to th հե . . C . .**f**. arameters Hr, S_{max} 90 90

	Average Recharge (mm)	δ^{18} O- weighted average (‰)	δ^2 H-weighted average (‰)	lc-excess (‰)
Ref. case*	203	-6.86	-50.00	-3.35
Hr = 0.1	203	-6.95	-50.84	-3.55
Hr = 0.5	203	-6.72	-48.56	-2.90
$S_{max} \ge 2$	147	-6.02	-46.31	-5.64
S_{max} /2	231	-7.41	-52.52	-1.95
f 0.99	203	-8.13	-55.60	0.10
f 0.90	203	-2.52	-30.40	-14.65
PET +10%	192	-6.88	-50.16	-3.36
PET - 10%	217	-6.82	-49.75	-3.38
UZ profile		-6.86	-50.39	-3.74

(PB site) * parameter values : $S_{max} = 52 \text{ mm}, Hr = 0.30, f = 0.97$ 902

903



Figure 1: Locations and photographs of the trenches where sand samples were taken for soil water extraction and water isotope analysis, for PB (a.) and for SLL (b.).



Figure 2: Monthly average oxygen isotopic composition of precipitation at PB (black) and SLL (grey). For each site, dashed lines represent the volume weighted average oxygen isotopic composition of the unsaturated zone profiles sampled in May 2006 for PB and May 2013 for SLL. Periods of recharge obtained from water budget are represented by horizontal bars.



Figure 3: Time series of water and isotope surface budget for the PB site from 2002 to 2006: isotopic composition measured in precipitation (black and grey solid lines with monthly average as dashed lines), isotopic composition calculated in infiltrating water (red and orange), d-excess in precipitation (dashed grey line), measured precipitation (blue), calculated potential evapotranspiration (green) and infiltration into the unsaturated zone (red). See text for details.



Figure 4: Stable isotopic composition of water in precipitation (blue circles), in the unsaturated zone pore water (red triangles), and in groundwater (black square) for PB (a) and SLL (b). For each site, filled symbols correspond to volume weighted averages of individual empty data point. For each site, the Local Meteoric Water Lines (LMWL) and Global Meteoric Water Lines (GMWL) are represented by a black solid line and grey dashed line respectively.



Figure 5: Depth profiles of pore water oxygen isotopic composition (black) and volumetric water content (grey) at PB (a) and SLL (b). Vertical dashed lines correspond to volume weighted average isotopic composition in groundwater (black) and precipitation (blue). Annual or seasonal recharge is calculated through depth integration of water content in shaded areas. For PB, the modeled depth profile of isotopic composition (dashed line) is calculated from the hydro-isotopic surface budget and the 1D lumped parameter model (see text for details).



Figure 6: Depth profiles of Ic-excess in pore water at PB (a) and SLL (b). For PB, the modeled depth profile of Ic-excess (dashed line) is calculated from the hydro-isotopic surface budget and the 1D lumped parameter model (see text for details).