

# **Redox modifications of cysteine-containing proteins, cell cycle arrest and translation inhibition: Involvement in vitamin C-induced breast cancer cell death**

Nadine El Banna, Elie Hatem, Amélie Heneman-Masurel, Thibaut Léger, Dorothée Baïlle, Laurence Vernis, Camille Garcia, Sylvain Martineau, Corinne Dupuy, Stéphan Vagner, et al.

# **To cite this version:**

Nadine El Banna, Elie Hatem, Amélie Heneman-Masurel, Thibaut Léger, Dorothée Baïlle, et al.. Redox modifications of cysteine-containing proteins, cell cycle arrest and translation inhibition: Involvement in vitamin C-induced breast cancer cell death. Redox Biology, 2019, 26, pp.101290. 10.1016/j.redox.2019.101290. hal-02389344

# **HAL Id: hal-02389344 <https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-02389344v1>**

Submitted on 2 Dec 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/22132317)

# Redox Biology

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/redox](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/redox)

Research Paper

# Redox modifications of cysteine-containing proteins, cell cycle arrest and translation inhibition: Involvement in vitamin C-induced breast cancer cell death

Nadine El Banna<sup>a</sup>, Elie Hatem<sup>a</sup>, Amélie Heneman-Masurel<sup>a</sup>, Thibaut Léger<sup>b</sup>, Dorothée Baïlle<sup>a</sup>, Laurence Vernis<sup>a</sup>, Camille Garcia<sup>b</sup>, Sylvain Martineau<sup>a</sup>, Corinne Dupuy<sup>c</sup>, Stéphan Vagner<sup>a</sup>, Jean-Michel Camadro<sup>b</sup>, Meng-Er Huang<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Institut Curie, PSL Research University, CNRS UMR 3348, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France*

<sup>b</sup> *Institut Jacques Monod, CNRS UMR 7592, Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, Université Paris Diderot, Paris, France*

<sup>c</sup> *Institut Gustave Roussy, CNRS UMR 8200, Université Paris-Sud, Villejuif, France*

# ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:* Vitamin C Ascorbic acid Dehydroascorbic acid Breast cancer Oxidative stress Redoxome

# ABSTRACT

Vitamin C (VitC) possesses pro-oxidant properties at high pharmacologic concentrations which favor repurposing VitC as an anti-cancer therapeutic agent. However, redox-based anticancer properties of VitC are yet partially understood. We examined the difference between the reduced and oxidized forms of VitC, ascorbic acid (AA) and dehydroascorbic acid (DHA), in terms of cytotoxicity and redox mechanisms toward breast cancer cells. Our data showed that AA displayed higher cytotoxicity towards triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell lines *in vitro* than DHA. AA exhibited a similar cytotoxicity on non-TNBC cells, while only a minor detrimental effect on noncancerous cells. Using MDA-MB-231, a representative TNBC cell line, we observed that AA- and DHA-induced cytotoxicity were linked to cellular redox-state alterations. Hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$  accumulation in the extracellular medium and in different intracellular compartments, and to a lesser degree, intracellular glutathione oxidation, played a key role in AA-induced cytotoxicity. In contrast, DHA affected glutathione oxidation and had less cytotoxicity. A "redoxome" approach revealed that AA treatment altered the redox state of key antioxidants and a number of cysteine-containing proteins including many nucleic acid binding proteins and proteins involved in RNA and DNA metabolisms and in energetic processes. We showed that cell cycle arrest and translation inhibition were associated with AA-induced cytotoxicity. Finally, bioinformatics analysis and biological experiments identified that peroxiredoxin 1 (*PRDX1*) expression levels correlated with AA differential cytotoxicity in breast cancer cells, suggesting a potential predictive value of *PRDX1*. This study provides insight into the redox-based mechanisms of VitC anticancer activity, indicating that pharmacologic doses of VitC and VitC-based rational drug combinations could be novel therapeutic opportunities for triple-negative breast cancer.

# **1. Introduction**

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) homeostasis and intracellular reductive/oxidative (redox) dynamics play a key role in regulating cell viability or death. Mounting evidence has established that defects in redox signaling and oxidative stress are at the crux of various pathological processes. Notably, one of the significant features of cancer cells, when compared to their normal counterparts, is a persistent pro-oxidative state. In cancer cells, cellular events such as activation of oncogenes, aberrant metabolic stress and mitochondrial dysfunction can increase ROS levels, leading to intrinsic oxidative stress [1,2]. Therefore, the administration of a ROS-generating agent or antioxidant inhibitor could increase ROS levels to a threshold that is incompatible with viability, selectively and preferentially affecting cancer cells [1–3].

Vitamin C (VitC) is an essential nutrient used as a co-factor by numerous biosynthetic enzymes. It exists in the parental reduced form or ascorbic acid (AA, or  $\text{AscH}_2$ ) and the oxidized forms, encompassing the ascorbate radical (Asc'<sup>-</sup>) and the dehydroascorbic acid (DHA). At physiological pH (7.0), the dominant species for VitC is ascorbate

\* Corresponding author. CNRS UMR3348, Institut Curie, Bâtiment 110, Centre Universitaire, 91405, Orsay, France. *E-mail address:* [meng-er.huang@curie.fr](mailto:meng-er.huang@curie.fr) (M.-E. Huang).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2019.101290>

Received 27 June 2019; Received in revised form 28 July 2019; Accepted 31 July 2019 Available online 02 August 2019 2213-2317/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).









monoanion (AscH<sup>-</sup>, 99.9%), with low concentrations of AscH<sub>2</sub> (0.1%) and ascorbate dianion (Asc<sup>2−</sup>, 0.005%) [4]. The ability to donate one or two electrons makes ascorbate (AscH−) an excellent reducing agent and antioxidant. At millimolar levels (pharmacologic concentrations), AA becomes a ROS-generating molecule. In fact, a small portion of AA, via the ascorbate dianion (Asc<sup>2−</sup>), can undergo limited pH-dependent auto-oxidation that can lead to superoxide anion  $(O_2^-)$  formation according to the following reaction: Asc<sup>2−</sup> + O<sub>2</sub> → Asc<sup>−</sup> + O<sub>2</sub> <sup>−</sup> [4,5]. Superoxide anions subsequently dismute to produce  $H_2O_2$  $(O_2^{\sim} + O_2^{\sim} + 2H^+ \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2)$ . Importantly, in the presence of redox active metal ions, AA oxidation is further accelerated and amplified as ascorbate (AscH−) can exert an additional pro-oxidant effect. AscH<sup>-</sup> donates one electron to metals, that can reduce ferric (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) to ferrous (Fe $^{2+}$ ) iron for example, while being oxidized to ascorbate radical (AscH<sup>-</sup> + Fe<sup>3+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Asc<sup> $-$ </sup> + Fe<sup>2+</sup> + H<sup>+</sup>). Fe<sup>2+</sup> can readily react with O<sub>2</sub>, reducing it to superoxide anion  $(O_2 + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow O_2 - Fe^{3+})$ , which in turn dismutes to  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2$  [4,5]. These mechanisms act to produce a high flux of  $H_2O_2$  at pharmacologic concentrations of AA. In a classic Fenton reaction,  $Fe^{2+}$  reacts with  $H_2O_2$  to generate  $Fe^{3+}$  and the highly reactive hydroxyl radical.

 $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  generated in the extracellular medium or extracellular fluids appears to be the central player for pro-oxidant properties of AA at pharmacologic concentrations, achievable in cell culture experiments, and *in vivo* by intravenous administration. Extracellular  $H_2O_2$  readily diffuses into cells; if not removed, it can lead to oxidative damage to proteins, lipids, and DNA. On the other hand, it is expected that AA, upon import through plasma membranes via sodium-dependent VitC transporters (SVCTs), can generate intracellular  $H_2O_2$  directly by the same metals-catalyzed reactions described above. In accordance, AA cytotoxicity was observed in a number of studies *in vitro* and *in vivo* models on cancer cells from different origins without adversely affecting normal cells [6–8]. Such cytotoxicity was also dependent on redox metal supply such as iron [9]. VitC anticancer effects driven by its DHA form were also reported [10]. Yun et al. observed that VitC was oxidized to DHA in cell culture media lacking reducing agents, and was subsequently imported into human colon cancer cells harboring oncogenic *KRAS* or *BRAF* mutations by overexpressed GLUT1 glucose transporter. Following import, DHA is reduced to AA at the expense of glutathione (GSH) and NADPH. Increased DHA uptake leads to GSH oxidation, thus indirectly promoting endogenous ROS accumulation and specific inactivation of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and consequently, impairing glycolysis and inducing cancer cell death [10].

Several studies addressed the question regarding VitC selective cytotoxicity toward cancer cell lines. Differential ability to metabolize H2O2 between normal and pancreatic cancer cells were shown to be determinant in AA effect on pancreatic cancer cells while sparing normal ones *in vitro* and *in vivo* [11]. In addition, a positive correlation between the sodium-dependent VitC transporter 2 (SVCT2) expression and AA cytotoxicity were reported in breast cancer cells, cholangiocarcinoma cell lines and patient-derived xenografts [12–14]. Interestingly, a recent study showed that non-small-cell lung cancer and

glioblastoma cells are selectively sensitive to AA due to their altered redox-active iron metabolism, resulted from altered mitochondrial oxidative metabolism and increased levels of  $O_2$ <sup>--</sup> and  $H_2O_2$  [15]. The same team found similar benefits of pharmacological ascorbate in preclinical models of fibrosarcoma and liposarcoma [16]. Finally, different energy metabolisms between cancer and normal cells, known as the Warburg effect where cancer cells strongly depend on glycolysis for their energy and ATP production, render cancer cells far more vulnerable to glycolysis impairment by VitC than their normal counterparts [10,17,18].

Pharmacologic dose of AA enhanced chemosensitivity of ovarian cancer to carboplatin and paclitaxel and reduced toxicity of chemotherapy in mouse models [19]. AA also enhanced sensitivity to ionizing radiation by increasing  $H_2O_2$ -mediated DNA damage in pancreatic cancer model [20,21], and in prostate cancer cells while sparing normal cells from radiotoxicity [22]. Clinical studies revealed that pharmacologic doses of AA were well tolerated and increased the efficacy of conventional radio-chemotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer and glioblastoma patients [15], and in pancreatic cancer patients [23].

These recent studies reflect a regained interest in VitC anticancer activity. However, VitC redox-based anticancer mechanisms warrant further investigation. Notably, which form of VitC exhibits the higher anticancer activity? Is this effect cell-type dependent? What are the factors that condition cellular sensitivity to VitC? What are the key intermediates ( $H_2O_2$  or GSH oxidation) that lead to cell death? And which proteins or pathways are key targets of VitC-mediated oxidation? In this study we provide answers to these questions using breast cancer model.

## **2. Materials and methods**

# *2.1. Cell culture*

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell lines MDA-MB-231 and HTB-26, human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) and human dermal fibroblasts (PCS-201-012) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection. Human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC) were purchased from Lonza. Additional breast cancer cell lines were obtained from the Translational Research Department of the Institut Curie (Paris, France). MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, MDA-MB-436, BT-549, HCC-1937, BT-20, MDA-MB-453, MDA-MB-134, MDA-MB-415, HUVEC and fibroblasts cells were grown in DMEM (Eurobio) supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1X non-essential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. HCC-1187, HCC-1954, T47D, HCC-1428 were grown in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. HMEC were grown in mammary epithelial basal medium (MEBM) supplemented with MEGM SingleQuots (Lonza) (containing bovine pituitary extract, hydrocortisone, hEGF, insulin and gentamicin/amphotericin-B), 10% FBS and 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells are incubated in humidified atmosphere at 37 °C with 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in air and were routinely checked for the absence

of mycoplasma. Cell lines were authenticated using standard DNA microsatellite short tandem repeat (STR) method.

# *2.2. Drugs and reagents*

L-ascorbic acid (AA), L-dehydroascorbic acid (DHA), N-ethylmaleimide (NEM), crystal violet, N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC), glutathione, DMSO, dithiothreitol (DTT), hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ , catalase, diamide, trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and cycloheximide were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), 6-carboxy-2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (carboxy-H2DCFDA), 5-bromo-2′-deoxy-uridine (BrdU) and propidium iodide (PI) were from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The following antibodies were used: anti-PRDX3 (Abcam), anti-GFP (Life Technologies), anti-β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich), anti-PRDX1 (Cell Signaling Technology), FITC Mouse anti-BrdU (BD Biosciences).

# *2.3. ROS assessment, H2O2 detection and H2O2 quantification*

General ROS were assessed using carboxy- $H_2$ DCFDA staining and a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) and data were analyzed using Flowjo software. For compartment-specific  $H_2O_2$  detection, mammalian expression vectors encoding HyPer targeted to nucleus (with a nuclear localization signal), and mitochondria (with a mitochondrial matrix localization signal) were purchased from Evrogen. HyPer targeted to the cytosol with a nuclear export signal and HyPer targeted to the membrane using the membrane-targeting signal in protein GAP-4 were constructed in our laboratory. Cells were transfected with HyPer expressing vectors using jetPRIME reagents (Polyplus Transfection). Further experiments were performed 24 h after transfection. Analyses of oxidized and reduced forms of sensor by redox Western blot were performed according to Ref.  $[24]$ .  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  concentration in the medium was quantified using the Amplex Red Hydrogen Peroxide/Peroxidase Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The fluorescence was measured in a microplate reader (Victor3; PerkinElmer).  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  concentration in the growth medium (μM) was quantified using  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  standard calibration curves.

## *2.4. Quantitative redoxome analysis*

Cells were subjected to different treatments and proteins were extracted. Purification of these proteins was performed according to a published protocol with slight modifications [25]. Protein digests were analyzed in triplicate with an Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid equipped with an EASY-Spray ion source. Label-free liquid-chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) acquisition was performed. Peptides from MS/MS data were processed with Proteome Discoverer Software (v 2.1) using Sequest search node. All results were 1% FDR filtered before exporting. The resulting files were imported into Progenesis-Qi software for report edition. Variations of protein abundance were considered as significant if their Anova *P* values were < 0.05. Details of the protocol and analysis are described in Supplementary Materials and Methods and Supplementary Fig. 1. The proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [26] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD013833.

Ingenuity Pathways Analysis software (IPA, QIAGEN) was used to classify genes onto canonical pathways, cellular and molecular functions and networks. Pathways enriched with a  $P < 0.05$  were considered to be statistically significant. More details on IPA are found online ([http://www.ingenuity.com\)](http://www.ingenuity.com). Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) software [\(https://](https://david.ncifcrf.gov) [david.ncifcrf.gov](https://david.ncifcrf.gov), Version 6.8) was used to determine and represent functional protein classes and biological processes enriched from Gene ontology (GO) database. Functional annotations with  $P < 0.05$  (EASE score) (modified Fisher's exact test) were selected.

## *2.5. BrdU incorporation and cell cycle analysis*

BrdU incorporation and DNA staining with PI were performed using the acid denaturation method as described previously [27]. All samples were analyzed by a FACSCanto flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson) and data were analyzed using Flowjo software.

# *2.6. Translation studies*

After different treatments, cells were incubated in growth media containing 100 μg/cycloheximide for 15 min at 37 °C in order to stop ribosomal elongation. After being washed with ice-cold 1X PBS supplemented with 100 μg/ml cycloheximide, cells were scraped and harvested into ice-cold 1X PBS supplemented with 100 μg/ml cycloheximide and pelleted by centrifugation (3000 rpm for 5 min at 4 °C). The pellets were resuspended in 400 μl of LSB buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl,  $3 \text{ mM } MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $1 \text{ mM } DTT$ ,  $100 \mu g/ml$  of cycloheximide, and 100 U/ml of RNAsin) and lysed by adding 400 μl of LSB buffer containing 2.4% Triton X-100 and 0.5 M sucrose. The lysates were centrifuged (12000 g for 5 min at 4 °C), and the supernatants were recovered and adjusted with additional 50 μl of LSB buffer containing NaCl and  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$  with quantities that enable final concentrations of 50 mM NaCl and 7 mM  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ . Then, supernatants were layered onto 15–50% sucrose gradients in LSB buffer, centrifuged (38,000 rpm for 2 h at 4 °C) and 18 fractions were collected with a fraction collector. For nonpolysomal RNA (fractions 1 to 8, containing ribosomal RNAs present in 40S, 60S, and 80S ribosomal complexes, and free mRNAs) and polysomal RNA (fractions 9 to 18, containing mRNAs bound to more than one ribosome), the optical density of these fractions (OD 254 nm) were determined.

# *2.7. Transcriptomic data analysis*

Genes expression data were extracted from two publicly accessible transcriptomic datasets: NCI-60 CellMiner Database [\(https://discover.](https://discover.nci.nih.gov/cellminer/) [nci.nih.gov/cellminer/D](https://discover.nci.nih.gov/cellminer/)atabase Version: 2.1) and affymetrix normalized data for breast cancer cell lines of the Institut Curie collection ([http://microarrays.curie.fr/publications/recherche\\_translationnelle/](http://microarrays.curie.fr/publications/recherche_translationnelle/plateforme_genomique/) [plateforme\\_genomique/](http://microarrays.curie.fr/publications/recherche_translationnelle/plateforme_genomique/)). Using NCI-60 CellMiner Database, each gene transcript Z-score (input HUGO name) and gene name were used as input identifier. The data file retrieved contained the average  $log<sub>2</sub>$  intensity and Z-score of each gene expression in 60 cell lines. Using the Institut Curie database, gene mRNA expression levels  $(log<sub>2</sub>)$  for 29 breast cancer cell lines were retrieved.

#### *2.8. Miscellaneous methods*

MTT-based cell viability assay was performed as previously described [28]. For colony formation assays, cells were plated in 6-well plates in a density of 1000/well and treated under defined conditions and then further cultured for 14 days. Afterwards, colonies were fixed by ethanol, stained with crystal violet solution and counted using ImageJ software. For siRNA transfection, cells were transfected with 20 nM siRNA (ON-TARGET plus SMART pool siRNA or control siRNA, Dharmacon) using INTERFERin reagents (Polyplus Transfection). Further experiments were performed 48 h after transfection. Classical western blots and redox western blots were performed as described previously [24]. Total cellular glutathione levels (GSH + GSSG), GSSG (oxidized form) and GSH/GSSG ratios were assessed using GSH/GSSG-Glo™ Assay (Promega). Luminescence was read using TriStar<sup>2</sup> LB 942 Modular Monochromator Multimode Reader (Berthold technologies). Data were presented by GSH/GSSG ratios.

#### *2.9. Statistical analysis*

Statistical significance of each data set was analyzed using adequate



*(caption on next page)*

**Fig. 1. Sensitivity of TNBC, non-TNBC and noncancerous cells toward AA and DHA.** (**A-B**) Seven TNBC cell lines were treated with AA (**A**) or DHA (**B**) ranging from 0 to 10 mM for 24 h. Cell viability (%) relative to non-treated condition was measured with MTT assay for each cell line. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of at least 6 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test. (**C**) MDA-MB-231 cells were treated with AA or DHA for 24 h. Cell viability (%) relative to non-treated condition was measured by MTT assay (left panel). Data are means  $\pm$  SD of at least 6 independent experiments. Representative images of colonies formation of MDA-MB-231 cells after AA or DHA treatments for 24 h are shown (right panel). (**D**) Six non-TNBC cells were treated with AA ranging from 0 to 10 mM for 24 h. Cell viability (%) relative to non-treated condition was measured with MTT assay. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of at least 6 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test. (**E**) HMEC, normal human dermal fibroblasts and HUVEC were treated with AA ranging from 0 to 10 mM for 24 h. Cell viability (%) relative to non-treated condition was measured with MTT assay for each cell line. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of 4 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by ordinary two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. \*\*\*\*, *P* < 0.0001.

statistical tests available in the GraphPad Prism 7 software and specified in the body of manuscript and figure legends. Data were presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). Dose-response modeling, halfmaximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) determination from MTT cell viability assays and Spearman's correlation analyses were also performed using the GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software, Inc). Wherever possible, exact *P* values are given and  $P < 0.05$  were considered statistically significant.

# **3. Results**

# *3.1. AA shows higher cytotoxicity toward breast cancer cells than DHA without affecting noncancerous cells*

A panel of 7 TNBC cell lines was exposed to increasing concentrations of AA and DHA. MTT assays showed that TNBC cells displayed different sensitivity to AA treatment for 24 h (Fig. 1A). At pharmacologic concentrations, MDA-MB-231 cells, among others (HCC-1187, BT549, MDA-MB-468, HCC-1937 and BT20), were highly sensitive to AA ranging from 7 mM to 10 mM (4–20% viability at 10 mM AA); while MDA-MB-436 showed more resistance (~70% viability at 10 mM AA). Less cytotoxicity was observed with DHA for all TNBC cells in the same treatment conditions. Only 3 cell lines (HCC-1187, BT20 and MDA-MB-231) were sensitive to 10 mM DHA treatment for 24 h (7–40% viability) (Fig. 1B). Upon AA and DHA treatments for 24 h, MTT assays and clonogenic assay confirmed that VitC-induced cytotoxicity was associated with a loss of the ability to form colonies and that AA displayed stronger cytotoxicity than DHA (Fig. 1C). In addition, we determined the sensitivity of 6 non-TNBC cell lines to AA-treatment for 24 h (Fig. 1D). Five of them (MDA-MB-134, T47D, HCC-1428, MDA-MB-415 and MDA-MB-453) were sensitive to 10 mM AA treatment (5–35% viability) while HCC-1954 showed more resistance (~80% viability). Finally, noncancerous cell lines including HMEC, human dermal fibroblasts and HUVEC showed a high survival rate even at 10 mM AA treatment (60–80%) (Fig. 1E).

# *3.2. H2O2 generation and GSH oxidation underlie AA-induced cytotoxicity while GSH oxidation plays a partial role in DHA-induced lower cytotoxicity*

In order to understand the mechanism of action of AA and DHA, we used MDA-MB-231 cells, one representative TNBC cell line that is commonly studied in literature, to evaluate the redox alteration induced by VitC treatments. For this purpose, two concentrations of VitC were further used, the non-cytotoxic 2.5 mM and the cytotoxic 10 mM. Since DHA was shown to be responsible for GSH oxidation upon increased uptake through the glucose transporter GLUT1 in colorectal cancer model [10], we first determined the reduced and oxidized levels of this main cellular antioxidant after AA and DHA treatments in MDA-MB-231 cells. As shown in Fig. 2A, a significant decrease in GSH/GSSG ratios, indicating GSH oxidation, is observed after 2-h treatment with 10 mM AA or DHA but not with 2.5 mM. Next, we performed a general ROS assessment using carboxy-H2DCFDA. A 2-fold increase in fluorescence intensity was detected upon treatment for 4 h with 10 mM but not with 2.5 mM AA (Fig. 2B). Co-treatment with 10 mM AA and either catalase or GSH abolished the increase in the fluorescence intensity



**Fig. 2. Glutathione oxidation and ROS generation in response to AA and DHA treatments in MDA-MB-231 cells.** (**A**) GSH and GSSG quantities were assessed in non-treated cells and cells treated with 2.5 or 10 mM AA or DHA for  $\frac{1}{2}$  hour and 2 h. Treatment with 250 μM diamide for 10 min was used as a positive control (PC). GSH/GSSG ratio value in non-treated cells is set as 1 and relative GSH/GSSG ratios calculated in treated conditions are represented as means ± SD of 4 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. (**B**) General intracellular ROS was assessed by flow cytometry using carboxy-H2DCFDA probes in non-treated cells (NT), cells treated with 2.5 or 10 mM AA or DHA for 4 h (upper panel), cells treated with 10 mM AA, 10 mM AA plus 2000 U/ml catalase or 10 mM AA plus 10 mM GSH (lower panel). Treatment with 100 μM  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  for 20 min was used as a positive control (PC). Mean fluorescence value in non-treated MDA-MB-231 cells is set as 1 and relative fluorescence intensity is represented. Data are means  $\pm$  SD of 4 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. (**C**) MTT assay was performed on cells treated with 10 mM AA or DHA alone or in the presence of 2000 U/ml catalase, 10 mM NAC or 10 mM GSH for 24 h. Data are means ± SD of at least 6 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. \*\*\*\*, *P* < 0.0001; \*\*,  $P$  < 0.01;  $*$ ,  $P$  < 0.05.

observed. In contrast, 10 mM DHA did not result in change in fluorescence intensity that was not affected either by the presence of catalase or GSH (Fig. 2B). This indicates that cytotoxic dose of AA treatment, but not DHA, induces general intracellular ROS accumulation.

We then questioned the link between these redox alterations and VitC cytotoxicity. Noticeably, AA-induced cytotoxicity in MDA-MB-231 cells could be totally or largely suppressed by the presence of either catalase, NAC or GSH (Fig. 2C). NAC serves as a prodrug to L-cysteine which is a precursor to GSH. On the other hand, DHA-induced cell death was not rescued by catalase, while partially by GSH or NAC, with mean cell viability 58% and 61% respectively. These observations indicate the role of  $H_2O_2$  generation and GSH oxidation in AA cytotoxicity and a partial implication of GSH oxidation in DHA cytotoxicity.

To further verify the generation of  $H_2O_2$  in AA-treated condition, we used Amplex-Red Kit to measure  $H_2O_2$  concentration in the medium. After 10 mM AA treatment for 5 min,  $\frac{1}{2}$  hour and 2 h,  $H_2O_2$  concentrations in the medium with or without MDA-MB-231 cells increased more than 20 times (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, the co-treatment by AA and catalase abolished  $H_2O_2$  accumulation, validating the extracellular generation of  $H_2O_2$  by AA. Next, we used genetically encoded HyPer sensors addressed to several cellular compartments in MDA-MB-231 cells to determine whether  $H_2O_2$  accumulated intracellularly in one or more cellular compartments. HyPer sensors specifically react with H2O2 [29,30]. Cytoplasm-localized HyPer oxidation was visible in presence of 10 mM AA for 5 min, ½ hour and 2 h, indicating a continuous  $H_2O_2$  accumulation in the cytoplasm of the cells upon AA treatment (Fig. 3B). The same results were obtained with nucleus-, mitochondrial matrix- and membrane-targeted HyPer. Furthermore, cotreatment with AA and catalase abolished the oxidation of HyPer. Finally, the redox states of two compartment-specific antioxidant proteins, cytoplasmic PRDX1 and mitochondrial PRDX3, were analyzed by redox Western blot. Cytotoxic dose of AA (10 mM) increased PRDX3 oxidation (50–60% dimer) and PRDX1 oxidation (~10% dimer) after 5 min, ½ hour and 2 h of treatment, indicating mitochondrial and cytosolic  $H_2O_2$  accumulation (Fig. 3C). These data are in agreement with an important role of  $H_2O_2$  in AA-induced cytotoxicity.

# *3.3. AA treatment alters the redox state of cysteine-containing proteins*

Our observations described above linked AA cytotoxicity to extracellular and intracellular  $H_2O_2$  accumulation and showed the redox state alteration of some cysteine-containing antioxidants upon AA treatment. As many proteins with highly reactive cysteine residues are implicated in different critical pathways and could be impacted by

 $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ , we decided to examine the alterations of the redoxome, the oxidized proteome of cysteine-containing proteins, by redox mass spectrometry approach [31,32]. Using a biotin switch approach that allows the enrichment of proteins containing oxidized thiols [25], we compared the redoxome of non-treated and treated (10 mM AA, ½ hour) MDA-MB-231 cells in two independent biological replicates, each with three technical replicates (Supplementary Fig. 1). Upon short AA treatment, we expected to identify early events in cellular response to AA that might be linked to AA-induced cell death.

Quantitative proteomic analysis by LC-MS/MS allowed the identification of 2910 cysteine-containing proteins. Among them, 203 proteins (6.97%) were commonly identified in both biological experiments and exhibited a significant change in cysteine oxidation levels between non-treated and treated cells (over 1.5-fold in at least one of the two biological experiments with *P* < 0.05) (Supplementary Table 1). 38.42% of these proteins were more oxidized while 61.58% were more reduced in 10 mM AA-treated cells compared to the non-treated condition. The high proportion of more reduced proteins following AA treatment is intriguing but could be explained by the fact that intracellularly AA could not only generate  $H_2O_2$  but also act as a conventional reducing agent, generating both oxidized and reduced proteins upon AA treatment. Compartment-specific redox response could also be taken into account. Proteins in some compartments could be more oxidized while those in other compartments could be more reduced through antioxidant system response against the AA-induced  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  and oxidative stress, thus protecting some important cellular signaling pathways and proteins from oxidation.

As expected, antioxidant enzymes including glutathione S-transferase Mu 3 (GSTM3), γ-glutamylcyclotransferase (GGCT) and PRDX1 were among the identified oxidized targets of AA treatment (Supplementary Table 1), compromising antioxidant capacity. On the other hand, proteins belonging to the glycolysis and gluconeogenesis pathway (PYGM, PGK1), and tricarboxylic acid cycle (ACOT7, DLAT, FADS2), exhibited also a significant increase in oxidation upon AA treatment. Thus, energetic metabolism enzymes were also among the targets of AA, in accordance with the energetic crisis and metabolic alterations described in other studies [33]. Interestingly, several proteins implicated in DNA and RNA metabolism as well as in protein metabolism were oxidized in treated cells, including chromosome-associated kinesin KIF4A (accession number [O95239](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=search&db=protein&doptcmdl=genbank&term=O95239)), histone-binding protein RBBP4 (Q09028), ATP-dependent RNA helicase DHX8 (Q14562), U5 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein 40-kDa protein (Q96DI7), tRNA-splicing ligase RtcB homolog (Q9Y3I0), 26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit 3 (O43242), Cullin-4A (Q13619), E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RING2 (Q99496), E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase UBR4 (Q5T4S7), E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase XIAP (P98170), and E3 SUMO-protein ligase RanBP2 (P49792) (Supplementary Table 1). These data indicate that AA leads to the oxidation of thiol-containing proteins implicated in several essential cellular processes such as energy production, nucleic acid and protein metabolisms.

IPA software for enrichment analysis of these 203 proteins differentially oxidized between AA-treated and non-treated conditions revealed that glucocorticoid receptor signaling and EIF2 signaling pathways were among the top significant canonical pathways (Fig. 4A). The top five significantly enriched molecular and cellular pathways included cellular assembly and organization, cellular function and maintenance, RNA post-transcriptional modification, molecular transport and RNA trafficking (Fig. 4A). These proteins' main networks were dominated by RNA post-transcriptional modification, cancer, cell death and survival (Fig. 4A). Moreover, protein classification according to their GO biological processes in DAVID database fall into categories of cell-cell adhesion, rRNA processing, translational initiation, viral transcription, and cell proliferation, among others (Fig. 4B). Taken together, redoxome data seem to point to the possible effect of AA treatment on DNA replication and transcription/translation, among other pathways.



*(caption on next page)*

Fig. 3. Extracellular and intracellular H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> accumulation upon AA treatment in MDA-MB-231 cells. (A) Using Amplex-Red Kit, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration was measured in the medium in the presence of MDA-MB-231 cells, without treatment or treated with 10 mM AA alone, 10 mM AA plus 2000 U/ml catalase, for 5 min, 1/2 hour and 2 h. The same measurements were done on the medium without cells as controls. Quantifications are means ± SD of 3 independent experiments. (**B**) Cells transiently expressing respectively cytoplasm-, nucleus-, mitochondria- and cell membrane-targeted HyPer were not treated or treated with 10 mM AA alone or together with 2000 U/ml catalase for 5 min, 1/2 hour and 2 h. Treatment with 100 μM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 20 min was used as a positive control (PC). HyPer redox state was evaluated by redox Western blot. ox, oxidized form; red, reduced form. Graphs show the quantification of oxidized HyPer (%) *vs* total HyPer protein. Quantifications are means ± SD of 3 independent experiments. (**C**) Redox state of PRDX1 and PRDX3 was assessed using redox Western blot in cells without treatment or treated with 10 mM AA alone, 10 mM AA plus 2000 U/ml catalase, for 5 min,  $\frac{1}{2}$  hour and 2 h. Treatment with 100 µM  $H_2O_2$  for 20 min was used as a positive control (PC). ox, oxidized form; red, reduced form. Quantifications of oxidized PRDX1 or PRDX3 (%) *vs* total protein in MDA-MB-231 cells are means ± SD of 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. \*\*\*\*,  $P < 0.0001$ ; \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ ; \*\*, *P* < 0.01; \*, *P* < 0.05.

# *3.4. S-phase of cell cycle is affected by AA treatment*

As a first attempt, we performed cell cycle analysis on MDA-MB-231 cells upon AA treatment using BrdU. BrdU is a thymidine analogue which can be incorporated into the DNA during replication. BrdU staining thus allows to follow newly synthesized DNA together with total DNA staining by PI to measure total DNA content and assign the cells to the various stages of the cell cycle. Cells were left non-treated or treated with 10 mM AA for 2 h. Then, at various time points of posttreatment recovery (from 0 to 24 h), cells were pulse-labeled with BrdU followed by PI staining and FACS analysis. A significant decrease in BrdU incorporation was observed after 2 h of AA treatment, indicating an S-phase arrest when compared to non-treated cells (Fig. 5A and B). To support these data, cells were first labeled with BrdU, and then treated or not with 10 mM AA for 2 h before being analyzed by FACS at various time points during post-treatment recovery. Cells subjected to AA treatment showed an immediate and prolonged S-phase delay (Fig. 5C). Moreover, catalase efficiently prevented S-phase slowdown in both conditions of BrdU pulse, post and prior AA treatment (Fig. 5A–C), suggesting that  $H_2O_2$  production is causal in the inhibition of DNA replication. Taken together, these observations points to the possibility that the inhibition of DNA replication is one of the causes of AA cytotoxicity.

#### *3.5. Translation inhibition in response to AA treatment*

We next performed polysome profiling to monitor translation activity of mRNA in MDA-MB-231 cells upon AA treatment. Cellular RNAs were extracted and fractioned in a 15–50% sucrose gradient. When comparing nonpolysomal RNA and polysomal RNA quantities between non-treated cells and cells treated with 10 mM AA for 2 h, a significant decrease in polysomal RNA (62  $\pm$  5% *vs* 44  $\pm$  2%) accompanied with a significant increase in nonpolysomal RNA (38  $\pm$  5% *vs* 56  $\pm$  2%) occurred, reflecting a decrease of cellular translation activity upon AA treatment (Fig. 6A and B). Moreover, adding catalase (2000 U/ml) that rescued cell viability rescued partially the cellular translation activity as seen for polysomal RNA (44  $\pm$  2% in AA-treated cells *vs* 53  $\pm$  6% in AA plus catalase-treated cells) and for nonpolysomal RNA (38 ± 5% *vs*  $47 \pm 6\%$ ) (Fig. 6A and B). Taken together, these data suggest that the AA-induced inhibitory effect on mRNA translation contributes to AA cytotoxicity.

# *3.6. H2O2 scavenging system including PRDX1 is linked to variable cellular sensitivity to AA*

The different sensitivity to AA among TNBC and non-TNBC cells prompted us to identify gene and protein expressions that correlate with AA anticancer activity. Pattern comparison using the NCI-60 CellMiner web tool [34,35] was performed to assess the correlation between gene expression and AA cytotoxicity. Significant negative Pearson's correlations (meaning that the more a gene is expressed, the more cells are resistant to AA) were defined at  $r < -0.334$  based on a minimum of 35 informative cell lines, and *P* value < 0.05 in the absence of multiple comparisons. This analysis allowed us to identify 246 genes with transcript levels correlated negatively and significantly with AA cytotoxicity (Supplementary Table 2). *PTGR1* had the highest significant correlation value ( $r = -0.608$ ,  $P = 0$ ) and was already highlighted in our earlier work as a predictive biomarker for resistance of breast cancer cell lines to auranofin/VitC combinational cytotoxicity [28]. For AA alone, expressions of some antioxidant genes such as *TXNRD1* ( $r = -0.401$ ,  $P = 1.624 \times 10^{-3}$ ) and *PRDX1* ( $r = -0.384$ ,  $P = 2.678 \times 10^{-3}$ ) also correlated negatively with AA cytotoxicity.

We performed a pathway analysis using the IPA software for the 246 genes. NRF2-mediated oxidative stress response was the first significant canonical pathway enriched (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Top statistically significant molecular and cellular pathways for these genes included cellular movement and cell death and survival, among others. Finally, the top networks of these genes included cancer, cell death and survival and carbohydrate metabolism. Protein classification according to their GO biological processes in DAVID database highlighted a significant association with signal transduction, oxidation-reduction process, and response to oxidative stress, among others (Supplementary Fig. 2B). All these data directed us to conclude that oxidative stress response genes play a role in the resistance of cancer cells to  $H_2O_2$ -mediated cytotoxicity of AA.

We wanted to ascertain that, for these genes, the predicted negative correlation of their expression with AA activity according to NCI-60 database are indeed valid on breast cancer cell lines. We thus calculated IC50 of AA on 13 breast cancer cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 3) and correlated them with the retrieved gene expression data from the Institut Curie database. Fig. 7A shows *PRDX1* gene expression (mRNA) distribution of 29 breast cancer cell lines. A correlation between AA activity (log<sub>10</sub>IC50) and *PRDX1* expression levels (log<sub>2</sub>) was statistically significant and valid for most of the breast cancer cell lines studied (Spearman's correlation  $r = 0.5769$ ,  $P = 0.0425$ ) (Fig. 7B and C). By knocking down *PRDX1* gene, the highly resistant HCC-1954 became significantly more sensitive to 10 mM AA, MDA-MB-436 cells became significantly more sensitive to 5 or 10 mM AA (Fig. 7D and E), and MDA-MB-231 cells became significantly more sensitive to 2.5 mM AA (Fig. 7F). Consistently, we previously showed that *PRDX1* knockdown sensitizes HeLa and A549 cancer cells toward ROS-generating anticancer molecules [24,36]. More recently, other researchers reported inhibitory effect of *PRDX1*-knockout on growth of mammary tumor in mouse model, enhancing VitC cytotoxicity [37]. Finally, NCI-60 data also indicate that lung cancer cells that are mostly resistant to AA have high *PRDX1* expression (Supplementary Fig. 4). Further experiments on larger panel of cells in each cancer type are needed for achieve firm conclusions on the predictive value of *PRDX1* expression.

# **4. Discussion**

Anticancer effect of VitC at pharmacologic concentrations has been largely attributed to  $H_2O_2$  extracellular generation from AA [4,6–8,38,39]. However, one study reported that VitC selectively kills KRAS and BRAF mutant colorectal cancer cells by targeting GAPDH via DHA [10]. Here we showed that AA displayed much higher cytotoxicity than DHA in 13 breast cancer cell lines. Normal cells including HMEC, human dermal fibroblasts and HUVEC were much less affected by AA

# $\Delta$

B







# **Top GO Biological Processes**



**Fig. 4. Pathway, function and network analysis of the differentially oxidized cysteine-containing proteins identified by "redoxome" upon AA treatment.** The alterations of the oxidized proteome of cysteine-containing proteins, after 10 mM AA treatment for 1/2 hour in MDA-MB-231 cells were analyzed by mass spectrometry. 203 differentially oxidized proteins with  $P < 0.05$  and a fold change over 1.5 in at least one of the two biological experiments were identified between AA-treated and non-treated cells. (**A**) Significantly altered cysteine-containing proteins were mapped by the IPA analysis software. The top 5 significantly associated canonical pathways, molecular and cellular functions and networks of these proteins are represented. (**B**) Altered proteins were classified according to their GO biological process pathways in DAVID database. The top significantly (Fisher's modified test) associated biological processes are represented. \*\*,  $P < 0.01$ ; \*,  $P < 0.05$ .

 $\blacktriangle$ 

+/- AA treatment  $\rightarrow$  Recovery  $\rightarrow$  BrdU for 1 h  $\rightarrow$  PI staining  $\rightarrow$  FACS







BrdU for 1 h -> +/- AA treatment -> Recovery -> PI staining -> FACS



*(caption on next page)*

 $\mathsf{A}$ 

**Fig. 5. Effect AA treatment on cell cycles of MDA-MB-231 cells.** (**A**) Non-treated (NT) cells, cells treated for 2 h with 10 mM AA, 10 mM AA plus 2000 U/ml catalase were released in flesh medium. At various time points of post-treatment recovery (from 0 to 24 h), these cells were stained with BrdU for 1 h followed by PI staining and FACS analysis. Dot plots of samples from 0, 6 and 24 h post-treatment recovery are presented with values of the percentages (%) of the population in each phase of cell cycle (G0-G1, S, G2-M). (**B**) Histogram shows the percentages (%) of cells in G0-G1, S, G2-M phases at 0 h post-AA treatment as means  $\pm$  SD calculated from 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. \*, *P* < 0.05. (**C**) MDA-MB-231 cells were labeled with BrdU for 1 h and then subjected to three treatment conditions for 2 h: non-treatment (NT), treated with 10 mM AA, or 10 mM AA plus 2000 U/ml catalase. Cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry after PI staining at different time points during recovery in growth media (0, 6 or 24 h). Representative graphs of at least 3 experiments are shown.

treatment. While most of breast cancer cell lines were sensitive to AA similar to MDA-MB-231 cells, some cell lines, such as HCC-1954 and MDA-MB-436, were more resistant. AA-induced cytotoxicity toward MDA-MB-231 cells was entirely linked to extracellular  $H_2O_2$  generation and intracellular accumulation in different compartments together with GSH oxidation.

Since  $H_2O_2$  is the main player in AA cytotoxicity, we analyzed redoxome in MDA-MB-231 cells in order to reveal a general oxidation spectrum of cysteine-containing proteins in response to AA. The shorttime AA treatment (½ hour) unlikely affects basal protein abundance and therefore is less likely to bias protein oxidation data. Indeed, Western blot for several randomly selected individual proteins that showed a significant change in oxidation/reduction state of cysteines following AA treatments indicated no change in total protein abundance under the same condition as used in redoxome study (data not shown). As expected, AA oxidizes antioxidant proteins such as PRDX1

> **Fig. 6. Effect AA treatment on polysome gradient profiles of MDA-MB-231 cells.** (**A**) Non-treated (NT) cells, cells treated for 2 h with 10 mM AA, 10 mM AA plus 2000 U/ml catalase were incubated for 15 min with cycloheximide to stop ribosomal elongation. Cellular RNA was extracted and fractioned in a 15–50% sucrose gradient. Optical density profiles (OD 254 nm) of polysome gradient profiles are shown, and non-polysomal RNA corresponding to fractions 1 to 8 (containing ribosomal RNAs present in 40S, 60S, and 80S ribosomal complexes and free mRNAs) and polysomal RNA corresponding to fractions 9 to 18 (containing mRNAs bound to more than one ribosome) are indicated. (**B**) The areas under the curve (AUC) of the optical density profiles of nonpolysomal and polysomal RNA were measured, the percentage of non-polysomal or polysomal RNA relative to total was then calculated. Quantifications are represented in graphs as means  $\pm$  SD of 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance is assessed by ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. \*\*, *P* < 0.01; \*,  $P < 0.05$ .



B







Fig. 7. PRDX1 expression and its link to breast cancer cellular response to AA. (A) *PRDX1* mRNA expression patterns in log<sub>2</sub> values in 29 breast cancer cells retrieved from publicly available transcriptomic datasets of the Institut Curie breast cancer cell lines. Mean and median values are shown as solid and dashed lines, respectively. (**B**) Spearman's correlation analysis corresponding to *PRDX1* mRNA expression *vs* IC50 for AA on 13 breast cancer cell lines. IC50 values were calculated from MTT assays for cell viability. Spearman's correlation was performed using GraphPad software. The 7 TNBC cell lines are indicated by green symbols and the 6 non-TNBC cell lines by purple symbols. The best-fit line is in solid orange line and the 95% confidence bands of the best-fit line are indicated in blue lines. Mathematical parameters are represented next to the graphs. (**C**) logIC50 of AA for breast cancer cell lines and their *PRDX1* mRNA expression in log2 values. (**D-E-F**) MTT assay on HCC-1954, MDA-MB-436 and MDA-MB231 cells transiently transfected with PRDX1 specific siRNA or control siRNA for 48 h followed by treatments with different concentrations of AA for 24 h. The insert is Western blot to verify efficiency of PRDX1 knockdown in siRNA transfected cells. Statistical significance is assessed by two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test. \*\*\*\*, *P* < 0.0001.

and GGCT, very probably affecting the thioredoxin and glutathione scavenging systems. Furthermore, AA alters the redox state of many proteins implicated in the metabolic process, providing a rational explanation for a set of previously reported data that AA induced metabolic alterations, impairing glycolysis [10], pentose phosphate pathway, glycolysis and tricarboxylic acid cycle [18], impairing the Warburg metabolism and downregulating glucose transporters and pyruvate kinase M2 in cancer cells [33].

Several proteins involved in RNA metabolism and related pathways such as rRNA processing and translational initiation were significantly enriched in redoxome data upon AA treatment. Consistently, we showed a strong inhibitory effect of AA on mRNA translation in MDA-MB-231 cells, indicating that mRNA translation inhibition is one of the possible mechanisms responsible for oxidative stress-based AA cytotoxicity. This is in accordance with the fact that cells globally downregulate translation as quick adaptive response to stress [40]. In fact, cells resort to translational reprogramming toward selective translation of mRNAs encoding vital proteins, involved in survival and stress recovery, in an attempt to alleviate cellular burden under unfavorable conditions [41]. It is worth noting that since recognizing the important role played by deregulated translation in carcinogenesis [42], the repression or blockage of protein synthesis has gained a significant interest as a promising anticancer strategy [43,44]. Similarly, a set of proteins implicated in DNA metabolism and related cell proliferation pathway were found among the proteins with oxidized cysteine upon AA treatment. Indeed, cell cycle of MDA-MB-231 cells was impaired upon AA treatment for 2 h, suggesting potential involvement of DNA replication inhibition in AA cytotoxicty. It is shown that AA, via  $H_2O_2$ generation, induces time- and concentration-dependent genotoxic stress and DNA damage and activates the ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)/adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK) pathway, all of which inhibit the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway connected to cell proliferation, inducing cell death in ovarian cancer cells [19]. On the other hand, a direct impact of VitC and vitamin K3 combination on ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) was observed [45]. RNR activity was directly inhibited by the  $H_2O_2$ generated along with the limited electron supply upon thioredoxin and glutathione oxidation by the combination of the two molecules. RNR is essential for *de novo* production of deoxyribonucleotides that is highly needed in cancer cells, thus RNR could be the link between VitC, DNA replication impairement and cancer cell death [45].

The mechanism by which VitC kills cancer cells while sparing normal cells is not entirely clear. It could be linked to a differential ability to metabolize  $H_2O_2$  between normal and cancer cells [11], differential SVCT2 expression [14], or to cancer cells altered redox-active iron metabolism [15]. Our redoxome data and bioinformatics analysis indicate that AA-generated  $H_2O_2$  can target multiple pathways at the same time, which might be particularly deleterious for cancer cells that strongly rely on antioxidants and metabolic reprogramming for survival, contributing cytotoxic selectivity of AA in cancer *vs* normal cells.

Our bioinformatics analysis also revealed that proteins belonging to the antioxidant system or NRF2-mediated oxidative stress response are linked to variable cellular sensitivity to AA (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Precisely, *PRDX1* gene expression correlated significantly with the response of breast cancer cell lines to AA, as cells with higher *PRDX1* expression were more resistant to AA, and *PRDX1* knockdown enhanced cellular sensitivity to AA. PRDX1 is a key antioxidant that is directly involved in  $H_2O_2$  elimination, thus has a protective activity against AAgenerated  $H_2O_2$  and could indeed be linked to AA resistance. PRDX1 prediction value to AA treatment outcome warrants further validation in pre-clinical and clinical studies.

In summary, combined with previously reported data in the literature, this work provides insights on differential breast cancer cell line response toward AA and DHA treatments (Fig. 8). We first showed an extracellular generation of  $H_2O_2$  by AA, but also an intracellular accumulation of  $H_2O_2$ . Intracellular  $H_2O_2$  can be transported from the

extracellular medium by aquaporins or by passive diffusion across plasma cellular membranes [46] but also generated by intracellular AA upon import through SVCTs (mainly SVCT2) [47]. Although in breast cancer cells, AA may not be imported by SVCT2, but only to mitochondria via mitochondrial SVCT2 (mitSVCT2), AA can be oxidized extracellularly to DHA and then imported through glucose transporters to be reduced by GSH-dependent mechanisms to AA intracellularly [48], consuming intracellular GSH [49,50]. AA treatment was cytotoxic toward most of breast cancer cells tested and its higher cytotoxicity compared to DHA was mainly linked to  $H_2O_2$  generation. We identified that proteins involved in DNA replication, cell cycle progression and translations are among the principal targets of AA-induced cysteine redox alterations and could be linked to AA-induced cytotoxicity.

Clinical data demonstrate that plasma VitC concentrations even greater than 10 mM are achievable in humans without causing apparent adverse effects [51,52], and that pharmacological AA are well tolerated by patients and can increase the efficacy of conventinal radiotharapy and chemotherapy [15,23]. In our study, such AA concentrations were cytotoxic toward most of breast cancer cell lines without severely affecting normal cells, suggesting that VitC and VitC-based rational drug combinations could be novel therapeutic opportunities for triple-negative breast cancer and other cancers with similar redox properties.

## **Financial support**

This work was supported by the CNRS, the Institut Curie, the Institut National du Cancer SiRIC grant (INCa-DGOS-4645 to MEH), la Fondation ARC grant (PJA 20151203330 to MEH). NEB is supported by PhD program from the Université Paris-Saclay and by the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale grant (FDT201805005397), EH is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer and Crédit Agricole île de France Mécénat. LV is supported by INSERM.



**Fig. 8. A model for AA- and DHA-induced cytotoxicity in breast cancer** cells. Upon AA-treatment,  $H_2O_2$  is generated in the extracellular medium and then imported to breast cancer cells by aquaporins or by passive diffusion across plasma cellular membranes. Extracellularly generated  $H_2O_2$  appears to be the main source responsible for pro-oxidant properties of AA at pharmacologic concentrations. Although AA may not be imported to cells from the external environment by sodium-dependent VitC transporters (mainly SVCT2) in breast cancer, AA can be oxidized extracellularly into DHA and then imported through glucose transporters (mainly GLUT1). DHA is reduced to AA intracellularly by GSH-dependent mechanisms, consuming intracellular reduced glutathione. AA thus generated further enhances  $H_2O_2$  accumulation in different cellular compartments. A substantial increase in  $H_2O_2$  causes redox modifications of cysteine-containing proteins, including those involved in translation and cell cycle progression. These effects contribute to AA-induced cytotoxicity toward breast cancer cells.

#### **Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest**

The authors disclose on potential conflicts of interest.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank Fabien Reyal and Alice Pinheiro for kindly providing us some of the breast cancer cell lines used. We also thank Hélène Malka-Mahieu, Pierre-Marie Girard, Charlène Lasgi and Marie-Noëlle Soler for advice and technical assistance.

# **Appendix A. Supplementary data**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2019.101290) [doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2019.101290](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2019.101290).

# **References**

- [1] [C. Gorrini, I.S. Harris, T.W. Mak, Modulation of oxidative stress as an anticancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref1) [strategy, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 12 \(12\) \(2013\) 931–947.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref1)
- [2] [D. Trachootham, J. Alexandre, P. Huang, Targeting cancer cells by ROS-mediated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref2) [mechanisms: a radical therapeutic approach? Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 8 \(7\) \(2009\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref2) [579–591.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref2)
- [3] [L. Chaiswing, W.H. St Clair, D.K. St Clair, Redox paradox: a novel approach to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref3) [therapeutics-resistant cancer, Antioxid Redox Signal 29 \(13\) \(2018\) 1237–1272.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref3)
- [4] [J. Du, J.J. Cullen, G.R. Buettner, Ascorbic acid: chemistry, biology and the treat](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref4)[ment of cancer, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1826 \(2\) \(2012\) 443–457.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref4)
- [5] [A.J. Michels, B. Frei, Myths, artifacts, and fatal flaws: identifying limitations and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref5) [opportunities in vitamin C research, Nutrients 5 \(12\) \(2013\) 5161–5192.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref5)
- [6] [Q. Chen, M.G. Espey, A.Y. Sun, J.H. Lee, M.C. Krishna, E. Shacter, P.L. Choyke,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref6) [C. Pooput, K.L. Kirk, G.R. Buettner, et al., Ascorbate in pharmacologic concentra](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref6)[tions selectively generates ascorbate radical and hydrogen peroxide in extracellular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref6) [fluid in vivo, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104 \(21\) \(2007\) 8749–8754.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref6)
- [7] [Q. Chen, M.G. Espey, A.Y. Sun, C. Pooput, K.L. Kirk, M.C. Krishna, D.B. Khosh,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref7) [J. Drisko, M. Levine, Pharmacologic doses of ascorbate act as a prooxidant and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref7) [decrease growth of aggressive tumor xenografts in mice, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref7) [U.S.A. 105 \(32\) \(2008\) 11105–11109.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref7)
- [8] [M. Levine, M.G. Espey, Q. Chen, Losing and finding a way at C: new promise for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref8) [pharmacologic ascorbate in cancer treatment, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 47 \(1\) \(2009\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref8) .<br>[27–29.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref8)
- [9] [K.E. Brandt, K.C. Falls, J.D. Schoenfeld, S.N. Rodman, Z. Gu, F. Zhan, J.J. Cullen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref9) [B.A. Wagner, G.R. Buettner, B.G. Allen, et al., Augmentation of intracellular iron](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref9) [using iron sucrose enhances the toxicity of pharmacological ascorbate in colon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref9) [cancer cells, Redox Biol. 14 \(2018\) 82–87.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref9)
- [10] [J. Yun, E. Mullarky, C. Lu, K.N. Bosch, A. Kavalier, K. Rivera, J. Roper, Chio II,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref10) [E.G. Giannopoulou, C. Rago, et al., Vitamin C selectively kills KRAS and BRAF](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref10) [mutant colorectal cancer cells by targeting GAPDH, Science 350 \(6266\) \(2015\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref10) [1391–1396.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref10)
- [11] [C.M. Doskey, V. Buranasudja, B.A. Wagner, J.G. Wilkes, J. Du, J.J. Cullen,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref11) G.R. Buettner, Tumor cells have decreased ability to metabolize  $H_2O_2$ : implications [for pharmacological ascorbate in cancer therapy, Redox Biol. 10 \(2016\) 274–284.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref11)
- [12] [S.W. Hong, S.H. Lee, J.H. Moon, J.J. Hwang, D.E. Kim, E. Ko, H.S. Kim, I.J. Cho,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref12) [J.S. Kang, D.J. Kim, et al., SVCT-2 in breast cancer acts as an indicator for L-as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref12)[corbate treatment, Oncogene 32 \(12\) \(2013\) 1508–1517.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref12)
- [13] [C. Wohlrab, E. Phillips, G.U. Dachs, Vitamin C transporters in cancer: current un](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref13)[derstanding and gaps in knowledge, Front Oncol. 7 \(2017\) 74.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref13)
- [14] [C. Wang, H. Lv, W. Yang, T. Li, T. Fang, G. Lv, Q. Han, L. Dong, T. Jiang, B. Jiang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref14) [et al., SVCT-2 determines the sensitivity to ascorbate-induced cell death in cho](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref14)[langiocarcinoma cell lines and patient derived xenografts, Cancer Lett. 398 \(2017\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref14) [1–11.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref14)
- [15] [J.D. Schoenfeld, Z.A. Sibenaller, K.A. Mapuskar, B.A. Wagner, K.L. Cramer-Morales,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref15) M. Furqan, S. Sandhu, T.L. Carlisle, M.C. Smith, T. Abu Hejleh, et al.,  $O_2$ <sup>--</sup> and  $H_2O_2$ [mediated disruption of Fe metabolism causes the differential susceptibility of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref15) [NSCLC and GBM cancer cells to pharmacological ascorbate, Cancer Cell 32 \(2\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref15) [\(2017\) 268.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref15)
- [16] [J.D. Schoenfeld, Z.A. Sibenaller, K.A. Mapuskar, M.D. Bradley, B.A. Wagner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref16) [G.R. Buettner, V. Monga, M. Milhem, D.R. Spitz, B.G. Allen, Redox active metals](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref16) and  $\rm H_2O_2$  [mediate the increased efficacy of pharmacological ascorbate in combi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref16)[nation with gemcitabine or radiation in pre-clinical sarcoma models, Redox Biol. 14](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref16) [\(2018\) 417–422.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref16)
- [17] [H. Pelicano, D. Carney, P. Huang, ROS stress in cancer cells and therapeutic im](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref17)[plications, Drug Resist Updat. 7 \(2\) \(2004\) 97–110.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref17)
- [18] [M. Uetaki, S. Tabata, F. Nakasuka, T. Soga, M. Tomita, Metabolomic alterations in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref18) [human cancer cells by vitamin C-induced oxidative stress, Sci. Rep. 5 \(2015\) 13896.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref18)
- [19] [Y. Ma, J. Chapman, M. Levine, K. Polireddy, J. Drisko, Q. Chen, High-dose par](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref19)[enteral ascorbate enhanced chemosensitivity of ovarian cancer and reduced toxicity](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref19) [of chemotherapy, Sci. Transl. Med. 6 \(222\) \(2014\) 222ra218.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref19)
- [20] [J. Du, J.A. Cieslak 3rd, J.L. Welsh, Z.A. Sibenaller, B.G. Allen, B.A. Wagner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref20) [A.L. Kalen, C.M. Doskey, R.K. Strother, A.M. Button, et al., Pharmacological as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref20)[corbate radiosensitizes pancreatic cancer, Cancer Res. 75 \(16\) \(2015\) 3314–3326.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref20)
- [21] [J. Du, S.M. Martin, M. Levine, B.A. Wagner, G.R. Buettner, S.H. Wang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref21) [A.F. Taghiyev, C. Du, C.M. Knudson, J.J. Cullen, Mechanisms of ascorbate-induced](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref21) [cytotoxicity in pancreatic cancer, Clin. Cancer Res. 16 \(2\) \(2010\) 509–520.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref21)
- [22] [X. Wei, Y. Xu, F.F. Xu, L. Chaiswing, D. Schnell, T. Noel, C. Wang, J. Chen, D.K. St](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref22) [Clair, W.H. St Clair, RelB expression determines the differential effects of ascorbic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref22) [acid in normal and cancer cells, Cancer Res. 77 \(6\) \(2017\) 1345–1356.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref22)
- [23] [K. Polireddy, R. Dong, G. Reed, J. Yu, P. Chen, S. Williamson, P.C. Violet,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref23) [Z. Pessetto, A.K. Godwin, F. Fan, et al., High dose parenteral ascorbate inhibited](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref23) [pancreatic cancer growth and metastasis: mechanisms and a phase I/IIa study, Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref23) [Rep. 7 \(1\) \(2017\) 17188.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref23)
- [24] [T. He, E. Hatem, L. Vernis, M. Lei, M.E. Huang, PRX1 knockdown potentiates vi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref24)[tamin K3 toxicity in cancer cells: a potential new therapeutic perspective for an old](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref24) [drug, J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 34 \(2015\) 152.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref24)
- [25] [P. Liu, H. Zhang, H. Wang, Y. Xia, Identification of redox-sensitive cysteines in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref25) [Arabidopsis proteome using OxiTRAQ, a quantitative redox proteomics method,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref25) [Proteomics 14 \(6\) \(2014\) 750–762.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref25)
- [26] [Y. Perez-Riverol, A. Csordas, J. Bai, M. Bernal-Llinares, S. Hewapathirana,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref26) [D.J. Kundu, A. Inuganti, J. Griss, G. Mayer, M. Eisenacher, et al., The PRIDE da](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref26)[tabase and related tools and resources in 2019: improving support for quantification](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref26) [data, Nucleic Acids Res. 47 \(D1\) \(2019\) D442–D450.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref26)
- [27] [D. Graindorge, S. Martineau, C. Machon, P. Arnoux, J. Guitton, S. Francesconi,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref27) [C. Frochot, E. Sage, P.M. Girard, Singlet oxygen-mediated oxidation during UVA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref27) [radiation alters the dynamic of genomic DNA replication, PLoS One 10 \(10\) \(2015\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref27) [e0140645.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref27)
- [28] [E. Hatem, S. Azzi, N. El Banna, T. He, A. Heneman-Masurel, L. Vernis, D. Baille,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref28) [V. Masson, F. Dingli, D. Loew, et al., Auranofin/vitamin C: a novel drug combi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref28)[nation targeting triple-negative breast cancer, J Natl Cancer Inst. 111 \(6\) \(2019\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref28) [597–608.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref28)
- [29] [A.J. Meyer, T.P. Dick, Fluorescent protein-based redox probes, Antioxid Redox](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref29) [Signal 13 \(5\) \(2010\) 621–650.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref29)
- [30] [V.V. Belousov, A.F. Fradkov, K.A. Lukyanov, D.B. Staroverov, K.S. Shakhbazov,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref30) [A.V. Terskikh, S. Lukyanov, Genetically encoded fluorescent indicator for in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref30)[tracellular hydrogen peroxide, Nat. Methods 3 \(4\) \(2006\) 281–286.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref30)
- [31] [Y.M. Go, D.P. Jones, The redox proteome, J. Biol. Chem. 288 \(37\) \(2013\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref31) [26512–26520.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref31)
- [32] [Y.M. Go, J.D. Chandler, D.P. Jones, The cysteine proteome, Free Radic. Biol. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref32) [84 \(2015\) 227–245.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref32)
- [33] [O. Aguilera, M. Munoz-Sagastibelza, B. Torrejon, A. Borrero-Palacios, L. Del Puerto-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref33)[Nevado, J. Martinez-Useros, M. Rodriguez-Remirez, S. Zazo, E. Garcia, M. Fraga,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref33) [et al., Vitamin C uncouples the Warburg metabolic switch in KRAS mutant colon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref33) [cancer, Oncotarget 7 \(30\) \(2016\) 47954–47965.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref33)
- [34] [W.C. Reinhold, M. Sunshine, H. Liu, S. Varma, K.W. Kohn, J. Morris, J. Doroshow,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref34) [Y. Pommier, CellMiner: a web-based suite of genomic and pharmacologic tools to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref34) [explore transcript and drug patterns in the NCI-60 cell line set, Cancer Res. 72 \(14\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref34) [\(2012\) 3499–3511.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref34)
- [35] [U.T. Shankavaram, S. Varma, D. Kane, M. Sunshine, K.K. Chary, W.C. Reinhold,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref35) [Y. Pommier, J.N. Weinstein, CellMiner: a relational database and query tool for the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref35) [NCI-60 cancer cell lines, BMC Genomics 10 \(2009\) 277.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref35)
- [36] [T. He, A. Banach-Latapy, L. Vernis, M. Dardalhon, R. Chanet, M.E. Huang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref36) [Peroxiredoxin 1 knockdown potentiates beta-lapachone cytotoxicity through](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref36) [modulation of reactive oxygen species and mitogen-activated protein kinase signals,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref36) [Carcinogenesis 34 \(4\) \(2013\) 760–769.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref36)
- [37] [M. Bajor, A.O. Zych, A. Graczyk-Jarzynka, A. Muchowicz, M. Firczuk, L. Trzeciak,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref37) [P. Gaj, A. Domagala, M. Siernicka, A. Zagozdzon, et al., Targeting peroxiredoxin 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref37) [impairs growth of breast cancer cells and potently sensitises these cells to proox](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref37)[idant agents, Br J Cancer 119 \(7\) \(2018\) 873–884.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref37)
- [38] [Q. Chen, M.G. Espey, M.C. Krishna, J.B. Mitchell, C.P. Corpe, G.R. Buettner,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref38) [E. Shacter, M. Levine, Pharmacologic ascorbic acid concentrations selectively kill](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref38) [cancer cells: action as a pro-drug to deliver hydrogen peroxide to tissues, Proc. Natl.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref38) [Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 102 \(38\) \(2005\) 13604–13609.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref38)
- [39] [M. Levine, S.J. Padayatty, M.G. Espey, Vitamin C: a concentration-function ap](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref39)[proach yields pharmacology and therapeutic discoveries, Adv Nutr. 2 \(2\) \(2011\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref39) [78–88.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref39)
- [40] [E. de Nadal, G. Ammerer, F. Posas, Controlling gene expression in response to stress,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref40) [Nat. Rev. Genet. 12 \(12\) \(2011\) 833–845.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref40)
- [41] [B. Liu, S.B. Qian, Translational reprogramming in cellular stress response, Wiley](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref41) [Interdiscip Rev RNA 5 \(3\) \(2014\) 301–315.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref41)
- [42] [D. Silvera, S.C. Formenti, R.J. Schneider, Translational control in cancer, Nat. Rev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref42) [Cancer 10 \(4\) \(2010\) 254–266.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref42)
- [43] [L.M. Lindqvist, I. Vikstrom, J.M. Chambers, K. McArthur, M. Ann Anderson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref43) [K.J. Henley, L. Happo, L. Cluse, R.W. Johnstone, A.W. Roberts, et al., Translation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref43) [inhibitors induce cell death by multiple mechanisms and Mcl-1 reduction is only a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref43) [minor contributor, Cell Death Dis. 3 \(2012\) e409.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref43)
- [44] [M. Bhat, N. Robichaud, L. Hulea, N. Sonenberg, J. Pelletier, I. Topisirovic, Targeting](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref44) [the translation machinery in cancer, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 14 \(4\) \(2015\) 261–278.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref44)
- [45] [X. Ren, S.M. Santhosh, L. Coppo, F.T. Ogata, J. Lu, A. Holmgren, The combination](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref45) [of ascorbate and menadione causes cancer cell death by oxidative stress and re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref45)[plicative stress, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 134 \(2019\) 350–358.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref45)
- [46] [G.P. Bienert, J.K. Schjoerring, T.P. Jahn, Membrane transport of hydrogen peroxide,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref46) [Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1758 \(8\) \(2006\) 994–1003.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref46)
- [47] [H. Tsukaguchi, T. Tokui, B. Mackenzie, U.V. Berger, X.Z. Chen, Y. Wang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref47) [R.F. Brubaker, M.A. Hediger, A family of mammalian Na+-dependent L-ascorbic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref47) [acid transporters, Nature 399 \(6731\) \(1999\) 70–75.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref47)
- [48] [E. Pena, F.J. Roa, E. Inostroza, K. Sotomayor, M. Gonzalez, F.A. Gutierrez-Castro,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref48) [M. Maurin, K. Sweet, C. Labrousse, M. Gatica, et al., Increased expression of mi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref48)[tochondrial sodium-coupled ascorbic acid transporter-2 \(mitSVCT2\) as a central](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref48)

[feature in breast cancer, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 135 \(2019\) 283–292.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref48)

- [49] [J.C. Vera, C.I. Rivas, R.H. Zhang, C.M. Farber, D.W. Golde, Human HL-60 myeloid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref49) [leukemia cells transport dehydroascorbic acid via the glucose transporters and ac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref49)[cumulate reduced ascorbic acid, Blood 84 \(5\) \(1994\) 1628–1634.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref49)
- [50] [J.M. May, Z.C. Qu, D.R. Neel, X. Li, Recycling of vitamin C from its oxidized forms](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref50) [by human endothelial cells, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1640 \(2–3\) \(2003\) 153–161.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref50)
- [51] [C.M. Stephenson, R.D. Levin, T. Spector, C.G. Lis, Phase I clinical trial to evaluate](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref51)

[the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of high-dose intravenous ascorbic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref51) [acid in patients with advanced cancer, Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 72 \(1\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref51) [\(2013\) 139–146.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref51)

[52] [L.J. Hoffer, M. Levine, S. Assouline, D. Melnychuk, S.J. Padayatty, K. Rosadiuk,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref52) [C. Rousseau, L. Robitaille, W.H. Miller Jr., Phase I clinical trial of i.v. ascorbic acid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref52) [in advanced malignancy, Ann. Oncol. 19 \(11\) \(2008\) 1969–1974.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-2317(19)30749-9/sref52)