Parallel pattern of differentiation at a genomic island shared between clinal and mosaic hybrid zones in a complex of cryptic seahorse lineages
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#### Abstract

Diverging semi-isolated lineages either meet in narrow clinal hybrid zones, or have a mosaic distribution associated with environmental variation. Intrinsic reproductive isolation is often emphasized in the former and local adaptation in the latter, although both reduce gene flow between groups. Rarely are these two patterns of spatial distribution reported in the same study system. Here we report that the long-snouted seahorse Hippocampus guttulatus is subdivided into discrete panmictic entities by both types of hybrid zones. Along the European Atlantic coasts, a northern and a southern lineage meet in the southwest of France where they coexist in sympatry, -i.e. in the same geographical zone- with little hybridization. In the Mediterranean Sea, two lineages have a mosaic distribution, associated with lagoon-like and marine habitats. A fifth lineage was identified in the Black Sea. Genetic homogeneity over large spatial scales contrasts with isolation maintained in sympatry or close parapatry at a fine scale. A high variation in locus-specific introgression rates provides additional evidence that partial reproductive isolation must be maintaining the divergence. We find that fixed differences between lagoon and marine populations in the Mediterranean Sea belong to the most differentiated SNPs between the two Atlantic lineages, against the genome-wide pattern of structure that mostly follow geography. These parallel outlier SNPs cluster on a single chromosome-wide island of differentiation. Since Atlantic lineages do not map to lagoonsea habitat variation, genetic parallelism at the genomic island suggests a shared genetic barrier contributes to reproductive isolation in contrasting contexts -i.e. spatial vs. ecological. We discuss how a genomic hotspot of parallel differentiation could have evolved and become associated both with space and with a patchy environment in a single study system.
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## Introduction

The spatial context of contact zones between partially isolated taxa and their relationship with environmental variation was long thought to offer great promises to unravel the nature and origin of species. Though each taxon may be genetically homogeneous over large distances, they often meet in abrupt genetic discontinuities, called hybrid zones, in which partial reproductive isolation limits gene exchange (Barton and Hewitt 1985, Hewitt 1988). Hybrid zones are extremely informative for exploring the genetic basis of reproductive isolation (e.g. Teeter et al. 2008, Christe et al. 2016) and local adaptation (e.g. Jones et al. 2012, Larson et al. 2013, Soria-Carrasco et al. 2014), as well as identifying genomic regions involved either in increased genomic differentiation (Ravinet et al. 2017) or adaptive introgression (Hedrick 2013). The hybrid zone literature usually contrasts two spatial patterns (Harrison 1993): (i) clinal hybrid zones, with parapatrically distributed parental forms on both sides of a genetic divide, and (ii) mosaic hybrid zones, when the environment consists of a mosaic of habitat patches to which taxa (ecotypes, host races, hybridizing species) are somehow specialized. Contrasting with this long-standing dichotomy, hybrid zones of both types have now been recognized to be multifactorial and maintained by exogenous and endogenous diverging mechanisms (i.e. local adaptation and intrinsic reproductive isolation, respectively; Barton and Hewitt 1985, Bierne et al. 2011). Nonetheless, clinal hybrid zones still tend to be interpreted as being mainly maintained by intrinsic reproductive isolation evolved in allopatry before contact (the tension zone model, Barton and Hewitt 1985). Conversely, mosaic distributions suggest local adaptation occurs, and genomic regions of high genetic differentiation between habitats are often interpreted as evidence that repeated local
selection increased differentiation in a parallel fashion (Nosil and Feder 2012). This dichotomy, although just two combinations among a multitude (Kirkpatrick and Ravigné 2002), is anchored by emblematic study systems for which decades of research allow support for such interpretations. For instance, the hybrid zone between the mice Mus musculus musculus and M. m. domesticus in central Europe (Boursot et al. 1993) has been well demonstrated to be maintained by selection against hybrid genotypes (BrittonDavidian et al. 2005, Good et al. 2008) after secondary contact (Duvaux et al. 2011). Although the position of the hybrid zone was initially found to be associated with rainfall (Hunt and Selander 1973), local adaptation is not considered to contribute much to the isolation. At the other extreme, local adaptation of the same genetic variants has repeatedly allowed marine three-spined sticklebacks to evolve into a freshwater ecotype (Jones et al. 2012). Intrinsic selection is thought absent in the marine-freshwater sticklebacks system (e.g. Dalziel et al. 2012), although selection against hybrids can be strong and hybrids tend to reside in salinity ecotones (Vines et al. 2016). It would be misleading, however, to suggest the two alternative spatial contexts and relations to environmental variation may correspond to alternative routes to speciation (e.g. mutationorder vs. ecological speciation). The list of hybrid zones maintained both by local adaptation and intrinsic reproductive isolation is also long. Bombina toads (Szymura and Barton 1986), Gryllus crickets (Rand and Harrison 1989, Larson et al. 2014), or Mytilus mussels (Bierne et al. 2003) are well-known examples of mosaic hybrid zones maintained by exogenous and endogenous selection. However, parallel genetic divergence associated with contrasting environmental conditions (e.g. marine/freshwater, highland/lowland, host races) remains a strong hallmark of ecologically-driven divergence (Bierne et al.
2013). Given this -hybrid zone- context, in this paper we aim to provide an example of a genetic parallelism with a lack of apparent ecological convergence. However, as we discovered this pattern by serendipity in a newly studied complex of cryptic genetic backgrounds in a non-model system, we have to describe this system first.

We studied the population genetics of the long-snouted seahorse, Hippocampus guttulatus, across a large part of its geographic range. We developed an assay of 286 informative SNPs chosen from more than 2,500 SNPs identified in a population transcriptomic study (Romiguier et al. 2014). Hippocampus guttulatus displays poor dispersal abilities (e.g. site-fidelity, weak swimming performance, lack of dispersive stage) and inhabits fragmented coastal habitats along its distribution range (from the English Channel through the Mediterranean and Black Seas, Lourie and Vincent 2004). In addition, most populations are small and have patchy distributions. Given these biological characteristics, a strong genetic structure could have been expected. A very low genetic diversity was observed in H. guttulatus when compared to 75 non-model animal species (Romiguier et al. 2014), which could be related to the possibly low population size of this species. However, genetic differentiation proved to be very weak over very large geographic distances based on the two genetic studies conducted to date with microsatellite loci (e.g. from the United Kingdom to North of Spain, Woodall et al. 2015, or across the Cape Finisterre oceanographic barrier, López et al. 2015). Four welldifferentiated genetic clusters, each distributed over extended regions, were delineated by genetic discontinuities corresponding to usual delimitations between vicariant marine lineages (Woodall et al. 2015) - between the Iberian Peninsula and the Bay of Biscay in the North Eastern Atlantic, between the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea, and
between the Mediterranean and Black Seas. Although such genetic differentiation matches well with oceanographic barriers and was interpreted as spatial differentiation, this pattern is also concordant with the existence of reproductively isolated cryptic lineages, with boundaries that were trapped by exogenous barriers. In this latter interpretation, although the location of genetic breaks would be due to exogenous factors (e.g. temperature, salinity or oceanic fronts), the barrier to gene flow would mainly be driven by barrier loci that restrict gene flow on a large fraction of the genome (i.e. the coupling hypothesis; Bierne et al. 2011, Gagnaire et al. 2015, Ravinet et al. 2017). This hypothesis is receiving increasing support (e.g. Le Moan et al. 2016, Rougeux et al. 2016, Rougemont et al. 2016, Saarman et al. 2018) and could well explain the genetic structure observed in the long-snouted seahorse.

Using newly developed SNP-markers spread along the genome and a more extensive sampling along the $H$. guttulatus distribution range compared to Woodall et al. (2015) and López et al. (2015), we challenged the initial interpretation of barriers to dispersal against the alternative hypothesis of reproductive isolation between semiisolated genetic backgrounds coinciding with oceanographic barriers. We describe five cryptic semi-isolated lineages: two lineages in the Atlantic Ocean with a parapatric distribution, two lineages in the Mediterranean Sea with a patchy fine-grained environment association (lagoon vs. marine environments), and one in the Black Sea. We find that a shared genomic island of clustered outlier loci was involved both in the isolation between the two parapatric lineages in the Atlantic Ocean and between the marine and lagoon ecotypes in the Mediterranean Sea. Furthermore, the North Atlantic lineage was related to the lagoon ecotype at this genomic island, against the genome-wide
pattern of structure. However, the two Atlantic lineages inhabit both marine and lagoon habitats. We argue that the $H$. guttulatus complex could become one of a few systems where a clinal and a mosaic hybrid zone are observed concomitantly, and a valuable new counter-example that provides evidence of genetic parallelism in absence of ecological convergence.

## Materials and Methods



Figure 1 Sampling locations of Hippocampus guttulatus. Each study site is labeled as follow: 1Poole, United Kingdom, 2- Brest, France, 3- Le Croisic, France, 4- Ré Island, France, 5Arcachon, France, 6-Hossegor, France, 7- Coruña, Spain, 8- Vigo, Spain, 9- Portimāo, Portugal, 10- Faro (maritime site), Portugal, 11- Faro (lagoon site), Portugal, 12- Málaga, Spain, 13- Tossa de Mar, Spain, 14- Leucate, France, 15- Sète (maritime site), France, 16- Thau lagoon, France, 17- La Ciotat, France, 18- Le Brusc, France, 19- Cavalaire-sur-Mer, France, 20- Bizerte lagoon, Tunisia, 21- Naples, Italy, 22- Rovinj, Croatia, 23- Kalamaki, Greece, 24- Halkida, Greece, and 25- Varna, Bulgaria. Lagoon habitats are represented by triangles, lagoon-like habitats by diamonds and maritime habitats by circles. Red, blue, green, pink and turquoise symbols stand respectively for the North Atlantic, South Atlantic, Mediterranean Sea, Mediterranean lagoon,
and Varna cluster, all of them showing panmixia (solid lines). Habitat with the co-occurrence of two lineages is colored in violet (site 6) while habitats with admixed individuals are in yellow (20 and 24); dashed lines symbolized these contact zones along the distribution range.

## Sampling and DNA extraction

Hippocampus guttulatus samples were collected alive from 25 sites (Fig. 1) using a variety of methods (snorkeling, scuba diving, trawling nets, aquarium, donations). The dorsal fin of each individual was clipped using a non-lethal procedure (Woodall et al. 2012), before releasing back the individual into its natural habitat. In three sites (sites 14 , 18 and 19 in Fig. 1), dorsal fins were clipped from captive-bred seahorse held at the Mare Nostrum Aquarium, France, recently sampled in their natural habitats. Each individual sample was preserved and stored in $96 \%$ ethanol for subsequent genetic analyses.

Whole genomic DNA was extracted following either Woodall et al. (2015), López et al. (2015), using a standard CetylTrimethyl Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) Chloroform:Isoamyl alcohol (24:1) protocol (Doyle and Doyle 1987) or using the GenomiPhi kit (GE HealthCare) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Quality and quantity of DNA extraction was checked on an agarose gel, and normalized to $35 \mathrm{ng} \cdot \mu \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ using Qubit Fluorometric Quantitation (Invitrogen).

## Data mining for SNP markers

A set of 12,613 contigs was examined to identify SNPs. This included one mitochondrial contig (GenBank accession number: AF 192664) and 12,612 contigs from Romiguier et al. (2014). Briefly, Romiguier et al. (2014) produced high-coverage transcriptomic data (RNAseq) for six $H$. guttulatus from three locations (Le Croisic, Atlantic Ocean, France; Faro, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal; Thau lagoon, Mediterranean Sea, France), and two H. hippocampus from two locations (Sète, Mediterranean Sea, France;

Bizerte, Mediterranean Sea, Tunisia). De novo transcriptome assembly based on Illumina reads was performed using a combination of the programs ABySS (Simpson et al. 2009) and Cap3 (Huang and Madan 1999), then mapped to predicted cDNAs (contigs) with BWA (Li and Durbin 2009). Based on these 12,613 contigs, SNPs were identified using the bioinformatic pipeline described in Bouchemousse et al. (2016). SNPs were called with Read2SNPs (Gayral et al. 2013) and filtered out according to the following criteria to exclude: 1) SNPs showing more than two alleles, 2) SNPs failing to be sequenced in at least one location, 3) SNPs present in only one individual (i.e. singletons), 4) SNPs identified as paralogs using the paraclean option of Read2SNPs, and 5) SNPs closer than 20 bp from a contig extremity or an exon limit when blasted against the stickleback, cod and tilapia genomes. This resulted in 2,684 selected SNPs screened with the Illumina Assay Design Tool (ADT) software to select 384 SNPs on the basis of their quality index (ADT score $>0.6$ ). An Illumina BeadXpress ${ }^{\circledR}$ with Veracode $^{\text {TM }}$ technology (GoldenGate ${ }^{\circledR}$ Genotyping Assay) was then used to genotype the 384 selected SNPs.

To identify their chromosomal positions, the template sequences of the targeted SNP were blasted against i) the genome of the Gulf pipefish (Syngnathus scovelli, Small et al. 2016) and ii) the scaffolds of the tiger tail seahorse (Hippocampus comes, Lin et al. 2016). H. comes scaffolds being unplaced, we blasted these scaffolds against $S$. scovelli genome and, for more consistency, aligned them against seven well-assembled fish genomes using BLAT searches (Bhagwatt et al. 2012); zebrafish (Dano rerio, Howe et al. 2013), fugu (Takifugu rubripes, Kai et al. 2011), tetraodon (Tetraodon nigroviridis, Jaillon et al. 2004), Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus, Brawand et al. 2014), medaka
(Oryzias latipes, Kasahara et al. 2007), stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus, Jones et al. 2012), and European seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax, Tine et al. 2014).

SNPs were polarized using $H$. hippocampus as an outgroup to identify the most parsimonious ancestral variant, which allowed the derived allele state to be identified. The Joint Site-Frequency Spectrum (JSFS is the most informative summary statistic regarding inter-population polymorphism; Wakeley 2008) obtained from the original transcriptome-wide SNP dataset (Romiguier et al. 2014) was compared to the JSFS obtained by the subset of 384 SNPs to investigate the extent of ascertainment bias potentially induced by our marker selection. In order to compare the JSFS obtained with both datasets, the JSFS dimension was projected down to a $5 \times 5$ matrix, which was the dimension of the Romiguier et al. (2014) dataset.

To detect recombination events, the number of non-overlapping recombinant intervals (NbRec) was estimated by RNAseqFGT using the four-gamete test (FGT) on unphased sequences (see Galtier et al. 2018). Briefly, for each locus, RNAseqFGT performs the FGT on all possible pairs of bi-allelic SNPs to detect recombination events, which required three to four individuals (of the six fish sequenced) with informative genotypes. This analysis was run on all H. guttulatus contigs available. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{fgr}}$ ("FourGamete Rule", a good indicator for intragenomic variation in recombination rate; Galtier et al. 2018), defined as the ratio of total number of inferred recombination events by contig length, was subsequently calculated. Finally, each contig, of which $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{fgr}}$ was calculated, was blasted against $S$. scovelli genome (Small et al. 2016), so that mean $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{fgr}}$ was estimated per chromosome or in sliding windows along a chromosome.

Analyses of Genetic Diversity and Genetic Structure
Allelic frequencies, expected heterozygosity $\left(H_{e}\right)$ and fixation index $\left(F_{I S}\right)$ were estimated using GENEPOP on the web (Raymond and Rousset 1995, Rousset 2008). To get a genome-wide picture from the multi-locus genotype dataset summarizing interpopulation polymorphism, the raw SNP data were visualized by INTROGRESS (Gompert and Buerkle 2010). Alleles derived from each of the two parental populations (i.e. the two populations considered as the source or origin for the population and assumed to be fixed for different alleles at most sampled markers) were counted at the individual level and converted into a matrix of counts, then used to visualize the multilocus genotype of each individual.

Genetic structure among sampling sites was depicted using both Principal Component Analysis (PCA) computed on the matrix of genotypes, and an individualbased Bayesian clustering method. The latter method is a model-based approach with strong priors and hypotheses (Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, no linkage disequilibrium) and contrasted with PCA, a distance-based approach for which few (nearly no) assumptions may be violated. Comparing results from both analyses using different statistical approaches allows us to make solid assumptions about our data. The PCAs were carried out using the R package ADEGENET 1.4-2 (Jombart 2008, Jombart et al. 2011). The individual-based Bayesian clustering analysis was performed with the software STRUCTURE 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al. 2000, Falush et al. 2003). For each value of $K$ (ranging from 1 to 25), 30 replicate chains of 150,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) iterations were run after discarding 50,000 burn-in iterations. An admixture model with correlated allele frequencies was applied with a priori information on sample
origin. Note that this method makes the assumption of homogeneous admixture rate in the genome (neutral admixture) and therefore return a sort of weighted average admixture rate when introgression is heterogeneous across the genome. To determine individual ancestry proportions ( $q$-values) that best matched across all replicate runs, CLUMPP (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) was used and individuals' assignment visualized in the R software.

Once the different genetic clusters were identified (and cross-validated using both methods), genetic homogeneity among samples belonging to a cluster was checked, allowing subsequent pooling of samples into clusters, which was done with a minimum of seven individuals per cluster. Genetic structure was computed among clusters by calculating global and pairwise $F_{S T}$ (Weir and Cockerham 1984) using GENEPOP on the web (Rousset 2008). Exact tests for population differentiation (10,000 dememorization steps, 500 batches and 5,000 iterations per batch) were carried out to test for differences in allele frequencies. $Q$-values, defined as the adjusted $p$-values using an optimized False Discovery Rate approach, were computed using the QVALUE package in the R software (Storey 2002) to correct for multiple testing.

Evolutionary history of genetic clusters was also investigated under a model of divergence and admixture events using the population graph approach implemented in the TREEMIX software (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012). This software uses the covariance matrix of allele frequency between pairs of populations to infer both population splits and gene flow. A maximum likelihood population tree is first generated under the hypothesis of an absence of migration, and admixture events are sequentially added, improving (or not) the tree model. This statistical method shows the benefit of constructing population
trees while testing for gene flow between diverged populations at the same time. Samples with too small sample size ( $\mathrm{N}<7$ ) or without random mating (see Results) were removed as they generate erroneous results (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012). Using the total data set, i.e. 286 loci (see below for the selection of the 286 SNPs out of the 384 SNPs), five migration events were sequentially added to look for the best tree to fit the data, and we retained the number of migration events at which an asymptotic likelihood was reached.

## Outlier detection

Demographic processes similarly affect neutral markers, but markers linked to loci targeted by natural selection display atypical patterns of variation, key to understand the history of speciation (Bierne et al. 2013). The use of several independent methods is often recommended to improve accuracy of outlier loci detection (Pérez-Figueroa et al. 2010, de Villemereuil et al. 2014). Each method is differently impacted by the genetic structure and/or demographic history of the study species, which is usually unknown, leading to frequent inconsistencies across methods (Gagnaire et al. 2015). To cope with these problems, outlier loci were detected using four different methods. First, BAYESCAN (Foll and Gaggiotti 2008) is a Bayesian method that uses a logistic regression model to estimate directly the posterior probability that a given locus is under selection. We used default parameter values in our analyses to detect outliers among the clusters previously identified. The second approach (Duforet-Frebourg et al. 2014) implemented in the R package PCAdapt (Luu et al. 2016) is based on a hierarchical model where population structure is first depicted using K factors. No a priori hypothesis for the genetic structure (and thus, no clustering) is required in advance. Loci that are
atypically related to population structure, measured by the K factors, are identified as outliers. For each value of K (ranging from one to ten), ten replicate chains of 150,000 MCMC iterations were performed, and we discarded the first 5,000 iterations as burn-in. The third approach uses the estimated co-ancestry matrix to compute an extension of the original Lewontin and Krakauer statistic (Lewontin and Krakauer 1973) that accounts for the history of populations under a model of pure drift (Bonhomme et al. 2010). Finally we used the custom simulation test described in Fraïsse et al. (2014). The idea of this test is to use simulations of the best-supported demographic model to obtain the neutral envelope of the joint distribution of pairwise $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}$ in a four-population analysis. This test uses the fact that it is easy to have false positives in each of two pairwise comparisons but that outliers in both comparisons, against the genome-wide structure, are more likely to be true positives. Roux et al. (2016) found that an Isolation-with-Migration (IM) model fitted the seahorse data well and that more parameterized models did not improve the fit. These authors also found that the time of divergence (Tsplit) was very similar in each pairwise comparison. We therefore used a four-population IM model with the population sizes and migration rates inferred by Roux et al. (2016) on the transcriptome data of Romiguier et al. (2014). The two latter tests are very similar in their spirit, although one uses a simple history of divergence with drift and the other intends to explore more complex demographic histories. They were here used to identify parallel SNPs that provide a grouping of populations that goes against the genome-wide trend.

Genotype discordance among loci in admixed samples (genomic cline framework) was tested using Barton's concordance method as described in Macholán et
al. (2011). The method fits a quadratic function to the relationship between a single locus hybrid index ( 0 or 1 if homozygous, 0.5 if heterozygous) and the genome-wide hybrid index. The function parameters measure the deviation from $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$ (i.e. the expectation of homogeneous genome-wide introgression) as a function of the expected heterozygosity. Instead of testing the deviation from the diagonal, our aim was to compare genomic clines (i.e. regression curves) between geographic samples, as discordance was observed in one population and not others.

Finally, we tested for gene ontology (GO) terms enrichment to determine if outlier loci displayed functional enrichment, compared to the full dataset, using Fisher's Exact Test with Multiple Testing Correction of FDR (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995) implemented in the software Blast2GO (Conesa and Götz 2008).

## Results

SNPs characterization/calling: an efficient genotyping method in a protected species with low genetic diversity

Of the 384 SNPs, a total of 318 SNPs amplified successfully. Of these 318 SNPs, 32 SNPs were removed from the final dataset, being either monomorphic in H. guttulatus (the four SNPs used to diagnostically distinguish H. hippocampus to H. guttulatus) or with a minor allele frequency below $5 \%$ ( 28 SNPs). Two H. hippocampus initially identified as $H$. guttulatus were removed from the initial dataset, resulting in a final dataset of 292 H. guttulatus genotyped for 286 SNPs.

In order to evaluate the extent of ascertainment bias that may be induced by our procedure for selecting markers, we compared the Joint Site-Frequency Spectra (JSFS) obtained with the original dataset of Romiguier et al. (2014) -assumed freed from
ascertainment bias- with the 314-SNP dataset, i.e. excluding only monomorphic SNPs in H. guttulatus. JSFSs are detailed in Supporting Information 1 (Fig. SI1). Briefly, singletons represented $35-40 \%$ of the SNPs in the Romiguier et al. (2014) dataset (Fig. SI1A). This proportion was reduced twofold in our 314-SNP dataset (Fig. SI1B). Identifying fewer singletons in the 314-SNP dataset would affect differently the genetic structure. However, we efficiently removed rare variants without biasing the frequency spectrum too much: the deficit of singletons was homogeneously compensated by every other cell of the JSFSs. An even representation over the entire allele frequency range was indeed observed based on our dataset. The comparison of the two JSFSs (Fig. SI1C) reveals that very few cells apart from singletons have an excess above $5 \%$, suggesting limited ascertainment bias in our SNP panel, except with rare alleles as expected.

With a limited ascertainment bias, with rare alleles being underrepresented, no missing data, and constraints on our model study (small amount of DNA available with the use of non-lethal fin-clipping sampling techniques), selecting SNPs characterized from a preliminary population transcriptomic survey proved to be a straightforward strategy for genome-wide investigation of the spatial distribution in this species compared to classical genotyping-by-sequencing approaches.

A strong genetic structure delineating five broadly distributed panmictic genetic clusters
Estimates of expected heterozygosity $\left(H_{e}\right)$, and departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE; $F_{I S}$ ), for each study site and each genetic cluster identified, are presented in Supporting Information 2. The gene diversity was similar among populations
with no significant departure from HWE observed (with the exception of site 6 which is a zone of co-existence of two lineages, see below).

A-
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Figure 2 Genetic population structure based on 286 SNP markers analyzed by A- Principal Component Analyses depicting axis 1 (explaining $60.1 \%$ of the variance) and axis 2 (explaining $16.2 \%$ of the variance; left panel) and axes 1 and 3 (explaining $9.9 \%$ of the variance; right panel) with each label showing the barycenter of each study site; and B- Individual Bayesian ancestry proportions determined using STRUCTURE with K=5 clusters identified. Dotted black lines separate each study site. The five clusters identified are distinguished by the same colors and numbers as used in Fig. 1. Each individual is depicted as a vertical bar with colors distinguishing its ancestries to the five clusters.

The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) revealed clear differentiation separating four clusters along the first two axes $(60.1 \%$ and $16.2 \%$ of the variance explained; Fig. 2A, left panel). A clear differentiation was shown between North Atlantic (sites 1-5, in red), South Atlantic (sites 7-12, in blue), Mediterranean Sea (sites 13-25, lagoon site 16 excluded, in green) and Mediterranean Thau lagoon (site 16, in pink). Hossegor individuals (site 6, in purple) clustered either with the North Atlantic (12 individuals) or with the South Atlantic groups (3 individuals). Mediterranean sites spread
out along the third axis $(9.9 \%$ of the variance explained, right panel), with Bizerte (site 20, in gold) in between the South-Atlantic (sites 7-12) and all Mediterranean populations (sites 13-24), and Varna (Black Sea, site 25, in turquoise) standing out from the Mediterranean group on the other hand.

A similar genetic structure was detected by the STRUCTURE analysis, of which the output composed of five clusters is presented in Figure 2B. Different values of K were explored (from two to 10 ) and $\mathrm{K}=5$ provided the best meaningful result. Clustering from STRUCTURE is similar to clustering revealed by PCA, which is a distance-based method that makes no assumptions on our data). Geographic groups comprised: 1- the North Atlantic sites (sites 1-5), 2- the South Atlantic sites (sites 7-12), 3- the Mediterranean Sea sites (sites 13-25, lagoon site 16 excluded), 4- the Mediterranean Thau lagoon (site 16) and 5- Varna (site 25). Similar to the PCA (Fig. 2A), a gradient of introgression is visible along the Atlantic coasts, with a decreasing proportion of South Atlantic cluster ancestry North of Hossegor (site 6; Fig. 2B). Most Hossegor individuals belong to the North Atlantic genetic background, though with mixed ancestry suggesting local introgression. Five individuals were genetically assigned to the North Atlantic genetic background with an ancestry rate higher than 0.82 and three individuals were assigned to the South Atlantic genetic background with ancestry rate higher than 0.98 . Bizerte (site 20), and to a lesser extent Halkida (site 24), proved to have mixed ancestries from both Mediterranean lagoon and Sea clusters. Bizerte also appears to have Atlantic ancestries, especially one individual with an Atlantic ancestry rate of $23 \%$. Increasing the K-value to 6 resulted in the addition of Bizerte (site 20) as a new cluster, and increasing the K-value to 7 resulted in the addition of Halkida (site 24) as a new cluster (Figure SI3).

This illustrates introgressive hybridization does not produce strong departure from Hardy-Weinberg and linkage equilibrium in these admixed clusters. Further increases in K did not result in new meaningful geographic clusters.

Altogether, distance-based (PCA) and model-based (Structure) analyses supported the identification of five clusters, a pattern also showed by the visualization of raw mutlilocus genotype data (Supporting Fig. SI4). This representation illustrates that most markers contribute to the signal of five genetic clusters.

Importantly, no significant departure from panmixia was observed within each cluster (SI2). Furthermore, genetic homogeneity was observed between sites within each cluster (SI2). In contrast, Fisher's exact tests revealed significant differences in allelic frequencies among clusters ( $p$-value $<0.001 ; 0.09 \pm 0.02<$ mean $F_{S T} \pm \mathrm{sd}<0.26 \pm 0.04$ ), with significant differentiation being observed for all pairwise comparisons (SI2-2).


Figure 3 Population trees inferred by TREEMIX (A) without or (B) with 3 migration events. Admixture arrows are colored according to the migration weight. The model including three admixture events significantly improved the fit as compared to a situation without migration ( $p$ value $<0.001$ ). Panmictic clusters are colored according to Fig. 1.

Finally, the population tree inferred using TREEMIX without accounting for migration (Fig. 3A) was highly consistent with all above analyses. Atlantic clusters branching together on one hand, and Mediterranean lagoons (Thau and Bizerte) branching together
on the other hand. Interestingly, three admixture events significantly improved the model as compared to a scenario without migration ( $p$-value $<0.001$; Fig. 3B). This population tree indicated significant gene flow among four H. guttulatus clusters, between the north and the south genetic clusters in the Atlantic coasts, in concordance with the gradient of introgression along the Atlantic coasts (Fig. 2), between marine and lagoon samples in the Mediterranean Sea, and finally between the North Atlantic and Mediterranean lagoon samples. Note that, though arrows should indicate directionality of gene flow, when migration is between closely related populations without outgroups, and introgression is heterogeneous in the genome, inferred directions of migration arrows could be erroneous.

## Signature of selection and genetic parallelism

Nine SNPs out of 286 (3.15\%) were consistently identified to depart from neutrality with the four tests (BayeScan, PCAdapt, FLK and custom simulation test; Fig. 4). Interestingly, six of them showed very similar allele frequency patterns, distinguishing North Atlantic sites (sites 1-5), Mediterranean Thau lagoon (site 16), Bizerte (site 20) and, in a lesser extent Halkida (site 24) and Varna (site 25) from South Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea sites (Fig. 4), and pointing out genetic parallelism (i.e. convergence of allele frequency patterns) between these lineages.


Figure 4 Hippocampus guttulatus allele frequencies (y-axis) for the nine outlier SNPs. The six outliers shown on the top of the panel are characterized by a very similar high allele frequency along with a location on a unique chromosome, while the three outliers below are characterized by various allele frequency, different from the six outliers previously mentioned. Each study site ( x -axis) is labeled and colored according to Fig. 1, reminded by a simplified map on the top of the figure. Hossegor was separated in 6A and 6B and Halkida in 24A and 24B according to their North or South Atlantic / Mediterranean Thau lagoon or Sea genetic background, respectively (see Fig. 2, SI4).

These six outliers located on different $H$. guttulatus contigs were located on different Hippocampus comes scaffolds, except SNPs 29 and 286 mapping to a unique $H$. comes scaffold (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, these scaffolds -that contain outliers- consistently mapped to a unique chromosome in Syngnathus scovelli (LG15, Fig. 5A). Results were similar when directly blasting these six $H$. guttulatus contigs against $S$. scovelli genome, but with SNP 29 mapping to an unplaced scaffold (Fig. 5A). A unique chromosome is still involved when blatting H. guttulatus outlying contigs against seven additional well-
assembled fish genomes, in agreement with a well-conserved synteny of fishes (detailed in SI5).
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Figure 5 Outlier cross-mapping (A-), $F_{S T}$ between the Mediterranean lagoon and Sea lineages (in black), and between the North and South Atlantic lineages (in grey) along the unique chromosome (B-), the number of inferred recombination events ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{fgr}}$ ) alongside LG15, i.e. the chromosome carrying the genomic island of differentiation ( $\mathrm{C}-$ ), and the ratio of the total number of inferred recombination events by contig length averaged per chromosome ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{fg}} ; \mathrm{x}$-axis), used as a proxy of the population recombination rate, plotted against chromosome length (y-axis; D-). As H. comes scaffolds are unplaced, H. guttulatus SNPs were first blasted on $H$. comes scaffolds, then each $H$. comes scaffold was mapped to $S$. scovelli genome. A putative $H$. comes chromosome was hence reconstructed (A-). The order of the scaffolds and SNPs according to the blasts was conserved. Outlier SNPs displaying parallel differentiation between Atlantic lineages and Mediterranean ecotypes are colored in orange, while the grey outlier showed high differentiation between the Mediterranean Thau lagoon and other sites. The chromosome of the genomic island of differentiation (blasted against S. scovelli LG15) is colored in orange in panel D-

Genetic parallelism was visualized by plotting $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ of Mediterranean lagoon and sea locations (x-axis in Fig. 6) against $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ between North and South Atlantic clusters ( y axis in Fig. 6). Outliers showing genetic parallelism appeared in the top right of Figure 6, showing elevated genetic differentiation between Mediterranean lagoon and marine sites (x-axis) on the one hand, and between North and South Atlantic sites (y-axis) on the other hand.


Figure 6 Genome scan of infra-specific differentiation in H. guttulatus. Dashed lines represent the $95 \%$ and $99 \%$ quantiles of the neutral envelope of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ obtained following Fraïsse et al. (2014). Loci identified by all methods as outliers are colored in orange - the six outliers that displayed parallel differentiation between Atlantic lineages and Mediterranean ecotypes - and in black - the three other outliers.

Three other outliers were also consistently identified (SNPs 87, 130 and 140; Fig. 4 and 6) using all four methods. They distinguished either the Mediterranean Thau lagoon (i.e. high genetic differentiation along the x -axis in Fig. 6; SNP 87), the North Atlantic sites or the South Atlantic sites (i.e. high genetic differentiation along the y -axis in Fig. 6; SNPs 130 and 140) from all other sites. By using a similar approach for convergent outliers, we only observed SNP 87 that consistently mapped in the unique (and putative) chromosome.

Of the 21,416 contigs from Galtier et al. (2018), we obtained conjointly the position along $S$. scovelli genome and the ratio of total number of inferred recombination events
by contig length $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{fgr}}\right)$ for 2,112 contigs. A sliding window analysis of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{fgr}}$ along LG15 (the genomic island) did not reveal a strong heterogeneity of the population recombination rate within this chromosome (Fig. C). Mean $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{fgr}}$ and the chromosome length were significantly correlated (Spearman's rank correlation $\rho=-0.62, p$-value $=$ 0.003 ; Fig. 5D) as expected. LG15 appeared as an outlier with a lower recombination rate than expected from its size, and the correlation with chromosome length improved when LG15 was excluded (Spearman's rank correlation $\rho=-0.70, p$-value $=0.0006$; Fig. 5D), suggesting a lower population recombination rate within the chromosome with the genomic island of differentiation (i.e. the one with outliers blasting against S. scovelli LG15).
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Figure 7 Genomic cline plots for two illustrative markers showing extreme level of differentiation (SNPs 29 and 130). Genomic clines were conducted on North and South Atlantic lineages following polynomial regressions on all Atlantic individuals without Hossegor (in yellow), on all Atlantic individuals (in black) and on all Atlantic individuals, without North Atlantic (in blue-grey). Dotted lines represent the $95 \%$ confidence intervals. Circles indicate the
raw genotype data (ancestral homozygotes on the top line -the "1" genotype, heterozygotes in the middle -the " 0.5 " genotype, and derived homozygotes on the bottom line -the " 0 " genotype).

Figure 7 shows the genomic cline analysis obtained with SNP 29, an outlier that clustered on the unique chromosome (Fig. 5), and SNP 130, an outlier between the northern and the southern Atlantic lineage but that mapped to another chromosome and was not differentiated in the Mediterranean Sea (no genetic parallelism). Regressions were found to be different at SNP 29 and other outliers that clustered on the same chromosome, when the Hossegor sample was included in the analysis or not (Fig. 7), while regressions were always close to the diagonal with SNP 130 and other outliers that mapped to other chromosomes. This analysis reveals a clear discordance between genomic island loci and the rest of the genome in the Hossegor sample. The allele frequency of genomic island loci is found similar in North Atlantic-like seahorses of Hossegor and South Atlantic populations (high frequency of South Atlantic alleles).

Note that loci with extreme level of differentiation could not be related to any sex differences. Of all the 292 fish genotyped, 168 were sexed, a sampling that comprised individuals from the five lineages as well as the hybrid zones, with a balanced sex ratio within each site. In addition, the analysis of gene ontology terms for outlier loci did not reveal any significant functional enrichment.

## Discussion

Genetic analyses of the long-snouted seahorse revealed cryptic discrete panmictic genetic lineages that meet either in a narrow contact zone in the Atlantic, or display a mosaic distribution associated with environmental variation in the Mediterranean Sea. Despite limited dispersal abilities and seemingly small population sizes (but see Curtis
and Vincent 2006), each lineage showed remarkable genetic homogeneity over very large distances, with genetic panmixia observed within each lineage. This spatial structure, with strong and sharp genetic subdivisions, is not expected if random genetic drift was predominantly responsible for the genetic differentiation between these populations.

The spatial organization of the different genetic backgrounds proved to be an unusual combination of geographic subdivision in the Atlantic Ocean, and genetic structure related to the sea-lagoon ecological contrast in the Mediterranean Sea. We find that these two subdivisions partly relied on the same genetic architecture. We observed genetic parallelism at some markers showing extreme levels of differentiation between habitats in the Mediterranean Sea, but also between geographic lineages in the Atlantic Ocean. Intriguingly, all the loci showing convergent allele frequency patterns mapped to a unique chromosome. Genomic divergence is highly variable along a genome, and the use of low-density genome scans was here efficient to characterize this single but large genomic region.

We suggest the existence of a shared evolutionary history between Atlantic parapatric lineages and Mediterranean ecotypes, with the Mediterranean lagoon ecotype anciently related to the North-Atlantic lineage. The underlying reproductive isolation mechanisms may involve a combination of intrinsic and extrinsic genetic barriers, where relative contributions may differ between the two contexts.

## 1- Genome scans in hybrid zones

Our SNP panel allowed us to define five discrete panmictic genetic clusters, two in the Atlantic Ocean, two in the Mediterranean Sea, and one in the Black Sea, which
cannot be morphologically distinguished with reliability so far (i.e. cryptic lineages). The average genetic differentiation between clusters and its associated variance were strong $\left(0.09 \pm 0.02<F_{S T} \pm \mathrm{sd}<0.26 \pm 0.04\right)$. In this context, identifying outlier loci is a complex task with problems of false positives (Lotterhos and Whitlock 2014, 2015). It is also increasingly being acknowledged that a signal of local adaptation should not be easily captured without a broad sampling of the genome in a standard infra-specific lowlinkage disequilibrium context (Hoban et al. 2016). The discovery of nine well-supported outlier loci in a fairly small SNP dataset suggests strong variance in differentiation levels associated with the existence of cryptic genetic barriers involving many selected loci (Bierne et al. 2011). Extensive linkage disequilibrium is also maintained in this complex of semi-isolated genetic backgrounds when compared to a standard infra-specific context. However, this is not expected to be a rare situation as genomic studies have provided accumulating evidence that semi-permeable barriers to gene flow are widespread (Roux et al. 2016) and affect a substantial proportion of genomes (Harrison and Larson 2016).

In this study, six of the nine outliers showed a signature of genetic parallelism (Fig. 2, Fig. SI4). These six outliers not only proved to be the most differentiated loci using a pairwise comparison between Northern and Southern lineages in the Atlantic Ocean and between the lagoon and marine ecotypes in the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 6), but they also displayed a genetic structure that is not compatible with the sample covariance matrix inferred with the full set of loci (FLK test, Supporting Information SI6) or with simulations under the best-supported demographic model inferred from transcriptome data (Fig. 6). Finally, these six outliers (3.15\% of the SNPs analyzed) in high linkage disequilibrium (Fig. 4) proved to map to a unique chromosome ( $4.5 \%$ of the
genome) in available fish genomes, although the order on the chromosome was not so well conserved in distantly related species (SI5). This result suggests the existence of a large genomic island of differentiation as already reported in other fishes (e.g. sticklebacks: Jones et al. 2012, cod: Hemmer-Hansen et al. 2013, Berg et al. 2017, seabass: Duranton et al. 2018). Reduced recombination, a chromosomal inversion or another form of recombination suppression would likely be involved (Gagnaire et al. 2018, Martin et al. 2018, Roesti et al. 2013). Such clusters of divergent loci are more likely to form through genomic rearrangements bringing coadapted loci close together (Yeaman and Whitlock 2011, Yeaman 2013). Our analysis of recombination rates indeed revealed that the population recombination rate is reduced in the chromosome that bears the genomic island (Fig. 5D). However, the enhanced structure of this chromosome can partly explain this observation. Indeed, this chromosome is more structured between populations than the others; a reduced population recombination rate $\left(N_{e} r\right)$ is expected even if the recombination rate $(r)$ is not. In addition, recombination could be disrupted only between inversions or other structural variations while being efficient within them. This chromosome-wide island of differentiation also explains why we detected the signal of parallel outlying differentiation with a moderate number of loci analyzed. The genomic island could have been easily missed with a few microsatellites but our SNP panel had enough coverage that it contained a few SNPs on LG15. These preliminary results call for genome sequence analysis and genetic mapping in order to better characterize the genomic island and its structural variation, and to investigate the genome localization of additional barrier loci with a smaller chromosomal footprint.

## 2- Parapatrically distributed semi-isolated cryptic lineages in the Atlantic Ocean

In the Atlantic Ocean we confirm the existence of two well-differentiated genetic clusters previously identified by Woodall et al. (2015) with five microsatellites and two mitochondrial genes. A genetic homogeneity was observed over large distances within each lineage (Fig. 1), contrasting with a very strong and abrupt genetic differentiation between them. Inter-lineage genetic divergence was not only captured by the most differentiated SNP that were nearly fixed between the two lineages (SNP 130 in Fig. 4, $F_{S T}=0.9$ ), but also, by many other SNPs (SI4), suggesting isolating mechanisms and loci with genome-wide effects. This mechanism is also suggested by the discovery of the two parental lineages coexisting in the same lagoon in South West of France (Hossegor lagoon, site 6 in Fig. 1). Such zone of co-existence in sympatry contrasting with genetic homogeneity at a large spatial scale within each lineage suggests reproductive isolation (Jiggins and Mallet 2000), involving either pre-zygotic isolation or selection against hybrids at early life stages.

Alternatively, we could also have sampled the first-generation migrants without any genomic modifications. However, recent migration of the two diverged genetic backgrounds in this lagoon cannot alone explain the co-existence of the two lineages; a mechanism of reproductive isolation should maintain divergence between the two lineages. Indeed, hybridization has occurred to some extent as suggested by local introgression of the North-Atlantic seahorse in this lagoon (Fig. 3). The decreasing proportion of south lineage ancestry from Hossegor to the English Channel also provides indirect evidence for asymmetric introgression (Fig. 2). In addition, further support for partial reproductive isolation is evidenced by the genomic island outlier loci pattern in

Hossegor (site 6). The North Atlantic lineage mostly carries the southern alleles at genomic island loci (pale pink dots in Fig. 4, see also SI4). To produce such North Atlantic-type individuals with a South-Atlantic island, recombination between parental backgrounds is required, suggesting repeated hybridization over several generations.

In Barton's concordance analysis (Fig. 7) the geographic information was included to contrast the results obtained with or without the Hossegor sample. The objective of this analysis was to infer the existence of a local discordance in this site. The swamping of North Atlantic fishes by the southern allele at the genomic island suggests epistatic or coupling interactions among loci implied in reproductive isolation. Endogenous post-zygotic selection against hybrids does not result in a stable polymorphism in a single isolated population, but instead in transient polymorphisms. Also known as bistable variants, the maintenance of underdominant or epistatically interacting genetic incompatibilities requires a migration-selection balance in a spatially subdivided population (Barton and Turelli 2011), or frequency-dependent selection (Barton and de Cara 2009). The system may have fixed one state of a bistable variant in the Hossegor lagoon. Alternatively, the southern allele may confer a selective advantage in this lagoon whatever the genetic background. However the latter hypothesis does not seem coherent in Hossegor as the northern allele is always in higher frequency in lagoonlike habitats elsewhere, though we may have missed more subtle ecological parameters that would have driven this pattern.

In the southern Atlantic, outlier alleles tend to follow marine/lagoon environments, with the northern allele frequencies in lagoon populations slightly higher than in the marine populations (Fig. 4). Local adaptation genes could be embedded in the
island and escape coupling with intrinsic isolation through rare recombination events. These alleles might also come from Mediterranean lagoons by introgression through the Atlantic-Mediterranean divide. Alternatively, as northern alleles enter the southern background through a lagoon, they might be better propagated through lagoons thanks to habitat resistance created by local adaptation at unscored loci.

Overall, the Atlantic contact zone possesses all the characteristics of standard clinal hybrid zones that follow the tension zone model (Barton and Hewitt 1985), i.e. a secondary contact zone maintained by a balance between migration and intrinsic reproductive isolation. Exogenous selection may also contribute, although the two Atlantic lineages both inhabit indifferently lagoon and sea habitats (Fig. 1), and co-exist in syntopy in Hossegor, suggesting a limited role of ecological contrast in their genetics. Hossegor is a small lagoon, only 2.3 km long, 300 m wide and no more than 2 m deep, which strongly limits the opportunity for microparapatry. In any case, only strong intrinsic reproductive isolation can guarantee a genome-wide barrier to gene flow explaining the co-existence of the two lineages in the Hossegor lagoon.

## 3- Sea and lagoon ecotypes in the Mediterranean Sea

Contrasting with the Atlantic hybrid zone, the two cryptic lineages identified in the Mediterranean Sea were associated with lagoon/sea ecosystem variation. Our broad genomic and spatial sampling revealed two crucial observations. First, while the marine lineage was surprisingly homogeneous over the whole Mediterranean Sea, from Greece to Spain ( $F_{I T}=0.0078$ n.s.), lagoon-like samples, especially the Thau lagoon, showed a strong and genome-wide genetic differentiation from them. Samples from two lagoons
(Thau in France and Bizerte in Tunisia, sites 16 and 20 in Fig. 1) were sufficient to reveal an association with the environment that was previously unseen, the Thau lagoon being the only sample from Western Mediterranean basin in Woodall et al. (2015). Second, fixed differences between lagoon and marine samples were observed, although they were sampled only few kilometers apart (e.g. sites 14-16 in Fig. 2, 4). A single but important seahorse sampled on the seaside of the Thau lagoon (site 15 in Fig. 1), plus seven others sampled on the seaside of another lagoon of the region (site 14 in Fig. 1) proved to belong to the marine genetic cluster, without any sign of introgression. Likewise, no evidence of introgression was observed in the Thau sample (Fig. 3). Once again, despite genetic homogeneity over large area, such strong and abrupt genetic differentiation suggests partial reproductive isolation between these two lineages. In this case there are obvious ecological drivers, i.e. habitat specialization, so that the entire Mediterranean Sea could be viewed as a mosaic hybrid zone, with one parental form (defined by outlier SNPs under the genic view of species delineation, Wu 2001) inhabiting lagoons in Thau (site 16), Bizerte (site 20) and Halkida (site 24), and another parental form inhabiting the sea. Indeed, the Bizerte lagoon (site 20 in Fig. 1), which is ecologically similar to the Thau lagoon (Sakka Hlaili et al. 2008), has a population genetically similar to marine Mediterranean samples at most loci but share the genetic composition of the Thau lagoon at the genomic island loci (Fig. 4 and SI4). In addition, a subsample of the Halkida population (Greece, site 24B in Fig. 4) was composed of five individuals heterozygous at the genomic island. The environmental parameters at this location are hypothesized to be more lagoon-like, being a secluded bay beyond the northern end of the Euipus Strait. This sample only provides evidence that the genomic
island polymorphism and the mosaic spatial structure extends to the eastern basin without really providing further clues about the role of the environment. More Mediterranean lagoons and estuaries will need to be sampled in the future to better characterize the association of these two ecotypes with environmental variation.

Our results in the Mediterranean Sea (i.e. lagoon/marine system) resemble those obtained in the emblematic three-spined sticklebacks marine/freshwater system (Jones et al. 2012), or more recently in the marine-migratory/freshwater-resident lampreys (Rougemont et al. 2016) and coastal/marine ecotypes in European anchovies (Le Moan et al. 2016). When a shared divergent genomic island is observed -i.e. genetic parallelism, as here in long-snouted seahorse among the Mediterranean lagoons, or in the examples cited above, there could be three possible interpretations: (i) parallel gene reuse from a shared ancestral polymorphism present in the marine supposedly ancestral population (Jones et al. 2012), (ii) the spread of a locally adapted allele (i.e. the 'transporter hypothesis'; Schluter and Conte 2009) or (iii) secondary contact followed by spatial reassortment of the divergent lineages and extensive introgression swamping such that only selected loci and their chromosomal neighborhood retain the history of adaptation (Bierne et al. 2013). The three scenarios are difficult to discriminate as they converge toward a similar pattern (Johannesson et al. 2010, Bierne et al. 2013, Welch and Jiggins 2014). Here, as for the lampreys (Rougemont et al. 2016), the Thau lagoon provides a possible support for the secondary contact model because the differentiation, although stronger at the genomic island, is genome-wide. The Bizerte lagoon however can either be interpreted as a marine lineage introgressed by the lagoon allele at the genomic island, or as a lagoon lineage (defined by adaptive/speciation genes) massively
introgressed by neutral marine alleles. Incorporating heterogeneous migration rates in demographic inference methods allowed Le Moan et al. (2016), Rougeux et al. (2016) and Rougemont et al. (2016) to identify the signal of a secondary contact history carried by islands of differentiation in lampreys, white fishes and anchovies. Unfortunately our 286-SNPs dataset does not allow performing such historical demographic reconstruction. Nonetheless the TREEMIX analysis reveals that episodes of secondary admixtures strongly improve the fit to the sample covariance matrix, but adaptive introgression or massive introgression swamping can both explain them. Anyhow, demographic reconstruction does not completely refute the 'transporter hypothesis' which stipulates lagoon alleles spread from lagoon to lagoon (or freshwater allele from river to river) and is a scenario that produces a very similar genomic pattern of differentiation to the one produced by a standard secondary contact (Bierne et al. 2013, Rougemont et al. 2016). In the case of the seahorse complex, however, we made the new observation that genetic parallelism is observed with the Atlantic populations where the structure is geographic and independent of the lagoon-sea habitats, which offers a new twist to the debate with complementary arguments.

## 4- Genetic parallelism in two different spatial/ecological contexts

The most astonishing result of our genetic analysis was genetic parallelism between the Mediterranean lagoon ecotype and the north Atlantic lineage at a large genomic island. Parallel evolution is thought to imply distinct but repetitive ecological characteristics (e.g. Butlin et al. 2014). In the present study, we found that the genomic island was associated with the sea-lagoon ecological contrast in the Mediterranean Sea,
while there was no such genetic differentiation between lagoon and sea samples in the Atlantic Ocean. The North and South lineages inhabit indifferently lagoons and seas, so that what seemed obvious in the Mediterranean Sea regarding the divergence of the two lineages, i.e. habitat specialization, was not observed along the Atlantic Ocean where the divergence seems uncorrelated to the ecological contrast that explains the two Mediterranean ecotypes. No analogy was also observed regarding abiotic parameters, such as temperature or salinity, between the North Atlantic and Mediterranean lagoon lineages, making hard to correlate these two lineages to ecological drivers too. Although we may have missed putative ecological drivers of such genetic parallelism, parallel gene reuse driven by ecological convergence seems here unlikely. A shared history of divergence retained at outlier loci in the North Atlantic and Thau lineages would nonetheless be compatible with isolation after postglacial warming. Indeed, while moving lengthwise at each glacial cycle, species can be trapped in Mediterranean pockets of cold waters due to the particular geography of the Mediterranean Sea, i.e. perpendicular to north-south population displacements (e.g. Borsa et al. 1997, Debes et al. 2008). Isolated populations of Atlantic-derived lineages trapped within the Mediterranean Sea could have adapted to new environments such as lagoons or the Black Sea. Shared variations with the North-Atlantic lineage would only be visible at regions of the genome protected from gene flow by local selection and reproductive isolation.

Shared ancestral polymorphism sieved by adaptation in a patchy environment (Bierne et al. 2013) and incipient speciation (Guerrero and Hahn 2017) would then explain our data. The association with habitat in the Mediterranean Sea and with space in the Atlantic Ocean could be explained by a secondary evolution of locally adapted genes
within the genomic island in the Mediterranean Sea, benefiting from the barrier to gene flow imposed by intrinsic selection (divergence hitchhiking; Via 2012). Alternatively, the genomic island could have coupled with local adaptation polymorphisms localized elsewhere in the genome in the Mediterranean Sea (Bierne et al. 2011), while it would have been trapped by a barrier to dispersal in the Atlantic Ocean (Barton 1979, Barton and Hewitt 1985). Without further data and the true genomic position of loci in the seahorse genome, it is difficult to disentangle the two hypotheses.

One locus (SNP 87) localized in the same chromosome as parallel SNPs (Fig. 5) is differentiated between the marine and lagoon ecotypes in the Mediterranean Sea, but is not differentiated between the northern and southern lineages in the Atlantic Ocean. At first sight, it could be interpreted as evidence for a possible secondary local sweep in Mediterranean lagoons. However, according to the gene order inferred from the closest species (Gulf pipefish and Tiger tail seahorse; Fig. 5A) along with a barrier to gene flow less effective in the Atlantic (see SNPs 34 and 212 in Fig. 4 and 5B), it could also be interpreted as being localized in the island "shoulders" (i.e. loci in the vicinity of the regions harboring local adaptation and/or reproductive isolation loci; Gagnaire et al. 2015, Le Moan et al. 2016) in which a stronger introgression rate has erased the differentiation faster in the Atlantic than in the Mediterranean, in favor of the alternative interpretation. This would mean that recombination disruption, provided it exists, would not be as strong at the end of the chromosome arm. Importantly, whatever the explanation -divergence hitchhiking or coupling- it requires invoking interaction between intrinsic and ecological selection and not ecological selection alone (Bierne et al. 2011, Kulmuni and Westram 2017). Furthermore, intrinsic isolation has most probably evolved first in
this system as no genetic parallelism was observed in outliers discriminating lagoon to marine ecotypes, which would contradict the predominant view that ecological selection is necessarily the initial catalyzer of the chain of accumulation of barriers in ecological speciation.

## Conclusions

Analyzing the population genetics of the long-snouted seahorse Hippocampus guttulatus revealed a complex of panmictic genetic backgrounds subdivided by sharp semipermeable hybrid zones. This is now standard observation in marine species (Knowlton 1993, Pante et al. 2015, Sheets et al. 2018) where morphological stasis might be more widespread than in terrestrial species. The subdivision of species by hybrid zones is a long-lasting observation in the terrestrial realm (Hewitt 1989) but arguably more readily detected by morphological differences. This is nonetheless important knowledge to further inform captive breeding and in-situ management decisions. We also easily found outlier loci despite a moderate number of loci analyzed, and the clustering of these outlier loci in a single genomic region that showed depleted population recombination rates, which, henceforth, seems a standard observation of the recent hybrid zone literature (e.g. in stickelbacks, Jones et al. 2012; jaera isopods, Ribardière et al. 2017; cods, HemmerHansen et al. 2013; littorina snails, Westram et al. 2018; saltmarsh beetles, Van Belleghem et al. 2018).

However, we also made two additional observations that are less commonly reported and deserve a broader interest outside the study of seahorse themselves. First, we found the two, usually opposed, standard spatial structures of the hybrid zone literature, namely clinal and mosaic hybrid zones, in the same study system. This result calls for further
investigations with lab and fieldwork in order to better understand the mechanisms of reproductive isolation at play and their genetic architecture. Secondly, we found a parallel pattern of differentiation at the genomic island in the two spatial/ecological contexts. Although this result will also need to be substantiated by follow-up genomic studies, it nonetheless reveals that the hallmark of ecologically driven adaptive divergence can be observed in absence of obvious ecological convergence. We argue that alternative scenarios involving secondary introgression swamping and intrinsic isolation should be more seriously considered as valid alternatives and the seahorse complex could become an interesting flagship system in the debate.
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## Supporting Information

Supporting Information SI1 Joint Site-Frequency Spectra (JSFS) based on Romiguier et al. (2014; A-), our dataset (B-) and the difference between them (C-) among Le Croisic (France), Faro (Portugal), and Thau (France) H. guttulatus. JSFS is a bidimensional representation of allelic frequencies spectra for two populations (Ewens 1972). It is a $\left(2 n_{1}+1\right) \times\left(2 n_{2}+1\right)$ dimension matrix, with $n_{1}$ the number of individuals in population 1 and $n_{2}$ the number of individuals in population 2 , where each entry $S(i, j)$ gives the number of SNPs for which the derived allele was found $i$ and $j$ times in population 1 and 2, respectively. The occurrence of biallelic polymorphism for which the derived allele was found in both populations is written as a percentage in each entry. For instance, the entry $S(1,0)$ shows the number of polymorphism for which the derived allele was observed one time in population 1, but not observed in population 2. In the $1^{\text {st }}$ plot of Fig. SI1A, $26.6 \%$ of the derived allele was observed in only one individual at Thau (x-axis) but never observed in Le Croisic individuals ( y -axis).
A)

| 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.5 |
| 5.9 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| 16.1 | 5.0 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| 0 | 26.6 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 1.3 |

Thau
B)

| 1.6 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 3.8 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| 6.8 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| 11.8 | 7.1 | 4.8 | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| 0 | 9.1 | 6.0 | 3.5 | 1.8 |

Thau
C)

| -0.77 | -0.97 | -1.31 | 0.44 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.34 | -2.05 | -1.78 | -1.55 | -1.35 |
| -0.86 | -0.98 | 0.42 | -0.48 | -1.09 |
| 4.39 | -2.10 | -2.09 | -0.77 | -0.56 |
| 0 | 12.52 | -1.18 | -1.15 | -0.44 |

Thau

| 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.9 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 3.6 |
| 5.0 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 21.7 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 2.0 | 7.4 |
| 0 | 13.5 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 0.6 |

Croisic

| 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 4.1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.6 |
| 5.0 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| 19.7 | 5.7 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 6.1 |
| 0 | 20.6 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 |

Thau

| 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.5 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| .$\tilde{U}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 | 5.9 | 5.1 | 4.7 | 3.2 |
| 8.9 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 2.5 |
| 0 | 10.0 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 1.4 |

Croisic

| 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 3.6 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| 5.9 | 6.3 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 2.9 |
| 10.4 | 6.3 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 2.2 |
| 0 | 9.2 | 6.6 | 3.0 | 1.8 |

Thau

| -0.68 | -1.08 | -0.411 | -0.76 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.41 | -2.39 | -1.83 | -1.95 | -0.15 |
| -0.11 | -3.00 | -0.27 | -2.83 | -1.18 |
| 12.84 | -3.22 | -1.43 | -2.57 | 4.96 |
| 0 | 3.48 | -0.77 | -1.23 | -0.82 |

Croisic

| -1.18 | -0.15 | -1.21 | 0.56 | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.18 | -1.86 | -2.22 | -2.02 | -1.06 |
| -0.83 | -3.75 | -1.76 | -1.47 | -0.90 |
| 9.37 | -2.59 | -2.13 | -1.61 | 3.94 |
| 0 | 11.42 | -2.12 | -1.68 | -0.93 |

Thau

Legend:

| $0-5$ | $5-10$ | $10-15$ | $15-20$ | $20-25$ | $25-30$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Supporting Information SI2 H. guttulatus genetic diversity and structure
Table SI2-1 Hippocampus guttulatus sample information and genetic diversity indices of the study samples based on 286 SNP markers.
$N$ : number of individuals successfully genotyped, $H_{e}$ : expected heterozygosity, $F_{I S}$ : fixation index

| label | country | location | $N$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {e }}$ | $F_{\text {IS }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Atlantic cluster (sites 1 to 5) |  |  | 59 | 0.331 | 0.019 |
| 1 | UK | Poole | 12 | 0.304 | 0.013 |
| 2 | France | Brest | 14 | 0.305 | -0.019 |
| 3 | France | Le Croisic | 5 | 0.327 | -0.013 |
| 4 | France | Ré Island | 3 | 0.331 | 0.018 |
| 5 | France | Arcachon | 25 | 0.330 | -0.013 |
| 6 | France | Hossegor | 19 | 0.351 | 0.042 |
| South Atlantic cluster (sites 7 to 12) |  |  | 95 | 0.337 | -0.011 |
| 7 | Spain | Corogne | 14 | 0.337 | -0.019 |
| 8 | Spain | Vigo | 20 | 0.338 | -0.027 |
| 9 | Portugal | Portimao | 3 | 0.343 | 0.009 |
| 10 | Portugal | Faro (sea) | 11 | 0.339 | 0.019 |
| 11 | Portugal | Faro (lagoon) | 38 | 0.334 | -0.014 |
| 12 | Spain | Malaga | 9 | 0.335 | -0.009 |
| Mediterrannean cluster (sites 13 to 23 without sites 16 and 20) |  |  | 44 | 0.336 | -0.002 |
| 13 | Spain | Tossa | 9 | 0.343 | 0.018 |
| 14 | France | Leucate | 8 | 0.335 | -0.023 |
| 15 | France | Sète | 1 | - |  |
| 16 | France | Thau | 49 | 0.316 | 0.017 |
| 17 | France | La Ciotat | 3 | 0.328 | 0.068 |
| 18 | France | Le Brusc | 3 | 0.345 | -0.020 |
| 19 | France | Cavalaire-sur- <br> Mer | 4 | 0.339 | -0.029 |
| 20 | Tunisia | Bizerte | 7 | 0.344 | 0.039 |
| 21 | Italy | Naples | 7 | 0.336 | -0.025 |
| 22 | Croatia | Croatia | 1 | - | - |
| 23 | Greece | Kalamaki | 8 | 0.319 | -0.035 |
| 24 | Greece | Halkida | 7 | 0.324 | 0.015 |
| 25 | Bulgaria | Varna | 12 | 0.255 | -0.014 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.374 | 0.127 |

Table SI2-2 Genetic structure (pairwise $F_{S T}$ estimates) between the clusters identified. Probability values for exact tests, corrected for multiple comparisons, not provided here, were all lower than 0.001 .

|  | North Atlantic | South Atlantic | Med. lagoon (Thau) | Med. marine sites | Bizerte | Halkida | Varna |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Atlantic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Atlantic | 0.178 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Med. lagoon | 0.223 | 0.160 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Med. marine sites | 0.200 | 0.087 | 0.115 | 0.070 |  |  |  |
| Bizerte | 0.158 | 0.114 | 0.067 | 0.024 | 0.054 |  |  |
| Halkida | 0.187 | 0.116 | 0.105 | 0.150 | 0.171 | 0.154 |  |
| Varna | 0.260 | 0.186 | 0.196 |  |  |  |  |

Supporting Information SI3 Genetic population structure based on 286 SNP markers analyzed by Individual Bayesian ancestry proportions determined using STRUCTURE with $K=6$ and $K=7$ clusters identified. Dotted black lines separate each study site. The five clusters identified are distinguished by the same colors and numbers as used in Fig. 1, the $6^{\text {th }}$ cluster in gold and the $7^{\text {th }}$ cluster in orange. Each individual is depicted as a vertical bar with colors distinguishing its ancestries to the clusters.


## Supporting Information SI4 Genetic population structure based on 286 SNP markers

The raw genotype matrix for a subset of 105 most differentiated SNP markers and all individuals. Individuals are ordered based on their locations (x-axis). Each rectangle denotes an individual's genotype at a given locus: dark green (homozygote for the allele most frequent in the North Atlantic cluster), green (heterozygotes) and light green (homozygote for the minor allele in the North Atlantic cluster). The names of the outlier SNPs are mentioned at the right of the matrix. Convergent SNPs are represented in orange while the other outliers are in black. Each cluster is colored according to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 above the raw genotype matrix.


## Supporting Information SI5 Blat against seven well-assembled fish genomes

Although H. guttulatus genome is unknown, seven well-assembled genomes of closely related fishes with placed scaffolds are available. By blatting/blasting H. guttulatus contigs comprising the outliers against these seven well-assembled genomes, six to seven of the outliers were recurrently reported on a unique chromosome in each species, and consistently in four of the seven fish genomes (Fig. and Table SI4). Four of these outlier loci (SNPs 232, 312, 87) mapped to this chromosome for all the seven fish genomes (colored in orange and grey in Fig. SI4, Table SI4).

Figure SI5 Phylogenomic tree (A-), and outlier cross-mapping (B-). The phylogenomic tree (A-) reconstructed from Tine et al. (2014) Lin et al. (2016) and Small et al. (2016) is illustrated with regards to the mapping location of H. guttulatus outlier SNPs on eight wellassembled fish genomes (Syngnathus scovelli, Dicentrarchus labrax, Gasterosteus aculeatus, Oryzias latipes, Oreochromis niloticus, Takifugu rubripes, Tetraodon nigroviridis and Danio rerio) and unplaced genomic scaffolds (Hippocampus comes), showing a single matching chromosome for each target species. The order of the scaffolds and SNPs according to the blasts and blats was conserved. Outlier SNPs displaying parallel differentiation between Atlantic lineages and Mediterranean ecotypes are colored in orange, while SNP 87, an outlier highly differentiated between Mediterranean ecotypes is colored in grey.


| Contig | SNP | mapping on the seabass genome | mapping on the stickelback genome | mapping on the medaka genome | mapping on the tilapia genome | mapping on the fugugenome | mapping on the tetraodon genome | mapping on the zebrafish genome | mapping on the tiger tailed seahorse unplaced genomic scaffold | mapping on the gulf pipefish genome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont4384 | 1 | UN | Un | 5 | GL831288-1 | 19 | 11 | 4 | scaffold68 | LG20 |
| Cont28960 | 2 | NO MATCHES | VII | ultracontig115 | LG22 | 15 | 7 | NO MATCHES | scaffold33 | LG11 |
| Cont17577 | 3 | LG1B | V | no matches | LG8-24 | no matches | 2 | NO MATCHES | scaffold 330 | LG10 |
| Cont76931 | 4 | LG19 | XIV | 12 | LG7 | 6 | 4 | 10 | scaffold145 | LG8 |
| Cont18820 | 5 | LG11 | VI | no matches | LG13 | 4 | 17 | 13 | scaffold36 | LG22 |
| Cont10979 | 6 | LGx | IV | 23 | LG17 | 18 | 19 | 4 | scaffold63 | LG17 |
| Cont1508 | 7 | LG11 | VI | 15 | LG13 | 4 | 17 | 13 | scaffold76 | LG22 |
| Cont36293 | 8 | NO MATCHES | Un | 8 | LG4 | HE594104 | 17 | 20 | scaffold 314 | LG16 |
| Cont14853 | 9 | LG8 | XI | 8 | LG4 | 5 | Un_random | 3 | scaffold314 | LG16 |
| Cont16331 | 10 | LG19 | XIV | 12 | LG7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | scaffold183 | LG8 |
| Cont24807 | 11 | NO MATCHES | XV | scaffold1184 | LG15 | no matches | Un_random | 19 | scaffold 221 | no matches |
| Cont2239 | 12 | LG16 | XX | no matches | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 16 | scaffold 383 | LG3 |
| Cont21934 | 13 | LG8 | XI | no matches | LG4 | 5 | Un_random | 4 | scaffold 314 | LG16 |
| Cont14860 | 15 | NO MATCHES | VIII | 4 | LG2 | HE592589 | 17 | 20 | scaffold 384 | LG9 |
| Cont55395 | 16 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 17 | scaffold331 | LG19 |
| Cont7542 | 17 | NO MATCHES | V | scaffold2590 | GL831743-1 | 14 | 2 | 13 | scaffold 620 | LG10 |
| Cont12824 | 19 | LG4 | VIII | 4 | GL831204-1 | 22 | 15 | 17 | scaffold 132 | LG9 |
| Cont14921 | 20 | LG8 | XI | 8 | LG4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | scaffold93 | LG16 |
| Cont11766 | 21 | LG16 | XX | ultracontig182 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 16 | scaffold94 | LG3 |
| Cont34018 | 22 | LG13 | I | 13 | LG14 | 11 | 16 | 13 | scaffold125 | LG18 |
| Cont99369 | 23 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 2 | scaffold92 | LG19 |
| Cont20099 | 24 | NO MATCHES | III | no matches | GL831354-1 | 22 | Un_random | 2 | scaffold 117 | LG6 |
| Cont70320 | 25 | LG4 | VIII | 4 | LG23 | HE591825 | 2 | 5 | scaffold22 | LG9 |
| Cont10895 | 26 | LG15 | XVI | 21 | LG16-21 | 1 | 2 | 15 | scaffold111 | LG7 |


| Cont25919 | 27 | NO MATCHES | XII | 7 | AERX01073340-2 | 16 | Un_random | 9 | scaffold174 | LG1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont83384 | 29 | LG17 | XVIII | 24 | LG15 | 16 | 14 | 20 | scaffold154 | no matches |
| Cont15642 | 30 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 1 | scaffold51 | LG5 |
| Cont3263 | 31 | LG1B | v | 19 | LG8-24 | 1 | 2 | 12 | scaffold17 | LG10 |
| Cont8597 | 32 | LG10 | III | 17 | LG18 | HE591745 | 15 | 2 | scaffold85 | LG6 |
| Cont23724 | 33 | LG1A | XVII | ultracontig62 | LG5 | 19 | Un_random | 24 | C16644750 | LG20 |
| Cont2140 | 34 | LG6 | XVIII | 24 | LG15 | 16 | 14 | 20 | scaffold100 | LG15 |
| Cont9669 | 35 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 12 | scaffold51 | LG5 |
| Cont28226 | 36 | LG9 | X | 11 | LG22 | 12 | 21 | 16 | scaffold 163 | LG8 |
| Cont1200 | 37 | LG18-21 | XXI | 20 | LG9 | 10 | 15 | NO MATCHES | scaffold501 | LG21 |
| Cont5402 | 38 | NO MATCHES | VI | 9 | LG13 | 4 | 17 | 13 | scaffold76 | LG22 |
| Cont598 | 39 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | 21 | 12 | 1 | scaffold 155 | LG12 |
| Cont16697 | 40 | UN | VII | 14 | GL831331-1 | 15 | 7 | 5 | scaffold66 | LG11 |
| Cont77955 | 41 | LG16 | XX | 16 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 16 | scaffold327 | LG3 |
| Cont8845 | 42 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | HE591689 | Un_random | 1 | scaffold158 | LG8 |
| Cont13226 | 43 | LG19 | XIV | 12 | LG7 | 6 | 4 | 10 | scaffold185 | LG8 |
| Cont26323 | 44 | LG16 | XX | 16 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 19 | scaffold94 | LG3 |
| Cont20336 | 45 | NO MATCHES | IX | no matches | LG6 | 8 | Un_random | 1 | scaffold7 | LG5 |
| Cont84033 | 46 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | HE591823 | 2 | 12 | scaffold 147 | LG12 |
| Cont2647 | 48 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG7 | 9 | 13 | 25 | scaffold 104 | LG2 |
| Cont959 | 49 | LG22-25 | XII | 7 | LG20 | 3 | 9 | 1 | scaffold 174 | LG20 |
| Cont8405 | 50 | NO MATCHES | XI | 8 | LG4 | HE593597 | 1_random | 13 | scaffold 427 | LG4 |
| Cont17342 | 51 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | 21 | Un_random | 5 | scaffold322 | LG12 |
| Cont5748 | 52 | LG8 | XI | 6 | LG5 | 8 | 9 | 21 | scaffold171 | LG16 |
| Cont31658 | 53 | LG22-25 | XII | 7 | LG20 | 3 | 9 | 23 | scaffold14 | LG1 |
| Cont53822 | 54 | NO MATCHES | VIII | ultracontig115 | LG5 | no matches | Un_random | 3 | scaffold275 | no matches |
| Cont12257 | 55 | LG14 | VII | 14 | LG10 | 15 | 7 | 11 | scaffold57 | LG11 |
| Cont15081 | 56 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG7 | HE592015 | Un_random | 18 | scaffold 112 | LG2 |


| Cont15386 | 57 | NO MATCHES | XII | 11 | LG18 | no matches | 9 | 24 | scaffold124 | no matches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont15656 | 58 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 13 | scaffold176 | LG19 |
| Cont7271 | 59 | LG10 | IX | 1 | LG6 | HE593635 | 21 | 17 | scaffold86 | LG5 |
| Cont26255 | 60 | NO MATCHES | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 1 | scaffold7 | LG5 |
| Cont18545 | 61 | LG2 | IV | 10 | LG2 | 22 | 1 | 14 | scaffold156 | LG14 |
| Cont9410 | 62 | LG8 | XI | 8 | LG4 | HE592480 | 19 | 1 | C16899674 | no matches |
| Cont109 | 63 | LG4 | IX | 20 | GL831336-1 | 10 | Un_random | 14 | scaffold490 | LG21 |
| Cont48307 | 64 | LG10 | III | 17 | LG18 | HE591799 | Un_random | 21 | scaffold255 | LG6 |
| Cont33723 | 65 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 1 | scaffold51 | LG5 |
| Cont8390 | 66 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 7 | scaffold150 | LG4 |
| Cont5102 | 67 | LG24 | I | 2 | GL831144-1 | 8 | 3 | 1 | scaffold345 | LG1 |
| Cont28256 | 68 | LG14 | VII | 14 | LG10 | 15 | 7 | 20 | scaffold57 | LG11 |
| Cont25932 | 69 | LG9 | X | scaffold794 | LG22 | 12 | 21_random | 19 | scaffold174 | LG1 |
| Cont8790 | 70 | LG8 | XI | 8 | LG4 | 5 | Un_random | 3 | scaffold10 | LG16 |
| Cont6242 | 71 | LG24 | I | 2 | GL831324-1 | HE591766 | 14 | 17 | scaffold 345 | LG15 |
| Cont14556 | 72 | NO MATCHES | III | 17 | LG18 | HE591799 | Un_random | 2 | scaffold255 | LG6 |
| Cont27390 | 73 | LG19 | VII | 12 | LG7 | 6 | 14 | 19 | scaffold 232 | LG8 |
| Cont19945 | 74 | LG9 | X | no matches | LG22 | 12 | 21 | 19 | scaffold244 | LG13 |
| Cont21029 | 75 | LG20 | XIII | no matches | LG12 | 21 | 12 | 10 | scaffold155 | LG12 |
| Cont14962 | 76 | LG13 | I | 13 | LG14 | 4 | 2 | 1 | scaffold29 | LG18 |
| Cont23817 | 77 | LG4 | VIII | 17 | GL831204-1 | 20 | 1 | 10 | scaffold132 | LG9 |
| Cont8589 | 78 | LG16 | xx | 16 | LG11 | 7 | Un_random | 16 | scaffold315 | LG3 |
| Cont16601 | 79 | NO MATCHES | VII | 20 | AERX01074718-1 | 8 | Un_random | 4 | scaffold18 | LG14 |
| Cont26079 | 80 | UN | XI | scaffold2279 | LG4 | HE591950 | 3 | 19 | scaffold99 | LG16 |
| Cont33822 | 81 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | HE591722 | Un_random | 5 | scaffold45 | LG12 |
| Cont41945 | 83 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 1 | scaffold86 | LG5 |
| Cont23307 | 84 | LG12 | xV | no matches | GL831422-1 | 9 | 14 | 17 | scaffold107 | LG19 |
| Cont25415 | 85 | LG16 | xx | 8 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 10 | scaffold315 | LG3 |


| Cont26534 | 86 | LG2 | IV | 10 | LG2 | 14 | 1 | 9 | scaffold9 | LG14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont9143 | 87 | LG17 | XVIII | 24 | LG15 | 16 | 14 | 8 | scaffold8 | LG15 |
| Cont87996 | 90 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | 21 | 12 | 5 | scaffold147 | LG12 |
| Cont21350 | 91 | LG19 | XIV | no matches | LG7 | 6 | 4 | 10 | scaffold145 | LG8 |
| Cont5243 | 92 | LG1A | Un | scaffold3606 | LG5 | 19 | 11 | 6 | scaffold68 | LG20 |
| Cont4052 | 93 | NO MATCHES | II | no matches | GL831436-1 | no matches | Un_random | 12 | scaffold154 | no matches |
| Cont10293 | 94 | LG2 | IV | 10 | GL831552-1 | HE591614 | Un_random | 14 | scaffold203 | LG14 |
| Cont34439 | 95 | LG22-25 | XII | ultracontig49 | GL831308-1 | 3 | Un_random | 23 | scaffold174 | LG1 |
| Cont13746 | 96 | LG9 | X | 11 | LG22 | 12 | 21 | 19 | scaffold16 | LG11 |
| Cont4345 | 97 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | 21 | 12 | 10 | scaffold 155 | LG12 |
| Cont6023 | 98 | UN | Un | ultracontig89 | LG20 | 3 | Un_random | 8 | scaffold 245 | LG20 |
| Cont12506 | 99 | LG12 | XV | 15 | LG19 | 2 | Un_random | 2 | scaffold 176 | LG19 |
| Cont11299 | 101 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 20 | scaffold32 | LG19 |
| Cont93017 | 102 | NO MATCHES | IV | 13 | LG13 | 5 | 3 | NO MATCHES | scaffold78 | LG12 |
| Cont13559 | 103 | LG19 | Un | 12 | LG7 | HE595610 | Un_random | 21 | scaffold183 | LG8 |
| Cont14019 | 104 | NO MATCHES | IX | scaffold521 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 11 | scaffold86 | LG5 |
| Cont11526 | 105 | LG10 | III | 17 | LG18 | 22 | 15 | 6 | scaffold 317 | LG6 |
| Cont14070 | 106 | UN | Un | 20 | GL831288-1 | 11 | 10 | 6 | scaffold420 | LG20 |
| Cont6347 | 107 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 11 | scaffold86 | LG5 |
| Cont2549 | 108 | LG15 | XIII | scaffold 3077 | LG14 | 3 | 18 | 17 | scaffold 177 | LG11 |
| Cont3550 | 110 | LG22-25 | XII | 7 | LG20 | HE591958 | 9 | 8 | scaffold 174 | LG1 |
| Cont30259 | 111 | LG1A | Un | 5 | LG5 | 19 | 11 | 6 | scaffold68 | LG20 |
| Cont69271 | 112 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG13 | 9 | 13 | 25 | scaffold1501 | LG2 |
| Cont28490 | 113 | LG4 | VIII | 4 | LG23 | 20 | 1 | 11 | C16361965 | LG9 |
| Cont13741 | 114 | LG2 | IV | 10 | GL831239-1 | 14 | 1_random | 5 | scaffold156 | LG14 |
| Cont15454 | 115 | LG16 | XX | 10 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 23 | scaffold 327 | LG3 |
| Cont18687 | 116 | NO MATCHES | IV | no matches | LG17 | 18 | 19 | 21 | scaffold127 | LG17 |
| Cont26203 | 117 | LG20 | XIII | 10 | LG12 | HE591882 | 10 | 17 | scaffold179 | no matches |


| Cont8075 | 118 | UN | Un | 11 | GL831438-1 | HE592393 | Un_random | 16 | scaffold73 | LG13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont20917 | 120 | LG19 | XIV | no matches | LG7 | HE592064 | Un_random | 10 | scaffold101 | LG8 |
| Cont14449 | 122 | UN | XX | 3 | LG16-21 | 16 | no matches | 3 | scaffold2808 | LG3 |
| Cont8353 | 123 | LG22-25 | XII | 7 | LG20 | 3 | 9 | 8 | scaffold157 | LG1 |
| Cont10848 | 124 | LG10 | III | 17 | LG18 | 22 | 15 | 2 | scaffold317 | LG6 |
| Cont1099 | 125 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 1 | scaffold51 | LG5 |
| Cont25313 | 126 | LG22-25 | XII | 3 | LG9 | HE593724 | Un_random | 13 | scaffold28 | LG1 |
| Cont11253 | 127 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG7 | 9 | 13 | 13 | scaffold570 | LG2 |
| Cont18851 | 128 | LG20 | XIII | no matches | LG12 | no matches | no matches | 16 | scaffold130 | no matches |
| Cont9335 | 129 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | HE591737 | Un_random | 14 | scaffold51 | LG5 |
| Cont642 | 130 | UN | X | ultracontig72 | LG7 | HE592842 | 13 | 10 | scaffold37 | LG2 |
| Cont2041 | 132 | NO MATCHES | IV | 10 | LG2 | 13 | 18 | 10 | scaffold118 | LG14 |
| Cont3347 | 133 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG7 | 9 | 13 | 5 | scaffold104 | LG2 |
| Cont32959 | 134 | LG14 | VII | 14 | LG10 | HE591967 | Un_random | 10 | scaffold 177 | LG11 |
| Cont2853 | 135 | NO MATCHES | IV | 24 | LG8-24 | no matches | no matches | 8 | scaffold 367 | LG10 |
| Cont35401 | 137 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | HE591988 | Un_random | 1 | scaffold51 | LG5 |
| Cont10193 | 138 | NO MATCHES | XVI | 10 | GL831574-1 | no matches | 2 | 15 | scaffold 409 | LG1 |
| Cont96526 | 139 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | GL831310-1 | HE592940 | 13 | 19 | scaffold298 | LG2 |
| Cont1243 | 140 | LG13 | I | 13 | LG14 | 11 | 16 | 19 | scaffold6 | LG18 |
| Cont68920 | 141 | LG9 | X | 11 | LG22 | 12 | 21_random | 19 | scaffold87 | LG13 |
| Cont7267 | 142 | NO MATCHES | Un | 20 | LG9 | 10 | Un_random | 12 | scaffold25 | LG21 |
| Cont32750 | 143 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 7 | scaffold 378 | LG4 |
| Cont8984 | 144 | UN | II | 18 | LG17 | 17 | Un_random | 13 | scaffold55 | LG3 |
| Cont32113 | 145 | NO MATCHES | IX | 7 | LG22 | 15 | 18 | 14 | scaffold51 | LG5 |
| Cont32643 | 147 | UN | Un | 8 | GL831404-1 | 5 | Un_random | 3 | scaffold187 | LG16 |
| Cont11887 | 148 | LG8 | XI | ultracontig104 | GL831541-1 | 5 | 15 | 1 | scaffold 257 | LG16 |
| Cont2465 | 149 | LG19 | XIV | 12 | LG7 | 6 | 4 | 1 | scaffold30 | LG8 |
| Cont44942 | 150 | UN | XIX | 12 | LG1 | 20 | Un_random | 5 | scaffold150 | LG4 |


| Cont27645 | 151 | LG19 | XIV | 1 | LG7 | 6 | 4 | 2 | scaffold347 | LG8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont103950 | 153 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | GL831206-1 | HE592015 | Un_random | 4 | scaffold37 | LG2 |
| Cont25796 | 154 | LG5 | II | 6 | LG4 | 13 | no matches | 12 | scaffold82 | LG4 |
| Cont4827 | 156 | LG18-21 | XXI | 20 | LG9 | HE592042 | Un_random | 18 | scaffold293 | LG21 |
| Cont14555 | 157 | LG7 | IX | 22 | LG6 | 17 | Un_random | 1 | scaffold7 | LG5 |
| Cont31838 | 158 | LG2 | IV | 10 | LG2 | 14 | 1 | Un | scaffold9 | LG14 |
| Cont9025 | 159 | LG22-25 | XII | 7 | LG20 | 3 | Un_random | 8 | scaffold1 | LG1 |
| Cont4262 | 160 | LG19 | XIV | 12 | LG7 | HE592442 | Un_random | 21 | scaffold 437 | LG8 |
| Cont9081 | 161 | LG11 | VI | 15 | LG13 | HE591905 | 17 | 13 | scaffold76 | LG22 |
| Cont11271 | 162 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 1 | scaffold7 | LG5 |
| Cont30153 | 163 | LG22-25 | XII | 7 | LG20 | HE591793 | Un_random | 23 | scaffold139 | LG1 |
| Cont3030 | 164 | LG11 | VI | 18 | GL831350-1 | 7 | 13 | 13 | scaffold352 | LG22 |
| Cont7002 | 165 | LG17 | XVIII | 24 | LG15 | 1 | 17 | 2 | scaffold144 | LG15 |
| Cont123 | 166 | LG2 | IV | 10 | LG2 | 14 | 1 | 14 | scaffold9 | LG14 |
| Cont3077 | 167 | LG16 | XX | 16 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 16 | scaffold94 | LG3 |
| Cont12396 | 168 | NO MATCHES | XV | 2 | GL831306-1 | no matches | no matches | 4 | scaffold43 | LG19 |
| Cont35424 | 169 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 7 | scaffold261 | LG4 |
| Cont19337 | 170 | LG12 | XXI | no matches | LG20 | HE592690 | 18 | 21 | scaffold 174 | LG1 |
| Cont9720 | 171 | LG4 | VIII | 4 | GL831204-1 | 20 | 1 | 8 | scaffold 132 | LG9 |
| Cont35271 | 172 | LG12 | XV | scaffold997 | LG19 | HE591840 | 10 | 17 | scaffold 221 | no matches |
| Cont25942 | 173 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 1 | scaffold21 | LG4 |
| Cont5609 | 174 | LG15 | XVI | ultracontig257 | LG16-21 | 1 | 5 | 9 | scaffold 111 | LG7 |
| Cont7337 | 176 | LG14 | IV | 14 | GL831184-1 | 15 | 7 | 17 | scaffold59 | LG11 |
| Cont11323 | 177 | LG19 | XIV | scaffold5324 | LG10 | 6 | 2 | NO MATCHES | scaffold600 | LG8 |
| Cont21369 | 178 | UN | XVIII | no matches | LG12 | no matches | 4 | 4 | scaffold170 | LG15 |
| Cont34108 | 179 | LG14 | VII | 14 | GL831331-1 | HE591963 | 7 | 21 | scaffold 177 | LG11 |
| Cont32218 | 180 | NO MATCHES | XIX | 6 | LG13 | 9 | 13 | 12 | scaffold62 | LG2 |
| Cont13604 | 181 | NO MATCHES | XX | 16 | LG11 | 7 | Un_random | 7 | C17013657 | LG3 |


| Cont1765 | 183 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 18 | scaffold261 | LG4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont2573 | 184 | LG16 | XX | 16 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 2 | scaffold 242 | LG3 |
| Cont25894 | 185 | NO MATCHES | XIV | 6 | LG13 | HE591871 | 5 | 6 | scaffold145 | LG8 |
| Cont15558 | 186 | LG13 | I | 13 | LG14 | 11 | 10 | 15 | scaffold29 | LG18 |
| Cont17934 | 187 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | HE591737 | Un_random | 8 | scaffold51 | LG5 |
| Cont25867 | 188 | LG13 | I | 11 | LG14 | 11 | 16 | 13 | scaffold72 | LG18 |
| Cont29792 | 189 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG13 | 9 | 13 | 24 | scaffold62 | LG2 |
| Cont33785 | 190 | NO MATCHES | II | 3 | LG17 | 14 | Un_random | 25 | scaffold275 | LG4 |
| Cont21458 | 192 | LG13 | I | no matches | LG14 | HE591604 | 16 | 5 | scaffold122 | no matches |
| Cont18822 | 193 | UN | IV | no matches | LG1 | 18 | no matches | 16 | scaffold81 | LG17 |
| Cont34240 | 194 | LG22-25 | XII | 7 | LG20 | 3 | 9 | 22 | scaffold152 | LG1 |
| Cont10740 | 195 | LG9 | X | 11 | LG22 | 12 | Un_random | 19 | scaffold401 | LG13 |
| Cont7133 | 196 | UN | VII | 14 | GL831331-1 | 18 | Un_random | 5 | scaffold66 | LG11 |
| Cont17712 | 197 | LG10 | III | 17 | LG18 | 22 | 15 | 2 | scaffold228 | no matches |
| Cont12627 | 198 | LG18-21 | XXI | 20 | LG9 | 10 | Un_random | 19 | scaffold 322 | LG21 |
| Cont76087 | 199 | LG8 | XI | 8 | LG4 | 5 | Un_random | 3 | scaffold 433 | LG16 |
| Cont28921 | 200 | UN | III | 17 | GL831564-1 | HE594843 | Un_random | 24 | scaffold159 | LG6 |
| Cont3548 | 202 | LG1B | V | 19 | LG8-24 | 1 | 2 | 12 | scaffold74 | LG10 |
| Cont13811 | 203 | LG8 | XI | 8 | LG4 | 5 | Un_random | 3 | scaffold 343 | LG16 |
| Cont11788 | 204 | LG1A | XVII | 5 | LG5 | 19 | 11 | 14 | scaffold68 | LG20 |
| Cont11310 | 205 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 9 | scaffold21 | LG4 |
| Cont13591 | 206 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 18 | scaffold739 | LG19 |
| Cont562 | 208 | LG14 | VII | 20 | LG22 | HE591765 | 7 | 10 | scaffold119 | no matches |
| Cont521 | 209 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG7 | HE591792 | Un_random | 25 | scaffold110 | LG2 |
| Cont28169 | 210 | LG18-21 | XXI | 20 | LG9 | 10 | 6 | 5 | scaffold181 | LG21 |
| Cont23608 | 211 | UN | Un | no matches | LG8-24 | 5 | Un_random | 3 | scaffold58 | LG10 |
| Cont16652 | 212 | LG17 | XVIII | 24 | GL831366-1 | 16 | 14 | 12 | scaffold8 | LG15 |
| Cont34334 | 213 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | 21 | 12 | 22 | scaffold13 | LG12 |


| Cont24441 | 215 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | 21 | 12 | 5 | scaffold155 | LG12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont9474 | 216 | NO MATCHES | XII | scaffold794 | LG20 | 2 | 10 | 9 | scaffold174 | LG1 |
| Cont88381 | 217 | LG22-25 | X | 7 | LG20 | 2 | 9 | 3 | scaffold41 | LG1 |
| Cont13357 | 218 | LG1A | Un | 5 | LG5 | 19 | 11 | 6 | scaffold40 | LG20 |
| Cont15610 | 219 | NO MATCHES | VIII | 22 | LG23 | no matches | 1 | 8 | scaffold229 | LG9 |
| Cont11435 | 220 | NO MATCHES | III | 17 | LG16-21 | HE591745 | Un_random | 10 | scaffold85 | LG6 |
| Cont28359 | 222 | UN | VII | 14 | GL831331-1 | 2 | 3 | 11 | scaffold177 | LG11 |
| Cont15607 | 223 | LG22-25 | Un | 7 | LG20 | HE591897 | Un_random | 8 | scaffold174 | LG1 |
| Cont2754 | 224 | LG1B | v | 19 | LG8-24 | 1 | 2 | 13 | scaffold620 | LG10 |
| Cont792 | 225 | LG4 | VIII | 4 | LG17 | 20 | 1 | 6 | scaffold282 | no matches |
| Cont51071 | 226 | LG10 | III | 17 | LG18 | HE591935 | 15 | 11 | scaffold 285 | LG6 |
| Cont21701 | 227 | NO MATCHES | XVI | no matches | LG16-21 | 1 | 2 | 12 | scaffold 310 | LG5 |
| Cont32639 | 228 | LG7 | IX | 22 | LG22 | 16 | 6 | 10 | scaffold158 | no matches |
| Cont7523 | 229 | LG16 | XX | ultracontig182 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 16 | scaffold 335 | LG3 |
| Cont6068 | 230 | UN | VI | 15 | LG13 | 4 | 17 | 13 | scaffold 348 | LG21 |
| Cont5773 | 231 | LG10 | III | 1 | LG18 | 22 | 15 | 24 | scaffold105 | LG6 |
| Cont11263 | 232 | LG17 | XVIII | 24 | LG15 | 16 | 14 | 20 | scaffold339 | LG15 |
| Cont7123 | 233 | LG10 | III | 17 | LG18 | 22 | 15_random | 2 | scaffold85 | LG6 |
| Cont1322 | 234 | NO MATCHES | V | ultracontig223 | LG8-24 | HE591713 | 2 | 12 | scaffold58 | LG10 |
| Cont15378 | 235 | LG16 | XX | 16 | LG11 | 7 | Un_random | 25 | scaffold 315 | LG3 |
| Cont10526 | 236 | LG7 | IX | scaffold1494 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 10 | scaffold7 | LG5 |
| Cont32784 | 238 | NO MATCHES | NO MATCHES | 8 | LG11 | 19 | 3 | 11 | scaffold191 | LG20 |
| Cont7154 | 239 | NO MATCHES | NO MATCHES | 9 | LG1 | HE591723 | no matches | 16 | scaffold 249 | no matches |
| Cont29967 | 242 | LG16 | XX | 16 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | Un | scaffold 327 | LG3 |
| Cont28892 | 243 | NO MATCHES | XIX | 6 | LG7 | 9 | 13 | 9 | scaffold 186 | LG2 |
| Cont29410 | 244 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | HE591939 | Un_random | 3 | scaffold86 | LG5 |
| Cont9573 | 245 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 10 | scaffold 261 | LG4 |
| Cont14756 | 247 | LG8 | IX | 21 | LG5 | HE592191 | 16 | 1 | scaffold7 | LG5 |


| Cont35041 | 248 | NO MATCHES | Un | scaffold 4856 | NO MATCHES | 12 | no matches | 7 | scaffold77 | no matches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont21756 | 249 | LG24 | I | no matches | GL831262-1 | 8 | 3 | 9 | scaffold283 | LG1 |
| Cont21948 | 250 | LG22-25 | XII | no matches | LG20 | 3 | Un_random | 23 | scaffold1 | LG1 |
| Cont3690 | 251 | LG7 | IX | 1 | LG6 | 17 | 18 | 3 | scaffold7 | LG5 |
| Cont6177 | 253 | LG14 | VII | 14 | LG10 | 15 | 7 | 6 | scaffold57 | LG11 |
| Cont9851 | 254 | LG7 | IX | scaffold3161 | GL831395-1 | 17 | 18 | 1 | scaffold7 | LG5 |
| Cont24363 | 255 | LG6 | XIX | scaffold3797 | LG7 | 9 | 13 | 18 | scaffold104 | LG2 |
| Cont34776 | 256 | LG2 | IV | 20 | LG2 | 14 | 20 | 14 | scaffold156 | LG14 |
| Cont16424 | 257 | LG6 | X | 16 | GL831310-1 | 9 | 13 | 20 | scaffold319 | LG2 |
| Cont19623 | 258 | LG4 | VIII | no matches | LG23 | 20 | 1 | 22 | scaffold151 | LG9 |
| Cont3718 | 259 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 7 | scaffold2 | LG4 |
| Cont18756 | 260 | LG4 | VIII | no matches | GL831204-1 | 20 | 1 | 22 | scaffold132 | LG9 |
| Cont5741 | 261 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | 21 | Un_random | 10 | scaffold 147 | LG12 |
| Cont95374 | 262 | LG18-21 | XXI | 20 | LG9 | 10 | Un_random | 24 | scaffold 322 | LG21 |
| Cont2378 | 263 | LG9 | X | 11 | LG22 | HE591892 | 8 | 19 | scaffold 166 | LG13 |
| Cont6695 | 264 | LG22-25 | XII | ultracontig90 | GL831582-1 | HE591827 | 9 | 23 | scaffold174 | LG1 |
| Cont12415 | 265 | LG11 | VI | 15 | LG13 | 4 | 17 | 1 | scaffold64 | LG22 |
| Cont6274 | 266 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 17 | scaffold 443 | LG19 |
| Cont7452 | 267 | UN | Un | 22 | LG19 | 2 | Un_random | 17 | scaffold 295 | LG19 |
| Cont9803 | 268 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 17 | scaffold112 | LG19 |
| Cont7637 | 269 | LG1A | Un | 5 | LG5 | 19 | 11 | 11 | scaffold68 | LG20 |
| Cont5882 | 270 | NO MATCHES | VI | scaffold3613 | LG13 | 4 | Un_random | 13 | scaffold36 | LG22 |
| Cont17369 | 271 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG7 | 9 | 2 | 4 | scaffold104 | LG2 |
| Cont190 | 274 | LG6 | XIX | no matches | GL831310-1 | 9 | 13 | 25 | scaffold 319 | LG2 |
| Cont33032 | 275 | LG11 | VI | 15 | LG13 | 4 | 17 | 13 | scaffold76 | LG22 |
| Cont7134 | 276 | LG18-21 | XXI | ultracontig236 | GL831564-1 | 10 | 1 | 10 | scaffold680 | LG21 |
| Cont26989 | 277 | LG10 | III | 17 | GL831264-1 | 22 | 15 | 13 | scaffold317 | LG6 |
| Cont32497 | 278 | LG2 | IV | 10 | LG2 | 14 | 1 | 17 | scaffold9 | LG14 |


| Cont22067 | 279 | NO MATCHES | XX | no matches | LG3 | HE591751 | Un_random | Un | scaffold53 | no matches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont5181 | 280 | LG1A | XVII | 5 | LG5 | 19 | Un_random | 15 | scaffold68 | LG20 |
| Cont15293 | 281 | LG18-21 | XXI | 20 | LG9 | 10 | 6 | 7 | scaffold451 | LG21 |
| Cont24693 | 282 | LG22-25 | XII | 7 | LG20 | 3 | Un_random | 23 | scaffold14 | LG1 |
| Cont1305 | 283 | LG8 | XI | 8 | LG4 | 5 | Un_random | 3 | scaffold193 | LG16 |
| Cont9662 | 284 | LG20 | XIII | 9 | LG12 | HE591911 | 12 | 8 | scaffold78 | LG12 |
| Cont17645 | 285 | LG13 | I | 13 | LG14 | 11 | 10 | 15 | scaffold29 | LG18 |
| Cont60740 | 286 | NO MATCHES | NO MATCHES | scaffold637 | LG5 | 19 | 21 | 10 | scaffold154 | LG15 |
| Cont1293 | 287 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 13 | scaffold587 | LG19 |
| Cont10382 | 288 | LG13 | I | 13 | LG14 | 22 | 16 | 18 | scaffold72 | LG18 |
| Cont6703 | 289 | NO MATCHES | IX | 1 | GL831609-1 | 17 | 18 | 18 | scaffold158 | LG5 |
| Cont51421 | 290 | LG7 | III | 2 | GL831681-1 | 17 | Un_random | 1 | scaffold301 | LG5 |
| Cont5084 | 291 | LG1A | VII | 5 | LG5 | 19 | 11 | 11 | scaffold68 | LG20 |
| Cont34339 | 292 | LG14 | VII | 14 | GL831235-1 | 15 | 7 | 21 | scaffold109 | LG11 |
| Cont8013 | 293 | LGx | IV | 23 | LG17 | 18 | 19 | 4 | scaffold53 | LG17 |
| Cont16340 | 295 | LG2 | IV | 10 | LG2 | 14 | 1 | 1 | scaffold118 | LG14 |
| Cont23957 | 296 | LG6 | XVIII | 18 | LG5 | 11 | 1_random | 1 | scaffold180 | no matches |
| Cont10884 | 297 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG13 | 9 | 13 | 7 | scaffold186 | LG2 |
| Cont93885 | 298 | LG11 | VI | 22 | LG13 | 4 | 1 | 15 | scaffold57 | LG22 |
| Cont30303 | 299 | LG1B | V | ultracontig221 | LG8-24 | HE591713 | 2 | 3 | C16949222 | LG10 |
| Cont15330 | 300 | LG5 | II | 3 | LG1 | 13 | 5 | 11 | scaffold 261 | LG4 |
| Cont6569 | 301 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 20 | scaffold 176 | LG19 |
| Cont6280 | 302 | LG1B | V | 19 | LG8-24 | 1 | 2 | 24 | scaffold 416 | LG10 |
| Cont18078 | 303 | LG10 | III | no matches | LG18 | 22 | 15_random | 13 | scaffold85 | LG6 |
| Cont3646 | 304 | LG15 | XVI | 21 | LG16-21 | 1 | 2 | 23 | scaffold 237 | LG7 |
| Cont15961 | 305 | LG14 | VII | 14 | GL831331-1 | 15 | 7 | 5 | scaffold57 | LG11 |
| Cont1392 | 306 | LG13 | Un | 17 | LG14 | 22 | 16 | 15 | scaffold122 | LG18 |
| Cont6381 | 307 | LG11 | VI | 15 | LG13 | 4 | 17 | 13 | scaffold108 | LG22 |


| Cont6287 | 308 | LG16 | VIII | 18 | LG11 | 7 | 8 | 8 | scaffold153 | LG3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cont7606 | 310 | LG13 | I | 13 | LG14 | 11 | 10 | 15 | scaffold29 | LG18 |
| Cont35431 | 311 | LG17 | XVIII | 24 | LG15 | 16 | 14 | 20 | scaffold8 | LG15 |
| Cont11333 | 312 | LG17 | XVIII | 24 | LG15 | 16 | 14 | 10 | scaffold397 | LG15 |
| Cont17350 | 313 | LG6 | XIX | 6 | LG7 | 9 | 13 | 18 | scaffold104 | LG2 |
| Cont3764 | 314 | NO MATCHES | Un | no matches | LG14 | no matches | Un_random | 16 | scaffold122 | LG18 |
| Cont10557 | 315 | LG6 | XIX | ultracontig72 | GL831206-1 | HE592034 | Un_random | 25 | scaffold37 | LG2 |
| Cont54629 | 316 | LG12 | XV | 22 | LG19 | 2 | 10 | 21 | scaffold43 | LG19 |
| Cont30176 | 317 | LG16 | Un | 16 | LG11 | 7 | Un_random | 16 | scaffold249 | LG3 |
| Cont1278 | 318 | LG15 | XVI | 21 | LG16-21 | 1 | 2 | 9 | scaffold237 | LG7 |

Supporting Information SI6 Genome scan of infra-specific differentiation in H. guttulatus. Solid and dashed lines respectively represent the $99 \%$ and $95 \%$ quantiles of the neutral envelope of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ST }}$ obtained following Bonhomme et al. (2010) approach. Loci identified by all methods as outliers are colored in orange - the six outliers that displayed parallel differentiation between Atlantic lineages and Mediterranean ecotypes - and in black - the three other outliers.


