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This paper reviews current understanding of deglaciation in North, Central and South America from the Last Glacial Maximum to the beginning of the Holocene. Together with paleoclimatic and paleoceanographic data, we compare and contrast the pace of deglaciation and the response of glaciers to major climate events. During the Global Last Glacial Maximum (GLGM, 26.5-19 ka), average temperatures decreased 4º to 8ºC in the Americas, but precipitation varied strongly throughout this large region. Many glaciers in North and Central America achieved their maximum extent during the GLGM, whereas others advanced even farther during the subsequent Heinrich Stadial 1 (HS-1). Glaciers in the Andes also expanded during the GLGM, but that advance was not the largest, except on Tierra del Fuego. HS-1 (17.5-14.6 ka) was a time of general glacier thickening and advance throughout most of North and Central America, and in the tropical Andes; however, glaciers in the temperate and subpolar Andes thinned and retreated during this period. During the Bølling-Allerød interstadial (B-A, 14.6-12.9 ka), glaciers retreated throughout North and Central America and, in some cases, completely disappeared. Many glaciers advanced during the Antarctic Cold Reversal (ACR, 14.6-12.9 ka) in the tropical
Andes and Patagonia. There were small advances of glaciers in North America, Central America and in northern South America (Venezuela) during the Younger Dryas (12.9-11.7 ka), but glaciers in central and southern South America retreated during this period, except on the Altiplano where advances were driven by an increase in precipitation. Taken together, we suggest that there was a climate compensation effect, or ‘seesaw’, between the hemispheres, which affected not only marine currents and atmospheric circulation, but also the behavior of glaciers. This seesaw is consistent with the opposing behavior of many glaciers in the Northern and Southern Hemispheres.
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1. Introduction

This paper focuses on the evolution of glaciation in the Americas during the Last Glacial Termination. The American continents extend 15,000 km from 70ºN to 55ºS and are characterized on their Pacific margins by mountain ranges that are continuous over this distance and, in most cases, now have glaciers or had them during the last glacial period of the Pleistocene. Knowledge of the activity of these glaciers has increased enormously in recent years (Palacios, 2017). This knowledge provides us an opportunity to study how American glaciers behaved during the Last Glacial Termination in the context of the asynchronous climatic setting of the two hemispheres. The largely north-south orientation and nearly continuous extent of mountain ranges in the Americas provide a unique opportunity to understand synoptic latitudinal variations in global paleoclimate.

The Last Glacial Termination is generally considered to span the time period between the Global Last Glacial Maximum (GLGM) and the beginning of the current interglacial period, the Holocene (Cheng et al., 2009; Denton et al., 2010). It has also been referred to as Termination I, given that it is the last in a series of similar transitions between Pleistocene glacial and interglacials (Emiliani, 1955; Broecker and van Donk, 1970; Cheng et al., 2009; Deaney et al., 2017).

The motivation for this review paper is that there have been few attempts to summarize, synthesize, and compare evidence for late Pleistocene glacier activity across the entire extent of the Americas. Our objective is to review current understanding of the evolution of glaciers in both North and South America throughout the Last Glacial Termination and...
discuss whether the contrasts between the hemispheres implied by paleoclimatic and paleooceanographic models are reflected in the behavior of the glaciers. Given the continuous nature of the processes involved in the planet’s recent glacial history, parsing deglaciation into periods requires simplification of the climatic mechanisms. Different and opposite changes may occur at different latitudes, with variable response times. In the present article, we have however selected deglaciation phases in accordance with the current state of knowledge and with scientific tradition.

Section 2 introduces the study regions and then summarizes how each of the regions has responded to major climatic changes caused by the different forcings that drove deglaciation. Section 3 presents the methods that we have used in this work to select study areas, represent graphically the glacial evolution of each area, and compare glacial chronologies and paleoclimatic aspects of areas. Section 4 reviews the spatial and temporal variability of the GLGM in the Americas. The next sections review the behaviors of these glaciers during deglaciation, notably the Heinrich 1 Stadial (HS-1) (Section 5), the Bølling-Allerød (B-A) interstadial and the Antarctic Cold Reversal (Section 6), and the Younger Dryas (YD) (Section 7). These sections are followed by a discussion (Section 8) in which we: 1) consider uncertainties in numeric ages obtained on glacial landforms (Section 8.1); 2) summarize knowledge of climate evolution during the Last Glacial Termination based on research on marine sediments and polar ice cores (Section 8.2); 3) compare our results with the climatic evolution summarized in Section 8.2; and 4) compare our results with published research on glacier activity on other continents during the Last Glacial Termination (Sections 8.3 to 8.5).

2. Study Areas

Our review proceeds from north to south (Figs. 1 and 2). The study begins with the Laurentide Ice Sheet (LIS) (Fig. 1), which contributed most to sea-level rise during the Last Glacial Termination (Lambeck et al., 2014) and was capable of greatly disrupting the coupled ocean-atmosphere system during deglaciation (Broccoli and Manabe, 1987a; Heinrich, 1988; Clark, 1994; Barber et al., 1999; Hemming, 2004). We summarize the most recent syntheses about LIS deglaciation (Dyke, 2004; Stokes 2017), enabling comparisons with deglaciation in the American mountains. Alaska is traversed by high mountains and was only partially glaciated during the GLGM. We examine this region as an unusual example of mountain glaciation at northern high latitudes (Briner et al., 2017).
We next describe the Cordilleran Ice Sheet (CIS) in southwestern Canada and adjacent United States, from roughly 48°N to 52°N, which removed or buried much of the preceding alpine glacial record (Clague, 2017), and the North Cascades in Washington State from 47°N to 49°N, which provide an excellent record of the early part of the Last Glacial Termination (Porter, 1976; Porter et al., 1983; Riedel et al., 2010; Riedel, 2017). The climate of these areas is strongly influenced by the location of the northern westerlies. There was widespread alpine glaciation in the central sector of western North America: to the west in the Sierra Nevada Mountains in California; to the east in the Rocky Mountain/Yellowstone region, and in between the numerous mountain ranges of the Basin and Range Province (Fig. 1). Glaciation in the western U.S. has been the subject of numerous recent studies (Licciardi et al., 2001, 2004; Munroe et al., 2006; Licciardi and Pierce, 2008; Refsnider et al., 2008; Thackray, 2008; Laabs et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011; Shakun et al., 2015b; Leonard et al., 2017a, 2017b; Thackray, 2008; Laabs et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011; Shakun et al., 2015b; Leonard et al., 2017a, 2017b; Thackray, 2008; Laabs et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011). In the interior, we mainly focus on the greater Yellowstone glacial system and adjacent mountain ranges around 44-45°N where new glacial syntheses are available (Larsen et al., 2016; Licciardi and Pierce, 2018; Pierce et al., 2018; Dahms et al., 2018, 2019); and the Rocky Mountains of Colorado at 37-41°N, for which there are also some recent contributions (Ward et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011; Leonard et al., 2017a, 2017b; Brugger et al., 2019). The Sierra Nevada, from 36° to 38°N, is one of the most-studied mountain ranges in North America, and numerous syntheses have been written on its glacial history (Gillespie and Zehfuss, 2004; Gillespie and Clark, 2011; Phillips, 2016, 2017).

Southward, the combined effects of lower elevation and higher ELA result in the limited presence of glacial landforms in the southern United States and northern Mexico. However, in central Mexico, at about 19°N, the high volcanoes (>5000 m above sea level, asl) of the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt were glaciated (Fig. 1). Elevations decrease again in southern Mexico, and there are two mountain ranges in Central America (>3800 m asl) that hosted glaciers during the Late Pleistocene: Sierra Altos Cuchumatanes in Guatemala and the Cordillera de Talamanca in Costa Rica. There are some recent syntheses of the glacial history of central Mexico (Vázquez-Selem and Heine, 2011; Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017) and the Central American glaciated ranges (Lachniet and Selzer, 2002; Roy and Lachniet, 2010; Cunningham et al., 2019; Potter et al., 2019).
Lachniet and Vázquez-Selem (2005) and Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet (2017) recently summarized the history of Quaternary glaciation for this entire region.

In South America (Fig. 2), the crest and high valleys of the Andes from the north at 11°N to the south at 55°S (a distance of over 7200 km) were glaciated during the last glacial cycle. The northern Andes are located between latitudes 11°N and 4°S, and include ranges in Venezuela, Colombia, and Ecuador. The Venezuelan Andes consist of two main ranges oriented northeast to southwest between 7°N and 10°N, named Sierra de Perijá and the Mérida Andes; the latter contains abundant glacial landforms and extant glaciers. Numerous dating studies have been performed on glacial landforms in that region (e.g. Schubert, 1974; Bezada, 1989; Mahaney et al., 2000; Dirszowsky et al., 2005; Wesnousky et al., 2012; Angel et al., 2013, 2016, 2017; Carcaillet et al., 2013; Guzmán, 2013; Angel, 2016). The Colombian Andes consist of three parallel ranges extending from 1°N to 11°N: the Cordillera Occidental (western), Cordillera Central and Cordillera Oriental (eastern). Studies have been carried out in the Cordillera Central and Cordillera Oriental involving radiocarbon dating of paleosols and glaciofluvial and glacial sediments, and more recently surface exposure \(^{10}\)Be dating (Thouret et al., 1996; Clapperton, 2000; Helmens, 2004, 2011; Jomelli et al., 2014). The Ecuadorian Andes extend from 1°N to 4°S and include the Eastern and Western Cordilleras. Glaciation studies in these ranges have relied mainly on radiocarbon dating of glaciolacustrine and till sediments (Clapperton et al., 1997a; Rodbell et al., 2002; La Frenierre et al., 2011).

The central Andes extend the length of Peru, western Bolivia and northern Chile, and comprise two parallel ranges in which the highest areas have glacial landforms and extant glaciers (Fig. 2). Databases have been compiled to inform paleoclimate modeling and to compare glacier activity in Peru and Bolivia (e.g. Mark et al., 2005). Cosmogenic nuclide exposure dating methods have improved knowledge of Late Pleistocene glacial evolution, but there are significant challenges in interpreting the data (Smith et al., 2005, 2008; Zech et al., 2008; Glasser et al., 2009; Licciardi et al., 2009; Rodbell et al., 2009; Smith and Rodbell, 2010; Blard et al., 2013; Jomelli et al., 2011, 2014; Bromley et al., 2016; Martin et al., 2018). Several other studies in this region focus on the time of deglaciation (He et al., 2013; Shakun et al., 2015b; Stansell et al., 2015, 2017). A recent synthesis of Late Pleistocene glacial evolution has been published for the entire region (Mark et al., 2017), and this review has since been complemented by additional paleoglacier chronologies (Ward et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2018).
In southern Peru (Fig. 2), western Bolivia and northern Chile, the western Andean range marks the west edge of the Altiplano and Puna Plateau, a closed basin that contains the great lakes of Titicaca (3806 m asl), Poopó (3685 m asl), and Salar de Uyuni (3653 m asl). Here, typical elevations are 4000-5000 m asl; the basin is surrounded by the Western and the Eastern Andes, where large volcanoes reach elevations greater than 6000 m asl. In the north of this area, precipitation is delivered mainly by the South American monsoon in the summer months, but to the south, this gives way to extratropical systems related to the southern westerly winds in austral winter. This transition region, between 18°S and 30°S, is an area of persistent aridity known as the Arid Diagonal (De Martonne, 1934).

The western cordillera of the Andes in northern Chile crosses the Arid Diagonal between 18°S and 27°S (Fig. 2). Between Nevado Sajama (18.1°S) and Cerro Tapado (30.2°S), there are few modern glaciers because of limited precipitation (Casassa et al., 2007), but glacial deposits can be mapped as far south as ~24°S on the north side of the Arid Diagonal and as far north as 27°S on the south side (Jenny et al., 1996). A few small rock glaciers and permanent snowfields exist on very high peaks throughout the Arid Diagonal, where ELAs reach >6000 m asl (Ward et al., 2017).

Moving farther south to the northern part of the Argentine Andes between 22°S and 36°S (Fig. 2), there are two different atmospheric circulation patterns, which again are separated by the Arid Diagonal. The Arid Diagonal crosses this section of the Andes between 25°S and 27°S. Most of the precipitation north of the Arid Diagonal falls during the South American summer monsoon season. South of the Arid Diagonal precipitation falls mainly during the austral winter months and is related to southerly sourced westerly winds. The locations where most precipitation is related to the South American summer monsoon are Tres Lagunas (Zech et al., 2009), Nevado de Chañi (Martini et al., 2017a), Sierra de Quilmes (Zech et al., 2017), and Sierra de Aconquija (D’Arcy et al., 2019). The locations where most precipitation is related to the southern westerlies are: the Ansilta range (Terrizzano et al., 2017), Cordon del Plata (Moreiras et al., 2017) and Las Leñas valley (Zech et al., 2017). Reviews of the glacial chronology of the entire region were carried out by Zech et al. (2017) and more recently by D’Arcy et al. (2019).

From 36°S to the southernmost tip of South America, the Patagonian Andes are a complex mountainous region with numerous present-day glaciers and two large ice fields (Campo de Hielo Patagónico Norte and Sur) (Fig. 2). Mapping of major moraine systems throughout Patagonia and early geochronological work have provided a broad framework.

In southern Peru (Fig. 2), western Bolivia and northern Chile, the western Andean range marks the west edge of the Altiplano and Puna Plateau, a closed basin that contains the great lakes of Titicaca (3806 m asl), Poopó (3685 m asl), and Salar de Uyuni (3653 m asl). Here, typical elevations are 4000-5000 m asl; the basin is surrounded by the Western and the Eastern Andes, where large volcanoes reach elevations greater than 6000 m asl. In the north of this area, precipitation is delivered mainly by the South American monsoon in the summer months, but to the south, this gives way to extratropical systems related to the southern westerly winds in austral winter. This transition region, between 18°S and 30°S, is an area of persistent aridity known as the Arid Diagonal (De Martonne, 1934).

The western cordillera of the Andes in northern Chile crosses the Arid Diagonal between 18°S and 27°S (Fig. 2). Between Nevado Sajama (18.1°S) and Cerro Tapado (30.2°S), there are few modern glaciers because of limited precipitation (Casassa et al., 2007), but glacial deposits can be mapped as far south as ~24°S on the north side of the Arid Diagonal and as far north as 27°S on the south side (Jenny et al., 1996). A few small rock glaciers and permanent snowfields exist on very high peaks throughout the Arid Diagonal, where ELAs reach >6000 m asl (Ward et al., 2017).

Moving farther south to the northern part of the Argentine Andes between 22°S and 36°S (Fig. 2), there are two different atmospheric circulation patterns, which again are separated by the Arid Diagonal. The Arid Diagonal crosses this section of the Andes between 25°S and 27°S. Most of the precipitation north of the Arid Diagonal falls during the South American summer monsoon season. South of the Arid Diagonal precipitation falls mainly during the austral winter months and is related to southerly sourced westerly winds. The locations where most precipitation is related to the South American summer monsoon are Tres Lagunas (Zech et al., 2009), Nevado de Chañi (Martini et al., 2017a), Sierra de Quilmes (Zech et al., 2017), and Sierra de Aconquija (D’Arcy et al., 2019). The locations where most precipitation is related to the southern westerlies are: the Ansilta range (Terrizzano et al., 2017), Cordon del Plata (Moreiras et al., 2017) and Las Leñas valley (Zech et al., 2017). Reviews of the glacial chronology of the entire region were carried out by Zech et al. (2017) and more recently by D’Arcy et al. (2019).

From 36°S to the southernmost tip of South America, the Patagonian Andes are a complex mountainous region with numerous present-day glaciers and two large ice fields (Campo de Hielo Patagónico Norte and Sur) (Fig. 2). Mapping of major moraine systems throughout Patagonia and early geochronological work have provided a broad framework.
that underpins our knowledge of the glacial history of this region (e.g., Caldenius, 1932; Feruglio, 1950; Flint and Fidalgo, 1964, 1969; Mercer, 1969, 1976). During the GLGM, there was a large ice sheet, the Patagonian Ice Sheet (PIS), that extended 2000 km along the crest of the range, from 38°S to 55°S. With the exception of northern Patagonia, the western outlet glaciers of the Patagonian Ice Sheet terminated in the Pacific Ocean, whereas eastern outlets terminated on land. The deglaciation chronology and pattern of land-terminating outlets of the PIS have been the subject of much research (Denton et al., 1999a; Glasser et al., 2004, 2008; Kaplan et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2009; Rabassa and Coronato, 2009; Rodbell et al., 2009; Hein et al., 2010; Harrison and Glasser, 2011; Boex et al., 2013; Mendelova et al., 2017).

The southern tip of South America, from the Strait of Magellan to Cape Horn, comprises hundreds of islands (Fig. 2). The largest island, Isla Grande de Tierra del Fuego, is dominated on its west side by the Cordillera Darwin, a mountain range with peaks over 2000 m asl. This range is currently covered by large glaciers, some of which reach the sea. The climate of Tierra del Fuego is strongly affected by the southern westerlies, and precipitation declines rapidly from the Pacific to the Atlantic coast. Hall et al. (2017a) and Hall et al. (2019) published recent syntheses of the glacial history of Tierra del Fuego during Last Glacial Termination and the Holocene, respectively.

3. Methods
3.1 Selection of studies in each area

It is impossible to include all available information on the deglaciation of the Americas in detail in a single review paper. However, this does not preclude us from carrying out a comparative analysis of the Late Glacial history of the two continents based on recent advances in knowledge that we seek to provide here. With this objective in mind, we selected regions where studies of Late Glacial history are most advanced and geographically representative. For each selected region, we review recent publications that are key to understanding the glacial history from the Last Glacial Maximum to the beginning of the Holocene, including the most up-to-date review papers or syntheses from specific regions.

3.2 Graphical expression of glacier extent for each interval

The figures in this paper illustrate generalised glacier extent for each of the intervals discussed below (Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7). A common metric is required to compare glacier advances and extent across the vast area of the Americas. Many researchers consider the Equilibrium Line Altitude (ELA) to be the best measure of climate-driven changes in glacier extent (Rea, 2009), although it may introduce errors in paleoclimate reconstructions in active tectonic mountain ranges (Mitchell and Humphries, 2015) and is perhaps less helpful for large continental ice sheets. We are unable to use ELA in our review for three reasons. First, many studies do not report ELAs for glacial events. Second, the ELAs reported in the papers we surveyed were calculated using different methods and thus may not be comparable between regions. Third, reported ELAs are generally local values and may not be representative of regional climate. For example, an ELA reconstructed for a heavily shaded glacier in a north-facing cirque in the Northern Hemisphere will yield a much lower value than one reconstructed for an exposed glacier on the south side of the same mountain. To be quantitatively useful, both of these must be normalized to the climatic ELA – the zero-mass-balance elevation of a horizontal unshaded surface. To evaluate the climatic ELA, one must model the mass balance of the glacier using a digital elevation representation of the basin. Modeling the physical mass balance of a large number of glaciers spanning the entire Americas is beyond the scope of this paper.

We therefore use a simple, easy-to-compute metric that is based on observational data – the relative extent of a glacier (Et), expressed as a percentage and quantified as follows:
where $Z_t$ is the elevation of the glacier terminus during the period in question, $Z_P$ is the elevation at the end of the Little Ice Age, prior to the anthropogenic period, and $Z_{LLGM}$ is the terminal elevation at the Local Last Glacial Maximum (LLGM). For areas that have no historic glaciers, we use the highest elevation in the catchment as a default value for $Z_P$. In the figures, we have grouped $E_t$ values for each climate region in 20% intervals.

Some ice masses, notably the Laurentide and Patagonian ice sheets, did not uniformly descend downslope from high-elevation accumulation areas, but rather expanded from higher elevation accumulation across vast expanses of relatively flat terrain. For these areas, we used the terminal position (in kilometers) relative to the late Holocene, or final, position as a metric of relative extent.

### 3.3 ELA depression in the Americas

In the text and tables, we refer to the approximate decrease in ELA for each period with respect to the current ELA. We acknowledge that glacier extents can be affected by hypsometry, but in this broad review paper and, as noted above, we are not in a position to perform original mass-balance modeling of a large number of glaciers spanning the entire Americas, which itself could be the subject of a large research project. ELA depression data included in our tables are based on cited peer-reviewed papers. The values should be considered approximations, but are useful for comparing how glaciers in each region responded to climate during each of the periods we discuss and for testing hypotheses of the large-scale driving mechanisms.

### 3.4 Dating glacial landforms

Our work compares chronological data obtained over recent decades through cosmogenic nuclide, surface-exposure dating methods. New scaling models and reference production rates have considerably changed the interpretation and chronological framing of many glacial landforms in recent years (e.g. Kaplan et al., 2011; Blard et al., 2013 a,b; Kelly et al., 2015; Martin et al., 2015, 2017; Borchers et al., 2016; Marrero et al., 2016, Philips et al., 2016). However, the degree of uncertainty in the production rates of most terrestrial cosmogenic isotopes, especially those that do not derive from quartz, can be greater than the amount of time separating many of the phases of deglaciation (Marrero et al., 2016), making it difficult to relate a glacier landform to a particular short period in the past.
Nevertheless, we account for these differences by identifying scaling factors explicitly or providing citations to relevant publications that allow the reader to be informed.

Other possible problems may compromise the validity of cosmogenic nuclide exposure ages. Exposure ages can be misleading if the dated glacial landforms are found to have had previous exposure to radiation or have been eroded out of till subsequent to glacier retreat (Blard et al., 2014; Briner et al., 2016; Çiner et al., 2017). Many of the dated glacial landforms discussed in this review are boulders on the crests of moraines, and their apparent ages must be interpreted in the context of advances or stillstays of glacier fronts. Care must be taken when interpreting these ages (Kirkbride and Winkler, 2012) because, once constructed, a moraine may not stabilize for a long time (Putkonen et al., 2008; Heyman et al., 2011). Moreover, weathering and exhumation since stabilization commonly remove grains from the surfaces of boulders, leading to ages that are younger than those of the moraines on which they lie (Briner et al., 2005; Hein, 2009; Heyman et al., 2011; Oliva and Ruiz-Fernández, 2015). Frequently, glacier fronts are limited in their advance by previously formed moraines and, in such cases, the glacier may deposit new boulders on old moraines. This process can be repeated several times and form a single moraine ridge that is the product of multiple advances (Osborn, 1986; Winkler and Matthews, 2010; Schimmelpfennig et al., 2014). The elevation of sample sites, which have changed frequently through the time on account of glacio-isostatic adjustments, is essential in calculating cosmogenic ages. Moreover, the pattern of these changes is very difficult to know, which also introduces uncertainty in cosmogenic ages (Jones et al., 2019). Snow can reduce the exposure of surfaces to cosmogenic radiation and, in most cases, it is difficult to judge the impact of variations in snow cover over the thousands of years that a surface was exposed (Schildgen et al., 2005). Finally, in some cases, glaciers can advance or retreat independently of climatic forcing (Quincey et al., 2011; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2019).

These potential problems may not necessarily be solved by collecting and analyzing a larger number of samples from the same glacial landform. If altered boulders or boulders with prior radiation exposure are sampled, the statistic only increases the error (Palacios, 2017). Placing the results of cosmogenic nuclide exposure dating within a suitable geomorphological context is far more important than the statistics themselves. This context provides grounds for discarding impossible results and preferentially weighting others. For this reason, this review has relied not only on surface exposure ages and the
most recent production rates, but also on radiocarbon ages and regional geomorphological contexts that strengthen age interpretations and indicate the degree to which they might be in error.

3.5 Possible errors and uncertainties in ages reported in this review

The studies on which this review is based have been conducted over many decades, during a period when dating methods and standards have markedly changed. Although our focus is on recent literature, which is based on current knowledge, we include pertinent older studies that report ages calculated using earlier protocols. Given the many hundred studies and tens of thousand ages involved, reconciliation of chronological differences resulting from different methods would constitute a major research project and one that is far beyond the scope of this review. To that end, we therefore caution readers that the patterns we draw from the literature are a starting point for more detailed comparisons between specific study areas; we encourage readers to thoroughly evaluate and, if necessary, recompute ages reported in the literature for such purposes.

However, as shown below, ages cited in this paper are still comparable, because systematic uncertainties resulting from different methods and production rates are lower than 5% for most of the ages that we discuss here. Most of the ages cited in the text have been calculated using the $^{10}\text{Be}$ isotope and are derived from rocks containing quartz. Some ages cited in the text have been calculated using $^{36}\text{Cl}$ and $^3\text{He}$ in rocks without quartz, commonly volcanic rocks. Recent literature has shown that the ages derived from these three isotopes are comparable, albeit with different uncertainties (Phillips, 2016, 2017; Barth et al., 2019).

Balco and Schaefer (2006), Thompson et al. (2017), Corbett et al., (2019), and Barth et al. (2019) have recently recalculated $^{36}\text{Cl}$ ages cited in the Laurentide sections of this paper and have concluded that they differ little from previously published ages. All $^{10}\text{Be}$ ages from Alaska have been calculated using similar production rates: the Arctic value of Young et al. (2013) or the NENA value of Balco et al. (2009). Menounos et al. (2017) report $^{10}\text{Be}$ ages for the area of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet that are consistent with both previously and subsequently published ages from this region. Recently, $^{10}\text{Be}$ ages for the Rocky Mountains/Yellowstone region have been calculated or recalculated by Shakun et al. (2015a), Dahms et al. (2018), Licciardi and Pierce (2018), and Pierce et al. (2018), and shown to be internally consistent and consistent with the other ages in North America. Sierra Nevada $^{36}\text{Cl}$ and $^{10}\text{Be}$ ages are taken from Phillips (2016) and Phillips (2017) and...
are based on CRONUS-Earth production rates (Borchers et al., 2016, Marrero et al., 2016a, Phillips et al., 2016). Whole-rock cosmogenic $^{36}$Cl ages on moraine boulders and glacially polished rock surfaces in Mexico and Central America are based on calculations and recalculations using CRONUScale (Marrero et al., 2016a, 2016b). Ages from Mexico reported by Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet (2017) and Central America reported by Potter et al. (2019) and Cunningham et al. (2019) are based on different scaling models, but the age differences are less than 2.5%. In conclusion, all cosmogenic ages from North and Central America cited in the text have been calculated or recalculated in the past three years, and possible differences are likely less than 5%.

Turning to South America, the Late Glacial chronology in the northern Andes is mainly based on radiocarbon and $^{10}$Be cosmogenic ages. Many $^{10}$Be ages were recomputed using the Cosmic Ray Exposure Program (CREp, http://crep.crpg.cnrs-nancy.fr/#/) (Martin et al., 2017) and the synthetic High Andes $^{10}$Be production rate reported by Martin et al. (2015). Jomelli et al. (2014) notably homogenized and recalculated 477 published $^{10}$Be and $^3$He surface exposure ages from the Peruvian and Bolivian Andes, spanning the past 15,000 years. After the publication of the paper by Jomelli et al. (2014), Martin et al. (2015) proposed a new empirical $^{10}$Be production rate for the Tropical Andes that is similar, within uncertainties, to those proposed by Blard et al. (2013a) and Kelly et al. (2015). Jomelli et al (2017) and Martin et al (2018) adopted this new production rate and reported recalculated new ages, which we follow in this paper. A recent review by Mark et al. (2017) provides additional information on the Late Glacial chronology of the Peruvian and Bolivian Andes. Alcalá-Reygosa et al. (2017) report $^{36}$Cl ages from volcanic areas in the Peruvian Andes, which they calculated using the spreadsheet developed by Schimmelpfennig (2009) and Schimmelpfennig et al. (2009). Bromley et al. (2011) provide $^3$He ages for the same area. The $^{36}$Cl, $^3$He, and radiocarbon ages from the Peruvian Andes are consistent with one another (Blard et al. 2013a, 2013b; Bromley et al., 2019).

$^{10}$Be ages from northern Chile were calculated or recalculated by Ward et al. (2015, 2017) based on a protocol similar to that used in the Peruvian and Bolivian Andes. $^{36}$Cl ages from northern Chile (Ward et al., 2017) were calculated using CRONUS-Earth production rates and the LSDn routine in CRONUScale (Marerro et al., 2016b). Recently, D’arcy et al. (2019) recalculated all the $^{10}$Be ages from the Central Andes of Argentina using local High Andes production rates (Kelly et al., 2015; Martin et al., 2015, 2017). Ages from Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego were recalculated by the CRONUS-Earth
online exposure age calculators (v. 2.2) (Balco et al., 2008), using the time-dependent Lal/Stone scaling model and the "Patagonian" production rate of Kaplan et al. (2011). As in North and Central America, the South American cosmogenic ages differ slightly between some regions, but the errors do not exceed 5% and thus do not change the overall conclusions of the paper.

We have converted $^{14}$C ages from all the regions to calendar year ages using CALIB 7.1.

4. The Manifestation of the Global Last Glacial Maximum (26.5-19 ka) in the Americas and the Start of the Last Glacial Termination

4.1 The Global Last Glacial Maximum

The first period analyzed covers the time between 26.5 ka and 19 ka, when most of the northern ice sheets and many mountain glaciers reached their maximum extent in the last glacial cycle (Clark et al., 2009). This period coincides with the time of minimum sea level and is characterized by a quasi-equilibrium between the cryosphere and climate (Clark et al., 2009). Following standard usage (Clark et al., 2009; Hughes et al., 2013), we have called this period the ‘Global Last Glacial Maximum’ (GLGM). Clark et al. (2009) note that many ice masses, especially mountain glaciers, achieved their maximum extents prior to or after this period, and that the term ‘Local Last Glacial Maximum’ (LLGM) should be used to describe local maxima in particular regions. They further proposed 20-19 ka for the beginning of deglaciation, which was the time when most of the northern ice sheets began to retreat, sea level and temperatures started to increase, followed by an increase in the concentration of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere. Hughes et al. (2013), in an exhaustive review of the chronology of the LLGM throughout the world, show that not only did many mountain glaciers achieve their maximum extents before the GLGM, but some northern ice sheets did as well. They acknowledge, however, the fundamental role that the Laurentide Ice Sheet played in deglaciation, where the LLGM broadly coincides with the GLGM.

4.2 Laurentide Ice Sheet

The extent of glaciation during the LGM is summarized in Figure 3. The large extent of the LIS was the result of planetary cooling, but its very existence also had an effect on the evolution of mountain glaciers. The GLGM broadly coincides with the maximum size of the Laurentide Ice Sheet (LIS) (Dyke et al., 2002; Clark et al., 2009; Stokes, 2017). Despite the difficulty of precisely dating the maximum extent of the ice sheet, it is widely
accepted that different sectors of the LIS reached their local maxima at different times during the broad interval of the GLGM. For example, it has been suggested (Dyke et al., 2002) that the northeastern, northeastern and southern margins likely attained their maximum positions relatively early (~28-27 ka), whereas the southwestern and northernmost limits were probably reached slightly later (~25-24 ka). More recently, others have suggested that the northwestern margin, in the vicinity of the Mackenzie River delta, may have reached its maximum position at less than 20 ka (Murton et al., 2007; Kennedy et al., 2010; Lacelle et al., 2013) and possibly as late as 17-15 ka (Murton et al., 2015). If correct, this relatively late advance to a LLGM ice extent may have been aided by eustatic sea-level rise and the opening of the Arctic Ocean along the Beaufort Sea coastline, which provided a source of moisture and increased precipitation in the region (Lacelle et al., 2013).

Irrespective of the regional asynchronicity in the time of the local glacial maximum, it is likely that the LIS existed at its near-maximum extent for several thousand years, which would indicate that its mass balance was in equilibrium with the climate for a prolonged period of time (Dyke et al., 2002). Indeed, initial deglaciation is thought to have been slow prior to 17 ka (Dyke et al., 2002), and, as noted above, glaciers in some regions may have been advancing (e.g. in the far northwest). Possible exceptions to the generally slow recession include the major lobes of the southern margin of the ice sheet and the marine-based southeastern margin around the Atlantic Provinces. More rapid retreat of these margins was likely caused by ice-stream drawdown (Shaw et al., 2006, 2018; Margold et al., 2018) and, in the southeast, by eustatic sea-level rise (Dyke, 2004). In contrast, retreat of the land-based southern margin is thought to have been driven mainly by orbital forcing (Clark et al., 2009; Gregoire et al., 2015). Based on 22\(^{10}\)Be surface exposure ages on boulders on GLGM moraines in Wisconsin, Ullman et al. (2015a) dated the initial retreat of the ice sheet to as early as 23±0.6 ka, which coincided with a small increase in boreal summer insolation. \(^{10}\)Be ages on samples 10-15 km up-ice from these moraines indicate a marked acceleration in retreat after ca. 20.5 ka that coincided with increased insolation prior to any increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide. This lends support to the notion that orbital forcing was the primary trigger for deglaciation of the LIS (see also Gregoire et al., 2015 and Heath et al., 2018).

Although increased insolation is thought to have triggered the initial retreat of the southern margin of the ice sheet (Ullman et al., 2015a), it is interesting to note that the
overall net surface mass balance likely remained positive for much of the early part of
deglaciation (Ullman et al., 2015b). However, the ice sheet was clearly shrinking, which
implies that the primary mechanism of mass loss was dynamic discharge/calving from
major marine-based ice streams (Margold et al., 2015, 2018; Ullman et al., 2015b; Robel
and Tziperman, 2016; Stokes et al., 2016). Indeed, ~25% of the ice sheet’s perimeter was
occupied by streaming ice at the global LGM, compared to ~10% at 11 ka (Stokes et al.,
2016). Only when summer temperatures increased by 6-7˚C relative to the LGM did the
overall net surface mass balance turn increasingly negative (Ullman et al., 2015b).
Numerical modelling suggests that this occurred soon after ~11.5 ka and resulted in the
rapid retreat of the land-based southern and western margins of the LIS (Ullman et al.,
2015b). The rapid retreat of these terrestrial margins contrasts with the generally slow
retreat of the northern and eastern marine-based margins and resulted in a highly
asymmetric pattern of retreat towards the major dispersal centers in the east (Dyke and
Prest, 1987; Margold et al., 2018).

4.3 Alaska

Alaska is located at latitudes similar to the northern LIS, but was covered largely by
mountain glaciers during the GLGM. Thus, it is of interest to understand its glacial
evolution as a first link between the large ice sheet and mountain glaciers. The best
available evidence from Alaska suggests that glaciers expanded during Marine Isotope
Stage (MIS) 2 (the Late Wisconsinan glaciation in local terminology), in step with the
GLGM. Although maximum ages constraining the advance phase are sparse, constraints
on LGM culmination date to ~21 ka in several regions spanning the state, including the
Ahklun Mountains (Kaufman et al., 2003), the Alaska Range (Tulenko et al., 2018), the
Brooks Range and Arctic Alaska (Pendleton et al., 2015). The best available maximum
age for the LGM glacier advance in Alaska – ~24 ka – is arguably from the Ahklun
Mountains (Kaufman et al., 2003, 2012). Deglaciation in Alaska commenced as early as
~21 ka. Recognizing that cosmogenic nuclide exposure ages of moraine boulders
represent the culmination of an advance, mean exposure ages of LGM terminal moraine
boulders (~21 ka) mark the transition from maximum glacier conditions to ice retreat and
terminal moraine stabilization. Moraines up-valley of terminal moraines were formed in
the Ahklun Mountains (Manley et al., 2001), and marine sediments were deposited within
LGM extents in Cooke Inlet (Reger et al., 2007) as early as ~20 ka. In the Alaska Range,
the first moraines up-valley of the LGM terminal moraines were deposited ~20 ka
(Tulenko et al., 2018). In at least one or two valleys in the Brooks Range that are accurately dated, glaciers receded well up-valley between ~21 ka and ~17 ka (Pendleton et al., 2015).

Climate conditions in Alaska during the GLGM are not well known, but several lines of evidence indicate that conditions were much more arid than today (e.g. Finkenbinder et al., 2014; Dorfman et al., 2015). Data on temperature changes during the LGM are scarce. Some paleoecological evidence exists from the Brooks Range suggesting summer temperatures 2-4°C colder than the present (Kurek et al., 2009), and pollen data from across Beringia suggest summer temperatures were ~4°C lower (Viau et al., 2008). On the other hand, climate modeling indicates rather warm conditions in Alaska during the LGM, associated with persistent shifts in atmospheric circulation related to Laurentide and Cordilleran ice sheet size (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2006; Löfverström and Liakka, 2016; Liakka and Löfverström, 2018).

The largest gaps in knowledge regarding the timing of the LGM and initial deglaciation in Alaska are related to the spatial pattern of glacier change across the state and complex climate forcing. High-resolution chronologies from moraine sequences from single valleys are scarce. Furthermore, few quantitative paleoclimate data exist, and the existing records of glaciation and snowline depression have yet to be reconciled with climate modeling results that show relatively warm LGM conditions.

4.4 Cordilleran Ice Sheet and North Cascades

Glaciers in western Canada were expanding into lowland areas on the flanks of the Coast and Rocky Mountains during the GLGM, contributing to development of the CIS (Clague, 2017). The CIS was not fully formed at the GLGM; large areas of southern British Columbia remained ice-free several thousand years later. Alpine glaciers in the southern Coast Mountains advanced into lowlands near Vancouver, British Columbia, after 25.8 ka during the Coquitlam stade in local terminology (Hicock and Armstrong, 1981; Hicock and Lian, 1995; Lian et al., 2001). To the south, alpine glaciers in the North Cascades achieved their maximum MIS 2 extents between 25.3 ka and 20.9 ka, about the same time as the GLGM (Kaufman et al., 2004; Riedel et al., 2010). The alpine advances at these sites ended with the Port Moody interstade sometime after 21.4 ka, when glaciers in the southern Coast Mountains and the North Cascades retreated (Hicock et al., 1982, 1999; Hicock and Lian, 1995; Riedel et al., 2010) (Fig. 8).
Regional pollen and macrofossil data and glacier reconstructions indicate that the climate that led to the alpine glacial advance in the North Cascades was the coldest and driest period in MIS 2 (Barnosky et al., 1987; Thackray, 2001; Riedel et al., 2010). Glacier ELAs fell by 750-1000 m from west to east across the range in response to a reduction in mean annual surface air temperature of ~8°C and a significant reduction in precipitation (Porter et al., 1983; Bartlein et al., 1998, 2011; Liu et al., 2009). The primary reasons for the relatively arid climate were likely the lower sea surface temperatures in the Pacific Ocean, the greater distance to the coastline and large-scale changes in the atmosphere caused by formation of continental ice sheets (Hicock et al., 1999; Grigg and Whitlock, 2002; Thackray, 2008). Paleoclimatic simulations produced by global climate models suggest that three large-scale controls on climate have been especially important in the Pacific Northwest during Late Glacial time (Broccoli and Manabe, 1987a, 1987b; COHMAP Members, 1988; Bartlein et al., 1998; Whitlock et al., 2000). First, the Laurentide Ice Sheet (LIS) influenced both temperature and atmospheric circulation. Second, variations in the seasonal distribution of insolation as a result of the Earth’s orbital variations affected temperature, effective precipitation and atmospheric circulation. Third, changes in atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases affected temperatures on centennial and millennial timescales (Sowers and Bender, 1995).

4.5 Rocky Mountains/Yellowstone region

The Rocky Mountains allow us to link glacier behavior from the LIS to the north and the CIS to the northwest with lower latitudes, where only small glaciers formed during the period of maximum glacial expansion (Figs. 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13). Recent ages from Colorado confirm that a number of valley glaciers reached their LLGM extent ~21-20 ka (Brugger et al., 2019) at roughly the same time as the GLGM (known locally as the Pinedale Glaciation), while in other valleys glaciers continued to advance, re-advance or remain in the same position for several thousand years until ~17 ka (see Brugger et al., 2019, and references therein).

In the Greater Yellowstone region, glaciers of the Beartooth Uplift and High Absaroka Range appear to have reached their maximum extents ~20 ka (Licciardi and Pierce, 2018). A similar pattern is evident on the eastern slope of the Teton Range, where the oldest moraines date to 19.4±1.7 ka (Pierce et al., 2018). Differences in the ages of LLGM limits in valleys surrounding the Yellowstone Plateau are likely due to local topographic factors.
at the margins of the Yellowstone Ice Cap rather than general climate forcing (Young et al., 2011; Leonard et al., 2017a, 2017b; Pierce et al., 2018; Laabs et al., in preparation).

Ages of ~23-21 ka from terminal moraines of four valley glaciers in the Wind River Range, about 150 km southeast of Yellowstone Park, show that the LLGM also generally coincides with the GLGM (Phillips et al., 1997; Shakun et al., 2015a; Dahms et al., 2018).

Deglaciation seems to have been swift here; ice appears to have receded to 2.6 km behind its terminus in the Middle Popo Agie valley by ~19 ka and 13 km upvalley from its terminus in the adjacent North Fork valley by ~18-17 ka. Glaciers in both valleys apparently receded 19 km and 27 km to their respective cirque riegels by 17-16 ka (Dahms et al., 2018). The glacier in the Pine Creek valley receded nearly 30 km from its terminus at Fremont Lake by 14-13 ka (Shakun et al., 2015a).

Many glaciers in the Rocky Mountains of Colorado reached their maximum extents during the GLGM, with the outermost moraines abandoned ~22-20 ka (Ward et al., 2009; Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011; Young et al., 2011; Schweinsberg et al., 2016; Leonard 2017a, 2017b; Brugger et al., 2019). In some cases, extensive deglaciation followed shortly after 20 ka (Ward et al., 2009), but elsewhere glaciers remained at, or had re-advanced to, near their maximum extents as late as 17-16 ka (Briner, 2009; Young et al., 2011; Leonard et al., 2017a, 2017b), well after the end of the GLGM. In some instances, these 17-16 ka moraines are the outermost moraines of the last glaciation.

The near complete absence of modern glaciers in the Colorado Rocky Mountains makes it difficult to estimate ELA depressions at the GLGM, although in the San Juan Mountains of southwestern Colorado it appears that they were lowered by at least 900 m (Ward et al., 2009). Recent numerical modeling of paleo-glaciers in several Colorado ranges indicates a rather modest GLGM temperature depression of 4.5º-6.0ºC compared to present-day temperatures, assuming no change in precipitation (Dühnforth and Anderson, 2011; Leonard et al., 2017a, 2017b). In contrast, work in the Mosquito Range suggests a temperature depression of 7.5º-8.1ºC (Brugger et al., 2019). Earlier work, using different paleo-glaciological approaches, indicates somewhat greater GLGM temperature depressions in the Colorado Rocky Mountains (Leonard, 1989, 2007; Brugger and Goldstein, 1999; Brugger, 2006, 2010; Refsnider et al., 2008). Global and regional climate models suggest that precipitation in the northern Rocky Mountains was significantly reduced compared to the present. In contrast, the southernmost Rocky Mountains in New Mexico were wetter at the GLGM than at present, and the central
Rocky Mountains of Colorado and Wyoming experienced close to modern precipitation (Oster et al., 2015).

4.6 Sierra Nevada

Few moraines from the early GLGM period in the Sierra Nevada have been directly dated, perhaps because such moraines were less extensive than those built during the local maximum and were thus obliterated by the later advances (Phillips et al., 2009). However, there is abundant evidence of a cooling climate during the early GLGM from nearby lacustrine records. For example, cores collected from Owens Lake, just east of the range (Smith and Bischoff, 1997), record a rise in juniper pollen, which is considered an indicator of cold temperature, between 30 ka and 25 ka, reaching a maximum between 25 ka and 20 ka (Woolfenden, 2003). In the same cores, total organic carbon, which decreases as input of glacial rock flour increases, falls from about 4% to near zero between 30 ka and 25 ka (Benson, 1998a, b). Similar patterns are observed in sediments from Mono Lake (Benson, 1998a, b), which also received direct discharge from glaciated valleys in the Sierra Nevada. The inference from lacustrine records that glaciation reached near-maximum extent at about 25 ka is confirmed by a fortuitously preserved terminal moraine in the valley of Bishop Creek, located at about 95% of the maximum LGM extent and dated to 26.5±1.7 ka (Phillips et al., 2009) (Fig. 14).

Cosmogenic and radiocarbon data for GLGM glaciation in the Sierra Nevada have recently been compiled and updated by Phillips (2016, 2017). Both $^{10}$Be and $^{36}$Cl surface-exposure dating yields ages ranging from 21 ka to 18 ka for the GLGM moraines (Tioga 3 in local terminology). Radiocarbon ages are slightly younger (19-18 ka), but this is because they are on organic matter accumulated in depressions behind the Tioga 3 terminal moraines and thus date to the earliest stages of retreat. The spacing of recessional moraines indicates that retreat was at first slow, but then accelerated (Phillips, 2017).

In summary, glaciers advanced in the Sierra Nevada steadily after about 30 ka, achieving positions slightly short of their maximum extents by 26 ka. They then were relatively stable for the next 5 ka, but advanced slightly between 22 ka and 21 ka to their all-time maximum limits of the last glacial cycle. Minor retreat from this maximum position began at 19 ka and accelerated rapidly after 18.0 ka to 17.5 ka. Plummer (2002) attempted to quantify both temperature and precipitation variations in the Sierra Nevada region during the GLM by simultaneously solving water and energy balance equations for glaciers and closed-basin lakes. He concluded that precipitation during the peak LGM-maximum
period (21-18 ka) was about 140% of historical levels and temperature was 5-6°C colder than today.

4.7 Mexico and Central America

The highest mountains in Mexico and Central America were glacier covered during the GLGM. There the LLGM overlaps part of the GLGM. In central Mexico, $^{36}$Cl exposure ages of moraines from the maximum advance are between 21 ka and 19 ka. Moraines were deposited as late as 15-14 ka in the mountains near the Pacific (Tancitaro, 3840 m asl) and Gulf of Mexico (Cofre de Perote, 4230 m asl), but minor recession occurred around 17 ka in the interior (Iztaccihuatl, 5286 m asl) (Vázquez-Selem and Heine, 2011). Boulders on recessional moraines built inside the moraines from the local maximum have yielded exposure ages between ~14.5 ka and >13 ka, and exposure ages on glacial polish associated with recession range from 15 ka to 14 ka (Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017).

In Cerro Chirripó (3819 m asl), Costa Rica, the local maximum is ~25 ka to 23 ka based on $^{36}$Cl ages (Potter et al., 2019), whereas $^{10}$Be exposure ages of lateral and recessional moraines are between ca. 18.3 ka and ~16.9 ka (Cunningham et al., 2019). They are thus younger than recessional moraines on mountains in central Mexico at a similar elevation (e.g. Tancitaro, 3840 m asl). No ages exist for the glaciated Altos Cuchumatanes (3837 m asl) of Guatemala, although a maximum around the time of the GLGM is probable based on data from central Mexico and Costa Rica (Roy and Lachniet, 2010).

ELAs in the region during the LLGM were depressed 1000-1500 m compared to modern values (equivalent to 6-9°C of cooling), which is consistent with ELA depression around the world during the GLGM (Lachniet and Vázquez-Selem, 2005).

4.8 Northern Andes

A widespread advance in the Northern Andes during the GLGM is not clear, and the limited chronological data available preclude robust interpretations. In the Venezuelan Andes, temperatures during the GLGM have been estimated to be around 8°C cooler than present, according to palynological analysis and a paleo-ELA reconstruction (Schubert and Rinaldi, 1987; Stansell et al., 2007). Some outermost moraines have been dated to around 21 ka in the Sierra Nevada based on $^{10}$Be ages (modified ages from Angel, 2016; updated ages from Carcaillet et al., 2013). The Las Tapias terminal moraine at 3100 m asl in the Sierra Santo Domingo, northeastern Sierra Nevada, yielded ages of 18.2±1.0 ka
(n=3) (Angel, 2016), and a glacier advance in the Cordillera de Trujillo has been dated to around 17 ka (Bezada, 1989; Angel, 2016) (Fig. 15).

Climate in the Colombian Andes during the GLGM was cold and dry (van Geel and van der Hammen, 1973; Thouret et al., 1996). In this region, there are only a few ages from scattered valleys and it is difficult to evaluate glacier extent during the GLGM. However, in Páramo Peña Negra, close to Bogota, two moraine complexes between 3000 m and 3550 m asl were built between ~28 ka and 16 ka (Helmens, 1988). Paleo-ELAs were on average 1300 m lower than modern, likely driven by 6–8°C colder temperatures (Mark and Helmens, 2005). A till (‘drift 3’) on the western slopes of the Sierra Nevada del Cocuy may date to the GLGM. The onset of sedimentation in Laguna Ciega, which is located on this till at 2900 m asl has been radiocarbon-dated to ca. 27.0-24.5 ka BP (van der Hammen et al., 1981).

The glacial chronology of the Ecuadorian Andes is poorly constrained and does not allow clear conclusions to be drawn about glacier extent. There are some indications of possible advances around the time of the GLGM, such as in the Rucu Pichincha and the Papallacta valley (Heine and Heine, 1996) and in Cajas National Park (Hansen et al., 2003). Brunschön and Behling (2009) suggest that climate was cold and wet during the GLGM in the southern Ecuadorian Andes based on a pollen record and the upper timberline position in Podocarpus National Park.

4.9 Peruvian and Bolivian Andes

Evidence for the extent and chronology of past glacier advances in Peru and Bolivia at the GLGM comes from moraine chronologies and lake sediment records that provide a suite of ages before and after 21 ka (Clayton and Clapperton, 1997; Blard et al., 2013). The time of the local maximum glacier expansion, based on the average cosmogenic ages of moraine groups, is ~25 ka, but there are large uncertainties (up to 7 ka), making the exact time of the LLGM uncertain. It also remains uncertain whether LLGM moraines were constructed during a long still-stand or a re-advance that erased the previous maximum limit (Mark et al., 2017). A close examination of site records reveals that, although the LLGM was close to the GLGM, there was, in some places, a larger local maximum extension of glaciers before the GLGM (Farber et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2005; Rodbell et al., 2008). In the southern part of the Altiplano, maximum glacier extents of the last glaciation are probably as old as 60 ka (e.g. Blard et al., 2014) (Figs. 16 and 17). Lakes Titicaca and Junin, which are outside glacial moraines, have provided sediment
records that indicate deglaciation was underway by 22-19.5 ka (Seltzer et al., 2000, 2002; Baker et al., 2001a, 2001b; Rodbell et al., 2008). On the Coropuna volcano, located in southern Peru, \(^3\)He ages indicate that the LLGM happened ~25 ka and deglaciation began at ~19 ka (Bromley et al., 2009).

Temperatures decreased ~6° C during the GLGM in the Peruvian and Bolivian Andes (Mark et al., 2005), and precipitation was slightly higher than today, as indicated by the Sajsi paleo-lake cycle (Seltzer et al., 2002; Blard et al., 2011, 2013). Therefore, a temperature increase was probably the main driver of deglaciation between 19 ka and 17 ka. However, precipitation variations likely played an important role in some regions where a late deglaciation is reported, such as in the vicinity of the paleo-lake Tauca, on the central Altiplano (Martin et al., 2018).

4.10 Southern Bolivia and Northern Chile

Glacier extent at the GLGM in the western cordillera of the Andes, adjacent to the Arid Diagonal, is unclear. Glacial deposits and landforms north of the Arid Diagonal that have been investigated include those at Cerro Uturuncu (Blard et al., 2014), El Tatio and Sairecabur (Ward et al., 2017), and Cerro La Torta and the Chajnantor Plateau (Ward et al., 2015). Deposits in the subtropics south of the Arid Diagonal include those in Valle de Encierro (Zech et al., 2006) and Cordón de Doña Rosa (Zech et al., 2007). At most sites north of the Arid Diagonal, a set of degraded moraines lies 2-5 km outside one or two sets of closely nested, sharper-crested moraines, which in turn are outside smaller younger up-valley moraines (Jenny et al., 1996). A few \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{36}\)Cl ages suggest that the outer degraded moraines date to MIS 6 (191-130 ka; Ward et al., 2015). Greater precision is not possible with available data, but this interval corresponds to the age of a broad bajada along the Salar de Atacama based on \(^{36}\)Cl ages on terrace surfaces and a depth profile (Cesta and Ward, 2016).

A set of more prominent moraines inside these degraded moraines marks the maximum expansion of glaciers after MIS 6 (Ward et al., 2017). Widely scattered \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{36}\)Cl ages, ranging from about 90 to 20 ka (45-35 ka modal age), have been obtained from boulders on these moraines both north and south of the Arid Diagonal (Ward et al., 2017) (Fig. 18). Eight boulders on the sharp crest of the LLGM moraine at El Tatio yielded six \(^{36}\)Cl ages between 41 ka and 19.8 ka, with outliers at 82 and 57 ka. At Cerro La Torta, one LLGM moraine boulder yielded a \(^{10}\)Be age of 24.7±1.8 ka and glaciated bedrock just
inside the LLGM limit returned a \(^{10}\)Be exposure age of 31±2.4 ka. Similar ages have been
obtained from the terminal moraines at Cerro Uturuncu, south of paleolake Tauca on the
Bolivian Altiplano, with 8 of 12 \(^{3}\)He ages between 46 and 33 ka (Blard et al., 2014).

Similarly, a single boulder on the outer terminal moraine in Encierro Valley yielded a
\(^{10}\)Be age of 35±2 ka (Zech et al., 2006), and 9 of 13 \(^{10}\)Be samples from the outermost
moraines, drift, and outwash at Cordón de Doña Rosa returned ages ranging from 49 to
36 ka (Zech et al., 2007).

If the local LGM moraines date to 49-35 ka, they were built at about the same time as the
Incahuasi highstand, during which a deep lake formed in the Pozuelos Basin in Argentina
(McGlue et al., 2013), and during a period when glaciers in the subtropical Argentine
Andes expanded (see Section 4.11).

Exposure ages on bedrock inside the prominent LLGM moraines (Blard et al., 2014;
Ward et al., 2015) indicate that deglaciation was underway by 20-17 ka. Assuming these
ages are valid, deglaciation of the western cordillera in northern Chile may have preceded
that of the Altiplano.

The scatter in cosmogenic ages on moraines in this region may be due to differences in
dating methods. \(^{36}\)Cl production is environmentally sensitive, and production rates are
less certain than those for \(^{10}\)Be. However, \(^{10}\)Be ages on the same features also exhibit
scatter (Ward et al., 2015). For example, the LLGM moraines bordering the former 200
km\(^2\) ice cap on the Chajnantor Plateau (4500-5500 m asl) have yielded both \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{36}\)Cl
ages ranging from 141 to 43 ka. However, \(^{10}\)Be and \(^{36}\)Cl exposure ages on glaciated
bedrock beneath the most prominent moraines are younger and less scattered (30-18 ka),
and one boulder on a small moraine ~1 km inboard of the LLGM margin yielded an age
of 26.7±2.8 ka, similar to the bedrock ages (Ward et al., 2017). Additionally, the youngest
bedrock exposure ages (20-18 ka) are from downvalley sites, near the terminal moraines,
whereas ages higher on the plateau are older (30-26 ka) (Ward et al., 2015). This pattern
cannot be explained by retreat of the glacier margin; rather it suggests that the LLGM
moraines contain a significant component of older reworked material with cosmogenic
inheritance. It is also consistent with the lesser, but still considerable, scatter seen in the
ages on LLGM valley glacier moraines in the region.
Reliable estimates of temperature and precipitation in northern Chile during the GLGM will require more precise dating of the glacial deposits there. Kull and Grosjean (2000) performed glacier-climate modeling to reconstruct precipitation associated with construction of the major sharp-crested moraine at the El Tatio site. They assumed a regional temperature depression of ~3.5°C, consistent with that at ca. 17 ka, and concluded that an additional 1000 mm/yr of precipitation over modern would be required to generate a glacier of the appropriate size. If instead the sharp-crested El Tatio moraines date to the GLGM, as suggested by Ward et al. (2017), temperatures were likely 5-7 C lower than today and less precipitation would be required. For example, assuming a 5.7 C temperature depression typical of the GLGM in this area, Kull et al. (2002) estimated that a 580±150 mm/yr increase over modern precipitation would be required to explain the LLGM deposits at a different western cordillera site (Encierro Valley).

4.11 Central Andes of Argentina

The maximum expansion of glaciers in the Argentine Andes occurred before the GLGM, between 50-40 ka and before 100 ka (Zech et al., 2009, 2017; Martini et al., 2017a; Luna et al., 2018; D’Arcy et al., 2019). However, there was a generalized glacier expansion during the GLGM between 22º and 35º S (Fig. 19). North of the Arid Diagonal, the LLGM is dated to 25-20 ka based on an average of 10Be ages on both sides of Nevado de Chañi (Martini et al., 2017a). The advance on the east side of Nevado de Chañi was less pronounced than that on the west side. Glaciers advanced between ~22 ka and ~19 ka in the Laguna Grande valley and at ~20 ka in the Peña Negra valley, both in the Tres Lagunas area (Zech et al., 2009, 2017). M2 moraines in the Sierra de Aconquija were built at ~22 ka (D’Arcy et al., 2019). There are no moraines firmly dated to the GLGM in the Sierra de Quilmes (Zech et al., 2017), but pronounced undated lateral moraines in the Nevado del Chuscha valley might be of that age. Based on the geomorphology and chronology of the moraine sequence in the same valley, Zech et al. (2017) concluded that these lateral moraines must have been deposited between 44 ka and 18 ka.

There is no consensus about precipitation levels in the subtropical Andes north of the Arid Diagonal during the GLGM. Available evidence from the nearby arid Altiplano suggests climate was only moderately wetter than present (Baker et al., 2001a, 2001b; Placzek et al., 2006). Speleothem records from the western Amazon, the Peruvian Andes, the Pantanal and southeastern Brazil all indicate wetter conditions during the GLGM.
due to an intensification of the South American summer monsoon.

The glacial chronology south of the Arid Diagonal is poorly constrained. Moraines coincident with the GLGM have been found in the Ansilta range and Las Leñas valley. Lateral moraines in the Ansilta range have been dated to 28-19 ka based on four $^{10}$Be ages, and a prominent lateral moraine in Las Leñas valley was built between 22 ka and 20 ka (Terrizano et al., 2017). Other possible evidence of GLGM glacial activity comes from the Cordon del Plata range, where one boulder on the Agostura I moraine was dated to 19 ka (Moreiras et al., 2017). Two $^{10}$Be ages (31 ka and 23 ka) on a moraine close to Nahuel Huapi lake, near Bariloche in northern Patagonia, suggest a GLGM age (Zech et al., 2017). An end moraine in the Rucachoroi valley yielded two $^{10}$Be ages and Zech et al. (2017) assigned an age to an end moraine in the Rucachoroi valley to 21 ka based on two $^{10}$Be ages. South of the Arid Diagonal, there is evidence of wetter conditions during the GLGM compared to today (Kaiser et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2018).

### 4.12 Patagonia

The time of the LLGM of the Patagonian Ice Sheet (PIS) is, unsurprisingly, variable, given the broad latitudinal range of the Patagonian Andes (38°–55°S). In most cases, Patagonian glaciers achieved their maximum extents earlier than the GLGM, during MIS 3 (Darvill et al., 2015; Garcia et al., 2018). Detailed stratigraphic and chronologic data exist in the Chilean Lake District (41°S) on the northwest side of the former ice sheet (Denton et al., 1999a; Moreno et al., 2015, 2018). Here, multiple radiocarbon-based chronologies bracket the time of local major expansions of piedmont lobes at ~33.6, ~30.8, ~26.9, ~26 and 17.8 ka (Denton et al., 1999a; Moreno et al., 2015). There is a significant gap in glacial chronologies for the area between 41° and 46°S, except for the Cisnes valley (44°S) where moraines dating to the end of the GLGM ($^{10}$Be mean age ~20 ka) are inside more distal moraines that are assumed to date to earlier phases of the last glacial cycle (de Porras et al., 2014; Garcia et al., 2019). However, the more distal moraines are undated, consequently it remains unclear whether or not the pattern of more extensive MIS 3 advances persists southward in central Patagonia. Farther south, additional studies have been done in the area currently occupied by the cross-border lakes of Lago General Carrera/Buenos Aires (46.5°S) and Lago Cochrane/Pueyrredón (47.5°S). In the former area, ages of ~26 ka have been obtained for the local maximum extent of the PIS (Kaplan et al., 2004, 2011; Douglass et al., 2006), coincident with the GLGM.
However, earlier glacial activity, at 34-31 ka, is suggested by Optically stimulated Luminescence (OSL) ages on buried sediments (Smedley et al., 2016). In the latter area (Lago Cochrane/Pueyrredón), the LLGM has been dated at ~29 ka, and possibly ~35 ka, with moraines of the GLGM located immediately up-ice (Hein, 2009, 2010, 2017).

Exposure dating in southern Patagonia indicates that the LLGM was far more extensive than subsequent GLGM advances. For example, the Bahía Inútil–San Sebastián ice lobe (53°S) expanded 100 km farther at ~45 ka and ~30 ka (Darvill et al., 2015a) than later advances during the GLGM at ~20 ka (McCulloch et al., 2005a; Kaplan et al., 2008). The pattern is repeated farther north where the Torres del Paine and Última Esperanza ice lobes (51°S) reached their local maximum extents at ~48 ka, with subsequent advances dated to 39.2 ka and 34 ka, and a far less extensive GLGM advance at 21.5 ka (Sagredo et al., 2011; García et al., 2018). Single exposure ages from the San Martín valley (49°S) tentatively suggest local maximum glacier expansion at ~39 ka, with a less extensive GLGM advance at ~24 ka (Glasser et al., 2011).

Considered together, the chronologies demonstrate that the LLGM in Patagonia occurred at different times, but largely during MIS 3. Presently, there is no satisfactory mechanism to adequately explain the timing of this local glacial maximum, although possible explanations include regional insolation and coupled ocean-atmosphere interactions, including the influence of the southern westerly winds, sea surface temperatures, Southern Ocean stratification and Antarctic sea ice extent (Darvill et al., 2015a, 2016; Moreno et al., 2015; García et al., 2018). Compared to the LLGM, the onset of deglaciation is more closely coupled throughout Patagonia and centered at 17.8 ka with some local variation, which is concurrent with warming of the mid to high latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere (Kaplan et al., 2004, 2007; McCulloch et al., 2005a; Douglass et al., 2006; Hein et al., 2010, 2017; Sagredo et al., 2011; Murray et al., 2012; García et al., 2014, 2019; Henríquez et al., 2015; Moreno et al., 2015, 2018, 2019; Bendle et al., 2017; Mendelova et al., 2017; Vilanova et al., 2019).

4.13 Tierra del Fuego

Caldenius (1932) constructed the first map of the Darwin ice field at the LLGM. The map has not been greatly modified since that time, and the exact position of the ice limits around large parts of the Cordillera Darwin are poorly constrained. Former ice extent is best understood where glaciers flowing northeastward from the mountains contributed to
extensive lobes in the Straits of Magellan and Bahía Inútil (Clapperton et al., 1995; Rabassa et al., 2000; Bentley et al., 2005; McCulloch et al., 2005a; Coronato et al., 2009; Darvill et al., 2014) (Fig. 20). Surface exposure ages of glacial landforms in Tierra del Fuego suggest that these lobes achieved their maximum extents by ~25 ka and remained there until ~18 ka (McCulloch et al., 2005b; Kaplan et al., 2008; Evenson et al., 2009). However, several belts of ice-marginal landforms occur outside these moraines (Caldenius, 1932; Clapperton et al., 1995; McCulloch et al., 2005a; Evenson et al., 2009), and existing exposure age data have yielded conflicting results. Some of these outer moraines have been assigned pre-GLGM ages, but an analysis of weathering of erratic boulders suggests that most, if not all, of them may date to the last glaciation (Darvill et al., 2015b). On the southern flank of the Cordillera Darwin, outlet glaciers formed an ice stream in Beagle Channel that terminated near the Atlantic Ocean (Caldenius, 1932; Rabassa et al., 2000, 2011; Coronato et al., 2004, 2009), but remains undated. Moreover, there is no convincing evidence on the Pacific Coast for the position of the GLGM ice-sheet margin, and reconstructions range from extensive ice on the continental shelf (Caldenius, 1932) to ice terminating close to the present-day shoreline (Coronato et al., 2009). Given the uncertainty in GLGM positions around most of the margin, the time of the onset of glacier recession is difficult to pinpoint. However, on both the north and south sides of the range, radiocarbon ages from bog sediments, as well as a limited number of exposure ages from erratics, indicate that glaciers had receded to the interior of the mountains by ~17 ka (Heusser, 1989; Hall et al., 2013; Menounos et al., 2013) (Fig. 20).

4.14 Synthesis

Based on current understanding, glaciers in North and Central America during the GLGM (Table 1 and Fig. 3) appear to have fluctuated near-synchronously and likely responded to the same climate drivers. In many sectors, glaciers achieved their LLGM extents around 26-21 ka. In some cases, glacier fronts remained stable from that time until shortly after 21 ka, when deglaciation began. This was the case for most of the LIS and for glaciers in Alaska, the North Cascades, several valleys in the Rocky Mountain/Yellowstone region, the Sierra Nevada, Central Mexico, and the Cordillera de Talamanca in Costa Rica.

Key climate forcing common to all these regions is the decrease in temperature during the GLGM. Based on a decrease in ELAs of approximately 900 m, temperatures decreased by approximately 7-8°C across much of the North American continent.
However, there are some differences. For example, the ELA depression in Alaska was
less than 500 m, and the corresponding summer temperature depression was likewise less
than in the western US. The pattern of precipitation during the GLGM apparently was
even less uniform. Evidence shows a trend towards aridity during the GLGM in the North
Differences in
We note that the behavior of glaciers during the GLGM in North and Central America
was also asynchronous. Several glaciers advanced to their maximum positions several
thousand years after the GLGM, at about the time of the HS-1 period. This is the case for
some sectors of the LIS and CIS, some ranges in southern Alaska, some areas close to
Yellowstone, the Colorado Rocky Mountains, mountains of central Mexico near the
oceans, and some valleys of the Cordillera de Talamanca in Costa Rica. Differences in
inglaciar activity within the same region could be due to local differences in precipitation
stemming from orographic effects, for example in some areas of the Yellowstone region,
or between oceanic and interior mountains in Mexico. Whether or not the relationship
between precipitation and the glacial local maximum is generally applicable for the entire
continent is a subject for future research.
The relative consistency in glacier behavior across North and Central America is not
observed in South America. The lack of synchronicity in glacier growth in the Andes
might possibly be due to the relative scarcity of data in the region or, alternatively, to its
large latitudinal range and complex geography, which lead to large differences in
precipitation. The most arid regions of the southern tropical Andes (southern Bolivia,
northern Chile and Argentina) show the largest temporal variability in the time of the
LLGM, probably due to strong precipitation control. In any case, the maximum local
expansion of the glaciers in most areas in the Andes does not coincide with the GLGM.
One of the few exceptions is in Tierra del Fuego, where glaciers may have reached their
maximum extents between ~25 ka and ~18 ka. Even there, however, future work may
show that moraines down-ice of this limit may also date to the last glaciation. In the rest
of the Andean Cordillera, moraines were built during the GLGM, but the maximum
advance apparently happened up to several thousands of years earlier; in southern
Patagonia the LLGM may have occurred during MIS 3 as few other southern high latitude
regions such as Kerguelen (Jomelli., et 2018). We also note that the moraines that
coincide with the GLGM are not necessarily the largest, as is commonly the case in North America where glacier fronts remained in the same position for an extended period of time.

Glaciers in the central part of the Altiplano, in the vicinity of paleo-lake Tauca, remained close to their LLGM positions until the end of H-1 (Martin et al., 2018). Elevated precipitation during H-1 apparently sustained glaciers until the end of that period. In summary, throughout the Andes, the GLGM seem to be marked by an expansion of glaciers, but that advance was not the largest everywhere. Across the Andes, this period coincided with a clear drop in temperature of ~3-8°C based on ELA depressions. Those values are consistent with temperature reductions inferred from ELA depressions in North America. Some local indicators, for example the Sajsi paleo-lake on the Altiplano show that the GLGM was characterized by slightly higher precipitation than today (Placzek et al., 2006).

5. The Impact of Heinrich-1 Stadial (HS-1) (17.5-14.6 ka) on American Glaciers

5.1 Heinrich-1 Stadial

The second period analyzed is the Heinrich 1 Stadial (HS-1), which is called the ‘Oldest Dryas’ in Scandinavia. The term HS-1 comes from records of marine sediments that show the massive discharge of icebergs into the North Atlantic during this period (Heinrich, 1988), mainly from the Hudson Bay/Strait region, the main drainage route for the LIS (Hemming, 2004). The use of the term as a chronological unit has been criticized (Andrews and Voelker, 2018) from a sedimentological point of view. The term Oldest Dryas, although widely used, has also been criticized because it is not clearly delimited chronologically (Rasmussen et al., 2014). In this paper, we follow the paleoclimate and paleoglaciological criteria of Denton et al. (2006), who delimit HS-1 between the Heinrich 1 “event” (17.5 ka) and the beginning of the Bølling-Allerød interstadial (14.6 ka). They refer to this period as the ‘Mystery Interval’ due to the fact that, although CO₂ concentrations in the atmosphere increased during this time, temperature dropped sharply in the Northern Hemisphere and in the tropics. In our study, we opt for the term HS-1 for the same time period, following the standard differentiation between “event” and “stadial” (Rasmussen et al., 2014; Heath et al., 2018).
HS-1 is a climate event that interrupted deglaciation. In the North Atlantic region, temperatures fell drastically in winter, sea ice expanded, and the ocean cooled (Barker et al., 2010). Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) was sharply reduced or even collapsed (McManus et al., 2004; Böhm et al., 2015), and many mountain glaciers advanced in Europe (Gschnitz stadial in the Alps; Ivy-Ochs, 2015), at least at the beginning of HS-1. Although the European ice sheets decreased in size during this period (Toucanne et al., 2015), it is clear that climate during HS-1 varied. There were periods with hot summers that caused massive glacier melting (Thornalley et al., 2010; Williams et al., 2012). The Asian monsoon disappeared (Wang et al., 2008), the South American monsoon intensified (Stríkis et al., 2015, 2018), and the Southern Hemisphere westerlies were displaced polewards (Denton et al., 2010). Temperatures in Antarctica increased, along with atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations (Monnin et al., 2001; Ahn et al., 2012), due to Southern Ocean ventilation (Barker et al., 2009). HS-1 is the period that best demonstrates the close relationships among AMOC, atmospheric CO$_2$ and temperatures in Antarctica (Deaney et al., 2017).

5.2 Laurentide Ice Sheet

The extent of glaciers and ice sheets during HS-1 is summarized in Figure 4. Although explanations of Heinrich events have tended to focus on the Hudson Strait ice stream, it is clear that there are sedimentological differences both within and between individual Heinrich ‘layers,’ including variable source areas (Andrews et al., 1998, 2012; Piper and Skene, 1998; Hemming, 2004; Tripsanas and Piper, 2008; Rashid et al., 2012; Roger et al., 2013; Andrews and Voelker, 2018). Thus, it is likely that other ice streams along the eastern margin of the LIS, and possibly even farther afield at its northern margin (Stokes et al., 2005), may have contributed, at least in part, to some Heinrich-like events (Andrews et al., 1998, 2012; Piper and Skene, 1998). However, the extent to which these events were correlative is unclear, as are the wider impacts of Heinrich events on the dynamics of the LIS. For example, readvances or stillstands elsewhere in the Americas have been linked to HS-1, and yet evidence from the LIS is comparatively scarce.

Clark (1994) was one of the first to propose a link between Heinrich events in the Hudson Strait and the advance of ice margins/lobes resting on soft deformable sediments along the southern margin of the ice sheet. Mooers and Lehr (1997) also noted the possibility that the advance and rapid retreat of lobes in the western Lake Superior region may have been correlative with Heinrich events 2 and 1, but this idea has since received relatively
little attention and there is little clear evidence for major re-advances of the LIS during or soon after HS-1 (Heath et al., 2018). Rather, the most likely impact of HS-1 was to lower the ice surface over Hudson Bay and drive changes in the location of ice dispersal centers, with subsequent effects on ice-flow patterns (Margold et al., 2018). For example, Dyke et al. (2002) suggest that the drawdown of ice during HS-1 was likely sufficient to displace the Labrador ice divide some 900 km eastward from the coast of Hudson Bay and cause a major flow reorganization (see also Veillette et al., 1999). There is also evidence that parts of the ice sheet thinned rapidly in coastal Maine during the latter part of HS-1 (Hall et al., 2017b; Koester et al., 2017).

There is also clear evidence from several regions that the ice sheet retreated during HS-1, punctuated by brief readvances or stillstands. For example, recalculated $^{10}$Be data (Balco and Schaefer, 2006), coupled with the New England varve chronology (Ridge et al., 2004), indicate retreat of the ice margin in the northeastern United States. $^{36}$Cl exposure ages from the Adirondack Moutains (Barth et al., 2019) suggest that the ice sheet may have begun to thin around 19.9±0.5 ka. Thinning continued throughout HS-1 and accelerated between 15.5±0.4 ka and 14.3±0.4 ka (see also Section 5.2). Rapid ice sheet thinning has also been inferred in coastal Maine during the latter part of HS-1 (Hall et al, 2017b; Koester et al., 2017).

5.3 Alaska

Although detailed moraine chronologies needed to fully explain glacier change in Alaska during HS-1 do not exist, there is patchy information on ice extent at that time. In most locations where recessional moraines have been dated, some stillstands or re-advances have been inferred during HS-1. In the Brooks Range, a prominent recessional moraine has been dated to ~17 ka (Pendleton et al., 2015), and the Elmendorf Moraine in south-central Alaska dates to ~16.5 ka (Kopczynski et al., 2017). Given the number of recessional moraines in most valleys, for example throughout the Alaska Range, the Ahklun Mountains, and the Kenai Peninsula, it is difficult to know if these glacial stabilizations necessarily relate to cooling triggered in the North Atlantic Ocean. Rather, they could be related to a number of factors that could cause glacier recession to be interrupted by re-advances or stillstands (e.g. isostatic rebound, solar variability, glacier hypsometric effects). Thus, attributing them per se to North Atlantic stadial conditions at this time is premature. In fact, in spite of some interruptions, there was overall significant
recession of glaciers throughout HS-1 in Alaska. Most glaciers in Alaska with reasonable chronological constraints experienced net retreat during HS-1.

5.4 Cordilleran Ice Sheet and the North Cascades

Alpine glaciers receded from maximum positions during the Port Moody interstade, which began after 21.4 ka (Riedel et al., 2010). Two glacial events in this region correlate with HS-1: construction of alpine glacier end moraines and the advance of the CIS to its maximum limit. Deposition of ice-rafted detritus at a deep-sea core site west of Vancouver Island began about 17 ka and abruptly terminated at about 16.2 ka, recording the rapid advance and retreat of the western margin of the CIS (Cosma et al., 2008).

Studies west of Haida Gwaii (Blaise et al., 1990) and near the southwestern margin of the CIS (Porter and Swanson, 1998; Troost, 2016) also indicate that it reached its maximum extent several thousand years after the GLGM. Glaciers in two mountain valleys in the southern North Cascades retreated from moraines closely nested inside the GLGM moraines. However, $^{36}$Cl ages on the Domerie II (17.9-14.7 ka) and the Leavenworth II moraines (17.2-15.0 ka) have large uncertainties, and the moraine ages may or may not be associated with HS-1 (Porter, 1976; Kaufman et al., 2004; Porter and Swanson, 2008).

The climate in the North Cascades during HS-1 is not well understood due to a lack of age control on landforms, limited paleoecological data, and the large influence of the continental ice sheets on climate. However, glacial ELAs associated with potential HS-1 moraines located well to the south of the CIS terminus were slightly above the GLGM maximum (Porter, 1976; Kaufman et al., 2004; Porter and Swanson, 2008). In areas inundated by the CIS to the north, alpine glaciers retreated to valley heads, presumably due to lower precipitation as the continental ice sheets expanded to cover most of Canada and northern Washington. Climate models and pollen data indicate that at 16 ka mean annual air temperature was 4-7ºC cooler than today (Heusser, 1977; Kutzbach, 1987; Liu et al., 2009).

5.5 Rocky Mountain/Yellowstone region

In some areas of this region, glacier retreat began toward the end of the GLGM; in other areas, glaciers maintained their fronts or re-advanced at ~16.5 ka, although with a great degree of local variability, and then immediately retreated. Glaciers in some valleys near the margins of the Yellowstone Ice Cap reached their local maximum extent at ~17 ka, then rapidly retreated at ca. 15 ka when several external climate forcings coincided
Moraines dated to the HS-1 period are common in valleys along the eastern slope of the Teton Range (Licciardi and Pierce, 2018) and in the Wind River Range (Dahms et al., 2018, 2019; Marcott et al., 2019). In the Wind River Range, these moraines are ~1-2 km downvalley from cirque headwalls in 14 valleys (Dahms et al., 2010). Ages from these moraines in Stough Basin, Cirque of the Towers and Temple Lake cluster around ~15.5 ka (Fig. 10) (Dahms et al., 2018; Marcott et al., 2019). Subsequently, a second period of regional deglaciation was well under way after ~15 ka (Larsen et al., 2016; Dahms et al., 2018; Pierce et al., 2018).

Glaciers in some valleys in the Colorado Rocky Mountains receded during HS-1. In contrast, many other valleys contain end moraines dating to 17-16 ka. Ages on polished bedrock surfaces up-valley of these moraines have yielded ages that show that the glaciers retreated shortly thereafter (Young et al., 2011; Shakun et al., 2015a; Leonard et al., 2017a, 2017b; Laabs et al., 2020, submitted). Ward et al. (2009) suggest that there was a stillstand or possible re-advance around 17-15 ka in the Colorado Front Range, interrupting overall post-GLGM recession.

5.6 Sierra Nevada

There is strong evidence for an advance of glaciers in the Sierra Nevada during HS-1 – the Tioga 4 advance in local terminology (Phillips et al., 1996) – but HS-1 was not a time of extensive glaciation. As described in Section 3.5, retreat from the GLGM maximum began gradually at about 19 ka. It accelerated rapidly after 18 ka, and glaciers receded past Tioga 4 glacier margins by about 17 ka (Phillips, 2017). Retreat then reversed and glaciers readvanced to Tioga 4 positions by 16.2 ka (Fig. 14). The ELA depression for this advance was about 900 m, compared to the GLGM ELA depression of about 1200 m. The Tioga 4 advance apparently was short-lived; by 15.5 ka, the range was effectively deglaciated. It is clear from the simultaneous expansion of Lake Lahontan and the Tioga 4 glaciers that increased precipitation played a major role in glacier expansion at this time.

The fact that Lake Lahontan was relatively small during Tioga 3 (21-19 ka, the LLGM), while glaciers were more extensive, shows that Tioga 3 was colder and drier than Tioga 4. Plummer (2002) estimated that Tioga 4 precipitation was 160% greater than today and temperature was 3°C cooler based on the inferred size of Searles Lake at that time. Had he used the extent of Lake Lahontan in his analysis, the increase in precipitation would have been even larger. Phillips (2017) suggested that the large extent of sea ice in the North Atlantic during HS-1 led to greatly increased precipitation and cooler temperatures.
in California through an atmospheric teleconnection. An impediment to further analysis of these topics is the chronological inconsistencies between the dating of the Sierra glacial record, nearby marine cores, and lacustrine records (Phillips, 2017). More confidence in the chronology could allow researchers to resolve questions of climate leads and lags, and determine whether the apparent differences in timing are the result of chronological imprecision or latitudinal paleoclimate gradients.

5.7 Mexico and Central America

Glaciers in central Mexico remained at or near their maximum positions throughout HS-1. In the interior mountains (e.g. Iztaccíhuatl), glaciers were slightly smaller during HS-1 (ELA = 4040 m asl) than at the LLGM (ELA = 3940 m asl, from 21 ka to 17 ka) (Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017). Recession at this time is not recorded in mountains near the Pacific Ocean, where a low ELA persisted until 15-14 ka. Indeed, during HS-1, ELAs were ca. 400-650 m lower on mountains near the coast than in the interior, which suggests a strong precipitation gradient from the coast to the interior and overall drier conditions in the interior during HS-1 (Lachniet et al., 2013). In general, the end of HS-1 is coeval with the onset of glacier recession ~14.5 ka in central Mexico. Existing evidence at Cerro Chirripó, Costa Rica, indicates moraine formation between 18.5 ka and 17 ka (Cunningham et al., 2019), potentially during the earlier part of HS-1. If the summit area was ice-free by 15.2 ka, as suggested by Cunningham et al. (2019), glacier recession prevailed during the second part of HS-1 (as defined by Hodell et al., 2017).

5.8 Northern Andes

Most of the glacier advances in the northern tropical Andes were dated between the end of the GLGM and the end of HS-1 (~15 ka). In the Sierra Nevada of the Venezuelan Andes, some valleys were completely deglaciated by ~16.5 ka (Angel et al., 2016). In others, glaciers advanced ~17 ka (modified ages of Angel, 2016). In the Sierra Santo Domingo, maximum advances are dated to ~17.5 ka (modified ages from Wesnousky et al., 2012; Angel, 2016). In the Sierra del Norte they date to between 18 ka and 15.5 ka (modified ages from Wesnousky et al., 2012; Angel, 2016), and in the Cordillera de Trujillo, to around 18 ka (¹⁰Be ages modified ages from those of Angel, 2016). Some advances in the Colombian Andes may be related to HS-1. This is the case in the Bogotá Plain, where a moraine complex has been dated to between 18 ka and 14.5 ka (Helmens, 1988; Helmens et al., 1997b), and in the Central Cordillera, where peat overlying a moraine complex yielded a minimum age of 16-15 ka (Thouret et al., 1996). There are
moraines in the Ecuadorian Andes that are related to HS-1, for example in Cajas National Park, vicinity of Pallcacocha lake above 3700 m asl, where a moraine was radiocarbon dated to 17-14.5 cal ka BP (Hansen et al., 2003).

Most glacier advances in the northern tropical Andes have been dated to ~18-15 ka based on $^{10}$Be ages. However, the scarcity of paleoclimatic information limits our ability to estimate the regional HS-1 climate and to compare it to GLGM conditions. Rull (1998) proposed a cold event (~7°C cooler than today), locally called as El Caballo Stadial, at 16.5 ka based on a palynological record from the central Mérida Andes in Venezuela.

Similarly, Hooghiemstra et al. (1993) proposed the Fúquene Stadial at a similar time in the Colombian Andes based on a palynological study in the Bogotá Plain. In contrast, Brunschön and Behling (2009) concluded that both temperature and precipitation in the southern Ecuadorian Andes were higher during the period 16.2-14.7 cal yr BP than during the GLGM.

5.9 Peru and Bolivia

Three moraines near Lake Junín have cosmogenic ages of ~21 ka to 18 ka (Smith et al., 2005), providing evidence of an advance prior to HS-1. In contrast, the Galeno moraines in the Cajamarca region have slightly younger ages and have complete inset lateral/terminal loops with an average age of 19 ka. The Juellesh and Tuco valleys in the Cordillera Blanca have inner and outer moraine loops that date, respectively, to ~18.8±2.0 ka and ~18.7±1.6 ka (Smith and Rodbell, 2010). Glasser et al. (2009) presented similar ages (~18.3±1.4 ka) for an outer lateral moraine in the Tuco valley. An inner lateral moraine (M4 of Smith and Rodbell, 2010) has been dated to ~18.8±2.3 ka, and Glasser et al. (2009) reported similar ages on the same moraine (~17.9±0.9 ka). Revised ages on various stages of deglaciation of the Cordillera Huayhuash are centered on ~17.8-16.5 ka (Hall et al., 2009). Similarly, dated boulders on the Huara Loma, Coropuna, and Wara Wara moraines in Bolivia may record post-GLGM advances between 19.4 ka and 18.2 ka (Zech et al., 2010; May et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2018).

Many valleys in central Peru and Bolivia contain evidence of glacier advances or persistent stillstands during HS-1 (~17.5-14.6 ka) (syntheses in Mark et al., 2017, and Martin et al., 2018). The mean exposure ages of all groups of moraine boulders in this region that fall within HS-1 is 16.1±1.1 ka. A stillstand synchronous with HS-1 is also indicated by cosmogenic $^3$He ages of moraines on the Coropuna volcano, southern Peru (Bromley et al., 2009). Radiocarbon and cosmogenic ages from the Cordillera Vilcanota
and the HualcaHualca volcano (Fig. 17) provide independent evidence that glaciers in southern Peru advanced sometime after ~18.0-16.8 ka (Mercer and Palacios, 1977; Alcalá-Reygosa et al., 2017), and radiocarbon ages from the Altiplano indicate an advance occurred there from ~17 ka to 15.4 ka (Clapperton et al., 1997b; Clapperton, 1998).

Ice core records from Huascarán, Peru, suggest that HS-1 was the coldest period of the past ~19 ka (Thompson et al., 1995), but researchers have argued recently that the $\delta^{18}O$ signal in tropical ice does not provide a pure temperature signal (Quesada et al., 2015). The cooling inferred from reconstructions of paleo-ELAs during HS-1 is around 3°C in the central Altiplano (Martin et al., 2018).

The northern equatorial Andes of Peru appear to have been wetter during most of HS-1 (Mollier-Vogel et al., 2013), whereas speleothem records in central Peru suggest that the local climate became abruptly drier at ~16 ka (Kanner et al., 2012; Mollier-Vogel et al., 2013). Lake-level fluctuations provide strong evidence for pronounced shifts in precipitation across the central Andes during this period (Baker et al., 2001a, 2001b; Placzek et al., 2006; Blard et al., 2011). Farther south, over the Altiplano, shoreline reconstructions demonstrate that the first part of HS-1 (~18-16.5 ka) was similar to or drier than today. However, during the Lake Tauca highstand in the second part of HS-1 (16.5-14.5 ka) precipitation was ca. 130% higher than today (Placzek et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2018). Some of the GLGM and older moraines in this part of the Altiplano may have been overridden during this wet phase. Martin et al. (2018) established that the downward shift in ELA at this time was amplified in valleys that are near the latitudinal center of paleo-lake Tauca, resulting from a significant local increase in precipitation.

5.10 Southern Bolivia and Northern Chile

During HS-1, there was a sharp spatial gradient in climate between Cerro Tunupa, which is located at the geographic center of Lake Tauca, and Cerro Uturuncu (Bolivia) and elsewhere north of the Arid Diagonal (Ward et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2018). Blard et al. (2014) describe a 900 m gradient in ELAs between Cerro Tunupa and Cerro Uturuncu based on the Taucaphase moraines at each site. The spatial gradient in temperature between these sites (Ammann et al., 2001) is not sufficient to explain the ELA difference, which implies the existence of a strong spatial gradient in precipitation across the southern margin of Lake Tauca. Further work by Martin et al. (2018) quantified this precipitation gradient, confirming that it was significantly drier in the southern portion of
the Lake Tauca basin. The presence of this drying trend to the south and west is supported by the lack of a clear Tauca-phase transgression at Pozuelos Basin in the Puna region, which is at a similar latitude to Cerro Uturuncu and El Tatio (McGlue et al., 2013). Based on the clustering of $^{10}$Be and $^{36}$Cl exposure ages on LLGM moraines (Section 4.10), Tauca-phase moraines appear to be either absent or restricted to higher parts of valleys at El Tatio, Cerro La Torta, and Chajnantor Plateau (Ward et al., 2017), as well as at several sites on the central Puna Plateau (Luna et al., 2018) and the western slope of Nevada Chañi (24ºS) in Argentina (Martini et al., 2017a). The precipitation gradient is consistent with paleo-vegetation proxy records that indicate an approximate doubling of modern precipitation, from ~300 to ~600 mm/yr (Grosjean et al., 2001; Maldonado et al., 2005; Gayo et al., 2012), in the northern Arid Diagonal and adjacent Andes during the Tauca highstand. South of the Arid Diagonal, at Valle de Encierro and Cordón de la Rosa, ages of 17 ka from highly recessed locations indicate a stillstand or minor advance during HS-1, followed by full deglaciation (Ward et al., 2017).

5.11. Central Andes of Argentina

Initial deglaciation in the Central Andes after the LLGM was followed by renewed glacier expansion during HS-1. Moraines that mark the HS-1 limit are found up-valley of those constructed during the GLGM. North of the Arid Diagonal, glacier expansion during HS-1 coincided with the Tauca paleo-lake (Blard et al., 2011; Placzek et al., 2013). Glaciers advanced in the Laguna Grande valley in the Tres Lagunas area between ~17 ka and ~15 ka (Zech et al., 2017), the east and west sides of Nevado de Chañi ~15 ka (Fig. 19) (Martini et al., 2017a), and in the Sierra de Quilmes, between ~18 ka and ~15 ka (Zech et al., 2017). An exception to these findings comes from Sierra de Aconcagua where renewed glacier growth appears to have occurred after the HS-1 stadial (D’Arcy et al., 2019). South of the Arid Diagonal, there is almost no evidence of glacial limits dating to HS-1. Just one sample from the La Angostura I moraine in the Cordon del Plata has been dated to ~15 ka (Moreiras et al., 2017). Moraines up-valley of the GLGM limit in the Las Leñas valley and Ansilta Range have not yet been dated (Terrizzano et al., 2017; Zech et al., 2017).

5.12 Patagonia

At the time of the HS-1 stadial, the Patagonian region was experiencing widespread warming and deglaciation (Moreno et al., 2015; Bertrand et al., 2008). Rapid warming began at 17.8 ka in northwestern Patagonia and approached average interglacial
temperatures by 16.8 ka (Moreno et al., 2015). Glaciers in northwestern Patagonia retreated out of the lowlands shortly before ~17.8 ka and into high mountain cirques above 800 m asl by 16.7 ka (Denton et al., 1999a; Moreno et al., 2015). The abrupt and synchronous withdrawal of many glacier lobes in northwestern Patagonia was contemporaneous with the rapid expansion of temperate rainforests (Heusser et al., 1999; Moreno et al., 1999), suggesting pronounced warming at 17.8 ka coupled with a poleward shift of the southern westerlies between 17.8 ka and 16.8 ka (Pesce and Moreno, 2014; Moreno et al., 2018). However, on the east flank of the Andes (Cisnes valley, 44°S), it has been suggested that glaciers started retreating somewhat earlier, at ~19 ka. At this site, it has been estimated that the ice had diminished to 40% of its local maximum extent by ~16.9 ka (Weller et al., 2017; Garcia et al., 2019).

Farther south, in central Patagonia, lake cores from two small basin (Villa-Martínez et al., 2012; Henríquez et al., 2017) show that the Lago Cochrane/Pueyrredón ice lobe (47.5°S) retreated over 90 km into the Chacabuco Valley between ~21 ka (Río Blanco moraines; Hein et al., 2010a) and 19.4 ka. Ice receded an additional ~60 km to reach a position close to modern glacier limits by around 16-15 ka (Turner et al., 2005; Hein et al., 2010; Boex et al., 2013; Mendelova et al., 2017; Davies et al., 2018; Thorndycraft et al., 2019). Retreat east of the shrinking ice sheet in the Lago Cochrane sector of central Patagonia occurred without discernable warming (Henríquez et al., 2017). Almost certainly, however, this retreat was facilitated by calving in deep proglacial lakes that formed in the over-deepened Cochrane/Pueyrredón and General Carrera/Buenos Aires basins as the glaciers withdrew (Turner et al., 2005; Bell, 2008; Hein et al., 2010; Borgois et al., 2016; Glasser et al., 2016; Davies et al., 2018; Thorndycraft et al., 2019). At Lago General Carrera/Buenos Aires (46.5°S), glacier retreat from the Fenix I moraine commenced ~18 ka, but was interrupted by a readvance to the Menucus moraines at ~17.7 ka. An annually resolved lake sediment record, tied to a calendar-year timescale by the presence of the well dated Ho tephra erupted from Volcán Hudson (17,378±118 cal yr BP), indicates that ice remained close to the east end of the lake until after 16.9 ka, before retreating back into the mountains (Kaplan et al., 2004; Douglass et al., 2006; Bendle et al., 2017, 2019). Bendle et al. (2019) suggest that the onset of deglaciation in central Patagonia was a direct result of the HS-1 event. They hypothesize that warming at the start of HS-1 occurred due to rapid poleward migration of southern westerly winds, which increased solar radiation and ablation at the ice sheet surface. They linked warming and
accelerated deglaciation to the oceanic bipolar seesaw, which delayed Southern
Hemisphere warming following the slowdown of the Atlantic meridional overturning at
the start of HS-1 (Bendle et al., 2019).

Determining whether “early LGM” and “early deglaciation” are correct interpretations of
glacier activity in central Patagonia (44°-49°S) (Van Daele et al., 2016; García et al.,
2019) is important for determining whether local (glaciological, reworking of old organic
matter) or regional (climatic) mechanisms are responsible for apparent differences in
timing, rate, and magnitude of glacier fluctuations prior to and during the GLGM and
Termination I (Vilanova et al., 2019). Another problem emerges from studies of lake
sediments from the eastern slopes of the Andes in central Patagonia. Based on an analysis
of seismic data and lake sediment cores from Lago Castor (Fig. 1), Van Daele et al. (2016)
concluded that the Coyhaique glacier lobe achieved its maximum extent and retreated
before the GLGM. The concepts of ‘early LGM’ and ‘early deglaciation’ rely heavily on
the interpretation and selective rejection of anomalously old radiocarbon ages, which
include results as old as 43,100±3600 14C yr BP in the clastic-dominated and intensely
reworked portion of the Lago Castor cores beneath the H0 tephra, which has been
radiocarbon dated to 17,300 cal yr BP (Weller et al., 2014). This enigmatic radiocarbon
chronology has not been corroborated by more recent studies in the Río Pollux valley,
where Moreno et al. (2019) and Vilanova et al. (2019) have reported stratigraphic,
geochronologic, and palynological results from small, closed-basin lakes to constrain the
timing and extent of the Coyhaique glacier lobe during Termination I. These studies point
to the abandonment of the final LLGM margins at ~17.9 ka, ~600 years before the
reported age of the H0 tephra. The similarities between northern and southern Patagonia
(see below), and contrasts with the Río Cisnes and Lago Cochrane/Pueyrredón glacier
lobes, suggest that the different behavior of the latter might arise from differences in their
topographic setting, ice divide migration (Mendelova et al., 2019), or differential calving
in large proglacial lakes in the Central Patagonian Andes during the final stage of the
LLGM.

In southern Patagonia, the Lago Argentino lobe (50°S) retreated at least 60 km from its
LLGM by 16.2 ka (Strelin et al., 2011). A nearby mountain glacier at Río Guanaco (50°S)
retreated to half its extent between 18.9 ka and 17 ka, suggesting a temperature increase
of ~1.5°C, or about one-third of the total deglacial warming relative to today (Murray et
al., 2012). Similarly, the Última Esperanza ice lobe retreated after 17.5 ka, but with a short period of stabilization at ~16.9-16.2 ka (Sagredo et al., 2011).

### 5.13 Tierra del Fuego

HS-1 in the Cordillera Darwin was characterized by very rapid glacier recession with no evidence of stillstands (Hall et al., 2013, 2017a). Surface exposure ages on boulders indicate that ice was at the innermost GLGM moraine at the shore of Bahía Inútil at ~18 ka (McCulloch et al., 2005b; Kaplan et al., 2008; Hall et al., 2013), but retreated shortly thereafter (McCulloch et al., 2005b). Radiocarbon ages from peat bogs near present-day sea level indicate that the Cordillera Darwin icefield had retreated inside fjords by 16.8 ka (Hall et al., 2013, 2017b). On the north side of the Cordillera Darwin, this recession was ~130 km from its LLGL. In the Fuegian Andes, two $^{10}$Be ages from glacially eroded bedrock in front of an alpine glacier indicate that recession was well underway by ~17.8 ka and had reached the late-glacial position as early as ~16.7 ka (Menounos et al., 2013). Whether this glacier was part of the Cordillera Darwin icefield or a separate ice mass at the GLGM remains uncertain (Coronato, 1995; Menounos et al., 2013). In any case, glaciers in the region responded to HS-1 by rapidly retreating, as was the case at some other Southern Hemisphere locations (Putnam et al., 2013).

### 5.14 Synthesis

Glaciers in most of North and Central America began to retreat from their GLGM positions by about 21 ka (Table 2 and Fig. 4). In some areas (e.g. Wind River Range), they suffered the same mass losses after ~21 ka as other glaciers, but apparently re-advanced during HS-1. In other regions (e.g. Yellowstone Ice Cap, the Colorado Rocky Mountains and on some Mexican volcanoes), glaciers reached their maximum extents during HS-1. Some of these glaciers may have advanced from the GLGM to HS-1 and surpassed their GLGM limits. This possibility, however, must be considered hypothetical, as it is inherently difficult to verify.

Interestingly, one of the Northern Hemisphere regions that appears to have been least affected by the HS-1 event, at least in terms of the ice-marginal fluctuations, is the LIS. Rather, the ice sheet thinned and retreated during this period. It is likely that internal flow patterns and ice divides were impacted by drawdown induced by the Hudson Strait ice stream. There are few data from Alaska to evaluate the effects of HS-1 on glaciers, but there is some evidence of advances interrupting overall retreat during this interval. The
southern sector of the CIS and a number of glaciers in Colorado and those proximal to the Yellowstone Ice Cap area reached their maximum extents during HS-1. In a few valleys in the North Cascades south of the CIS limit, possible HS-1 moraines lie upvalley of GLGM moraines, although data are sparse. A clear advance immediately following HS-1 has been documented in the Sierra Nevada and the Wind River Range. In the Sierra, HS-1 moraines, locally termed Tioga 4, lie well inside GLGM moraines. These moraines record an ELA depression of 900 m, which is 300 m less than during the GLGM. In the Wind River Range, the Older Dryas/HS-1 moraines lie 19-27 km upvalley of LLGM/GLGM moraines. In the interior mountains of Central Mexico and Costa Rica, moraines dating to near HS-1 lie inside GLGM moraines. However, glaciers in mountains close to the oceans remained at, or advanced past, their GLGM limits until the end of HS-1.

In the Sierra Nevada, temperatures were 3°C lower than today during HS-1, but clearly precipitation was increased. In other regions, data appear to confirm the decrease in temperature in the Sierra Nevada, but there is little information on precipitation.

Glaciers in the tropical Andes built significant moraine complexes during HS-1, attesting to a significant stillstand or readvance. In the northern Andes, numerous moraines have been dated to this period, reflecting an interruption of the longer-term of trend glacier retreat. HS-1 advances are widespread and significant in central and southern Peru and in Bolivia. Although the first part of the HS-1 stadial in these areas was dry, the second part was wet, with, on average, a two-fold increase in precipitation above modern values. The precipitation increase may have been five-fold around the Altiplano paleo-lakes (Tauca highstand from 16.5 ka to 14.5 ka). This precipitation control on glacier mass balance is a strong driver of the spatial variability of ELA reductions during HS-1. Several of the HS-1 moraines in the region appear to have been constructed by glaciers that were very close to LLGM moraines. HS-1 moraines are also present in the Arid Diagonal, although aridity increased towards the south, resulting in a more limited glacier extent in that area. In some cases, glaciers in the Arid Diagonal disappeared during HS-1. Glaciers advanced during HS-1 in the Central Andes of Argentina after a long period of retreat, and at the same time as the Tauca highstand.

In contrast, glaciers in the temperate and subpolar Andes abandoned their LGM positions and underwent sustained or step-wise recession during HS-1. In northwestern Patagonia, climate warmed rapidly and experienced a significant decline in precipitation, driven by
a southward shift of the southern westerly winds (Pesce and Moreno, 2014; Moreno et al., 2015, 2018; Henríquez et al., 2017; Vilanova et al., 2019). The magnitude of these changes appears to decline south of 45°S, modulated by the regional cooling effect of residual ice masses in sectors adjacent to the eastern margins of the Patagonian ice sheet (Henríquez et al., 2017). The difference in glacier behavior between the tropical Andes and Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego during HS-1 could be due to two causes. First, the significant increase in precipitation in the tropical Andes during HS-1 could be the main cause of the glacier advances in that region. Second, Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego may have been too distant from the events responsible for HS-1, which are closely related to North Atlantic circulation; rather they may have been more affected by Antarctica and southern westerly winds. The two effects may have even converged, dividing the continent into two different glacial regimes during HS-1 (Sugden et al., 2005).

6. Evolution of American Glaciers during the Bølling-Allerød Interstadial (B-A) and the Antarctic Cold Reversal (ACR) (14.6-12.9 ka)

6.1 Bølling-Allerød Interstadial and the Antarctic Cold Reversal

The term ‘Bølling-Allerød’ (B-A) is derived from recognition of two warm Late Glacial palynological zones (the Bølling and the Allerød) between the HS-1 and Younger Dryas. The use of this term for a chronological period has been criticized from a palynological point of view (De Klerk, 2004). Nevertheless, warming during this period has been identified (Lowe et al., 2001) and firmly dated in the GI-1 Greenland ice core to 14.6 ka to 12.9 ka (Rasmussen et al., 2014), and the term Bølling-Allerød interstadial (abbreviated ’B-A‘) is customarily applied to this period.

The B-A period began with reinforcement of the AMOC (McManus et al., 2004) and a marked increase in atmospheric CO$_2$ (Chen et al., 2015) and methane (Rosen et al., 2014); these conditions persisted through this period (Monnin et al., 2001). Climate rapidly warmed, at least around the North Atlantic (Clark et al., 2012). The AMOC remained vigorous throughout the B-A period (Deaney et al., 2017), and only a few cold events interrupted it in the Northern Hemisphere (Rasmussen et al., 2014). Sea ice retreated to the north (Denton et al., 2005), and glaciers in Europe thinned and retreated (for example in the Alps; Ivy-Ochs, 2015). The Asian monsoon strengthened to a level similar to the present (Sinha et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2008). It seems that the changes in the oceans
preceded changes in the atmosphere, and the oceans had a decisive influence on Northern
Hemisphere warming (Thiagarajan et al., 2014). The changes in the oceans were possibly
caused by a period of intense melt in Antarctica just before the B-A (Weaver et al., 2003;
Weber et al., 2014). The process that drove the B-A would then be the opposite of that
which caused HS-1, when the melting of the northern ice sheets led to warming in the
Southern Hemisphere (Zhang et al., 2016). During the B-A, cooling in Antarctica caused
increased sea ice cover in the surrounding ocean, causing the southern westerlies and the
Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) to migrate northward, and strengthening the
AMOC, which in turn caused warming in the Northern Hemisphere (Pedro et al., 2015;
Zhang et al., 2016).

The cold period in the south has been called the Antarctic Cold Reversal (ACR). We
analyze the B-A and ACR together because they occurred around the same time, although
the boundary between cooling in the south and the warming in the north is not well
defined (Pedro et al., 2015). The ACR has been well documented in Antarctic ice cores,
and a clear bipolar seesaw is observed in relation to Greenland ice cores (Blunier et al.,
1997, 1998; Pedro et al., 2011). Cooling in the Southern Hemisphere is apparent up to
40° S (Pedro et al., 2015), resulting in widespread glacier advance (Putnam et al., 2010;
Shulmeister et al., 2019). There is also a clear cooling signal in tropical areas, at least in
high Andean regions (Jomelli et al., 2014, 2016).

6.2 Laurentide Ice Sheet

The hemispheric extent of glaciation during the B-A is summarized in Figure 5. The
Bølling-Allerød interstadial is characterized by enhanced ablation in marginal areas of
the LIS (Ullman et al., 2015b) and a marked acceleration in the rate of retreat, most
notably along the southern and western margins, but with minimal retreat along its
northern margin (Dyke and Prest, 1987; Dyke, 2004; Stokes, 2017). As a result, the LIS
is likely to have fully separated from the CIS by the end of the interstadial, although
precise dating of the opening of the ‘ice-free corridor’ remains a challenge (Dyke and
Prest, 1987; Gowan, 2013; Dixon, 2015; Pedersen et al., 2016). It is worth noting,
however, that positive feedback mechanisms related to ice surface lowering and surface
mass balance are likely to have resulted in the rapid ‘collapse’ of the saddle between the
LIS and the CIS, which some have hypothesized was the source of Meltwater Pulse 1A
(Gregoire et al., 2012).
The rapid retreat of the southern and western margins of the LIS was also likely aided by the development of proglacial lakes that facilitated calving and the draw-down of ice, particularly at the southern margin (Andrews, 1973; Dyke and Prest, 1987; Cutler et al., 2001). Moreover, the rapid retreat of the LIS during this time period led to major changes in the trajectory of ice streams at the western and southern margins, with associated changes in the location of the major ice divide in Keewatin, which migrated several hundred kilometers east towards Hudson Bay (Dyke and Prest, 1987; Margold et al., 2018).

There is also clear evidence for an overall acceleration in the rate of retreat and thinning of the ice sheet in the southeastern sector. This has been characterized as a two-phase pattern of deglaciation (Barth et al., 2019), with steady retreat starting ~20 ka and then increasing around 14.5 ka, coincident with the B-A warming. A clear example of this is seen in an extensive suite of 21\(^{36}\)Cl ages from boulder and bedrock samples along vertical transects spanning ~1000 m of relief in the Adirondack Mountains of the northeastern USA (Barth et al., 2019). These data suggest gradual ice sheet thinning of 200 m initiated around 20 ka, followed by a rapid surface lowering of 1000 m, coincident with the onset of the B-A warming (Barth et al., 2019). Similarly high rates of thinning are also recorded on Mt. Mansfield, Vermont’s highest peak, although they appear to have initiated around 13.9±0.6 ka, which slightly post-dates the abrupt onset of the B-A (Corbett et al., 2019).

Despite an acceleration in the overall rate of recession, there appears to have been minimal recession of the LIS along its northern margin (Dyke, 2004). Also, there is evidence for readvances/oscillations of some of the lobes in the vicinity of the Great Lakes (Dyke, 2004), perhaps related to internal ‘surge’ dynamics and short-lived ice stream activity, rather than any external climatic forcing (Clayton et al., 1985; Patterson, 1997; Cutler et al., 2001; Margold et al., 2015, 2018; Stokes et al., 2016). There is also some evidence of climatically induced readvances of parts of the LIS during the B-A. For example, recession of the ice margin in northern New Hampshire was interrupted by the Littleton-Bethlehem readvance and deposition of the extensive White Mountain moraine system (Thompson et al., 2017). Based on a suite of approaches (glacial stratigraphy and sedimentology, radiocarbon dating, varve chronology, and cosmogenic-nuclide exposure dating), Thompson et al. (2017) constrained the age of this readvance to ~14.0-13.8 ka, coincident with Older Dryas cooling.

6.3 Alaska
Glaciers in the Brooks Range were smaller than today by 15 ka in some valleys and ~14 ka in others (Badding et al., 2013; Pendleton et al., 2015), suggesting widespread glacier retreat around the time of the B-A onset. In southeast Alaska, there was widespread glacier collapse throughout fjords and sounds during this period (Baichtal, 2010; Carlson and Baichtal, 2015; J. Baichtal, unpublished data). Whether this recession was related to an abrupt increase in temperature or to a steady temperature increase during this broader time period is unknown. However, rising lake levels and decreasing aridity at ~15 ka (Abbott et al., 2000; Finkenbinder et al., 2014; Dorfman et al., 2015) suggest that there was a major climate shift in Alaska at this time.

6.4 Cordilleran Ice Sheet and North Cascades

The B-A interstadial began with the rapid disintegration of the CIS and deglaciation in the North Cascades from 14.5 ka to 13.5 ka (Clague, 2017; Menounos et al., 2017; Riedel, 2017). Recent glacio-isostatic adjustment models supported by data calibration from records of sea level, paleo-lake shorelines, and present-day geodetic measurements confirm more than 500 m of thinning of the CIS between 14.5 ka and 14.0 ka (Peltier et al., 2015; Lambeck et al., 2017). The pattern of CIS deglaciation was complex due to the influences of mountain topography, marine waters and regional climate variability. Early deglaciation was marked by rapid eastward frontal retreat across the British Columbia continental shelf and northward retreat up Puget Sound. Rapid down-wasting exposed high-elevation hydrologic divides and led to the isolation of large ice masses in mountain valleys (Riedel, 2017). Lakeman et al. (2008) presented evidence that the CIS in north-central British Columbia thinned and in some areas transformed into a labyrinth of dead or dying ice tongues in valleys. The presence of ice-marginal landforms in most North Cascade valleys is likely related to temporary stillstands of the wasting remnants of the CIS, but the ages of most of these landforms are unknown (Riedel, 2017).

Ice sheet deglaciation temporarily rearranged regional drainage patterns. Frontal retreat of ice back to the north from hydrologic divides led to the formation of proglacial lakes in southern British Columbia and northern Washington (Fulton, 1967; Riedel, 2007). The lakes generally drained to the south, and several major valleys carried Late Glacial outburst floods that crossed low hydrologic divides, connecting rivers and fish migration pathways that later became isolated. The Sumas advances of the CIS diverted Chilliwack and Nooksack rivers to the south into lower Skagit valley (Clague et al., 1997). Fish genetics and geomorphic evidence, including perched deltas and boulder gravel deposits,
indicate that the lower Fraser River may have been diverted through Skagit valley at this time.

CIS deglaciation during the B-A was interrupted by minor advances of the CIS, and some alpine glaciers also advanced. The Sumas I advance of the CIS across Fraser Lowland occurred between 13.6 ka and 13.3 ka (Clague et al., 1997; Kovanen and Easterbrook, 2002). Top-down deglaciation of the ice sheet from mountain divides led to exposure of valley heads and cirques before adjacent valley floors. This set the stage for the formation of new cirque and valley moraines from Yukon Territory to the North Cascades during the B-A (Clague, 2017; Riedel, 2017). Menounos et al. (2017) report 76 $^{10}$Be surface exposure ages on bedrock and boulders associated with lateral and end moraines at 26 locations in high mountains of British Columbia and Yukon Territory. At some of these sites, they also obtained radiocarbon ages from lakes impounded by moraines or till.

Three older moraines have a combined median age of 13.9 ka, which the authors assigned to the B-A. A moraine near Rocky Creek at Mount Baker was built before 13.4-13.3 ka based on the age of volcanic ash and charcoal on the moraine surface. The Hyak I and Rat Creek I moraines have $^{36}$Cl surface exposure ages of 14.6–12.8 ka, but uncertainty in the $^{36}$Cl surface exposure ages precludes a definitive correlation with this event (Weaver et al., 2003).

There is sparse geological and paleoecological data on climate during the B-A interval from North Cascades and CIS region. In the North Cascades, the tentatively dated Rat Creek and Hyak alpine glacial moraines had ELAs ~500-700 m below those of modern glaciers or about 200 m above the GLGM advances (Porter et al., 1983). The lower ELAs were caused, in part, by mean July temperatures about 4-6°C below modern values (Heusser, 1977; Kutzbach, 1987; Liu et al., 2009). Rapid loss of the CIS was driven by a positive temperature anomaly of 1-2°C early in the B-A, while a regional increase in mean annual precipitation of 250 mm and brief cold periods with temperature reductions of 1.5 °C caused the small glacier advances later in the B-A (Liu et al., 2009).

6.5 Rocky Mountain/Yellowstone region

Although glaciers in some southwestern valleys continued to advance after 16 ka due to their exposure to greater orographic precipitation, the Yellowstone ice cap experienced intense deglaciation from 15 ka to 14 ka in response to a warming climate (Licciardi and Pierce, 2018; Pierce et al., 2018). Glaciers in the Wind River Range retreated behind their HS-1 moraines at this time, possibly as far as cirque headwalls (Dahms et al., 2018;
Marcott et al., 2019) before they began to readvance during the YD (see below). Deglaciation occurred in all ranges in the Colorado Rocky Mountains after about 16 ka, and by 13 ka most glaciers had disappeared (Laabs et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011; Shakun et al., 2015a; Leonard et al, 2017a, 2017b).

6.6 Sierra Nevada

Glaciers in the Sierra Nevada retreated to cirque headwalls by about 15.5 ka, well before the start of the B-A (Phillips, 2016, 2017). This relatively early disappearance is attributable to the southerly latitude and summer-warm, high-insolation Mediterranean climate of the Sierra Nevada. Following the B-A transition, glaciers reappeared for a very short interval prior to the Holocene. This event, named the ‘Recess Peak advance’, resulted from an approximate 150 m decrease in the ELA, in comparison to a 1200 m decrease during the GLGM maximum advance (Clark and Gillespie, 1997). Unfortunately, the chronological control for the time of this advance is imprecise. Three radiocarbon ages from bulk organic matter in lake cores from two different lake basins that overlie Recess Peak till fall between 14 ka and 13 ka, suggesting correlation with both the Inter-Allerød Cold Period and the ACR (Bowerman and Clark, 2011). However, cosmogenic ages (both $^{10}$Be and $^{36}$Cl), although somewhat scattered and imprecise, tend to cluster in the 12.7-11.3 ka range, which would be correlative with the Younger Dryas. More recently, Marcott et al. (2019) averaged six new $^{10}$Be ages to obtain a date of 12.4±0.8 ka for the Recess Peak advance, which is consistent with the previous cosmogenic ages but does not definitively establish whether it was a YD or ACR event. Most indirect regional indicators of cooling also fall within the Younger Dryas age range. Phillips (2016) performed an in-depth study of this issue, but was unable to arrive at any definitive conclusion. In summary, there is no unequivocal evidence for any glacier presence in the Sierra Nevada during the B-A. It is possible that there was a brief minor advance toward the end of the B-A, but the dating of this event has yet to establish this with any certainty.

6.7 Mexico and Central America

Data from central Mexico, and to some extent Costa Rica, indicate that glaciers receded during the B-A, consistent with warming in the American tropics (Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017). In central Mexico, slow initial deglaciation from 15 ka to 14 ka was accompanied by the formation of small recessional moraines close to those of the maximum advance (Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017). Subsequently, glacier recession
accelerated, as evidenced by exposure ages on glacially abraded surfaces from 14 to 13 ka. The ELA increased by at least 200 m during that period (Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017). According to Cunningham et al. (2019), Cerro Chirripó, in Costa Rica, was ice-free by 15.2 ka, before the onset of the B-A. However, also in Cerro Chirripó, Potter et al. (2019) proposed periods of glacier retreat and stillstand from 15 ka to 10 ka.

6.8 Northern Andes

An advance of Ritacuba Negro Glacier in the Sierra Nevada de Cocuy, Colombia, has been linked to the ACR and an ELA decrease of about 500 m (Jomelli et al., 2014). A model simulation of the last deglaciation in Colombia (Liu et al., 2009; He et al., 2013) suggests a temperature 2.9°±0.8°C lower than today during the ACR, with a 10% increase in annual precipitation (Jomelli et al., 2016). Bracketing radiocarbon ages on laminated proglacial lake sediments indicate that glaciers retreated in the central Mérida Andes of Venezuela under warmer and wetter conditions at the start of the Bølling (14.6 ka) (Rull et al., 2010). Glaciers then briefly advanced under colder conditions from 14.1 ka to 13.9 ka), followed by warm and dry conditions during the Allerød (13.9-12.9 ka) (Stansell et al., 2010).

6.9 Peru and Bolivia

There is evidence for glacier advance at many sites in Peru and Bolivia during the ACR (Jomelli et al., 2014). Mean surface exposure ages on moraines built during this advance are 14.4-12.7 ka; at some sites there is an apparent bimodal distribution of ages (Jomelli et al., 2014). A glacier advance at Nevado Huaguruncho in the Eastern Cordillera of the Peruvian Andes has been dated to 14.1±0.4 ka, based on both exposure ages on moraines and radiocarbon ages on lake sediments, and was followed by retreat by 13.7±0.4 ka (Stansell et al., 2015). Two sets of moraine ridges in valleys within the Cordillera Huayhuash date to the ACR (Hall et al., 2009). However, moraine ages from the Queshque valley in the nearby Cordillera Blanca are at the end of the ACR (Stansell et al., 2017). In Bolivia, the two moraines from Wara Wara and Tres Lagunas (Zech et al., 2009, 2010) may have been constructed during the ACR, but could be older (Jomelli et al., 2014). A moraine of Telata Glacier in Zongo Valley formed during either the ACR or YD (Jomelli et al., 2014). The ACR advance exceeded all subsequent Holocene advances, with an ELA estimated to be 450-550 m below its current level based on glaciological modeling (Jomelli et al., 2014, 2016, 2017). Some glacial valleys contain at least two sets of moraines attributed to the ACR (Jomelli et al., 2014), suggesting multiple advances
related to possible centennial-scale climate fluctuations during this period. However, such
patterns must be better documented in other mountain ranges to establish a robust climate
interpretation (Figs. 21 and 22).

Paleoclimate records suggest that the central tropical Andes were cold during the ACR
(Jomelli et al., 2014), although some contradictory evidence exists. Moreover, fluvial
sediment records suggest that northern Peru was wet at the start of the ACR but
subsequently became drier (Mollier-Vogel et al., 2013); and speleothem records from
Brazil suggest that the ACR was a period of drier monsoon conditions (Novello et al.,
2017). Farther south on the Altiplano, lake sediment records also indicate that the ACR
was likely a drier interval (Sylvestre et al., 1999; Baker et al., 2001b), as does the
shoreline stratigraphy, indicating that Lake Tauca had vanished (Placzek et al., 2006;
Blard et al., 2011).

Climate forcings responsible for such glacier trends during the ACR were analyzed using
transient simulations with a coupled global climate model (Jomelli et al., 2014). Results
suggest that glacial behavior in the tropical Andes was mostly driven by temperature
changes related to the AMOC variability superimposed on a deglacial CO$_2$ rise. During
the ACR, temperature fluctuations in the tropical Andes are significantly correlated with
other Southern Hemisphere regions (Jomelli et al., 2014), in particular with the southern
high-latitudes and the eastern equatorial Pacific. Cold SSTs in the eastern equatorial
Pacific were associated with glacier advance.

6.10 Southern Bolivia and Northern Chile

There are no glacial landforms in the Arid Diagonal that have been dated with sufficient
precision to permit an ACR age assignment (Ward et al., 2015). There are, however, small
undated moraines in the upper headwaters at El Tatio that may date to this period, or
perhaps to the Younger Dryas (Ward et al., 2017). Sites to the south and west, even those
north of the Arid Diagonal, appear to have been fully deglaciated by this time.

6.11 Central Andes of Argentina

As of yet, there are no firmly documented glacier advances in the Argentine Andes after
HS-1. In the Sierra de Aconquija, however, D’Arcy et al. (2019) obtained two ages on a
moraine (M3a) that fall within the B-A/ACR. At Tres Lagunas, there are no moraines
younger than HS-1 (Zech et al., 2009). Possible B-A/AC moraines at other locations
(Sierra de Quilmes, Ansilta Range and Las Leñas) have not yet been dated (Terrizzano et al., 2017; Zech et al., 2017).

6.12 Patagonia

Many researchers have identified B-A/ACR glacier advances in central and southern Patagonia (Turner et al., 2005; Ackert et al., 2008; Kaplan et al., 2008; Moreno et al., 2009; Glasser et al., 2011; Sagredo et al., 2011, 2018; Strelin et al., 2011; García et al., 2012; Nimick et al., 2016; Davies et al., 2018; Mendelova et al., 2020). Past research on glacier fluctuations in northwestern Patagonia did not focus on the last termination, consequently no evidence of an advance of ACR age has yet been reported. However, paleoecological records from sectors as far north as 41°S suggest cooling during this interval (Hajdas et al., 2003). For example, records from northwestern Patagonia (40°-44°S) show declines in relatively thermophilous trees and increases in the cold-tolerant/hygrophilous conifer *Podocarpus nubigena* during ACR time, suggesting a shift to cold/wet conditions (Jara and Moreno, 2014; Pesce and Moreno, 2014; Moreno and Videla, 2016; Moreno et al., 2018). There is a gap in well-dated glacial geologic studies along a ~600 km length of the Andes between 40°S and 47°S (Fig. 23) covering the time span of the ACR. The only existing study reports a glacial advance in the Cisnes valley (44°S) sometime between 16.9 and 12.3 ka (Garcia et al. 2019), however, the chronological constrains are too broad to reach further conclusions.

Detailed geomorphic studies suggest that glaciers in central and southwestern Patagonia experienced repeated expansion or marginal fluctuations during the ACR period (Strelin et al., 2011; García et al., 2012; Sagredo et al., 2018; Reynhout et al., 2019; Thorndycraft et al., 2019). Multiple $^10$Be ages from moraines deposited by glaciers on the Mt. San Lorenzo massif (47°S) indicate that glaciers there reached their maximum Late Glacial extents at 13.8±0.5 ka (Tranquilo Glacier; Sagredo et al., 2018), 13.2±0.2 ka (Calluqueo Glacier; Davies et al., 2018), and 13.1±0.6 ka (Lacteo and Belgrano glaciers; Mendelova et al., 2020). An ELA reconstruction based on the data from Tranquilo valley suggests that temperatures were 1.6-1.8°C lower than at present at the peak of the ACR (Sagredo et al., 2018). García et al. (2012) report a mean age of 14.2±0.6 ka for a sequence of moraines farther south, in the Torres del Paine area (51°S). The latter findings support the conclusions of Moreno et al. (2009), based on radiocarbon-dated ice-dammed lake records, that the Río Paine Glacier was near its maximum extent during the ACR.
6.13 Tierra del Fuego

Relatively little work has been done on ACR ice extent on Tierra del Fuego. McCulloch et al. (2005a) propose extensive ice in the Cordillera Darwin as far north as the Isla Dawson adjacent to the Strait of Magellan during the ACR, but subsequent work has failed to support this hypothesis. Rather, evidence from bogs located near sea level upslope of Isla Dawson suggests that there has not been any major re-expansion of Cordillera Darwin ice towards the Strait of Magellan since initial deglaciation during HS-1 (Hall et al., 2013). Similarly, a radiocarbon age from a bog on the south side of the mountains in front of Ventisquero Holanda indicates that the glacier has not reached more than 2 km beyond its present limit in the past ~15 ka (Hall et al., 2013). In the only confirmed case of ACR moraines in the region, Menounos et al. (2013) used $^{10}$Be surface exposure ages of boulders to document an age of ~14 ka for a cirque moraine in the nearby Fuegian Andes. Other moraines in the Cordillera Darwin may date to the same period (Hall, unpublished data), but none has yet been dated adequately.

6.14 Synthesis

Glacier activity in North and Central America was very different from that in South America during the B-A interstadial (Table 3 and Fig. 5). This period was generally a time of rapid glacier retreat throughout North and Central America. Indeed, in many regions, glaciers completely disappeared during the B-A interstadial. Although evidence has been presented in some areas for minor advances during the B-A, uncertainties in numeric ages on which the conclusions are based do not preclude the possibility that the advances happened during the ACR.

The LIS experienced rapid retreat along much of its margin during the B-A. Documented local advances may be related more to surge processes than to climate, although there may be exceptions related to cooling during the Older Dryas (e.g. Thompson et al., 2017). Glaciers in Alaska retreated significantly, even beyond the limits they achieved in the late Holocene. In western Canada and in Washington State, the CIS retreated rapidly, especially from 14.5 ka to 13.5 ka. During this period of general retreat, however, the CIS and many alpine glaciers advanced between 13.9 ka and 13.3 ka. In the Central and Southern Rocky Mountains of Wyoming and Colorado, deglaciation had begun by 14.5 ka, and most glaciers had disappeared by 13.5 ka. Although single-boulder $^{10}$Be ages associated with moraines fall between 14.5 ka and 13.3 ka, no evidence of synchronous glacier advances within the B-A have been reported from these areas. In the Sierra
Nevada, glaciers retreated to cirque headwalls by about 15.5 ka. Some moraines within Sierra cirques indicate that there were relatively minor advances, but again, it is not known if they date to the Inter-Allerød Cold Period, the ACR, or even the YD. In central Mexico, recessional moraines close to moraines of the maximum advance date to 15-14 ka; after 14 ka, there was rapid glacier recession. Glaciers in Costa Rica disappeared by 15.2 ka.

Glaciers in the Venezuelan Andes retreated during the B-A, whereas glaciers in several regions of Central and Southern South America advanced during this period. In most cases, these advances have been assigned to the ACR. For example, ACR advances have been proposed in the Colombian Andes, with temperatures about 3ºC lower than today. Multiple ACR advances have also been reported in the Peruvian and Bolivian Andes under a cold and relatively dry climate. There are no conclusive data from northern Chile or the central Andes of Argentina, but it appears that there was a trend towards deglaciation during the B-A. Existing data do not resolve whether minor glacier advances that have been recognized occurred during the ACR or the YD. There were several ACR-related glacier advances in Patagonia, with temperatures almost 2ºC below current levels. Only limited evidence of the ACR has been found in Tierra del Fuego.

7. The Impact of the Younger Dryas (YD) (12.9-11.7 ka) and the Final Stages of Deglaciation

7.1 Younger Dryas concept

The last period we consider in our review extends from the end of the B-A (12.9 ka) to the beginning of the Holocene (11.7 ka). Again, the name coined by palynologists – Younger Dryas (YD) – is now widely used. Although the chronological limits derived from palynology are controversial, this cold interval has now been defined in Greenland ice cores (Rasmussen et al., 2014). Undoubtedly, it is the most widely studied deglacial period. Although climate varied extraordinarily during this period (Naughton et al., 2019), its effects in the Northern Hemisphere are clear – the AMOC weakened (Meissner, 2007; Muschitiello et al., 2019), sea ice expanded, and winter and spring temperatures dropped drastically (Steffensen et al., 2008; Mangerud et al., 2016); summers remained relatively warm (Schenk et al., 2018). Glaciers in Europe advanced (Ivy-Ochs, 2015; Mangerud et al., 2016), and the Asian monsoon weakened (Wang et al., 2008).
the ITCZ migrated southward, precipitation changes in the tropics during the YD were complex (Partin et al., 2015). Like HS-1, the YD was accompanied by warming in Antarctica and an increase in atmospheric CO$_2$ (Broecker et al., 2010; Beeman et al., 2019). The southern continents appear to have cooled slightly (Renssen et al., 2018), although glaciers in New Zealand and Patagonia clearly retreated, an apparent contradiction that has not been resolved (Kaplan et al. 2008, 2011; Martin et al., 2019; Shulmeister et al., 2019).

The causes of the abrupt YD anomaly continue to be a topic of debate. Changes in deep-water circulation in the Nordic seas, weakening of the AMOC (Muschitiello et al., 2019), moderate negative radiative forcing and altered atmospheric circulation (Renseen et al., 2015; Naughton et al., 2019) likely played a role. Draining of Glacial Lake Agassiz after intense melting of the Laurentide Ice Sheet during the B-A would have weakened the AMOC and is supported by geomorphic evidence of this lake draining into the Gulf of St. Lawrence and the North Atlantic at the end of the B-A (Leydet et al., 2018). Additionally or alternatively, Glacial Lake Agassiz may have drained via the Mackenzie River into the Arctic Ocean, also weakening the AMOC (Keigwin et al., 2018). The hypothesis that the cause was external to the planet has recently attracted renewed interest (Wolbach et al., 2018). In any case, the YD ended abruptly, with a 7 °C warming of some regions in the Northern Hemisphere in only 50 years (Dansgaard et al., 1989; Steffensen et al., 2008).

7.2 Laurentide Ice Sheet

The hemispheric extent of glaciation during the YD is summarized in Figure 6, and that of the early Holocene is shown in Figure 7. The response of the LIS to the abrupt cooling of the YD is complex and difficult to generalize, but most records appear to indicate that recession slowed and that some major moraine systems were built, likely as a result of marginal readvances (Dyke, 2004). For example, the largest end moraine belt along the northwestern margin of the ice sheet, encompassing the Bluenose Lake moraine system on the Arctic mainland and its correlative on Victoria Island, is now thought to have formed due to YD cooling (Dyke and Savelle, 2000; Dyke et al., 2003). Similarly, there are examples of readvances on Baffin Island, most notably in Cumberland Sound (Jennings et al. 1996; Andrews et al. 1998). The large Gold Cove readvance of Labrador ice across the mouth of Hudson Strait has also been assigned to the late stage of the YD,
possibly in response to the rapid retreat of ice along the Hudson Strait (Miller and Kaufmann, 1990; Miller et al., 1999).

It has also been noted that several ice streams switched on during the YD, perhaps in response to a more positive ice sheet mass balance in some sectors (Stokes et al., 2016; Margold et al., 2018). Examples are two large lobes southwest of Hudson Bay (the Hayes and Rainy lobes), which readvanced towards the end of the YD. However, the precise trigger is uncertain; climatic forcing and dynamic instabilities related to meltwater lubrication and/or proglacial lake-level fluctuations are possibilities (Margold et al., 2018). Elsewhere, the M’Clintock Channel ice stream in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago (Clark and Stokes, 2001) is thought to have been activated during the early part of the YD and may have generated a large (60,000 km$^2$) ice shelf that occupied Viscount Melville Sound (Hodgson, 1994; Dyke, 2004; Stokes et al., 2009). In contrast, the nearby Amundsen Gulf ice stream appears to have retreated rapidly during the early part of the YD, perhaps triggered by glacier retreat from a bathymetric pinning point into a wider and deeper channel (Lakeman et al., 2018).

The above examples highlight the difficulty of attempting to relate ice stream activity to external climate forcing. Overall, it appears that the LIS receded throughout the YD, but that the pace of recession slowed and there were notable readvances at the scale of individual lobes or ice streams. It should also be noted that while several moraine systems have been robustly linked to YD advances or stillstands, many others might also be correlative but have not yet been precisely dated (Dyke, 2004).

Following the YD, the LIS retreated rapidly in response to both increased summer insolation and increasing levels of carbon dioxide (Carlson et al., 2007, 2008; Marcott et al., 2013). Retreat proceeded back towards the positions of the major ice dispersal centers in the Foxe-Baffin sector, Labrador and Keewatin (Dyke and Prest, 1987; Dyke, 2004; Stokes, 2017). The final retreat of the Labrador Dome has recently been constrained by Ullman et al. (2016) using $^{10}$Be surface exposure dating of a series of end moraines that likely relate to North Atlantic cooling (Bond et al., 1997; Rasmussen et al., 2006). Following the last of these cold events at 8.2 ka (Alley et al., 1997; Barber et al., 1999), Hudson Bay became seasonally ice-free and deglaciation was completed by 6.7±0.4 ka (Ullman et al., 2016).

7.3 Alaska
The existing literature offers limited evidence for glacier readvances in Alaska during the YD. There may be many moraines that were deposited during or at the culmination of the YD, but they have not been dated. One way to assess the possibility of there being YD moraines in Alaska is to consider whether or not glaciers extended beyond their present limits during the YD. Of the 14 glaciers throughout Alaska discussed by Briner et al. (2017), nine had retreated up-valley of their late Holocene positions prior to the YD. Thus, in some cases, it appears that glaciers did indeed extend down-valley of modern limits during the YD. This was the case in Denali National Park and several sites in southern Alaska. A notable site that provides the best evidence to date of YD glaciation in the state is at Waskey Mountain in the Ahklun Mountains. The chronology of the moraines at this locality has been updated since the work of Briner et al. (2002). Young et al. (2019) report evidence for an early YD glacier culmination, followed by minor retreat through the remainder of the interval.

In terms of climate, Kokorowski et al. (2008) conclude that evidence for YD cooling is mainly restricted to southern Alaska. Kaufman et al. (2010) argue that the coldest temperatures in southern Alaska were at the beginning of the YD and that warming occurred subsequently. This climatic pattern is consistent with the revised glacier chronology of the Waskey Mountain moraines. Denton et al. (2005) hypothesized that YD cooling was mostly a wintertime phenomenon and hence may have had limited effect on glacier mass balance. This hypothesis is supported in Arctic Alaska with the documentation of extreme winter temperature depression during the YD (Meyer et al., 2010). Most of the pollen records summarized by Kokorowski et al. (2008) show no significant cooling during the YD. In addition to the climate forcing transmitted from the North Atlantic region, the Bering Land Bridge was flooded around the time of the YD (England and Furze, 2008), although it may not have been completely covered by the sea until about 11 ka (Jakobsson, 2017). This flooding event may have led to an increase in precipitation due to more northerly storm tracks (Kaufman et al., 2010), which may have influenced glacier mass balance. Additionally, the decreasing influence of LIS-induced atmospheric reorganization may have affected summer temperature in Beringia during the Late Pleistocene-Holocene transition. Of course, there may have been more glacier fluctuations during the YD than is currently envisioned, because they may have occurred under a climate that was similar to, or warmer than, that of the late Holocene (Kurek et
al., 2009; Kaufman et al., 2016), in which case moraines may have been destroyed by Holocene glacier advances.

7.4 Cordilleran Ice Sheet and the North Cascades

Many alpine glaciers and at least two remnant lobes of the CIS advanced during the YD. In all cases, the advances were much smaller than those during the LGM and HS-1. At alpine sites, most glaciers reached only several hundred meters beyond late Holocene maximum positions attained during the Little Ice Age (Osborn et al., 2012; Menounos et al., 2017). Other glaciers advanced and came into contact with stagnant CIS ice at lower elevations (Lakeman et al., 2008). In the western North Cascades, there are multiple, closely spaced moraines constructed during the YD (Riedel 2017). Radiocarbon dating constrains the time of an advance on Mount Baker in the North Cascades to 13.0-12.3 ka (K. Scott, written communication; Kovanen and Easterbrook, 2001). The Hyak II advance in the southernmost North Cascades near Snoqualmie Pass occurred after 13 ka (Porter, 1976). Menounos et al. (2017) established 10Be ages on 12 high-elevation moraines in western Canada with a median age of 11.4 ka. A lobe of the CIS advanced across central Fraser Lowland one or two times after 12.9 ka (Saunders et al., 1987; Clague et al., 1997; Kovanen and Easterbrook, 2001; Kovanen, 2002), and the final advance of the glacier in the Squamish River valley in the southern Coast Mountains north of Vancouver has been dated to about 12.5 ka (Friele and Clague, 2002). It is not clear how long the CIS persisted in each North Cascade mountain valley, but the middle reaches of Silver Creek were ice-free by 11.6 ka, as were many sites in western Canada (Clague, 2017; Riedel, 2017). By the beginning of the Holocene or shortly thereafter, ice cover in British Columbia was no more extensive than it is today. A radiocarbon age from basal sediments in a pond adjacent to the outermost Holocene moraine at Tiedemann Glacier in the southern Coast Mountains shows that ice cover in one of the highest mountain areas in British Columbia was, at most, only slightly more extensive at 11 ka than today (Clague, 1981; Arsenault et al., 2007). This conclusion is supported by an age of 11.8-11.3 ka on a piece of wood recovered from a placer gold mine near Quesnel, British Columbia, which is located near the center of the former CIS (Lowdon and Blake, 1980).

Alpine glacial ELAs associated with YD advances were 200-400 m below modern values in the North Cascades, but fluctuated 100-200 m (Riedel, 2007). The colder YD climate is also recorded in changes in loss-on-ignition carbon in lake bed sediments in the eastern North Cascades (Riedel, 2017). Changes in pollen zone boundaries led Heusser (1977) to
conclude that YD mean July air temperature was 2-3°C cooler than today. Liu et al. (2009) suggested that annual precipitation increased by 250 mm, while mean annual air temperature was 4°C colder compared to the 1960-1990 average, and fluctuated by ±0.5°C during the YD interval.

7.5 Rocky Mountain/Yellowstone region

A YD glacier advance or stillstand has been documented in the Lake Solitude cirque in the Teton Range. Boulders perched on the small cirque lip date to 12.9±0.7 ka (Licciardi and Pierce, 2008). Glaciers in cirques in the Wind River Range advanced to form moraines or rock glaciers 50-300 m upvalley of Older Dryas/HS-1 deposits (Fig. 10). Ages on these moraines in Stough Basin, Cirque of the Towers and Titcomb Basin are between 13.3 ka and 11.4 ka (Shakun et al., 2015a; Dahms et al., 2018; Marcott et al., 2019) and provide clear evidence of a glacier advance during the YD period. It is uncertain whether or not these glaciers disappeared prior to re-advancing to their YD positions.

There is clear evidence of a significant glacier advance in the Colorado Mountains during the YD (Marcott et al., 2019), confirming previous age assignments (Menounos and Reasoner, 1997; Benson et al., 2007). Pollen studies (Jiménez-Moreno et al., 2011; Briles et al., 2012) also indicate Younger Dryas cooling in the Colorado Rocky Mountains, as does a study of lacustrine sediment (Yuan et al., 2013) in the San Luis Valley of southern Colorado (Leonard et al., 2017a).

7.6 Sierra Nevada

The only known glacier advance between the retreat of the Tioga 4 glaciers at ~15.5 ka and the late Holocene Matthes (‘Little Ice Age’) advance in the Sierra Nevada is the Recess Peak advance (Bowerman and Clark, 2011). Both cosmogenic surface exposure ages and independent regional climate records favor a YD age for this minor advance. However, limiting radiocarbon ages on bulk organic matter just above the Recess Peak till in lacustrine cores are between 14 ka and 13 ka (Philips, 2017), suggesting that the advance may be older than the YD. The weight of the evidence appears to still favor the YD age assignment, but the replicated direct radiocarbon measurements are difficult to dismiss. Confirmation of a YD age would support the model that the YD cooling had a detectable, although not major, impact on the deglacial climate of the west coast of North America. Confirmation of a slightly older age would suggest that there was a brief, but
significant episode of cooling there late during the B-A. In either case, the climate signal
is small compared to that of the GLGM. The linked glacial/lacustrine modeling of
Plummer (2002) yields a match to Recess Peak glacier extent and lake surface area in the
paleo-Owens River watershed, with a temperature reduction of 1°C and 140% of modern
precipitation. This local combination of glacial and closed-basin lacustrine records offers
an unusual opportunity to assess the paleoclimatic drivers of Recess Peak event, but the
significance of the event cannot be understood until the chronology is secure. Clearly,
additional radiocarbon and high-precision cosmogenic dating of the Recess Peak deposits
is a priority.

7.7 Mexico and Central America

Glaciers constructed a distinctive group of closely spaced end moraines in the mountains
of central Mexico at 3800-3900 m asl from 13-12 ka to ~10.5 ka (Vázquez-Selem and
Lachniet, 2017). ELAs were 4100-4250 m asl, which is 650-800 m below the modern
ELA, suggesting temperatures ~4-5°C below modern values. Considering that other
proxies generally show relatively dry conditions (Lachniet et al., 2013), the relatively low
ELAs were likely controlled by temperature.

The terminal Pleistocene moraines of central Mexico provide clear evidence for Younger
Dryas glaciation in the northern tropics. The moraines are closely spaced and relatively
small (in general <6 m high near their front), but are well preserved in most mountain
valleys at elevations of 3800-3900 m asl. They suggest that glaciers remained near 3800-
3900 m asl for 1000-2000 years at the close of the Pleistocene, forming several small
ridges only tens of meters apart from one another (Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017).
Cosmogenic ages on glacially abraded surfaces indicate that mountains <4000 m asl in
central Mexico were ice-free by 11.5 ka, and mountains <4200 m asl became ice-free
between 10.5 ka and 10 ka. South-facing valleys were ice-free even earlier (12 ka)
(Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017). Glaciers on high peaks (Iztaccíhuatl, Nevada de
Toluca, La Malinche) receded, exposing polished bedrock surfaces below 4100 m asl,
from 10.5 ka to 9 ka. A brief, but distinctive glacier advance is recorded later, from ca.
8.5 ka to 7.5 ka, on the highest peaks of central Mexico (>4400 m asl) (Vázquez-Selem
and Lachniet, 2017) (Fig. 24).

Cosmogenic exposure ages from the summit of Cerro Chirripó, Costa Rica, indicate that
the mountain was ice-free by 15.2 ka (Cunningham et al., 2019). However, other ages
suggest moraine formation around YD time (Potter et al., 2019) and complete
The evidence of possible YD glacier advances in the northern Andes is limited and mainly restricted to elevations above 3800 m asl (Angel et al., 2017). Glacier advances in some valleys in the Venezuelan Andes seem to be related to cooling during the YD. In the Sierra Nevada, climate was dry, but temperatures were 2.2-3.8°C colder than today between 12.9 ka and 11.6 ka (Salgado-Labouriau et al., 1977; Carrillo et al., 2008; Rull et al., 2010; Stansell et al., 2010). Mahaney et al. (2008) suggest glaciers advanced in the Humboldt Massif of this mountain range at 12.4 ka. In the Mucubají valley, also in this range, small moraines located at elevations higher than 3800 m asl have yielded $^{10}$Be ages of 12.22±0.60 ka and 12.42±1.05 ka (modified ages from Angel, 2016) and may be related to the YD. Glacier advances have been linked to the YD in the Sierra Nevada del Cocuy, Colombia, based on $^{10}$Be dating (Jomelli et al., 2014), and on the Bogota Plain based on ages on lacustrine sediments behind the moraines (Helmens, 1988). There are also moraines that might date to the YD in the Ecuadorian Andes. For example, in the Chimborazo-Carihuairazo Massif, two moraine complexes have been radiocarbon-dated to 13.4-12.7 cal ka BP (Clapperton and McEwan, 1985).

The weight of evidence suggests that glaciers were generally in retreat during the YD in Peru and Bolivia. In the Cordillera Oriental of northern Peru, lake sediment records show some evidence of readvance and reoccupation of higher cirques by glaciers, but no moraines have been dated (Rodbell, 1993). Similar evidence from Vilcabamba in southern Peru suggests glaciers advanced at the beginning of the YD, but then retreated (Licciardi et al., 2009). Mercer and Palacios (1977) present evidence that glaciers advanced near Quelccaya near the beginning and end of the YD. Similarly, Rodbell and Seltzer (2000) and Kelly et al. (2012) provide radiocarbon-based evidence that sites in the Cordillera Blanca and the Quelccaya Ice Cap advanced either just prior to or at the start of the YD, followed by retreat. A cirque lake in Bolivia (16ºS, headwall 5650 m asl) formed before 12.7 ka, suggesting that ice had retreated by that time (Abbott et al., 1997). According to Bromley et al. (2011), the ice cap on the Coropuna volcano experienced a strong advance at ~13 ka. Similar glacier activity has been reported at Hualca Hualca volcano (Alcalá-Reygosa et al., 2017) and Sajama (Smith et al., 2009) volcanoes. These
advances coincide with the highest level of the Coipasa paleo-lake cycle, confirming the high sensitivity of the glaciers in this region to shifts in humidity (Blard et al., 2009; Placzek et al., 2013) (Fig. 17).

Many glaciers advanced or experienced stillstands in the Central Andes during the early Holocene. The mean age of all Holocene moraine boulders is 11.0±0.4 ka (Mark et al., 2017). In the Cordillera Huayhuash, \(^{10}\)Be samples from moraine boulders date from 11.4 ka to 10.5 ka (Hall et al., 2009). Early Holocene (11.6-10.5 ka) moraines are also present on Nevado Huaguruncho (Stansell et al., 2015), and a moraine in the Cordillera Vilcabamba in southern Peru has been dated to ~10.5 ka (Licciardi et al., 2009). Basal radiocarbon ages from lake sediments in the Cordillera Raura suggest ice-free conditions after 9.4 ka (Stansell et al., 2013). At Quelccaya in the Cordillera Vilcanota, peat overlain by till has been dated to 11.1 ka and 10.9 ka (Mercer and Palacios, 1977). Similarly, the Taptapa moraine on the Junin plain has been radiocarbon-dated to ~10.1 cal ka BP (Wright, 1984). The Quelccaya Ice Cap reached its present extent by 10 cal ka BP, based on dated peat at its margin (Mercer, 1984). Glaciers in Peru seem not to have advanced throughout the remainder of the early Holocene. In Bolivia, however, \(^{10}\)Be ages suggest several advances during the early Holocene period (Jomelli et al., 2011, 2014).

### 7.10 Southern Bolivia and Northern Chile

As in the case of the ACR, there are no confirmed YD glacial landforms in the Arid Diagonal, but the chronology is insufficient to exclude minor glacial fluctuations in the high headwaters at this time (Ward et al., 2017).

### 7.11 Central Andes of Argentina

Published evidence of YD glacier activity exists at only two sites in the central Andes of Argentina. In the Nevado de Chañi, glaciers retreated after HS-1, followed by an advance during the YD (Martini et al., 2017a). Four \(^{10}\)Be ages from lateral and frontal moraines in the Chañi Chico valley average 12.1±0.6 ka (Fig. 19) (Martini et al., 2017a). The ELA during the YD advance was at ~5023 m asl, which is 315 m above the GLGM ELA (Martini et al., 2017a). Moraines assigned to the YD have been found in two valleys in the Sierra de Aconquija (D’Arcy et al., 2019). According to D’Arcy et al. (2019), one moraine (M3a) was deposited at 12.5 ka and a second (M3b) at 12.3 ka. YD glacier advances coincided with a period of higher-than-present precipitation at paleo-lake Coipasa on the Altiplano (Blard et al., 2011; Placzek et al., 2013). No general early
Holocene glacier activity has been reported for the region, although two moraine boulders from Sierra de Aconquija yielded $^{10}$Be ages of 8.5 ka and 7.9 ka (D’Arcy et al., 2019). In northwestern Argentina, the presence of relict rock glaciers in cirques suggests that YD or early Holocene cooling may have activated rock glaciers instead of causing glaciers to re-form (Martini et al., 2013, 2017b).

7.12 Patagonia

After reaching their maximum Late Glacial extents during the ACR, Patagonian glaciers receded during the YD period. In some regions (47°-52°S), this general trend was interrupted by stillstands or minor readvances that deposited small moraines upvalley from the much larger ACR moraines (Moreno et al., 2009; Sagredo et al., 2011, 2018; Strelin et al., 2011; Glasser et al., 2012; Mendelova et al., 2020). Some of these advances may relate to the end of terminal calving following the draining of paleo-lakes in the region (Davies et al., 2018; Thorndycraft et al., 2019). Again, no evidence of glacier advances during the YD has been reported north of 47°S.

Paleo-vegetation records indicate a decline in precipitation during the YD in northwestern Patagonia (Jara and Moreno, 2014; Pesce and Moreno, 2014; Moreno et al., 2018), warm/wet conditions in central-western sectors (44°-48°S) (Villa-Martínez et al., 2012; Henriquez et al., 2017), and increased precipitation in southwestern sectors (48°-54°S) (Moreno et al., 2012, 2018). A widespread warm/dry interval is evident between 11 ka and 8 ka (Moreno et al., 2010). Although, most studies suggest that Patagonian glaciers retreated through the early Holocene, approaching their present-day configurations (Strelin et al., 2011; Kaplan et al., 2016), recent finding by Reynhout et al. (2019) at Torre glacier (49°S) show robust evidence of early renewed glacial activity during the early Holocene.

7.13 Tierra del Fuego

To our knowledge, there are no published data on glacier behavior during the Northern Hemisphere YD or at the start of the Holocene in the Cordillera Darwin. Glaciers are assumed to be restricted to the inner fjords. In the adjacent Fuegian Andes, an excavation just upvalley of an ACR moraine yielded a calibrated radiocarbon age on peat of ~12.2 ka, indicating that the glacier had receded by that time (Menounos et al., 2013), possibly during the YD. In the same cirque, the presence of the Hudson tephra (7.96-7.34 ka)
Information on glacier activity in the Americas during the YD is limited, but has been
improving in recent years (Table 4 and Figs. 6 and 7).

The LIS continued to thin and retreat throughout the YD, although at a lower rate than
earlier. Some major moraine systems were built during YD stillstands or re-advances, but
it is uncertain if they are a consequence of climate forcing or glacier dynamics related to
internally driven instabilities. Evidence for YD advances is sparse in Alaska, and it seems
that glacier retreat dominated there. In southern Alaska, however, temperatures decreased
during the YD. It is possible that glaciers advanced during this period, but if so, the
evidence was destroyed by late Holocene advances. There is evidence of YD glacier
advances at the southwestern margin of the CIS and in the North Cascades, where a
significant reduction in temperature and an increase in precipitation have been detected.

In the Wyoming and Colorado Rocky Mountains, moraines in several cirque basins,
which once were thought to be mid-Holocene ('Neoglacial') age, are now attributed to the
YD. In the Sierra Nevada a minor advance may be attributed to the YD, although the
dating is problematic. Many other small moraine complexes in the western mountains of
the U.S. have yet to be dated.

One of the few regions with obvious YD moraines is central Mexico, where reconstructed
ELAs suggest temperatures were ~4-5°C below modern values in an environment that
was drier than today. The evidence for YD glaciation in the mountains of Costa Rica is
inconclusive, but in the Northern Andes at elevations above 3800 m asl, some glaciers
advanced during the YD due to a decrease in temperatures of 2.2-3.8°C below present
values under a dry climate.

Glaciers continued to retreat in Peru and Bolivia during the YD, except on the Altiplano
where the YD coincided with the highest level of the Coipasa paleo-lake cycle and with
advances of glaciers in numerous mountain ranges and on high volcanoes. It is
questionable whether some late advances in northern Chile occurred during the ACR or
the YD, but most of the Arid Diagonal was already ice-free in the YD. Some evidence
for YD advances has been found in the central Andes of Argentina, but in Patagonia
 glacier retreat continued throughout the YD and was interrupted only by stillstands or
minor readvances that deposited small moraines. Glacier retreat also dominated during the YD on Tierra del Fuego, where there is no evidence for advances during this period. Deglaciation accelerated after the YD in nearly all of North, Central and South America, and most small glaciers reached their current size or disappeared during the early Holocene. In the area of the LIS, deglaciation occurred rapidly following the YD and was largely complete by 7 ka. In Alaska, glaciers reached sizes similar to today in the early Holocene. The CIS had disappeared by the beginning of the Holocene. Most glaciers in the Yellowstone region and the Colorado Rocky Mountains disappeared before the Holocene, and in the Sierra Nevada glaciers were about their current size at that time. In central Mexico, glaciers probably reached their current size or disappeared by the beginning of the Holocene, although a minor advance, probably related to the 8.2 ka event, is recorded on the highest volcanoes. Many glaciers advanced or experienced stillstands in the Central Andes under a wetter climate during the early Holocene, although these glaciers apparently rapidly retreated a short time thereafter. In Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego, glaciers retreated during the early Holocene and most glaciers approached their present size at that time.

8. Discussion

8.1. The climatic meaning of the Last Glacial Termination

Before comparing glacier behavior in the different regions of the Americas, we first summarize the state of knowledge of global climate evolution during the Last Glacial Termination and the mechanisms that caused it. An immediate problem in attempting such a summary is that it is difficult to even define the start and end of this period. It encompasses a set of events that do not begin or end at the same time around the world. In addition, deglaciation may be caused, not only by changes in orbital forcing that regulate the amount of insolation that Earth receives (Broecker and van Donk, 1970), but also by internal forcing mechanisms and feedbacks, including changes in atmospheric circulation and composition, especially in CO₂ and CH₄ (Sigman and Boyle, 2000; Monnin et al., 2001; Sigman et al., 2010; Shakun et al., 2012; Deane et al., 2017), changes in ocean circulation, the composition of the oceans and sea ice extent (Bereiter et al., 2018), and the interplay between the atmosphere and oceans (Schmittner and Galbraith, 2008; Fogwill et al., 2017). Finally, the Last Glacial Termination is difficult to
define because the two hemispheres experience opposing external forcing and potentially opposing internal forcing mechanisms that might induce a climate compensation effect between the hemispheres termed the “bipolar seesaw” (Broecker and Denton, 1990).

Broadly speaking, glacial terminations initiate when the ice sheets of the Northern Hemisphere are at their maximum extent and with global sea level at its lowest (Birchfield and Broecker, 1990; Imbrie et al., 1993; Raymo, 1997; Paillard, 1998). Additionally, global deglaciation during each termination operates over approximately the same length of time during each glacial cycle and is characterised by short-lived fluctuations of rapid glacier retreat and occasional re-advances (Lea et al., 2003). Given these observations, it is important to determine the mechanisms responsible for the climatic and glacial changes that accompany deglaciations. To that end, several hypotheses have been proposed that are mainly based on the temperatures of the oceans (Voelker, 2002) and the composition of the atmosphere (Severinghaus and Brook, 1999; Stolper et al., 2016).

Any attempt to closely examine the Last Glacial Termination must account for changes in ocean temperature throughout this period. These temperature changes are simultaneous in the two hemispheres, but can shift in opposite directions, for example in the Atlantic Ocean. They are determined by the greater or lesser intensity of the AMOC (see syntheses in Barker et al., 2009, 2010). Even though these changes occur throughout deglaciation, the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere tends to increase more or less continuously. A possible explanation for this apparent enigma is that the oceans in one hemisphere may cool while those in the other hemisphere warm and emit more CO₂, redistributing heat across the planet (Barker et al., 2009).

Building on previous work (Cheng et al., 2009), Denton et al. (2010) propose that a concatenation of processes, with multiple positive feedbacks, drive deglaciation. They argue that deglaciation is initiated by coincident “excessive” growth of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets and increasing boreal summer insolation due to orbital forcing. The large volume of ice on northern continents results in maximum isostatic depression and an increase in the extent of the ice sheets that are marine-based. Even a small increase in insolation could, under these conditions, enlarge ablation zones and initiate the collapse of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets. Marine-based ice sheets can also be more vulnerable to collapse due to positive feedbacks associated with sea-level rise at the grounding line. Outbursts of meltwater and icebergs from these ice sheets cool the North Atlantic Ocean and weaken the AMOC, leading to an expansion of winter sea ice and very cold winters.
on the adjacent continents (Denton et al., 2010). Under these conditions, the northern polar front expands, driving the ITCZ, the southern trade winds, and the southern westerlies to the south (Denton et al., 2010). The Asian monsoon weakens, while the cooling over the North Atlantic intensifies the South American monsoon (Novello et al., 2017) and the southern westerlies. The result is an increase in upwelling in the southern oceans, accompanied by enhanced ocean ventilation and a rise in atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2}.

During deglaciation, the Southern Hemisphere warms first, followed by warming over the rest of the planet (Broecker, 1998). Southward migration of the southern westerlies also contributes to a temperature rise in the southern oceans, which transfer heat to the south (Denton et al., 2010). The intensive cooling in the Northern Hemisphere ends due to a reduction in meltwater input, northward retreat of sea ice, and renewed warming of the northern oceans, which reestablish the AMOC. Subsequently, the ITCZ returns northward and the Asian monsoon intensifies. The northward migration of the southern westerlies and the intensification of the AMOC cool the southern oceans, completing a cycle in the recurrent bipolar seesaw that ultimately tends toward equilibrium (Denton et al., 2010).

The hypothesis for climate evolution during Last Glacial Termination summarized above can be tested with our dataset on the behavior of glaciers in the Americas. It is clear that sea level depends on how water is distributed between the ocean and Northern Hemisphere ice sheets during glacial and also on the effects of land-based glacier ice cover on the isostatic balance of the northern continents (Lambeck et al., 2014). The hypothesis that deglaciation begins when northern ice sheets are extremely large is still supported (Abe-Ouchi et al., 2013; Deaney et al., 2017). According to Cheng et al. (2016), for example, ice sheets reach their maximum size after five precession cycles, which may explain why glacial cycles finished after similar durations of about 115 ka (Paillard, 1998). In addition, it seems that the time needed for ice sheets to reach this extreme size increased throughout the Pleistocene (Clark et al., 2006), and successively more insolation energy was required to start deglaciation. This might explain why each glacial cycle is longer than its predecessor (Tzedakis et al., 2017). Deglaciation begins when the excessive size of the northern ice sheets coincides with: (i) increasing insolation in boreal summer in the Northern Hemisphere, mainly at 65º N, the average latitude of large northern ice sheets (Kawamura et al., 2007; Brook and Buizert, 2018); (ii) minimum CO\textsubscript{2} in the atmosphere (Shakun et al., 2012); and (iii) maximum sea ice extent (Gildor et al., 2010).
2129 These conditions induce aridity and reduce vegetation cover, which in turn increases atmospheric dust, reducing albedo on northern ice sheets (Ellis and Palmer, 2016). Better knowledge of the activity of glaciers throughout the Americas may confirm the hypothesis that is central to these models, namely that deglaciation begins in the North and is transmitted to the South.

2134 New information from ocean and polar ice cores reinforces the idea of climate compensation between the two hemispheres (the bipolar seesaw) during the Last Glacial Termination. Intensive sea-level rise occurs within the first 2 kyr of deglaciation, inducing retreat of marine-based ice sheets, and acts as a positive feedback for deglaciation (Grant et al., 2014). Fogwill et al. (2017) argue that, once deglaciation starts, it is driven by global oceanic and atmospheric teleconnections. New data support the idea that meltwater cooling of the Northern Hemisphere reduced the AMOC strength (Deaney et al., 2017; Muschitiello et al., 2019) and pushed the northern westerlies southward in Asia (Chen et al., 2019), Europe (Naughton et al., 2019), and North America (Hudson et al., 2019). The Asian summer monsoon weakened during these cold periods in the Northern Hemisphere (Cheng et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2019), and the Indian summer monsoon transferred Southern Hemisphere heat northward, promoting subsequent Northern Hemisphere deglaciation (Nilsson-Kerr et al., 2019).

2147 New data have also highlighted the importance of CO$_2$ storage in the dense deep waters of the Southern Hemisphere during glacials (Fogwill et al., 2017; Clementi and Sikes, 2019). Ventilation of these waters during deglaciation emits a large amount of CO$_2$ into the atmosphere and significantly warms the planet (Stephens and Keeling, 2000; Anderson et al., 2009; Skinner et al., 2010; Brook and Buizert, 2018; Clementi and Sikes, 2019), favoring deglaciation (Lee et al., 2011; Shakun et al., 2012). This increase in CO$_2$ overrides the cooling effect from orbital variations in the Southern Hemisphere (He et al., 2013).

2155 Recent high-resolution data from ice cores in Antarctica and Greenland have helped verify the opposite temperature trends in the two polar areas during deglaciation, at least on a large scale. Moreover, these data confirm that the rise in CO$_2$ was synchronous with the increase in Antarctic temperatures (Ahn et al., 2012; Beeman et al., 2019). Antarctic temperature seems to be more closely linked to changes in tropical ocean currents, whereas Greenland is less affected by this phenomenon (Wolff et al., 2009; Landais et al., 2015). However, the intimate relationship between AMOC intensity and atmospheric
CO₂ concentrations has been clearly demonstrated (Deaney et al., 2017), and deglaciation largely represents a period of imbalance between these two parameters. Therefore, when the AMOC stabilizes, atmospheric CO₂ concentrations stabilize and the interglacial period begins (Deaney et al., 2017). That said, some exceptions have been detected on a centennial scale (Böhm et al., 2015). A sudden surge in the AMOC may cause a large release of CO₂ into the atmosphere, although only for a few centuries (Chen et al., 2015). New studies propose that mean ocean temperature and the temperature of Antarctica are closely related, underlining the importance of the Southern Hemisphere ocean in orchestrating deglaciation (Bereiter et al., 2018), as it is the main contributor of CO₂ to the atmosphere (Beeman et al., 2019). Inverse temperature evolution and the latitudinal migration of atmospheric circulation systems (fronts, ITCZ, trade winds and westerlies) may have the greatest impact on the planet’s glaciers and ice sheets, albeit in opposite directions.

Improved knowledge of the changing extent of glaciers throughout the Americas is necessary to understand how glaciers are affected by the above-described evolution of the climate system during the Last Glacial Termination. However, little attention has been focussed on the differing behavior of glaciers between the hemispheres and how this might reflect global ocean and atmospheric teleconnections during the last deglaciation.

Is there a glacial bipolar seesaw reflected in the behavior of mountain glaciers? In that sense, it is necessary to consider that mountain glaciers today contribute about one-third of the ice melt to the oceans (Gardner et al., 2013; Bamber et al., 2018). One of the few studies that compares the behavior of mountain glaciers in both hemispheres is that of Shakun et al. (2015a). These authors analyzed 1116 cosmogenic nuclide exposure ages (mostly ¹⁰Be ages) from glacial landforms located between 50°N and 55°S on different continents, but mostly from the Americas. Inferred glacier behavior was evaluated using a variety of climate forcings. Their results demonstrate that glaciers responded synchronously throughout deglaciation, mainly due to the global increase of CO₂ in the atmosphere and the subsequent increase in temperature. They note important regional differences related to other factors, such as insolation in the Northern Hemisphere, a seesaw response to changes in the AMOC in the Southern Hemisphere, and changes in precipitation distribution and in tropical ocean currents.

8.2 Glaciers in the Americas during GLGM in a global context
We note the similarity in the times of glacier advances in North and Central America during the GLGM. Most mountain glaciers reached their maximum extent before or during the GLGM, although in some areas (e.g. Teton Range and portions of the Yellowstone Ice Cap), the maximum may also have encompassed HS-1. However, there were many local differences within each region. Similarly, the LIS did not exhibit uniform evolution along all parts of its margin, although it did reach its maximum extent during the GLGM. Based on our synthesis, the LIS began to retreat about 21 ka ago at the same time as the majority of the North and Central American glaciers, as well as European glaciers. The Scandinavian Ice Sheet reached its maximum extent in the GLGM and also started its retreat about 21 ka (Toucanne et al., 2015; Cuzzzone et al., 2016; Hughes A.L.C. et al., 2016; Hughes, P. et al., 2016; Stroeven et al., 2016; Patton et al., 2017). As in the case of the LIS, the margins of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet retreated at different times; retreat in some areas was delayed until HS-1. The same timing and behavior has been reported for the Barents, British-Irish, and Icelandic ice sheets (Hormes et al., 2013; Pétursson et al., 2015; Hughes A.L.C. et al., 2016). In all three cases, the maximum was reached during the GLGM and deglaciation began about 21-20 ka, although retreat did not begin in some areas until HS-1. Some sectors of the British-Irish Ice Sheet began their retreat very early in the GLGM, although for reasons related to the dynamics of the ice sheet rather than climate (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2019). In the case of the Icelandic Ice Sheet, sea-level rise caused it to collapse after 19 ka (Pétursson et al., 2015). In summary, the Last Glacial Termination started almost simultaneously in areas covered by the LIS and the Eurasian ice sheets.

Similarities are also evident between North and Central American and many European mountain glaciers. The glacial maximum in Europe extended from 30 ka until the beginning of deglaciation 21-19 ka, for example in the Alps (Ivy-Ochs, 2015), Apennines (Giraudi et al., 2015), Trata Mountains (Makos, 2015; Makos et al., 2018) and the Anatolia peninsula mountains (Akçar et al., 2017). However, glaciers in some mid-latitude mountains in Europe achieved their maximum size much earlier, between MIS 5 to MIS 3, for example, glaciers in the Cantabrian Mountains and central Pyrenees on the Iberian Peninsula (Oliva et al., 2019) and the High Atlas in North Africa (Hughes et al., 2018). In contrast, glaciers in the eastern Pyrenees, the Central Range and the Sierra Nevada on the Iberian Peninsula, which are also located in mid-latitudes, clearly attained their maximum size during the GLGM (Oliva et al., 2019). In summary, mountain
glaciers in Europe and North America evolved in a similar way, in spite of the local differences within each region.

Comparing glacial behavior in South America to glaciers in other continents at similar latitudes is more difficult. The extra-American glaciers of the Southern Hemisphere are located in isolated mountains of Africa and Oceania and, with one exception, have not been well studied. The exception is the Southern Alps of New Zealand, which are located at the about the same latitudes as northern Patagonia.

Unlike most of North and Central America, the maximum advance of the last glacial cycle throughout South America, except perhaps in Tierra del Fuego and in some mountains of Patagonia, was reached long before the GLGM, which is between approximately 60 ka and 40 ka. A similar pattern is also evident in mountains of East Africa (Shanahan and Zreda, 2000; Mahaney, 2011), New Zealand (Schaefer et al., 2015; Darvill et al., 2016) and Kerguelen (Jomelli et al., 2018). Outside the Southern Hemisphere, an early maximum advance during the last glacial cycle has also been proposed in some mountains in Mexico (Heine, 1988), in the central Pyrenees, the Cantabrian Mountains (Oliva et al., 2019), and in the High Atlas (Hughes et al., 2018). However, these cases are exceptional and are not located in any latitudinal zone; rather they are purely regional. Some authors have suggested the idea of an aborted termination around 65-45 ka in the Southern Hemisphere, after glaciers had achieved their maximum extents (Schaefer et al., 2015).

Although the GLGM was not the last time that glaciers advanced in the Southern Hemisphere, it was a period of widespread glacier expansion under a mainly cold and wet climate. As in the Andes, many glaciers in the mountains of East Africa (Shanahan and Zreda, 2000; Mahaney, 2011) and New Zealand (Schaefer et al., 2015; Darvill et al., 2016; Shulmeister et al., 2019) advanced during the GLGM and left outer moraine systems. This advance has been attributed to a southward migration of the ITCZ and westerlies in response to strong cooling in the Northern Hemisphere (Kanner et al., 2012; Schaefer et al., 2015; Darvill et al., 2016). Paleoclimate records from central Chile, northwestern Patagonia, and the southeast Pacific, however, imply a northward shift in southwesterly winds during the GLGM (Heusser, 1990; Villagrán, 1988a, 1988b; Heusser et al., 1999; Lamy et al., 1999; Moreno et al., 1999, 2018).

Deglaciation in the Patagonian Andes began at 17.8 ka, consistent with Antarctic ice core records (Erb et al., 2018) and New Zealand glacial chronologies (Schaefer et al., 2015; Darvill et al., 2016; Barrell et al., 2019; Shulmeister et al., 2019). It occurred two or three
millennia after the inception of deglaciation in the Northern Hemisphere, although
researchers have noted that ice recession and moderate warming took place during the
Varas Interstade, between ~24 and ~19 ka (Mercer, 1972, 1976; Lowell et al., 1995;
Denton et al., 1999; Hein et al., 2010; Mendelova et al., 2017).

The GLGM was more than a climatic period; it was the time when the world’s glaciers
achieved their maximum extent after the previous interglacial. However, ice masses did
not all behave in the same way because their activity was affected by topography, regional
changes in ocean and atmospheric circulation, local climatic conditions and climate
feedbacks (Liakka et al., 2016; Patton et al., 2017; Liakka and Lofverstrom, 2018;
Licciardi and Pierce, 2018). Although many glaciers reached their maximum extent well
before the GLGM, especially in the Southern Hemisphere, the northern ice sheets grew
more-or-less continuously towards the GLGM. Many glaciers, also in the Northern
Hemisphere, achieved their largest size just before the GLGM. Within each region,
glaciers advanced many times, conditioned by the geographical constraints arising from
their own expansion. When the next warm orbital cycle began to affect the Northern
Hemisphere, around 21 ka, deglaciation started in all areas, although it was somewhat
delayed in the Southern Hemisphere. Again, the duration and intensity of deglaciation
after the GLGM differed greatly and was regional rather than latitudinal.

8.3 Glaciers in the Americas during HS-1 in a global context

Records of glacier behavior in the Americas during HS-1 are consistent with records from
other continents, albeit with considerable local variability in each region. HS-1 did not
have a strong impact on the LIS and European ice sheets (Patton et al., 2017). Around
17.8 ka, however, some of the margins of these ice sheets stabilized or advanced and
moraines were built at their margins. Retreat began again shortly thereafter, around 17.5
ka, with some local oscillations superimposed on overall retreat through the rest of HS-1
(Cuzzone et al., 2016; Hughes A.L.C. et al., 2016; Peters et al., 2016; Stroeven et al.,
2016; Gump et al., 2017; Patton et al., 2017). Although there may have been an internal
reorganization of flow patterns and ice sheet geometry at this time, we note that there is
little evidence for any major readvance of the LIS during during HS-1, and retreat likely
continued in most regions including the southern margin (Heath et al., 2018). The
European ice sheets evolved in a similar manner (Toucanne et al., 2015), with
deglaciation beginning between 21 ka and 19 ka (Patton et al., 2017) and rapidly leading
to huge ice losses. The meltwater contribution to the North Atlantic, mainly from the LIS,
was enough to drastically reduce the AMOC (Toucanne et al., 2015; Stroeven et al., 2016).

Knowledge of the impacts of HS-1 on mountain glaciers in Europe is stronger than in North and Central America. Glaciers advanced in the Alps during HS-1 (Gschnitz stadial), occupying valley bottoms that had been deglaciated earlier. The main advance was at the beginning of HS-1, around 17-16 ka, and its moraines are recognized in many valleys (Ivy-Ochs, 2015). An advance of the same age has been documented in the Tatra Mountains, (Makos, 2015; Makos et al., 2018). Up to three readvances have been recognized in the Appenines during HS-1 (Giraudi et al., 2015), and glaciers advanced on the Anatolian Peninsula near the end of HS-1 (Sarkaya et al., 2014, 2017). Glacial advances around 17-16 ka are recognized in almost all mountain ranges on the Iberian Peninsula (Oliva et al., 2019). As in the Alps, glaciers on the Iberian Peninsula reoccupied the lower reaches of valleys, approaching the moraines of the GLGM (Palacios et al., 2017a). This was the case in the central and eastern Pyrenees, the Central Range and the Sierra Nevada (Oliva et al., 2019). All of these European advances happened at about the same time as the HS-1 glacier advances in North and Central America. In the Rocky Mountains, Mexico and Central America, maximum GLGM advances appear to have extended into HS-1, although it is possible that glaciers readvanced during HS-1, surpassing and erasing GLGM glacial landforms. The most recent summaries of the glacial chronology of the California Sierra Nevada (Phillips, 2017), Wyoming’s Wind River Range (Dahms et al., 2018, 2019; Marcott et al., 2019), the European Alps (Ivy-Ochs, 2015), and Iberian Sierra Nevada (Palacios et al., 2016) indicate that the glaciers in these mountain systems behaved in similar ways during HS-1.

The marked glacier advances in the tropical Andes during HS-1 have been attributed to an intensification of the South American monsoon under a colder climate (Kanner et al., 2012). The monsoon produced a wet period on the Altiplano and caused glaciers to advance in the surrounding mountains, in many cases beyond the limits of the GLGM moraines. Again, it is difficult to compare South American tropical glaciers to other glaciers at similar latitudes. There is little information on the glaciers of East Africa; ages bearing on deglaciation have a large margin of error that precludes assigning events to HS-1 with confidence, although many of the glaciers show evidence of large late-glacial oscillations (Shanahan and Zreda, 2000; Mahaney, 2011).
It is much easier to compare glacier behavior between temperate southern latitudes, such as Patagonia and the Southern Alps of New Zealand and Kerguelen Archipelago. In these mountain ranges, deglaciation accelerated during HS-1 (Darvill et al., 2016). The glaciers retreated throughout the entire HS-1 period in the Southern Alps (Putnam et al., 2013; Koffman et al., 2017), Kerguelen (Jomelli et al., 2018) and the same happened in Patagonia (Mendelova et al., 2017) and Tierra del Fuego (Hall et al., 2013). Moraines in some valleys of the Southern Alps dated to 17 ka were built at the end of a prolonged GLGM and mark the beginning of large-scale deglaciation (Barrell et al., 2019; Shulmeister et al., 2019).

On both continents, deglaciation began about 21 ka and became much more widespread after 19-18 ka, resulting in a steady rise in sea level, cooling of the North Atlantic, and reduction of the AMOC. HS-1 was a short period of stabilization and reduction in ice loss. Strong cooling occurred in temperate northern latitudes, and mountain glaciers advanced close to the limits reached during the GLGM, in some cases even surpassing them. This happened in spite of increased aridity, which was a consequence of the southward migration of the polar front. The ITCZ also migrated southward, particularly over the tropical Atlantic, thereby intensifying the South American monsoon and increasing precipitation in tropical latitudes, where glaciers advanced considerably. In contrast, in the temperate latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere, HS-1 was a warm period and glaciers began or continued their rapid retreat under a climate that was opposite that in the temperate latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere.

8.4 Glaciers in the Americas during the B-A and ACR in a global context

We have seen above that the southern and western margins of the LIS retreated during the B-A, whereas there was minimal retreat along its northern margin. In the rest of North and Central America, many glaciers retreated significantly or disappeared altogether by the end of HS-1 and during the B-A. However, some studies have suggested that there were short periods of glacier advance in Europe, as in North America, during this period of general deglaciation. The European ice sheet retreated from the sea and through central Europe during the B-A (Cuzzone et al., 2016) and separated into smaller ice sheets centered on the Scandinavian Peninsula, Svalbard and Novaya Zemlya (Hughes A.L.C. et al., 2016; Patton et al., 2017). Along the southern and northwestern margins of the Scandinavian Peninsula, there are moraine systems that mark the end of GS-2.1, and other moraines inboard of them relate to the cold stage of GI-1d, called the Older Dryas.
Moraines were built at the margin of the British-Irish ice sheet about 14 ka in the Older Dryas, but that ice sheet had nearly disappeared along the B-A (Ballantyne et al., 2009; Hughes A.L.C. et al., 2016; Wilson et al., 2019). The ice sheet covering Iceland retreated and left important parts of the interior of the island free of ice during the B-A, with a climate similar to that of today (Pétursson et al., 2015). In summary, we conclude that European ice-sheets behaved in a similar manner to American glaciers during the B-A.

Glaciers in the European Alps experienced the same rapid deglaciation during the B-A as in North and Central America. After advances during HS-1, alpine glaciers retreated considerably during the B-A and had practically disappeared by the end of this period (Ivy-Ochs, 2015). Older Dryas (Daun stadial) moraines have been identified in many alpine valleys, indicating stagnation or an advance of glaciers between the two interstadials (Ivy-Ochs, 2015). After an advance in the Tatra Mountains at about 15 ka, glaciers retreated rapidly (Makos, 2015; Makos et al., 2018). This retreat was interrupted by readvances of glaciers during the Older Dryas (Marks et al., 2019). Glaciers also rapidly retreated in the eastern Mediterranean during the B-A (Dede et al., 2017; Sarıkaya and Çiner, 2017; Sarıkaya et al., 2017). The same pattern is evident in the Balkans (Styllas et al., 2018), the Apennines (Giraudi et al., 2015), and the Iberian Peninsula where glaciers disappeared from some mountain systems or retreated into the interior of cirques (Oliva et al., 2019). Some moraines in these mountains may have been built in the Older Dryas cold period, but uncertainties in the cosmogenic ages are sufficiently large that this possibility cannot be confirmed. Examples are found in the central Pyrenees (Palacios et al., 2017b).

Conditions were warmer in Venezuela, and glaciers retreated, during B-A. The B-A warm interstadial is not reflected in Central and Southern South American glacier behavior. Rather, there is clear evidence from the northern and tropical Andes of advances during the ACR. These advances occurred under cold and arid conditions caused mainly by temperature changes related to the strengthening of the AMOC (Jomelli et al., 2014). Although there is currently no evidence of these advances in northern Chile and the central Andes of Argentina, they are clear in Patagonia, where after a retreat of glaciers during HS-1, there was an advance during the ACR (Strelin et al., 2011; García et al., 2012). Evidence has been found also of a glacier advance during the ACR in the Fuegian...
Andes on Tierra del Fuego (Menounos et al., 2013), although there is no conclusive evidence of an ACR event in the adjacent Cordillera Darwin (Hall et al., 2019).

Again, a comparison of the behavior of South American glaciers to glaciers in other areas of the Southern Hemisphere is almost impossible. In East Africa, as is the case on other continents, it is very difficult to place the ages of some moraines within the ACR or YD (Mahaney, 2011). However, in the Southern Alps of New Zealand, at Kerguelen (Jomelli et al., 2018) as in Patagonia, there is evidence of glacier advances during the ACR, but with large regional variations, possibly related to the westerlies (Darvill et al., 2016). In any case, most of the possible ACR moraines in New Zealand have been dated to 13 ka, at the boundary between the ACR and the YD (Shulmeister et al., 2019). There are indications of a decrease in temperature of 2-3ºC at that time, at least in some areas of the Southern Alps (Doughty et al., 2013). In spite of the limited knowledge of glacier evolution over much of this region, we conclude that South American glaciers evolved in a similar way to glaciers at similar latitudes on other continents and opposite to that of glaciers in the Northern Hemisphere.

Deglaciation of the Northern Hemisphere accelerated under a warm climate in the lead-up to the interglacial period. Again, we observe differences in glacial behavior within each region, in both North America and Europe, but these differences are local and relate to the geography of ice sheets and mountain glaciers and not to latitudinal trends. In the best-studied regions where glacial landforms are well preserved, the impacts of short cold intervals on glaciers have been detected, especially in the Older Dryas. However, in most cases, uncertainties in dating preclude correctly assigning landforms to brief climatic periods. Thus, it is not yet possible to determine whether any moraines in the Northern Hemisphere belong to the ACR or the Older Dryas. Glacier behavior in the Southern Hemisphere is different from that in North America and on other northern continents. The impact of cooling during the ACR is clear in some of its regions. In the Southern Hemisphere, there was no massive, continuous glacier melt, but rather a tendency towards stagnation or glacier advance. As was the case for HS-1, the north and the south responded in opposite ways to climate change during the B-A/ACR period.

8.5 Glaciers in the Americas during the YD in a Global Context

In many cases, the glaciers in North and Central America responded to the YD by advancing. Retreat of the LIS slowed, and some sectors advanced. At the end of the YD period, the LIS renewed its retreat. Similarly, several fronts of the Fennoscandian Ice
Sheet advanced during the YD, although there was great variability in its different margins (Cuzzzone et al., 2016; Hughes A.L.C. et al., 2016; Mangerud et al., 2016; Stroeven et al., 2016; Patton et al., 2017; Romundset et al., 2017). It appears that the maximum advance occurred at the end of the YD period, at least at some margins (Mangerud et al., 2016; Romundset et al., 2017). At the beginning of the Holocene, retreat of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet began anew, although this was interrupted during the short-lived Preboreal oscillation, at 11.4 ka. Afterwards, retreat continued until the ice sheet disappearance at 10-9 ka (Cuzzzone et al., 2016; Hughes A.L.C. et al., 2016; Stroeven et al., 2016). During the YD, ice caps in some sectors of Britain, Franz Josef Land and Novaya Zemlya also expanded (Hughes A.L.C. et al., 2016; Patton et al., 2017; Bickerdike et al., 2018). The glaciers in Iceland recovered during the YD and again brought their fronts close to the present shoreline and, in some cases, beyond it (Pétursson et al., 2015). With the Holocene came rapid retreat, interrupted by the Preboreal oscillation at 11.4 ka (Andrés et al., 2019). In summary, the remnant European ice sheets grew during the YD, but similar growth is less evident for the LIS.

The impact on glaciers of the YD is currently being studied in the mountains of North and Central America. In Alaska, the only clear evidence reported to date is in the south. However, it is evident that there were small advances during the YD in many valleys of British Columbia and the North Cascades. Recent dating has provided much more evidence of small advances in the Wyoming and the Colorado Rocky Mountains (Leonard et al., 2017a; Dahms et al., 2018, 2019) and possibly the California Sierra Nevada (Phillips, 2017). YD advances are clear in central Mexico and increasingly certain in Central America. Glaciers advanced throughout the European Alps during the YD (Egesen stadial) and built moraines intermediate in position between those of the Oldest Dryas and those of the Little Ice Age (Ivy-Ochs, 2015). In some valleys, there are moraines dating to the Preboreal oscillation that lie between those of the YD and the Little Ice Age (Ivy-Ochs, 2015). From glacier ELA depressions, it can be inferred that the annual temperature was 3-5°C cooler in the Alps, the Tatra Mountains and elsewhere in the Carpathians (Rinterknecht et al., 2012; Makos, 2015). New information shows that glaciers advanced in cirques in the Mediterranean mountains, for example in the Anatolian Peninsula (Sarkaya and Çiner, 2017), the Balkans (Styllas et al., 2018), the Apennines (Giraudi et al., 2015), the Iberian mountains (García-Ruiz et al., 2016; Oliva et al., 2019), the French Pyrenees (Jomelli et al., under review) and the High Atlas.
(Hughes et al., 2018). At this time, we can conclude that the activity of glaciers in North America and Europe during the YD is more similar than it appeared a few years ago. Glaciers also apparently advanced in the northern Andes during the YD. However, in the central Andes, the YD was a period of glacier retreat, with the exception of the Altiplano, where the Coipasa wet phase coincided with advances in the surrounding mountains. Glaciers may also have advanced in these mountains at the beginning of the Holocene, around 11 ka, but they all retreated after 10 ka. Glaciers in Patagonia and on Tierra del Fuego retreated after the ACR, in the latter area probably beyond the limits of the Little Ice Age. Glaciers in East Africa retreated immediately after constructing ACR or YD moraines (Mahaney, 2011). In the Southern Alps, as in Patagonia, the YD was a period of glacier retreat (Shulmeister et al., 2019) with temperatures about 1°C warmer than today (Koffman et al., 2017).

Glaciers in the Northern Hemisphere responded synchronously to YD cooling by either stabilizing or advancing, but the timing of the maximum extent differs spatially, as does the magnitude of advance; in many areas, there is no evidence for YD glacier activity. In the Southern Hemisphere, the South American monsoon intensified, thereby increasing humidity, which caused tropical glaciers to advance. However, in the temperate latitudes of this hemisphere, glaciers retreated, once again showing their antiphase behavior compared to those in the north.

9. Conclusions

The decrease in temperature in the Americas during the GLGM was 4-8 °C, but changes in precipitation differed considerably throughout this large region. Consequently, many glaciers of North and Central America reached their maximum extent during the GLGM, whereas others reached it later, during the HS-1 period. In the Andes, for example, glaciers advanced during the GLGM, but this advance was not the largest of the Last Glacial, except possibly on Tierra del Fuego. HS-1 was a time of glacier growth throughout most of North and Central America; some glaciers built new moraines beyond those of the GLGM. Glaciers in the tropical Andes stabilized or advanced during HS-1 and, in many cases, overrode GLGM moraines. However, glaciers in the temperate and subpolar Andes retreated during this period. Glaciers retreated throughout North and Central America during the B-A interstadial and, in some cases, disappeared. Glaciers
advanced during the ACR in some parts of the tropical Andes and in the south of South America. This advance was strong in Patagonia. Limited advances have been documented in high mountain valleys in North and Central America during the YD. In contrast, glaciers retreated during this interval in South America, except in some sectors of the northern Andes and on the Altiplano where glacier advances coincided with the highest level of the Coipasa paleo-lake cycle.

In summary, the GLGM was the culmination of glacier growth during the last glacial cycle. Glaciers achieved their maximum extent in many sectors before the GLGM, and even in individual sectors at different times, but the main northern ice sheets were largest within the GLGM. The latter explains why orbital forcing triggered deglaciation beginning about 21 ka across the Northern Hemisphere and somewhat later in the Southern Hemisphere.

Glaciers in North America and Europe exhibit common behavior at all latitudes through the Last Glacial Termination. This synchronous behavior extended almost to the Equator. This commonality was clearly influenced by pronounced shifts in ocean circulation (e.g. the AMOC), but probably also reflected proximity to the great Northern Hemisphere ice sheets that profoundly affected atmospheric circulation and temperature.

Glaciers at temperate latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere fluctuated synchronously, especially those in Patagonia and the Southern Alps of New Zealand. Their behavior is generally opposite to that of Northern Hemisphere glaciers during HS-1 and the B-A/ACR, but the two are similar at the beginning and end of Last Glacial Termination.

Glaciers at tropical latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere show greater diversity in their behavior, which is most likely related to shifts in the ITCZ. A striking feature of the glacial history of Central America and the tropical Andes is the persistence of relatively extensive mountain glaciers through the Younger Dryas, long after those in North America and Europe had retreated close to Holocene limits. One significant difference between much of the Andes and the Northern Hemisphere is that the combination of extreme elevation and aridity produces a larger sensitivity to precipitation than for the lower and wetter mountain ranges of North America and Europe.

Once deglaciation began, there was a seesaw between the hemispheres, which affected not only marine currents but also atmospheric circulation and glacier behavior. This seesaw explains the opposing behavior of many glaciers in the Northern and Southern
Hemispheres during HS-1 and the B-A/ACR. At the end of the B-A, it appears that many
mountain glaciers and minor ice sheets had achieved sizes similar to those of the early
Holocene. Subsequently, the YD ended deglaciation in the south and led to the re-advance
of some glaciers in the north.
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**Figure captions**

Figure 1. Locations of the main sites in North and Central America cited in the text.

Figure 2. Locations of the main sites in South America cited in the text.

Figure 3. Glacier extent during the Global Last Glacial Maximum in the Americas. Coloured areas represent regions containing glaciated mountain ranges and in many cases are, for purposes of visibility, much larger than actual glaciated areas. AK = Alaska, BC = British Columbia (Cordilleran Ice Sheet and northern Cascades), CS = central/southern Cascades, SN = Sierra Nevada, NRM = northern Rocky Mountains, SRM = southern Rocky Mountains, MX = Mexico, CA = Central America, NA = Northern Andes, PB = Peru/Bolivia, NCA = north-central Andes, ACA = arid central Andes, PA = Patagonia, TdF = Tierra del Fuego. The coastline corresponds to the GLGM sea-level low. Figure information comes from author interpretations and references cited in Table 1.

Figure 4. Glacier extent during H-1 in the Americas. See Figure 3 for full caption. Figure information comes from author interpretations and references cited in Table 2.

Figure 5. Glacier extent during the B-A and ACR in the Americas. See Figure 3 for full caption. Figure information comes from author interpretations and references cited in Table 3.

Figure 6. Glacier extent during the YD in the Americas. See Figure 3 for full caption. Figure information comes from author interpretations and references cited in Table 4.

Figure 7. Glacier extent during the early Holocene in the Americas. See Figure 3 for full caption. Figure information comes from author interpretation and references cited in Table 4.

Figure 8. Glacial landforms at Deming Glacier on Mount Baker in the North Cascade Range, Washington State. Late-glacial moraines below the present-day terminus of Deming Glacier are numbered 1 through 7. Moraines 1 and 7 and the dashed black line represent the maximum late glacial (Bolling?) limit of Deming Glacier. Moraine number 4 marks the YD limit with its associated radiocarbon ages. Note Neoglacial - Little Ice Age terminus.

Figure 9. GLGM moraines in in the Beartooth Range, Rocky Mountains, Montana. A) Location of the photos. B) Moraines; view west. C) Moraines; view east. The moraines have been dated to 19.8 ka (Licciardi and Pierce, 2018). Photos by Nuria Andrés.
Figure 10. A) Location of the Wind River Range in the Rocky Mountains of Wyoming, Montana and Idaho, and the Middle and North forks of the Popo Agie River (red box) on the southeast flank of the range. B) Overview of LLGM and Late-glacial (pre-Holocene) moraines in the Middle and North fork catchments of the Popo Agie River. Only the farthest extents of the dated moraines are indicated in the main valleys. Yellow – Positions and $^{10}$Be ages of terminal LGM and post-LGM (Pinedale and post-Pinedale) moraines. Green – Positions and $^{10}$Be ages of moraines associated with glacial activity during the H-1/Oldest Dryas period. Red – Positions and $^{10}$Be ages of moraines associated with the YD period. The glacial geology and chronology of this area were originally described by Dahms (2002, 2004) and Dahms et al. (2010), and were subsequently revised by Dahms et al. (2018, 2019). Source: Google Earth images.

Figure 11. LLGM moraines on the east side of the Teton Range, Wyoming, near Taggart and Jenny lakes. A) Locations of photos. B) Moraines east of Taggart Lake. C) Moraines west of Jenny Lake. The moraines have been dated to between 14.4 and 15.2 ka (Licciardi and Pierce, 2018). Photos by Nuria Andrés.

Figure 12. Recessional moraines along the Yellowstone River in the Rocky Mountains of Montana. A) Locations of photo. B) Moraines; view from the northeast. The moraines have been dated to between 14.4 and 15.1 ka (Licciardi and Pierce, 2018). Photo by Nuria Andrés.

Figure 13. GLGM moraines in the Clear Creek watershed, Sawatch Range, central Colorado Rocky Mountains). A) Location of photos. B) Moraines; view to the West. C) Moraines; view to the east. The moraines have been dated to between 19.1 and 21.7 ka (Young et al., 2011). Photos by Nuria Andrés.

Figure 14. Overview of late Pleistocene moraines at Bishop Creek, eastern Sierra Nevada, California. Extents of preserved moraines are indicated for the Tioga 1 (early GLGM), Tioga 2/3 (late GLGM), and Tioga 4 (H-1) advances. Recess Peak moraines (B-A or YD) are numerous throughout the headwaters area, but only one representative moraine is shown. The obvious terminal moraines northeast of the Tioga 1 moraine date to MIS 6 age. The geology and chronology of this drainage are described in Phillips et al. (2009) and updated in Phillips (2017).

Figure 15. Glacial landforms between ~3100 and 4200 m a.s.l. in Gavidia Valley in the Mérida Andes The valley has a U-shaped cross-profile, and has numerous outcrops of
striated and polished bedrock (roches moutonnées). Deglaciation happened in two stages: slow retreat between ~22 and 16.5 ka, followed by the complete deglaciation at ~16 ka (Angel et al., 2016). Photo by Eduardo Barreto.

Figure 16. LLGM and H-1 glacial landforms at the top of Cerro Tunupa (19.8°S, 67.6°W; 5110 m asl); view toward the southeast (Blard et al, 2013a; Martin et al., 2018). In the background is the Salar de Uyuni. Photo by Pierre Henri Blard.


Figure 18. Glacial landforms in the arid Chilean Andes, from north to south: A) Glacial valley at El Tatio (22.3° S), view upvalley from the LGM right-lateral moraine that has yielded 36Cl ages of 20-35 ka (Ward et al., 2017). Truck circled for scale. B) View upvalley from LGM right-lateral/frontal moraine near Co. La Torta (22.45° S) dated to 25-30 ka (Ward et al., 2015). Dirt track visible for scale. C) Inner ridge of the western terminal complex of the former Chajnantor ice cap (23.0° S), last occupied at the LLGM (Ward et al., 2015; 2017). View to the southwest; backpack circled for scale. D) Eastern terminal moraine complex at Chajnantor, likely MIS 3 (Ward et al., 2015, 2017). View to the north; largest visible boulders are ~1.5 m in diameter. E) View upvalley from likely MIS 6 terminal moraine of the southern outlet glacier of the former ice field at Cordón de Puntas Negras (23.85° S). Sharper inner lateral/frontal moraines have yielded ages that support an LGM and/or MIS 3 age (Thornton, 2019). Locations of photos: 1 - A; 2 -B, 3 - C and D, 4 - E. Photos by Dylan J. Ward.

Figure 19. Glacial landforms on the east side of Nevado de Chañi in the central Argentina Andes. A) Locations of the photos. B) View to the east (down-valley) of Refugio Valley showing the GLGM I (red) and H-1 (purple) moraines. The green circle marks a hut for scale. C) YD lateral/frontal moraines in the Chañi Chico valley, which is a tributary of Refugio Valley. These moraines are located inboard of those shown in panel A. Photos by Mateo Martini.

Figure 20. Glacial landforms on Tierra de Fuego. A) Locations of photos B-E. B) The inner LGM-age moraine at Bahía Inútil, southern Chile. View to the east, parallel to the moraine (marked by the white dashed line). The large boulders are granite derived from...
the Cordillera Darwin. C) Ice-scoured terrain in the Cordillera Darwin characteristic of areas deglaciated during H-I. View to the north along the Beagle Channel. D) Aerial view to the southwest of Marinelli fjord. The dashed line shows the location of a late Holocene moraine, marking a historic glacial margin. The white dot shows the approximate location of a core site that provides evidence that ice receded from the Strait of Magellan and back into the fjord by ~17 ka. The black dot shows the location of photo E. E) Bog in Marinelli fjord; view to the south. The late Holocene moraine is visible in the background. Ice had cleared this site, within view of the historic position, by 16 ka. Photos by Brenda Hall.

Figure 21. ACR moraine in Gueshgue valley in the Cordillera Blanca, Peru (dated by Stansell et al., 2017). Photo by Joseph Licciardi.

Figure 22. ACR moraine at Nevado Huaguruncho in the Eastern Cordillera of Peru (dated by Stansell et al., 2015). Photo by Joseph Licciardi.

Figure 23. ACR (continuous white line) and Holocene (dashed line) moraines in the Tranquilo Valley, close to Mount San Lorenzo, Patagonia. Photo by Esteban Salgedo.

Figure 24. Glacial landforms in Alcalican Valley, southwest of Iztaccíhuatl in central Mexico. Moraine from the Late Pleistocene-Holocene transition. Elevations are ~3865 m at the bottom of the valley at the end of the moraine and ~5200 m a.s.l. on the mountain summit. Moraines of this group have been \(^{36}\text{Cl}\)-dated at 13-12 to ~10.5 ka and could be YD in age. Note the three moraine ridges on the right side of the valley. Photo by Lorenzo Vázquez.
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Table 2. The main climate and glacial evolution features during the Heinrich 1 Stadial (17.5-14.6 ka) in the Americas.

Table 3. The main climate and glacial evolution features during the Bølling-Allerød interstadial and Antarctic Cold Reversal (14.6-12.9 ka) in the Americas.

Table 4. The main climate and glacial evolution features during the Younger Dryas (12.9-11.7 ka) in the Americas.
(d) YD (12.9-11.7 ka)

Glacial Extent

- 100-80%
- 80-60%
- 60-40%
- 40-20%
- 20-0%
- Insufficient Data
A map of the region around the Strait of Magellan, showing the location of Bahía Inútil, Isla Grande de Tierra de Fuego, and the Fuegian Andes. The Cordillera Darwin LGM is also marked. Additional images show LGM moraines and Late Holocene moraines, indicating glacial activity in the area.
Table 1. The main climate and glacial evolution features during the Global Last Glacial Maximum (26.5 to 19 ka) in the Americas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>Climate during GLGM in relation to present</th>
<th>ELA depression in LGM in relation to present</th>
<th>Local Last Maximum Ice Extension and its relation to GLGM</th>
<th>Initial deglaciation chronology</th>
<th>Key References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laurentia</td>
<td>6-7 °C colder and great local variability in precipitation</td>
<td></td>
<td>During GLGM</td>
<td>23 to 20 ka, depending on area, but slow prior to 17 ka</td>
<td>Dyke et al., 2002; Ullman et al., 2015b; Robel and Tziperman, 2016; Stokes et al., 2016; Margold et al., 2015; 2018; Stokes, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>More arid and relatively warm conditions</td>
<td>200-500 m</td>
<td>During GLGM From 24 to 21 ka in the whole region</td>
<td>~20 ka</td>
<td>Kaufman et al., 2003; Tulenko et al., 2018; Pendleton et al., 2015; 2012; Putnam et al., 2013; Briner et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordillera Ice Sheet and North Cascades</td>
<td>6-7 °C colder and 40% lower mean annual precipitation</td>
<td>1000–750 m</td>
<td>CIS in the north during GLGM and in the west and south, later up to 16 ka During LGM in North Cascades, from 25 to 21 ka</td>
<td>From 21 to 16 ka</td>
<td>Clague, 2017; Riedel et al. 2010; Bartlein et al. 2011; Riedel, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowstone-Tetons</td>
<td>Around 5.4°C colder and similar precipitation as at present</td>
<td>900 m</td>
<td>During GLGM From ~22 ka, but in some valleys up to 17-16 ka</td>
<td>~20 to 17 ka, depending of the valleys</td>
<td>Licciardi and Pierce, 2018; Pierce, et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind River Range, WY</td>
<td>(Est.) &gt; 5.4°C colder and similar precipitation as at present</td>
<td>~900 m</td>
<td>During GLGM From ~24-22 ka</td>
<td>~22 ka</td>
<td>Dahms 2004; Dahms et al., 2018, 2019; Shakun et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Around 5.4-8°C colder and similar precipitation as at present</td>
<td>900 m</td>
<td>During GLGM From ~24 ka, but some valleys up to 17-16 ka</td>
<td>~20 to 17 ka, depending of the valleys</td>
<td>Young et al., 2011; Leonard et al., 2017a, b; Brugger et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Nevada</td>
<td>5-6°C lower, 140% higher precipitation</td>
<td>1200 m</td>
<td>During GLGM From 26 to 20 ka</td>
<td>Began at 19 ka and accelerated rapidly after 18 ka</td>
<td>Plummer, 2002; Phillips, 2016, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>6 - 9°C colder, precipitation lower than modern</td>
<td>1000 m in the interior; 1200-1500 m</td>
<td>During GLGM. Interior part of central Mexico at 21-19 ka, extending to 20-14 ka near the coasts</td>
<td>15 to 14 ka</td>
<td>Vazquez-Selem and Heine, 2011; Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Temperature and Conditions</td>
<td>Elevation Range</td>
<td>During GLGM and post-LGM</td>
<td>Subsequent De-glaciation and Moraine Formation</td>
<td>Deglaciation and Moiranes Formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central America</td>
<td>6 - 9°C colder</td>
<td>1100-1400 m</td>
<td>Maximum at ~25-23 ka in Cordillera Talamanca; subsequent deglaciation and moraines formation ca. 18-16 ka</td>
<td>~17 ka</td>
<td>Roy and Lachniet, 2010; Cunningham, 2019; Potter et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Andes</td>
<td>8°C colder and wetter</td>
<td>1420-850 m</td>
<td>Pre-GLGM but during the GLGM, ~21 ka in Sierra Nevada, Venezuela</td>
<td>From ~21 to 16 ka</td>
<td>Roy and Lachniet, 2010; Cunningham, 2019; Potter et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru and Bolivia</td>
<td>~5-8°C colder; precipitation was slightly higher</td>
<td>Variability, from 800 to 1200 m</td>
<td>Pre-GLGM; GLGM and post GLGM, with average of 25 ka, from 32 to 17 ka</td>
<td>From ~22 to ~17 ka</td>
<td>Seltzer et al., 2002; Mark et al., 2005; Rodbell et al., 2008; Mark, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Chile</td>
<td>Colder and strong spatial gradients in moisture availability from north to south</td>
<td>900 m</td>
<td>Pre-GLGM 45-35 ka 30-25 ka and GLGM ~22-19 ka, and in some sectors 17-15 ka,</td>
<td>North Arid Diagonal, up to &lt; 15 ka. South 17 ka</td>
<td>Ward et al., 2015; Cesta and Ward, 2016; Ward et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Andes of Argentina</td>
<td>Colder and slightly wetter conditions</td>
<td>800 m</td>
<td>Pre-GLGM (~40-50 ka), with LGM minor and variable expansion: between ~26 and ~19 ka,</td>
<td>From ~21 ka</td>
<td>Martini et al., 2017; Moreiras et al., 2017; Zech J. et al., 2017; Terrizano et al., 2017; D’Arcy et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patagonia</td>
<td>6-7°C colder and wetter in northern Patagonia</td>
<td>ca. 900 m</td>
<td>Pre-GLGM (~48 and ~30 ka), with LGM minor expansion and variable: between ~26.9 and 18.8 ka</td>
<td>Between 19.5-17.5-ka</td>
<td>Moreno et al. 2015; Darvill et al., 2016; Hein et al., 2017; Garcia et al., 2018; Hubbard et al., 2005; Denton et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tierra del Fuego</td>
<td>6-7°C colder</td>
<td>~1000 m?</td>
<td>Pre GLGM? GLGM expansion by ~25 ka, but pre-GLGM moraines may be more extensive</td>
<td>~18 ka</td>
<td>Hall et al., 2013, 2017; Menounos et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The main climate and glacial evolution features during the Heinrich 1 Stadial (17.5 to 14.6 ka) in the Americas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>Climate during H-1 in relation to present</th>
<th>ELA depression in H1 in relation to present</th>
<th>Glacial evolution during H-1</th>
<th>Key References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAURENTIA</td>
<td>Cold, mild</td>
<td>200-500 m</td>
<td>No clear evidence for major glacial readvances during or soon after H-1. Important changes in the location of ice dispersal centres, with subsequent effects on ice flow patterns and some lobe advances. In general, ice sheet thinning tendency.</td>
<td>Stokes et al., 2005; Koester et al., 2017;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALASKA</td>
<td>Cold, mild</td>
<td>200-500 m</td>
<td>Identified some standstills or re-advances in glacial fronts at ~17-16.5 ka, but there was significant recession of most of glaciers over all this period.</td>
<td>Pendleton et al., 2015; Kopczynski et al., 2017; Briner et al., 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordillera Ice Sheet and North Cascades</td>
<td>6-7 °C colder and 40% lower mean annual precipitation influenced by CIS expansion</td>
<td>1000-750 m few hundred meters above the LGM</td>
<td>The ice sheet reached its maximum extent during H-1. Some glaciers left moraines closely nested inside the LGM moraines, that could belong to the H-1 expansion.</td>
<td>Cosma et al., 2008; Troost, 2016; Clague, 2017; Kaufman et al., 2004; Porter and Swanson, 2008; Riedel et al., 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowstone-Tetons</td>
<td>Colder and similar precipitation</td>
<td>900 m, with great local variability</td>
<td>Some valleys reach their local maximum ice advance at ~17 ka, while others experienced extensive recession.</td>
<td>Licciardi and Pierce, 2018; Pierce et al., 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind River Range, WY</td>
<td>Est. colder w/ similar precipitation</td>
<td>60-170m (S-to-N)</td>
<td>Stagnant ice remains w/in 13 km of LLGM max in some trunk valleys while riegels become ice-free, suggesting de-coupling of cirque from valley ice ~18-17 ka. ‘Temple Lake’ moraines form 15-14 ka.</td>
<td>Shakun et al., 2015a; Dahms et al., 2018, 2019; Marcott et al., 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Colder and similar precipitation</td>
<td>900 m, with great local variability</td>
<td>Some valleys reach their local maximum ice advance at ~17-16 ka, while others experienced extensive recession. Rapid retreat afterwards.</td>
<td>Laabs et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011; Shakun et al., 2015a; Leonard et al., 2017a, b; Brugger et al., 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Cold and dry</td>
<td>900 m in the interior; 1200-1500 m near the coasts</td>
<td>Minor recession in the interior but overall strong evidence of glacial advance or stillstand coeval to H-1 (17-15 ka)</td>
<td>Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Conditions</td>
<td>Moraine Details</td>
<td>Sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central America</td>
<td>Cold conditions</td>
<td>Moraine formation dated at ca. 18-16 ka; glacier recession by 15 ka</td>
<td>Cunningham et al., 2019; Potter et al., 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Andes</td>
<td>Cold temperatures but higher than in the LGM</td>
<td>Unknown, but likely with great variability</td>
<td>Rull, 1998; Brunschön and Behling, 2009; Angel et al., 2016; 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru and Bolivia</td>
<td>The coldest period since LGM with cooling of ~3°C. Drier in central Peru.</td>
<td>Large variability, from similar to LGM to few hundred meters</td>
<td>Alcalá-Reygosa, 2017; Mark, 2017; Martin et al., 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In the Altiplano the first part of H1 (~18 to 16.5 ka) was drier, followed by the Lake Tauca highstand from 16.5 to 14.5 ka with an increase of precipitation &gt; 130 %</td>
<td>Most of the glaciers retreated just prior to H-1, but multiple glaciers re-advanced during H-1, in Peru and Bolivia, with average moraine age of 16.1 ka with a standard deviation of 1.1 ka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Chile</td>
<td>~3.5°C colder and sharp precipitation gradient with decreasing precipitation from Lake Tauca to the south.</td>
<td>900 m of regional difference in relation to precipitation distribution</td>
<td>Ward et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Andes of Argentina</td>
<td>Glacial advances occurred synchronous with the expansion of Altiplano lakes</td>
<td>620 m</td>
<td>Zech J. et al., 2009, 2017; Martini et al., 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patagonia</td>
<td>Warming tendency throughout the period. Average interglacial temperatures by 16.8 ka</td>
<td>New advances in some sectors between 17 and 15 ka and in other sectors, deglaciation from 17 ka. Moraines of H-1 located up-valley from those of the LGM.</td>
<td>Boex et al. 2013; Moreno et al. 2015; Henriquez et al., 2017; Mendelova et al., 2017; Bendle et al., 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tierra de Fuego</td>
<td>Sudden, large-scale warming.</td>
<td>Unknown, but likely within a few hundred meters of present by 16.8 ka</td>
<td>McCulloch et al., 2005b; Kaplan et al., 2008; Menounos et al., 2013; Hall et al., 2013, 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: LGM = Last Glacial Maximum.
### Table 3. The main climate and glacial evolution features during the Bølling-Allerød interstadial and Antarctic Cold Reversal (14.6–12.9 ka) in the Americas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>Climate during B-A/ACR in relation to present</th>
<th>ELA depression during BA/ACR in relation to present</th>
<th>Glacial evolution during B-A/ACR</th>
<th>Key References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAURENTIA</td>
<td>General ablation in marginal areas in B-A and marked retreat acceleration along the southern and western margins, with development of proglacial lakes. Some readvances not related to climatic forcing. Northern margin stable.</td>
<td>CIS lowered more than 500 m in the early BA. ELAS ~500-700 m</td>
<td>Rapid disintegration of the CIS in the North Cascades and western Canada from 14.5–14.0 ka Glacial tongues transformed into a labyrinth of dead ice in valleys and between CIS and LIS Minor glacial advances between 13.6 and 13.3 ka. Top-down deglaciation of the ice sheet led to exposure of valley heads and cirques before adjacent valley floors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALASKA</td>
<td>Unknown but some data suggest an important climate change</td>
<td>Widespread glacier retreat around the time of the Bølling onset. Glaciers were smaller than their eventual late Holocene extents by 15 ka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordillera Ice Sheet and North Cascades</td>
<td>Positive temperature anomaly of 1–2 °C early in the BA, and increase in mean annual precipitation of 250 mm. Brief cold periods with -1.5 °C temperature drop caused the small glacier advances later in the BA</td>
<td>CIS lowered more than 500 m in the early BA. ELAS ~500-700 m</td>
<td>Rapid disintegration of the CIS in the North Cascades and western Canada from 14.5–14.0 ka Glacial tongues transformed into a labyrinth of dead ice in valleys and between CIS and LIS Minor glacial advances between 13.6 and 13.3 ka. Top-down deglaciation of the ice sheet led to exposure of valley heads and cirques before adjacent valley floors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowstone-Tetons</td>
<td>Warming climate</td>
<td>Increasing to present day</td>
<td>Some valleys continue advancing after 16 ka in the SW, due to their exposure to greater precipitation, the Yellowstone as a whole experienced an intensive deglaciation 15-14 ka</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind River Range, WY</td>
<td>Warming prior to cooling during IACP/ACR</td>
<td>Increasing to near present</td>
<td>Glaciers retreat behind their H-1 moraines by ~15 ka, possibly to cirque headwalls. 10Be ages suggest some begin to re-advance ~13.6-3 ka correlative with the Inter-Allerød Cold Period (IACP) and the ACR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>temperature and summer insolation increasing</td>
<td>Increasing to present day</td>
<td>Deglaciation was culminated by ~14 ka, and by 13 ka most of the glaciers had disappeared</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Nevada</td>
<td>summer-warm and high-insolation</td>
<td>Increasing to present day, with a short depression of ~150 m</td>
<td>Glaciers had retreated to cirque headwalls by about 15.5 ka, well before the start of the BA at 14.7 ka.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key References:
- Carlson et al., 2012; Ullman et al., 2015b; Margold et al., 2015; 2018; Dyke, 2004; Stokes, 2017
- Badding et al., 2013; Pendleton et al., 2015
- Liu et al. 2009; Peltier et al. 2015; Menounos et al. 2017; Lambeck et al. 2017; Riedel 2017; Clague 2017
- Licciardi and Pierce, 2018; Pierce et al., 2018
- Dahms et al., 2018, 2019; Shakun et al., 2015
- Laabs et al., 2009; Young et al., 2011; Shakun et al., 2015a; Leonard et al., 2017a,b
- Bowerman, 2011; Phillips, 2016, 2017
Glacier advanced following the Bølling-Ållerød transition, for short interval, correlative with both the Inter-Ållerød Cold Period and the ACR, but also the YD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Temperature Change</th>
<th>Precipitation Change</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Warming tendency</td>
<td>Rose at least 200 m</td>
<td>Initial deglaciation, allowing for the formation of small recessional moraines close to those of the maximum advance from 15 to 14 ka and accelerated from 14 ka.</td>
<td>Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central America</td>
<td>Warming trend</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Glacier retreat and standstills 15-10 ka</td>
<td>Potter et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Andes</td>
<td>2.9°±0.8°C colder</td>
<td>Depression of 500 m</td>
<td>Glacier advances have been related to ACR in the Sierra Nevada del Cocuy, Colombia</td>
<td>Jomelli et al., 2014, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru and Bolivia</td>
<td>Colder in the beginning and end of the ACR and variability in the precipitation</td>
<td>Great variability, from similar to present to depression of 500 m</td>
<td>Glaciers advancing in multiple regions of Peru of Bolivia around 13.5 ka</td>
<td>Jomelli et al., 2014, 2016, 2017; Stansell et al., 2015; 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Chile</td>
<td>Drier than H1 or YD</td>
<td></td>
<td>No glacial advances have been dated with sufficient precision to distinguish between the YD and the ACR, but possible ACR moraines at recessed positions</td>
<td>Ward et al., 2015; 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Andes of Argentina</td>
<td>Similar to present-day precipitation</td>
<td></td>
<td>No generalized glacial activity in the region, but possible ACR moraines</td>
<td>D'Arcy et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patagonia</td>
<td>1.6-1.8°C colder</td>
<td>Depression of 260 m</td>
<td>Several advances during ACR south of 47°S but no evidence of a glacial advance north of 47°S</td>
<td>Moreno et al., 2009; Glasser et al., 2011; Sagredo et al., 2011; Strelin et al., 2011; Garcia et al., 2012; Nimick et al., 2016; Sagredo et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tierra de Fuego</td>
<td>Colder</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cirque moraines in the Fuegian Andes have been dated to the ACR. Overall, little work has been done on ACR ice extent in this region.</td>
<td>Menounos et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. The main climate and glacial evolution features during Younger Dryas (12.9–11.7 ka) in the Americas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>Climate during YD in relation to present</th>
<th>ELA depression during YD in relation to present</th>
<th>Glacial evolution during YD</th>
<th>Final stages of deglaciation</th>
<th>Key References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAURENTIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glacial recession slowed and some moraine systems were built because of some marginal re-advances. Several ice streams switched on caused by climatically forced. However, there was rapid retreat along other margins.</td>
<td>Following the YD, deglaciation occurred rapidly. Retreat towards the positions of the major ice dispersal centres with some interruptions, such as the 8.2 ka event, to be completed by 7 ka</td>
<td>Dyke, 2004; Margold et al., 2018; Lakeman et al., 2018;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALASKA</td>
<td>Cooling is notable only in the South and in the beginning of YD. The rest was warmer than the late Holocene. Increase in precipitation due to transgressive flooding of Bering Strait around the time of the YD.</td>
<td>0-80 m</td>
<td>Limited evidence for glacier re-advances and most of the glaciers retreated up-valley of their late Holocene extent prior to the YD</td>
<td></td>
<td>Briner et al., 2002; 2017; Kaufman et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cordillera Ice Sheet and North Cascades</td>
<td>The climate was variable at the century time scale, with maximum cooling of ~2–3 °C. ELA 200-400 m below modern values in the North Cascades, but fluctuated 100-200 m</td>
<td></td>
<td>Many alpine glaciers and at least two remnant lobes of the CIS advanced. They were small advances, only several hundred meters beyond late Holocene maximum positions attained during the Little Ice Age. In North Cascades there are multiple closely nested YD moraines</td>
<td>Ice-free by 11.6 ka in many sites in western Canada. In British Columbia extent was only slightly larger at 11 ka than today.</td>
<td>Osborn et al., 2012; Menounos et al., 2017; Riedel, 2017;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellowstone-Tetons</td>
<td>The only glacial advance detected is in the Lake Solitude cirque in the east slope of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Licciardi and Pierce, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Event/Condition</td>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>Date/Coexisting Events</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teton Range, WY</td>
<td>Teton Range, with a moraine that closed a small cirque at 12.9 ± 0.7 ka</td>
<td>~40-80m (S-to-N)</td>
<td>Ice-free until Late Holocene. Two re-advances (pre-LIA pro-talus/moraines; LIA moraines).</td>
<td>Dahms, 2002; Dahms et al., 2010, 2018, 2019; Shakun et al., 2015.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind River Range, WY</td>
<td>Cooling; Precip? 'Alice Lake' moraines in fifteen cirque valleys 0.1-0.5 km behind H-1/Oldest Dryas moraines. 13.6-11.2 ka in Stough Basin and Cirque of the Towers; 13.3 ka in Titcomb Basin.</td>
<td>~40-80m (S-to-N)</td>
<td>Ice-free until Late Holocene. Two re-advances (pre-LIA pro-talus/moraines; LIA moraines).</td>
<td>Dahms, 2002; Dahms et al., 2010, 2018, 2019; Shakun et al., 2015.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>Cooling evidence</td>
<td></td>
<td>~15-13 ka with no clear evidence of re-advance during the YD.</td>
<td>Leonard et al., 2017a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sierra Nevada</td>
<td>Evidence of 1°C cooling and 140% precipitation increase</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unclear whether Recess Peak advance is YD or pre-YD</td>
<td>Bowerman, 2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>~4-5°C colder and relatively dry conditions</td>
<td>650-800 m</td>
<td>In the mountains of central Mexico &gt;4200 m glaciers formed a distinctive group of closely spaced moraines at 3800-3900 m from 13-12 to ~10.5 ka</td>
<td>Vázquez-Selem and Lachniet, 2017.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central America</td>
<td>Conflicting evidence: full deglaciation prior to YD, ca. 15.2 ka (Cunningham et al., 2018); glaciation coeval to YD and full deglaciation before 9.7 ka (Orvis and Horn, 2000; Potter et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ice-free before 9.7 ka or probably as early as 15.2 ka, depending on the authors</td>
<td>Orvis and Horn, 2000; Cunningham et al., 2019; Potter et al., 2019.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Andes</td>
<td>Evidence of glacier advance is limited and mainly located at elevations higher than 3800 m a.s.l.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple glaciers advanced or experienced stillstands in the Central Andes during the early Holocene. Glaciers then seem</td>
<td>Salgado-Labouriau and Schubert, 1977; Carrillo et al., 2008; Rull et al., 2010; Stansell et al., 2010; Angel et al. 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru and Bolivia</td>
<td>More precipitation in the South indicated by high level of Coipasa paleolake</td>
<td>Scarce records and great variability</td>
<td>The ice was in a general retreating phase during the YD. Only local evidence of advances at the start of YD, mainly in southwestern Peru.</td>
<td>Mark et al., 2017; Bromley et al., 2011; Alcalá-Reygosa et al., 2017.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Climate Conditions</td>
<td>Key Events/Findings</td>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Chile</td>
<td>100-150% wetter than modern</td>
<td>There are no glacial landforms in the immediate vicinity of the Arid Diagonal dated with sufficient precision to distinguish between the YD and the ACR; possible YD moraines in recessed positions at a few locations.</td>
<td>Ward et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Andes of Argentina</td>
<td>Cooler and wetter conditions. Synchronous with the expansion of Altiplano lakes</td>
<td>480 m evidence of local advances during YD After the YD or H-1 there is no widespread glacial activity</td>
<td>Martini et al., 2017; D’Arcy et al., 2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patagonia</td>
<td>No clear cooling and great variability in precipitation</td>
<td>After reaching their maximum late-glacial extent during the ACR, Patagonian glaciers underwent net recession and thinning during the YD. This general trend was interrupted by stillstands or minor readvances that deposited small moraines south of 47°S A widespread warm/dry interval is evident between 11-8 ka and the glaciers continued decreasing through the early Holocene, when most glaciers approached their present-day configuration</td>
<td>Moreno et al., 2010; Strelin et al., 2011; Kaplan et al., 2016; Glasser et al., 2012; Sagredo et al., 2018; Moreno et al., 2018a,b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tierra de Fuego</td>
<td>No clear cooling</td>
<td>A glacier in the Fuegian Andes had reached positions comparable to the Little Ice Age by 12.5-11.2 ka. Probably glaciers were in recession throughout Tierra del Fuego.</td>
<td>Recession may have been rapid in the early Holocene</td>
<td>Menounos et al., 2013; Hall et al., 2013; 2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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