

ESR dating applied to optically bleached quartz - A comparison with 40Ar/39Ar chronologies on Italian Middle Pleistocene sequences

P Voinchet, A Pereira, S Nomade, C Falguères, I Biddittu, M Piperno, M-H Moncel, J-J Bahain

▶ To cite this version:

P Voinchet, A Pereira, S Nomade, C Falguères, I Biddittu, et al.. ESR dating applied to optically bleached quartz - A comparison with 40Ar/39Ar chronologies on Italian Middle Pleistocene sequences. Quaternary International, 2020, 556, pp.113 - 123. 10.1016/j.quaint.2020.03.012 . hal-03012013v1

HAL Id: hal-03012013 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03012013v1

Submitted on 18 Nov 2020 (v1), last revised 14 Dec 2020 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ESR dating applied to optically bleached quartz - a comparison with $^{40}{\rm Ar/}^{39}{\rm Ar}$ chronologies on Italian Middle Pleistocene sequences

P. Voinchet, A. Pereira, S. Nomade, C. Falguères, I. Biddittu, M. Piperno, M.-H. Moncel, J.-J. Bahain

PII: S1040-6182(20)30097-5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2020.03.012

Reference: JQI 8181

To appear in: Quaternary International

Received Date: 24 July 2018

Revised Date: 3 March 2020

Accepted Date: 6 March 2020

Please cite this article as: Voinchet, P., Pereira, A., Nomade, S., Falguères, C., Biddittu, I., Piperno, M., Moncel, M.-H., Bahain, J.-J., ESR dating applied to optically bleached quartz - a comparison with ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar chronologies on Italian Middle Pleistocene sequences, *Quaternary International*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2020.03.012.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Elsevier Ltd and INQUA. All rights reserved.



ESR dating applied to optically bleached quartz - a comparison with ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar chronologies on Italian Middle Pleistocene sequences

P. Voinchet^{1*}, A. Pereira^{1,2,3,4}, S. Nomade², C. Falguères¹, I. Biddittu⁵, M. Piperno⁶, M.-H. Moncel¹, J.-J. Bahain

²Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, UMR 8212 LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

- Ecole française de Rome, Piazza Farnese, IT-00186, Roma, Italy
- ⁴ Sezione di Scienze Preistoriche e Antropologiche, Dipartimento di Studi Umanistici, Università degli Studi di Ferrara, C.so Ercole d'Este I, 32,
- Ferrara, Italy
- ⁵ Italian Istitute of Human Paleontology, Piazza Ruggero Bonghi, 2, Anagni (FR), Italy

4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 ⁶ Universita di Roma "La Sapienza", Dipartimento Scienze Storiche, Archeologiche e Antropologiche dell'Antichita, sezione di Paletnologia, Piazzale A. Moro, IT-00185 Roma (Italy)

17

1

2 3

- 18 * corresponding author - pvoinch@mnhn.fr
- 19 Abstract

The geological sequences of numerous Lower and Middle Palaeolithic sites of central and southern 20 21 Italy, found in fluvio-lacustrine contexts and rich both in archaeological and palaeontological remains, have recorded various volcanic events all along the Middle Pleistocene timescale. These sedimentary 22 23 sequences made of detritic and volcanic materials are suitable to compare independent numerical geochronological methods and thus develop a multi-method approach relying especially ESR method 24 applied to optically bleached fluvial quartz and the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar on single grain isotopic method applied 25 to potassium feldspars. In this present paper, geochronological data obtained using these two methods 26 27 were used on several Middle Pleistocene Italian sites including volcanic and fluvial but also archaeological levels: Isoletta and Lademagne in Lazio and Notarchirico in Basilicata. In this 28 contribution, ESR age estimates were performed using a multi-centre approach from Al, Ti-Li and Ti-29 H centres. We demonstrate for these sites that ESR-based framework is overall consistent with the 30 ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar chronology that over the 700 and 350 ka time window. This comparison validates the multi-31 centre approach proposed and demonstrates that the use of Ti-H for samples with equivalent doses 32 higher than 280-300 Gy leads to systematic age underestimates. 33

34

- 36
- 37

¹UMR 7194 HNHP – MNHN-CNRS-UPVD, ASU, Département Homme et Environnement, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, F-75013, Paris France

Keywords: ESR dating, optically bleached quartz, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating, Middle Pleistocene Italian sites 35

1. <u>Introduction</u>

38

Since the mid-1990s, Quaternary river deposits have been the subject of in-depth multidisciplinary 39 40 studies, including systematic dating. This kind of research, partly initiated by a team of researchers from the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN) in Paris, France, has led to the electron spin 41 resonance (ESR) dating of stepped and embedded terrace systems for a large number of valleys in 42 Europe and Asia (e.g., Laurent et al., 1994, 1998; Voinchet et al., 2004, 2010, 2013; Despriée et al., 43 44 2011). These numerous works have contributed to establish a detailed chronostratigraphic framework 45 for these river sequences covering most of the Pleistocene. Associated with some of these fluvial 46 formations, tens of Palaeolithic localities with lithic assemblages have also been dated by the same way. The results obtained for each valley are consistent with each other, producing a robust 47 geochronological framework, although there is often no independent age control available so far. 48 Indeed, due to the nature or the chronology of the studied sedimentary sequences, ESR dating of 49 quartz grains has been for a long time one of the very few numerical dating methods that could be 50 used. In some area, the absence of fossil faunal remains, linked to the extreme acidity of quartz sands, 51 52 precludes any biochronological estimation for the archaeological localities, as well as the use of the ESR/U-series method applied to teeth. In addition, the fluvial sediments are often coarse and 53 unconsolidated and therefore not suitable for magnetostratigraphic analysis (Parés et al. 2013). Finally, 54 55 the application of luminescence dating of sediments using standard procedures (OSL, IRSL, TL) was 56 long limited to Middle and Upper Pleistocene deposits (Arnold et al., 2003). As a result, for a long-57 time alternative dating estimates derived from independent methods has not been possible for the oldest deposits and sites of these valleys. Although recent methodological developments have shown 58 59 that a multi-technique dating approach can now be confidently envisaged to date Early to Middle 60 Pleistocene fluvial deposits (e.g., Duval et al., this volume) this overall lack of independent age control 61 is considered by many as prejudicial. Its casts doubt on the relevance of the obtained chronology, for 62 example regarding the exact period of arrival of the first human migration in Western Europe (Muttoni et al., 2013, Muttoni et al., 2018) 63

Fortunately, the particular case of central and southern Middle Pleistocene river sequences in centraland southern Italian peninsula, where fluvial deposits include K-rich volcanic material, allows the

straightforward comparison between ESR and an independent reference radioisotopic method, 66 ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar. Indeed, in this area, the presence of numerous volcanoes, active during the Middle 67 Pleistocene and located mainly along the Tyrrhenian margin, has led to the emission of large and 68 widespread tephra. In consequence, volcanic materials are frequent into sedimentary sequences, 69 sometimes as primary tephra layers interspersed into the sequences or mixed with fluvial deposits. In 70 this case, the volcanic materials are not in primary position (i.e. within a volcanic deposit) but 71 reworked, sometimes by the river (secondary position). In this case, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar studies show the 72 presence of grain populations of various ages, the youngest being considered as the last volcanic event 73 74 locally recorded before the deposition of the analysed sediment. In other words, volcanic material could be considered either as linked to a primary deposition or as a secondary one indicating the last 75 volcanic event recorded in the area. In central and southern Italy, such volcanic materials recovered in 76 primary or secondary position were dated by ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar allowing the establishment of a robust and 77 precise chronostratigraphic framework (e.g., Pereira et al., 2018). 78

79 This framework offers the possibility to a comparison between palaeodosimetric and radio-isotopic 80 results. In the present contribution, we will compare ESR ages obtained on quartz using the multicentre method (ESR-MC) with ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dates on single feldspar grains in order to evaluate (i) the 81 82 accuracy of the ESR results and (ii) the reliability of the ESR-MC approach. Of course, it is worth 83 noticing that such comparison is only possible if stratigraphic knowledge of the sampling levels confirms the sub-contemporaneity of the studied geological layers and of the evidenced volcanic 84 events. At the end, it should permit to improve the chronology of the studied sequences and, if the 85 data agree, to reinforce the robustness of the general chronological framework. 86

87

88

2. ESR dating method

Methodological developments in the ESR dating of optically bleached quartz grains over the two last decades have made it a reference method for the dating of Quaternary fluvial deposits (Antoine et al., 2007; Chauhan et al., 2017). Among these developments, one of the most important is the use of the so-called multiple centre (MC) approach based on the study of several light-sensitive paramagnetic 93 centres in quartz (Toyoda et al; 2000, 2006; Tissoux et al., 2007; Duval and Guilarte, 2015; Duval et
94 al., 2015).

95 Concerning the ESR dating of sedimentary quartz grains found in alluvial terrace deposits, it is essential that a "reset" of the geochronometers (here, the paramagnetic centres) occurred before 96 sediment deposition. Such reset is essential in order to date this deposition event and not the formation 97 of the quartz itself. This reset depends on the exposure to UV sunlight and is called optical bleaching. 98 99 It occurs during river transport before the deposition of the sediment (Yokoyama et al., 1985; Voinchet et al., 2003; Voinchet et al., 2007). The main challenge for the ESR dating method lies in the 100 101 estimation of the bleaching rate of the analyzed quartz grains during the transport, which may significantly varies among centres. The ESR-MC method is based on the systematic measurement of 102 103 both aluminum (Al) and titanium (Ti) centres (mainly, Titanium-Lithium (Ti-Li) and Titanium-104 Hydrogen (Ti-H)) in a given quartz sample.

The Al centre has long been the most widely used in ESR dating of quartz (Voinchet et al., 2004) 105 106 because aluminum is particularly abundant in this mineral and the corresponding ESR signal is easily 107 measured and observed. In addition, this Al-centre has a long-life expectancy due to a thermal stability 108 (for temperatures below 100°C) that exceeding six million years (Toyoda et al, 1991). It can thus be used to date samples as old as several million years (Laurent et al., 1998; Gouveia et al., 2020). 109 110 Nevertheless, the fact that this centre requires a long exposure to light to be bleached (about 1600 111 hours – Toyoda et al, 2000; Voinchet et al, 2003) and the fact that, even after such a long exposure, a residual ESR signal is still observed, can sometimes be problematic. Indeed Al-centre is partially 112 optically bleached and its signal intensity decreases in relation to time exposure to solar light, until it 113 reaches a plateau value corresponding to a residual signal attributed to "Deep Aluminium Traps" 114 115 (DAT) (Tissoux et al 2013). These traps or centres cannot be reset by an exposure to sunlight. A 116 wrong estimation of the ratio between DAT and OBAT (Optically Bleachable Aluminium Traps) can lead to a wrong estimation of the equivalent dose D_E and by extension of the age. 117

In comparison, the Ti centres are less frequently observed in quartz samples and their ESR intensities may be significantly smaller than those of the Al centre. For dating, two Ti centres can mostly be used, the Ti-Li and Ti-H centres. These two centres have signals that are much more light-sensitive than the

121 Al and which therefore usually totally reset during sediment transport. Typically, Ti-H centre can be zeroed or bleached within a day, while Ti-Li centre needs about 20 days for the complete zeroing 122 123 (Toyoda et al., 2000; Rink et al., 2007; Tissoux et al., 2007). However, Ti-H is also much more radiosensitive than the Al and Ti-Li centre and saturates much faster (Duval and Guilarte, 2015). 124 Consequently, the Ti-H centre is usually considered as more suitable for small equivalent dose 125 estimates (few grays to tens of grays) and/or for recent samples (Miallier et al., 1994) between tens of 126 127 thousands of years (ka) and a few hundred of ka. The joint use of the Al and Ti centres, or MC approach, is therefore intended to better estimate the quality of the sediment bleaching during 128 transport. This approach has been used several times in recent years, and several studies have shown 129 its potential in a variety of sedimentary contexts and for periods ranging from the Lower to the Upper 130 Pleistocene (Bartz et al. 2018, Duval et al. 2015, this volume; Gouveia et al. 2020; Kreutzer et al. 131 132 2018;).

133

134 **3.** <u>Sampling</u>

Three Italian archaeological sites were sampled in the frame of this work: Isoletta and Lademagne sites in Lazio and Notarchirico in Basilicata (Fig.1). In order to limit the risks of bad bleaching, linked to a transport of the quartz grains in opaque water, the fluvial sediments sampled for ESR dating are made of fine sands without silt or clay in the matrix (Voinchet et al, 2015). These sediments have been carried by clear water river, without any fine particles in suspension.

All of the analyzed geological levels correspond to fluvial deposits, containing fine quartz grains and 140 characterized by the occurrence of volcanic minerals, allowing the use of the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar single crystal 141 approach. The ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar isotopic technique is one of the most accurate and precise dating methods 142 143 available for Middle Pleistocene time range (Pereira et al., 2015; 2018). In fact, the individual dating 144 of volcanic crystals allows the identification of the corpus of volcanic events reworked and drained in sedimentary layers. In a geological layer, the juvenile crystals population highlighted by the related 145 probability diagram gives chronological information on the age of the youngest volcanic event 146 reworked (see Pereira et al., 2015, 2018 for further explanations). The uncertainties obtained on the 147 calculated ages are ranging between 1 to 5 % (2σ analytical uncertainty). 148

149 The volcanic materials found at Isoletta and Lademagne sites are originated from the nearby volcanic 150 complexes of Colli Albani or Ernici mounts (Pereira et al., 2018), whereas at Notarchirico they 151 derived from the mount Vulture stratovolcano activity (Lefèvre et al., 2010; Pereira et al., 2015).

152

153 <u>3.1 Isoletta site</u> 154

Isoletta is located in the Ceprano tectonic basin at the confluence of the Liri and Sacco Rivers, near the 155 156 town of Pofi in the Frosinone Basin, few kilometres south-east of the Campogrande/Ceprano Homo heidelbergensis site location (Fig. 1). It displays a typical alluvial terrace stratigraphic sequence, 157 particularly thick (more than 30 m) (Fig.2. and 5). This sequence was punctually exposed thanks to the 158 high-speed train railways construction and was sampled in 2000. Three main units were then 159 individualized: gravels at the base, overlain by very fine sediments (silts and clays) rich in organic 160 matter and finally an alternation of medium to coarse sand beds over more than 10m (Fig.2). In this 161 sequence, two archaeological levels were identified (Fig.2): the first one in basal gravels, is 162 characterized by the presence of Mode 1 lithic (cores-and-flakes type) and bones industries while the 163 164 second one, in a sandy layer of the upper unit, has displayed Mode 2 (Acheulean) lithic artefacts and "Galerian" faunal assemblage (corresponding to the first half of the Middle Pleistocene) (Biddittu, 165 1974, Sardella et al., 2006). 166

167 The gravel level at the bottom and the sand levels of upper sequence are very rich in reworked 168 volcanic material (Fig.2.). Four samples were taken from different levels for ESR dating: the first in the coarse level of the base (ESR 1) and the three others (ESR 2, 3 and 4) in three decimetre-thick 169 medium to fine sand beds showing fluvial sedimentation features. ESR 2 sample corresponds to the 170 higher archaeological level ("Acheulian level", see GA6Z, Pereira et al., 2018). The basal part of the 171 172 sequence as well as the levels having provided ESR2 and ESR4 contain volcanic minerals (potassium feldspars) that have been dated by 40 Ar/ 39 Ar. The 40 Ar/ 39 Ar dated feldspars of this sequence are in 173 secondary position (it is not a tephra level but a fluvial deposit). This means that the age of this deposit 174 may be similar or younger than the 40 Ar/ 39 Ar estimate. Nevertheless, the 40 Ar/ 39 Ar age is constrained 175 176 by the higher levels in the stratigraphy which indicate that the river uptake of these volcanic minerals 177 occurred shortly after their primary deposit (Pereira et al, 2018).

178 <u>3.2 Lademagne site</u>

Lademagne site, located 5 km from Isoletta in the Ceprano tectonic basin was discovered in 1965 (Fig. 179 180 1). The stratigraphic sequence is mainly composed of sandy-gravelly deposits inter-bedded with volcanic rich sediments (Fig.3) and is very similar to the upper part of Isoletta's sequence. Two 181 archaeological layers with both Mode 2 industries are mentioned in the literature (Biddittu et al., 2012) 182 and present palaeontological and archaeological assemblages close to those of Isoletta upper level 183 184 (Biddittu et al., 2012). One sample was taken for ESR dating at the top of the stratigraphy (named Lad Sup) and can be compared with one sample taken in the same level for ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating on single 185 crystal (named also Lad Sup in Pereira et al, 2018). As at Isoletta, the feldspars of this sequence are in 186 secondary position and provide therefore maximum ages to the sediment deposition. 187

188

189 <u>3.3 Notarchirico</u>

Discovered in 1979, Notarchirico site is an Early Middle Pleistocene site of the Venosa basin (Fig.1.)
(Piperno et al., 1999). The sequence is seven metres thick and is mainly composed of volcanoclastic
sediments deposited and reworked in a fluvial environment. Many stratigraphical levels are rich both
in volcanic materials coming from the close Monte Vulture stratovolcano and quartz transported by
the river (Fig.4. and 5).

The site is characterized by the record of repeated periods of human occupation, most part of them linked to butchery activities. A total of eleven archaeological layers (Fig. 5. Piperno et al., 1990, 1999) have been identified (Piperno and Tagliacozzo, 2001). One femur attributed chronologically to *Homo heidelbergensis* has been discovered in the upper part of the sequence and some of the archaeological levels (levels A, A₁, B, D and F) contain bifaces referred to Mode 2 technology (Piperno and Tagliacozzo, 2001).

Three levels have been sampled at Notarchirico, one in the middle part of the sequence (level 2-6, Notarchirico 2-6 sample) and two in the newly investigated lower part (level H1 and H1c, Notarchirico H1 sample and Notarchirico H1c sample) (Fig.4. and 5). For now, only level 2-6 has been dated by ESR applied on quartz (Al) and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar methods (Pereira et al., 2015) applied on

209 4.1 <u>ESR dating</u>

Sediment samples were prepared according to the procedure used in the Muséum National d'Histoire 210 211 Naturelle (MNHN) laboratory (Voinchet et al, 2004). Firstly, the 100-200 µm grain size fraction, the most easily bleached during river transport (Voinchet et al. 2015), was collected by wet sieving. The 212 213 organic matter was removed by an attack with hydrogen peroxide (30%) and the carbonates were destroyed using hydrochloric acid (36%). After ultrasonic use, in order to break the weaken minerals 214 215 with cleavage plane, the samples were then attacked with HF (40%) during 1h 40min to firstly destroy the feldspar grains and then to remove the external part of the quartz grains. We observe that the 216 217 etching effect varies in thickness between 10 to 20 µm depending of the grain shape. Recent works have demonstrated that the previously recommended 40min-long attack was sometimes not enough to 218 219 remove all the feldspar grains, especially in case of very feldspar rich sediments (Tissoux et al, 2017). 220 A new HCl attack eliminates then the fluorides formed during this etching. The heavy minerals and the magnetic minerals are then removed with a sodium polytungstate heavy liquor at d=2.72 g/ml and 221 222 with magnets respectively.

The quartz grains thus separated were dated using the Multiple Aliquots Additive Dose (MAAD) method. Each sample was divided into 11 aliquots (each one, around 100 mg, composed by several thousand grains). Nine of them were irradiated using a 60 Co source (CEA, Saclay) with a dose rate of 260 ± 20 Gy/h. The following doses were applied to these aliquots: 264, 431, 653, 1048, 1663, 2640, 4460, 8010, 12500 Gy. In order to evaluate the residual non-bleachable ESR signals from the Al centre, one aliquot was exposed to a SOL2 sunlight simulator (Dr Hönle) for about 1600h. The last aliquot (natural aliquot) was neither irradiated nor exposed to sunlight.

All aliquots were then measured by ESR at the MNHN dating laboratory (Paris, France), using an
EMX Bruker X-band spectrometer with a high sensitivity spherical cavity. These measurements were
performed at low temperature (~100 K) by cooling the cavity with liquid nitrogen.

233 To apply the multi-centre method as defined by Toyoda et al (2000), the ESR signal intensities of the Al and Ti centres (Ti-Li and Ti-H) were measured. The ESR intensities of these different centres were 234 235 measured on a single spectrum (fig.6) using the following acquisition parameters: a 5 mW microwave power, 1024 points resolution, 20 mT sweep width, 100 kHz modulation frequency, 0.1 mT 236 modulation amplitude, 40 ms conversion time, 20 ms time constant. Two cumulative scans were 237 performed to reduce background noise on the Ti signals. The signal intensity of Al centre was 238 measured between the top of the first peak at g=2.018 and the bottom of the 16^{th} peak at g=1.993239 (fig.6) of the Aluminum hyperfine structure (Toyoda and Falguères, 2003). The ESR intensity of the 240 Ti-Li centre was determined by measuring the difference between the peak top (g= 1.913) and the 241 baseline (Toyoda et al, 2006, Tissoux et al, 2007, Duval et Guilarte, 2015), while the intensity of the 242 243 Ti-H centre was measured between the peak apex (g= 1.917) and the baseline (fig.6) (Toyoda et al, 2006, Tissoux et al, 2007, Duval et al, 2015). 244

Due to the angular dependence of the signal, linked to the heterogeneity of the grains orientations in 245 the ESR magnetic field, each aliquot of a given sample was measured 3 times after a tube rotation of 246 247 about 120° of its initial position in the cavity. In addition, the measurements were repeated three times 248 on different days to test the repeatability of the measured intensities and as a result of the equivalent 249 dose obtained. As a result, nine intensities were obtained for each measured signal (Ti-Li, Ti-H and Al) in each aliquot of all quartz samples studied. ESR intensities are calculated using the average of 250 251 the nine measurements. The variation between the nine intensities of each aliquot measured on the three days does not exceed 5%. If a measurement exceeds this percentage, it was removed from the 252 calculation, and a new measurement was made to determine if the previous value was due to a one-253 254 time event.

For Al signals, the value of the ESR intensity of the bleached aliquot was systematically subtracted from the ESR intensities of the other aliquots to account the centres that cannot be bleached by light (DAT, Tissoux et al., 2012). The bleaching rate δ_{bl} (%) is then determined by comparison of the ESR intensities of the natural and bleached aliquots (δ_{bl} = ((I_{nat}-I_{bl})/I_{nat})x100).

After normalization of ESR intensities by aliquot mass and receiver gain, the equivalent doses (D_E) were then determined from the averaged ESR intensities using a coupled exponential and linear fitting

function (E+L) for Al and Ti-Li (Duval et al, 2009, Duval, 2012; Voinchet et al., 2013). By limiting the curve to the first six points (from natural to the 1663 Gy point) it is also possible to use a single saturating exponential function for the Ti-Li D_E determination. For Ti-H centre, due to the shape of growth curve (fig.7), we tested two functions (fig 7.), Ti-2 function by considering all the data points, and single saturating exponential (SSE) one by considering only the points of the curve corresponding to its increasing part (from natural to the 1663 Gy point).

267 Dose response curve fitting and D_E evaluation was performed using with Microcal OriginPro 8 268 software with $1/I^2$ weighting

The dose rate (D_a) determined from the sum of the alpha, beta, gamma and cosmic-ray contributions. 269 Gamma dose rate was determined by in situ measurements directly at the sampling point using an 270 Inspector 1000 gamma spectrometer (Canberra[®]), except for the Isoletta section sampled in 2000, i.e. 271 before the systematic use by our team of gamma spectrometer on the field, and now not yet accessible. 272 These in situ gamma doses were estimated using the threshold approach (Mercier and Falguères 2007). 273 274 External alpha and beta contributions were calculated from the sediment radioelement contents (U, Th 275 and K) as determined in the laboratory by high resolution and low background gamma-spectrometry. 276 The internal dose rate was considered as negligible because of the low contents of radionuclides usually found in quartz grains (e.g., Murray and Roberts 1997; Vandenberghe et al. 2008). Age 277 278 calculations were performed using the dose-rate conversions factors from Guérin et al (2011) and a k-279 value of 0.15 ± 0.1 (Yokoyama et al., 1985). Alpha and beta attenuations estimated for the selected grain sizes (100-200µm) from the tables of Brennan et al., (1991) and Brennan (2003) respectively. 280 Alpha contribution takes account of an etching of 10 to 20µm of the grains. This difference of 10µm in 281 the thickness removed by the acid attack induces an age variation of 1 to 2% depending on the samples 282 283 and on its radioactive environment. Water contents (W%) were estimated by the difference in mass 284 between the natural sample and the same sample dried for a week in an oven at 50°C and water attenuation were then determined using formula from Grün (1994). The cosmic dose rates were 285 calculated from the equations of Prescott and Hutton (1994). ESR age estimates are given with one 286 sigma error range. The doses rate and ages were calculated using a specific Microsoft [©] Excel template 287 288 including data mentioned previously.

When Al and Ti results agree with 1σ, weighted average ages combining the different ESR centres,
were calculated using IsoPlot3.0 (Ludwig, 2003). Mean Square of Weighted Deviates (MSWD) and
Probability (P) are then given for each result.

292

$4.2 \ \underline{{}^{40}\text{Ar}}{}^{39}\text{Ar analyses}$

The single crystal dating using ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ isotopic method is actually one of the most accurate methods 294 available for Middle Pleistocene time range (Pereira et al., 2015; 2018) and gave us the possibility to 295 date and identify the volcanic events recorded in sedimentary layers. In central Italy, the studied 296 297 deposits could contain minerals such as sanidines or feldspathoïds leucites that have up to 11 to 18 % of K. We therefore use mainly these minerals to establish our 40 Ar/ 39 Ar chronology (see Pereira et al., 298 2015, 2018). The analytical protocols followed and the details about the 40 Ar/ 39 Ar ages mentioned in 299 this study were presented in details in Pereira et al. (2015, 2018) or Moncel et al. (2018). All ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar 300 ages discussed hereafter are calculated using the potassium total decay constant of Steiger and Jäger 301 (1977) and the monitor standard ACs-2 dated at 1.193 Ma. We are fully aware than other calibrations 302 303 exist such as the total decay constant of Renne et al. (2011) and the ACs-2 at 1.1891 Ma (e.g. Niespolo et al., 2017) but there is not matter for the purpose of this article, considering that the implied age 304 difference of $\leq 1\%$ is negligible compared to the uncertainties of the ESR method. We therefore in the 305 scope of this contribution do not discuss the various parameters than can be used to calculate ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar 306 307 ages. These ages are given with 2σ analytical uncertainty.

308

309 5. <u>Results and discussion</u>

310

U, Th and K concentrations of the different sediment samples are shown in table 1 and external dose
rates (alpha, beta gamma and cosmic doses), water contents (W%), bleaching rates (Bl%), and
equivalent doses with indication of the quality of the ESR growth curve fitting (r²) are given in table 2.

314

315 <u>5.1. ESR results: general comments</u>

The Al centre could be measured in all our samples. Similarly, the Ti-Li centre was measured for almost all these samples, with the exception of Notarchirico 2-6. For this sample, Ti signal intensity was too weak and too close to background noise to be reliably evaluated, even with two scans. It is worth noticing that if Ti signal intensity is extremely low for Notarchirico 2-6 quartz sample, this was not the case for the other Notarchirico samples from levels H1 and H1c, for which the use of multicentre approach was therefore possible.

322

For Ti-Li, the equivalent doses (D_E) were determined following two different approach: firstly, using a coupled exponential and linear fitting function (E+L) (Duval et al, 2009, Duval, 2012; Voinchet et al., 2013). Secondly using a single saturating exponential function by limiting the curve to the first six points (from natural to the 1663 Gy point). The results of the two approaches are relatively similar and are presented in Table 2. We have chosen to use the doses determined using the E+L function for the age calculation. However, since the intensity of Ti-Li does not decrease for high doses, we did not use the Ti-2 function proposed by Duval and Guilarte (2015).

330 The Ti-H centre has only provided useful results for samples from Isoletta and Notarchirico H1 and H1c levels. The Ti-H signal frequently shows a signal-to-noise ratio lower than that of Al or Ti-Li 331 signals, and it is sometimes impossible to obtain meaningful ESR intensities. It is the case for the 332 333 Lademagne sample for which Ti-H signal cannot be differentiated from the background ESR noise. 334 The shape of the curves led us to test two different approaches for the determination of $D_{\rm ES}$, firstly, using the Ti-2 fonction (Duval et Guilarte, 2015) by considering all the data points, and secondly using 335 a single saturating exponential (SSE) by considering only the points of the curve corresponding to its 336 increasing part (lowest added doses, between natural and 1663 Gy). 337

For this Ti-H centre, the D_E values obtained using SSE and Ti-2 functions are quite identical and within 1- σ error (table 2), except for one sample (ESR3). Consequently, the choice of the fitting function has a very limited impact on the dose estimates. The results are extremely close and we have chosen to use only the SSE results, which after Woda and Wagner (2007) describe more the physical filling phenomenon of ESR centres. Consequently, final Ti-H ESR age estimates were therefore calculated in the present study using the SSE function D_E . 344

345

346 <u>5.2 Isoletta</u>

At Isoletta, the 40 Ar/ 39 Ar ages of the levels containing tephra constrain the deposition of river sediments between 403 and 365 ka. The ESR results obtained from Ti-Li and Al centres are consistent within 1 σ with the available 40 Ar/ 39 Ar ages, with the exception of sample ESR 1. For this sample, the three centres give very different and clearly overestimated results, indicating both a poor bleaching of Al and Ti-Li centers – at least – and raises questions abour the dose rate evaluation (Tab. 3).

For ESR2 sample, the use of Ti-H centre provides strongly younger ages, while, for ESR4 and ESR3 352 the three ages are close and coherent with ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages. For these two samples, the equivalent doses 353 obtained using both Al and different Ti centres are lower than 300 Gy. In contrast, the Ti-H yields 354 much smaller De values compared with Al and Ti-Li when De values >1,000 Gy, i.e. for sample ESR 355 2. Leaving aside the possibility of incomplete bleaching of the Al and Ti-Li signals, this would suggest 356 that the Ti-H centre tends to saturate for doses above 300-400 Gy and cannot provide reliable dose 357 358 estimates above this dose. These results agree with previous observations (Tissoux et al, 2007; Duval and Guilarte 2015). 359

360

The relatively large error ranges observed for these ESR ages originate from the D_E evaluation, and are explained by a relatively poor goodness-of-fit achieved for the dose response curves, with r² values sometimes lower than 0.99 (Tab 2). For Isoletta samples when D_E values obtained using the different centres were similar, a weighted average age was calculated for the corresponding level. Such weighted average ages were calculated using IsoPlot 3.0 (Ludwig, 2003) and given at 95 % of confidence.

For ESR 3 and ESR 4 samples, the weighted average age was calculated using the three differentcentres, while Al and Ti-Li ages were used for ESR 2 sample.

Weighted mean ages of 442 ± 58 ka (2σ , Full external error, MSWD = 0.65 and P = 0.42) 349 ± 26 ka

370 (2 σ , full external uncertainty, MSWD = 2.08 and P = 0.059) and 396 ± 41 ka (2 σ , full external error,

The ESR age estimates of Isoletta ESR1 sands seems overestimated compared with ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ results, whatever the centre considered. In addition, the significant discrepancy between Ti-Li and Al age results precludes the calculation of a weighted mean age value, and the fact that the Ti-Li D_E and age are higher than the Al ones

We suspect here an overestimation of the natural signal, related to the difficulty of accounting for background noise and baseline in the case of extremely weak signals. This overestimation directly leads to an overestimation of the D_E . The discrepancy between the ages obtained using the different centres does not allow as the determination of reliable depositional age for this sample.

The fact that we observe also a very clear age overestimation using Ti-H for this sample leads us to 381 suppose that the problem may also be related to the annual dose evaluation rather than to only a poor 382 initial bleaching of the quartz grains. Indeed, this level is composed of very coarse elements (blocks, 383 pebbles...) whose sandy matrix constitutes the dated sediment. The absence of an in situ gamma 384 385 measurement means that coarse elements (the main part of the sediment in the level) were not taken into account when determining the annual dose, which could explain the results obtained for this level. 386 387 It should also be noted that the Th and K content of the sediment sample at this level is extremely low 388 (0.31 ppm and 0.03% respectively; Table 1). For K, an alteration (disappearance) of the potassium-389 rich minerals (feldspar?) all along the time elapsed between the sediment deposit and the sampling 390 could explain this low content, leading to an underestimation of the annual dose and by extension an 391 overestimation of the age.

392

393 <u>5.3 Lademagne</u>

For the sediment from Lademagne upper level, the Ti-H ESR signal was not measurable. The ESR ages using Ti-Li (398± 51 ka) and Al (406± 51 ka) centres are consistent (table 4). As for the main part of Isoletta's samples, the close D_E obtained using the Ti-Li and Al centres allows us to give a weighted average age of 402 ± 71 ka (2σ , Full external error, MSWD = 0.012 and P = 0.91) for these upper sands. This result agrees with the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age (389 ± 8 ka) obtained for the same sediment.

However, in this case, several grain populations showing different ages have been identified, indicating that the volcanic minerals are not in primary position. Consequently, the 40 Ar/ 39 Ar age of 389 ± 8 ka should be interpreted as a maximum age constraint for the deposits, as it was derived from seven crystals showing the most recent ages (Pereira et al, 2018). The corresponding volcanic event being not recorded in the Lademagne lower level sediment in which the youngest recorded eruption was dated of 405 ± 9 ka (Pereira et al, 2018), we can however consider in first approximation that it probably happened shortly before the upper level deposition.

406

407 <u>5.4 Notarchirico</u>

Only level 2-6 can be directly compared with an ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ result (663 ± 3 ka). However, this level is 408 409 considered as a secondary deposit, as volcanic minerals seems derive from the reworking of the Notarchirico tephra (level 2.2), the only one real primary deposit of the sequence, located about 60 cm 410 lower in the sequence and directly dated to 661 ± 3 ka (Pereira et al, 2015). The Al ESR age of 411 Notarchirico 2-6 sample (657 \pm 31 ka) agrees at 1 σ with the ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ obtained on the youngest 412 413 volcanic event reworked into this level. No crystals resulting from a younger eruption dated about 614ka and recorded in the upper levels of the sequence are present in level 2-6. The age of this deposit 414 is therefore strictly constrained between 661 and 614 ka. 415

416 For samples from H1 and H1c levels, the three ESR centres have been measured. As for the Isoletta 417 site, the ESR ages obtained using the Ti-Li and Al centres are consistent with each other and with the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages (table 4) of the neighboring levels, whereas the Ti-H ages are significantly younger. 418 This result is probably related to the high D_E values (> 400 Gy) and the probable aforementioned Ti-H 419 centre saturation. The close D_E values obtained for the Al and Ti-Li centres led to weighted mean ages 420 421 of 743 \pm 67 ka (2 σ , Full external error, MSWD = 0.024 and P = 0.88) and 736 \pm 70 ka (2 σ , Full external error, MSWD = 0.013 and P = 0.91) for Notarchirico H1 and Notarchirico H1c samples, 422 423 respectively. We can also notice that the Al and Ti-Li ESR ages obtained for the lower levels of the Notarchirico sequence are significantly older than the date determined for level 2-6. Nevertheless, as 424 quite the whole sequence (levels J to B) was developed within the same climatic stage according to the 425 palaeoenvironmental data (that ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dates place during MIS16, Pereira et al., 2015 and as the 426

427 error range of the ESR ages is relatively wide, the ages of levels H1 and H1c can be compared with the 40 Ar/³⁹Ar results of 670 ± 3 ka (Pereira et al., 2015) obtained for level F (table 5). This result then sets 428 a minimum age limit for H levels. ESR results may seem incoherent with this estimate, but 429 considering the error range associated with ages, this is still consistent with it. Moreover, the results of 430 the H1 levels are much older than the ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$ ages of the levels that cover them. Nevertheless, the 431 estimated ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages obtained for F levels gave minimum ages for H1 and H1cand therefore do not 432 disagree with those actually obtained by ESR for these levels. It would be desirable in the future to 433 constrain this chronology by 40 Ar/ 39 Ar dating of sanidines extracted from these lower layers. 434

435

436 6. <u>Conclusion</u>

A multi-centre ESR approach was used on quartz grains extracted from Middle Pleistocene fluvial 437 deposits of central Italy that were also dated by the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar method. For most of the samples 438 analyzed, except one sample from the Isoletta site (ESR1), the ages determined both from Ti-Li and Al 439 signals are in agreement with each other and consistent with the 40 Ar/ 39 Ar ages (Fig. 8). As mentioned 440 441 in previous works, the use of the Ti-Li centre is therefore appropriate for this time range (Tissoux et al, 2007; Duval et Guilarte, 215) and this use is here validated by comparison with a totally independent 442 method, based on totally different physical principle. Furthermore, the results derived from Al signal 443 444 clearly show the usefulness of this centre when the fluvial sediments sampled are made of fine sand 445 carried by clear water river (without any fine particles in suspension). An evidence of this transport condition is showed by the absence of silt or clay in the sampled sandy layers. 446

Our results strengthen the validity of ESR-MC method to accurately date sedimentary successions in fluvial context proposed in previous studies (Tissoux et al, 2007; Bartz et al. 2018, 2019; del Val et al. 2019; Duval et al. 2015, 2017, this volume; Gouveia et al. 2020; Kreutzer et al. 2018; Mendez Quintas et al. 2018; Sahnouni et al. 2018). The only discordant sample, Isoletta ESR1, was carried out from extremely coarse and poorly sorted sediments probably of colluvial origin, in which quartz grains could not have been completely bleached,

or in relation with a poor dose rate reconstruction in the age calculation process. The not well bleachedgrains, which prevents the use of the Al centre. Furthermore, the difficulty of accurately measuring the

Ti-Li signal intensity of natural aliquots (high background noise and baseline), seems to have led to anoverestimation of the age obtained using this centre.

For the considered Middle Pleistocene time range (i.e. 700-300 ka), the Ti-H ages are underestimated (Fig. 8) when D_E exceed 300-400Gy, suggesting a saturation of the Ti-H traps. That leads to an underestimation of the ages by comparison with 40 Ar/ 39 Ar. This is verified for our samples except for ESR1 Isoletta sample, for which low dose rate value leads to obtain an age Ti-H older than the 40 Ar/ 39 Ar age, and for ESR4 Isoletta sample for which a value of about 300 Gy was obtained allowing the calculation of an age close to the 40 Ar/ 39 Ar one.

463 It seems therefore preferable to avoid the use of Ti-H centres to date early Middle Pleistocene levels, 464 especially if the D_e derived from Ti-Li and Al centres are greater than 300 Gy. It would be interesting 465 in the future to conduct similar approach, combining 40 Ar/ 39 Ar and multi-centre ESR, on deposits 466 containing both fluvial quartz and volcanic minerals, from late Middle Pleistocene to Upper 467 Pleistocene (300-50 ka) as well as late Lower Pleistocene times (1.0-0.7 Ma) to further test and 468 validate the MC approach over a longer period of time.

469

470 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Italian archaeologists and administrative service that are allowed the 471 472 realization of this study. The present study was financially supported by the project «Acheulean and volcanism in Italy» conducted by M.-H. Moncel (CNRS) and J-.J. Bahain (MNHN) in ATM program 473 socio-écosystèmiques, 474 (MNHN) «Les dynamiques entre perturbations et résiliences environnementales et culturelles», the PHC Galileo project no. 28237WA «l'Acheuléen en Italie 475 méridionale: Chronologie, Paléoanthropologie, Cultures» led by J.-J. Bahain (MNHN) and C. Peretto 476 477 (University of Ferrara) and the Leakey Foundation supporting the new excavations at Notarchirico led 478 by M-H. Moncel.

479

480 The ESR and mobile gamma-ray spectrometers of the French National Museum of Natural History 481 were bought with the financial support of the 'Sesame Île-de-France' program and the 'Région Centre' 482 respectively. We thank the Soprintendenza of Basilicata (Italy) for the agreement to work on the site of

483	Notarchirico, especially Dr.T.E. Cinquantaquattro, Dr. F. Canestrini, Dr. R. Pirraglia, and Dr. S.
484	Mutino. We also thank the Venosa Museum and Dr. A. Mantrisi for their assistance.
485	
486	

- 487
- 488 References

Antoine P., Limondin Lozouet N., Chaussé C., Lautridou J.-P., Pastre J.-F., Auguste P., Bahain J.-J.,
Falguères C.,& Ghaleb B. 2007. Pleistocene fluvial terraces from northern France (Seine, Yonne,
Somme): synthesis, and new results from interglacial deposits. Quaternary Science Reviews, 26,
2701–2723

493

Arnold, L., Stokes, S., Bailey, R., Fattahi, M., Colls, A., Tucker, G. 2003 Optical dating of potassium
feldspar using far-red (l>665 nm) IRSL emissions: a comparative study using fluvial sediments from
the Loire River, France. Quaternary Science Reviews 22, 1093-1098.

497

⁴⁹⁸ Bartz, M., Rixhon, G., Duval, M., King, G., Alvarez Posada, C., Pares, J., Brückner, H., 2018.

499 Successful combination of electron spin resonance, luminescence and palaeomagnetic dating methods

allows reconstruction of the Pleistocene evolution of the lower Moulouya river (NE Morocco).

- ⁵⁰¹ Quaternary Science Reviews, 185, 153-171
- 502

Biddittu, I., 1974. Giacimento pleistocenico ad amigdale acheuleane nel territorio di Ceprano,
Frosinone. Memoria dell'Istituto Italiano di Paleontologia Umana, 2, 61-67.

Biddittu, I., Canetri, E., Comerci, V., Germani, M., Picchi, G., 2012. Nuove ricerche nel giacimento
del Paleolitico inferiore di Lademagne, S. Giovanni Incarico (Frosinone). In: Ghini, G., Mari, Z.
(Eds.), Lazio e Sabina. Edizioni Quasar, 9, 437-443

Brennan, B. J., Lyons R.G., Phillips S.W., 1991. Attenuation of alpha particle track dose for spherical
grains. Nuclear Tracks Radiation Measurement, 18, 249-253.

- 510 Brennan, B. J. 2003, Beta doses to spherical grains. Radiation Measurements 37, 299-303.
- 511 Chauhan, P. R., Bridgland, D. R., Moncel, M.-H., Antoine, P., Bahain, J.-J., Briant, R., Cunha, P. P.,
- 512 Despriée, J., Limondin-Lozouet, N., Locht, J.-L., Martins, A. A., Schreve, D. C., Shaw, A. D.,
- 513 Voinchet, P., Westaway, R., White, M. J., White, T. S 2017. Fluvial deposits as an archive of early
- human activity: Progress during the 20 years of the Fluvial Archives Group. Quaternary Science
 Reviews. 166, 114-149
- 516 Despriée J., Voinchet P., Tissoux H., Bahain J-J., Falguères C., Courcimault G., Dépont J., Moncel M-
- H., Robin S., Arzarello M., Sala R., Marquer L., Messager E., Puaud S., Abdessadok S., 2011. Lower
- 518 and Middle Pleistocene human settlements recorded in fluvial deposits of the middle Loire River
- 519 Basin, Centre Region, France. Quaternary Science Reviews, 30, 11-12, 1474-1485.
- 520 Duval, M., Grün, R., Falguères, C., Bahain, J.J., Dolo, J.M., 2009. ESR dating of Lower Pleistocene
 521 fossil teeth: Limits of the single saturating exponential (SSE) function for the equivalent dose
 522 determination. Radiation Measurements, 44, 477-482.
- 523 Duval, M. 2012. Dose response curve of the ESR signal of the Aluminum centre in quartz grains
 524 extracted from sediment. Ancient TL 30,1-10
- 525 Duval, M., and Guilarte, V., 2015. ESR dosimetry of optically bleached quartz grains extracted from
- 526 Plio-quaternary sediment: evaluating some key aspects of the ESR signal associated to the Ti-centre.
 527 Radiation Measurement, 78, 28–41

- 528 Duval, M., Sancho, C., Calle, M., Guilarte, V., Peña-Monné, J.L., 2015. On the interest of using the
- 529 Multiple Centre approach in ESR dating of optically bleached quartz grains: some examples from the
- Early Pleistocene terraces of the Alcanadre River (Ebro basin, Spain). Quaternary Geochronology, 29,
- 531 58-69. DOI: 10.1016/j.quageo.2015.06.006.
- 532 Duval M., Voinchet P., Arnold L.J., Parés J.M., Minnella W., Guilarte V., Demuro M., Falguères C.,
- 533 Bahain J.-J., Despriée J. this issue. A multi-technique dating study of two Lower Palaeolithic sites
- from the Cher valley (Middle Loire Catchment, France): Lunery-la Terre-des-Sablons and Brinay-laNoira
- Grün, R. 1994. A cautionary note: use of the "water content" and "depth for cosmic ray dose rate" inAGE and DATA programs'. Ancient TL, 12, 50-51.
- 538 Guérin, G., Mercier, N., Adamiec, G., 2011. Dose-rate conversion factors: update. Ancient TL, 29, 5539 8.
- 540 Gouveia, M., Cunha, P., Falguères, C., Voinchet, P., Martins, A., Bahain, J.J, Pereira, A. 2020.
- 541 Electron spin resonance dating of the culminant allostratigraphic unit of the Mondego and Lower Tejo
- 542 Cenozoic basins (W Iberia), which predates fluvial incision into the basin-fill sediments. Global and
- 543 Planetary Change, 184.
- Kreutzer, S., Duval, M., Bartz, M., Bertran, B., Bosq, M., Eynaud, F., Verdin, F., Mercier, N., 2018.
 Deciphering long-term coastal dynamics using IR-RF and ESR dating: a case study from Médoc, south-west France, 18, 108-120.
- Laurent, M. Falguères C., Bahain, J.J., Yokoyama, Y., 1994. Géochronologie du système de terrasses
 fluviatiles quaternaires du bassin de la Somme par datation RPE sur quartz, déséquilibres des familles
 de l'uranium et magnétostratigraphie. C.R. Académie Sciences Paris, 318, 521-526
- Laurent, M., Falguères C., Bahain, J.J., Rousseau, L., Van Vliet-Lanoë B., 1998. ESR dating of quartz
 extracted from Quaternary and Neogene sediments: method, potential and actual limits. Quaternary
 Science Reviews, 17, 1057-1061.
- Lefèvre, D., Raynal, J.P., Vernet, G., Kieffer, G., Piperno, M. 2010. Tephro-stratigraphy and the age
 of ancient Southern Italian Acheulean settlements: The sites of Loreto and Notarchirico (Venosa,
 Basilicata, Italy). Quaternary International; 223–224, 360–368
- Ludwig, K.R., 2003, User's Manual for Isoplot/Ex, Version 3.0, A geochronological toolkit for
 Microsoft Excel Berkeley Geochronology centre . Special Publication, v. 4, Berkeley Geochronology
 centre, 2455 Ridge Road, Berkeley, CA 94709, USA.
- Moncel, M.H., Landais, A., Lebreton, V., Combourieu-Nebout, N., Nomade, S., Bazin, L., 2018.
 Linking environmental changes with human occupations between 900 and 400 ka in Western
 Europe.Quaternary International ; 480, 78-94.
- 562 Mercier, N., Falguères, C., 2007. Field gamma dose-rate measurement with a NaI(Tl) detector: re-563 evaluation of the "threshold" technique. Ancient TL, 25,1.
- Miallier, D., Sanzelle, S., Falguères, C., Fain, J., Montret, M., Pilleyre, T., Soumana, S., Laurent, M.,
 Gamus, G., De Goër, D., Hervé, A. 1994. Intercomparaison of Tl and ESR signals from heated quartz
 grains. Radiation measurements, 23, 1, 143-153.
- 567 Murray, A.S., Roberts, R.G. 1997. Determining the burial time of single grains of quartz using 568 optically stimulated luminescence. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 152:163-180.
- Muttoni, G., Scardia, G., Kent, D. V., 2013. A critique of evidence for human occupation of Europe
 older than the Jaramillo subchron (~1 Ma): Comment on 'The oldest human fossil in Europe from
 Orce (Spain)' by Toro-Moyano et al. (2013). Journal of Human Evolution 65, 746-749
- 572 Muttoni, G., Scardia, G., Kent, D. V.,2018. Early hominins in Europe: The Galerian migration 573 hypothesis. Quaternary Science Reviews 180, 1-29

- 574 Niespolo, E.M., Rutte, D., Deino, A., Renne, P.R., 2017. Intercalibration and age of the Alder Creek
 575 sanidine 40Ar/39Ar standard. Quaternary Geochronology 39, 205-213.
- Parés, J.M., Arnold, L., Duval, M., Demuro, M., Pérez-González, A.,Bermúdez de Castro, J.M.,
 Carbonell, E., Arsuaga, J.L., 2013. Reassessing the age of Atapuerca-TD6 (Spain): new paleomagnetic
 results Journal of Archaeological Science 40, 4586-4595
- Pereira A., Nomade S., Voinchet P., Bahain J.-J, Falguères C., Garon H., Lefèvre D., Raynal J.-R.,
 Scao V., Piperno M., 2015. The earliest securely dated hominin fossil in Italy and evidence of
 Acheulian occupation during glacial MIS 16 at Notarchirico (Venosa, Basilicata, Italy) Journal
 Quaternary Science, 30, 7, 639–650.
- Pereira, A., Nomade, S., Moncel, M.H., Voinchet, P., Bahain, J.J., Biddittu, I., Falgueres, C., Giaccio,
 B., Manzi, G., Parenti, F., Scardia, G., Scao, V., Sottili G., Vietti, A. 2018. Integrated geochronology
 of Acheulian sites from the southern Latium (central Italy): Insights on human-environment
 interaction and the technological innovations during the MIS 11-MIS 10 period Quaternary Science
 Reviews, 187, 112-129
- Piperno, M., Mallegni, F., Yokoyama, Y., 1990. Découverte d'un fémur humain dans les niveaux
 acheuleens de Notarchirico (Venosa, Basilicata, Italie), C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 1097–1102
- 590
- 591 Piperno, M., Lefèvre., D, Raynal, J.P., Tagliacozzo, A. 1999. Conclusioni generali. In: Notarchirico.
 592 Un sito del Pleistocene medio-antico nel bacino di Venosa (Basilicata). Ed. Osanna, Venosa; 537-543
- 593 Piperno, M., Tagliacozzo, A. 2001. The Elephant Butchery area at the Middle Pleistocene site of
 594 Notarchirico (Venosa, Basilicata, Italy). The World of Elephants- International congress, Rome.
- Prescott, J.R., Hutton, J. T., 1994. Cosmic ray contributions to dose rates for Luminescence and ESR
 Dating: Large depths and long-term time. Radiation Measurements. 23, 497-500
- Renne, P.R., Mundil, R., Balco, G., Min, K., Ludwig, K.R., 2011. Joint determination of ⁴⁰K decay constants and ⁴⁰Ar/⁴⁰K for the Fish Canyon sanidine standard, and improved accuracy for ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology. Response to the comment by W.H. Schwarz et al. on Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta 75, 5097-5100.
- Rink, W.J., Bartoll, J., Schwarcz, H.P., Shane, P., Bar-Yosef, O., 2007. Testing the reliability of ESR
 dating of optically exposed buried quartz sediments. Radiation Measurement 42, 1618-1626
- Sardella, R., Palombo, M.R, Petronio, C., Bedettia, C., Pavi, M. 2006. The early Middle Pleistocene
 large mammal faunas of Italy: An overview. Quaternary International, 149, 104–109
- Steiger, R.H., Jäger, E. 1977. Subcommission on geochronology: convention on the use of decay
 constants in geo and cosmochronology. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 36: 359-362
- Tissoux, H., Falguères C., Voinchet P., Toyoda S., Bahain J.J., Despriée J. 2007. Potential use of Ticentre in ESR dating of Fluvial Sediment. Quaternary Geochronology, 2, 1-4, 367-372
- Tissoux, H., Voinchet, P., Lacquement, F., Prognon, F., Moreno, D., Falguères, C., Bahain, J.-J.,
 Toyoda S. 2013. Investigation on non-optically bleachable components of ESR aluminium signal in
 quartz. Radiation Measurements, 47, 9, 894-899
- Tissoux, H., Voinchet, P., Lerouge, C., 2017. Contribution of cathodoluminescence to the charac terization and selection of quartz for ESR dating. abstract of LED conference Capetown 2017
- Toyoda, S. 1991. Production and decay characteristics of paramagnetic defects in quartz: Applications
- 615 to ESR dating. Ph.D. dissertation, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, Japan.

- Toyoda, S., Falguères C., 2003. The method to represent the ESR signal intensity of the aluminium
 hole centre in quartz for the purpose of dating. Advances in ESR applications, 20, 7-10.
- Toyoda, S., Voinchet, P., Falguères, C., Dolo, J.M. & Laurent, M. 2000. Bleaching of ESR signal by
- 619 the sunlight: a laboratory experiment for establishing the ESR dating of sediments. Applied Radiation
- 620 and Isotopes, 52, 5, 1357-1362.
- Toyoda, S., Tsukamoto, S., Hameau, S., Usui, H., Suzuki, T. 2006 Dating of Japanese Quaternary
 tephras by ESR and luminescence methods Quaternary Geochronology, 1, 320–326
- Vandenberghe, D., De Corte, F., Buylaert, J.P., Kucera, J., Van den haute, P. 2008. On the internal
 radioactivity in quartz. Radiation Measurements 43:771-775.
- Voinchet, P., Falguères, C., Laurent, M., Toyoda, S., Bahain, J.-J. & Dolo, J.M. 2003. Artificial
 optical bleaching of the Aluminium centre in quartz implications to ESR dating of sediments.
 Quaternary Science Reviews, 22, 1335–1338
- 628 Voinchet, P., Bahain, J.-J., Falguères, C., Laurent, M., Dolo, J.-M., Despriée, J., Gageonnet R., 2004.
- ESR dating of quartz extracted from Quaternary sediments: Application to fluvial terraces system of
 Northern France. Quaternaire, 15, 135-141
- Voinchet, P., Falguères, C., Tissoux, H., Bahain, J.J., Despriée J., Pirouelle F. 2007. ESR dating of
 fluvial quartz: estimate of the minimal distance transport required for getting a maximum optical
 bleaching. Quaternary Geochronology, 2, 1-4, 363-366
- 634 Voinchet P., Despriée J., Tissoux H., Falguères C., Bahain J.-J., Gageonnet R., Dépont J., Dolo J.-M.,
- 635 2010. ESR chronology of alluvial deposits and first human settlements of the Middle Loire Basin
- 636 (Region Centre, France). Quaternary Geochronology, 5, 2-3, 381-384
- Voinchet, P., Yin, G., Falguères, C., Liu, C., Han, F., Sun, X., Bahain, J.-J. 2013. ESR dose response
 of Al centre measured in quartz samples from the Yellow River (China): Implications for the dating of
 Upper Pleistocene sediment. Geochronometria 40, 4, 341-347
- 640 Voinchet, P., Toyoda, S., Falguères, C., Hernandez, M., Tissoux, H., Moreno, D., Bahain, J.-J. 2015.
- Evaluation of ESR residual dose in quartz modern samples, an investigation on environmentaldependence. Quaternary Geochronology, 30, 506-512.
- Woda, C.A. Wagner, G., 2007. Non-monotonic dose dependence of the Ge and Ti-centres in quartz.
 Radiation Measurements. 42. 1441-1452.
- Yokoyama, Y., Falguères, C., Quaegebeur, J.P., 1985. ESR dating of quartz from Quaternary
 sediments: first attempt. Nuclear tracks 10, 921–928.
- 647
- 648
- 649
- 650
- 651 <u>Figures Caption</u>
- 652 Fig.1. Location of Isoletta, Lademagne and Notarchirico sites (Italy)
- 653 Fig.2. Cross section of Isoletta site and location of ESR and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar samples– Picture from Biddittu
- modified.
- Fig.3: Cross section of the upper part of Lademagne site and location of 40 Ar/ 39 Ar and ESR samples
- 656 Fig.4: Notarchirico site and location of ESR samples

657	Fig.5: Stratigraphic sections of Isoletta, Lademagne and Notarchirico sites and ⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar results.
658	⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar ages from primary and secondary volcanic deposits from Pereira et al, 2015 and 2018.
659 660 661	Fig 6: Isoletta ESR2 quartz grain ESR spectra (combination of two scans on the 431Gy irradiated aliquot) with Al, Ti-Li an Ti-H signals and indication of the peaks used for the intensity measurements.
662 663	Fig.7: Some example of dose response curves obtained for Al, Ti-Li and Ti-H ESR centres. Comparison between De determined using Ti-2 and exponential+linear function for Ti-H centre.
664 665 666 667	Fig.8: correlation between ESR ages obtained by different paramagnetic centres and 40 Ar/ 39 Ar ages. Solid line corresponds to the 1:1 ratio. ESR age close to this line (or with error range crossing the line) are considered as accurate, result below this line are underestimated, ages above the line are overestimated.
668	
669	Fig.SM1: Dose response curves for the different ESR studied centres - Isoletta
670	Fig.SM2: Dose response curves for the different ESR studied centres - Lademagne and Notarchirico
671 672 673 674 675	
676 677 678 679	$\frac{Tables\ caption}{Tab.1.\ Radionuclide\ contents,\ obtained\ from\ High\ Resolution\ Gamma\ Spectrometry,\ for\ analyzed\ sediments\ of\ Isoletta,\ Lademagne\ and\ Notarchirico\ Sites.\ Analytical\ uncertainties\ are\ given\ at\ \pm\ 1\sigma\ confidence\ level.}$
680 681 682 683 684 685 686 686 687 688 689 690	Tab. 2. ESR results obtained on quartz extracted from sediments. Analytical uncertainties and ages are given with $\pm 1\sigma$. Water contents (W%) were estimated by the difference in mass between the natural sample and the same sample dried for a week in an oven at 50°C (323.15 K). Dose rates were determined using dose rate conversion factor updated by Guerin et al (2011). Alpha and beta attenuations estimated for the selected grain sizes from the tables of Brennan (2003); k-value of 0.15 (Yokoyama et al., 1985), cosmic dose rate calculated from the equations of Prescott & Hutton (1994). For Ti-H centres, the D _E s and ages marked by an asterisk were calculated using the Ti2 function while the other Ti-H ages and D _E s were calculated using the SSE function. For Ti-Li centres the D _E s and ages in italics were calculated using the SSE function while the other Ti-Li ages and D _E s were calculated using the exponential+linear function.
691 692 693 694 695 696	 Tab. 3. Isoletta Site, Comparison between ESR and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages. ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages are given with 2σ analytical uncertainty and are already published in Pereira et al 2018. Ti-H ages are used for mean ages calculation of ESR 3 and 4. Tab. 4. Lademagne Site, Comparison between ESR and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages; ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages are given with
697 698 699 700 701 702	2σ analytical uncertainty and are already published in Pereira et al 2018. Tab. 5 Notarchirico Site, Comparison between ESR and 40 Ar/ 39 Ar ages. 40 Ar/ 39 Ar ages are given with 2σ analytical uncertainty and are already published in Pereira et al 2015. Ti-H ages are not used for mean age calculation.

	U(ppm)	Th (ppm)	K (%)
Isoletta ESR1	1.58 ± 0.07	0.31 ± 0.07	0.03 ± 0.01
Isoletta ESR2	1.62 ± 0.14	9.37 ± 0.22	2.39 ± 0.03
Isoletta ESR 3	1.79 ± 0.09	2.43 ± 0.13	0.44 ± 0.01
Isoletta ESR 4	$1,40 \pm 0,06$	1.07 ± 0.07	0.23 ± 0.01
Lademagne Upper	1.04 ± 0.11	2.91 ± 0.06	0.80 ± 0.02
Notarchirico 2-6	4.69 ± 0.08	4.87 ± 0.04	1.45 ± 0.01
Notarchirico H1	2.72 ± 0.08	4.48 ± 0.04	0.83 ± 0.01
Notarchirico H1-c	3.22 ± 0.09	5.89 ± 0.05	1.07 ± 0.01

Tab.1. Radionuclide contents, obtained with High Resolution Gamma Spectrometry, for analyzed sediments of Isoletta, Lademagne and Notarchirico Sites. Analytical uncertainties are given at $\pm\,1\sigma$ confidence level.

Jamma Spec nalytical uncerta

		D _α μGv/	D_{β}	D_{γ}	D _{cos}	D _A	W	Bl	D_E	r ²	Age
		a	µGy/a	µGy/a	µGy/a	µGy/a	%	%	(Uy)		(ka)
Isoletta	ì										
	Al							48	462 ± 24	0.992	1154 ± 109
ESR1	Ti-Li	19±1	190±11	168±10	24±1	401±15	15	100	715 ± 75 803 ± 100	0.986 <i>0.981</i>	1786 ± 327
	Ti-H							100	325 ± 29 298 + 22*	0.981 0.987*	810 ± 47 $743 \pm 40*$
	Al							42	1315 + 62	0.991	456 + 34
ESR2	Ti-Li	57+2	1786+36	1008+28	33+1	2884+15	15	-12	1010 ± 0.2 1155 ± 110 1059 ± 120	0.989	401 ± 59
LORZ	Ti-H	5722	1700200	1000_20	5521	2001213	15	100	295 ± 20	0.991	102 ± 16
									$302 \pm 20*$	0.989*	105 ± 15
	Al							46	338 ± 60	0.981	362 ± 64
ESR3	Ti-Li	30±2	497±20	354±16	53±3	934±26	15	100	314 ± 73 401 ± 45	0.979 <i>0.987</i>	336 ± 66
	Ti-H							100	349 ± 24	0.988	374 ± 17
									$288 \pm 12*$	0.990*	$308 \pm 22*$
	Al							44	252 ± 40	0.984	391 ± 72
EGD (Ti-Li	20.1	±1 303±12	220±10	101±5	644±16	15	\mathbf{D}	275 ± 25	0.989	426 ± 59
ESR4		20±1						100	296 ± 38	0.984	0.55
	Ti-H								243 ± 15	0.987	377 ± 84
Talana									$230 \pm 22*$	0.989*	$337 \pm 81^{*}$
Ladem	agne	1		1				4.4	847 100	0.091	406 51
Lad	Al	77+2	080+25	010+52	111+6	2087+70	5	44	$\frac{84}{\pm 100}$	0.981	400±31
Sup	Ti-Li	11±3	960±33	919±32	111±0	2087±70	5	100	831 ± 70 875 ± 88	0.985	398±51
Notare	hirico								075 ± 00	0.707	
2-6		84+1	1999+24	1803+21	166+8	4052+32	10	57	2658+122	0.991	657+31
2.0	Al	04±1	1)))±24	1003±21	100±0	4052±52	10	66	1942+60	0.995	739+43
	7.11	1						00	1972 ± 10	0.995	157215
H1	Ti-Li	115±	115± 2 1203±20 1215±18 95±5 2628±27 17	1215±18 95±5	95±5	2628±27	17	100	1895±161	0.989	750±57
	Ti_H			100	312 ± 21	0.992	119 ±35				
	11-11								$330 \pm 28*$	0.985*	$125 \pm 38*$
	Al							69	2425±85	0.993	732±49
	Ti-Li	146±		1.7.7.1	07.7		17		2453±100	0.989	740 ±52
HI-c		2	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	95±5	±5 3313±32	17	100	2515 ± 200	0.991	2(7.20)	
	Ti-H								887 ± 71 $810 \pm 82*$	0.981 0.978*	267 ± 28 245 ± 26*

Tab. 2. ESR results obtained on quartz extracted from sediments. Analytical uncertainties and ages are given with $\pm 1\sigma$. Water contents (W%) were estimated by the difference in mass between the natural sample and the same sample dried for a week in an oven at 50°C (323.15 K). Dose rates were determined using dose rate conversion factor updated by Guerin et al (2011). Alpha and beta attenuations estimated for the selected grain sizes from the tables of Brennan (2003); k-value of 0.15 (Yokoyama et al., 1985), cosmic dose rate calculated from the equations of Prescott & Hutton (1994). For Ti-H centres, the D_Es and ages marked by an asterisk were calculated using the Ti2 function while the other Ti-H ages and D_Es were calculated using the SSE function. For Ti-Li centres the D_Es and ages in italics were calculated using the SSE function while the other Ti-Li ages and D_Es were calculated using the exponential+linear function.

	Al ESR	Ti-Li ESR	Ti-H ESR	Mean ESR	⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar ages
	ages	ages	ages	ages	
ESR1	1154±109ka	1786 ± 327 ka	810 ± 47 ka	Not possible	403 ± 8 ka
ESR2	456 ± 34 ka	401 ± 59 ka	102 ± 16 ka	442 ± 58 ka	375 ± 10 ka
ESR3	362 ± 64 ka	336 ± 66 ka	374 ± 17 ka	349 ± 26 ka	365 < expected < 375
ESR4	391 ± 72 ka	426 ± 59 ka	377 ± 84 ka	396 ± 83 ka	365 ± 19 ka

Tab. 3. Isoletta Site, Comparison between ESR and ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$ ages. ${}^{40}Ar/{}^{39}Ar$ ages are given with 2σ analytical uncertainty and are already published in Pereira et al 2018. Ti-H ages are used for mean ages calculation of ESR 3 and 4.

A decision of the second secon

	Al ESR age	Ti-Li ESR age	Mean ESR age	Ti-H ESR	⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar age
				age	
Lad Sup	406 ± 51 ka	398 ± 51 ka	402 ± 71 ka	inapplicable	389 ± 8 ka

Tab. 4. Lademagne Site, Comparison between ESR and ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages; ${}^{40}\text{Ar}/{}^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages are given with 2σ analytical uncertainty and are already published in Pereira et al 2018.

buttal

	Al ESR ages	Ti-Li ESR ages	Mean ESR ages	TiH ESR ages	⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar ages
Notarchirico	657 ± 31 ka	-	-	-	663 ± 13 ka
2-6					
Notarchirico	739±43 ka	750±57 ka	743 ± 67 ka	119 ± 35 ka	$> 670 \pm 14$ ka
H1					
Notarchirico	732±49 ka	740 ±52 ka	736 ± 70 ka	267 ± 28 ka	$> 670 \pm 14$ ka
H1c					

Tab. 5 Notarchirico Site, Comparison between ESR and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages. ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages are given with 2σ analytical uncertainty and are already published in Pereira et al 2015. Ti-H ages are not used for mean age calculation.

a e a de 2015. Ti





and the second			0 me	eter below e surface
	Fluvial sands	• O ESR O ES	84 5R3	-1
AT HIT PITTING	Archaeological level "Acheulean"	2 GA6Z•O	ESR2	
	Silts and clays		T	20
AL	Gravels and coa	arse sands	•• ESR Archaeologica	1 l level 1 Galerian"
	• $40Ar/39Ar$ s	amples 0	ESR samp	les

Gravels and coarse sands • ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar samples • ESR samples



sournal proprior





bundle



Jonuly



