

How consistent is RAD-seq divergence with DNA-barcode based clustering in insects?

Marie Cariou, Hélène Henri, Sonia Martinez, Laurent Duret, Sylvain Charlat

▶ To cite this version:

Marie Cariou, Hélène Henri, Sonia Martinez, Laurent Duret, Sylvain Charlat. How consistent is RAD-seq divergence with DNA-barcode based clustering in insects?. Molecular Ecology Resources, 2020, 20 (5), pp.1294-1298. 10.1111/1755-0998.13178 . hal-03017182

HAL Id: hal-03017182 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03017182v1

Submitted on 20 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	
3	How consistent is RAD-seq divergence with DNA-barcode based
4	clustering in insects?
5	
6	
7	Marie Cariou ^{1,2} , Hélène Henri ¹ , Sonia Martinez ¹ , Laurent Duret ¹ & Sylvain Charlat ^{1*} .
8	
9	
10	¹ Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5558, Laboratoire de
11	Biométrie et Biologie Evolutive, F-69622, Villeurbanne France
12	² Current address: Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE,
13	UMR5023 LEHNA, F-69622, Villeurbanne, France
14	
15	*Corresponding author: sylvain.charlat@univ-lyon1.fr
16	
17	Running tittle: Assessing DNA barcoding in insects
18	
19	Key words: RAD-seq, divergence, barcode, mitochondria

21 Abstract

23	Promoted by the barcoding approach, mitochondrial DNA is more than ever used as a
24	molecular marker to distinguish and identify species. Yet, it has been repeatedly argued that it
25	may be poorly suited for this purpose, especially in insects where mitochondria are often
26	associated with invasive intracellular bacteria that may promote their introgression. Here we
27	inform this debate by assessing how divergent nuclear genomes can be when mitochondrial
28	barcodes indicate very high proximity. To this end, we obtained RAD-seq data from 92
29	barcode-based species-like units (that is Operational Taxonomic Units, OTU), spanning 4
30	insect orders. In 100% of the cases, the observed median nuclear divergence was lower than
31	2%, a value that was recently estimated as one below which nuclear gene flow is not
32	uncommon. These results suggest that although mitochondria may occasionally leak between
33	species, this process is rare enough in insects to make DNA barcoding a reliable tool for
34	clustering specimens into species-like units.
35	

36 Mitochondrial DNA is widely used to track population histories and identify species, with a number of pros and cons making this issue controversial (Galtier, Nabholz, Glémin, & Hurst, 37 38 2009; Hebert, Hollingsworth, & Hajibabaei, 2016; Hebert, Stoeckle, Zemlak, & Francis, 2004; 39 Hurst & Jiggins, 2005; Moritz & Cicero, 2004; Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2007; Sloan, Havird, & 40 Sharbrough, 2017). Beyond their technical ease of use, mitochondrial sequences possess 41 characteristics that make them particularly suitable for short evolutionary time scale 42 inferences. Thanks to a high, albeit variable, mutation rate (Allio, Donega, Galtier, & Nabholz, 43 2017), they tend to efficiently discriminate closely related lineages. Their short expected 44 coalescence time, due to maternal inheritance, should also make them less susceptible than 45 nuclear sequences to the persistence of ancestral polymorphism in diverging populations, that 46 is, incomplete lineage sorting. On the other hand, because they lack recombination and are 47 genetically linked with invasive cytoplasmic elements such as Wolbachia (Werren, Baldo, & 48 Clark, 2008), mitochondria might be particularly prone to selective sweeps (Cariou, Duret, & 49 Charlat, 2017). Such events may also facilitate introgression between incipient species 50 following hybridizations, a process that introduces disagreements between demographic and 51 genetic genealogies (Hurst & Jiggins 2005; Galtier et al. 2009). Several reports have indeed 52 demonstrated cases of Wolbachia-driven mitochondrial introgressions (Charlat et al., 2009; 53 Jiggins, 2003; Narita, Nomura, Kato, & Fukatsu, 2006), and Wolbachia infected species generally 54 harbour a reduced mitochondrial polymorphism (Cariou et al., 2017). Mitochondrial DNA may 55 also be subject to much wider variations in mutation rates and evolve much less neutrally, than 56 has been traditionally acknowledged (Allio et al., 2017; Galtier et al., 2009; Sloan et al., 2017). 57 Because of these problematic issues, cautions have been repeatedly raised regarding the use 58 of mitochondrial markers to infer evolutionary histories (Chan & Levin, 2005; Galtier et al.,

59 2009; Hurst & Jiggins, 2005; Schmidt & Sperling, 2008; Sloan et al., 2017; Taylor & Harris, 2012;
60 Towes & Brelsford, 2012). Should these valid criticisms be taken as good reasons for not relying
61 on mtDNA to cluster unknown specimens into species-like units?

62 Whatever the markers considered, the frequency of gene flow is known to decrease 63 with increasing divergence time. Roux et al. (2016) analysed this effect by exploring the 64 prevalence of gene flow along the continuum of divergence leading to complete isolation. This 65 study was based on transcriptome data from 61 pairs of populations (or closely related species), 66 showing variable levels of divergence, and selected in order to sample evenly the phylogenetic 67 and ecological diversity of animals. The analysis revealed a "grey zone" between 0.5% and 2% 68 of net synonymous divergence (defined as the synonymous divergence measured from non-69 polymorphic sites), within which species definition is often controversial and where alleles can 70 be exchanged at some but not all loci. However, above a threshold of about 2%, they observe 71 that gene flow is suppressed and that species are indeed isolated. Are mitochondrial markers 72 specific to this regard, often moving between lineages beyond the "grey zone"? Or is their bad 73 reputation a caricature, as much as would be the idyllic picture of a "perfect" molecular marker 74 ?

To address this question, we used RAD-seq, recognized as a robust "genome reduction approach" (Andrews, Good, Miller, Luikart, & Hohenlohe, 2016), to estimate of the genome-wide nuclear divergences in 92 pairs of insect specimens, each composed of two individuals that presumably belonged to the same species, or "Operational Taxonomic Units" (OTUs) as indicated by previously obtained DNA barcodes (figure 1). These specimens, spanning 4 insects orders (figure 2) represent a phylogenetically diverse subset of a larger sample of Arthropods collected in Tahiti and surrounding islands (Ramage et al., 2017). In seven OTUs,

the RAD-seq experiment was repeated for one of the two specimens, in order to estimate the
reliability of the data. In all cases, the global nuclear divergence, as estimated by the median
RAD distance, were indeed very similar among replicates (S2 table).

85 The observed median RAD divergence ranged from 0% to 1.1% (Figure 3, S3 Table), 86 that is, remained within the standard range of within species polymorphism. In 75% of the 87 presumed species (69 cases) the median nuclear divergence was below 0.5%, that is, showed 88 a typical value for specimens collected in randomly mixed populations (Roux et al., 2016). In 89 the remaining 25% (23 cases), the median RAD distance remained well below 2%, that is, within 90 the "grey zone of speciation", suggesting the two specimens sampled likely belong to slightly 91 isolated or large populations (Roux et al., 2016). Notably, this general picture of small nuclear 92 distances is further reinforced by noting that, without polymorphism data in hands, we used 93 here raw divergence, which is inflated in comparison with the "net divergence" used by Roux 94 et al. (2016).

95 Arguably, mitochondrial DNA is not the ideal molecular marker. Even though it has a 96 small effective population size, a high mutation rate, and usually doesn't recombine, it is 97 certainly not evolving as neutrally as would be required to make it suitable for any kind of 98 evolutionary or demographic inferences. Some mitochondrial sites are likely under direct 99 selection, and perhaps more importantly, mitochondria are genetically linked with maternally 100 inherited elements, such as Wolbachia bacteria that tend to rapidly invade populations thanks 101 to selfish drive strategies. For these reasons, some have argued that "mtDNA is perhaps 102 intrinsically the worst population genetic and phylogenetic molecular marker we can think of" 103 (Galtier et al., 2009). Yet, the Barcoding Of Life Database, mostly based on the mitochondrial 104 locus CO1, hosts over 7 million records at the time of writing, from an estimated 600 000

species, suggesting it still has a future in the field, at least as a species assignment anddelimitation tool.

107 How can we reconcile the above mentioned critical views with this massive usage of 108 mitochondrial DNA? First, we may object that many of the criticisms would apply to any single 109 locus, be it sampled from the nuclear or the cytoplasmic genome. Our data says that a very 110 small mitochondrial distance is indicative of a very small global nuclear divergence in 92 out of 111 92 cases, suggesting that in insects, mitochondrial DNA does not so often depart from the 112 common genomic history. Of course, a deeper survey would likely reveal exceptions, but this 113 would be true for any randomly picked nuclear locus that may sometimes fall at the edge of 114 the overall distance distribution.

115 Second, it seems important to distinguish, among the many questions relevant to 116 evolutionary biology and systematics, those that can be addressed at low risk with mtDNA from 117 those that cannot. Here we answered one specific question: are very small mtDNA distances 118 indicative of very small overall nuclear distances? In other words, how can we trust barcode-119 based clustering of specimens into species? "Very much" is the answer suggested by our 120 random sample of 92 barcode-based OTUs. However, we did not assess all possible sources of 121 cyto-nuclear discordance (Toews & Brelsford, 2012). For example, our data is not designed for 122 testing if specimens harbouring distant mtDNA are always similarly distant at the nuclear level, 123 which may not be the case in species subject to incomplete introgressions making them paraphyletic at the mtDNA level (Charlat et al., 2009; Funk & Omland, 2003; Hurst & Jiggins, 124 125 2005). We did not either assess how much barcode-based genealogies are reliable, which is 126 well known to depend on the timescale considered, giving more or less weight to signal 127 saturation or introgression. Neither did we assess how often mtDNA may be subject to selective

sweeps, making them unreliable indicators of demographic histories. It may also be argued that our conclusion holds only for the taxonomic groups surveyed, that is, four orders of insects. However, insects are notoriously prone to *Wolbachia* infections, which may make them more prone than others to cytonuclear discordance, but likely not less.

132 In brief, there is no doubt that mtDNA is far from a perfect marker (Galtier et al., 2009). 133 Yet, some specific objectives, such as the clustering of specimens into species-like units, can be 134 attained at low cost and low risk with mitochondrial DNA barcodes. These markers are less 135 appropriate for other categories of questions, that should generally be addressed not with a 136 single locus, be it cytoplasmic or nuclear, but with genome wide data.

137

138 Material and Methods

139

140 Samples and RAD-seq library preparation

141

142 To compare mitochondrial and nuclear estimates of divergence, we selected 544 specimens 143 distributed in 261 mitochondrial OTUs from the SymbioCode system, a large sample of 144 Arthropods collected in French Polynesia, previously subject to DNA barcoding (Ramage et al., 145 2017). We obtained reliable data from 92 OTUs falling in 4 insect orders (Diptera, Hemiptera, 146 Hymenoptera and Lepidoptera), as detailed in table S1. Importantly, the choice of OTUs and 147 specimens was blind to the Wolbachia infection status, although it had been previously 148 determined (Bailly-Bechet et al., 2017). In order to obtain RAD-seq data, DNA extracts were 149 digested using Sbf1 restriction enzyme and distributed in 3 libraries (table S1). Seven samples

150 were analysed twice, in order to assess the accuracy of the average nuclear divergence 151 estimates. Molecular Identifiers (MIDs) were designed using the barcrawl program (Frank, 152 2009) and prepared according to Henri et al (2015). A mixture of 8 and 10 bp-MIDs was used 153 to avoid sequence homogeneity at the restriction site that disturbs base calling (Krueger, 154 Andrews, & Osborne, 2011) and 15% of phiX DNA were also added to mitigate low complexity 155 issues. Sequence data was acquired in three experiments. The first library was sequenced using 156 the HiSeq 2000 system at the « Génomique & Microgénomique » platform of Lyon1 University 157 (ProfileXpert), producing 100 bp-long reads. The second and third libraries were sequenced 158 using the HiSeq 3000 system at the Genotoul platform (Toulouse), producing 150 bp-long 159 reads, with additional PCR amplification cycles to reach more suitable DNA quantities. We 160 aimed at obtaining paired-end reads in all experiments, but only forward reads were obtained 161 in one experiment, which was thus excluded from our analysis.

162

163 RAD-seq data analysis

164

165 Reads were demultiplexed using the process radtag program from the Stacks software pipeline 166 (Catchen, Hohenlohe, Bassham, Amores, & Cresko, 2013), allowing for one mismatch in the 167 molecular identifier or restriction site sequence. We used paired-end reads to identify and 168 remove PCR duplicates, using a filterPCRdupl.pl script from the ConDeTri program (Smeds & 169 Künstner, 2011). Forward reads were then clustered into loci using pyRAD (Eaton, 2014). 170 Minimal identity (the threshold for inferring that two reads belong to the same locus) was set 171 to 95%. Minimal coverage (the minimal number of reads in a cluster for it to be defined as a 172 valid locus) was set to five, following preliminary analyses that showed lower thresholds

173 introduced errors that inflated the estimated genetic distances. Additional filters were used to 174 identify spurious reads containing adaptors and MID sequences, as well as those showing 175 significant blast hits with bacterial and archaeal genomes (as present in Ensembl Genome in 176 October 2014) that represent potential contaminants. Notably, these additional filters removed 177 only 4% of the data. Homologous loci shared between two specimens within an OTU were 178 identified using SiLiX (Miele, Penel, & Duret, 2011), with clustering thresholds of 35% minimum 179 identity and 80% minimum overlap. Clusters including more than one locus per species, that is, 180 paralogous loci, were excluded.

181

182 Data accessibility

183

- 184 The mtDNA data used in this paper have been made accessible through earlier publications.
- 185 The RAD-seq will be made available upon on the pbil repository hosted by University of Lyon 1
- 186 at the following address: <u>ftp://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/pub/datasets/</u>

187

188 Authors' contributions

- 190 MC designed and conducted the experiments, analyzed de the data and wrote the
- 191 manuscript; HH conducted the experimental setup and contributed to analyses and writing;
- 192 SM contributed to the experiments and writing; LD designed the experiments and analyses

193	and contributed to writing; SC designed the experiments and analyses and contributed to
194	writing.
195	
196	Competing interest
197	
198	We have no competing interest.
199	
200	Funding
201	
202	This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) (ATIP
203	grant SymbioCode to S.C.).
204	
205	Acknowledgments
206	
207	This work benefitted from the computing facilities of the CC LBBE/PRABI. Sequencing
208	reactions were performed in collaboration with the ProfileXpert (University Claude Bernard
209	Lyon 1) and GeT core facilities (Toulouse, France, <u>http://get.genotoul.fr</u>) which is supported
210	by the "France Génomique" National infrastructure and the Agence Nationale pour la
211	Recherche (contract ANR-10-INBS-09).
212	
213	

214 References

215

- Allio, R., Donega, S., Galtier, N., & Nabholz, B. (2017). Large variation in the ratio of
- 217 mitochondrial to nuclear mutation rate across animals: implications for genetic diversity
- and the use of mitochondrial DNA as a molecular marker. *Molecular Biology and*
- 219 *Evolution, msx197*. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx197
- 220 Andrews, K. R., Good, J. M., Miller, M. R., Luikart, G., & Hohenlohe, P. A. (2016). Harnessing
- the power of RADseq for ecological and evolutionary genomics. *Nature Reviews Genetics*,
- 222 *17*(2), 81–92. doi:10.1038/nrg.2015.28
- 223 Bailly-Bechet, M., Simoes, P., Szöllősi, G., Mialdea, G., Sagot, M.-F., & Charlat, S. (2017). How
- long does Wolbachia remain on board? *Molecular Biology and Evolution, 34,* 1183–1193.
- 225 doi:10.1093/molbev/msx073
- 226 Cariou, M., Duret, L., & Charlat, S. (2017). The global impact of Wolbachia on mitochondrial

diversity and evolution. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *30*, 2204–2210.

- 228 Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P. a, Bassham, S., Amores, A., & Cresko, W. a. (2013). Stacks: an
- analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(11), 3124–40.
- 230 doi:10.1111/mec.12354
- 231 Chan, K., & Levin, S. (2005). Leaky prezygotic isolation and porous genomes: rapid
- introgression of maternally inherited DNA. *Evolution*, *59*(4), 720–729.
- 233 Charlat, S., Duplouy, A., Hornett, E. A. A., Dyson, E. A. A., Davies, N., Roderick, G. K. K., ...
- Hurst, G. D. D. (2009). The joint evolutionary histories of Wolbachia and mitochondria in
- 235 Hypolimnas bolina. *BMC Evol Biol*, *9*(1), 64. doi:1471-2148-9-64 [pii]10.1186/1471-2148-
- 236 9-64

- Eaton, D. A. R. (2014). PyRAD : assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses,
- 238 *30*(13), 1844–1849. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu121
- 239 Frank, D. N. (2009). BARCRAWL and BARTAB: software tools for the design and
- implementation of barcoded primers for highly multiplexed DNA sequencing. *BMC*
- 241 *Bioinformatics, 10,* 362. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-362
- Funk, D. J., & Omland, K. E. (2003). Species-Level Paraphyly and Polyphyly: Frequency, Causes,
- and Consequences, with Insights from Animal Mitochondrial DNA. Annual Review of
- Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 34(1), 397–423.
- doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132421
- 246 Galtier, N., Nabholz, B., Glémin, S., & Hurst, G. D. D. (2009). Mitochondrial DNA as a marker of
- 247 molecular diversity : a reappraisal. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*, 4541–4550.
- 248 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04380.x
- Hebert, P. D. N., Hollingsworth, P. M., & Hajibabaei, M. (2016). From writing to reading the
- 250 encyclopedia of life. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
- 251 *371*(1702). doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0321
- Hebert, P. D. N., Stoeckle, M. Y., Zemlak, T. S., & Francis, C. M. (2004). Identification of birds
- through DNA barcodes. *PLoS Biology*, *2*(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020312
- Henri, H., Cariou, M., Terraz, G., Martinez, S., el Filali, A., Veyssiere, M., ... Charlat, S. (2015).
- 255 Optimization of multiplexed RADseq libraries using low-cost adaptors. *Genetica*, 143(2),
- 256 139–143. doi:10.1007/s10709-015-9828-3
- Hurst, G. D. D., & Jiggins, F. M. (2005). Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in
- 258 population, phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited
- 259 symbionts. *Proc Biol Sci*, 272(1572), 1525–1534. doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3056

- 260 Jiggins, F. M. (2003). Male-Killing Wolbachia and Mitochondrial DNA. Selective sweeps, hybrid
- introgression and parasite population dynamics. *Genetics*, *164*(1), 5–12.
- 262 Krueger, F., Andrews, S. R., & Osborne, C. S. (2011). Large scale loss of data in low-diversity
- 263 illumina sequencing libraries can be recovered by deferred cluster calling. *PLoS ONE*,
- 264 *6*(1), 4–10. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016607
- 265 Miele, V., Penel, S., & Duret, L. (2011). Ultra-fast sequence clustering from similarity networks
 266 with SiLiX. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *12*(1), 116. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-116
- 267 Moritz, C., & Cicero, C. (2004). DNA Barcoding: Promise and Pitfalls. *PLoS Biology*, *2*(10), e354.
- 268 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020354
- 269 Narita, S., Nomura, M., Kato, Y., & Fukatsu, T. (2006). Genetic structure of sibling butterfly
- 270 species affected by Wolbachia infection sweep : evolutionary and biogeographical
- 271 implications. *Molecular Ecology*, *15*, 1095–1108. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02857.x
- 272 Ramage, T., Martins-Simoes, P., Mialdea, G., Allemand, R., Duplouy, A. M. R., Rousse, P., ...
- 273 Charlat, S. (2017). A DNA barcode-based survey of terrestrial arthropods in the Society
- 274 Islands of French Polynesia: host diversity within the SymbioCode project. *European*
- 275 *Journal of Taxonomy*, *272*(272), 1–13. doi:10.5852/ejt.2017.272
- 276 Ratnasingham, S., & Hebert, P. D. N. (2007). BOLD : The Barcode of Life Data System
- 277 (www.barcodinglife.org). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 7, 355–364. doi:10.1111/j.1471-
- 278 8286.2006.01678.x
- Roux, C., Fra, C., Romiguier, J., Anciaux, Y., Galtier, N., & Bierne, N. (2016). Shedding Light on
- the Grey Zone of Speciation along a Continuum of Genomic Divergence, 1–22.
- 281 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2000234
- 282 Schmidt, B. C., & Sperling, F. A. H. (2008). Widespread decoupling of mtDNA variation and

- 283 species integrity in Grammia tiger moths (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Systematic
- 284 Entomology, 33(4), 613–634. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3113.2008.00433.x
- Sloan, D., Havird, J., & Sharbrough, J. (2017). The on-again , off-again relationship between
- 286 mitochondrial genomes and species boundaries. *Molecular Ecology*, *26*, 2212–2236.
- 287 doi:10.1111/mec.13959
- Smeds, L., & Künstner, A. (2011). CONDETRI A Content Dependent Read Trimmer for
 Illumina Data. *PloS One*, *6*(10), e26314.
- 290 Taylor, H. R., & Harris, W. E. (2012). An emergent science on the brink of irrelevance: A review
- of the past 8years of DNA barcoding. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *12*(3), 377–388.
- 292 doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03119.x
- 293 Toews, D. P. L., & Brelsford, A. (2012). The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear
- discordance in animals. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(16), 3907–3930. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- **295** 294X.2012.05664.x
- Towes, D., & Brelsford, A. (2012). The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance
- 297 in animals. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*, 3907–3930. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05664.x
- Werren, J. H., Baldo, L., & Clark, M. E. (2008). Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate
- 299 biology. *Nature Reviews. Microbiology*, *6*(10), 741–51. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1969
- 300
- Bailly-Bechet, M., Simoes, P., Szöllősi, G., Mialdea, G., Sagot, M.-F., & Charlat, S. (2017). How
- 302 long does *Wolbachia* remain on board? *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *34*, 1183–1193.
- 303 doi:10.1093/molbev/msx073
- 304 Cariou, M., Duret, L., & Charlat, S. (2017). The global impact of *Wolbachia* on mitochondrial
- diversity and evolution. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *30*, 2204–2210.

- 306 Catchen, J., Hohenlohe, P. a, Bassham, S., Amores, A., & Cresko, W. a. (2013). Stacks: an
- analysis tool set for population genomics. *Molecular Ecology*, 22(11), 3124–40.
- 308 doi:10.1111/mec.12354
- 309 Chan, K., & Levin, S. (2005). Leaky prezygotic isolation and porous genomes: rapid introgrssion
- of maternally inherited DNA. *Evolution*, *59*(4), 720–729.
- 311 Charlat, S., Duplouy, A., Hornett, E. A. A., Dyson, E. A. A., Davies, N., Roderick, G. K. K., ...
- Hurst, G. D. D. (2009). The joint evolutionary histories of *Wolbachia* and mitochondria in
- 313 Hypolimnas bolina. *BMC Evol Biol*, *9*(1), 64. doi:1471-2148-9-64 [pii]10.1186/1471-2148-
- 314 9-64
- Eaton, D. A. R. (2014). PyRAD : assembly of de novo RADseq loci for phylogenetic analyses,
- 316 30(13), 1844–1849. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu121
- 317 Frank, D. N. (2009). BARCRAWL and BARTAB: software tools for the design and
- 318 implementation of barcoded primers for highly multiplexed DNA sequencing. *BMC*
- 319 *Bioinformatics, 10,* 362. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-362
- 320 Funk, D. J., & Omland, K. E. (2003). Species-Level Paraphyly and Polyphyly: Frequency, Causes,
- 321 and Consequences, with Insights from Animal Mitochondrial DNA. *Annual Review of*
- 322 Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 34(1), 397–423.
- doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132421
- 324 Galtier, N., Nabholz, B., Glémin, S., & Hurst, G. D. D. (2009). Mitochondrial DNA as a marker of
- 325 molecular diversity : a reappraisal. *Molecular Ecology*, *18*, 4541–4550.
- 326 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04380.x
- Hebert, P. D. N., Hollingsworth, P. M., & Hajibabaei, M. (2016). From writing to reading the
- 328 encyclopedia of life. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,

329

371(1702). doi:10.1098/rstb.2015.0321

- Hebert, P. D. N., Stoeckle, M. Y., Zemlak, T. S., & Francis, C. M. (2004). Identification of birds
 through DNA barcodes. *PLoS Biology*, 2(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020312
- Henri, H., Cariou, M., Terraz, G., Martinez, S., el Filali, A., Veyssiere, M., ... Charlat, S. (2015).
- 333 Optimization of multiplexed RADseq libraries using low-cost adaptors. *Genetica*, 143(2),
- **334** 139–143. doi:10.1007/s10709-015-9828-3
- Hurst, G. D. D., & Jiggins, F. M. (2005). Problems with mitochondrial DNA as a marker in
- population, phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies: the effects of inherited
- 337 symbionts. *Proc Biol Sci*, 272(1572), 1525–1534. doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3056
- 338 Jiggins, F. M. (2003). Male-Killing *Wolbachia* and Mitochondrial DNA. Selective sweeps, hybrid

introgression and parasite population dynamics. *Genetics*, *164*(1), 5–12.

- 340 Krueger, F., Andrews, S. R., & Osborne, C. S. (2011). Large scale loss of data in low-diversity
- 341 illumina sequencing libraries can be recovered by deferred cluster calling. *PLoS ONE*,
- 342 *6*(1), 4–10. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016607
- 343 Miele, V., Penel, S., & Duret, L. (2011). Ultra-fast sequence clustering from similarity networks
- 344 with SiLiX. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *12*(1), 116. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-116
- 345 Moritz, C., & Cicero, C. (2004). DNA Barcoding: Promise and Pitfalls. *PLoS Biology*, *2*(10), e354.
- doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020354
- 347 Narita, S., Nomura, M., Kato, Y., & Fukatsu, T. (2006). Genetic structure of sibling butterfly
- 348 species affected by *Wolbachia* infection sweep : evolutionary and biogeographical
- 349 implications. *Molecular Ecology*, *15*, 1095–1108. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02857.x
- 350 Ramage, T., Martins-Simoes, P., Mialdea, G., Allemand, R., Duplouy, A. M. R., Rousse, P., ...
- 351 Charlat, S. (2017). A DNA barcode-based survey of terrestrial arthropods in the Society

- 352 Islands of French Polynesia: host diversity within the SymbioCode project. *European*
- 353 *Journal of Taxonomy*, 272(272), 1–13. doi:10.5852/ejt.2017.272
- 354 Ratnasingham, S., & Hebert, P. D. N. (2007). BOLD : The Barcode of Life Data System
- 355 (www.barcodinglife.org). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 7, 355–364. doi:10.1111/j.1471-
- 356 8286.2006.01678.x
- 357 Roux, C., Fra, C., Romiguier, J., Anciaux, Y., Galtier, N., & Bierne, N. (2016). Shedding Light on
- the Grey Zone of Speciation along a Continuum of Genomic Divergence, 1–22.
- 359 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2000234
- 360 Schmidt, B. C., & Sperling, F. A. H. (2008). Widespread decoupling of mtDNA variation and
- 361 species integrity in Grammia tiger moths (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Systematic*

362 *Entomology*, *33*(4), 613–634. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3113.2008.00433.x

- 363 Sloan, D., Havird, J., & Sharbrough, J. (2017). The on-again , off-again relationship between
- 364 mitochondrial genomes and species boundaries. *Molecular Ecology*, *26*, 2212–2236.
- 365 doi:10.1111/mec.13959
- 366 Smeds, L., & Künstner, A. (2011). CONDETRI A Content Dependent Read Trimmer for
- 367 Illumina Data. *PloS One*, *6*(10), e26314.
- 368 Taylor, H. R., & Harris, W. E. (2012). An emergent science on the brink of irrelevance: A review
- of the past 8 years of DNA barcoding. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 12(3), 377–388.
- 370 doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2012.03119.x
- Toews, D. P. L., & Brelsford, A. (2012). The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear
- 372 discordance in animals. *Molecular Ecology*, 21(16), 3907–3930. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- **373** 294X.2012.05664.x
- Towes, D., & Brelsford, A. (2012). The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance

- 375 in animals. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*, 3907–3930. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05664.x
- Werren, J. H., Baldo, L., & Clark, M. E. (2008). *Wolbachia*: master manipulators of invertebrate
- biology. *Nature Reviews. Microbiology*, *6*(10), 741–51. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1969
- 378
- 379

380 Figures











Figure 2. Phylogenetic diversity of our sample (92 OTUs) in comparison with the local
diversity. The full tree includes one representative of all Lepidoptera, Diptera, Hemiptera and
Hymenoptera OTUs from a previously reported extensive survey of the Arthropods from
Tahiti and surrounding islands (Ramage et al., 2017). Finer scale taxonomic details can be
found in table S1. Vertical bars on the surface indicate the species included in the present
analysis.





Figure 3. Distribution of median RAD distances within the 92 OTUs under study.