

Extensive human-mediated jump dispersal within and across the native and introduced ranges of the invasive termite Reticulitermes flavipes

Pierre-andré Eyer, Alexander J Blumenfeld, Laura N L Johnson, Elfie Perdereau, Phillip Shults, Shichen Wang, Franck Dedeine, Simon Dupont, Anne-Geneviève Bagnères, Edward L Vargo

▶ To cite this version:

Pierre-andré Eyer, Alexander J Blumenfeld, Laura N L Johnson, Elfie Perdereau, Phillip Shults, et al.. Extensive human-mediated jump dispersal within and across the native and introduced ranges of the invasive termite Reticulitermes flavipes. Molecular Ecology, 2021, 30 (16), pp.3948-3964. 10.1111/mec.16022. hal-03356900

HAL Id: hal-03356900 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03356900

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

2	ranges of the invasive termite Reticulitermes flavipes
3	
4	Pierre-André Eyer ^{1†} , Alexander J. Blumenfeld ^{1†} , Laura N. L. Johnson ^{1,2} , Elfie Perdereau ³ , Phillip
5	Shults ¹ , Shichen Wang ⁴ , Franck Dedeine ³ , Simon Dupont ³ , Anne-Geneviève Bagnères ^{3,5#} ,
6	Edward L. Vargo ^{1#}
7	
8	¹ Department of Entomology, 2143 TAMU, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, 77843-
9	2143, USA
10	² Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming, 82070, USA
11	³ IRBI, UMR 7261 CNRS-Université de Tours. Avenue Monge, Parc Grandmont, 37200 Tours,
12	France
13	⁴ Texas A&M Agrilife Genomics and Bioinformatics Service, College Station, USA
14	⁵ CEFE, CNRS, Univ Montpellier, Univ Paul Valéry Montpellier, EPHE, IRD, 34000 Montpellier,
15	France
16	
17	[†] Pierre-André Eyer and Alexander J. Blumenfeld should be considered joint first author
18	*Edward L. Vargo and Anne-Geneviève Bagnères should be considered joint last authors
19	*Corresponding author:
20	Pierre-André Eyer
21	Department of Entomology,
22	Texas A&M University,
23	College Station, 77843, Texas, USA
24	e-mail: <u>pieyer@live.fr</u>
25	Keywords: Population genetics, Phylogeography, Social insects, Invasive species, ABC

Extensive human-mediated jump dispersal within and across the native and introduced

1

26 Abstract

27 As native ranges are often geographically structured, invasive species originating from a single source population only carry a fraction of the genetic diversity present in their native range. The 28 29 invasion process is thus often associated with a drastic loss of genetic diversity resulting from a 30 founder event. However, the fraction of diversity brought to the invasive range may vary under 31 different invasion histories, increasing with the size of the propagule, the number of re-introduction 32 events, and/or the total genetic diversity represented by the various source populations in a 33 multiple-introduction scenario. In this study, we generated a SNP dataset for the invasive termite 34 Reticulitermes flavipes from 23 native populations in the eastern United States and six introduced populations throughout the world. Using population genetic analyses and approximate Bayesian 35 computation Random Forest, we investigated its worldwide invasion history. We found a complex 36 37 invasion pathway with multiple events out of the native range and bridgehead introductions from 38 the introduced population in France. Our data suggest that extensive long-distance jump dispersal appears common in both the native and introduced ranges of this species, likely through human 39 transportation. Overall, our results show that similar to multiple introduction events into the 40 invasive range, admixture in the native range prior to invasion can potentially favor invasion 41 42 success by increasing the genetic diversity that is later transferred to the introduced range.

43

44 **1 | INTRODUCTION**

The transport of species beyond their native ranges by human activity is breaking down 45 biogeographical barriers and causing global reorganization of biota (Capinha et al. 2015; van 46 Kleunen et al. 2015), with the ensuing invasions posing a serious threat to biodiversity, agriculture 47 48 and human health (Simberloff et al. 2013). Successful invaders must disperse into a 49 geographically distant area, establish a viable and fertile population, and spread throughout this 50 new environment, where the biotic and abiotic pressures may differ from those they faced in their 51 native range (Kolar and Lodge 2001). Biological invasions have long been seen as paradoxical, 52 as the invasion process was thought to occur in spite of the reduction of genetic diversity that typically follows introductions of invasive species (Sax and Brown 2000). However, data from a 53 growing number of studies suggest that biological invasions are not always associated with a loss 54 of genetic diversity, and that a loss of genetic diversity is not always accompanied with inbreeding 55 56 costs and a loss of adaptive potential (Facon et al. 2006, Roman & Darling 2007, Estoup et al. 2016, Ever et al. 2018a, Blumenfeld et al. 2021). In addition, the ecological dominance of invaders 57 58 in their novel environments is not necessarily the result of superior competitive ability compared to native species, but may simply involve the filling of vacant niches (Dlugosh and Parker 2008, 59 60 Dlugosch et al. 2015, Bates et al. 2020).

Several life-history traits may enhance the invasive success of some species (Eyer & 61 Vargo 2021). Specific breeding systems, modes of dispersal or physiological characteristics may 62 63 influence the ability of species to spread and to become established. Investigating the 64 mechanisms underlying the invasion process requires determining whether these traits differ between introduced and native populations. Such differences may arise after the introduction due 65 to new ecological pressures occurring in the invaded area (Wares et al. 2005; Keller and Taylor 66 67 2008), or they may already be present within native populations, thereby pre-adapting the source 68 population for invasion success. Therefore, determining the source population of invasive species is critical to conduct comparative studies of life-history traits between introduced and native 69

ranges to understand how they evolved under distinct biotic and abiotic pressures (Barker et al.2017).

Investigating invasion mechanisms also requires knowledge of the invasion history, in 72 which a series of demographic events may influence the invasion process and patterns of genetic 73 74 diversity. The introduced range may consist of a single invasive population. This introduced 75 population may have originated from a single introduction out of the native range, or from multiple 76 introductions out of the native range, either from the same or different source populations. In 77 contrast, the introduced range may comprise multiple invasive populations, which may originate 78 from separate introduction events from one source population, or from different source 79 populations out of the native range (Oficialdegui et al. 2019; Acevedo-Limón et al. 2020). Finally, an established invasive population itself may become a source for subsequent invasions, a 80 phenomenon coined the 'bridgehead effect' (Lombaert et al. 2010; Bertelsmeier and Keller 2018). 81 Therefore, reconstructing invasion histories is important for explaining the global distribution of 82 genetic diversity and understanding adaptive evolution in new environments (Cristescu 2015, van 83 84 Boheemen and Hodgins 2020).

85 A bottleneck event following an introduction usually results in a loss of genetic diversity in 86 the introduced population (Dlugosch and Parker 2008), but the amount of genetic diversity lost 87 may vary under different invasion scenarios. The degree to which genetic diversity is reduced 88 may be limited when the initial colonizing force is large, when the introduced population is 89 subsequently re-invaded by additional individuals during multiple introduction events, and/or 90 when the introduced population is invaded by individuals from several genetically distinct source 91 populations (Facon et al. 2006). Sometimes, when there are several introductions from different 92 source populations and these interbreed within an invasive population, genetic diversity may even 93 be higher within this population than its native source population(s) (Facon et al. 2008). In 94 contrast, the bridgehead effect may result in a severe loss of diversity, as subsequent introductions arise from an already depauperate introduced population. The bridgehead effect 95

has been suggested to promote the spread of phenotypic traits enhancing invasion success in
secondary invasive populations, as these traits are already selected for and widespread in the
initial introduced population, although there is limited support for such a phenomenon
(Bertelsmeier and Keller 2018). Investigating patterns of genetic diversity in native and introduced
populations can therefore provide insights into the introduction history of invasive species (*e.g.,*Winkler et al. 2019, Geburzi et al. 2020, Hirsch et al. 2021, Resh et al. 2021, Wesse et al. 2021).

102 Reticulitermes flavipes is a subterranean termite species native in the eastern USA, where 103 it ranges from Texas to Massachusetts. The termite has become invasive in localities both near 104 to and distant from the eastern USA. In both its native and introduced ranges, this termite species is responsible for large amounts of damage to human structures (Evans et al. 2013, Shults et al. 105 2021). This includes the western USA (Austin et al. 2005; McKern et al. 2006), the Province of 106 107 Ontario in Canada (Kirby 1965), the Bahamas (Scheffrahn et al. 1999), Chile (Clément et al. 2001) 108 and Uruguay in South America (Austin et al. 2005; Su et al. 2006) and France, Germany, Austria and Italy in Western Europe, where it was first reported in 1837 (Kollar 1837; Weidner 1937; 109 Clément et al. 2001; Ghesini et al. 2010). This species has also been reported (GBIF) from Mexico 110 and the outermost regions of Spain (Canary Island; Hernández-Teixidor et al. 2019) and Portugal 111 112 (Azores; Austin et al. 2012).

113 The native and invasive populations of *R. flavipes* have been the focus of numerous 114 studies investigating its breeding system. In the French invasive range, colonies are large, readily 115 fuse together and contain several hundred neotenics (worker or nymph-derived reproductives that 116 replace the primary or alate-derived reproductives who found new colonies) (Dronnet et al. 2005; Vargo and Husseneder 2009; Perdereau et al. 2010a). Although substantial variability in breeding 117 structure is present among the native USA populations of R. flavipes, colonies from most native 118 populations are spatially less expansive, fuse only occasionally and are headed by a 119 120 monogamous pair of primary reproductives or a few neotenics (Vargo and Husseneder 2009; Vargo et al. 2013; Aguero et al. 2020). Interestingly, colonies in a population from Louisiana share 121

some of the same traits as those in France (Perdereau et al. 2010a; Perdereau et al. 2010c;
Perdereau et al. 2015).

Previous genetic analyses based on microsatellite markers and mtDNA haplotypes have 124 shown that the introduced French population of R. flavipes exhibits an average decrease in 125 126 genetic diversity of 60-80% compared to native USA populations (Perdereau et al. 2013). The 127 analysis also revealed the occurrence of three main genetic clusters within the native USA range 128 - the 'Eastern cluster' (West Virginia, Virginia, Delaware, North and South Carolina), the 'Gulf 129 Coast cluster' (Florida and Eastern Mississippi-Louisiana) and the 'Southern Louisiana cluster' 130 (the New Orleans and Baton Rouge regions in Louisiana) (Perdereau et al. 2013). Notably, some microsatellite and mtDNA haplotypes found in France were unique to the Southern Louisiana 131 cluster (Perdereau et al. 2013). This finding, together with similarities in chemical profiles and 132 133 breeding structures found between France and Louisiana (Perdereau et al. 2010b, Perdereau et 134 al. 2015), suggested that the French population of *R. flavipes* was introduced from Louisiana, most likely during the 17th and 18th centuries via wood and plant trade between New Orleans 135 and the major French ports on the Atlantic coast (Dronnet et al. 2005, Perdereau et al. 2010a, 136 Perdereau et al. 2013). 137

138 Although the Louisiana origin of the invasive French population appears well supported, several points remain unclear. First, Perdereau et al. (2019) recently identified a French haplotype 139 more closely related to the 'Eastern cluster' than the 'Southern Louisiana cluster', suggesting 140 multiple native populations from the USA may have invaded France. Additionally, the source(s) 141 142 of the Canadian and Chilean invasions remain unidentified. Although several populations of R. flavipes occur in the Northeastern and Midwestern USA (i.e., adjacent to Ontario), the only 143 haplotype found in Canada was shared with populations in Louisiana and France (Perdereau et 144 145 al. 2013). Therefore, it is unclear whether the Canadian population arose from a primary 146 introduction from Louisiana or from a secondary introduction through France (*i.e.*, bridgehead introduction), as eastern Canada and France share a close historical bond. Similarly, Chile's 147

unique haplotype was closest to one shared between Louisiana and France (Perdereau et al.
2013), raising the same question regarding primary versus secondary introduction. Overall, these
findings suggest a complex invasion history for *R. flavipes* and raise the question of how many
native populations may have served as sources for the introduced populations and what the role
of bridgeheads might be in the global distribution of this species.

Here, we used population genetic analyses and approximate Bayesian computation 153 154 Random Forest (ABC-RF) to investigate the invasion history of R. flavipes. Using ddRadSeq, we first generated a SNP dataset sequencing 23 native populations in the USA and six introduced 155 populations in France, Germany, Chile, Uruguay, the Bahamas and Canada. We then assessed 156 157 patterns of genetic structure within the entire native range of the species, and within each of the introduced populations. Finally, in order to elucidate the invasion history of R. flavipes, we 158 159 compared support for different invasion scenarios modeling the number, size and origin of each 160 introduction event using ABC-RF.

161

162 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

163 **2.1 | Population sampling and sequencing**

A total of 257 individuals of R. flavipes were collected from 29 populations spanning both native 164 (USA) and different introduced populations in Europe (*i.e.*, France, Germany), North America 165 166 (Canada and Bahamas) and South America (Chile and Uruguay) (Figure 1; Detailed sampling is 167 provided in Table S1). In addition, 19 individuals of the sister species R. virginicus were collected 168 to serve as an outgroup for the phylogenetic analysis. Samples were stored in 96% ethanol at 169 4°C until DNA extraction. Total genomic DNA was extracted from each individual using a modified Gentra Puregene extraction method (Gentra Systems, Inc. Minneapolis, MN, USA). DNA quality 170 was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis and DNA concentration was measured with Qubit® 171 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, USA). Non-degraded genomic DNA (100-300ng) was used to 172 173 construct ddRAD libraries. Libraries were prepared and sequenced at the Texas A&M AgriLife 174 Genomics and Bioinformatics Service facility using SphI and EcoRI restriction enzymes following the protocol of Peterson et al. (2012). Each sample was identified using unique combinatorial 175 176 barcodes of 6 and 8 base pairs. Samples were amplified through PCR with iProof™ High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Bio-Rad). PCR products were purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman 177 178 Coulter Inc.). Libraries were size-selected to a range of 300–500 bp using the BluePippin system 179 (Sage Science Inc.). Libraries were sequenced on six flowcell lanes using an Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Illumina Inc., USA) to generate 150 bp paired-end reads. 180

The paired-end reads were checked for quality control using FastQC v0.11.8 (Andrews 2010). Forward and reverse reads were demultiplexed from their barcodes, assigned to each sample and assembled using Stacks v.2.41 (Rochette et al. 2019). Reads were first aligned to the *R. flavipes* reference genome (Zhou et al. unpublished data, Table S2) using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (Li and Durbin 2009). Aligned reads were then run through the reference-based pipeline of Stacks, which built and genotyped the paired-end data, as well as called SNPs using the population-wide data per locus. Only SNPs present in at least 70% of individuals in half of the 188 populations were kept for downstream analyses. Furthermore, SNPs with mean coverage lower 189 than 5x and higher than 200x were removed using VCFtools v.0.1.15 (Danecek et al. 2011), to prevent unlikely SNPs and highly repetitive regions. Low frequency alleles (< 0.05) and highly 190 191 heterozygous loci (> 0.7) were sorted out, as they likely represent sequencing errors and paralogs 192 (Benestan et al. 2016). A single random SNP was kept for each locus, to prevent linkage disequilibrium that may potentially affect population structure and phylogenetic analyses. The 193 194 dataset was formatted for downstream software programs using PGDSpider v.2.1.1.5 (Lischer and Excoffier 2011). 195

196

197 **2.2 | Population structure and phylogenetic relationship**

Expected (H_E) and observed (H_O) heterozygosity, inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}), and population differentiation values (F_{ST}) were calculated using Stacks (Rochette et al. 2019). Population structure among the 23 native and six introduced populations was analyzed using three complementary approaches.

First, the most likely number of genetic clusters (*i.e.*, K) in the dataset was estimated, and individuals were assigned into each of them using fastSTRUCTURE v1.040 (Raj et al. 2014). The algorithm ran following an admixture model with allele frequencies correlated and did not use *a priori* information on localities. The algorithm was parallelized and automated using Structure_threader (Pina-Martins et al. 2017), and ran for K ranging from one to 29. The *chooseK.py* function was used to select the most likely number of genetic clusters. Plots were created by Distruct v2.3 (Chhatre 2019) (available at <u>http://distruct2.popgen.org</u>).

Second, genetic clustering was estimated using a principal component analysis (PCA) and a discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC). DAPC uses discriminant functions that maximize variance among groups while minimizing variance within groups (Jombart et al. 2010). The most likely number of genetic groups was first inferred by the *find.clusters* algorithm on the principal component analysis (PCA) outputs, with the Bayesian information criterion utilized
to select the number of genetic groups. The optimal number of principal components to inform
the DAPC (*i.e.*, maximizing discriminatory power between groups, while preventing overfitting)
was then defined using the function *optim.a.score*. Both the PCA and DAPC were performed in
R (R Core Team 2020) using the *adegenet* package (Jombart 2008).

218 Third, population structure was visualized using the relatedness matrix produced by the RADpainter and fineRADstructure software (Malinsky et al. 2018). This method calculates co-219 220 ancestry between samples as an independent assessment of population structure. Analyses ran 221 using default parameters of 100,000 burn-in and 100,000 MCMC iterations, and results were 222 visualized in R through scripts provided with the program (available at http://cichlid.gurdon.cam.ac.uk/fineRAD structure.html). 223

224 Phylogenetic relationships among R. flavipes individuals were inferred using maximum likelihood (ML) analysis implemented in RAxML v8.2.12 (Stamatakis 2014). Phylogenetic 225 226 relationships were also estimated using a Bayesian analysis (Figure S5). After filtering, only 16 227 out of the 19 individuals of R. virginicus were used as an outgroup; these R. virginicus samples 228 were not used in any other analyses. An acquisition bias correction was applied to the likelihood 229 calculations, removing invariant sites from the alignment through the Phrynomics R script (available at https://github.com/bbanbury/phrynomics/). The rapid bootstrap analysis and search 230 231 for the best-scoring maximum likelihood tree was performed using the extended majority rule 232 (MRE)-based bootstopping criterion (Pattengale et al. 2010) under the GTR+G nucleotide 233 substitution model.

234

235 2.3 | Assessing the invasion history

The global invasion history of *R. flavipes* was inferred through ABC analyses by comparing support for different invasion scenarios. The scenarios varied according to the origin(s) of 238 introduced populations, the founding population size, the bottleneck duration and the admixture 239 rate if multiple sources were detected. To reduce computational effort, model selection and parameter estimation were performed using the recently developed random forests (RF) machine 240 241 learning method (ABC-RF) available in the abcrf R package (Pudlo et al. 2015, Raynal et al. 242 2018). This method requires a reduced number of simulated datasets while still providing robust 243 posterior estimates. To reduce computational effort, we also only tested scenarios relevant to 244 biological and historical data; for example, we did not consider that the Chilean and Canadian 245 introduced populations could be the source of the French population. A step-by-step approach (9) different steps divided into 4 parts; fully explained in Supplementary Information 1) was used to 246 infer the different episodes of the invasion history of R. flavipes, as this type of approach is 247 commonly performed in ABC studies to distribute the computational effort (Fraimout et al. 2017, 248 249 Javal et al. 2019, Ryan et al. 2019). The introduced populations in Germany, Uruguay and the 250 Bahamas were not used in ABC computations as they were represented by too few individuals. Briefly, the first part estimated whether each introduced population (*i.e.*, France, Canada and 251 252 Chile) arose from independent or bridgehead introduction events (Part A). As this first part indicated that the French population may have played a role in the introductions to Canada and 253 254 Chile, we first sought to decipher the source(s) of the introductions to France alone (Part B). Next, 255 we attempted to identify the sources of the Canadian (Part C) and Chilean (Part D) populations 256 using France as a potential source. For all scenarios tested, introduction events were followed by 257 a decrease in effective population sizes that varied from one to 100 migrants for a duration of zero 258 to 50 years. Divergence time is given in generations, with a generation length of one year. 259 Posterior distributions of preliminary simulated data sets were used to adjust the range of other priors as wide as possible while retaining biological meaning. For each step, 10,000 simulated 260 261 datasets, including all of the summary statistics implemented in DIYABC v.2.1.0 (Cornuet et al. 262 2014), were generated per scenario from 2,000 randomly sampled SNPs. Priors were set uniform for all model parameters and selected based on historical records. Simulated datasets were first 263

generated by DIYABC, and later exported for model selection and parameter estimation in ABCRF. The different scenarios tested within each step are provided in the Supplementary
Information.

267 3 | **RESULTS**

13

The 257 R. flavipes samples yielded an average of 7.0 million paired reads per individual (range: 268 269 0.03 – 23.5). Twenty-eight individuals were removed due to a significant amount of missing data 270 $(\geq 60\%)$ or low coverage ($\leq 9.5x$). After filtering, the final dataset contained 229 individuals of R. 271 flavipes from 29 populations and included 51,116 SNPs, with an average coverage of 27x and 32% missing data. Weak inbreeding was found within *R. flavipes* populations ($F_{IS} \pm SE = -0.053$) 272 \pm 0.031). Consequently, values of observed heterozygosity (Ho \pm SE = 0.196 \pm 0.031) were higher 273 274 than values of expected heterozygosity (He \pm SE = 0.135 \pm 0.020; values for all populations are 275 provided in Table S3).

276

277 **3.1 | Population structure**

Strong genetic structure was uncovered among the *R. flavipes* individuals from fastSTRUCTURE, 278 with K = 4 best explaining the structure in the data (Figure 1). At this value of K, more than half of 279 280 the individuals in the dataset (57.2%) were clearly assigned to one of the four clusters (assignment 281 probability higher than 99%; 73.3% of individuals were assigned to a unique cluster probability higher than 80%). However, the strong genetic structure uncovered among individuals in the 282 native range was inconsistent with their geographic origin, as neighboring samples often exhibited 283 284 completely different assignment profiles (Figure 1). This pattern was also found when populations 285 from the native range were analyzed separately (Figure S1). In the French introduced range, most samples could be assigned to the same cluster, although some samples from the Paris region 286 287 had a mixed assignment; a similar mixed assignment was found for the lone German sample. A 288 comparable pattern was observed in the Chilean introduced range, with most samples displaying 289 fixed assignments and only a few with mixed assignments. Although a single genetic group was mostly found within each introduced population (France, Chile and Canada), the three introduced 290

291 populations were separately assigned to three different genetic groups and did not segregate into a single 'introduced' cluster; a finding also uncovered at lower values of K (Figure S2). Because 292 the genetic clustering of the native range did not consistently align with geographic origin, inferring 293 294 a source population for each introduced population becomes difficult. For example, most samples 295 from Chile were assigned to the same cluster as samples from New York, Wisconsin and Texas. 296 Similarly, although the introduced population in France shared its strongest tie to the native range 297 with Arkansas, France also had ties with Louisiana, Missouri and even one sample in South 298 Carolina. The origin of the samples in Canada was even more complicated, as the genetic cluster present in this population was spread across most native localities. Similar findings were 299 300 uncovered for different values of K (Figure S2).

301 Similar results to that of fastSTRUCTURE were uncovered using the PCA and DAPC 302 approach (Figure 2). The PCA indicated strong differentiation across the R. flavipes samples, as 303 they broadly segregated along the two axes. For most localities, genetic clustering was not associated with geography, as samples from a given locality did not always cluster together. 304 305 Likewise, low genetic similarity was observed between geographically neighboring localities. Interestingly, such a pattern was also found to a lesser extent in the introduced populations of 306 307 France and Chile (only a single sample was available from Germany and Uruguay, and just two from the Bahamas). In France, most of the samples segregated together, except for six individuals 308 from the Paris region, which clustered separately from the rest of the main population and had 309 310 mixed assignments. A similar pattern was observed for the samples from Chile, with three 311 samples clustering apart from the main Chilean population. Interestingly, fastSTRUCTURE found the occurrence of two and three genetic clusters in the Chilean and French populations 312 respectively, when those populations were analyzed separately (Figure S3). The find.clusters 313 algorithm found the best support for four genetic clusters in the dataset (Figure 2). Notably, the 314 315 introduced localities of R. flavipes did not cluster together; instead, the different introduced

populations were spread across the four different DAPC clusters, with some even split between
two clusters (Chile and France). Remarkably, a similar pattern was observed from localities within
the native range, with samples from a given locality clustering into two (*e.g.*, Texas, Mississippi,
Wisconsin) or even three (Louisiana) distinct DAPC clusters.

320 The co-ancestry matrix highlighted similar patterns when clustering individuals based on 321 their level of relatedness (Figure 3). Using fineRADstructure, all samples from a given locality were no more related to one another than they were to samples from another locality (Figure 3). 322 323 This result is indicative of a weak geographic structure in the native range, as most localities were disjunct in the co-ancestry matrix. Notably, the same pattern was observed for the introduced 324 populations, with clustering observed in two (Canada) or three (France and Chile) distinct co-325 326 ancestry groups. Accordingly, although significant, the mean genetic differentiation between 327 populations was rather low (mean $F_{ST} \pm SE = 0.091 \pm 0.054$; pairwise F_{ST} values between each 328 pair of populations is provided in Figure S4).

329

330 3.2 | Phylogenetic relationship

331 The ML phylogeny was constructed on 29.875 SNPs after filtering out invariant sites, using 650 bootstrap replicates, as suggested by the MRE-based bootstopping-criterion. Overall, the tree 332 was consistent with results from the clustering analyses, despite weak bootstrap support 333 334 throughout the topology (Figure 4). Interestingly, the entire introduced range did not fall out as a single clade; instead, introduced populations arose throughout different branches of the tree. 335 Furthermore, all invasive populations fall out as at least two (Canada and Bahamas) or more 336 337 different clades (France and Chile). This result also suggests that different introduced populations 338 arose from separate introduction events out of the native range, and that there were several introduction events in most invasive populations (similar findings were found when Bayesianinferences were used to build the tree; Figure S5).

341

342 3.3 | Invasion history

Part A of the ABC analysis found that introduced populations in Canada and Chile most likely originated, at least partially, from bridgehead introductions from the previously introduced population in France (Figure 5), rather than directly from the native range (Supplementary Information 1). The RF votes were mostly split between three scenarios describing a bridgehead introduction from France to either Canada (220 RF votes), Chile then Canada (221 RF votes) or both countries (215 RF votes).

349 When analyzing the introduced French population alone in part B, the first step found that this introduced population could not be unambiguously assigned to a single origin, as all three 350 351 regions of the native range received a substantial amount of support (Louisiana/Mississippi: 417 352 RF votes, East: 414 RF votes and Central: 169 RF votes). The 'least bad' single introduction event 353 scenario (151 RF votes) was outvoted when compared against a two-population admixture scenario (319 RF votes, second step); and this two-population admixture scenario (271 RF votes) 354 was itself outvoted by scenarios simulating the contemporary French population arising through 355 admixture of all three native regions (394 RF votes, third step). When groups of scenarios were 356 357 compared, the group of scenarios with admixture outvoted the group without admixture in the second step (660 against 340 RF votes); and the group of scenarios with three-population 358 admixture outvoted the group with two-population admixture in the third step (612 against 388 RF 359 360 votes). The fourth step of part B (further dividing the native range) found that Massachusetts, 361 Maryland and New York (222 RF votes) obtained the highest support as the origin for the French population. However, several other source populations obtained a significant number of RF votes, 362

363 casting doubt on the ability to undeniably assign the introduced population of France to a unique 364 source. This ambiguity is further emphasized when the scenarios were divided into groups, as both the Southeastern region (504 RF votes) and the rest of the native range (496 RF votes) 365 obtained an almost identical number of RF votes. Overall, these findings suggest the occurrence 366 367 of multiple introduction events out of the native range. However, at both large (step1) and finer scales (step4), no scenario received a majority vote, preventing a definitive determination of the 368 369 source for the introduced population in France and calling for caution in the appraisal of the estimated parameters. 370

371 Part C aimed at analyzing the origins of the Canadian introduced population, using the French introduced population as a potential source. ABC-RF analyses revealed that the most 372 probable scenario for the origin of the Canadian population was an introduction from a French 373 374 bridgehead and its admixture with a separate introduction event from the native range (405 RF 375 votes), rather than originating entirely from the native range (227 RF votes) or a French bridgehead (368 RF votes). The presence of a French bridgehead is also supported, as the group 376 377 of scenarios including a bridgehead event (623 RF votes) outvoted the group without a bridgehead event (377 RF votes). When the native range was further divided, ABC-RF analyses 378 379 also failed to confidently link the origin of the Canadian introduced population to a unique 380 geographic region, as several source populations obtained a significant number of RF votes. This doubt is also emphasized when groups of scenarios were compared, as both the Southeastern 381 382 region (520 RF votes) and the rest of the native range (480 RF votes) obtained a similar number 383 of RF votes.

A similar invasion history was identified for Chile in part D, as a bridgehead from France combined with an additional introduction event from the native range was found most likely (506 RF votes), rather than entirely from the native range (339 RF votes) or a French bridgehead (155 RF votes). Similar to the origin of the introduced populations of France and Canada, ABC-RF did 388 not confidently infer the source of the Chilean population when the native range was divided. 389 Several source populations obtained a similar number of RF votes when each scenario was analyzed separately, and the Southeastern group of scenarios (539 RF votes) obtained a similar 390 391 number of RF votes to the group that included the rest of the native range (461 RF votes). Overall, 392 the ABC results cast doubt on the ability to connect each introduced population to one or a few 393 specific source populations, as most simulated scenarios poorly fit the observed dataset with no 394 scenario receiving a clear majority of the votes. This finding is also suggested by the divergence between the simulated and observed datasets present in the LDA graphs, potentially highlighting 395 that more sophisticated scenarios are needed to better explain the data. Although all of the 396 posterior probabilities and posterior parameter estimates are provided in the Supplementary 397 Information for the 'least bad' scenario in each step, we call for caution in interpreting those values 398 399 given the ambiguous results obtained in most steps.

400 4 | DISCUSSION

401 Our study provides insights into the invasion history of the termite Reticulitermes flavipes, highlighting frequent and recent human-mediated jump dispersal in both the native and introduced 402 403 range of this species. We first revealed strong genetic structure among individuals within the 404 native range of this species with individuals grouping into four distinct clusters. Yet, these clusters 405 were not strictly associated with geography, as highly different individuals were found in the same 406 locality and highly similar ones in localities separated by several thousand kilometers. This finding 407 indicates extensive movement of colonies throughout the native range, likely through human 408 transportation of wood. We also highlight a complex invasion history with multiple introduction events out of the native range and bridgehead spread from the introduced population in France. 409 410 The apparent genetic shuffling within the native range limits our ability to assign an exact source 411 population(s) for the different introduced ranges. However, similar to the effect of multiple 412 introductions into the invasive range, admixture in the native range prior to invasion can potentially favor invasion success by increasing the genetic diversity later conveyed to the introduced 413 414 ranges.

Our findings revealed the occurrence of multiple introductions from different native 415 416 localities serving as sources for the invasive ranges of France, Chile and Canada. Additionally, 417 Canada and Chile received secondary invasions from the introduced population in France, which acted as a bridgehead. Some previous results indicated that there may have been several 418 419 introductions into France (Perdereau et al. 2019). Reticulitermes flavipes was first reported in 420 Europe (Austria) in 1837 and was first reported in France as R. santonensis in 1924 (Feytaud 421 1924), where it was widespread and therefore probably introduced much earlier (Bagnères et al. 422 1990). Despite being unable to definitively link its source population(s) to the New Orleans region as previously suggested (Perdereau et al. 2013, 2015), our data, based on a larger sample size 423 424 and more informative markers, do not rule out this possibility. Our data instead suggest that 425 individuals genetically similar to this invasive population were found across the entire native 426 range, from Louisiana to Maryland. However, it is possible that the French population originated from colonies originally from the New Orleans region that had been transported elsewhere within 427 428 the native range, such as South Carolina or Arkansas. Such long-distance jump dispersal within 429 the native range can therefore hamper the clear identification of the source population(s). 430 Likewise, although our results suggest that the Canadian and Chilean introduced populations 431 originated from admixture between the introduced population of France and native localities in the northern range of R. flavipes, these results suffer from low confidence, potentially due to 432 genetic mixing between native localities. Although the French connections with Louisiana and 433 eastern Canada are well-established, France also has historical ties with Chile. Notably, most of 434 the human immigrants to Chile between the 18th and 20th centuries come from the Basque region 435 436 of Southern France (Fernandez-Domingo 2006), where R. flavipes occurs. During the 18th 437 century, Chile experienced massive immigration from this region, reaching 27% of the total Chilean colonial population. Overall, these findings indicate that jump dispersal may not be 438 restricted to a single region within the native range of this species. Instead, such dispersal appears 439 common in R. flavipes in both its native and invasive ranges, suggesting that this species 440 441 possesses traits that promote its spread and have contributed to its global distribution.

The genetic patterns observed in the native range of *R. flavipes* may be explained by 442 numerous and recent jump dispersal events across the native range, likely mediated via human 443 444 trade and transportation. This finding exemplifies species spread by stratified dispersal, whereby 445 individuals disperse at different spatial scales, from local to long-distance movement (Shigesada et al. 1995). Local scale dispersal relies on the biological dispersal ability of the species, ranging 446 from short-range (i.e., budding) to moderate dispersal (i.e., nuptial flights). In contrast, long-447 distance dispersal is often human-mediated and therefore considered stochastic and difficult to 448 449 identify. Notably, our study revealed both genetically distinct individuals inhabiting the same

450 locality and genetically similar individuals separated by several thousand kilometers. The 451 geographic distance separating highly similar individuals far exceeds the biological dispersal ability of this species, which suggests that these individuals were artificially transported to a 452 453 different locality. Additionally, the finding of genetically distinct individuals within the same or 454 adjacent localities indicates a low level of mixing between those individuals. This may stem from 455 reduced local dispersal, whereby transported individuals inbreed and do not disperse far from 456 their landing point. A high proportion of new reproductives of R. flavipes do in fact couple with their nestmates during mating flights (25%); however, the proportion of inbred founders is 457 significantly reduced among established colonies (DeHeer and Vargo 2006). Therefore, this 458 459 inbreeding depression may select against the interbreeding of artificially transported colonies. Also, *R. flavipes* usually disperses through nuptial flights, which should enhance gene flow over 460 461 large scales (Vargo 2003). Consequently, a scenario where transported individuals interbreed 462 and do not disperse far from their landing point may not alone explain the pattern observed in this study. The finding of highly genetically different individuals within the same locality therefore 463 464 suggests that some of the long-distance jump dispersal events are probably too recent to allow transported individuals to admix with local colonies and homogenize the gene pool within 465 466 populations.

The global spread of invasive species is strongly influenced by long-distance jump 467 dispersal events, even once established within an introduced range (Suarez et al. 2001). Jump 468 469 dispersal events are more effective, and often required, for species to rapidly reach a widespread 470 distribution (Gippet et al. 2019, Bertelsmeier 2021). For example, the worldwide distribution of the Argentine ant has been shown to primarily stem from human-mediated jump dispersal, rather than 471 from its classical spread through colony budding, as the latter would have to be three orders of 472 magnitude higher to explain its actual distribution (Suarez et al. 2001). This finding is also typified 473 474 in the global distribution of the red imported fire ant Solenopsis invicta, which utilized long-range

475 jump dispersal to first invade the southeastern USA, and subsequently Asia and Australia from this USA bridgehead (Ascunce et al. 2011). In general, human-mediated jump dispersal appears 476 common in eusocial invaders with a global distribution, like ants (Bertelsmeier et al. 2017, 2018) 477 478 and termites (Buczkowski and Bertelsmeier 2017, Blumenfeld and Vargo 2020). These multiple 479 long-distance movements are also observed among regions within invasive ranges, across a wide variety of taxa, such as the aforementioned S. invicta throughout the southern USA (Lofgren 480 481 1986) and China (Ascunce et al. 2011), the western mosquitofish Gambusia affinis in New Zealand (Purcell and Stockwell 2015), and plants in China (Horvitz et al. 2017). Many studies 482 have demonstrated the role of human-mediated jump dispersal in shaping invasive distributions 483 and genetic diversity. However, it often remains unclear whether long-distance dispersal pre-484 exists in the native range of invasive species, and whether it plays a role in determining the pattern 485 486 of genetic diversity observed at the global scale of these species.

487 Native ranges of many invasive species often remain geographically structured (Voisin et al. 2005, Beck et al. 2008, Leinonen et al. 2008, Verhoeven et al. 2011). For example, native 488 489 populations of S. invicta are strongly geographically differentiated (Ross et al. 2007). Though rare long-distance dispersal of S. invicta has been reported (Ahrens et al. 2005), these events 490 491 occurred far in the past and have been attributed to strong winds during nuptial flights or the 492 rafting of entire colonies during flooding events (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990), rather than from human-mediated transport (Ahrens et al. 2005). Native populations of another termite invader 493 494 Coptotermes formosanus in China are highly structured, with distinct native populations 495 representing different genetic clusters (Blumenfeld et al. 2021). This structuring suggests reduced gene flow across populations, and therefore a limited number of human-mediated dispersal 496 events within the native range of this species. Our results stand in sharp contrast with the strong 497 population structure commonly uncovered within the native ranges of invasive species, as 498 499 frequent jump dispersal appears to have occurred in the native range of R. flavipes.

500 Understanding the factors driving the differences between *C. formosanus* and *R. flavipes* may 501 shed light on key evolutionary mechanisms underlying their invasion success. Furthermore, while 502 most studies focus on unraveling invasion pathways out of a native range, our results stress the 503 need to consider evolutionary processes and human-mediated dispersal that may already be 504 present within the native range of an invasive species, as these can affect the level and 505 distribution of genetic diversity in both the native and invasive ranges.

Extensive human-mediated jump dispersal has been reported in the native range of a few 506 507 species. For example, in the invasive tree Acacia pycnantha, extensive transport and replanting throughout its native Australian range prior to its introduction to South Africa resulted in highly 508 admixed genotypes already present in the native range. This feature has consequently prevented 509 510 an accurate identification of the native source population(s), as highly admixed genotypes and 511 comparable genetic diversity were present in both ranges of the species (Le Roux et al. 2013). A 512 similar pattern has been found in the North American rangeland weed, Centaurea diffusa, where 513 an extremely low level of population structure in the native range hindered the assignment of its 514 introduced population to its likely native source location (Marrs et al. 2008). However, the genetic patterns observed in the Acacia and Centaurea plants are slightly different than the one observed 515 516 in *R. flavipes*, as the inability to pinpoint the origins of invasive populations of these plants stems from the near-panmixia found across the native range (Marrs et al. 2008, Le Roux et al. 2013). 517 Therefore, the patterns in these other species most likely stem from an ancient and continuous 518 519 genetic shuffling throughout the native range. In contrast, the lack of geographic structure despite 520 highly genetically different individuals indicates recent and stochastic long-distance dispersal in 521 R. flavipes. Consequently, the genetic structure of R. flavipes may have been different (with less admixture) in both the native and invasive range(s) a few centuries ago, at the beginning of the 522 French, Canadian and Chilean invasions. The complex genetic structure currently observed, 523

together with multiple introduction events, makes it difficult to accurately reconstruct the invasionhistory of this species.

The invasion success of termites is tightly linked with their ability to eat wood, nest in wood 526 527 and cultivated plants, and readily generate secondary reproductives, as all 28 species of invasive 528 termites share these three traits (Evans et al. 2013, Ever and Vargo 2021). These traits may 529 enhance the frequency of human-mediated dispersal because any piece of wood serving as a nest or foraging site has the potential to become a viable propagule (Evans et al. 2010, Evans et 530 531 al. 2013). However, these traits are common in lower termites like R. flavipes and C. formosanus, therefore their occurrence in both species cannot explain why R. flavipes has experienced a 532 greater frequency of long-distance dispersal than C. formosanus. In R. flavipes, repeated human-533 mediated dispersal could reflect a higher degree of propagule pressure from different USA 534 535 regions, representing multiple hubs of intense human activity and timber production. Forests and 536 timber production are unequally distributed across the eastern USA (Brown et al. 1999, Howard and Liang 2019), and may therefore require significant wood transportation throughout this part 537 538 of the country from high to low timber-producing regions. Similarly, the frequency of humanmediated dispersal may reflect the connectivity between native regions. In the introduced 539 540 population of *R. flavipes* in France, the distribution of genetic diversity is associated with the construction of the railway network and stations, highlighting its possible role in spreading termites 541 over long distances (Andrieu et al. 2017, Suppo et al. 2018, Perdereau et al. 2019). In the USA, 542 about 14,000km of track were active by 1850, mainly in the eastern USA (141,000km in 1880 and 543 544 over 400,000km in 1916) (United States Census Bureau 1890, Chandler 1965). In contrast, the first 10km railway was built in China in 1881, but less than 13,000km were in use by 1948 for the 545 whole country. This difference in connectivity may explain the numerous long-distance dispersal 546 events in the native range of R. flavipes and their absence in the Chinese native range of C. 547 548 formosanus. Interestingly, the USA railroad network has been suggested to represent a major

549 dispersal mode for the invasive population of C. formosanus (Austin et al. 2008). Overall, many 550 invasive social insect species originate from South America or East Asia (Tsutsui et al. 2000, 551 Heller 2004, Ross et al. 2007, Ever et al. 2018a, Ever et al. 2018b, Ever et al. 2020). The population structure observed in most native populations of invasive termites may simply reflect 552 553 the reduced connectivity between native regions in these areas, potentially resulting from a lack 554 of internal trade among regions or difficulty in reaching isolated geographic areas. Our findings in R. flavipes indicate that frequent long-distance dispersal may already be present within the native 555 556 ranges of some invasive species, especially those originating from regions with a long history of 557 dense transport networks.

Native populations of many invasive species often remain geographically isolated and 558 559 locally adapted (Verhoeven et al. 2011). In the introduced range, a temporary loss of local 560 adaptation through admixture has been suggested to alter the fitness consequences of admixture 561 in recent invaders (Verhoeven et al. 2011). In our study, the levels of admixture observed in the introduced populations of France and Chile may be explained by numerous introductions from 562 563 distinct source populations and their interbreeding within the invasive range. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that populations were already admixed before propagules were transported 564 565 worldwide. Similarly, it is possible that admixed introduced populations re-invaded the native range of *R. flavipes*. In the native range of species, long-distance dispersal enhances gene flow 566 between distant populations that are otherwise isolated. Similar to post-introduction increases of 567 568 genetic diversity through multiple introduction events (Kolbe et al. 2004, Stenoien et al. 2005, 569 García et al. 2017), admixture between native populations prior to an introduction event may enhance the amount of genetic diversity brought to the invasive range. Admixture may improve 570 invasion success through recombination of distinct genotypes, potentially creating novel 571 combinations of traits, and/or increasing the level of genetic diversity upon which natural selection 572 573 can act. Pre- or post-introduction admixture may also relax the inbreeding load by reducing the

expression of recessive deleterious alleles or lead to heterosis effects, potentially improving the
establishment and early success of invasive species (Ellstrand and Schierenbeck 2000, Drake
2006, Keller and Taylor 2008, Hahn and Rieseberg 2016). Overall, increased genetic diversity via
admixture may favor subsequent introductions given the novel selection pressures invasive
species face in their new environments (Verhoeven et al. 2011).

579

580 Conclusion

In this study, we infer the occurrence of long-distance jump dispersal in the native range of the 581 termite R. flavipes. This long-distance dispersal may facilitate admixture between populations that 582 583 are otherwise isolated. Admixture in native populations prior to introduction may favor invasion success by increasing the amount of genetic diversity brought to the introduced range, achieving 584 an effect similar to that produced by multiple introductions from the native range. However, pre-585 586 introduction admixture may not be as common as multiple introduction scenarios (*i.e.*, postintroduction admixture), because the benefits of admixture in the novel environment of the 587 invasive range are probably higher, and the costs smaller (Rius and Darling 2014). As native 588 populations are locally adapted, long-distance dispersal and admixture may disturb this local 589 590 adaptation, thereby reducing population fitness (Verhoeven et al. 2011, Palacio-Lopez et al. 591 2017). In contrast, populations in invaded ranges are generally too recent to be locally adapted (but see Batz et al. 2020). This lack of local adaptation may release introduced populations from 592 593 maintaining specific locale-selected allelic combinations, and thereby fully benefit from admixture in early stages of the invasion. The relative roles of pre-and post-introduction admixture in 594 595 biological invasions should be fertile ground for future studies.

596 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We wish to thank Renato Ripa (Instituto de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Chile), Ariel Camousseight (National History Museum of Science from Santiago, Chile), James Austin (BASF, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA), Uwe Noldt (Germany) and Guillaume Baudouin (Paris City Hall, France) for giving us samples. This work was supported by USDA-NRICGP grants to ELV (00-35302-9377 and 2002-35352-12490), the Urban Entomology Endowment at Texas A&M University and CNRS grants and contracts to AGB.

603

604 DATA ACCESSIBILITY

The data reported in this study will be deposited in the Open Science Framework database upon acceptance, <u>https://osf.io</u>. <u>https://osf.io</u> (<u>https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/R537U</u>). Raw sequence files are deposited at the National Center for Biotechnology Information under BioProject accession number PRJNA667438.

609

610 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ELV and AGB designed the study. EP, SD, FD, AGB and ELV collected the data. LNLJ performed
the molecular analyses. AJB, PAE, LNLJ and PTS analyzed the data. PAE and AJB wrote the
paper with contributions of ELV.

614

615 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

- 616 Additional material may be found in the online version of this article.
- 617 **Table S1:** Sampling information for every individual used in this study.

618

619

620

621

diversity for each population.

622	diversity for each population.
623	Figure S1: fastSTRUCTURE assignment for individuals from native populations of <i>R. flavipes</i> for
624	K ranging from 2 to 4.
625	Figure S2: fastSTRUCTURE assignment for each individual of <i>R. flavipes</i> for K ranging from 2 to
626	5.
627	Figure S3: fastSTRUCTURE assignment for individuals from invasive populations of France and
628	Chile.
629	Figure S4: Pairwise FST matrix between each pair of populations of <i>R. flavipes</i> .
630	Figure S5: Bayesian inferences tree of Reticulitermes flavipes. Native populations are highlighted
631	in grey; introduced populations are highlighted in reddish colors.
632	
633	
634	Supplementary Information 1: A detailed description of the step-by-step ABC RF analysis is
635	covered, including: 1) priors used for the analyses, 2) graphical representation and random forest
636	votes for each scenario within each step, 3) an overall PCA of the simulated datasets for every
637	scenario for each step and 4) parameter estimates for the final invasion model.
638	

Table S2: Number of samples, observed and expected heterozygosity, FIS and nucleotide

Table S3: Number of samples, observed and expected heterozygosity, FIS and nucleotide

639 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Sampling map and fastSTRUCTURE assignment for each individual of *R. flavipes* for K = 4. Each vertical bar represents an individual and each color represents a distinct genetic cluster. Individual fastSTRUCTURE assignments are geographically located in the native and introduced ranges of *R. flavipes*.

Figure 2: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of *Reticulitermes flavipes* individuals. Each circle
 represents an individual. Each individual is colored according to its population of origin; introduced
 populations are depicted in reddish colors, native populations are colored in grey. Individuals are
 grouped according the Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) with best support
 for K = 4 genetic clusters.

Figure 3: Co-ancestry matrix between each pair of individuals inferred using fineRADstructure. Each pixel represents a pair of individuals. Co-ancestry coefficients between two individuals are designated on a color spectrum. Low values are shown in yellow; higher values are shown in darker colors.

Figure 4: Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of *Reticulitermes flavipes* individuals from RAxML. Individuals are colored according to their fastSTRUCTURE assignments (K = 4). Samples from the introduced ranges are highlighted with an emphasized tip. The phylogenetic tree is rooted with 16 *R. virginicus* samples.

Figure 5: Graphical representation of the invasion pathway of *Reticulitermes flavipes* out of the eastern USA inferred through ABC RF in France, Canada and Chile. The estimated time of introduction and rate of admixture is provided for each introduction event. The large 95%CI however calls for caution in interpreting those values. All scenarios tested and results for each ABC step, as well as all of the posterior parameter estimates, are provided in the Supplementary Information.

663 **REFERENCES**

- Acevedo-Limón, L., F. J. Oficialdegui, M. I. Sánchez, and M. Clavero. 2020. Historical, human,
 and environmental drivers of genetic diversity in the red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) invading the Iberian Peninsula. Freshwater Biology **65**:1460-1474.
- Aguero, C. M, P. -A. Eyer, and E. L. Vargo. 2020. Increased genetic diversity from colony merging
 in termites does not improve survival against a fungal pathogen. Scientific Reports
 10:4212.
- Aguero, C. M., P.-A. Eyer, J. S. Martin, M. S. Bulmer, E. L. Vargo. 2021. Natural variation in colony inbreeding does not influence susceptibility to a fungal pathogen in a termite.
 Ecology and Evolution 11:3072–3083.
- Ahrens, M. E., K. G. Ross, and D. D. Shoemaker. 2005. Phylogeographic structure of the fire ant
 Solenopsis Invicta in its native South American range: roles of natural barriers and habitat
 connectivity. Evolution **59**:1733-1743.
- Andrews, S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Babraham Bioinformatics, Babraham Institute, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Andrieu, D., E. Perdereau, C. Robinet, C. Suppo, S. Dupont, and A.-G. Bagnères. 2017.
 Géographie des termites souterrains en région Centre-Val de Loire: Les risques apportés par une espèce invasive. Cybergeo: European Journal of Geography, Environnement, Nature, Paysage 852:0-1.
- Ascunce, M. S., C.-C. Yang, J. Oakey, L. Calcaterra, W.-J. Wu, C.-J. Shih, J. Goudet, K. G. Ross,
 and D. Shoemaker. 2011. Global invasion history of the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta*.
 Science 331:1066-1068.
- Austin, J. W., G. J. Glenn, and R. E. Gold. 2008. Protecting urban infrastructure from Formosan termite (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) attack: a case study for United States railroads.
 Sociobiology 51:231-247.
- Austin, J. W., A. L. Szalanski, R. H. Scheffrahn, and M. T. Messenger. 2005. Genetic variation of
 Reticulitermes flavipes (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) in North America applying the
 mitochondrial rRNA 16S gene. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 98:980 988.
- Austin, J. W., A. L. Szalanski, T. G. Myles, P. A. Borges, L. Nunes, and R. H. Scheffrahn 2012.
 First Record of *Reticulitermes flavipes* (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) from Terceira Island
 (Azores, Portugal), Florida Entomologist **95**(1), 196-198.
- Bagnères, A.-G., J.-L. Clément, M. S. Blum, R. F. Severson, C. Joulie, and C. Lange. 1990.
 Cuticular hydrocarbons and defensive compounds of *Reticulitermes flavipes* (Kollar) and
 R. santonensis (Feytaud): polymorphism and chemotaxonomy. Journal of Chemical
 Ecology 16:3213-3244.
- Barker, B. S., K. Andonian, S.M. Swope, D.G. Luster, K.M. Dlugosch. 2017. Population genomic
 analyses reveal a history of range expansion and trait evolution across the native and
 invaded range of yellow starthistle (*Centaurea solstitialis*). Molecular Ecology 26:1131 1147.
- Bates, O. K., S. Ollier, C. Bertelsmeier. 2020. Smaller climatic niche shifts in invasive than non invasive alien ant species. Nature Communication **11**:5213.
- Batz, Z. A., A. J. Clemento, J. Fitzenwanker, T. J. Ring, J. C. Garza, P. A. Armbruster. 2020.
 Rapid adaptive evolution of the diapause program during range expansion of an invasive mosquito. Evolution 74:1451-1465.
- Beck, J. B., H. Schmuths, B. A. Schaal. 2008. Native range genetic variation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is strongly geographically structured and reflects Pleistocene glacial dynamics. Molecular Ecology **17**:902-915.

- Benestan, L. M., A.-L. Ferchaud, P. A. Hohenlohe, B. A. Garner, G. J. P. Naylor, I. B. Baums, M.
 K. Schwartz, J. L. Kelley, and G. Luikart. 2016. Conservation genomics of natural and managed populations: building a conceptual and practical framework. Molecular Ecology 25:2967-2977.
- Bertelsmeier, C., S. Ollier, A. Liebhold, L. Keller. 2017. Recent human history governs global ant
 invasion dynamics. Nature Ecology and Evolution 1, 0184.
- Bertelsmeier, C., and L. Keller. 2018. Bridgehead effects and role of adaptive evolution in invasive
 populations. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 33:527-534.
- Bertelsmeier, C., S. Ollier, A. M. Liebhold, E. G. Brockerhoff, D. Ward, and L. Keller. 2018.
 Recurrent bridgehead effects accelerate global alien ant spread. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 115:5486.
- Bertelsmeier, C. 2021. Globalization and the anthropogenic spread of invasive social insects.
 Current Opinion in Insect Science 46:16-23.
- Blumenfeld, A. J., and E. L. Vargo. 2020. Geography, opportunity and bridgeheads facilitate termite invasions to the United States. Biological Invasions:3269-3282.
- Blumenfeld, A.J., PA. Eyer, C. Husseneder *et al.* Bridgehead effect and multiple introductions shape the global invasion history of a termite. Communication Biology **4**:196.
- van Boheemen, L. A., K. A. Hodgins. 2020. Rapid repeatable phenotypic and genomic adaptation
 following multiple introductions. Molecular Ecology 29:4102–4117.
- Brown, S. L., P. Schroeder, and J. S. Kern. 1999. Spatial distribution of biomass in forests of the
 eastern USA. Forest Ecology and Management **123**:81-90.
- Buczkowski, G., and C. Bertelsmeier. 2017. Invasive termites in a changing climate: A global perspective. Ecology and Evolution **7**:974-985.
- Capinha, C., F. Essl, H. Seebens, D. Moser, and H. M. Pereira. 2015. The dispersal of alien
 species redefines biogeography in the Anthropocene. Science **348**:1248.
- Chandler, A. D. J. 1965. The Railroads: Pioneers in Modern Corporate Management. Business
 History Review **39**:16-40.
- 738 Chhatre, V. E. 2019. Distruct v2.3, a modified cluster membership plotting script.
- Clément, J.-L., A.-G. Bagnères, P. Uva, L. Wilfert, A. Quintana, J. Reinhardt, and S. Dronnet.
 2001. Biosystematics of *Reticulitermes* termites in Europe: morphological, chemical and
 molecular data. Insectes Sociaux **408**:202-215.
- Cornuet, J.-M., P. Pudlo, J. Veyssier, A. Dehne-Garcia, M. Gautier, R. Leblois, J.-M. Marin, and
 A. Estoup. 2014. DIYABC v2.0: a software to make approximate Bayesian computation
 inferences about population history using single nucleotide polymorphism, DNA sequence
 and microsatellite data. Bioinformatics **30**:1187-1189.
- Cristescu, M.E. 2015. Genetic reconstructions of invasion history. Molecular Ecology 24: 2212 2225.
- Danecek, P., A. Auton, G. Abecasis, C. A. Albers, E. Banks, M. A. DePristo, R. E. Handsaker, G.
 Lunter, G. T. Marth, S. T. Sherry, G. McVean, R. Durbin, and G. Genomes Project
 Analysis. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics 27:2156-2158.
- DeHeer, C. J., and E. L. Vargo. 2006. An indirect test of inbreeding depression in the termites
 Reticulitermes flavipes and *Reticulitermes virginicus*. Behavioral Ecology and
 Sociobiology 59:753-761.
- Dlugosch, K. M., and I. M. Parker. 2008. Founding events in species invasions: genetic variation,
 adaptive evolution, and the role of multiple introductions. Molecular Ecology 17:431-449.
- Dlugosch, K. M., F. A. Cang, B. S. Barker, K. Andonian, S. M. Swope, L. H. Rieseberg. 2015.
 Evolution of invasiveness through increased resource use in a vacant niche. Nature plants
 1:15066.
- Drake, J. M. 2006. Heterosis, the catapult effect and establishment success of a colonizing bird.
 Biology Letters 2:304-307.

- Dronnet, S., M. Chapuisat, E. L. Vargo, C. Lohou, and A.-G. Bagnères. 2005. Genetic analysis of
 the breeding system of an invasive subterranean termite, *Reticulitermes santonensis*, in
 urban and natural habitats. Molecular Ecology **14**:1311-1320.
- Ellstrand, N. C., and K. A. Schierenbeck. 2000. Hybridization as a stimulus for the evolution of
 invasiveness in plants? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 97:7043 766 7050.
- Evans, T. A., B. T. Forschler, and J. K. Grace. 2013. Biology of invasive termites: a worldwide
 review. Annual Review of Entomology 58:455-474.
- Evans, T. A., R. Inta, and J. C. S. Lai. 2010. Foraging choice and replacement reproductives
 facilitate invasiveness in drywood termites. Biological Invasions 13:1579-1587.
- Eyer, P.-A., K. Matsuura, E. L. Vargo, K. Kobayashi, T. Yashiro, W. Suehiro, C. Himuro, T. Yokoi,
 B. Guénard, R. R. Dunn, and K. Tsuji. 2018a. Inbreeding tolerance as a pre-adapted trait
 for invasion success in the invasive ant *Brachyponera chinensis*. Molecular Ecology
 27:4711-4724.
- Eyer, P.-A., B. McDowell, L. N. L. Johnson, L. A. Calcaterra, M. B. Fernandez, D. Shoemaker, R.
 T. Puckett, and E. L. Vargo. 2018b. Supercolonial structure of invasive populations of the
 tawny crazy ant *Nylanderia fulva* in the US. BMC Evolutionary Biology **18**:209.
- Eyer, P. A., E. M. Espinoza, A. J. Blumenfeld, and E. L. Vargo. 2020. The underdog invader:
 Breeding system and colony genetic structure of the dark rover ant (*Brachymyrmex patagonicus* Mayr). Ecology and Evolution **10**:493-505.
- Eyer, P. A. and E. L. Vargo. 2021. Breeding structure and invasiveness in social insects. Current
 Opinion in Insect Science 46:24-30.
- Facon, B., B. J. Genton, J. Shykoff, P. Jarne, A. Estoup, and P. David. 2006. A general eco evolutionary framework for understanding bioinvasions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution
 21:130-135.
- Facon, B., J.-P. Pointier, P. Jarne, V. Sarda, and P. David. 2008. High Genetic Variance in Life History strategies within invasive populations by way of multiple introductions. Current
 Biology 18:363-367.
- Fraimout, A., V. Debat, S. Fellous, R. A. Hufbauer, J. Foucaud, P. Pudlo, J.-M. Marin, D. K. Price,
 J. Cattel, X. Chen, M. Deprá, P. François Duyck, C. Guedot, M. Kenis, M. T. Kimura, G.
 Loeb, A. Loiseau, I. Martinez-Sañudo, M. Pascual, M. Polihronakis Richmond, P. Shearer,
 N. Singh, K. Tamura, A. Xuéreb, J. Zhang, and A. Estoup. 2017. Deciphering the routes
 of invasion of *Drosophila suzukii* by means of ABC random forest. Molecular Biology and
 Evolution **34**:980-996.
- García, K., Y. Melero, S. Palazón, J. Gosálbez, and J. Castresana. 2017. Spatial mixing of
 mitochondrial lineages and greater genetic diversity in some invasive populations of the
 American mink (*Neovison vison*) compared to native populations. Biological Invasions
 19:2663-2673.
- Geburzi, J.C., C. Ewers-Saucedo, D. Brandis, D. Gunther. 2020. Complex patterns of secondary
 spread without loss of genetic diversity in invasive populations of the Asian shore crab
 Hemigrapsus takanoi (Decapoda) along European coasts. Marine Biology **167**, 180.
- 602 Ghesini, S., M. T. Messenger, N. Pilon, and M. Marini. 2010. First Report of *Reticulitermes flavipes* 603 (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) in Italy. Florida Entomologist **93**:327-328, 322.
- Gippet, J. M. W., A. M. Liebhold, G. Fenn-Moltu, C. Bertelsmeier. 2019. Human-mediated dispersal in insects. Current Opinion in Insect Science **35**:96-102.
- Hahn, M. A., and L. H. Rieseberg. 2016. Genetic admixture and heterosis may enhance the
 invasiveness of common ragweed. Evolutionary Applications 10:241-250.
- Heller, N. E. 2004. Colony structure in introduced and native populations of the invasive argentine
 ant, *Linepithema humile*. Insectes Sociaux **51**:378-386.

- Hernández-Teixidor, D., D. Suárez, J. García, D. Mora. 2019. First report of the invasive
 Reticulitermes flavipes (Kollar, 1837) (Blattodea, Rhinotermitidae) in the Canary Islands.
 Journal of Applied Entomology **143**:478–482.
- Hirsch, H., D. M. Richardson, A. Pauchard, J J. Le Roux, 2021. Genetic analyses reveal complex
 introduction histories for the invasive tree *Acacia dealbata* Link around the world. Divers
 Distribution 27: 360–376.
- Hölldobler, B., and E. O. Wilson. 1990. The Ants. The Belknap Press of Harvard University,
 Cambridge, Mass.
- Horvitz, N., R. Wang, F.-H. Wan, and R. Nathan. 2017. Pervasive human-mediated large-scale
 invasion: analysis of spread patterns and their underlying mechanisms in 17 of China's
 worst invasive plants. Journal of Ecology **105**:85-94.
- Howard, J. L., and S. Liang. 2019. US timber production, trade, consumption, and price statistics,
 1965-2017.
- Javal, M., E. Lombaert, T. Tsykun, C. Courtin, C. Kerdelhue, S. Prospero, A. Roques, and G.
 Roux. 2019. Deciphering the worldwide invasion of the Asian long-horned beetle: A
 recurrent invasion process from the native area together with a bridgehead effect.
 Molecular Ecology 28:951-967.
- Jombart, T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. Bioinformatics **24**:1403-1405.
- Jombart, T., S. Devillard, and F. Balloux. 2010. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a
 new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. BMC Genetics 11:94.
- Keller, S. R., and D. R. Taylor. 2008. History, chance and adaptation during biological invasion:
 separating stochastic phenotypic evolution from response to selection. Ecology Letters
 11:852-866.
- Kirby, C. S. 1965. The distribution of termites in Ontario after 25 Years. The Canadian Entomologist **97**:310-314.
- Kolar, C. S., and D. M. Lodge. 2001. Progress in invasion biology: predicting invaders. Trends in
 Ecology & Evolution 16:199-204.
- Kolbe, J. J., R. E. Glor, L. Rodríguez Schettino, A. C. Lara, A. Larson, and J. B. Losos. 2004.
 Genetic variation increases during biological invasion by a Cuban lizard. Nature 431:177.
- Kollar, V. 1837. Naturgeschichte des scheadlichen Insekten. Verb Landwirtsch Ges Wien 5:411 413.
- Le Roux, J. J., D. M. Richardson, J. R. U. Wilson, and J. Ndlovu. 2013. Human usage in the native range may determine future genetic structure of an invasion: insights from *Acacia pycnantha*. BMC Ecology **13**:37.
- Leinonen, T., R. B. O'Hara, J. M. Cano, and J. Merila. 2008. Comparative studies of quantitative trait and neutral marker divergence: a meta-analysis. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 21:1-17.
- Li, H., and R. Durbin. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics **25**:1754-1760.
- Lischer, H. E. L., and L. Excoffier. 2011. PGDSpider: an automated data conversion tool for connecting population genetics and genomics programs. Bioinformatics **28**:298-299.
- Lofgren, C. S. 1986. History of imported fire ants in the United States. Pages 36-49 *in* C. S. Lofgren and R. K. Vander Meer, editors. Fire ants and leaf cutting ants: biology and management. Westview Press, Boulder, CO, USA.
- Lombaert, E., T. Guillemaud, J.-M. Cornuet, T. Malausa, B. Facon, and A. Estoup. 2010.
 Bridgehead effect in the worldwide invasion of the biocontrol harlequin ladybird. PLoS
 ONE 5:e9743.
- Malinsky, M., E. Trucchi, D. J. Lawson, and D. Falush. 2018. RADpainter and fineRADstructure: population inference from RADseq data. Molecular Biology and Evolution **35**:1284-1290.

- Marrs, R. A., R. Sforza, and R. A. Hufbauer. 2008. When invasion increases population genetic structure: a study with *Centaurea diffusa*. Biological Invasions **10**:561-572.
- McKern, J. A., A. L. Szalanski, and J. W. Austin. 2006. First record of *Reticulitermes flavipes* and *Reticulitermes hageni* in Oregon (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae). Florida Entomologist 89:541-542, 542.
- Palacio-Lopez, K., S. R. Keller, and J. Molofsky. 2017. Genomic admixture between locally
 adapted populations of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (mouse ear cress): Evidence of Optimal
 Genetic Outcrossing Distance. Journal of Heredity **109**:38-46.
- Pattengale, N. D., M. Alipour, O. R. P. Bininda-Emonds, B. M. E. Moret, and A. Stamatkis. 2010.
 How many bootstrap replicates are necessary? Journal of Computational Biology 17:337-354.
- Perdereau, E., A.-G. Bagnères, S. Bankhead-Dronnet, S. Dupont, M. Zimmermann, E. L. Vargo,
 and F. Dedeine. 2013. Global genetic analysis reveals the putative native source of the
 invasive termite, *Reticulitermes flavipes*, in France. Molecular Ecology 22:1105-1119.
- Perdereau, E., A.-G. Bagnères, S. Dupont, and F. Dedeine. 2010a. High occurrence of colony
 fusion in a European population of the American termite *Reticulitermes flavipes*. Insectes
 Soc. 57:393-402.
- Perdereau, E., A. G. Bagnères, E. L. Vargo, G. Baudouin, Y. Xu, P. Labadie, S. Dupont, and F.
 Dedeine. 2015. Relationship between invasion success and colony breeding structure in
 a subterranean termite. Molecular Ecology 24:2125-2142.
- Perdereau, E., G. Baudouin, S. Bankhead-Dronnet, Z. Chevalier, M. Zimmermann, S. Dupont, F.
 Dedeine, and A.-G. Bagnères. 2019. Invasion dynamics of a termite, *Reticulitermes flavipes*, at different spatial scales in France. Insects **10**:30.
- Perdereau, E., F. Dedeine, J.-P. Christidès, and A.-G. Bagnères. 2010b. Variations in worker
 cuticular hydrocarbons and soldier isoprenoid defensive secretions within and among
 introduced and native populations of the subterranean termite, *Reticulitermes flavipes*.
 Journal of Chemical Ecology **36**:1189-1198.
- Perdereau, E., F. Dedeine, J. P. Christidès, S. Dupont, and A. G. Bagnères. 2010c. Competition
 between invasive and indigenous species: an insular case study of subterranean termites.
 Biological Invasions 13:1457-1470.
- Peterson, B. K., J. N. Weber, E. H. Kay, H. S. Fisher, and H. E. Hoekstra. 2012. Double digest
 RADseq: An inexpensive method for *de novo* SNP discovery and genotyping in model and
 non-model species. PLoS ONE **7**:e37135.
- Pina-Martins, F., D. N. Silva, J. Fino, and O. S. Paulo. 2017. Structure_threader: An improved method for automation and parallelization of programs structure, fastStructure and MavericK on multicore CPU systems. Molecular Ecology Resources 17:e268-e274.
- Pudlo, P., J.-M. Marin, A. Estoup, J.-M. Cornuet, M. Gautier, and C. P. Robert. 2015. Reliable
 ABC model choice via random forests. Bioinformatics **32**:859-866.
- Purcell, K. M., and C. A. Stockwell. 2015. An evaluation of the genetic structure and postintroduction dispersal of a non-native invasive fish to the North Island of New Zealand. Biological Invasions 17:625-636.
- R Core Team. 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Raj, A., M. Stephens, and J. K. Pritchard. 2014. fastSTRUCTURE: variational inference of population structure in large SNP data sets. Genetics **197**:573-589.
- Raynal, L., J.-M. Marin, P. Pudlo, M. Ribatet, C. P. Robert, and A. Estoup. 2018. ABC random
 forests for Bayesian parameter inference. Bioinformatics **35**:1720-1728.
- Resh, C. A., M. P. Galaska, K. C. Benesh, J. P. A. Gardner, K-J. Wei, R-J. Yan, and A. R. Mahon.
 2021. Using genomics to link populations of an invasive species to its potential sources.
 Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution **9**: 0-39.

- Rius, M., and J. A. Darling. 2014. How important is intraspecific genetic admixture to the success
 of colonising populations? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 29(4):233-242.
- Rochette, N. C., A. G. Rivera-Colón, and J. M. Catchen. 2019. Stacks 2: analytical methods for
 paired-end sequencing improve RADseq-based population genomics. Molecular Ecology
 28:4737-4754.
- Ross, K. G., M. J. B. Krieger, L. Keller, and D. D. Shoemaker. 2007. Genetic variation and
 structure in native populations of the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta*: evolutionary and
 demographic implications. Biological Journal of the Linnaean Society **92**:541-560.
- Ryan, S. F., E. Lombaert, A. Espeset, R. Vila, G. Talavera, V. Dincă, M. M. Doellman, M. A.
 Renshaw, M. W. Eng, E. A. Hornett, Y. Li, M. E. Pfrender, and D. Shoemaker. 2019. Global invasion history of the agricultural pest butterfly *Pieris rapae* revealed with genomics and citizen science. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **116**:20015.
- Sax, D. F., and J. H. Brown. 2000. The paradox of invasion. Global Ecology and Biogeography
 923 9:363-371.
- Scheffrahn, R. H., J. A. Chase, J. R. Mangold, J. Krčcčk, and N.-Y. Su. 1999. First Record of *Reticulitermes* (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) from the West Indies: *R. flavipes* on Grand Bahama Island. The Florida Entomologist **82**:480-482.
- Shigesada, N., K. Kawasaki, and Y. Takeda. 1995. Modeling stratified diffusion in biological
 invasions. The American Naturalist 146:229-251.
- Shults, P., S. Richardson, P.-A. Eyer, M. Chura, H. Barreda, R.W. Davis, E. L. Vargo. 2021. Area Wide Elimination of Subterranean Termite Colonies Using a Novaluron Bait. Insects 12:
 192.
- Simberloff, D., J.-L. Martin, P. Genovesi, V. Maris, D. A. Wardle, J. Aronson, F. Courchamp, B.
 Galil, E. García-Berthou, M. Pascal, P. Pyšek, R. Sousa, E. Tabacchi, and M. Vilà. 2013.
 Impacts of biological invasions: what's what and the way forward. Trends in Ecology &
 Evolution 28:58-66.
- Stamatakis, A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
 phylogenies. Bioinformatics **30**:1312-1313.
- Stenoien, H. K., C. B. Fenster, A. Tonteri, and O. Savolainen. 2005. Genetic variability in natural
 populations of *Arabidopsis thaliana* in northern Europe. Molecular Ecology 14:137-148.
- Su, N.-Y., W. Ye, R. Ripa, R. H. Scheffrahn, and R. M. Giblin-Davis. 2006. Identification of Chilean
 Reticulitermes (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) inferred from three mitochondrial gene DNA
 sequences and soldier morphology. Annals of the Entomological Society of America
 99:352-363.
- Suarez, A. V., D. A. Holway, and T. J. Case. 2001. Patterns of spread in biological invasions
 dominated by long-distance jump dispersal: Insights from Argentine ants. Proceedings of
 the National Academy of Sciences **98**:1095-1100.
- Suppo, C., C. Robinet, E. Perdereau, D. Andrieu, and A. G. Bagnères. 2018. Potential spreqd of
 the invasive North American termite, *Reticulitermes flavipes*, and the impact of climate
 warming. Biological Invasions 20:905-922.
- Tsutsui, N. D., A. V. Suarez, D. A. Holway, and T. J. Case. 2000. Reduced genetic variation and the success of an invasive species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 97:5948-5953.
- United States Census Bureau. 1890. Report on transportation business in the United States at
 the eleventh census
- van Kleunen, M., W. Dawson, F. Essl, J. Pergl, M. Winter, E. Weber, H. Kreft, P. Weigelt, J.
 Kartesz, M. Nishino, L. A. Antonova, J. F. Barcelona, F. J. Cabezas, D. Cárdenas, J.
 Cárdenas-Toro, N. Castaño, E. Chacón, C. Chatelain, A. L. Ebel, E. Figueiredo, N.
 Fuentes, Q. J. Groom, L. Henderson, Inderjit, A. Kupriyanov, S. Masciadri, J. Meerman,
 O. Morozova, D. Moser, D. L. Nickrent, A. Patzelt, P. B. Pelser, M. P. Baptiste, M. Poopath,

- M. Schulze, H. Seebens, W.-s. Shu, J. Thomas, M. Velayos, J. J. Wieringa, and P. Pyšek.
 2015. Global exchange and accumulation of non-native plants. Nature 525:100-103.
 Vargo, E. L. 2003. Hierarchical analysis of colony and population genetic structure of the Eastern
 subterranean termite, *Reticulitermes flavipes*, using two classes of molecular markers.
 Evolution 57:2805-2818.
- Vargo, E. L. 2019. Diversity of termite breeding systems. Insects **10**:52.
- Vargo, E. L., and C. Husseneder. 2009. Biology of subterranean termites: Insights from molecular
 studies of *Reticulitermes* and *Coptotermes*. Annual Review of Entomology 54:379-403.
- Vargo, E. L., L. Leniaud, L. E. Swoboda, S. E. Diamond, M. D. Weiser, D. M. Miller, and A.-G.
 Bagnères. 2013. Clinal variation in colony breeding structure and level of inbreeding in the
 subterranean termites *Reticulitermes flavipes* and *R. grassei*. Molecular Ecology 22:1447 1462.
- Verhoeven, K. J. F., M. Macel, L. M. Wolfe, and A. Biere. 2011. Population admixture, biological invasions and the balance between local adaptation and inbreeding depression.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 278:2-8.
- Voisin, M., C. R. Engel, and F. Viard. 2005. Differential shuffling of native genetic diversity across
 introduced regions in a brown alga: Aquaculture vs. maritime traffic effects. Proceedings
 of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102:5432-5437.
- Wares, J. P., A. R. Hughes, and R. K. Grosberg. 2005. Mechanisms that drive evolutionary
 change: insights from species introductions and invasions. Pages 229-257 *in* D. F. Sax,
 J. J. Stachowicz, and S. D. Gaines, editors. Species Invasions: Boon or Bane for Ecology
 and Evolution? Sinauer, Sunderland, MA, USA.
- Weidner, H. 1937. Termiten in Hamburg. Z Pflanzenkrankh 47:593-596.
- Wesse, C., E. Welk, H. Hurka, B. Neuffer. 2021. Geographical pattern of genetic diversity in *Capsella bursa-pastoris* (Brassicaceae)—A global perspective. Ecology and Evolution 11: 199–213.
- Winkler, D. E., K. J. Chapin, O. François, J. D. Garmon, B. S. Gaut, T. E. Huxman. 2019. Multiple
 introductions and population structure during the rapid expansion of the invasive Sahara
 mustard (*Brassica tournefortii*). Ecology and Evolution **9**: 7928–7941.
- 989









