



**HAL**  
open science

## COASTAL OCEAN ACIDIFICATION IN BRAZIL: A BRIEF OVERVIEW AND PERSPECTIVES

Luiz Carlos Cotovicz Jr., Rozane Valente Marins, Gwenaël Abril

► **To cite this version:**

Luiz Carlos Cotovicz Jr., Rozane Valente Marins, Gwenaël Abril. COASTAL OCEAN ACIDIFICATION IN BRAZIL: A BRIEF OVERVIEW AND PERSPECTIVES. *ACMAR*, 2022, 55 (Especial), pp.345-368. 10.32360/acmar.v55iEspecial.78514 . hal-03797417

**HAL Id: hal-03797417**

**<https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03797417>**

Submitted on 4 Oct 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## ***COASTAL OCEAN ACIDIFICATION IN BRAZIL: A BRIEF OVERVIEW AND PERSPECTIVES***

### **Acidificação do oceano costeiro no Brasil: uma breve avaliação e perspectivas**

**Luiz Carlos Cotovicz Jr.<sup>1</sup>, Rozane Valente Marins<sup>2</sup>, Gwenaël Abril<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Pesquisador e professor visitante, Instituto de Ciências do Mar, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mail: lccjunior@id.uff.br

<sup>2</sup> Professora associada, Instituto de Ciências do Mar, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil. E-mail: rmarins@ufc.br

<sup>3</sup> Professor colaborador, Universidade Federal Fluminense (UFF), Instituto de Biologia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Marinha e Ambientes Costeiros (PBMAC), Niterói, RJ, Brazil. Directeur de recherche, Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques (Borea), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR 8067, CNRS, MNHN, IRD, SU, UCN, UA, Paris, France. E-mail: gwenaël.abril@mnhn.fr

#### **ABSTRACT**

This perspective paper aims at presenting the current knowledge on the processes of ocean acidification (OA) and coastal acidification (CA) in the Brazilian coastal ocean. We define and differentiate the processes of OA and CA: the first driven by the actual global increase of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>); the second driven by a combination of ocean uptake of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and other local/regional chemical additions or subtractions in aquatic ecosystems at the land-ocean interface. Regarding OA, we have centered our analysis on the data available for the main water masses along the Brazilian coast: South Atlantic Central Water (SACW), Tropical Water (TW), and Coastal Water (CW). The few data available for the shallow coastal waters (< 200 m depth) of the continental shelf reveal an increase in the anthropogenic component of the total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) pool in the SACW, with a decline in the ocean pH (over two decades; 1993-2013), and in the saturation state of calcium carbonate (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) minerals. We could not find OA trends for TW and CW because no data was available. Overall, the colder water masses (SACW, Plata Plume) have lower buffering capacity and simulations show that will potentially experience earlier negative OA impacts than the warmer waters masses (TW, Amazon Plume). Regarding CA, we have identified some local/regional studies investigating the carbonate chemistry in nearshore/estuarine ecosystems, particularly on the quantification of sources and sinks of CO<sub>2</sub>, and determining short-term variabilities. Apparently, spreading coastal eutrophication in Brazil can enhance or reduce the process of OA, depending on the net ecosystem metabolism in combination with other chemical alterations. However, we could not find medium-long term acidification trends due to the limited data. There is a limited capacity to produce long time-series of carbonate chemistry parameters in key ecosystems and regions along the Brazilian coast. This lack of past information hinders and impairs the

scientific community for identifying potential patterns of acidification along the Brazilian coast. We call for an urgent action in Brazil, with emphasis on the establishment of moored buoys/stations and/or scientific programs in the long term with continuous, real-time measurements of the main carbonate chemistry parameters.

**Keywords:** ocean acidification, coastal acidification, coastal eutrophication, continental shelf, Southwestern Atlantic Ocean.

## RESUMO

*O presente artigo tem como objetivo apresentar o estado atual de conhecimento dos processos de acidificação do oceano (OA) e acidificação costeira (CA) no Brasil. Definimos e diferenciamos tais processos: o primeiro ocasionado pelo aumento global da concentração de CO<sub>2</sub> na atmosfera; o segundo ocasionado pela combinação do sequestro de CO<sub>2</sub> pelo oceano e outras adições ou subtrações locais/regionais de compostos químicos em ecossistemas aquáticos costeiros. Considerando a OA, a análise foi centrada nas principais massas de água presentes ao longo da costa do Brasil: Água Central do Atlântico Sul (SACW), Água Tropical (TW) e Água Costeira (CW). Os poucos dados disponíveis para águas rasas costeiras (< 200 m profundidade) revelam um aumento da contribuição antropogênica nas concentrações de carbono inorgânico dissolvido (DIC) na SACW, com declínio no pH (ao longo de duas décadas – 1993-2013), e na saturação dos minerais de carbonato de cálcio (CaCO<sub>3</sub>). Não encontramos tendências para TW e CW devido à falta de dados disponíveis. As massas de água mais frias (SACW, Pluma do Rio da Plata) têm menor capacidade de tamponamento, e simulações mostram que irão sentir os efeitos da acidificação antes que as massas de água mais quentes (TW, Pluma do Rio Amazonas). Considerado a CA, identificamos alguns estudos locais/regionais sobre a química do sistema carbonato em ecossistemas costeiros/estuarinos centrados na quantificação de fontes e sumidouros de CO<sub>2</sub> e determinações de variação temporal de curta escala. Aparentemente, o processo de eutrofização costeira, amplamente verificado na costa do Brasil (particularmente em regiões densamente povoadas), pode amplificar ou atenuar o processo de acidificação, dependendo do metabolismo dos ecossistemas, em combinação com outras alterações químicas. Entretanto, não encontramos tendências de acidificação de médio e longo prazo devido à falta de estudos. Existe uma restrita capacidade em produzir longas séries temporais de parâmetros do sistema carbonato em ecossistemas-chave ao longo da costa do Brasil. Dessa forma, chamamos a atenção para uma urgente ação no Brasil, com ênfase no estabelecimento de estações oceanográficas (boias oceanográficas, fundeios) e/ou programas científicos no longo prazo que contemplem medições contínuas e de alta frequência dos principais parâmetros do sistema carbonato marinho.*

**Palavras-chave:** acidificação dos oceanos, acidificação costeira, eutrofização, plataforma continental, Oceano Atlântico Sudoeste.

## INTRODUCTION

The current CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the atmosphere is unprecedented over the past 3 million years (Willeit *et al.*, 2019). The current rate of change of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is also


unprecedented in at least the past 800,000 years (IPCC, 2021). The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> peaked in May-2021 at a monthly average of 419 ppm (UCSD-SIO 2021), which is the highest level since accurate measurements began 63 years ago; furthermore, the CO<sub>2</sub> levels have reached a 50% increase compared to the preindustrial average. This dramatic increase is driven by anthropogenic emissions, mainly from combustion of fossil fuels and land use changes. For the last decade (2010-2019), the anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion and land use change were, respectively,  $9.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ GtC yr}^{-1}$  and  $1.80.7 \text{ GtC yr}^{-1}$  (Friendlingstein *et al.*, 2020). The ocean has absorbed about 23% of this excess of CO<sub>2</sub> at  $2.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ GtC yr}^{-1}$  (Friendlingstein *et al.*, 2020).

Considering the past two and a half centuries, the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> is about 623 Pg CO<sub>2</sub> (Frindligestein *et al.*, 2020). This additional uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> increases its flux across the ocean-atmosphere interface, and leads to changes in the marine carbonate system (Millero, 2007). Such changes include the increase in seawater *p*CO<sub>2</sub>, and reduction in seawater pH and saturation state of CaCO<sub>3</sub> minerals, such as calcite and aragonite (Caldeira & Wickett, 2003; Feely *et al.*, 2004). The changes in the ocean carbonate system linked to the oceanic uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> are commonly termed as “ocean acidification” (OA) (Caldeira & Wickett, 2003; Doney *et al.*, 2009). These changes are not restricted to open-ocean waters, being also documented in estuarine and coastal waters (Doney *et al.*, 2020). According to the last IPCC report, the ocean pH will decrease from a pre-industrial level around 8.1 to a level of 7.9 at end of this century based on the intermediate greenhouse gas emissions scenario (SSP2-4.5; IPCC 2021). The OA has likely problematic consequences for the marine life, with expected and already described impacts spanning from single cells to entire ecosystems and particularly critical for organisms producing CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Gattuso *et al.*, 2015). Most studies have investigated the effects of OA on isolated organisms; however, the effects on communities and ecosystems are still poorly understood (Gattuso *et al.*, 2015; Doney *et al.*, 2020). In addition, the OA will likely affect primary productivity, mariculture, fisheries, and other important ecosystems services (Doney *et al.*, 2020).

In addition to this alteration on the marine carbonate chemistry driven by global rise of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, there are local/regional modifications of the carbonate chemistry driven by other coastal chemical additions and subtractions that can be triggered by natural or anthropogenic processes, known as “coastal acidification (CA)” (Feely *et al.*, 2020). These chemical changes include (but not limited to) organic matter respiration, freshwater inputs, advection of water masses (lateral and vertical transport), atmospheric pollution, and anthropogenic loading of nutrients and organic matter. Particularly, in densely populated coastal regions, the excessive anthropogenic inputs of organic matter and nutrients associated with eutrophication have fueled massive algal blooms, which deplete the oxygen and release CO<sub>2</sub> when the organic matter is respired (Cai *et al.*, 2011; Wallace *et al.*, 2014). Recent studies have been reported that coastal eutrophication is one of the most contributors to the process of CA (Cai *et al.*, 2011; Wallace *et al.*, 2014). The local/regional effects of acidification in some regions may exceed those expected from the atmospheric inputs (Xue *et al.*, 2017; Cotovicz *et al.*, 2018). However, in some regions the process of eutrophication can counter-acts the acidification by stimulating the growth of primary producers with uptake of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>, and rising the pH (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2015, 2021). In this way, the land-based pollution, coastal development and eutrophication can modify the carbonate chemistry in opposite directions.

Brazil is a country with a long coastline (9,000 km), extending from equatorial (5° N) to warm-temperate latitudes (33° S) (Figure 1). The land-ocean aquatic continuum in Brazil hosts a large diversity of environments, comprising varied coastal typologies (lagoons, coastal embayment's, river deltas, mangrove forests, salt marshes, seagrass meadows, rodolith beds, coral reefs, coastal upwelling, among others; Knoppers *et al.*, 2009). Overall, the Northeast and the Southeast shelf waters are oligotrophic due to little freshwater input and influenced by the South Equatorial Current (SEC). The North and South shelves are the most productive regions, receiving continental inputs mainly from the Amazon and Plata Rivers, respectively. In addition, there is a considerable contribution of nutrients from anthropogenic sources affecting many estuarine and continental shelf waters, particularly in densely populated areas. The present perspective paper aims to i) report the present-day state of knowledge about the process of OA in the main water masses along the Brazilian coast; ii) report the present-day state of knowledge about the process of CA in ecosystems along the land-ocean aquatic continuum in Brazil; iii) present a brief overview about vulnerabilities, impacts, challenges and perspectives in this context of OA and CA. Here we considered the coastal zone as the estuarine, nearshore, and continental shelf waters until to the 200 m depth (Crossland *et al.*, 2005). We have identified an alarming lack of understanding regarding the process of acidification along the Brazilian coast, mostly due to the low availability of long time series regarding the main carbonate chemistry parameters.

Figure 1 - Composite map of the South Atlantic Ocean, showing the main surface currents of the South Atlantic Subtropical Gyre with emphasis in the western region (Brazilian Coast). NBC = North Brazil Current; BC: Brazil Current; MC: Malvine Current; ACC: Antarctic Circumpolar Current; SAC: South Atlantic Current; SSEC: Southern branch of the South Equatorial Current. The color bar represents the average sea surface temperature from WOCE Global Hydrographic Climatology (WOCE, 2006), which was created using Ocean Data View software. The white dots represent the isobaths of 200 m (considered here as the limit of the coastal zone)



## Differentiating the processes of ocean acidification (OA) and coastal acidification (CA)

To understand the processes of OA and CA, it is important to present briefly the marine carbonate system. When  $\text{CO}_2$  dissolves in the seawater (through the solubility equilibrium of  $\text{CO}_2$  between air and seawater), a series of reactions takes place, producing non-ionic and ionic compounds (Millero, 2007). The  $\text{CO}_2$  hydrates producing carbonic acid ( $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$ ). However, this reaction is very slow compared to the ionization of  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$ . For this, at equilibrium, the  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3$  represents only about 1/1000 of the concentration of dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $\text{CO}_{2\text{aq}}$ ). The two unionized species ( $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{CO}_{2\text{aq}}$ ) are referred as  $\text{CO}_2^*$ . The  $\text{CO}_2^*$  is the dominant specie when the pH is  $< 5$ . At higher pH typical of seawater ( $\sim 8.10$ ), the  $\text{CO}_2^*$  ionizes to form bicarbonate ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ) and carbonate ( $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ). The sum of  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ , and  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  comprehends the dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). The seawater  $p\text{CO}_2$  is proportional to the amount of  $\text{CO}_2^*$ . When  $\text{CO}_2$  hydrates and/or ionizes, it releases hydrogen ions ( $\text{H}^+$ ) in seawater, lowering the pH. For that reason, more  $\text{CO}_2$  in the atmosphere means more  $\text{CO}_2$  in the seawater, with a decline in the values of pH and  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  (Millero, 2007). Furthermore, lower  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  concentrations means lower saturation state of calcite ( $\Omega_{\text{Ca}}$ ) and aragonite ( $\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$ ), which are the two primary biogenic carbonate-containing mineral that occur in seawater. The  $\Omega_{\text{Ca}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$  infer the tendency of precipitation or dissolution of calcite and aragonite, respectively. The saturation state  $\Omega(X)$  is defined by:

$$\Omega(X) = [\text{Ca}^{2+}] [\text{CO}_3^{2-}] / K_{\text{sp}} (X)$$

Where X refers to a particular  $\text{CaCO}_3$  mineral (calcite or aragonite),  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  is the concentration of calcium,  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  the concentration of carbonate, and  $K_{\text{sp}}$  is the solubility product of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (calcite or aragonite) in seawater at particular salinity, temperature, and pressure. In summary, the OA refers to all these changes in seawater carbonate system linked to increase of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$ , which includes the increase of DIC (mostly in the forms of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  and  $\text{CO}_2^*$ ), and decrease of pH,  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ,  $\Omega_{\text{Ca}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$ .


The “coastal acidification” (CA) is a term normally used to describe the changes in seawater carbonate chemistry due to acidification occurring along coastlines (Feely *et al.*, 2020). The CA was defined by Feely *et al.* (2020) as “a combination of ocean uptake of atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  and other coastal chemical additions and subtractions that can be driven by natural or anthropogenic processes” (Feely *et al.*, 2020). The first important observation from this definition is that the process of OA is explicit in the definition of CA. The second important observation is that the acidification in coastal zones is very complex because it combines many processes that have the potential to modify and/or increase the susceptibility of coastal ecosystems to OA (Feely *et al.*, 2020; Cai *et al.*, 2011). Such chemical alterations were observed in several coastal regions worldwide, particularly the freshwater influx, advection of allochthonous water masses, anthropogenic and natural loading of organic matter and nutrients. The anthropogenic loads of organic matter and nutrients have been described as important drivers of seawater acidification, leading to enhanced levels of organic matter respiration and desoxygenation (Cai *et al.*, 2011; Wallace *et al.*, 2014; Cotovicz *et al.*, 2018). However, in tropical coasts colonized predominantly by mangrove forests the major contribution to organic matter discharges have been seen from this source (Cavalcante *et al.*, 2021).

The OA process in open ocean waters is driven mainly by external loading of CO<sub>2</sub> (atmospheric inputs), whereas CA process is driven by a combination of external (global-driven) and internal processes (local/regional-driven). The OA was referenced in literature as “the other problem of CO<sub>2</sub>” (in addition to the global warming; Doney *et al.*, 2009), whereas CA was considered “the other problem of eutrophication” (in addition to the deoxygenation; Wallace *et al.*, 2014). However, we must keep in mind that the increase of nutrient runoff can enhance the coastal primary production in several ecosystems, overpassing the rates of microbial respiration, thus increasing the pH (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2018; Carstensen & Duarte, 2019). This means that the historical land-derived nutrient enrichment (eutrophication) can also counter-acts the process of acidification in estuarine and nearshore waters (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2015; Tseng *et al.*, 2011; Cotovicz *et al.*, 2018). The ecosystem response to increased nutrient runoff seems to be largely site-specific. Furthermore, as highlighted above, other processes can influence the coastal chemical additions and subtractions and thus contributing to enhance or counter-act the process of CA (Feely *et al.*, 2020).

There is a marked increase in studies investigating the OA process in the world (Figure 2a). The studies have started around the end of 90’ years, and augmented intensely from 2010. A total number of 5,186 peer-review articles were found with the search term “ocean acidification” in the Scopus database. The number of published papers peaked in 2017 (562 manuscripts), and then maintained an almost constant number of publications, always above 500 manuscripts per year. To refine our search to comprise studies conducted along the Brazilian coast, we included the term “Brazil” in this bibliometric survey (ocean acidification “AND” Brazil). As a result, the number of peer-review articles decreased to 29 documents (Figure 2b). Because OA is a problem with “no nationality”, we also combined the terms “ocean acidification” AND “South Atlantic”; however, the search returned even less articles (24) (Figure 2c). This number is far below compared to the combination of “North Atlantic” AND “ocean acidification”, which returned 110 published papers. Therefore, the process of OA studies in South Atlantic, and in Brazil, seems to be overlooked.


Considering the search term “coastal acidification” in the Scopus database, we found 69 published papers (Figure 3a). The number of published papers peaked in 2020 (18 articles), which means that the use of this term has increased in the last years. If we include “Brazil” in this bibliometric survey (coastal acidification “AND” Brazil), the number of peer-review articles decreased to only 2 articles (Figure 3b). However, several studies use the traditional term “OA” collectively to describe both ocean and coastal acidification (Feely *et al.*, 2020). Indeed, if we combine the terms “ocean acidification” AND

Figure 2 - Evolution in the number of peer-review published articles on “Ocean Acidification” (OA) in the Scopus database. a) globally (only search term “ocean acidification”), and; b) in Brazil (“ocean acidification” AND “Brazil”); c) in the South Atlantic (“ocean acidification” AND “South Atlantic”)



“coastal”, the number of published papers increases to 1,004 with a marked tendency of intensification in the last years (Figure 3c). Including Brazil in this search (“ocean acidification” AND “coastal” AND “Brazil”) (Figure 3d), the number of published papers decreases to 12 and well below compared to United States (95 articles; “ocean acidification” AND “coastal” AND “United States”). In brief, we can observe a lack of information on the processes of ocean and coastal acidification in Brazilian Seas.

Figure 3 - Evolution in the number of peer-review published articles on “Coastal Acidification” (CA) in the Scopus database. a) globally (only search term “coastal acidification”), and; b) in Brazil (“coastal acidification” AND “Brazil”); c) globally (“ocean acidification” AND “coastal”); d) in Brazil (“ocean acidification” AND “coastal” AND “Brazil”)



### Ocean acidification in the main water masses along the Brazilian coast

The Brazilian coastal zone receives influence from the South Atlantic Subtropical Gyre (Figure 1). This gyre encompasses a system of wind-driven surface currents (Marcello; Wainer & Rodrigues, 2018; Stramma & England, 1999). The warm Brazil Current (BC) moves along the Brazilian coast southward until it meets an extension of the cold Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) off Argentine coast, the Malvinas Current (MC), forming the Brazil-Malvinas confluence. From this confluence moving eastward, the meander originates the South Atlantic Current (SAC). Part of the SAC turns northwestward off South Africa coast, forming the southern branch of the South Equatorial Current (SEC). The SEC moves westward in direction to the Brazilian coast, where it bifurcates southward at around 15° (meeting the BC and closing the gyre) and northward, forming the North Brazilian Current (NBC). Regarding the water masses bordering the Southwestern Atlantic, there are three principal water masses: i) the warm and salty Tropical Water (TW) existing at the upper layer, which is carried by the BC and NBC (Rossi-Wongtschowski & Madureira, 2006); ii) the South Atlantic Central Water (SACW), which flows southward in intermediate depths, and is transported by the currents of the South Atlantic Subtropical Gyre (Rossi-



Wongtschowski & Madureira, 2006); iii) additionally, there is the Coastal Water (CW) formed by the mixing of shelf waters and continental drainage (Castro & Miranda, 1998). Some authors define the CW as Subtropical Shelf Water (SSW) (Piola *et al.*, 2000), which have strong influence of Plata plume in the South-Southeastern coast, and influence of the Amazon Plume on the North coast. The TW has temperatures higher than 20 °C (until ~ 29°C) and salinities above 36.4. TW and CW have similar temperatures; however, CW have lower salinities as result of continental runoff (Castro & Miranda, 1998). The SACW has temperatures below 20 °C and salinities slight below than those of TW (Castro & Miranda, 1998; Silveira *et al.*, 2000). At higher depths, there is presence of the Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW), and Antarctic Bottom Water (ABW), which are out of the scope of this paper.


The Atlantic Ocean holds the largest stock of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>, representing ~38 % of the total anthropogenic carbon inventory (Sabine *et al.*, 2004). The studies investigating the OA process in the South Atlantic Ocean have been increased, particularly in the last 10 years (Wanninkhof *et al.*, 2010; Ríos *et al.*, 2010, 2012, 2015; Salt *et al.*, 2015; Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018; Kitidis *et al.*, 2017). However, the Southwestern Atlantic is particularly overlooked (Ríos *et al.*, 2010, 2012; Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018). Ríos *et al.* (2010, 2012) showed that, on average, the western basin of the South Atlantic Ocean accumulates more anthropogenic carbon than the eastern basin. Regarding the main waters masses occurring along the Brazilian coast, the SACW experienced a high rate of increase in the inorganic carbon pool driven by the uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere (Ríos *et al.*, 2015; Salt *et al.*, 2015). The estimates of anthropogenic carbon increase in the SACW varies between 75 to 95 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup> depending on the considered studies (Salt *et al.*, 2015; Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018). The presence of anthropogenic carbon has led to the oceanic decrease in pH in the SACW, with rates of decline between -0.0015 yr<sup>-1</sup> to -0.0020 yr<sup>-1</sup> (over two decades - 1993-2013), reflecting the low buffering capacity due to the low temperatures and TA/DIC ratio (Ríos *et al.*, 2015; Salt *et al.*, 2015). Overall, the highest changes are located in near-surface/upper waters (0-200 m depth) in contact with atmosphere (Ríos *et al.*, 2015; Salt *et al.*, 2015). The changes in ocean pH related to the increase of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the ocean are higher than the pH changes resulting from natural processes (remineralization of organic matter and changes in ocean circulation) (Ríos *et al.*, 2015). Overall, the intrusion of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the SACW (located around the southeastern and southern coast of Brazil) has led to a long-term pH decline of  $-0.17 \pm 0.07$  (Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018). This estimation considers the differences in pH between the beginning of the Industrial Revolution (~1750) and the time of sampling (2014). However, the observed values of  $\Omega_{Ca}$  and  $\Omega_{Ar}$  are still supersaturated within this region (Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018). The presence of anthropogenic carbon was also verified in TW but the  $\Omega_{Ca}$  and  $\Omega_{Ar}$  have remained supersaturated (average of 2.9) (Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018). No trend of OA was established for TW. No data was available for CW.

There are other studies investigating the carbonate chemistry in the Southwestern Atlantic (Ito *et al.*, 2016; Bonou *et al.*, 2016; Lefèvre *et al.*, 2017; Cotovicz; Chielle & Marins, 2020). The focus of these studies were to describe the main carbonate chemistry parameters, particularly the ocean-atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub> exchanges; however, no OA trends were provided.

Taking account the typical salinity, temperature, and total alkalinity (TA) concentrations of the main water masses present in the Brazilian coast, and considering the different IPCC scenarios regarding the projected increase of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (IPCC, 2021),

we calculated projections in the decline of pH,  $\Omega_{Ca}$  and  $\Omega_{Ar}$  until to the end of this century (Figure 4). For calculation purposes, we assumed that the water masses would be in equilibrium with atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations projected until 2100 (IPCC, 2021). The calculations were performed for TW (sampled at South-Southeast and Northeast Brazilian coast; Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018; Cotovicz; Chielle & Marins, 2020), SACW (sampled at South-Southeast Brazilian coast), Plata Plume (sampled at the South-Southeast Brazilian coast; Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018), and Amazon Plume (sampled at North Brazilian coast; Bonou *et al.*, 2016). As expected, we found a consistent declining trend in the levels of pH, concentrations of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and the values of  $\Omega_{Ar}$ ,  $\Omega_{Ca}$ , and TA/DIC ratios, corroborating the ongoing process of global OA. However, there are some differences considering the water masses. Due to differences of water solubility driven mainly by differences in seawater temperature and salinity, the concentrations of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and the values of  $\Omega_{Ar}$ ,  $\Omega_{Ca}$ , and TA/DIC ratio are lower for colder water masses (SACW, Plata Plume), compared to warmer water masses (TA, Amazon Plume). Therefore, the colder water masses (SACW, Plata

Figure 4 - Future scenarios of carbonate chemistry parameters for the main water masses composing the coast of Brazil. a) pH (Total Scale), b) CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> (μmol Kg<sup>-1</sup>), c) TA/DIC ratio, d)  $\Omega_{Ca}$ , e)  $\Omega_{Ar}$ . The solid lines represent the average of the future IPCC scenarios for the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increase (SSP1-19; SSP-126; SSP2-45; SSP3-70; SSP5-58; IPCC 2021), and dashed lines represent the standard deviation. The different water masses were considered to be saturated with atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Where: TW at Southeast coast (Salinity 36.6; Temp. 21.3 °C; TA 2392 μmol Kg<sup>-1</sup>); TW at Northeast coast (Salinity 36.1; Temp. 28.2 °C; TA 2340 μmol Kg<sup>-1</sup>); CW Amazon Plume (Salinity 34.5; Temp. 27.3 °C; TA 2280 μmol Kg<sup>-1</sup>); CW Plata Plume (Salinity 33.1; Temp. 20.5 °C; TA 2203 μmol Kg<sup>-1</sup>); SACW at South-Southeast coast (Salinity 35.0; Temp. 11.6 °C; TA 2337 μmol Kg<sup>-1</sup>). Data from Bonou *et al.*, 2016; Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018; Cotovicz *et al.*, 2020. Carbonate chemistry parameters were calculated using CO<sub>2</sub>Calc (Robbins *et al.*, 2010)



Plume) will experience negative OA impacts early than the warmer waters masses (TW, Amazon Plume). In addition, colder water masses have lower TA/DIC ratios meaning these waters have lower buffering capacity, corroborating previous findings (Ríos *et al.*, 2015; Carvalho-Borges *et al.*, 2018). In this way, the South-Southeast Brazilian coast is more vulnerable to the threats of OA compared to the North-Northeast coast. This analysis has limitations because we did not consider other possible influences, like the biological metabolism, which could amplify or counter-act the OA (Carvalho *et al.*, 2021), i. e., the local/regional biological processes can increase (photosynthesis;  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution) or decrease (respiration;  $\text{CaCO}_3$  precipitation) the pH. However, this is a valid estimation assuming the equilibrium between the  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations in the ocean and in the atmosphere.

### **Coastal acidification in ecosystems along the land-ocean aquatic continuum in Brazil**

Brazil coastal has an estimated area of 514,000 km<sup>2</sup> (including surface of inland waters and 12 miles of Territorial Sea) that represents almost half of South America (Dominguez, 2009; Marroni & Asmus, 2013). The high heterogeneity of Brazilian coast is partially explained by the extensive geographical location, encompassing intertropical, subtropical, and warm-temperate climate zones. The variability of tidal amplitude along the coastal is also diverse, generally increasing from south (< 1 m) to north (> 6 m) (Salles; Bentes & Santos, 2000). The relative contributions of energy from the movement of tides, riverine and groundwater discharges, wind forcing, and coastal currents are likewise highly variable, creating distinct patterns of water mixing along the land-ocean continuum, and distinct patterns of vertical stratification and gravitational circulation.

The variability of coastal pH results from multidrivers and is more pronounced than the open ocean pH (Duarte *et al.*, 2013; Feely *et al.*, 2020). The changes at river basin scale (mainly in the fluxes of TA and  $\text{CO}_2$ ), together with other alterations, have contributed to decadal variability up to 0.5 units in coastal pH (Duarte *et al.*, 2013). The diel to seasonal changes in coastal pH are also important and typically at about 0.3 units (Duarte *et al.*, 2013). For comparison, the open ocean pH decreased at a rate of about 0.003-0.026 decade<sup>-1</sup> in surface waters considering the past 40 years (IPCC, 2021). Furthermore, coastal pH has showed tendency of acidification and sometimes basification, depending on several factors (induced by natural and anthropogenic forcing), including air-water  $\text{CO}_2$  exchanges, export of TA and DIC from continental sources and mixing along the land-ocean aquatic continuum, balance between primary production, respiration,  $\text{CaCO}_3$  precipitation, and  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution (Abril *et al.*, 2003, 2021; Borges & Gypens, 2010; Cai *et al.*, 2011; Duarte *et al.*, 2013; Cotovicz *et al.*, 2015, 2018; Feely *et al.*, 2020).

Studies have demonstrated that the human inputs of nutrients and organic matter to coastal waters (eutrophication) has led to marked changes in the carbonate chemistry of surface and subsurface coastal waters (Borges & Gypens, 2010; Cai *et al.*, 2011). The effect of coastal eutrophication on carbon cycling can enhance or counter-act the effect of OA (Borges & Gypens, 2010; Cai *et al.*, 2011). However, the combined impact of eutrophication and OA on acidity in the coastal ocean are largely unknown. Despite some successful efforts in the implementation of wastewater treatment plans, the anthropogenic-derived loadings of nutrients and organic matter to estuaries and other coastal systems are still increasing around

the world (Breitburg *et al.*, 2018). Brazil hosts a coastal population of ~74 million of inhabitants (40% of Brazil's population; Marroni and Asmus, 2013). However, around 59% of the sewage produced is not treated and dumped “*in nature*” in Brazil (Campestrini & Jardim, 2017). This low coverage of wastewater treatment plans has led to a context of widespread and problematic process of coastal eutrophication, and particularly relevant in regions densely populated (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2013, 2015; Noriega *et al.*, 2014; Fonseca; Newton & Cabral, 2021).

Oxygen concentrations in coastal waters are declining due to increased loading of nutrients and organic matter, and global warming (Breitburg *et al.*, 2018). Despite of the limited data availability, coastal waters presenting hypoxic/anoxic conditions have been reported in Brazil (Diaz & Rosenberg, 2008; Cotovicz *et al.*, 2021; Fonseca; Newton & Cabral, 2021). The process of acidification is linked to the process of deoxygenation because the CO<sub>2</sub> produced during microbial respiration increases the acidity (Cai *et al.*, 2011; Breitburg *et al.*, 2018). For that reason, the eutrophication could increase the vulnerability of coastal waters to OA (Cai *et al.*, 2011). This process was documented in highly polluted sectors of Guanabara Bay (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), an eutrophic coastal embayment surrounded by a large urban area (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2018). Very low values of  $\Omega_{Ar}$  were found in low-buffered waters, in regions that receive direct discharges from domestic effluents and polluted rivers. This study documented episodic occurrence of corrosive waters ( $\Omega_{Ar} < 1$ ) only at the vicinity of sewage outlets in Guanabara Bay. The main hypothesis is that the allochthonous sources (rivers, wastewater) represented the principal inputs of waters with low  $\Omega_{Ar}$  to the bay that are enriched in nutrients and organic matter. Indeed, the carbonate dissolution can occur in estuaries due to the intense of organic matter mineralization (Abril *et al.*, 2003). However, in most of the waters of Guanabara Bay, hyper-oxygenated surface waters were also reported in within phytoplankton blooms (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2015). The high nutrient enrichment in this ecosystem has stimulated the growth of large phytoplankton blooms in shallow, warm and thermally stratified waters, with strong uptake of DIC in surface waters, lowering the concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>, and increasing the pH,  $\Omega_{Ar}$  and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2015, 2018). In this manner, the nutrient enrichment can amplify both production and respiration of organic matter and can reduce or exacerbate the biological-induced acidification in coastal waters (Borges & Gypens, 2010; Nixon *et al.*, 2015; Cotovicz *et al.*, 2018). Indeed, the carbonate chemistry in highly productive nearshore coastal ecosystems can respond more strongly to eutrophication than to OA (Borges & Gypens, 2010).

The perturbation of marine carbonate system by eutrophication leading to acidification can occurs in many other coastal ecosystems in Brazil, particularly in densely populated and urbanized regions. Some examples can be pointed. In the Jacarepagua Lagoon Complex (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), hypoxic zones were associated with extremely high values of *p*CO<sub>2</sub> (up to 20,417 ppmv), and low pH in a region of intense aerobic and anaerobic degradation of organic matter coming from untreated domestic effluents (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2021). In the Recife Estuarine System (Pernambuco, Brazil), high concentrations and emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> concomitant with hypoxic conditions were associated to the enhanced net heterotrophy of the system driven by eutrophication (Noriega *et al.*, 2014). It is quite clear that these two coastal areas are suffering acidification; however, the term “coastal acidification, CA” was not explicitly used. In short, eutrophication has the potential to drive pH changes (increase or decrease) with an intensity much stronger than OA does in the open ocean and shelves on decadal time scales. Unfortunately, we could not find examples in literature investigating CA in the long term in Brazil, neither time-series. Important to highlight that the occurrence of high concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and low

values of pH in coastal waters in hypoxic/anoxic waters can be associated with the occurrence of high methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) concentrations, a powerful greenhouse gas (Nirmal-Rajkumar *et al.*, 2008; Cotovicz *et al.*, 2016, 2021). In Brazil, this was verified in highly polluted areas of coastal embayment's and lagoons (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2015, 2021).

The CA can be caused (or counteracted) by other chemical changes along the land-ocean aquatic continuum. For example, the riverine influx can lead to acidification. Most tropical river plumes are acidic compared to the receiving ocean, and the mixing occur in continental shelf waters (Salisbury *et al.*, 2008). The potential alterations in the magnitude of river discharge as result of climate and land-use changes represent a threat to calcifying organisms since tropical rivers enter the ocean at low  $\Omega_{\text{Ca}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$  because of their relatively low  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  (Salisbury *et al.*, 2008). Brazil hosts tropical river-dominated estuaries with low TA concentrations in the river endmember, meaning that the freshwater domain of these estuaries are poorly buffered to changes in pH (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2020; Abril *et al.*, 2021), and this can enhances the susceptibility to OA. On the other hand, there are coastal regions along the Brazilian coast with very dry conditions (semiarid climate) where evaporation rates frequently exceed rainfall (Cavalcante *et al.*, 2021). Evaporation can increase concentrations of TA and DIC in water, enhancing the buffering capacity (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2021a). The advection of allochthonous water masses (upwelling and lateral transport) is another process with potential to modify the local/regional rate and/or the susceptibility to OA. Coastal upwelling of nutrient-rich SACW occurs along the Brazilian coast (latitude  $15^\circ\text{S}$ - $30^\circ\text{S}$ ; Campos *et al.*, 2013; Aguiar *et al.*, 2014). The SACW has experiencing declining rates of pH, and present low buffering capacity. The upwelling may bring  $\text{CO}_2$ -enriched waters with low pH onto the shelf (estuaries, nearshore waters) (Feely *et al.*, 2008). The lateral transport of TA and DIC to adjacent coastal waters has showed to be important in mangrove-dominated ecosystems (Sippo *et al.*, 2016). This means that the exportation of TA and DIC from mangroves to the coastal ocean could result in a net increase in pH. Nevertheless, these observations are limited to one subtropical site and one survey preventing a generalized link between mangrove C outwelling (export) and buffering capacity. Since Brazil represents the second country in terms mangrove area (and carbon stocks), the effect of alkalinity exports on coastal buffering capacity could be significant and where systems highly sensitive to ocean acidification (i.e., coral reefs) occur. However, all these processes are largely unnoticed and needs further and urgent investigation.

### **Main vulnerabilities and impacts expected along the Brazilian Coast**

The human-driving water acidification has widespread potential biological impacts, spanning from species-specific physiologies and population dynamics to communities and whole ecosystems (Doney *et al.*, 2020). The alterations in acid-base chemistry and associated impacts have been described in estuarine, coastal, and surface open-ocean waters. The biological impacts from OA and CA are particularly relevant for shell-forming organisms. Taking into account that Brazil has a large, megadiverse coastal zone, we will present a brief overview on the most vulnerable communities and ecosystem.

#### ***Planktonic communities***

Results obtained in experimental and in situ studies strongly suggest that OA increases primary production biomass but decreases biodiversity (Doney *et al.*, 2020). There

are “winners” and “losers”, meaning that planktonic species tolerant to high- $\text{CO}_2$  conditions will become more dominant in future communities (Bach *et al.*, 2017). However, at very high levels of  $\text{CO}_2$  and low levels of  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ,  $\Omega_{\text{Ca}}$ , and  $\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$  in seawater, the dissolution of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  can overcome the precipitation (Riebesell *et al.*, 2000). Several planktonic calcifying organisms can be found along the Brazilian coast including mollusks, coccolithophores, and foraminifers. These organisms have skeletal mineralogy formed both by aragonite and calcite minerals. Several impacts have reported regarding OA impacts on these planktonic organisms, including levels of shell dissolution, and reduced calcification due to elevated energy demand to build  $\text{CaCO}_3$  structures (Riebesell *et al.*, 2000; Figuerola *et al.*, 2021).

### ***Benthic communities***

There are important calcifying organisms that can be found at the benthic environment along the Brazilian coast of ecological and commercial interest, including calcareous benthic foraminifers, ostracods, echinoderms, cnidarians, crustaceans (Vilela *et al.*, 2003; Clemente *et al.*, 2014). These organisms biomineralize  $\text{CaCO}_3$  to build the shells and skeletons. There are several predicted and already described OA impacts on these benthic organisms, including shell dissolution, limited growth, lower larvae development, limited larvae dispersal, among others (Figuerola *et al.*, 2021).

### ***Coral reefs***

Brazilian coral reefs comprise the largest area of reefs in the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean, spreading over more than 3,000 km alongshore (Leão *et al.*, 2016). In addition, an extensive Mesophotic Coral Ecosystem (~9500 km<sup>2</sup>) has been mapped between Brazil and the Caribbean (Great Amazon Reef System; Mahiques *et al.*, 2019). Coral reefs are considered one of the most vulnerable ecosystems to OA impacts. There are predicted global declines in the rates of coral reef calcification under low-pH conditions (Cornwall *et al.*, 2021). A study investigated the carbonate chemistry in an equatorial continental shelf dominated by coral reefs in Brazil (Equatorial Southwestern Atlantic; Cotovicz; Chielle & Marins, 2020). The coral-reef dominated waters presented lower values of  $\text{pH}_{\text{T}}$ ,  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ , and  $\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$  compared to nearshore regions without the influence of coral reef waters (Cotovicz; Chielle & Marins, 2020). Overall, the values of  $\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$  (3.41 to 3.69) were supersaturated. However, a recent study showed that coral reefs could reach net dissolution at  $\Omega_{\text{Ar}} > 3.5$  in highly damaged ecosystems (Kline *et al.*, 2019). Indeed, in addition to OA, there are other stressors to coral reefs, like global warming and eutrophication. The eutrophication has been described in coral reefs of Brazil (Costa; Nimmo & Attrill, 2008). Nearshore reefs receive nutrient inputs from continental runoff, river discharge, and groundwater inputs. The eutrophication, together with acidification and warming, will likely have negative impacts on coral reefs in a near future, increasing bleaching events, mortality, and contributing to decreasing  $\text{CaCO}_3$  calcification.

### ***Rhodolith beds***

The Brazilian shelf, particularly at the east and northeast portions, are almost entirely covered by carbonate sediments (Knoppers; Ekau & Figueiredo, 1999). Associated to these carbonate sediments, Brazil hosts one of the largest areas in the world with presence of Rhodoliths, forming large beds in shallow waters (> 150 m), between 2°N and 27°S (Amado Filho & Pereira Filho, 2012; Horta *et al.*, 2016). Rhodoliths are calcifying organisms that

produce  $\text{CaCO}_3$ . Estimations show that calcifying organisms will have difficult to biomineralized  $\text{CaCO}_3$ . Indeed, undersaturated conditions can occur, which will be associated with net dissolution of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ . Possibly, Brazil represent one of the largest marine  $\text{CaCO}_3$  deposits in the world (Amado Filho & Pereira Filho, 2012). However, the process of OA on these rhodolith beds are largely unknown, with possibility to occur large  $\text{CaCO}_3$  dissolution taking into account the widespread occurrence of the ecosystems in Brazil.

### *Coastal upwelling regions*

The Brazilian Continental shelf is bordered by western boundary currents, with occurrence of some areas of upwelling of local/regional importance (Knoppers; Ekau & Figueiredo, 1999). Coastal upwelling of nutrient-rich SACW occurs near Cape Santa Marta (27°S-30°S; South Brazilian Coast), and Abrolhos-Campos region (15°S-23°S; East-Northeast Brazilian Coast) (Campos *et al.*, 2013; Aguiar *et al.*, 2014). Seasonal SACW intrusions derived from coastal upwelling has significant impacts on food web and plankton community composition (Valentin *et al.*, 2021). Taking account, that SACW has low buffering capacity and is experiencing high rates of OA, the upwelling regions are particularly vulnerable.

### *Urbanized Estuaries and Shelf Waters*

Urbanized estuaries and shelf waters are suffering with widespread eutrophication in Brazil. The eutrophication has led to changes in carbon cycling, decreasing (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2018, 2021) or increasing (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2015, 2021a) the aquatic pH levels. The decrease or increase in the pH levels depend on many factors, including the coastal typology, water residence time, vertical and horizontal water stratification, ecosystems metabolism, vicinity of sewage outlets and polluted rivers, and mixing with offshore waters (Cotovicz *et al.*, 2015, 2018, 2020, 2021, 2021a; Abril *et al.*, 2021). Like this, the answer of coastal ecosystems in face to eutrophication are strongly site-specific, with some ecosystems developing acidification of subsurface coastal waters, whereas others are counter-acting this process exhibiting increase of pH. In addition, the combined effect of eutrophication and OA on acidity in the coastal ocean could amplify the pH decline (Cai *et al.*, 2011). The high human population in the coastal zone of Brazil associated with the poorly coverage of wastewater treatment plans will likely to contribute to increase this environmental problem in a near future.

### **Perspectives on ocean and coastal acidification in Brazil, and research needs**

Surface ocean pH has declined globally (Bates *et al.*, 2014). This trend of OA will continue worldwide as the result of increase atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations under all emissions scenarios projected by IPCC. It is “virtually certain (99-100% probability)” that anthropogenic-derived  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions are the main driver of current global OA (IPCC, 2021). As briefly exposed in this manuscript, the water masses of the South Atlantic Ocean are exhibiting pH decline following the atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  increase. The situation is more critical for the SACW, which has experienced the fastest rates of OA. SACW is an important water mass composing the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean, achieving many coastal ecosystems in Brazil. The impacts of OA will likely to be more critical for the South and Southeast Brazilian coast due to lower-buffered waters (lower seawater temperatures) compared to Northeast and North coasts (Figure 4). Along with OA, many processes occurring in coastal ecosystems like local/regional changes in water chemistry from

freshwater inputs and excessive nutrient run-off from continental sources have the potential to cause acidification and/or to increase the vulnerability to OA. The rates of acidification of coastal waters will likely increase as a result of widespread eutrophication fueling organic matter respiration along the Brazilian Coast. However, the process of eutrophication can also counter-act the OA by increasing the primary production as the result of nutrient enrichment. The ecosystem response to these perturbations evolves several hydrological and biogeochemical aspects, and seems to be site-specific. The eutrophication has been driven by poor sanitary conditions that remains unsolved for large areas of the Brazilian coast, and without perspectives for improvements at short and medium terms. Another point to be considered regarding the vulnerability of coastal water to the process of acidification is the intensity of freshwater discharge. Brazilian coast receives discharges from large river plumes, like Amazon and Plata Rivers. The freshwater inputs from these rivers can have important influences regulating the buffering capacity in coastal regions receiving these riverine discharges. All these processes need further investigation.

There are increasing efforts of the Brazilian scientific community to develop capabilities in chemical oceanography, particularly the investigation of OA in the western South Atlantic Ocean (Kerr *et al.*, 2016). The Brazilian Ocean Acidification Research Group (BrOA; <https://broa.furg.br/>) was created in December 2012, aiming “to integrate Brazilian researchers into a broad national network of interdisciplinary cooperation in Ocean Acidification studies” (Kerr *et al.*, 2021). BROA operates in estuarine, coastal and open ocean waters. The formation of this group was also important for scientists to be involved in international programs investigating OA, participation in best practices measurements, workshops, etc. (Kerr *et al.*, 2016; Perreti *et al.*, 2018). Another group was officially established in December 2015, the Latin-American Ocean Acidification Network (LAOCA Network) formed by researchers from Latin-American countries, including Brazil (Vargas *et al.*, 2020). The principal mission of the LAOCA network is “to become a regional mode of articulation, communication and strengthening of the understanding of the OA process in Latin America, and its interaction with other local processes, as well as their implications for marine ecosystems and their ecosystem services”. However, despite these efforts, the analytical facilities and capabilities in Brazil remain underdeveloped (Kerr *et al.*, 2016; Perreti *et al.*, 2018) compared to the dimension of the Brazilian coast and the size of the problem. Consequently, it is important to share publicly, conduct procedures of Inter-calibration / inter-comparison of laboratories at National-International levels, and develop new technologies. However, it is important to highlight that some analysis and equipment have high cost, which is an additional obstacle for low-income and developing countries, like Brazil. That is why is highly recommended the utilization of data products available by global data repositories regarding CO<sub>2</sub>-system, as the Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas (SOCAT; <https://www.socat.info/index.php/data-access/>), Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center (CDIAC; <https://cdiac.ess-dive.lbl.gov/>), Global Ocean Data Analysis Project (GLODAP; <https://www.glodap.info/>). The latest version of GLODAP (GLODAPv2.2021), for example, contains more than 1.3 million water samples collected on 989 cruises covering several oceanic and coastal regions worldwide (Lauvset *et al.*, 2021). These international repositories adopt the Findable, Accessible, Interoperable, and Reusable (FAIR) principles for scientific data.

It is urgent to implement time-series acquisition of marine carbonate system parameters along the Brazilian coast (North, Northeast, Southeast and South) and



investigate the ocean uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> and OA. To our best knowledge, only the moored buoys of the PIRATA program (Prediction and Research Moored Array in the Tropical Atlantic) have been providing continuous, long data record of carbonate system parameters (fugacity of CO<sub>2</sub>) in the waters of the Tropical/South Atlantic Ocean (Bruto *et al.*, 2017; Bourlès *et al.*, 2019). Ocean and coastal time-series are consistent to investigate changes in surface carbonate chemistry and trends of acidification. CO<sub>2</sub> time series have provided successful observations in several oceanic location for periods from 15 to 30 years (Bates *et al.*, 2014). Along with this, it is also imperative to implement monitoring programs in the long-term in key coastal ecosystems (estuaries, river plumes, nearshore and continental shelf areas). Only in this way, we will could establish an overview in the processes of ocean and coastal acidification. Along with in situ carbonate chemistry observations, it is necessary to implement laboratory and field experiments (mesocosm) manipulating carbonate chemistry with key species/ communities/ ecosystems on a broad of realistic scenarios (Gattuso *et al.*, 2015; Doney *et al.*, 2020). The research fronts include many aspects at organism-level (sensitivity, acclimation and adaptation), and propagation of effects into community and ecosystem responses (Doney *et al.*, 2020). The manipulation of carbonate chemistry along with other stressors, like deoxygenation, ocean warming, are highly desirable. Data from observations and experiments are necessary to feed modeling systems (coastal and oceanic observatories), which can be applied for local, regional and global ocean systems to improve quality of information and future projections (Franz *et al.*, 2021). Together, all this information can conduct directions for future research and information needs for industrial leaders, policymakers and stakeholders (marine resource management, adaptation solutions). In addition, the science community should be close to the public to present the results and research implications of OA in clear and consistent terms.

There are four main actions that can be made to limit OA and CA (Gattuso *et al.*, 2015): mitigate (reducing the drivers), protect (building or maintaining ecosystems service), adapt (human societies), and repair (damage already occurred). However, at this time, only reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions will address the ultimate problem (Gattuso *et al.*, 2015). In addition to the decarbonization of the economy, it is important to look for nature-based approaches for mitigating greenhouse gas emissions and eventually promote carbon storage (Gattuso *et al.*, 2018; Taillardat; Friess & Lupascu, 2018). The coastal ocean offers opportunities to reduce the ongoing climate change (coastal ocean-based solution; Gattuso *et al.*, 2018); for example, vegetated coastal ecosystems (blue carbon) have high carbon sequestration capacity (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009). Brazil, with its immense and megadiverse coastal area, has one great opportunity with this nature-based solution to mitigate the anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emission, and achieve U.N. sustainable development goals and meet the Paris agreement.

**Acknowledgements** - Luiz C. Cotovicz Jr. thanks the Fundação Cearense de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (Funcap; Proc. no. INT- 00159-00009.01.00/19) and UFC-PRPPG for a visiting researcher grant at the Marine Sciences Institute (Labomar). This is a contribution to the France-Brazil International Research Project Velitrop (Vulnérabilité des Ecosystèmes Littoraux Tropicaux face à l'Eutrophisation) funded by the French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS-INEE), to the Brazilian Ocean

Acidification Research Group (BrOA), and to the Red Latinoamericana de Acidificación del Océano (Laoca).

## REFERENCES

Abril, G.; Etcheber, H.; Delille, B.; Frankignoulle, M. & Borges, A.V. Carbonate dissolution in the turbid and eutrophic Loire estuary. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, v. 259, p. 129-138, 2003.

Abril, G.; Libardoni, B.; Brandini, N.; Cotovicz Jr., L.C., Medeiros, P.R., Cavalcante, G. & Knoppers, B.A. Thermodynamic uptake of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in the oligotrophic and semiarid São Francisco estuary (NE Brazil). *Mar. Chem.*, v. 233, n. 103983, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2021>.

Aguiar, A.L.; Cirano, M.; Pereira, J. & Marta Almeida, M. Upwelling processes along a western boundary current in the Abrolhos-Campos region of Brazil. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, v. 85, p. 42-59, 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2014.04.013>.

Amado Filho, G.M. & Pereira Filho, G.H. Rhodolith beds in Brazil: a new potential habitat for marine bioprospection. *Ver. Bras. Farmacognosia*, v. 22, n. 4, p. 782-788, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-695X2012005000066>.

Bach, L.T.; Alvarez-Fernandez, S.; Hornick, T.; Stuhr, A. & Riebesell, U. Simulated ocean acidification reveals winners and losers in coastal phytoplankton. *PLoS ONE*, v. 12, n. 11, e0188198, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0188198>.

Bates, N.; Astor, Y.M.; Church, M.J.; Currie, K.; Dore, J.E.; González-Dávila, M.; Lorenzoni, L.; Muller-Karger, F.; Olafsson, J. & Santana-Casiano, M. A time-series view of changing surface ocean chemistry due to ocean uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> and ocean acidification. *Oceanography*, v. 27, n. 1, p. 126-141, 2014. Available in: [www.jstor.org/stable/24862128](http://www.jstor.org/stable/24862128).

Bonou, F.K.; Noriega, C.; Lefèvre, N. & Araujo, M. Distribution of CO<sub>2</sub> parameters in the Western Tropical Atlantic Ocean. *Dyn. Atm. Oc.*, v. 73, p. 47-60, 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2015.12.001>.

Borges, A.V. & Gypens, N. Carbonate chemistry in the coastal zone responds more strongly to eutrophication than ocean acidification. *Limnology and Oceanography*, v. 55, n. 1, p. 246-353, 2010. DOI: 10.4319/lo.2010.55.1.0346.

Bourlès, B.; Araujo, M.; McPhaden, M.J.; Brandt, P.; Foltz, G.R.; Lumpkin, R.; Giordani, H.; Hernandez, F.; Lefèvre, N.; Nobre, P.; Campos, E.; Saravanan, R.; Trotte-Duhà, J.; Dengler, M.; Hahn, J.; Hummels, R.; Lübbecke, J.F.; Rouault, M.; Cotrim, L.; Sutton, A.; Jochum, M. & Perez, R.C. Pirata: a sustained observing system for tropical Atlantic climate research and forecasting. *Earth and Space Science*, v. 6, p. 577-616, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EA000428>.

Breitburg, D.; Levin, L.A.; Oschlies, A.; Grégoire, M.; Chavez, F.P.; Conley, D.J.; Garçon, V.; Gilbert, D.; Gutiérrez, D.; Isensee, K.; Jacinto, G.S.; Limburg, K.E.; Montes, I.; Naqvi, S.W.A.; Pitcher, G.C.; Rabalais, N.N.; Roman, M.R.; Rose, K.A.; Seibel, B.A.; Telszewski, M.; Yasuhara, M. & Zhang, J. Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters. *Science*, v. 359, n. 6371, 2018. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aam7240>.

- Bruto, L.; Araujo, M.; Noriega, C.; Veleda, D. & Lefèvre, N. Variability of CO<sub>2</sub> fugacity at the western edge of the tropical Atlantic Ocean from the 8°N to 38°W PIRATA buoy. *Dyn. Atmos. Ocean.*, v. 78, p. 1-13, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2017.01.003>.
- Cai, W.-J.; Hu, X.; Huang, W.-J.; Murrell, M.C.; Lehrter, J.C.; Loherenz, S.E.; Chou, W.; Zhai, W.; Hollibaugh, J.T.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, P.; Guo, X.; Gundersen, M.D. & Gong, G.-C. Acidification of subsurface coastal waters enhanced by eutrophication. *Nat. Geosci.*, v. 4, p. 766-770, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1297>.
- Caldeira, K. & Wickett, M. Anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH. *Nature*, v. 425, n. 365, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1038/425365a>.
- Campestrini, I. & Jardim, W.F. Occurrence of cocaine and benzoylecgonine in drinking and source water in the São Paulo State region, Brazil. *Sci. Total Environ.*, v. 576, p. 374-380, 2017. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.089>.
- Campos, P.; Möller, O.O.; Piola, A. & Palma, E.D. Seasonal variability and coastal upwelling near Cape Santa Marta (Brazil). *J. Geophys. Res. Oc.*, v. 118, p. 1420-1433, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20131>.
- Carstensen, J. & Duarte, C.M. Drivers of pH variability in coastal ecosystems. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, v. 53, p. 4020-4029, 2019.
- Carvalho Borges, M.; Orselli, I.B.M.; Ferreira, M.L. & Kerr, R. Seawater acidification and anthropogenic carbon distribution on the continental shelf and slope of the western South Atlantic Ocean. *J. Mar. Sys.*, v. 187, p. 62-81, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2018.06.008>.
- Carvalho, A.C.O.; Kerr, R.; Mendes, C.R.B.; Azevedo, J.L.L. & Tavano, V.M. Phytoplankton strengthen CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in the South Atlantic Ocean. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, v. 190, n. 102476, 2021. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2020.102476>.
- Castro, B.M. & Miranda, L.B. Physical oceanography of the western Atlantic continental shelf located between 4°N and 34°S, p. 209-251, in Robinson, A.R. & Brink, K.H. (ed.). *The Sea*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1998.
- Cavalcante, M.S.; Marins, R.V.; Dias, F.J. & Rezende, C.E. Assessment of carbon fluxes to coastal area during persistent drought conditions. *Reg. Stud. Mar. Sci.*, v. 47, n. 101934, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2021.101934>.
- Clemente, I.M.M.M.; Silva, F.S.; Laut, L.L.M.; Frontalini, F.; da Costa, V.L.; Rodrigues, M.A.; Pereira, E.; Bergamaschi, S.; Mendonça Filho, J.G. & Martins, M.V.A. Biochemical composition and foraminiferal content of sediments for determining bottom sector environments in Guanabara Bay (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). *J. Coast. Res.*, v. 315, p. 1190-1204, 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-14-00104.1>.
- Cornwall, C.E.; Comeau, S.; Kornder, N.A.; Perry, C.T.; van Hooidek, R.; DeCarlo, T.M.; Pratchett, M.S.; Anderson, K.D.; Browne, N.; Carpenter, R.; Diaz-Pulido, G.; D'Olivo, J.P.; Doo, S.S.; Figueiredo, J.; Fortunato, S.A. V.; Kennedy, E.; Lantz, C.A.; McCulloch, M.T.; González-Rivero, M.; Schoepf, V.; Smithers, S.G. & Lowe, R.J. Global declines in coral reef calcium carbonate production under ocean acidification and warming. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, v. 118, n. e2015265118, 2021. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2015265118>.

Costa, O.; Nimmo, M. & Attrill, M. Coastal nutrification in Brazil: a review of the role of nutrient excess on coral reef demise. *J. S. Am. Earth Sci.*, v. 25, p. 257-270, 2008.

Cotovicz, L.C.; Knoppers, B.A.; Brandini, N.; Costa Santos, S.J. & Abril, G. A strong CO<sub>2</sub> sink enhanced by eutrophication in a tropical coastal embayment (Guanabara Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). *Biogeosciences*, v. 12, p. 6125-6146, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-6125-2015>.

Cotovicz, L.C.; Knoppers, B.A.; Brandini, N.; Poirier, D.; Costa Santos, S.J. & Abril, G. Spatio-temporal variability of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) concentrations and difusive fluxes from a tropical coastal embayment surrounded by a large urban area (Guanabara Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, v. 61, p. S238-S252, 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lno.10298>.

Cotovicz, L.C.; Knoppers, B.A.; Brandini, N.; Poirier, D.; Costa-Santos, S. & Abril, G. Aragonite saturation state in a tropical coastal embayment dominated by phytoplankton blooms (Guanabara Bay – Brazil). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, v. 129, n. 2, p. 729-739, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.10.064>.

Cotovicz, L.C.; Chielle, R. & Marins, R.V. Air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> flux in an equatorial continental shelf dominated by coral reefs (Southwestern Atlantic Ocean). *Cont. Shelf Res.*, v. 204, n. 104175, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2020.104175>.

Cotovicz, L.C.; Vidal, L.O.; de Rezende; C.E.; Bernardes, M.C.; Knoppers, B.A.; Sobrinho, R.L.; Cardoso, R.P.; Muniz, M.; dos Anjo, R.M.; Biehler, A. & Abril, G. Carbon dioxide sources and sinks in the delta of the Paraíba do Sul River (Southeastern Brazil) modulated by carbonate thermodynamics, gas exchange and ecosystem metabolism during estuarine mixing. *Mar. Chem.*, v. 226, n. 103869, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103869>.

Cotovicz, L.C.; Ribeiro, R.P.; Régis, C.R.; Bernardes, M.; Sobrinho, R.; Vidal, L.O.; Tremmel, D.; Knoppers, B.A. & Abril, G. Greenhouse gas emissions (CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) and inorganic carbon behavior in an urban highly polluted tropical coastal lagoon (SE, Brazil). *Environ Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13362-2>.

Cotovicz, L.C.; Knoppers, B.A.; Régis, C.R.; Tremmel, D.; Costa-Santos, S. & Abril, G. Eutrophication overcoming carbonate precipitation in a tropical hypersaline coastal lagoon acting as a CO<sub>2</sub> sink (Araruama Lagoon, SE Brazil). *Biogeochemistry*, 2021a. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-021-00842-3>.

Crossland C.J.; Kremer H.H.; Lindeboom, H.; Crossland, J.M. & Le Tissier M.D. *Coastal fluxes in the Anthropocene. Land-ocean interactions in the coastal zone project of the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 231 p., 2005. DOI: 10.1007/3-540-27851-6.

Diaz, R.J. & Rosenberg, R. Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. *Science*, v. 321, p. 926-929, 2008.

Dominguez, J.M.L. The coastal zone of Brazil, p. XX-XX, in *Geology and geomorphology of holocene coastal barriers of Brazil*. Lecture Notes in Earth Sciences. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2009. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-44771-9\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-44771-9_2).

Doney, S.C.; Fabry, V.J.; Feely, R. & Kleypas, J.A. Ocean acidification: the other CO<sub>2</sub> problem. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, v. 1, p. 169-192, 2009. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163834>.

Doney, S.C.; Busch, D.S.; Cooley, S.R. & Kroeker, K.J. The impacts of ocean acidification on marine ecosystems and reliant human communities. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, v. 45, p. 83-112, 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-012320-083019>.

Feely, R.A.; Sabine, C.L.; Lee, K.; Berelson, W.; Kleypas, J.; Fabry, V.J. & Millero, F.J. Impact of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> on the CaCO<sub>3</sub> system in the oceans. *Science*, v. 305, n. 5682, p. 362-366, 2004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1097329>.

Feely, R.A.; Sabine, C.L.; Hernandez-Ayon, J.M.; Ianson, D. & Hales, B. Evidence for upwelling of corrosive “acidified” water onto the continental shelf. *Science*, v. 320, n. 1490-2, 2008. DOI: 10.1126/science.1155676.

Feely, R.A.; Alin, S.; Carter, B.; Dunne, J.P.; Gledhill, D.K.; Jiang, L.; Lance, V.; Stepien, C.; Sutton, A. & Wanninkhof, R. *Open ocean region acidification research*. NOAA Ocean, Coastal, and Great Lakes Acidification Research Plan: 2020-2029, 2020. <https://oceanacidification.noaa.gov/ResearchPlan2020>.

Figuerola, B.; Hancock, A.M.; Bax, N.; Cummings, V.J.; Downey, R.; Griffiths, H.J.; Smith, J. & Stark, J.S. A review and meta-analysis of potential impacts of ocean acidification on marine calcifiers from the southern ocean. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, v. 8, n. 584445, p. 1-22, 2021. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.584445>.

Fonseca, A.L.; Newton, A. & Cabral, A. Local and meso-scale pressures in the eutrophication process of a coastal subtropical system: Challenges for effective management. *Est. Coast. S. Sc.*, v. 250, n. 107109, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2020.107109>.

Franz, G. *et al.* Coastal ocean observing and modeling systems in Brazil: initiatives and future perspectives. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, v. 8, n. 681619, p. 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.681619>.

Friedlingstein, P.; O’Sullivan, M.; Jones, M.W.; Andrew, R.M.; Hauck, J.; Olsen, A.; Peters, G.P.; Peters, W.; Pongratz, J.; Sitch, S.; Le Quéré, C.; Canadell, J.G.; Ciais, P.; Jackson, R.B.; Alin, S.; Aragão, L.E.O.C.; Arneeth, A.; Arora, V.; Bates, N.R.; Becker, M.; Benoit-Cattin, A.; Bittig, H.C.; Bopp, L.; Bultan, S.; Chandra, N.; Chevallier, F.; Chini, L.P.; Evans, W.; Florentie, L.; Forster, P.M.; Gasser, T.; Gehlen, M.; Gilfillan, D.; Gkritzalis, T.; Gregor, L.; Gruber, N.; Harris, I.; Hartung, K.; Haverd, V.; Houghton, R.A.; Ilyina, T.; Jain, A.K.; Joetzjer, E.; Kadono, K.; Kato, E.; Kitidis, V.; Korsbakken, J.I.; Landschützer, P.; Lefèvre, N.; Lenton, A.; Lienert, S.; Liu, Z.; Lombardozzi, D.; Marland, G.; Metzl, N.; Munro, D.R.; Nabel, J.E.M.S.; Nakaoka, S.-I.; Niwa, Y.; O’Brien, K.; Ono, T.; Palmer, P.I.; Pierrot, D.; Poulter, B.; Resplandy, L.; Robertson, E.; Rödenbeck, C.; Schwinger, J.; Séférian, R.; Skjelvan, I.; Smith, A.J.P.; Sutton, A.J.; Tanhua, T.; Tans, P.P.; Tian, H.; Tilbrook, B.; van der Werf, G.; Vuichard, N.; Walker, A.P.; Wanninkhof, R.; Watson, A.J.; Willis, D.; Wiltshire, A.J.; Yuan, W.; Yue, X. & Zaehle, S. Global Carbon Budget 2020. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, v. 12, p. 3269-3340, 2020. <https://doi.org/doi:10.5194/essd-12-3269-2020>.

Gattuso, J.-P.; Magnan, A.; Billé, R.; Cheung, W.W.L.; Howes, E.L.; Joos, F.; Allemand, D.; Bopp, L.; Cooley, S.R.; Eakin, C.M.; Hoegh-Guldberg, O.; Kelly, R.P.; Pörtner, H.-O.; Rogers,

A.D.; Baxter, J.M.; Laffoley, D.; Osborn, D.; Rankovic, A.; Rochette, J.; Sumaila, U.R.; Treyer, S. & Turley, C. Oceanography. Contrasting futures for ocean and society from different anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions scenarios. *Science*, v. 349, n. aac4722, 2015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aac4722>.

Gattuso, J.-P.; Magnan, A.K.; Bopp, L.; Cheung, W.W.L.; Duarte, C.M.; Hinkel, J.; Mcleod, E.; Micheli, F.; Oschlies, A.; Williamson, P.; Billé, R.; Chalastani, V.I.; Gates, R.D.; Irisson, J.-O.; Middelburg, J.J.; Pörtner, H.-O. & Rau, G.H. Ocean solutions to address climate change and its effects on marine ecosystems. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, v. 5, n. 337, 1-18, 2018. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00337>.

Horta, P.A. *et al.* Rhodoliths in Brazil: current knowledge and potential impacts of climate change. *Braz. J. Oceanogr.*, n. 64, p. 117-136, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-875920160870064sp2>.

IPCC. 2021: Summary for policymakers, in Masson-Delmotte, V.; Zhai, A.P.; Pirani, S.L.; Connors, C.; Péan, S.; Berger, N.; Caud, Y.; Chen, L.; Goldfarb, M.I.; Gomis, M.; Huang, K.; Leitzell, E.; Lonnoy, J.B.R.; Matthews, T.K.; Maycock, T.; Waterfield, O.; Yelekçi, R.; Yu & Zhou, B. (ed.). *Climate change 2021: the physical science basis. Contribution of working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. In press.

Ito, R.G.; Garcia, C.A.E. & Tavano, V.M. Net sea-air CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and modelled pCO<sub>2</sub> in the southwestern subtropical Atlantic continental shelf during spring 2010 and summer 2011. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, v. 119, p. 68-84, 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2016.03.013>.

Kerr, R. *et al.* The western south Atlantic Ocean in a high-CO<sub>2</sub> world: current measurement capabilities and perspectives. *Environ. Manag.*, v. 57, n. 3, p. 740-752, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-015-0630-x>.

Kitidis, V.; Brown, I.; Hardman-Mountford, N. & Lefèvre, N. Surface ocean carbon dioxide during the Atlantic Meridional Transect (1995-2013); evidence of ocean acidification. *Prog. Oceanogr.*, v. 158, p. 65-75, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.08.005>.

Kline, D.I.; Teneva, L.; Okamoto, D.K.; Schneider, K.; Caldeira, K.; Miard, T.; Chai, A.; Marker, M.; Dunbar, R.B.; Mitchell, B.G.; Dove, S. & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. Living coral tissue slows skeletal dissolution related to ocean acidification. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.*, v. 3, p. 1438-1444, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0988-x>.

Knoppers, B.A.; Ekau, W. & Figueiredo, A.G. The coast and shelf of east and northeast Brazil and material transport. *Geo-Marine Letters*, v. 19, p. 171-178, 1999.

Knoppers, B.A.; Souza, W.F.L.; Ekau, W.; Figueiredo, A.G. & Soares-Gomes, A. A interface terra mar do Brasil, p. 529-553, in Pereira, R.C. & Soares-Gomes, A. *Biologia Marinha*. Rio de Janeiro: Interciência, 656 p., 2009.

Lefèvre, N.; Montes, M.F.; Gaspar, F.L.; Rocha, C.; Jiang, S.; Araújo, M.C. de & Ibánhez, J.S.P. Net heterotrophy in the Amazon continental shelf changes rapidly to a sink of CO<sub>2</sub> in the outer Amazon plume. *Front. Mar. Sci.*, v. 4, n. 278, p. 1-16, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00278>.

Lauvset, S.K.; Lange, N.; Tanhua, T.; Bittig, H. C.; Olsen, A.C.; Kozyr, A.; Álvarez, M.; Becker, S.; Brown, P.J.; Carter, B.R.; Cotrim da Cunha, L.; Feely, R.A.; van Heuven, S.; Hoppema, M.; Ishii, M.; Jeansson, E.; Jutterström, S.; Jones, S.D.; Karlsen, M.K.; Lo Monaco, C.; Michaelis, P.; Murata, A.; Pérez, F.F.; Pfeil, B.; Schirnick, C.; Steinfeldt, R.; Suzuki, T.; Tilbrook, B.; Velo, A.; Wanninkhof, R.; Woosley, R.J.; & Key, R.M. An updated version of the global interior ocean biogeochemical data product, GLODAPv2.2021. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.*, p. 1-32, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2021-234>.

Leão, Z.M.A.N.; Kikuchi, R.K.P.; Ferreira, B.P.; Neves, E.G.; Sovierzoski, H.H.; Oliveira, M.D.M.; Maida, M.; Correia, M.D. & Johnsson, R. Brazilian coral reefs in a period of global change: a synthesis. *Braz. J. Oceanogr.*, v. 64, p. 97-116, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-875920160916064sp2>.

Mahiques, M.M.; Siegle, E.; Francini-Filho, R.B.; Thompson, F.L.; de Rezende, C.E.; Gomes, J.D. & Asp, N.E. Insights on the evolution of the living Great Amazon reef system, equatorial west atlantic. *Sci. Rep.*, v. 9, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-50245-6>.

Marcello, F.; Wainer, I. & Rodrigues, R.R. South Atlantic subtropical gyre late twentieth century changes. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, v. 123, n. 8, p. 5194-5209, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JC013815>.

Marroni, E.V. & Asmus, M.L. Historical antecedents and local governance in the process of public policies building for coastal zone of Brazil. *Oc. Coast. Manag.*, v. 76, p. 30-37, 2013. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2013.02.011>.

Millero, F.J. The marine inorganic carbon cycle. *Chem. Rev.*, v. 107, p. 308-341, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0503557>.

Nellemann, C.; Corcoran, E.; Duarte, C.M.; Valdres, L.; Young, C.D.; Fonseca, L. & Grimsditch, G. *Blue carbon: the role of healthy oceans in binding carbon*. UN Environment, GRID-Arendal, 2009.

Nirmal-Rajkumar, A.; Barnes, J.; Ramesh, R.; Purvaja, R. & Upstill-Goddard, R.C. Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in the polluted Adyar River and estuary, SE India. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, v. 56, p. 2043-2051, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.08.005>.

Nixon, S.W.; Oczkowski, A.J.; Pilson, M.E.Q.; Fields, L.; Oviatt, C.A. & Hunt, C.W. On the response of pH to inorganic nutrient enrichment in well-mixed coastal marine waters. *Estuar. Coasts*, v. 38, p. 232-241, 2015. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12237-014-9805-6>.

Noriega, C.; Araujo, M.; Lefèvre, N.; Montes, M.F.; Gaspar, F. & Veleza, D. Spatial and temporal variability of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in tropical estuarine systems near areas of high population density in Brazil. *Reg. Environ. Chang.*, v. 15, p. 619-630, 2014. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10113-014-0671-3>.

Perretti, A.R.; Albergaria-Barbosa, A.C.; Kerr, R. & Cunha, L.C. Ocean acidification studies and the uncertainties relevance on measurements of marine carbonate system properties. *Braz. J. Oceanogr.*, v. 66, p. 234-242, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-87592018000706602>.

Piola, A.R.; Campos, E.J.D.; Moller, O.O.; Charo, M. & Martinez, C. Subtropical shelf front off eastern South America. *J. Geophys. Res.*, v. 105, p. 6565-6578, 2000.

- Riebesell, U.; Zondervan, I.; Rost, B.; Tortell, P.D.; Zeebe, R.E. & Morel, F.M.M. Reduced calcification of marine plankton in response to increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature*, v. 407, p. 364-367, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35030078>.
- Ríos, A.F.; Vázquez-Rodríguez, M.; Padin, X.A. & Pérez, F.F. Anthropogenic carbon dioxide in the South Atlantic western basin. *J. Mar. Syst.*, v. 83, p. 38-44, 2010.
- Ríos, A.F.; Velo, A.; Pardo, P.C.; Hoppema, M. & Pérez, F.F. An update of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> storage rates in the western South Atlantic basin and the role of Antarctic Bottom Water. *J. Mar. Syst.*, v. 94, p. 197-203, 2012.
- Ríos, A.F.; Resplandy, L.; García-Ibáñez, M.I.; Fajara, N.M.; Velo, A.; Padin, X.A.; Wanninkhof, R.; Steinfeldt, R.; Rosón, G. & Pérez, F.F. Decadal acidification in the water masses of the Atlantic Ocean. *PNAS*, v. 112, n. 32, p. 9950-9955, 2015.
- Robbins, L.L.; Hansen, M.E.; Kleypas, J.A. & Meylan, S.C. CO<sub>2</sub>Calc: a user-friendly seawater carbon calculator for Windows, Max OS X, and iOS (iPhone): U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 2010-1280, p. 1-17. 2010. Available in: <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2010/1280/>. Accessed in: 7 Dec. 2020.
- Rossi-Wongtschowski, C.L.D.-B. & Madureira, L.S.-P. *O ambiente oceanográfico da plataforma continental e do talude na região Sudeste-Sul do Brasil*. São Paulo: Editora da Universidade de São Paulo, 472 p., 2006.
- Sabine, C.L.; Feely, R.A.; Gruber, N.; Key, R.M.; Lee, K.; Bullister, J.L.; Wanninkhof, R.; Wong, C.S.; Wallace, D.W.R.; Tilbrook, B.; Millero, F.J.; Peng, T.-H.; Kozyr, A.; Ono, T. & Ríos, A.F. The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub>. *Science*, v. 305, p. 367-371, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097403>.
- Salisbury, J.; Green, M.; Hunt, C. & Campbell, J. Coastal acidification by rivers: a threat to shellfish? *Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, v. 89, n. 513, 2008. DOI: [doi.org/10.1029/2008EO500001](https://doi.org/10.1029/2008EO500001).
- Salles, F.J.P.; Bentes, F.C.M. & Santos, J.A. *Catálogo de estações maregráficas*. Rio de Janeiro: Fundação de Estudos do Mar (Femar), 2000.
- Salt, L.A.; van Heuven, S.M.A.; Claus, M.E.; Jones, E.M. & de Barr, H.J.W. Rapid acidification of mode and intermediate waters in the southwestern Atlantic Ocean. *Biogeosciences*, v. 12, p. 1387-1401, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-1387-2015>.
- Silveira, I.C.A.; Schmidt, A.C.K.; Campos, E.J.D.; Godoi, S.S. & Ikeda, Y. The Brazil current off the eastern Brazilian Coast. *Rev. Bras. Oceanogr.*, v. 48, n. 2, p. 171-183, 2000.
- Sippo, J.Z.; Maher, D.T.; Tait, D.R.; Holloway, C. & Santos, I.R. Are mangroves drivers or buffers of coastal acidification? Insights from alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon export estimates across a latitudinal transect. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, v. 30, p. 753-766, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005324>.
- Stramma, L. & England, M. On the water masses and mean circulation of the South Atlantic Ocean. *J. Geophys. Res.*, v. 104, p. 20863-20883, 1999.
- Taillardat, P.; Friess, D.A. & Lupascu, M. Mangrove blue carbon strategies for climate change mitigation are most effective at the national scale. *Biol. Lett.*, v. 14, n. 20180251, 2018. DOI: 10.1098/rsbl.2018.0251.



Tseng, C.-M.; Liu, K.-K.; Gong, G.-C.; Shen, P.-Y. & Cai, W.-J. CO<sub>2</sub> uptake in the East China Sea relying on Changjiang runoff is prone to change. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, v. 38, n. L24609, 2011. DOI: 10.1029/2011GL049774.

UCSD-SIO. *The keeling curve*. University of California San Diego - Scripps Institution of Oceanography, San Diego, CE, 2021. Available in: <https://keelingcurve.ucsd.edu/>. Accessed in: 24 May 2021.

Valentin, J.L.; Leles, S.G.; Tenenbaum, D.R. & Figueiredo, G.M. Frequent upwelling intrusions and rainfall events drive shifts in plankton community in a highly eutrophic estuary. *Est. Coast S. Sci.*, v. 257, n. 107387, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2021.107387>.

Vargas, C.A.; Berghoff, C.; Lagos, N.A.; Hernández-Ayón, J.M.; Graco, M.; Kerr, R.; da Cunha, L.C.; Sánchez-Noguera, C. & Acosta, A. *Red Latinoamericana de Acidificación del Océano: Plan de Gobernanza*. Documento Técnico. Primera Edición. 2020. <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.35544.52486>.

Vilela, C.G.; Sanjines, A.; Ghiselli, R.J.; Filho, J.; Neto, J. & Barbosa C.F. Search for bioindicators of pollution in the Guanabara Bay: integrations of ecologic patterns. *Anu. Inst. Geociênc.*, v. 26, p. 25-35, 2003.

Xue, L.; Cai, W.-J.; Sutton, A.J. & Sabine, C. Sea surface aragonite saturation state variations and control mechanisms at the Gray's Reef time-series site off Georgia, USA (2006-2007). *Mar. Chem.*, 2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2017.05.009>.

Wanninkhof, R.; Doney, S.C.; Bullister, J.L.; Levine, N.M.; Warner, M. & Gruber, N. Detecting anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> changes in the interior Atlantic Ocean between 1989 and 2005. *J. Geophys. Res.*, v. 115, n. C11028, 2010. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006251>.

Willeit, M.; Ganopolski, A.; Calov, R. & Brovkin, V. Mid-pleistocene transition in glacial cycles explained by declining CO<sub>2</sub> and regolith removal. *Sci. Adv.*, v. 5, p. 1-9, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav7337>.

WOCE. *The World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) Global Hydrographic Climatology*. Research Data Archive at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, Computational and Information Systems Laboratory. Deutsches Ozeanographisches Datenzentrum/Bundesamt Fur Seeschiffahrt Und Hydrographie/Germany, 2006. Available in: <https://doi.org/10.5065/GS51-V170>. Accessed in: 25 Aug. 2021.