

Heterologous expression of a lycophyte protein enhances angiosperm seedling vigor

Samuel Koh, H. Nicholay Diaz-Ardila, Carlisle Bascom, Eduardo Berenguer,

Gwyneth Ingram, Mark Estelle, Christian Hardtke

► To cite this version:

Samuel Koh, H. Nicholay Diaz-Ardila, Carlisle Bascom, Eduardo Berenguer, Gwyneth Ingram, et al.. Heterologous expression of a lycophyte protein enhances angiosperm seedling vigor. Development (Cambridge, England), 2022, 149 (21), 10.1242/dev.200917. hal-03812135

HAL Id: hal-03812135 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03812135v1

Submitted on 7 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	
3	
4	Heterologous expression of a lycophyte protein enhances angiosperm seedling vigor
5	
6	
7	
8	Samuel W.H. Koh ¹ , H. Nicholay Diaz-Ardila ¹ , Carlisle S. Bascom ² , Eduardo Berenguer ³ , Gwyneth Ingram ³ ,
9	Mark Estelle ² & Christian S. Hardtke ^{1*}
10	
11	
12	
13	1 Department of Plant Molecular Biology, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
14	² Section of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, United States
15	³ Laboratoire Reproduction et Développement des Plantes, ENS de Lyon, Lyon, France
16	
17	*Correspondence: christian.hardtke@unil.ch
18	
19	
20	Running title: BRX variants boost growth vigor
21	

22 Abstract

Seedling vigor is a key agronomic trait that determines juvenile plant performance. Angiosperm seeds 23 24 develop inside fruits and are connected to the mother plant through vascular tissues. Their formation 25 requires plant-specific genes, such as BREVIS RADIX (BRX) in Arabidopsis thaliana roots. BRX family proteins are found throughout the euphyllophytes but also occur in non-vascular bryophytes and non-seed 26 27 lycophytes. They consist of four conserved domains, including the tandem "BRX-domains". We found that bryophyte or lycophyte BRX homologs can only partially substitute for Arabidopsis BRX (AtBRX) because 28 they miss key features in the linker between the BRX-domains. Intriguingly however, expression of a BRX 29 30 homolog from the lycophyte Selaginella moellendorffii (SmBRX) in A. thaliana wildtype background confers robustly enhanced root growth vigor that persists throughout the life cycle. This effect can be traced to a 31 substantial increase in seed and embryo size, is associated with enhanced vascular tissue proliferation, and 32 33 can be reproduced with a modified, "SmBRX-like" variant of AtBRX. Our results thus suggest that BRX variants can boost seedling vigor and shed light on the activity of ancient, non-angiosperm BRX family 34 proteins. 35

- 36
- 37

38 **Keywords:** *Arabidopsis, Selaginella, Marchantia, Physcomitrium, vigor, seed, embryo, root, phloem, BRX;*

- 39
- 40

41 Introduction

42 Plant evolution is marked by major transitions that have led to the angiosperms, the flowering seed plants that dominate the extant terrestrial biosphere (Amborella Genome, 2013; Rensing, 2020; Spencer et al., 43 44 2021). Key inventions include the evolution of vascular tissues, which separates lycophytes from the 45 bryophytes; enclosure of the embryo in a seed, which separates spermatophytes from ferns; and protection of the seeds inside fruits, which separates angiosperms from gymnosperms. The development of such 46 evolutionary novelties often entails plant-specific gene families (Armisen et al., 2008; Guo, 2013; Jiao et al., 47 2020; Pfannebecker et al., 2017; Rensing, 2020). Among them, the BREVIS RADIX (BRX) gene family 48 comprises five members in the angiosperm model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, AtBRX and its homologs 49 AtBRX-LIKE (AtBRXL) 1-4 (Beuchat et al., 2010a; Briggs et al., 2006). The encoded BRX family proteins consist 50 of four distinct, highly conserved domains that are not found outside the green lineage (Briggs et al., 2006; 51 52 Koh et al., 2021). They include the signature tandem "BRX-domains", which are connected by a linker of variable sequence and size (Koh et al., 2021). Originally, AtBRX had been identified based on a rare natural 53 loss-of-function variant that might confer an adaptative advantage in certain conditions (Gujas et al., 2012; 54 55 Mouchel et al., 2004; Shindo et al., 2008). Moreover, a rare AtBRX gain-of-function allele that carries a deletion in the linker between the BRX-domains is associated with slightly increased root growth vigor 56 (Beuchat et al., 2010a). BRX family gene variants have also been implicated in Brassica domestication 57 58 (Zhang et al., 2021). These independent evidences suggest that allelic variations in BRX family genes are 59 relevant for the evolution of trait diversity.

60 All BRX family proteins monitored to date are primarily plasma-membrane-associated and display polar cellular localization (Bringmann and Bergmann, 2017; Koh et al., 2021; Marhava et al., 2020; Marhava 61 et al., 2018; Rowe et al., 2019; Scacchi et al., 2009). The biological functions of BRX family genes are diverse 62 63 and point to sub-functionalization of individual family members (Koh et al., 2021; Li et al., 2019; Marhava 64 et al., 2020; Rowe et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021). For instance, the best-characterized members, AtBRX and AtBRXL2, are interchangeable in stomata development (Rowe et al., 2019) but not in root protophloem 65 development (Koh et al., 2021; Marhava et al., 2020), and this unequal redundancy has recently been 66 associated with differences in protein behavior (Koh et al., 2021; Marhava et al., 2020). In the root, AtBRX 67 68 guides the progression of protophloem sieve element differentiation by modulating the local trans-cellular flux of the phytohormone, auxin (Marhava et al., 2018; Moret et al., 2020). In brx loss-of-function mutants, 69 protophloem differentiation is thus impaired and consequently, root growth vigor is strongly reduced (Anne 70 71 and Hardtke, 2017; Moret et al., 2020; Mouchel et al., 2004; Rodrigues et al., 2009). AtBRX protein is polarly 72 localized at the rootward end of developing protophloem sieve elements, where it interacts with an AGC-

type kinase regulator of the auxin transport machinery in an intricate, feedback-regulated "molecular 73 rheostat" (Aliaga Fandino and Hardtke, 2022; Bassukas et al., 2021; Marhava et al., 2018). A key feature of 74 75 this molecular rheostat is the auxin-responsive plasma-membrane-dissociation of AtBRX (Marhava et al., 76 2018; Scacchi et al., 2009), which is a quantitative determinant of BRX family protein activity in the developing protophloem context (Koh et al., 2021; Marhava et al., 2020). It has been mapped to AGC kinase 77 target phosphosites, including a key site in the linker sequence, which are present in AtBRX but absent in 78 AtBRXL2 (Koh et al., 2021). Engineering these sites into AtBRXL2 renders the modified protein auxin-79 responsive and augments its biological activity in the protophloem (Koh et al., 2021). Conversely, in a BRX 80 81 family protein from the lycophyte Selaginella moellendorffii (SmBRX), the phosphosites are missing and the 82 linker is much shorter than in A. thaliana BRX family proteins (Koh et al., 2021). Thus, SmBRX plasma-83 membrane-association is not auxin-responsive and can only partially rescue the protophloem 84 differentiation defects of brx loss-of-function mutants (Koh et al., 2021). In summary, the available data suggest that sub-functionalization of BRX family proteins is at least in part determined by the sequence of 85 86 the linker between the tandem BRX-domains.

87 Despite their incapacity to fully complement the brx mutant, both AtBRXL2 and SmBRX can confer significant rescue of average root growth vigor when expressed under control of the AtBRX promoter 88 (Beuchat et al., 2010a; Briggs et al., 2006; Koh et al., 2021). This might reflect the significant yet partial 89 90 rescue of protophloem defects, which manifests in a strongly reduced proportion of seedlings that display 91 visibly impaired differentiation in both sieve element strands and suggests that at least one strand is often functional (Breda et al., 2017; Koh et al., 2021). Nevertheless, compared to other BRX family proteins that 92 lack the key AGC kinase target phosphosite in the linker and were monitored previously (Beuchat et al., 93 94 2010a; Briggs et al., 2006; Marhava et al., 2020), the rescue of brx root growth vigor obtained with SmBRX was remarkable and statistically indistinguishable from the Columbia-0 (Col-0) wildtype control (Koh et al., 95 2021). Here, we explored this phenomenon in detail and found that SmBRX expression in A. thaliana 96 wildtype substantially enhances seedling vigor. 97

99 Results

100 The linker between the BRX-domains determines BRX protein family sub-functionalization

101 Alignment of 300 full length bona fide BRX family proteins retrieved from across the green lineage (One 102 Thousand Plant Transcriptomes, 2019) shows that the key AGC target phosphosite (corresponding to S228 in AtBRX) is embedded in a 20 amino acid motif within the linker (SAXXSPVTPPLXKERLPRNF) that is 103 104 conserved in the vast majority of BRX family proteins (Dataset S1 and Figure S1). Although the phosphosite serine shows the highest level of conservation (89%) within this motif, the AGC kinase consensus (R[D/E]S) 105 is only present in a subset of ca. 10% of BRX family proteins that are all exclusively from angiosperms. 106 Moreover, in AtBRX and its interchangeable homolog AtBRXL1 (Briggs et al., 2006; Koh et al., 2021), the 107 R[D/E]S site is present but the motif is only partly conserved. Finally, the motif is notably absent from all 108 lycophyte and bryophyte BRX family proteins examined. 109

110 To further determine the functional relevance of this region, we chose to investigate a few representative BRX family proteins from different phylogenetic branches that display a combination of 111 linker features (Figure 1A and Figure S1). First, we identified two BRX family genes in the early diverging 112 113 angiosperm lineage, Amborella trichopoda (AmbBRXL1 and AmbBRXL2). Both encoded proteins have linkers of a size comparable to A. thaliana BRX family proteins (125 and 120 amino acids, respectively), and in both 114 the 20 amino acid motif is conserved. However, only AmbBRXL2 carries the R[D/E]S consensus phosphosite 115 (Figure S1). When expressed under control of the AtBRX promoter, an AmbBRXL1-CITRINE fusion protein 116 codon-optimized for A. thaliana at best partially complemented the root growth (Figure S2A) or 117 118 protophloem defects (Figure S2B) of A. thaliana brx mutants. By contrast, a codon-optimized AmbBRXL2-119 CITRINE fusion protein fully complemented all brx mutant defects (Figure S2C and D), and consistently, AmbBRXL2, but not AmbBRXL1, displayed auxin-induced plasma-membrane-dissociation (Figure S2K-M). 120 121 These functional assays reiterate the importance of the S228 phosphosite for AtBRX-like activity.

122 Next, using the same complementation approach, we monitored three BRX family proteins identified in bryophytes, the two proteins identified in the Physcomitrium patens genome (PpBRXL1 and 123 PpBRXL2), and the single protein found in the Marchantia polymorpha genome (MpBRXL1). All three 124 125 proteins lack the R[D/E]S phosphosite as well as the 20 amino acid motif, but whereas PpBRXL1 and 126 PpBRXL2 linker sizes are comparable to A. thaliana BRX family proteins (127 and 122 amino acids, respectively), the MpBRXL1 linker is considerably shorter (61 amino acids) (Figure S1). As expected, all three 127 proteins only partially rescued root growth vigor or protophloem defects of brx mutants (Figure S2E-J), and 128 129 none of them was auxin-responsive (Figure S2N-P). Since all five proteins assayed displayed protophloem-130 specific expression and polar localization similar to AtBRX (Figure S2Q-U), we conclude that the linker

sequence between the BRX-domains is a major determinant of specific activity, consistent with previous
 findings (Beuchat et al., 2010a; Koh et al., 2021); and that the entire functional spectrum represented by
 AtBRX is only contained in a subgroup of angiosperm BRX family proteins.

134 Seedling growth vigor is enhanced by heterologous SmBRX expression

135 Our assays of bryophyte BRX family proteins reiterate that the linker region contains the functional features that make AtBRX unique and are required for proper protophloem sieve element differentiation (Koh et al., 136 2021). Notably however, neither of the three bryophyte proteins consistently conferred the essentially 137 wildtype root growth vigor observed previously in complementation experiments with SmBRX (Koh et al., 138 2021), which has the shortest (37 amino acids) linker identified so far and lacks any of the conserved linker 139 140 sequences recognizable in most other BRX family proteins (Figure 1A and Dataset S1). To further explore this phenomenon, we expressed SmBRX-CITRINE fusion protein under control of the AtBRX promoter in 141 142 wildtype background. Corroborating its growth-promoting effect, these transgenic lines displayed significantly increased growth vigor that manifested in ca. 25% longer roots in 7-day-old seedlings (Figure 143 144 1B). Such root growth promotion was neither observed in similar experiments with AmbBRXL1, MpBRXL1 145 and AtBRXL1 (Figure 1C-E), nor upon copy number increase of AtBRXL1 or AtBRXL2 (Figure 1F and G), nor upon ectopic overexpression of AtBRX or AtBRXL2 under control of the ubiquitous 35S promoter (Figure 146 1H and I). To exclude that the growth-promoting property of SmBRX was due to its codon-optimization for 147 A. thaliana, we introduced a similar construct expressing a non-codon-optimized version (SmBRX^{nop}) into 148 149 brx mutants and Col-0 wildtype. Similar to codon-optimized SmBRX, this protein displayed sieve element-150 specific expression and polar localization (Figure S2V). Again, we observed partial complementation of 151 protophloem defects and wildtype-level root growth vigor in brx (Figure S3A and B), and larger-thanwildtype root growth vigor in Col-0 (Figure S3C). Conversely, a codon-optimized version of AtBRX (AtBRX^{opt}) 152 153 essentially behaved like the wildtype protein (Figure S3D-F). In summary, these experiments suggest that 154 structural features of the SmBRX protein are responsible for its growth-promoting property.

Next, we tested whether SmBRX mediates enhanced root growth across a range of conditions. We 155 found that both wildtype and SmBRX transgenics responded to variations in sucrose concentration in the 156 media, but in all conditions, the SmBRX transgenics displayed longer roots than wildtype (Figure 2A-C). In 157 158 tendency, the difference in growth vigor was even amplified in the absence of sucrose (Figure 2A). Likewise, 159 SmBRX transgenics maintained their growth advantage in various adverse conditions, such as absence of 160 any nutrients (Figure 2D), sub-optimal pH (Figure 2E), or challenge by peptides that suppress protophloem 161 formation (Depuydt et al., 2013) (Figure 2F). Finally, although Col-0 seeds are essentially non-dormant, we 162 stimulated germination by application of gibberellic acid to exclude that the root growth differences could

result from a premature germination of SmBRX trangenics (Figure 2G). Finally, SmBRX transgenics also kept their advantage when treated with the gibberellic acid antagonist, abscisic acid (Topham et al., 2017) (Figure S4A). In summary, our experiments suggest that heterologous expression of SmBRX in *A. thaliana* results in a robust increase in seedling growth vigor.

167 SmBRX confers increased seed and embryo size

To determine whether the growth advantage of SmBRX transgenics in tissue culture translates into the soil 168 environment, we first tested the capacity of their roots to vertically penetrate a ca. 2 cm thick barrier of 169 densely packed pebbles embedded in media. In this assay, SmBRX transgenics performed as well as 170 wildtype (Figure 2H), suggesting that they maintained their capacity to navigate a complex environment. 171 172 We then monitored adult root system growth in a greenhouse setting. In replicate experiments with a setup 173 of 96 tubes, SmBRX transgenics maintained their growth advantage until at least 31 days after germination. 174 The mean difference to wildtype remained relatively constant in comparisons between 21-day-old (Figure 2I) and 31-day-old (Figure 2J) plants however (between 3-4 cm, with average wildtype length ca. 28 and 37 175 cm, respectively), suggesting that SmBRX transgenics do not display a permanently higher growth rate but 176 177 might carry over an early advantage. Since we had excluded a causative role of premature or accelerated germination (Figure 2G), we closely inspected the seeds. Indeed, seeds from SmBRX transgenics were 178 visibly bigger than wildtype seeds (Figure 2K). Size approximation through image analysis of flatbed scans 179 180 of dried seeds confirmed this notion and its statistical significance for a sample of independent transgenic 181 lines and wildtype seed batches harvested at different times (Figure 2L and M). By contrast, seed batches 182 of brx mutants were similar in size to wildtype (Figure 2L and M). Moreover, a high resolution image analysis 183 (Figure S4B and C) of thousands of individual seeds confirmed these results in a comparison of wildtype with independent transgenic lines that either expressed an AtBRX-CITRINE fusion protein or an SmBRX-184 185 CITRINE fusion protein under control of the AtBRX promoter: wildtype and AtBRX transgenics were largely 186 similar in the distributions of surface projection (Figure 3A), maximum seed length (Figure 3B) and maximum seed width (Figure 3C), whereas the distribution of SmBRX transgenics was skewed to higher 187 values for all parameters tested (Figure 3A-C). Based on the average length and width, we estimated that 188 the derived idealized ellipsoid seed volume of SmBRX transgenics is increased by about 75% compared to 189 190 wildtype or AtBRX transgenics (ca. 0.190 vs ca. 0.107 cubic micrometers). In summary, our data suggest 191 that the seeds of SmBRX transgenics are substantially bigger than in wildtype. Dried A. thaliana seeds 192 largely represent the mature embryo, because the endosperm is essentially consumed as embryogenesis 193 progresses (Doll and Ingram, 2022; Lafon-Placette and Kohler, 2014). This suggested that mature SmBRX 194 transgenic embryos are bigger than wildtype embryos, which turned out to be the case (Figure 4A and B).

195 Finally, the observation matches the reported activity of the AtBRX promoter during embryogenesis (Bauby et al., 2007; Scacchi et al., 2009). Investigation of SmBRX transgenics by confocal microscopy imaging 196 197 matched these earlier findings and revealed expression of the SmBRX-CITRINE fusion protein in the shoot apical meristem of early and late heart stage embryos (Figure 4C and D) that ceased in torpedo stage (Figure 198 4E), whereas expression in the developing (phloem) vasculature of the hypocotyl-root axis and the 199 cotyledons was observed from the bent cotyledon stage onwards (Figure 4F) and persisted in maturing 200 embryos (Figure 4G and H). Moreover, stele width, measured at the hypocotyl-radicle junction, was 201 202 significantly wider in SmBRX transgenics than in wildtype (Figure 4I). Consistently, cell counts across tissue 203 layers showed an increase specifically in vascular cell files in SmBRX transgenics (Figure 4J), which might thus drive the size increase. 204

205 Next we asked whether the increased seed size in SmBRX transgenics is associated with tradeoffs 206 in seed or biomass productivity? In greenhouse-grown plants, we did not observe any difference in fruit 207 size (silique length, Figure 2N), however we observed a statistically significant, ca. 10% reduction in seed 208 number (Figure 2O). Nevertheless, total dry seed weight per plant was similar if not in tendency higher in 209 SmBRX transgenics (Figure 2P). Collectively, our data therefore suggest that the *SmBRX* transgene triggers 210 a substantial increase in seed size, accompanied by a small decrease in seed number, but does not adversely 211 affect overall plant productivity.

212 An AtBRX in-frame deletion variant recapitulates SmBRX gain-of-function effects

213 Because SmBRX does not only diverge from AtBRX in the linker between the BRX-domains, but also in the 214 non-conserved sequences that connect the conserved domains in the N-terminal regions, we sought to 215 determine whether the reduced linker size of 37 amino acids was causative for the SmBRX-mediated growth promotion. To this end we engineered an AtBRX variant in which residues 219 to 266 were deleted, 216 217 thereby removing the 20 amino acid motif including the RES phosphosite (Figure S1) and reducing the linker size from 93 to 45 amino acids. This "SmBRX-like" AtBRX (AtBRX^{SmI}) was then introduced into Col-0 wildtype 218 plants and brx mutants as a CITRINE fusion protein, expressed under control of the AtBRX promoter. 219 Intriguingly, AtBRX^{Sml} transgenics displayed essentially similar features as SmBRX transgenics: in brx 220 background, protophloem defects were only partially rescued (Figure 5A) although root growth vigor was 221 similar to wildtype (Figure 5B); in wildtype background, AtBRX^{SmI} promoted root elongation as much as 222 223 SmBRX (Figure 5C) and again this could be traced back to a bigger seed size (Figure 5D). In summary, these 224 data suggest that removal of the regulatory phosphosite in conjunction with a size reduction in the linker 225 between the BRX-domains confers a dominant, growth-promoting effect on AtBRX.

227 Discussion

Plants represent a variation of eukaryotic multicellularity that is distinct from animals in its unique 228 structural, physiological and cellular characteristics. They evolved independently and it is therefore not 229 230 surprising that their genomes encode proteins that are specific to the green lineage (Armisen et al., 2008; Guo, 2013; Jiao et al., 2020; One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes, 2019; Rensing, 2020). The BRX family 231 proteins are a prime example in this context, since their highly conserved domains are not found outside 232 plants, not even in some rudimentary form. Besides the two conserved domains in the N-terminal half of 233 BRX proteins, the tandem BRX-domains in the C-terminal half are most prominent and can also be found in 234 single copy in another plant-specific group of proteins that typically also contain, among others, a lipid-235 binding domain (Briggs et al., 2006; Furutani et al., 2020; van Leeuwen et al., 2004). The exact molecular 236 function of the BRX-domains remains somewhat obscure, but evidence from various independent contexts 237 238 suggests that they primarily mediate both homologous and heterologous protein-protein interactions and membrane attachment (Briggs et al., 2006; Furutani et al., 2020; Li et al., 2019; Marhava et al., 2020; Rowe 239 et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022). BRX-domains might therefore primarily represent versatile scaffolds to 240 241 recruit other proteins to the plasma membrane and/or regulate their trafficking, which could explain their involvement in various processes, such as subcellular polarity establishment and maintenance or 242 modulation of phytohormone transport (Furutani et al., 2020; Li et al., 2019; Marhava et al., 2020; Marhava 243 244 et al., 2018; Moret et al., 2020; Muroyama et al., 2020; Rowe et al., 2019).

245 The founding member of the BRX protein family in A. thaliana has been identified via a loss-of-246 function allele, through a natural variation approach (Mouchel et al., 2004). Thus, despite the high 247 conservation of AtBRX and its essential role in root protophloem development (Aliaga Fandino and Hardtke, 2022; Rodriguez-Villalon et al., 2014), the AtBRX gene is apparently dispensable in particular circumstances. 248 249 Indeed, additional, extant loss-of-function alleles isolated from natural settings suggest that in acidic soils, 250 where root growth is generally inhibited, such alleles might even confer a competitive advantage (Gujas et al., 2012). Conversely, AtBRX was also identified as a quantitative trait locus that conferred slightly yet 251 significantly increased root growth vigor (Beuchat et al., 2010a). The underlying, causative natural AtBRX 252 allele carries a small, seven amino acid in-frame deletion in the linker between the BRX-domains (Beuchat 253 254 et al., 2010a). This deletion does not affect the phosphosite that is necessary for comprehensive AtBRX 255 function in the protophloem (Koh et al., 2021), but supports the conclusion from our current study that the 256 linker has a pivotal influence on the activity of BRX family proteins.

Among the BRX family proteins that we identified, SmBRX has the shortest linker sequence and lacks the critical phosphosite required to fully replace AtBRX in root protophloem development (Koh et al., 259 2021). However, additional, heterologous SmBRX expression in A. thaliana wildtype confers increased seed and embryo size, and subsequently enhanced seedling vigor. The engineered AtBRX^{sml} variant, which we 260 261 synthesized using AtBRX as the backbone, has the same effects in both Col-0 wildtype and brx mutant 262 backgrounds. This supports the idea that the unique amino acid sequence of SmBRX is not responsible for the observed gain-of-function effects, but rather structural features that depend on the distance between 263 264 the two BRX-domains. Notably, this effect was observed upon expression under control of the relatively weak AtBRX promoter (Bauby et al., 2007; Beuchat et al., 2010b; Scacchi et al., 2009). Whether the 265 observed gain-of-function effects are directly related to the expression in the protophloem remains unclear 266 267 however, because the AtBRX promoter confers initially wider expression during embryogenesis (including the apical shoot meristem and the root stem cell niche) (Bauby et al., 2007; Scacchi et al., 2009), and 268 269 because importantly, the gene body also shapes the AtBRX expression pattern (Koh et al., 2021). 270 Nevertheless, the observed SmBRX protein expression pattern in embryogenesis is consistent with the increased vascular proliferation, and also with the observation that AtBRX loss-of-function mutants display 271 272 smaller cotyledons (Beuchat et al., 2010b).

273 Interestingly, the knock-out allele of brx in Col-0 background used in this study was originally 274 identified because of its hypersensitive response to the phytohormone abscisic acid (Rodrigues et al., 2009). One of the various biological functions of abscisic acid is the promotion of seed dormancy, however 275 our experiments do not support premature or accelerated germination as causative for the enhanced 276 seedling root growth upon SmBRX or AtBRX^{sml} expression. Nevertheless, SmBRX/AtBRX^{sml}-conferred gain-277 of-function might reflect reduced abscisic acid sensitivity, because loss-of-function mutants in the 278 downstream abscisic acid effector ABSCISIC ACID-INSENSITIVE 5 form bigger seeds (Cheng et al., 2014; Li 279 and Li, 2016), and because the abscisic acid antagonist, gibberellic acid, promotes post-germination root 280 meristem growth (Achard et al., 2009; Moubayidin et al., 2010). However, our observation that SmBRX 281 282 transgenics respond normally to abscisic acid does not support this scenario. Nevertheless, these leads could be investigated in follow up experiments, which might also clarify why exactly SmBRX/AtBRX^{sml} seeds 283 are bigger, since multiple interactions between seed coat, endosperm and embryo contribute to the final 284 285 outcome of seed development (Doll and Ingram, 2022; Lafon-Placette and Kohler, 2014; Li and Li, 2016). Given the high conservation of BRX family proteins in both non-vascular and non-seed plants, such analyses 286 might also aid in the characterization of their ancestral function. Phylogenetic analyses of linker sequence 287 evolution could yield additional insight and clarify whether there is an evolutionary trend in linker length 288 289 or sequence. Protein homology searches suggest that within the euphyllophytes, BRX family proteins are prevalent in angiosperms and might be less common in gymnosperms or ferns. This could, however, also 290

reflect sampling bias (One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes, 2019). Combined with experimental verification, phylogenetic analyses could also clarify whether there is a linker threshold length or absent sequence feature associated with the gain-of-function effect, which could for example explain why it was not observed with the relatively short linker of MpBRXL1. Finally, irrespective of the mechanism through which SmBRX/AtBRX^{sml} gain-of-function operates, and which we did not identify here, our data suggest that BRX family protein variants might be applied as tools to robustly modify seedling vigor without yield penalty.

298 Materials and Methods

299 Sequences

300 The protein sequences analyzed in this paper are provided in the supplemental information, Dataset S1.

301 The open reading frame coding sequences used for the creation of transgenes are provided in the

302 supplemental information, Dataset S2.

303 Plant material and growth conditions

304 The A. thaliana Columbia-0 (Col-0) accession was the wildtype background for all lines produced in this study. Transgenes were assayed in Col-0 or the brx-2 mutant allele (Rodrigues et al., 2009) background. For 305 306 tissue culture phenotyping assays, seeds were surface sterilized and then stratified for 2 d in the dark at ca. 4°C. Seeds were then germinated and grown in continuous white light of ca. 120μ E intensity at ca. 22°C, 307 on vertically placed Petri dishes that contained 0.5 X Murashige and Skoog (MS) media supplemented with 308 1% agar and 0.3% sucrose, or variations as indicated in figure panel labels. Adult plants were either 309 monitored under controlled conditions in a walk-in chamber (16h light – 8h dark cycle with ca. 130µE light 310 intensity, ca. 22°C, ca. 60% humidity) or a greenhouse (ca. 16h light –8 h dark cycle with variable light 311 intensity between ca. 120-360µE, ca. 24°C, ca. 50% humidity). 312

313 Generation of transgenic lines

314 Transgenic constructs for plant transformation were created in the pH7m34GW binary vector using the GatewayTM cloning technology as previously described for AtBRX and SmBRX (Koh et al., 2021). Coding 315 sequences for the BRX family proteins and variants assayed in this study are provided in the supplemental 316 information, Dataset S2. DNA fragments for transgene construction were either obtained by gene synthesis 317 (AtBRX^{opt}, AtBRX^{sml}, AmbBRXL1, AmbBRXL2, MpBRXL1) (GeneArtTM, using the codon optimization tool where 318 pertinent), or by RT-PCR amplification from mRNA isolated from *P. patens* or *S. moellendorffii* plants using 319 320 standard procedures (SmBRX^{nop}, PpBRXL1, PpBRXL2). All BRX family protein variants tested were expressed as C-terminal CITRINE fusion proteins to permit verification of transgene expression. All binary constructs 321 were verified by Sanger sequencing and introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101pMP90 322 323 for plant transformation using the floral dip method.

324 Phenotyping

For root length or seed size measurements, plates or seeds were imaged using a high resolution (1'200 dpi) flatbed scanner. Seedling root length or seed area was determined with *Fiji* image analysis software (version 2.0.1/1.53i), using suitable plug-ins. For quantification of gap cells in protophloem sieve element cell files

or visualization of fluorescent protein localization, roots were imaged by confocal microscopy as described 328 (Koh et al., 2021). High throughput and high resolution seed size measurements were performed on the 329 330 Boxeed platform run by Labdeers Ltd. For trait quantification in adult A. thaliana, plants were grown in soil individually, in 50 cm long open-ended polyvinyl chloride tubes of 3.5cm diameter that were arranged in a 331 96 (8 x 12) set up. The tubes were lined with thin plastic sheets to facilitate removal of the root system and 332 subsequent careful soil washout. Root systems were then imaged together with a ruler under a fixed 333 334 distance camera set up and measured. Shoot productivity (seed number, silique length, seed weight) was 335 monitored at end-of-life. All phenotyping assays were performed using homozygous T3 or T4 transgenic 336 lines with verified transgene expression.

337 Embryo microscopy and cellular analysis

338 To visualize the SmBRX-Citrine fusion protein during embryogenesis, embryos at different developmental

339 stages were excised, fixed, cleared, and stained as described (Imoto et al., 2021). For cell counts and other

quantitative measures, mature embryos were dissected, fixed, stained, and imaged as previously described

(Bassel et al., 2014; Truernit et al., 2008). Images were acquired with a *Leica* Stellaris 5 confocal microscope.

342 Sequence analyses

343 BRX family protein sequences were retrieved from the "One KP" transcriptome dataset (One Thousand

Plant Transcriptomes, 2019) and aligned and analyzed using *SnapGene* software (version 6.0.2).

345 Quantification and statistical analysis

Analyses to determine statistical significance were performed in *Graphpad* Prism software, version 9.3.1.

347 Specific statistical tests used are indicated in the figure legends and were always two-tailed.

349 Acknowledgments

- 350 The authors would like to thank Prof. T. Beeckman for Selaginella moellendorffii plants, and A. Amiguet-
- Vercher for technical support. Author contributions: Conceptualization, S.W.H.K., H.N.D.A. and C.S.H.;
- 352 Methodology, S.W.H.K., H.N.D.A. and C.S.B.; Investigation, S.W.H.K., H.N.D.A. and C.S.B.; Validation,
- 353 S.W.H.K., H.N.D.A., C.S.B. and E.B.; Visualization, S.W.H.K., H.N.D.A. and C.S.H.; Writing Original Draft,
- 354 S.W.H.K. and C.S.H.; Writing Review & Editing, S.W.H.K., C.S.B., M.E. and C.S.H.; Funding Acquisition, M.E.
- and C.S.H.; Resources, C.S.B.; Supervision, G.I., M.E. and C.S.H.

356 Competing interests

- 357 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 358 Funding
- 359 This study was funded by *Swiss National Science Foundation* grant 310030B_185379 awarded to C.S.H.

361 Figure legends

Figure 1. Heterologous expression of the Selaginella moellendorffii BRX family protein enhances root 362 growth vigor. (A) Alignment of the linker in BRX family proteins (flanked by ten amino acids of each flanking 363 364 BRX-domain in bold) from Arabidopsis thaliana (AtBRX), Amborella trichopoda (AmbBRXL1 and AmbBRXL2), Selaginella moellendorffii (SmBRX), Physcomitrium patens (PpBRXL1 and PpBRXL2), and Marchantia 365 polymorpha (MpBRXL1). The AGC kinase target phosphosite of AtBRX and AmbBRXL2 is highlighted in red. 366 (B) Average root length of 7-day-old seedlings from Col-0 wildtype, brx mutant, and transgenic lines 367 expressing the S. moellendorffii BRX homolog under control of the A. thaliana BRX promoter (BRX::SmBRX). 368 n=5-10 independent (transgenic) lines and experiments. (C-E) Root length of 7-day-old seedlings from Col-369 370 0, brx, and two representative independent transgenic lines each, expressing the indicated BRX family proteins under control of the A. thaliana BRX promoter in Col-0. (C) n=24-39 roots; (D) n=17-33 roots; (E) 371 372 n=17-40 roots. (F-G) Root length of 7-day-old seedlings from Col-0, brx, and two representative independent transgenic lines with a dosage increase in the indicated A. thaliana BRX family gene in Col-0. 373 (F) n=15-29 roots; (G) n=23-30 roots. (H-I) Root length of 7-day-old seedlings from Col-0, brx, and two 374 375 representative independent transgenic lines each, ectopically over-expressing the indicated A. thaliana BRX family protein under control of the constitutive 35S promoter in Col-0. (H) n=20-38 roots; (I) n=17-44 roots. 376 Box plots display 2nd and 3rd quartiles and the median, bars indicate maximum and minimum. All BRX 377 family proteins were expressed as C-terminal CITRINE fusions. Statistically significant different samples 378 (lower case letters) were determined by ordinary one-way ANOVA. 379

Figure 2. Enhanced seedling vigor in lines expressing SmBRX is robust. (A-C) Root growth progression in Col-380 0 wildtype and transgenic lines expressing the S. moellendorffii BRX homolog under control of the A. 381 thaliana BRX promoter in Col-0 (BRX::SmBRX), on media with different sucrose supplements. n=30-51 roots 382 per time point. Error bars indicate standard deviation. (D) Root length of 7-day-old seedlings from Col-0 383 384 and BRX::SmBRX plants grown on plain agar media, three independent seed batches each. n=19-32 roots. (E-G) Root length of 7- or 9-day-old seedlings from Col-O and BRX::SmBRX plants, grown on media adjusted 385 for different pH (E) or supplemented with CLE45 peptide (F) or gibberellic acid (G). (E) n=51-74 roots; (F) 386 n=127-159 roots; (G) n=35-50 roots. (H) Number of roots that successfully penetrated a dense ca. 2 cm 387 layer of pebbles embedded in agar media at 10 days after germination. n=6 replicates, n=20 plants per 388 replicate. (I-J) Root length of 21- or 31-day-old Col-O and BRX::SmBRX plants, grown in soil in individual 389 rhizotrons. n=36-42 roots. Note that rhizotron length was 50 cm and BRX::SmBRX plants in (J) had 390 frequently reached the bottom of the set up box. (K) Flatbed scanner images of seeds obtained from 391

greenhouse-grown Col-O and BRX::SmBRX plants, equal scale. (L) Projected area of Col-O, brx, and 392 BRX::SmBRX seeds in flatbed scanner images, for seed batches harvested from independent lines at 393 394 different times. n=357-628 seeds. (M) Projected area of Col-0, brx, and BRX::SmBRX seeds in flatbed 395 scanner images, averages per genotype for the data shown in (L). n=3-9. (N-P) Silique length (N), seed number per silique (O), and total dry seed weight per plant (P) for greenhouse-grown Col-0 and BRX::SmBRX 396 397 plants. (N) n=45 siliques each; (O) n=41-44 siliques; (P) n=15 plants each. Box plots display 2nd and 3rd 398 quartiles and the median, bars indicate maximum and minimum. Statistically significant different samples 399 (lower case letters) were determined by ordinary one-way ANOVA.

Figure 3. Heterologous SmBRX confers increased seed size. (A-C) High throughput, high resolution seed size parameter measurements obtained on a *Boxeed* platform for Col-0 wildtype and three independent transgenic lines each expressing either AtBRX or SmBRX under control of the *A. thaliana* BRX promoter in Col-0 background. Dry seeds were harvested from mother plants grown in parallel.

404 Figure 4. SmBRX expression in embryogenesis. (A-B) Confocal microscopy images of calcofluor whitestained mature embryos, dissected from a Col-0 (A) or SmBRX transgenic seed (B). (C-H) Confocal 405 microscopy images of SmBRX-CITRINE fusion protein (green fluorescence) expression (orange arrows) in 406 calcofluor white-stained SmBRX transgenic embryos, in early (C) or late (D) heart stage, torpedo stage (E), 407 bent cotyledon stage (F) or maturing embryos (G-H). (G) and (H) show different confocal planes of the same 408 embryo. (I-J) Stele width (I) and cell file counts (J) at the hypocotyl-radicle junction of mature embryos. 409 410 n=17 (I) or 10 (J) mature embryos. Box plots display 2nd and 3rd quartiles and the median, bars indicate maximum and minimum. Statistically significant different samples (lower case letters) were determined by 411 412 ordinary one-way ANOVA.

413 Figure 5. An AtBRX variant recapitulates SmBRX gain-of-function effects. (A) Quantification of protophloem 414 sieve element differentiation defects ("gap cells") in 7-day-old roots from Col-0 wildtype, brx mutant, and three independent transgenic lines expressing an AtBRX variant with an in-frame deletion of amino acids 415 219-266 (AtBRX^{sml}) under control of the *A. thaliana BRX* promoter in the *brx* background. n=24-36 roots. 416 (B) Root length of 7-day-old seedlings corresponding to the genotypes assayed in (A). n=15-35 roots. (C) 417 Root length of 7-day-old Col-O seedlings and transgenic seedlings expressing either SmBRX or AtBRX^{sml} 418 under control of the A. thaliana BRX promoter in Col-0. n=20-40 roots. (D) Projected area of seeds from 419 Col-O and five independent transgenic AtBRX^{sml} in flatbed scanner images, for seed batches harvested from 420 mother plants grown in parallel. n=147-216 seeds. Box plots display 2nd and 3rd quartiles and the median, 421

- 422 bars indicate maximum and minimum. Statistically significant different samples (lower case letters) were
- 423 determined by Fisher's exact test (A) or ordinary one-way ANOVA (B-D).

425 Supplemental items

- 426 **Supplemental Dataset 1** Protein sequence alignment of 302 BRX family proteins retrieved from a cross the
- 427 green lineage with scaffold IDs (One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes, 2019) and species names, html file.
- 428 **Supplemental Dataset 2** Open reading frame coding sequences of BRX family genes and variants used for
- 429 the creation of transgenes, pdf file.

431 References

- Achard, P., Gusti, A., Cheminant, S., Alioua, M., Dhondt, S., Coppens, F., Beemster, G. T. and Genschik, P.
 (2009). Gibberellin signaling controls cell proliferation rate in Arabidopsis. *Current biology : CB* 19, 1188-1193.
- Aliaga Fandino, A. C. and Hardtke, C. S. (2022). Auxin transport in developing protophloem: A case study
 in canalization. *J Plant Physiol* 269, 153594.
- Amborella Genome, P. (2013). The Amborella genome and the evolution of flowering plants. *Science* 342, 1241089.
- Anne, P. and Hardtke, C. S. (2017). Phloem function and development-biophysics meets genetics. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 43, 22-28.
- Armisen, D., Lecharny, A. and Aubourg, S. (2008). Unique genes in plants: specificities and conserved
 features throughout evolution. *BMC Evol Biol* 8, 280.
- Bassel, G. W., Stamm, P., Mosca, G., Barbier de Reuille, P., Gibbs, D. J., Winter, R., Janka, A., Holdsworth,
 M. J. and Smith, R. S. (2014). Mechanical constraints imposed by 3D cellular geometry and
 arrangement modulate growth patterns in the Arabidopsis embryo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111,
 8685-8690.
- Bassukas, A. E. L., Xiao, Y. and Schwechheimer, C. (2021). Phosphorylation control of PIN auxin
 transporters. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 65, 102146.
- Bauby, H., Divol, F., Truernit, E., Grandjean, O. and Palauqui, J. C. (2007). Protophloem differentiation in
 early Arabidopsis thaliana development. *Plant Cell Physiol* 48, 97-109.
- Beuchat, J., Li, S., Ragni, L., Shindo, C., Kohn, M. H. and Hardtke, C. S. (2010a). A hyperactive quantitative
 trait locus allele of Arabidopsis BRX contributes to natural variation in root growth vigor. *Proc Natl* Acad Sci U S A 107, 8475-8480.
- Beuchat, J., Scacchi, E., Tarkowska, D., Ragni, L., Strnad, M. and Hardtke, C. S. (2010b). BRX promotes
 Arabidopsis shoot growth. *New Phytol* 188, 23-29.
- Breda, A. S., Hazak, O. and Hardtke, C. S. (2017). Phosphosite charge rather than shootward localization
 determines OCTOPUS activity in root protophloem. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, E5721-E5730.
- Briggs, G. C., Mouchel, C. F. and Hardtke, C. S. (2006). Characterization of the plant-specific BREVIS RADIX
 gene family reveals limited genetic redundancy despite high sequence conservation. *Plant Physiol* 140, 1306-1316.
- Bringmann, M. and Bergmann, D. C. (2017). Tissue-wide Mechanical Forces Influence the Polarity of
 Stomatal Stem Cells in Arabidopsis. *Current biology : CB* 27, 877-883.
- Cheng, Z. J., Zhao, X. Y., Shao, X. X., Wang, F., Zhou, C., Liu, Y. G., Zhang, Y. and Zhang, X. S. (2014). Abscisic
 acid regulates early seed development in Arabidopsis by ABI5-mediated transcription of SHORT
 HYPOCOTYL UNDER BLUE1. *Plant Cell* 26, 1053-1068.
- Depuydt, S., Rodriguez-Villalon, A., Santuari, L., Wyser-Rmili, C., Ragni, L. and Hardtke, C. S. (2013).
 Suppression of Arabidopsis protophloem differentiation and root meristem growth by CLE45
 requires the receptor-like kinase BAM3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110, 7074-7079.
- 469 Doll, N. M. and Ingram, G. C. (2022). Embryo-Endosperm Interactions. Annu Rev Plant Biol.
- Furutani, M., Hirano, Y., Nishimura, T., Nakamura, M., Taniguchi, M., Suzuki, K., Oshida, R., Kondo, C., Sun,
 S., Kato, K., et al. (2020). Polar recruitment of RLD by LAZY1-like protein during gravity signaling in
 root branch angle control. *Nat Commun* **11**, 76.
- Gujas, B., Alonso-Blanco, C. and Hardtke, C. S. (2012). Natural Arabidopsis brx Loss-of-Function Alleles
 Confer Root Adaptation to Acidic Soil. *Current biology : CB* 22, 1962-1968.
- Guo, Y. L. (2013). Gene family evolution in green plants with emphasis on the origination and evolution of
 Arabidopsis thaliana genes. *Plant J* 73, 941-951.

Imoto, A., Yamada, M., Sakamoto, T., Okuyama, A., Ishida, T., Sawa, S. and Aida, M. (2021). A ClearSee-477 Based Clearing Protocol for 3D Visualization of Arabidopsis thaliana Embryos. Plants (Basel) 10. 478 Jiao, C., Sorensen, I., Sun, X., Sun, H., Behar, H., Alseekh, S., Philippe, G., Palacio Lopez, K., Sun, L., Reed, 479 480 R., et al. (2020). The Penium margaritaceum Genome: Hallmarks of the Origins of Land Plants. Cell **181**, 1097-1111 e1012. 481 482 Koh, S. W. H., Marhava, P., Rana, S., Graf, A., Moret, B., Bassukas, A. E. L., Zourelidou, M., Kolb, M., Hammes, U. Z., Schwechheimer, C., et al. (2021). Mapping and engineering of auxin-induced 483 484 plasma membrane dissociation in BRX family proteins. *Plant Cell* **33**, 1945-1960. 485 Lafon-Placette, C. and Kohler, C. (2014). Embryo and endosperm, partners in seed development. Curr *Opin Plant Biol* **17**, 64-69. 486 487 Li, N. and Li, Y. (2016). Signaling pathways of seed size control in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 33, 23-32. Li, Z., Liang, Y., Yuan, Y., Wang, L., Meng, X., Xiong, G., Zhou, J., Cai, Y., Han, N., Hua, L., et al. (2019). 488 489 OsBRXL4 Regulates Shoot Gravitropism and Rice Tiller Angle through Affecting LAZY1 Nuclear Localization. Mol Plant 12, 1143-1156. 490 Marhava, P., Aliaga Fandino, A. C., Koh, S. W. H., Jelinkova, A., Kolb, M., Janacek, D. P., Breda, A. S., 491 492 Cattaneo, P., Hammes, U. Z., Petrasek, J., et al. (2020). Plasma Membrane Domain Patterning and Self-Reinforcing Polarity in Arabidopsis. Dev Cell 52, 223-235 e225. 493 494 Marhava, P., Bassukas, A. E. L., Zourelidou, M., Kolb, M., Moret, B., Fastner, A., Schulze, W. X., Cattaneo, 495 P., Hammes, U. Z., Schwechheimer, C., et al. (2018). A molecular rheostat adjusts auxin flux to 496 promote root protophloem differentiation. Nature 558, 297-300. Moret, B., Marhava, P., Aliaga Fandino, A. C., Hardtke, C. S. and Ten Tusscher, K. H. W. (2020). Local auxin 497 498 competition explains fragmented differentiation patterns. Nat Commun 11, 2965. 499 Moubayidin, L., Perilli, S., Dello Ioio, R., Di Mambro, R., Costantino, P. and Sabatini, S. (2010). The rate of cell differentiation controls the Arabidopsis root meristem growth phase. Current biology : CB 20, 500 501 1138-1143. Mouchel, C. F., Briggs, G. C. and Hardtke, C. S. (2004). Natural genetic variation in Arabidopsis identifies 502 503 BREVIS RADIX, a novel regulator of cell proliferation and elongation in the root. Genes Dev 18, 504 700-714. 505 Muroyama, A., Gong, Y. and Bergmann, D. C. (2020). Opposing, Polarity-Driven Nuclear Migrations 506 Underpin Asymmetric Divisions to Pattern Arabidopsis Stomata. Current biology : CB 30, 4467-507 4475 e4464. 508 One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes, I. (2019). One thousand plant transcriptomes and the 509 phylogenomics of green plants. Nature 574, 679-685. Pfannebecker, K. C., Lange, M., Rupp, O. and Becker, A. (2017). Seed Plant-Specific Gene Lineages 510 511 Involved in Carpel Development. Mol Biol Evol 34, 925-942. 512 Rensing, S. A. (2020). How Plants Conquered Land. Cell 181, 964-966. Rodrigues, A., Santiago, J., Rubio, S., Saez, A., Osmont, K. S., Gadea, J., Hardtke, C. S. and Rodriguez, P. L. 513 514 (2009). The short-rooted phenotype of the brevis radix mutant partly reflects root abscisic acid hypersensitivity. Plant Physiol 149, 1917-1928. 515 516 Rodriguez-Villalon, A., Gujas, B., Kang, Y. H., Breda, A. S., Cattaneo, P., Depuydt, S. and Hardtke, C. S. (2014). Molecular genetic framework for protophloem formation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111, 517 518 11551-11556. 519 Rowe, M. H., Dong, J., Weimer, A. K. and Bergmann, D. C. (2019). A Plant-Specific Polarity Module Establishes Cell Fate Asymmetry in the Arabidopsis Stomatal Lineage. *bioRxiv*. 520 Scacchi, E., Osmont, K. S., Beuchat, J., Salinas, P., Navarrete-Gomez, M., Trigueros, M., Ferrandiz, C. and 521 522 Hardtke, C. S. (2009). Dynamic, auxin-responsive plasma membrane-to-nucleus movement of 523 Arabidopsis BRX. Development **136**, 2059-2067.

- Shindo, C., Bernasconi, G. and Hardtke, C. S. (2008). Intraspecific competition reveals conditional fitness
 effects of single gene polymorphism at the Arabidopsis root growth regulator BRX. *New Phytol* 180, 71-80.
- 527 **Spencer, V., Nemec Venza, Z. and Harrison, C. J.** (2021). What can lycophytes teach us about plant 528 evolution and development? Modern perspectives on an ancient lineage. *Evol Dev* **23**, 174-196.
- Topham, A. T., Taylor, R. E., Yan, D., Nambara, E., Johnston, I. G. and Bassel, G. W. (2017). Temperature
 variability is integrated by a spatially embedded decision-making center to break dormancy in
 Arabidopsis seeds. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114, 6629-6634.
- Truernit, E., Bauby, H., Dubreucq, B., Grandjean, O., Runions, J., Barthelemy, J. and Palauqui, J. C. (2008).
 High-resolution whole-mount imaging of three-dimensional tissue organization and gene
 expression enables the study of Phloem development and structure in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 20, 1494-1503.
- van Leeuwen, W., Okresz, L., Bogre, L. and Munnik, T. (2004). Learning the lipid language of plant
 signalling. *Trends Plant Sci* 9, 378-384.
- Wang, L., Li, D., Yang, K., Guo, X., Bian, C., Nishimura, T., Le, J., Morita, M. T., Bergmann, D. C. and Dong, J.
 (2022). Connected function of PRAF/RLD and GNOM in membrane trafficking controls intrinsic
 cell polarity in plants. *Nat Commun* 13, 7.
- Zhang, Y., Liang, J., Cai, X., Chen, H., Wu, J., Lin, R., Cheng, F. and Wang, X. (2021). Divergence of three
 BRX homoeologs in Brassica rapa and its effect on leaf morphology. *Hortic Res* 8, 68.











		hilling and multiplicity the
AtBRX	1	MFSCIACTKA DGGEEVEHGARGGTTPNTKEAV KSLTIQ
AmbBRXL1	1	MLTCIACSKHFDGSLRDAEEDEAATPNTKEAVKTLTAQ
AmbBRXL2 SmBRX	1	MLACIACSKHLDDGEEEENARGTPRNKDGVKSITAQ
PpBRXL1	1	MAVKMLVCMSSKTLEETVEAKYEQQKTSSKPESKSDLRKMKSFTCQTLIHPSWSFKSQGNQCMPTPQASSPQAGPTDASPPKAKPLDGSSLAERQEDVASGR
PpBRXL2	1	MAVK mlacmssktl - eeaveadyekdktsskp - etksdl rkmksftCq tlihpswsfksqgnqgvspplatppqa - eeaveadyekdktsskp - eeaveadyekdktsskp + eeaveadykdktsskp + eaveadykdktsskp + ea
MpBRXL1	1	MLACLVCTRTVDDVGGGGEGPHGSETKTISTKHGLRLFTFQ
AtBRX	38	FPDYDNASEGVPYPFMGGSA
AmbBRXL1	38 36	YUDSDIGSEG
SmBRX	43	FUIDASEE
PpBRXL1	103	PIGKGWKSFFAALQCSMAPLRSSDFKGMAAKLTAGACTPSCKPCSTSVSDQDAAHAVHPESLVGNYSAVDSLLPVAQPRSPNALKDDHENLKSKPGSNNGDDPESA
PpBRXL2	88	$\texttt{PDGKGWKSFFAALQCSRAPLRSSD\texttt{FKGMAAKLTAGACTTSCKPC} \texttt{STSVSEQDAAHAVHPEASLDKYSEADFSLPVANYRNPNVLTDDQGNNLGSNNGDGSGSP}$
MpBRXL1	41	VVHPSSDEAHETHSPQFSLKSYKIDDRARTPGRQ
AtBRX AmbBRYL1	91 90	GSTPAWDFTNSSHHPAGRLESKFTSIYGNDRESISAQSCDVVLDDDGP KEWMAQVEPGVHITFASLPTGGNDLKRIRFSREMFDKWQAQRWWGEN
AmbBRXL2	90	SSTP-AWDFPSOGSDPHALVDSSRFKRPLSLEGS-SGLGVGSGRV-VLEEEEPKEWOAQVEPGVLITFVSLPGGGNDLKRIFFSRELFDKWQAQRWWGEN
SmBRX	104	$\texttt{ASSISEGAVSVK} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
PpBRXL1	209	RSSQVVSPEHKINS-IPSSVANESPNCPTFRIVNGTAEKIPEEPRISNRLVPTGPGVPG TEWFSQVELGVFITFVTLSNGCNALKRIRFCRDIFSKKEAESWWAEN
PpBRXL2 MpBRXL1	110	RSAQAVSPEQKINSKTPTPIESPVVASYHMSNGTALKIPEEPQNLNRLMPAEPGVPGTEWFSQVELGVFITFVTLPNGCNALKRIRFSRDIFSKKEAESWWAEN DPCSTPEITDFKGTPI.KSVRPI.RRIOSOSALSD-DGSVYGTPSP-VTVVKGVDSEWVAOVEPGVYTTFFSSPDGFNDI.KRIKFSRNMYSKEOAESWWTON
	100	
ATBRX Ambrry1.1	185	IDKIVELYNVYKENRQALQT-PARSDDQSQKDSTISKMDSAKESKDWITKHNFKPPSOFHHFIGGSSNIGFGSIHG
AmbBRXL2	188	VDRIMELYNVERFTRQAVNL-PTPPRSEDERDAS-SRVNSARESPVTPPLAKERTHRNFYRPTGKGYFSDSAEKWASAGSSYGGYNSHIFNSNSNINNNRGE
SmBRX	210	CERVHELYNVSGNDHSFPNSQKDQVEAQTS
PpBRXL1	314	GNRVRATYNVPAFERTTANVHQVTSNSEEEQVSGVSGVATPAYSPQGSRGASMWNSPAGYGSGISRGASLRDTSSREASIREAAMREASIREAMKESMRDAVSE
PPBRALZ MpBRXL1	295	CAMVRELYINVPAPERITINGHQATSSSEEEQVSGVSGVSGTATPSTSPQGSRGASTRDSPAGTSSGTSRGASLRDTSSREASMREPSTRESTRESTRESTRESTRESTRESTRESTRESTRESTRE
1+DDV	261	
AmbBRXL1	286	RSSSMDASRTSSSRDADRSDEVSVSASNVSDQEMEWVEQDEPGVYITIRSLPGGGRELRRVRFSVSSLCIHLPKEYTMPREFTGYGSLRSTDHLFSLMNGGDQLQF
AmbBRXL2	288	-TSSIDASRTTSSSRDEASVSYSNASEAETEWVEQDEPGVYITIRALADGTRELRRVRFSRERFGEAHAKLWWEENRERIQAQYL
SmBRX	240	QQNHAEVTKSNTTSDELTEWVEEDEPGVYITVKLGPNGNRELKRVRFSREKFSERQAKLWWERNRFRIHDNYL
PPBRXLI PPBRXL2	418 394	HSESVICTERATETDITAGSEAG-SDRIFDGEETTWVEEDVPGVLITLKNLAGGGRELLKRVRFSREKFTEKQAKLWWDENKGRIHKQYL
MpBRXL1	265	DARSITSEKSETGRDKYSEAEASLWWDDKQRVYARYI
AtBRX		
	344	
AmbBRXL1	344 392	RIMGIDPSTFILD
AmbBRXL1 AmbBRXL2	344 392 372	RIMGIDPSTFILD
AmbBRXL1 AmbBRXL2 SmBRX PpBRXL1	344 392 372 312 505	RIMGIDPSTFILD
AmbBRXL1 AmbBRXL2 SmBRX PpBRXL1 PpBRXL2	344 392 372 312 505 481	RIMGIDPSTFILD
AmbBRXL1 AmbBRXL2 SmBRX PpBRXL1 PpBRXL2 MpBRXL1	344 392 372 312 505 481 333	RIMGIDPSTFILD

site conservation

Figure S1. Sequence alignment. Alignment of BRX family proteins from Arabidopsis thaliana (AtBRX), Amborella trichopoda (AmbBRXL1 and AmbBRXL2), Selaginella moellendorffii (SmBRX), Physcomitrium patens (PpBRXL1 and PpBRXL2), and Marchantia polymorpha (MpBRXL1). The AGC kinase target phosphosite of AtBRX and AmbBRXL2 in the linker between the BRX-domains is highlighted in red.



Figure S2. Functional assays of BRX family proteins across the green lineage. (A-J) Root length of 7-day-old seedlings and corresponding quantification of protophloem sieve element differentiation defects ("gap cells") in 7-day-old roots from CoI-0 wildtype, *brx* mutant, and three representative independent transgenic lines each expressing the indicated BRX family proteins under control of the *A. thaliana BRX* promoter in *brx* background. (A) n=26-38 roots; (B) n=17-31 roots; (C) n=28-38 roots; (D) n=26-41 roots; (E) n=21-40 roots; (F) n=22-31 roots; (G) n=25-40 roots; (H) n=17-25 roots; (I) n=17-33 roots; (J) n=29-45 roots. (K-P) Signal intensity quantification of indicated CITRINE fusion proteins (expressed under control of the *A. thaliana BRX* promoter) at the rootward plasma membrane of developing protophloem sieve elements, 1h or 3h after mock (DMSO) or auxin (10µM 1-naphthalene-acetic acid) treatment. (Q-V) Confocal microscopy images of indicated CITRINE fusion proteins (expressed under control of the *A. thaliana BRX* promoter), showing their protophloem-specific expression and polar localization (green fluorescence, left panels). Central panels show propidium iodide-stained cell wall outline (red fluorescence), right panels show the overlay. Box plots display 2nd and 3rd quartiles and the median, bars indicate maximum and minimum. Statistically significant different samples (lower case letters) were determined by Fisher's exact test (B, D, F, H, J) or ordinary one-way ANOVA (A, C, E, G, I, K-P).







Figure S4. Illustration of increased seed and embryo size upon heterologous SmBRX expression. (A) Root length of 7-day-old seedlings from Col-0 and *BRX::SmBRX* plants, grown on media supplemented with increasing amounts of abscisic acid. n=16-18 roots; (B-C) Illustration of high resolution seed imaging with the *Boxeed* platform, for a Col-0 wildtype (B) and an *SmBRX* transgenic seed (C). Measured parameter values for the seeds shown are indicated.