

Climate aridity and habitat drive geographical variation in morphology and thermo-hydroregulation strategies of a widespread lizard species

Chloé Chabaud, Matthieu Berroneau, Maud Berroneau, Andréaz Dupoué, Michaël Guillon, Robin Viton, Rodrigo Gavira, Jean Clobert, Olivier Lourdais, Jean-François Le Galliard

▶ To cite this version:

Chloé Chabaud, Matthieu Berroneau, Maud Berroneau, Andréaz Dupoué, Michaël Guillon, et al.. Climate aridity and habitat drive geographical variation in morphology and thermo-hydroregulation strategies of a widespread lizard species. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2022, 10.1093/biolinnean/blac114. hal-03820414

HAL Id: hal-03820414 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03820414v1

Submitted on 20 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Climate aridity and habitat drive geographic variation in morphology and thermo-hydroregulation strategies of a widespread lizard species

Chloé Chabaud^{1,2}, Matthieu Berroneau³, Maud Berroneau³, Andréaz Dupoué², Michaël Guillon^{1,3}, Robin Viton¹, Rodrigo S. B. Gavira¹, Jean Clobert⁴, Olivier Lourdais¹, Jean-François Le Galliard^{2,5}

1. Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, Université La Rochelle, CNRS, UMR 7372, 405 Route de Prissé la Charrière, 79360 Villiers-en-Bois, France

2. iEES Paris, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7618, Faculté Sciences et Ingénierie, 4 place Jussieu, 75005, Paris, France

3. Cistude Nature, Chemin du Moulinat, 33185 Le Haillan, France

4. Station d'Ecologie Théorique et Expérimentale, CNRS, UMR 5321, Route du CNRS, Moulis, France

5. École normale supérieure, PSL Research University, Département de biologie, CNRS, UMS 3194, Centre de recherche en écologie expérimentale et prédictive (CEREEP-Ecotron IleDeFrance), 78 rue du château, 77140 Saint-Pierre-lès-Nemours, France.

Corresponding author: Chloé Chabaud chloe.chabaud@normalesup.org

Running title: Geographic variation in thermo-hydroregulation biology

Abstract

Thermo-hydroregulation strategies involve concurrent changes in functional traits related to energy and water balance as well as thermoregulation and play a key role in determining life history traits and population demography of terrestrial ectotherms. Local thermal and hydric conditions should be important drivers of the geographic variation of thermo-hydroregulation strategies but we lack studies that examine these changes across climatic gradients in different habitat types. Here, we investigated intraspecific variation of morphology and thermo-hydroregulation traits in the widespread European common lizard (Zootoca vivipara louislantzi) across a multidimensional environmental gradient involving independent variation in air temperature, rainfall and differences in habitat features (access to free standing water and forest cover). We sampled adult males for morphology, resting metabolic rate, total evaporative water loss and cutaneous evaporative water loss (EWL) and thermal preferences in 15 populations from the rear to the leading edge of the distribution across an altitudinal gradient ranging from sea level to 1750 m. Besides a decrease in adult body size with increasing environmental temperatures, we found little effects of thermal conditions on thermo-hydroregulation strategies. In particular, relict, lowland populations from the warm rear edge showed no specific ecophysiological adaptations. Instead, body mass, body condition and resting metabolic rate were positively associated with a rainfall gradient, while forest cover and water access in the habitat through the season further influenced EWL. Our study thus emphasizes the importance of rainfall and habitat features rather than thermal conditions on geographic variation in lizard morphology and physiology.

Keywords: aridity - evaporative water loss – lizards – metabolism – morphology - thermal preferences - reptiles

1 1. Introduction

2 Ongoing climate change dramatically impacts biodiversity and increasing our ability to predict future 3 ecological effects of climate changes is one of the main challenges facing research in ecology today (Bellard et al., 2012). In widely distributed organisms, gradient analyses of intraspecific variation along 4 5 contrasted climate conditions can inform us on the environmental sensitivity and capacity of different 6 species to adapt to future changes (Blois et al., 2013). Terrestrial ectotherms are sensitive to 7 environmental temperatures and highly vulnerable to global warming, especially to heat and drought 8 stress that impairs activity, causes physiological stress and can reduce fitness and population growth 9 (Huey et al., 2009, 2012; Sinervo et al., 2010; Kubisch, Fernández, & Ibargüengoytía, 2016).

10 Terrestrial ectotherms can cope with heat and drought risks through changes in thermoregulation (i.e., 11 heat exchange and body temperature regulation) and hydroregulation traits (i.e., water balance 12 regulation), collectively referred to as their "thermo-hydroregulation" strategies (Rozen- Rechels et al., 2019). Yet, large uncertainties remain about the respective roles of physiological and behavioral 13 14 response and the geographic variability of body temperature and water balance regulation. Behavioral 15 and physiological maintenance of an optimal body temperature and hydration state depends to a large extent on spatio-temporal variability in operative temperatures, water availability and water vapor deficit 16 17 (Sears et al., 2019; Rozen-Rechels et al., 2019).

Historically, comparative physiology research has however focused on the thermal biology of 18 19 terrestrial ectotherms (Huey et al., 2012; Sunday et al., 2014; Seebacher, White, & Franklin, 2015; 20 Artacho et al., 2017; Caldwell, While, & Wapstra, 2017; Rutschmann et al., 2020). For example, 21 populations of the same species of lizards can display **different** critical thermal limits depending on 22 local thermal conditions, particularly those characterizing the cold end of the thermal performance 23 curves (Pontes-da-Silva et al., 2018; Herrando- Pérez et al., 2020; Bodensteiner et al., 2021). Another 24 general finding is the metabolic cold adaptation in energy expenditure where organisms from cooler 25 climates have higher basal metabolic rates, probably to partly compensate for the slow development and 26 pace-of-life associated with low environmental temperatures in ectotherms (Seebacher, 2005; Pettersen, 27 2020; Dupoué et al 2017a). Instead, intraspecific studies on thermal preferences (i.e., preferred 28 body temperatures in the absence of thermoregulation costs) have led to inconclusive results, with 29 some authors demonstrating geographic differences in thermal preferences (Trochet et al., 2018; 30 Rozen-Rechels et al., 2021) whereas others suggest that thermal preferences are more 31 evolutionarily rigid than other thermal biology traits (Clusella-Trullas & Chown, 2014). In 32 addition, we lack knowledge on how intraspecific variations correspond with the observed 33 variation between species.

Heretofore, most studies of geographic variation in reptile thermal traits have focused on thermal clines
such as broad altitudinal or latitudinal gradients in air temperatures (Gvoždík & Castilla, 2001; Zamora-

36 Camacho, 2013; Osojnik et al., 2013; Clusella-Trullas & Chown, 2014; Trochet et al., 2018; Plasman et al., 2020). Such broad thermal clines usually confound with variation in precipitation, making the two 37 38 factors difficult to distinguish. Yet, habitat characteristics can amplify or buffer thermal variability and 39 recent works have highlighted the importance of water availability and microclimatic conditions when 40 studying responses of terrestrial ectotherms to environmental modifications (Wegener, Gartner, & Losos, 2014; Miller & Lutterschmidt, 2014; Chiacchio et al., 2020). In squamate reptiles, availability of 41 42 water and suitable microhabitats are essential for optimal thermoregulation and hydroregulation, as 43 behavioral exploitation of microhabitats (such as wet shelters from the vegetation) can buffer these 44 species from the deleterious effects of a temperature increase or a rainfall reduction (Sears et al., 2016; 45 Rozen- Rechels et al., 2020). In addition, the costs of maintaining high body temperature in heliothermic 46 species usually increase when those species face a reduction of water availability in the environment, leading to the selection of suboptimal body temperature (Rozen- Rechels et al., 2020). Variation in 47 48 morphological traits can also be strongly affected by water availability and microhabitat features 49 (Ashton, 2001; Olalla-Tárraga et al., 2009, Roitberg et al., 2020). Teasing apart the effects of thermal 50 gradients, water availability and local habitats on thermo-hydroregulation strategies and morphology requires comparative analyses along independent clines of ambient temperature and rainfall in sites with 51 52 contrasted microhabitat features.

53 Another limitation is that comparative studies of ectotherm hydroregulation strategies are still lagging 54 behind those of thermoregulation (Pirtle, Tracy, & Kearney, 2019). Hydroregulation involves both behavioral and physiological mechanisms such as behavioral activity and shelter use, behavioral 55 56 microhabitat selection, or physiological mechanisms of evaporative water loss through the skin (Pirtle 57 et al., 2019). Skin resistance to evaporative water loss is primarily determined by the presence of a lipid 58 layer in the epidermis of lizards and snakes, which acts as a physical barrier to water loss and determines 59 total evaporative water loss rates (TEWL, Roberts & Lillywhite, 1980). Plastic changes in TEWL rates 60 over a few weeks or months have been demonstrated in some lizards and snakes when individuals are 61 exposed to different air moisture or water availability conditions (Kobayashi, Mautz, & Nagy, 1983; 62 Moen, Winne, & Reed, 2005). Phylogenetic analyses of TEWL in Lacertid lizards also indicate that this 63 trait is more evolutionarily labile and more variable than thermal preferences, and evolves towards lower 64 values in warmer environments (Garcia-Porta et al., 2019). Yet, studies of co-variation in TEWL and 65 thermoregulation traits are rare, and those suggest that the two sets of functional traits may often vary independently from each other (Sannolo et al., 2020; S'khifa et al., 2020). 66

Here, we performed such a comparative study in the Lacertid *Zootoca vivipara louislantzi* (Arribas, 2009), which is a ground-dwelling lizard with an oviparous reproductive mode. We examined variation in thermoregulation and hydroregulation **traits** across a geographic gradient **in South-Western France** from relict populations located at the hot distribution margin to populations located at the colonization front in cold, highland habitats (**Dupoué et al., 2021**). Using an integrative approach, we quantified

72 geographic variation in (a) thermal preferences (T_{pref}) , (b) hydroregulation physiology (total 73 evaporative water loss TEWL and its cutaneous sub-component CEWL), (c) energy metabolism (resting 74 metabolic rate) and (d) morphology (body size, body surface, body condition and caudal reserve) of 75 adult male lizards from fifteen populations. We also evaluated physiological dehydration through 76 osmolality to investigate its correlation with climate conditions and habitat. To avoid confounding 77 effects of inter-individual differences due to age, sex or seasonality, we sampled only sexually 78 mature males during the reproductive season. We further compared our studied populations with 79 those of two taxonomic outgroups sampled with the same technique, including two nearby French 80 populations of the closely related viviparous reproductive mode Z. vivipara vivipara and one population 81 of the distantly related wall lizard Podarcis muralis from semi-mesic, saxicolous environments (Garcia-82 Porta et al., 2019). This sampling design allowed us to test if hydroregulation physiology and energy metabolism traits are more flexible than thermal preferences, if those traits covary or vary independently 83 84 from each other, and if intraspecific variability is more constrained than interspecific variability. We 85 hypothesized that geographic variation is best explained by considering both local (habitat) and large-86 scale (climate) features.

First, populations with a restricted access to water should have lower TEWL rates and thermal
preferences than those with permanent access after controlling for effects of the macrohabitat climate
(Dupoué et al., 2017b; Rozen-Rechels et al., 2021).

90 Second, populations from more arid environments should have lower TEWL rates as seen in 91 interspecific comparisons for reptiles (Cox & Cox, 2015; Garcia-Porta et al., 2019), but it is unclear 92 whether thermal preferences should increase or not with macrohabitat temperature and aridity. 93 Metabolism at rest should be lower in warmer and more arid environments, as seen in recent broad scale 94 comparisons (Dupoué, Brischoux, & Lourdais, 2017a).

95 Third, we expect inter-individual covariation between functionally related traits. For example, total 96 evaporative water loss (TEWL) being the sum of respiratory (REWL) and cutaneous evaporative water 97 losses (CEWL), we expect a positive correlation between TEWL and CEWL measured in similar 98 conditions in the same animals and also a correlation between TEWL and oxygen consumption (VO₂), 99 since REWL scales linearly with VO₂ (Pirtle et al., 2019).

100

101 **2. Material and methods**

102 <u>2.1. Study species</u>

103 The common lizard *Zootoca vivipara* is a small lacertid lizard (Reptilia: Lacertidae) with a wide 104 Eurosiberian distribution ranging from Southern France and Central Europe to Japan on Hokkaido Island 105 (Surget- Groba et al., 2006). It occupies cold and wet habitats including open heat lands, humid 106 grasslands and peat bogs as well as clearances and clear-cuts surrounded by forests. Most European populations are viviparous except for some oviparous lineages distributed at the Southwestern margin of the range in France and in Southern Central Europe (Surget-Groba et al., 2001). We studied the oviparous South-West European common lizard, *Z. vivipara louislantzi*, which consists of four major subclades and inhabits a range of habitats from sea levels to highland (Milá et al., 2013). We focused our field sampling on clade B2 in France to avoid inter-population differences due to genetic differentiation between clades with potential introgression (Milá et al., 2013; Dupoué et al., 2021).

113

114 <u>2.2. Sampling design and sampling site</u>

In a recent study of the range distribution of this sub-species in South-Western France, we found 115 116 that temperature, rainfall and forest cover shape demographic and genetic variability from the rear to the leading edges (Dupoué et al., 2021). We sub-sampled sites within this geographic range 117 to select fifteen populations along a sharp climate gradient for both temperature and rainfall, and 118 contrasted habitat features including differences in access to free-standing water and in forest 119 120 cover. These variables adequately quantify aspects of the thermal and hydric gradients that should be relevant considering previous findings showing interactive effects of hydric and thermal 121 conditions on thermoregulation behavior (Rozen-Rechels et al., 2021). In addition, geographic 122 123 variation of some morphological and reproductive traits correlates with habitat humidity and 124 rainfall in this species (Lorenzon, Clobert, & Massot, 2001; Marquis, Massot, & Le Galliard, 2008; 125 **Dupoué et al., 2017b).**

126 To select our focal populations, we gathered altitude, habitat data and climate information for ca. 130 127 known occurrence sites in South-Western France (Cistude Nature and Nature en Occitanie, unpub. data). 128 For each site, we calculated the average temperature and the cumulative precipitation during the 129 activity season (from April to September) using 30 Arcsec resolution climatic data derived from 130 AURELHY climatic model outputs developed by Meteo-France (averages from the 1971-2000 period 131 of weather survey, (Canellas et al., 2014)). We also extracted the minimum temperature of the coldest 132 month (Tcold) and the maximum of the hottest month (Thot) to calculate the annual pluviometry quotient $Q=(100 \times P) / (T_hot^2-T_cold^2)$, also called the Emberger index (Emberger, 1955). This 133 134 parameter allows to discriminate mesic (high Q index) from arid (low Q index) climates.

135 We then selected 15 representative populations of Z. vivipara louislantzi (clade B2) distributed along the altitudinal gradient with different temperature (maximum temperatures during activity period 136 ranging from 20°C to 26°C), rainfall levels (total precipitation during activity period ranging from 137 360mm to 850mm) and access to free standing water at the same altitude (see Supplementary Table S1 138 and Figure 1). These populations were also associated to an altitudinal gradient (40-1750 m) and to a 139 variety of habitats including (1) sites with permanent access to free standing water (presence of water 140 141 sources available to the lizards throughout the year such as lake, streams, peat bogs) versus 142 temporary access to free standing water (water body only present after a rain or during the wet 143 season, see Dupoué et al., 2017b) and (2) sites with forest cover versus open habitats, calculated with 144 a forest cover index detailed in Rutschmann et al. (2016). We chose to sample populations with as much contrasted access to water and forest cover along the altitude and aridity gradients as 145 146 possible, and then there was no significant correlation between mean temperature or mean 147 rainfall and water access, but a small and significant correlation (Kruskal-Wallis test = 5, df = 1, **p** = 0.02) between temperature or rainfall and forest cover. For comparative purposes, we further 148 149 sampled 2 populations of the viviparous Z. vivipara vivipara clade E1 from the nearest locations in the 150 Limousin area at ca. 800m asl (Figure 1). We also sampled wall lizards (*Podarcis muralis*) from a 151 lowland population in the same area (Chizé population, 46°08'51.5"N 0°25'36.4"W, ca. 64 m asl), which 152 fell within the climatic range of the common lizard populations in order to limit geographic effects. 153 This widespread species is adapted to more arid environments and served us as an outgroup to compare with the common lizard, which is adapted to mesic and cold environments (Figure 1). We attempted to 154 155 capture ca. 10 adult males per population (see Table S1, Supp.Info).

Oviparous populations from South-Western France are characterized by a strong seasonal activity 156 157 pattern, a sexual maturation at the age of one to two years old, facultative multiple clutches per year, 158 and with an adult snout-vent length (SVL) ranging from 45 to 75 mm in males (Heulin, 1987; Heulin, Osenegg-Leconte, & Michel', 1997). Sexually mature males were told apart by body coloration, 159 160 presence of femoral pores, and hemipenis shape. Since sexually mature males most likely emerge 161 earlier during the season in warmer environments, lowland populations were sampled first to 162 reduce differences in reproductive phenology among populations (from 17th of April 2019 in the 163 lowland populations until the 7th of May 2019 in the highland).

164 <u>2.3. Field measurements and housing</u>

We captured individuals by hand and brought them back to a laboratory (CEBC, CNRS, Villiers-en-165 166 bois, France) within two to four days following capture. Age class of sexually mature males was 167 unambiguously scored using external morphology to separate young adults (aged less than one 168 calendar year and born in 2018) from older adults (aged two or more than two calendar years). 169 One person (JFLG) then measured SVL and total length to the nearest mm with a plastic ruler and body 170 weight to the nearest mg with an electronic balance. The ventral and dorsal surface of all males were 171 scanned at 600 dots per inch using a flatbed scanner (Hewlett-Packard Co., ScanJet 3670, see 172 Brusch et al., 2020) with animals evenly laid flat on the scanner on their belly and back using a 173 blue foam, to measure body surface and tail width, since body area is a critical determinant of 174 total water loss. To do so, we processed the digital images with the software ImageJ (Schneider, 175 Rasband, & Eliceiri, 2012) using the Analyze Particles function to calculate the body area. We first determined a threshold in terms of color of pixels to tell the lizard apart from the background, 176 177 and then the software automatically counted the number of pixels corresponding to the lizard 178 body area. We repeated the analysis with ventral and dorsal scans of each lizard and summed the 179 two areas. Tail width was further measured at the 7th, 10th and 12th subcaudal scales, and at maximum 180 width (Brusch et al., 2020). Scans were not always exploitable for this measurement with 124 usable values out of 142 for the 10th and 12th subcaudal scales, 117 for the 7th subcaudal and 94 for the 181 182 maximum width. The day of capture, we further took a blood sample from the post-orbital sinus using 1 to 2 micro-capillary tubes (ca. 20-30 µl whole blood) and kept samples at ~4°C in a cooler. We used 183 blood sample to assess how plasma osmolality (one of the best proxies for physiological 184 dehydration in lizards, see Peterson, 1996) vary among populations and whether lizards could 185 maintain normosmolality or not in the face of strong variation in environmental conditions 186 187 (Dupoué et al., 2017). In the laboratory, samples were centrifuged at 11,000 rpm for 5 min to separate 188 plasma from red blood cells. Plasma samples (ca. 5-15 µl) were immediately frozen at -28°C until 189 osmolality assays. Later, plasma osmolality was determined using a vapor pressure osmometer (model Vapro 5600, ELITechGroup) with the protocol described in Wright, Jackson, & DeNardo (2013) and 190 191 adjusted to small plasma volumes (Dupoué et al., 2017b). Before analyses, plasma was diluted (1:3) in standard saline solution (Osmolarity = 280 mOsm.l^{-1}) to obtain 2 duplicates per sample. Thus, we were 192 able to estimate an intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV<3%). 193

Animals were kept separated in individual terraria (35×25×12.5cm) with peat soil, a PVC tube for shelter and a water cup with permanent access to free standing water. During captivity, we provided all lizards with the same thermal gradient from 20 to 34°C for 8h per day (09:00-17:00) using heating wires placed under one end of each terrarium. Lizards were all fed with live crickets (*Acheta domesticus*) every two days and had water sprayed 3 times a day in addition to free water available *ad libitum*. Physiological assays and behavioral trials started after a one-week acclimation period (see below). Once all measurements were completed, all males were released at capture location.

201 <u>2.4. Ecophysiological measurements</u>

202 We separated animals from different populations randomly in 12 lots of 15 lizards. Then, each lot went 203 through the same succession of laboratory measurements as summarized in Figure S1 (Supp.Info). 204 Individuals were maintained without food for 4 days and weighed with a high precision scale (BM \pm 0.01mg) at 8 pm at the end of Day 3. They were then placed overnight in an open-top plastic box with 205 206 no water nor food inside a climatic chamber set at 20°C and 60% relative humidity. All lizards were re-207 weighted at 8 am the following day (Day 4) and moved back to their individual terrarium. We assessed 208 total evaporative water loss (TEWL) from the loss of mass in mg per hour after removing individuals 209 having defecated during the night (N=27). In squamate reptiles, body mass loss reflects TEWL (i.e., the sum of ventilatory and cutaneous evaporative water losses) in resting animals because variation in body 210 211 mass is mostly due to water loss (Dupoué et al., 2015; Moen et al., 2005). We further measured body 212 mass loss at rest during metabolic rate assays in Day 6 (Figure S1) and found a strong correlation 213 between these two measurements of TEWL, showing that this protocol was highly repeatable 214 (Repeatability estimation using the lmm method including animals that did not defecate during 215 the tests, N = 59, R = 0.64 ± 0.08 , p<0.0001).

216

217 The next day (Day 5), we measured preferred body temperatures T_{pref} of fasted lizards in a photothermal gradient inside a neutral arena where water was available *ad libitum* and lizards were safe from predators 218 219 and competitors (Artacho, Jouanneau, & Le Galliard, 2013). Around noon, lizards were placed individually in a 60 cm-long plastic box with a 40W light bulb at one end and a water cup (Trochet 220 et al., 2018). By maintaining the air of the room at 18°C, we could simulate a thermal gradient ranging 221 222 from 18°C to 43°C below the light bulb. Experiments started around 1pm and we collected data after at 223 least a 30 min long acclimation period. Every 20 min during 3h30, we measured the surface temperature 224 of the lizard on the back with a high precision infrared thermometer (Raytek, Raynger MX2). We 225 collected 11 repeated measurements for each individual to assess thermal preference (T_{pref}) values. 226 Differences in thermal preferences between populations were then analyzed using all observations 227 except those of animals buried in the soil, which we considered irrelevant because lizards were 228 "inactive". At the end of the day, we also measured the core body temperature of 120 individuals 229 (randomly chosen among types and populations) with the tip of a K-type temperature probe (Hanna HI 935002, Hanna Instruments) inserted in the cloaca. This allowed us to calculate a 230 231 calibration curve between surface temperature and core body temperature. We found a highly 232 significant, close to 1:1 relationship between core body temperature and surface infrared measurements for the 3 taxonomic groups of lizards (major axis regression forced to 0, slope = 0.96 ± 0.01 , p < 0.0001, 233 234 $\mathbf{R}^2 > 0.99$). We used this regression to convert all surface temperatures to core body temperatures for 235 further analyzes.

236 At Day 6, we used the indirect calorimetric method with a closed respirometer system described in (Foucart et al., 2014) to measure resting metabolic rate from the CO₂ and O₂ gas exchanges between 237 animals and the atmosphere. Lizards fasted for 6 days were weighted with high precision scale and then 238 239 placed in opaque test chambers (1L) covered with an air-tight glass lid. Chambers were installed in a 240 temperature-controlled environment (set at 20°C, a temperature that does not elicit significant locomotor 241 activity) 2 hours before beginning of trial. Outside air was drawn into each test chamber using a Bioblock 242 Scientific 55 L air pump for 5 min ensuring +99% air turnover. Baseline samples of outside air were 243 collected at the onset of each trial. Trials were performed during the night (12h) so that lizards were inactive most of the time. At the end of each trial, an air sample was collected using two 150 ml syringes 244 and we noted if the lizard defecated during the night (N=41). The baseline and final CO_2 and O_2 245 246 concentrations were determined using high precision gas analyzers (CA10 & FC10A, Sable Systems, 247 Las Vegas, NV). Air was pushed from the syringes using an infusion pump (KDS210; KD Scientific, Inc., Holliston, MA, USA), passed through Drierite to remove water and then sent at a controlled rate 248 249 to the analyzer calibrated before each trial. With baseline and final concentrations, we calculated the

- VO₂ (volume of O₂ consumed in ml per hour) and the respiratory quotient as the ratio of CO₂ produced by O₂ consumed. The respiratory quotient varied around 0.75 (mean = 0.77 ± 0.032 , range = 0.695-
- 252 0.911), which corresponds to the situation of a fasting animal that catabolizes lipids (Schmidt-Nielsen,
- 253 1997). We therefore subsequently analyzed resting metabolic rate using VO₂ values.

254 At Day 7, fast was ended and at Day 8 we used an evaporimeter (Aquaflux BIOX AF200, Biox Systems 255 Ltd, UK) that quantified the trans-epidermal water vapor flux from measurements of a humidity gradient within a closed chamber (Imhof et al., 2009; Guillon et al., 2013). We measured independently 256 257 cutaneous evaporative water loss (CEWL rate, g of water per m² per hour) from 4 locations on the back 258 of each lizard in a laboratory room maintained at 23°C. We used an in vivo nail cap with rubber O-ring (diameter 2.6 mm) to ensure a complete seal between the measurement chamber and the lizard's skin. 259 260 The evaporimeter was calibrated at the beginning of each trial following manufacturer procedures. We 261 then gently pressed the probe against the skin of the lizard for a few minutes to perform measurements. 262 Each measurement trial ended once real time CEWL reading, monitored on a graphic interface, was 263 stabilized (± 0.01 g.m⁻².h⁻¹ for 10 s). If any movement caused a leak in the seal between the measurement chamber and the animal's skin, we repeated the trial. In total, we performed 4 measurements for each 264 265 animal and evaluated a posteriori their quality according to the shape of the curve as recommended by 266 the manufacturer. We kept only good quality measurements for subsequent analyzes (range = 2-4 per lizard, mean = 3.66). Repeatability for measurements on the same individual was estimated using 267 268 the lmm method and was significant ($R = 0.56 \pm 0.04$, p<0.0001).

269 <u>2.5. Statistical analyses</u>

270 All statistical analyses were performed in R software version 3.5.3 (2019-03-11) "Great Truth" (RC 271 Team 2019). In our results, we provide the standard deviation of the mean as the dispersion 272 **measure (mean \pm SD).** In a first set of analyses, we compared measurements obtained in the two clades 273 of common lizards and the wall lizards (Z. vivipara louislantzi, Z. vivipara vivipara and P. muralis) with 274 an analysis of variance. For each measurement, we performed an ANCOVA test controlling for inter-275 individual differences in body mass. In a second set of analyses, we studied geographic variation among oviparous populations of Z. vivipara louislantzi. To test for potential correlations between 276 277 morphological, behavioral and physiological traits on one hand and the population characteristics on the 278 other hand, we used a two-step model selection procedure. We analyzed independently variation of body size (SVL, mm), body mass (W, g), body surface (mm²), thermal preferences (T_{pref} , °C), total water loss 279 (TEWL, mg per hour), cutaneous water loss (CEWL, $g.m^{-2}.h^{-1}$) and resting metabolic rate (VO₂, ml per 280 281 hour) using linear mixed models with the function lme from the package nlme (Pinheiro et al., 2006). 282 For each variable, we followed the same model selection procedure (as shown in Supplementary tables 283 S3 to S11).

As our variables of interest are influenced by both individual-level population-level factors, we 284 proceeded in two steps. First, we fitted a full model including all individual and experimental covariates 285 286 as fixed effects and then selected a first minimum adequate model using backward model selection based 287 on AIC. We also assessed homoscedasticity and normality of residuals using the full model. Experimental covariates included measurement conditions (time of the day, temperature and humidity 288 in the room when the experiment was not performed in climatic chambers), and presence of feces for 289 TEWL and VO₂. Individual covariates included age class, SVL, body surface and/or body mass 290 291 depending on traits (see details below and in Supplementary tables). Full models included additive 292 linear effects of all covariates. For thermal preferences data, we analyzed all body temperatures recorded 293 for each individual rather than a composite variable (e.g., mean or variance). Population identity was 294 always included as a random effect to control for non-independence among individuals from the same 295 population. Individual identity nested in the population identity was also included as a random effect to 296 account for repeated measurements on the same individual for $T_{\rm pref}$ and CEWL data. In a second step, 297 we tested if traits differed significantly among populations due to differences in climate or habitat. We 298 used a model averaging procedure using the Akaike information-based criterion (AIC) comparison 299 procedure (Burnham & Anderson, 2004) to compare the relative importance (sum of AICc corrected for 300 small sample size over all models in which the variable appears) of environmental variables related to 301 elevation, climate conditions (mean temperature and rainfall during activity season, pluviometry quotient), and habitat features (water presence and forest cover). The model averaging procedure was 302 performed with maximum likelihood estimates of model parameters using the MuMIn package 303 304 (Burnham & Anderson, 2002; Barton & Barton, 2015). Models were constructed from the best model selected during the first step described above in which we added the environmental variables alone as a 305 306 fixed effect or the additive effects of one variable describing climatic conditions and one variable 307 describing habitat features. Correlations between the environmental variables and the habitat variables 308 were low since we chose to sample populations with as much contrasted access to water and forest cover 309 along the altitude and aridity gradients as possible. For the purpose of model averaging calculations, all 310 continuous covariates were centered and scaled and categorical covariates (age, water presence and 311 forest cover) had their contrasts summed to zero. We selected the main effects with significant 312 contributions to the variability of the traits based on the AICc difference between models, the relative 313 weight of the models and the importance as well as conditional averages from model averaging procedure (Burnham & Anderson, 2004). If the minimal model was included in the set of best models, 314 315 this implies uncertainty in the importance of environmental variables. If numerous concurrent best models were observed, this implies uncertainty about which environmental variable explains the most 316 geographic variation in the trait. All model averaging tables and conditional averages of the important 317 318 environmental variables are reported in the supplementary materials (Tables S3 to S11).

- **To complement these independent analyses of each trait, we further performed a path analysis**
- 320 with Piecewise Structural Equation modeling using the R package PiecewiseSEM (Lefcheck,
- 321 **2016**). This procedure allowed us to test causal paths identified in our model selection approach
- in a single model accounting for the multivariate relationships between our interrelated traits (see
- 323 Supplementary information S13 for more details).

324 **3. Results**

325 <u>3.1. Differences between taxa</u>

326 We found significant differences for all thermo-hydroregulation traits between the wall lizards and the 327 two groups of common lizards, but not between oviparous and viviparous populations of the common lizard, even after controlling for allometric relationship (Table 1, see details in Supplementary Table 328 S2). TEWL was 40% lower in P. *muralis* than in the two clades of Z. *vivipara* after controlling for body 329 mass (P. muralis: TEWL = 0.853 mg.g^{-1} .h⁻¹; Z. vivipara louislantzi: TEWL = 1.387 mg. g^{-1} .h⁻¹; Z. 330 *vivipara vivipara*: TEWL = 1.489 mg. g⁻¹.h⁻¹; ANCOVA: Taxa: $F_{2,140} = 10.9$, p <0.0001; Body mass: 331 $F_{1,140} = 77.2$, p<0.0001). Similarly, CEWL was 40% smaller in P. *muralis* than in the two clades of Z. 332 333 *vivipara* (ANOVA: Taxa: $F_{2.652} = 66.3$, p < 0.0001). VO₂ rates corrected for body mass, were 26% lower 334 in P. *muralis* than in Z. *vivipara* (ANCOVA: Body mass: $F_{1,160} = 336.6$, p < 0.0001, Taxa: $F_{2,160} = 9.63$, 335 p = 0.0001). T_{pref} were significantly lower in P. *muralis* compared to Z. *vivipara* subspecies (ANOVA: $F_{2.1640} = 47.19$, p < 0.001). None of the post-hoc tests revealed any difference between Z. vivipara 336 louislantzi and Z. vivipara vivipara (Table 1). 337

338 <u>3.2. Morphological variation among oviparous populations of Z. vivipara</u>

The SVL and body condition (body mass corrected for SVL with a linear regression) varied among 339 populations (ANOVA: Population effect: $F_{14,127} = 4.7$, p < 0.0001 and $R_{interpop} = 0.38$ for SVL and 340 ANOVA: Population effect: $F_{14,126} = 7.0$, p < 0.0001 and $R_{interpop} = 0.42$ for BM) and these differences 341 342 were partly explained by climatic conditions. The most important environmental and individual 343 variables explaining variation of SVL included the age class (contrast between age 1 and older males = 344 -3.2 ± 0.25 mm, p <0.0001), mean temperature during activity period (slope = -0.5 ± 0.16 mm per °C, p 345 = 0.01) and the pluviometry quotient (slope = 0.01 ± 0.005 mm per Q unit, p = 0.04, see Table S3). 346 Thus, lizards had a larger average body size in colder climates (Figure 2A). The most important 347 environmental variables explaining geographic variation of body condition were the pluviometry quotient (slope = 0.002 ± 0.001 g per Q unit, p = 0.03), the altitude (estimate = 0.0003 ± 0.0001 g per 348 m, p = 0.03) and the mean temperature during activity period (estimate = -0.07 ± 0.03 g per °C, p = 349 0.04). According to the best supported model, lizards had a higher body condition in highland 350 populations with a high pluviometry quotient and in open habitats than under dense forest cover (see 351 352 variations of body mass in Figure 2B, Table S4). Body surface was as expected strongly correlated with body mass ($\mathbf{r} = 0.9$, p < 0.0001) and followed a similar pattern of geographic variation than body condition (see Table S5).

355 Tail width at the three recorded positions was correlated with SVL and body mass and the different measurements of tail width were strongly correlated with each other (all $\mathbf{r} > 0.75$, p < 0.0001). We 356 357 present only the analyses on maximum tail width and tail width at 10th subcaudal scale. For both, the 358 most important environmental and individual variables included the SVL (for max width : slope = 0.046 ± 0.021 mm, p = 0.03; for 10th scale : slope = 0.074 ± 0.015 mm per mm, p<0.0001), the altitude (for 359 max width : slope = 0.0006 ± 0.0002 mm per m, p = 0.0009 ; for 10th scale : slope = 0.0005 ± 0.0001 360 361 mm per m, p = 0.0003, see Figure 2C, Tables S6), the mean temperature during activity period (for max width : slope = -0.11 ± 0.04 mm per °C, p = 0.018; for 10th scale : slope = -0.09 ± 0.03 mm per °C, p 362 363 = 0.006) and the mean precipitation during activity period (for max width : slope = 0.001 ± 0.0007 mm per mm, p = 0.046; for 10th scale : slope = 0.001 ± 0.0005 mm per mm, p = 0.03). The best supported 364 365 models retained the effect of age class and SVL as individual covariates and of altitude and forest cover, 366 indicating a joint effect of climate conditions and local habitat (see Figure 2C, Tables S6).

367 <u>3.3. Physiological variation among oviparous populations of Z. vivipara</u>

The TEWL at rest increased with body mass (estimate = 0.0008 ± 0.0001 mg/h per g, p < 0.0001). There 368 was some degree of variation in TEWL among populations (ANOVA: Population effect: $F_{14,94} = 3.5$, p 369 370 = 0.0001 and R_{interpop} = 0.37) and our model comparison procedure uncovered that the permanent access 371 to free water in the habitat was a significant variable explaining this geographic variation (Figure 3A, 372 Table S7). Lizards living in habitats with no permanent access to water had lower TEWL (contrast = -373 0.26 ± 0.07 , p = 0.001). The effects of other variables were more uncertain with models suggesting that 374 TEWL decreased weakly with altitude and in open habitats compared to forest habitats (Table S7). The 375 CEWL values showed a much greater variability among individuals within a population than among populations ($R_{interpop} = 0.22$; $R_{interind} = 0.47$). The model that best explained CEWL variation included 376 377 only experimental conditions effects with a positive correlation of the absolute humidity of the room at the time of measurement (estimator = 0.70 ± 0.04 , p = 0.0001, Table S8). 378

Apart from the significant positive effect of body mass on VO₂ at rest (estimate = $6.14 \ 10-5 \pm 5.10-6$ ml/h per mg, p < 0.0001), there was some uncertainty about the effects of environmental variables on VO₂ despite variation among populations (ANOVA: Population effect: F_{17,144} = 1.91, p = 0.02 and R_{interpop}= 0.25). According to the best supported model, lizards tended to have higher VO₂ in populations with a high pluviometry quotient, and, in addition, in forest habitats than in open areas (Figure 2D, Table S9). 385 Mean plasma osmolality was 306 ± 18 mOsm kg–1 and was different among populations (ANOVA: 386 Population effect: $F_{14,119} = 3.8$, p < 0.0001 and $R_{interpop} = 0.35$), but we found no significant effect of 387 environmental variables on plasma osmolality (Table S11).

388 3.4. Variation of thermal preferences in oviparous populations of Z. vivipara

Thermal body preferences were relatively high in our sample (mean = 34.94°C, range = 19.80-39.40°C, 389 Figure 3B) compared to previous studies with the same species (e.g., $T_{\text{pref}} = 31.5$; Gvoždík & Castilla, 390 391 2001; Trochet et al., 2018). T_{pref} showed a great intra-population variability but varied little across 392 populations (ANOVA: Population effect: $F_{14,1420} = 5.11$, p < 0.001 and $R_{interpop} = 0.18$, see Figure 3B) 393 and this geographic variation was not significantly explained by environmental variables. Our model 394 comparison procedure only revealed the effect of experimental covariates and suggested some potential 395 but weak effects of forest cover (not significant in conditional averages, Table S10). Lizards living in 396 open habitats tended to have higher T_{pref} than lizards from forest habitats.

397 <u>3.5. Covariation between thermo-hydroregulation traits</u>

We found no significant correlation between TEWL, CEWL, VO₂, the body surface area of the animal 398 399 and the T_{pref} (Figure 4). First, TEWL, T_{pref} and VO₂, which provide three potentially related information 400 about the thermo-hydroregulation strategy of lizards, were not strongly correlated (Pearson product moment correlation, all r < 0.3, all p < 0.05). Second, we explored the relationship between TEWL and 401 402 the potential subcomponents of evaporative water losses, such as CEWL, body surface and ventilation rate (which is linearly related to VO₂), but we found no correlation between them (all r < 0.2, all p >403 0.05). In addition, in the SEM result CEWL and VO₂ were weakly positively correlated (r = 0.26, 404 405 p = 0.018, see Supp. Info S13).

406 **4. Discussion**

407 Studies of intraspecific variation in functional traits across environmental gradients have tended to focus 408 on the thermal biology of ectotherms instead of the joint variation and integration of their thermo-409 hydroregulation strategies (Artacho et al., 2017; Domínguez- Guerrero et al., 2021). Here, we compared ecophysiological traits linked to both water balance and body temperature regulation in populations of 410 411 Zootoca vivipara louislantzi ranging from rear edge nearby sea level to the leading edge of their distribution in highland habitats. In addition, we compared these populations with two outgroups 412 413 including a different species and another subspecies, with which we expected substantial ecophysiological differences. 414

415 Strong ecophysiological variation was indeed observed between wall lizards *Podarcis muralis* and 416 common lizards *Zootoca vivipara*, as expected given the broad ecological and habitat differences 417 between these two species. The wall lizard is found on average in warmer and drier areas than the 418 common lizard and this species also exhibits distinct preferences for dry saxicolous rather vegetated 419 habitats where common lizards strive, even though these two species can occur sympatrically (Mole, 420 2010). On the one hand, Podarcis muralis had lower water loss rates (both TEWL and CEWL) and basal 421 metabolic rates (VO₂) than Zootoca vivipara. Comparative studies of squamate reptiles and other vertebrates have uncovered similar findings, with higher resistance to evaporative water loss and lower 422 basal energy expenditure in species from hot and dry environments (Dupoué et al. 2017, Le Galliard et 423 al., 2021a, Cox & Cox, 2015). On the other hand, P. muralis displayed lower preferred temperatures 424 (T_{pref}) than our populations of Z. vivipara instead of the predicted higher T_{pref} expected for organisms 425 426 from warmer habitats (Garcia-Porta et al., 2019). Previous studies of P. muralis and Z. vivipara have 427 found that the T_{pref} of adult males can vary seasonally with high T_{pref} during the early mating season, 428 lower T_{pref} during the spring season and then higher T_{pref} during the summer season (Osojnik et al., 2013). 429 Thus, one possibility is that wall lizards, who tend to have an earlier phenology than common lizards, had lower T_{pref} because they were sampled slightly later during their mating season than common lizards. 430 431 Another possibility is that the relatively smaller T_{pref} of wall lizards reflects adjustments to water 432 limitation and habitat aridity in their native population, as shown in laboratory experiments (Sannolo & 433 Carretero, 2019; Le Galliard et al., 2021b).

434 We found no significant ecophysiological variation between the two clades of Zootoca vivipara despite their ancient evolutionary divergence and a major difference in reproduction mode between the two 435 clades. Phylogenetic studies revealed six major genetic clades for Zootoca vivipara in Eurasia with four 436 437 widespread viviparous clades present in most of the distribution range and two oviparous clades restricted to the southern margin of the range distribution in Western or Eastern Europe (Surget- Groba 438 et al., 2006). The most parsimonious evolutionary scenario proposes that viviparity evolved only once 439 440 but then a reversal back to oviparity occurred in the Western populations from our study area (Recknagel 441 et al., 2018; Horreo et al., 2018). This transition back to oviparity is associated with climate warming, 442 since oviparous forms are generally favored over viviparous forms in warmer habitats (Shine, 1985). 443 The two reproductive modes are probably separated given the limited gene flow and reproductive 444 isolation between them (e.g., Cornetti et al., 2015). Viviparity is considered as a key evolutionary 445 transition to life under cold climatic conditions (Horreo, Jiménez-Valverde, & Fitze, 2021), with 446 oviparous lizards being adapted to warmer and more variable environment during the reproductive 447 season (as suggested by Horreo et al., 2021). This scenario led us to expect major differences in thermo-448 hydroregulation strategies between clades B (oviparous) and E (viviparous). However, we found no physiological or behavioral adaptation as the two clades were very similar in terms of TEWL, T_{pref} and 449 VO₂. In our experiment, interindividual and interpopulation differences on these traits were stronger 450 451 than the divergence due to clades. However, we encourage further studies of the ecophysiological strategies of adult females, especially during gestation when phenotypic differences between the two 452 453 reproductive modes are likely to be stronger (Recknagel & Elmer, 2019).

454 Morphological differences among oviparous populations were explained by climatic conditions including temperature and the pluviometry quotient Q. First, **body** condition of males was explained by 455 both environmental temperature and humidity: sexually mature males were on average heavier in the 456 more mesic habitats (sensu Q index), whereas relative tail width increased with altitude (and cold 457 458 temperatures) and with rainfall. In this species, caudal width represents a good proxy of tail reserves, which are an important body component for fat and protein storage whose variation can reflect changes 459 460 in food availability, energy intake and also water availability (Bateman & Fleming, 2009; Brusch et al., 461 2020). Body condition might increase with humidity because of positive effects of rainfall and water 462 availability on habitat quality, including food availability, but this should be accompanied by an increase 463 of body size with humidity. Alternatively, low environmental temperatures and high water availability 464 might reduce energy expenditure and the reliance of lizards on fat or protein catabolism to restore energy 465 and water balance (e.g., Brusch et al., 2020). Hence, we can hypothesize that males from rear edge, drier 466 and hotter populations were more prompt to catabolize tail reserves, probably to fuel some higher 467 metabolic demand (in warmer climates) and to support water demands (in drier climates). Further 468 examinations of physiological traits in standard conditions tend to confirm the later hypothesis.

469 Second, size was influenced mostly by environmental temperature: sexually mature males were on average longer in the colder habitats. This geographic variability for body size is globally consistent 470 with previous data collected across the altitudinal range of other ectothermic vertebrates (Peterman, 471 472 Crawford, & Hocking, 2016; Trochet et al., 2019). These two aspects suggest a positive effect of cold 473 temperature and humidity on body size and body conditions. If the effect on size has been documented 474 previously (Lu et al., 2018; Roitberg et al., 2020), the effect of aridity is rarely tested. A recent thorough 475 analysis of the body size variation across the range distribution of Z. vivipara suggested that body size 476 varies non-monotonously with the length of the activity season in this species because of the underlying 477 thermal plasticity of growth and sexual maturation (Roitberg et al., 2020). This scenario of thermal plasticity predicts a shift towards a larger mean body size of adult individuals in colder climates because 478 479 age at first reproduction is delayed by a year or more in a seasonal environment (Adolph and Porter, 480 1996). Thus, adult males are bigger in colder climates because they mature older and therefore at a larger 481 body size on average than adults growing at higher temperatures but maturing earlier in life (Atkinson, 482 1994; Angilletta, 2009). However, under this scenario, we would not expect the size of young males of 483 the year (age class A1) to be smaller in warmer habitats if those yearlings are born earlier and grow 484 faster than those of colder habitats (Sorci et al., 1996; Roitberg et al., 2020), which is what we found 485 here. Our sample of 1-year-old individuals was however likely biased as we only captured sexually 486 mature young males, whereas most young are not mature at this age, especially in cold climates. We 487 therefore performed a complementary analysis of a larger dataset including many more Z. vivipara populations and both sexually mature and immature young males of the year. In this supplementary 488 489 database, we had a much stronger variability in the body size of young males of the year. This updated analysis confirmed a positive thermal cline for the body size of 1 year-old males with SVL increasing by 1.03 ± 0.29 mm per °C mean activity temperature (p = 0.0006, see supplementary Table S12). This reverse thermal clines for body size in young males of the year and older males conforms exactly with the "saw-tooth" relationship between body size and seasonality proposed by Adolph and Porter (1996) and seen across the broad geographic distribution of *Z. vivipara* across Europe (Roitberg et al., 2020). Altogether, these results indicate that broad scale climate gradients instead of local habitat features were the main determinants of geographic variation in morphology.

497 Lizards living in habitats with temporary access to water had lower total evaporative water loss rates 498 than those from habitats with permanent access and the plasma osmolality was remarkably consistent 499 across habitat types and climate conditions, similar to a previous finding in adult males and females of 500 the viviparous clade E in Massif Central, France (Dupoué et al., 2017b). This confirms that common 501 lizards can adjust or adapt their total water loss to cope with seasonal habitat dryness and that water 502 availability in the environment is more consistently related to this functional trait of the water budget 503 than rainfall or thermal conditions. We also examined traits involved in TEWL variation including body 504 surface (which relates to the total exchange area for cutaneous evaporative water loss), standard 505 cutaneous water loss rates (which relates to skin permeability to water loss), and VO_2 at rest (which 506 relates to basal energy expenditure and also correlates with respiratory water loss). Quite surprisingly, 507 we found no effect of water availability in the habitat on these three traits and little inter-individual 508 correlation between these traits and TEWL, which does not allow us to point a specific avenue by which 509 lizards down-regulated standard TEWL rates in habitats with temporary access to water. One possibility 510 is that some behavioral mechanisms were driving the observed pattern. For example, ocular water loss 511 can represent a significant avenue for TEWL in small lizard species (Pirtle et al., 2019) and the time 512 spent with eyes open could be variable during inactivity periods.

513 Contrary to our expectations, the VO_2 of adult males were poorly influenced by climate conditions, especially environmental temperatures, except for a trend towards higher VO_2 in more mesic conditions 514 that would require further testing with a larger sample size. Note that this weak pattern of variation of 515 516 VO_2 with climate aridity is the same than the one seen in some desert species of birds and rodents 517 (McNab & Morrison, 1963; Tieleman, Williams, & Bloomer, 2003). We also found a small positive effect of forest cover on VO₂ but this effect remains difficult to interpret given that closed habitats tended 518 519 to be more frequent at a lower elevation. Given the strong and consistent differences in thermal and 520 rainfall conditions between the relict lowland populations and those in highland mountains, we expected 521 that the VO_2 of adult males would be significantly lower in low altitude populations (i.e. metabolic cold 522 adaptation or Krogh's rule described in Krogh & Lindhard, 1914) as it has been shown in other species 523 of lizards (e.g. Plasman et al., 2020). However, a recent review by Pettersen (2020) on reptiles 524 demonstrates little support for counter gradient variation in metabolic rate (which would reflect an 525 adaptive response to geographic gradient), whereas it did reveal such an adaptive response on 4 development time. Here, we do not find a difference in VO_2 at adult stage across a 2000 m elevation 5 gradient but cannot exclude that geographic variation may be more substantial at earlier stages of life or 5 in females, but also at a different season, for example at the end of the summer following seasonal 5 acclimatization of resting metabolic rate.

530 Regarding thermoregulation behavior, we studied thermal preferences to quantify thermoregulation strategies under controlled laboratory conditions. Variation in T_{pref} was often 531 532 higher among individuals within a population than among populations and fell 6-7°C below the critical 533 thermal maximum (Gvoždík & Castilla, 2001; Trochet et al., 2018). Individual differences in T_{pref} 534 were independent from variation in water loss rates or basal metabolism. The T_{pref} also remained similar on average among populations with contrasted climatic and habitat conditions confirming the 535 536 results of several previous comparative studies of thermal preferences in this species in other geographic 537 areas (Van Damme, Bauwens, & Verheyen, 1990; Gvoždík & Castilla, 2001; Rozen-Rechels et al., 538 2021; Carretero, Roig, & Llorente, 2005). Note that we cannot exclude stronger geographic differences 539 of T_{pref} later in the season due to different acclimatization conditions across our geographic gradients, as a strong seasonality effect was found in a meta-analysis on thermoregulation efficiency of lacertid 540 541 lizards (Ortega & Martín-Vallejo, 2019). On the same species, Trochet et al. (2018) found a slight 542 decrease of the T_{pref} of adult males and females from ca. 31-32°C at 500 m elevation to ca. 29-30°C at 2000 m elevation during the late spring season. Irrespective of this possibility, the fact that T_{pref} varied 543 544 little across a temperature gradient of 6° C for mean temperature during the activity season suggests that behavioral thermoregulation is optimized at grossly similar body temperatures over the geographic 545 546 gradient, perhaps because the species is a thermal generalist (Angilletta et al. 2002).

547 Assuming a strong selection for optimization of thermal performances, behavioral adjustments may explain the absence of geographic variability in T_{pref} . First, we know that lizards can use microhabitats 548 549 (e.g., rocks, shaded vegetation or burrows) to maintain an optimal body temperature and buffer the negative effects of cold or hot environmental temperatures on their performance (Gaudenti et al., 2021; 550 Taylor et al., 2021). Hence, macroclimatic conditions, here quantified by average air temperatures, 551 552 might be less relevant than microclimatic conditions since common lizards can shift microhabitat 553 selection to keep an optimal temperature even in the extreme parts of our geographic gradient (Rozen-554 Rechels et al., 2021). This supposes a great spatial variation in operative temperature to allow efficient thermoregulation even in cold and warm environments (Logan, van Berkel, & Clusella-Trullas, 2019). 555 Ultimately, some components of the ecological niche of the species could also change along the 556 557 climatic gradients. For example, there might be a shift toward sunny, low vegetation habitats in 558 high altitude populations compared to more shaded forest habitats in lowland populations. 559 Complementary studies of the spatial distribution of contemporary populations are required to 560 confirm this hypothesis. However, our thermal cline was quite substantial and we found strong

561 morphological differences along the gradient, which were likely caused by differences in the length of

562 the activity season. This suggests that body temperature regulation was to some extent constrained by 563 cold climate conditions early and late in the activity season. Another compensatory mechanism could be the possibility of geographic changes in basking behavior and effort (Stevenson, 1985): here, animals 564 565 from cold populations could spend more time basking to keep an optimal temperature even at the cost of spending less time foraging (Buckley, Ehrenberger, & Angilletta, 2015). Yet, a previous study 566 examined behavioral thermoregulation between lowland and highland populations of Z. vivipara and 567 found no compensatory behavior of this kind in high altitude (Gvoždík, 2002). In any case, our results 568 569 suggest that some aspects of the thermal preferences or the ecological niche did compensate at least 570 partly for the strong macroclimatic differences in environmental temperatures. The behavioral 571 mechanisms allowing common lizards to maintain optimal body temperature and performance across 572 gradients of environmental temperatures are important to study in the future since they can shield 573 organisms from environmental variation and cause evolutionary inertia of physiological traits (i.e., 574 Bogert effect, Muñoz, 2021).

575 Overall, our study of intraspecific variation of morphology and thermo-hydroregulation traits in 576 Z. vivipara louislantzi indicate that macroclimate gradients were the main determinants of 577 geographic variation in morphology but not for thermo-hydroregulation traits. Relict populations 578 from the warm rear edge of the range distribution displayed no particular ecophysiological 579 adaptations. Habitat features such as water access and forest cover had a stronger influence on 580 EWL than thermal conditions. We suggest that habitat features should be included in future 581 comparative studies of terrestrial ectotherms' physiology in order to better understand their role relative to climate conditions. 582

583 **5. Acknowledgements and funding**

584 This study received the financial and technical support from the Centre National de la Recherche 585 Scientifique (CNRS), and was funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche under the 'Aquatherm' 586 project (ANR-17-CE02-0013 to JFLG), by the European Union (Feder), the regional council of 587 Nouvelle Aquitaine and the departmental council of Gironde & Pyrénées-Atlantiques under the 'Climate 588 Sentinels' project (coordinators: Cistude Nature, Fanny Mallard), and under the 'Aquastress' project 589 (2018-1R20214 to OL). Permission to capture and handle lizards was provided by DREAL/21-2020 (GED: 12278) and the experiment was carried out in accordance with institutional guidelines, under 590 591 license from the French Ministry of the Environment and the veterinary and environmental services of 592 every region where we sampled lizards (permits APAFIS#19920-2019032323596391). We thank the 593 two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments to improve our manuscript.

594 6. Data Availability

595 The data underlying this article are available in *Zenodo* at https://dx.doi.org/ 596 10.5281/zenodo.6366222 597

598 **7. References** 599

- ADOLPH, S.C. & PORTER, W.P. (1996) Growth, Seasonality, and Lizard Life Histories: Age and Size
 at Maturity. Oikos 77, 267.
- ANGILLETTA JR, M.J. & ANGILLETTA, M.J. (2009) Thermal adaptation: a theoretical and
 empirical synthesis. Oxford University Press.
- ANGILLETTA, M.J., NIEWIAROWSKI, P.H. & NAVAS, C.A. (2002) The evolution of thermal
 physiology in ectotherms. Journal of Thermal Biology 27, 249–268.
- ARRIBAS, O.J. (2009) Morphological variability of the Cantabro-Pyrenean populations of Zootoca
 vivipara (JACQUIN, 1787) with description of a new subspecies. Herpetozoa 21, 123–146.
- ARTACHO, P., JOUANNEAU, I. & LE GALLIARD, J.-F. (2013) Interindividual Variation in
 Thermal Sensitivity of Maximal Sprint Speed, Thermal Behavior, and Resting Metabolic Rate in a
 Lizard. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 86, 458–469.
- 611 ARTACHO, P., SARAVIA, J., PERRET, S., BARTHELD, J.L. & LE GALLIARD, J.-F. (2017)
- Geographic variation and acclimation effects on thermoregulation behavior in the widespread lizard
 Liolaemus pictus. Journal of Thermal Biology 63, 78–87.
- ASHTON, K.G. (2001) Body Size Variation Among Mainland Populations of the Western Rattlesnake
 (crotalus Viridis). Evolution 55, 2523–2533.
- ATKINSON, D. (1994) Temperature and organism size: a biological law for ectotherms? Advances in
 ecological research 25, 1–58.
- 618 BARTON, K. & BARTON, M.K. (2015) Package 'mumin'. Version 1, 18.
- BATEMAN, P.W. & FLEMING, P.A. (2009) To cut a long tail short: a review of lizard caudal
 autotomy studies carried out over the last 20 years. Journal of Zoology 277, 1–14.
- BELLARD, C., BERTELSMEIER, C., LEADLEY, P., THUILLER, W. & COURCHAMP, F. (2012)
 Impacts of climate change on the future of biodiversity. Ecology Letters 15, 365–377.
- 623 BLOIS, J.L., WILLIAMS, J.W., FITZPATRICK, M.C., JACKSON, S.T. & FERRIER, S. (2013)
- Space can substitute for time in predicting climate-change effects on biodiversity. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences 110, 9374–9379.
- 626 BODENSTEINER, B.L., AGUDELO- CANTERO, G.A., ARIETTA, A.Z.A., GUNDERSON, A.R.,
- MUÑOZ, M.M., REFSNIDER, J.M. & GANGLOFF, E.J. (2021) Thermal adaptation revisited: How
 conserved are thermal traits of reptiles and amphibians? Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A:
- 629 Ecological and Integrative Physiology 335, 173–194.
- 630 BRUSCH, G.A., GAVIRA, R.S.B., VITON, R., DUPOUÉ, A., LEROUX-COYAU, M., MEYLAN,
- 631 S., LE GALLIARD, J.-F. & LOURDAIS, O. (2020) Additive effects of temperature and water
- availability on pregnancy in a viviparous lizard. The Journal of Experimental Biology 223, jeb228064.
- 633 BUCKLEY, L.B., EHRENBERGER, J.C. & ANGILLETTA, M.J. (2015) Thermoregulatory
- 634 behaviour limits local adaptation of thermal niches and confers sensitivity to climate change.
- **635** Functional Ecology 29, 1038–1047.
- 636 BURNHAM, K.P. & ANDERSON, D.R. (2002) A practical information-theoretic approach. Model
- 637 selection and multimodel inference 2. Springer New York.

- BURNHAM, K.P. & ANDERSON, D.R. (2004) Multimodel Inference: Understanding AIC and BIC
 in Model Selection. Sociological Methods & Research 33, 261–304.
- 640 CALDWELL, A.J., WHILE, G.M. & WAPSTRA, E. (2017) Plasticity of thermoregulatory behaviour
 641 in response to the thermal environment by widespread and alpine reptile species. Animal Behaviour
 642 132, 217–227.
- 643 CANELLAS, C., GIBELIN, A.-L., LASSÈGUES, P., KERDONCUFF, M., DANDIN, P. & SIMON,
 644 P. (2014) The 1981-2010 Aurelhy gridded climate normals. https://doi.org/10.4267/2042/53750.
- 645
- 646 CARRETERO, M.A., ROIG, J.M. & LLORENTE, G.A. (2005) Variation in Preferred Body Temperature in
 647 an Oviparous Population of Lacerta (Zootoca) Vivipara. The Herpetological Journal 15, 51–55.
- 648 CHIACCHIO, M., GRIMM- SEYFARTH, A., HENLE, K. & MIHOUB, J. (2020) Water availability
- as a major climatic driver of taxonomic and functional diversity in a desert reptile community.Ecosphere 11.
- 651 CLUSELLA-TRULLAS, S. & CHOWN, S.L. (2014) Lizard thermal trait variation at multiple scales:
 652 a review. Journal of Comparative Physiology B 184, 5–21.
- 653 CORNETTI, L., BELLUARDO, F., GHIELMI, S., GIOVINE, G., FICETOLA, G.F., BERTORELLE,
- G., VERNESI, C. & HAUFFE, H.C. (2015) Reproductive isolation between oviparous and viviparous
- lineages of the Eurasian common lizard Z ootoca vivipara in a contact zone: Speciation in the
- 656 Common Lizard. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 114, 566–573.
- 657 COX, C.L. & COX, R.M. (2015) Evolutionary shifts in habitat aridity predict evaporative water loss
 658 across squamate reptiles: BRIEF COMMUNICATION. Evolution 69, 2507–2516.
- 659 DOMÍNGUEZ- GUERRERO, S.F., BODENSTEINER, B.L., PARDO- RAMÍREZ, A.,
- 660 AGUILLÓN- GUTIERREZ, D.R., CRUZ, F.R.M. LA & MUÑOZ, M.M. (2021) Thermal physiology
- responds to interannual temperature shifts in a montane horned lizard, Phrynosoma orbiculare. Journal
- of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology 335, 136–145.
- 663 DUPOUÉ, A., BRISCHOUX, F., ANGELIER, F., DENARDO, D.F., WRIGHT, C.D. & LOURDAIS,
- 664 O. (2015) Intergenerational trade-off for water may induce a mother–offspring conflict in favour of 665 embryos in a viviparous snake. Functional Ecology 29, 414–422.
- 666 DUPOUÉ, A., BRISCHOUX, F. & LOURDAIS, O. (2017a) Climate and foraging mode explain
- interspecific variation in snake metabolic rates. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
 Sciences 284, 20172108.
- 669 DUPOUÉ, A., RUTSCHMANN, A., LE GALLIARD, J.F., MILES, D.B., CLOBERT, J.,
- DENARDO, D.F., BRUSCH, G.A. & MEYLAN, S. (2017b) Water availability and environmental
 temperature correlate with geographic variation in water balance in common lizards. Oecologia 185,
 561–571.
- 673 DUPOUÉ, A., TROCHET, A., RICHARD, M., SORLIN, M., GUILLON, M., TEULIERES-
- 674 QUILLET, J., VALLÉ, C., RAULT, C., BERRONEAU, M., BERRONEAU, M., LOURDAIS, O.,
- 675 BLAIMONT, P., BERTRAND, R., POTTIER, G., CALVEZ, O., ET AL. (2021) Genetic and
- demographic trends from rear to leading edge are explained by climate and forest cover in a cold-
- adapted ectotherm. Diversity and Distributions 27, 267–281.
- 678 EMBERGER, L. (1955) Une classification biogéographique des climats.
- 679 FOUCART, T., LOURDAIS, O., DENARDO, D.F. & HEULIN, B. (2014) Influence of reproductive
- 680 mode on metabolic costs of reproduction: insight from the bimodal lizard Zootoca vivipara. Journal of
- Experimental Biology 217, 4049–4056.

- 682 GARCÍA-MUÑOZ, E. & CARRETERO, M.A. (2013) Comparative ecophysiology of two sympatric
- bizards. Laying the groundwork for mechanistic distribution models. Acta Herpetologica 8, 123-128–
 128.
- 685 GARCIA-PORTA, J., IRISARRI, I., KIRCHNER, M., RODRÍGUEZ, A., KIRCHHOF, S., BROWN,
- 686 J.L., MACLEOD, A., TURNER, A.P., AHMADZADEH, F., ALBALADEJO, G., CRNOBRNJA-
- 687 ISAILOVIC, J., DE LA RIVA, I., FAWZI, A., GALÁN, P., GÖÇMEN, B., ET AL. (2019)
- Environmental temperatures shape thermal physiology as well as diversification and genome-widesubstitution rates in lizards. Nature Communications 10, 4077.
- 690 GAUDENTI, N., NIX, E., MAIER, P., WESTPHAL, M.F. & TAYLOR, E.N. (2021) Habitat
- heterogeneity affects the thermal ecology of an endangered lizard. Ecology and Evolution 11, 14843–14856.
- GUILLON, M., GUILLER, G., DENARDO, D.F. & LOURDAIS, O. (2013) Microclimate preferences
 correlate with contrasted evaporative water loss in parapatric vipers at their contact zone. Canadian
 Journal of Zoology 92, 81–86. NRC Research Press.
- 695 696
- 697 GVOŽDÍK, L. (2002) To heat or to save time? Thermoregulation in the lizard Zootoca vivipara
- 698 (Squamata: Lacertidae) in different thermal environments along an altitudinal gradient. Canadian
 699 Journal of Zoology 80, 479–492.
- 700 GVOŽDÍK, L. & CASTILLA, A.M. (2001) A Comparative Study of Preferred Body Temperatures
- and Critical Thermal Tolerance Limits among Populations of Zootoca vivipara (Squamata: Lacertidae)
- along an Altitudinal Gradient. Journal of Herpetology 35, 486–492. Society for the Study ofAmphibians and Reptiles.
- 704 HERRANDO- PÉREZ, S., MONASTERIO, C., BEUKEMA, W., GOMES, V., FERRI- YÁÑEZ, F.,
- VIEITES, D.R., BUCKLEY, L.B. & ARAÚJO, M.B. (2020) Heat tolerance is more variable than cold
- tolerance across species of Iberian lizards after controlling for intraspecific variation. Functional
 Ecology 34, 631–645.
- HEULIN, B. (1987) Temperature diurne d'activité des males et des femelles de Lacerta vivipara.
 Amphibia-Reptilia 8, 393–400. Brill.
- 710 HEULIN, B., OSENEGG-LECONTE, K. & MICHEL', D. (1997) Demography of a Bimodal
- Reproductive Species of Lizard (Lacerta vivipara): Survival and Density Characteristics of Oviparous
 Populations. Herpetologica, 14.
- HORREO, J.L., JIMÉNEZ-VALVERDE, A. & FITZE, P.S. (2021) Climatic niche differences among
 Zootoca vivipara clades with different parity modes: implications for the evolution and maintenance of
 viviparity. Frontiers in Zoology 18, 32.
- 716
- 717 HORREO, J.L., PELAEZ, M.L., SUÁREZ, T., BREEDVELD, M.C., HEULIN, B., SURGET- GROBA, Y.,
- 718 OKSANEN, T.A. & FITZE, P.S. (2018) Phylogeography, evolutionary history and effects of glaciations
- in a species (Zootoca vivipara) inhabiting multiple biogeographic regions. Journal of Biogeography
- **45**, 1616–1627.
- 721 HUEY, R.B., DEUTSCH, C.A., TEWKSBURY, J.J., VITT, L.J., HERTZ, P.E., ÁLVAREZ PÉREZ,
- 722 H.J. & GARLAND, T. (2009) Why tropical forest lizards are vulnerable to climate warming.
- Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 276, 1939–1948.
- 724 HUEY, R.B., KEARNEY, M.R., KROCKENBERGER, A., HOLTUM, J.A.M., JESS, M. &
- WILLIAMS, S.E. (2012) Predicting organismal vulnerability to climate warming: roles of behaviour,
 physiology and adaptation. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences
- 727 367, 1665–1679.

- 728 IMHOF, R.E., JESUS, M.E.P.D., XIAO, P., CIORTEA, L.I. & BERG, E.P. (2009) Closed-chamber
- transepidermal water loss measurement: microclimate, calibration and performance. International
- 730 Journal of Cosmetic Science 31, 97–118.
- 731 KOBAYASHI, D., MAUTZ, W.J. & NAGY, K.A. (1983) Evaporative Water Loss: Humidity
- Acclimation in Anolis carolinensis Lizards. Copeia 1983, 701–704. [American Society of Labeland Lizards]
- 733 Ichthyologists and Herpetologists (ASIH), Allen Press].
- KROGH, A. & LINDHARD, J. (1914) On the average composition of the alveolar air and its
 variations during the respiratory cycle. The Journal of physiology 47, 431. Wiley-Blackwell.
- 736 KUBISCH, E.L., FERNÁNDEZ, J.B. & IBARGÜENGOYTÍA, N.R. (2016) Vulnerability to climate warming
- of Liolaemus pictus (Squamata, Liolaemidae), a lizard from the cold temperate climate in Patagonia,
- 738 Argentina. Journal of Comparative Physiology B 186, 243–253.
- 739
- LEFCHECK, J.S. (2016) piecewiseSEM: Piecewise structural equation modelling in r for ecology, evolution, and systematics. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **7**, 573–579.
- 742 LE GALLIARD, J.-F., CHABAUD, C., DE ANDRADE, D.O.V., BRISCHOUX, F., CARRETERO,
- 743 M.A., DUPOUÉ, A., GAVIRA, R.S.B., LOURDAIS, O., SANNOLO, M. & VAN DOOREN, T.J.M.
- 744 (2021a) A worldwide and annotated database of evaporative water loss rates in squamate reptiles.
- 745 Global Ecology and Biogeography 30, 1938–1950.
- 746 LE GALLIARD, J.-F.L., ROZEN-RECHELS, D., LECOMTE, A., DEMAY, C., DUPOUÉ, A. &
- 747 MEYLAN, S. (2021b) Short-term changes in air humidity and water availability weakly constrain
 748 thermoregulation in a dry-skinned ectotherm. PLOS ONE 16, e0247514. Public Library of Science.
- LOGAN, M.L., VAN BERKEL, J. & CLUSELLA-TRULLAS, S. (2019) The Bogert Effect and
 environmental heterogeneity. Oecologia 191, 817–827.
- LORENZON, P., CLOBERT, J. & MASSOT, M. (2001) The Contribution of Phenotypic Plasticity to
 Adaptation in Lacerta Vivipara. Evolution 55, 392–404.
- 753
- LU, H.-L., XU, C.-X., JIN, Y.-T., HERO, J.-M. & DU, W.-G. (2018) Proximate causes of altitudinal
 differences in body size in an agamid lizard. Ecology and Evolution 8, 645–654.
- MARQUIS, O., MASSOT, M. & LE GALLIARD, J.F. (2008) Intergenerational effects of climate
 generate cohort variation in lizard reproductive performance. Ecology 89, 2575–2583.
- MCNAB, B.K. & MORRISON, P. (1963) Body Temperature and Metabolism in Subspecies of
 Peromyscus from Arid and Mesic Environments. Ecological Monographs 33, 63–82.
- MILÁ, B., SURGET-GROBA, Y., HEULIN, B., GOSÁ, A. & FITZE, P.S. (2013) Multilocus
 phylogeography of the common lizard Zootoca vivipara at the Ibero-Pyrenean suture zone reveals
 lowland barriers and high-elevation introgression. BMC Evolutionary Biology 13, 192.
- MILLER, M.A. & LUTTERSCHMIDT, W.I. (2014) Cutaneous Water Loss and Epidermal Lipids in
 Two Sympatric and Congeneric Pitvipers. Journal of Herpetology 48, 577–583.
- 765
- MOEN, D.S., WINNE, * CHRISTOPHER T. & REED[‡], R.N. (2005) Habitat-mediated shifts and plasticity
 in the evaporative water loss rates of two congeneric pit vipers (Squamata, Viperidae, Agkistrodon).
 Evolutionary Ecology Research 7, 759–766. Evolutionary Ecology, Ltd.
- MOLE, S.R.C. (2010) Changes in relative abundance of the western green lizard Lacerta bilineata and
 the common wall lizard Podarcis muralis introduced onto Boscombe Cliffs, Dorset, UK, 6.

- 771 MUÑOZ, M.M. (2021) The Bogert effect, a factor in evolution. Evolution, evo.14388.
- 772 OLALLA- TÁRRAGA, M.Á., DINIZ- FILHO, J.A.F., BASTOS, R.P. & RODRÍGUEZ, M.Á. (2009)
- Geographic body size gradients in tropical regions: water deficit and anuran body size in the Brazilian
 Cerrado. Ecography 32, 581–590.
- OSOJNIK, N., ŽAGAR, A., CARRETERO, M.A., GARCÍA-MUÑOZ, E. & VREZEC, A. (2013)
 Ecophysiological Dissimilarities of Two Sympatric Lizards. Herpetologica 69, 445–454.
- 777 PETERMAN, W.E., CRAWFORD, J.A. & HOCKING, D.J. (2016) Effects of Elevation on
- 778 Plethodontid Salamander Body Size. Copeia 104, 202–208.
- 779 PETERSON, C.C. (1996) Anhomeostasis: Seasonal Water and Solute Relations in Two Populations of
- the Desert Tortoise (Gopherus agassizii) during Chronic Drought. *Physiological Zoology* 69, 1324–
- 781 1358. The University of Chicago Press.
- 782 PETTERSEN, A.K. (2020) Countergradient Variation in Reptiles: Thermal Sensitivity of
- Developmental and Metabolic Rates Across Locally Adapted Populations. Frontiers in Physiology 11,547.
- PINHEIRO, J., BATES, D., DEBROY, S. & SARKAR, D. (2006) nlme: an R package for fitting and
 comparing Gaussian linear and nonlinear mixed-effects models. See http://www.stats.bris.ac.uk/R.
- 787 PIRTLE, E.I., TRACY, C.R. & KEARNEY, M.R. (2019) Hydroregulation. A neglected behavioral
- response of lizards to climate change. Behavior of lizards: Evolutionary and mechanistic perspectives,
 343–374. CRC Press Boca Raton, FL.
- PLASMAN, M., BAUTISTA, A., MCCUE, M.D. & VEGA- PÉREZ, A.H.D.D.L. (2020) Resting
 metabolic rates increase with elevation in a mountain-dwelling lizard. Integrative Zoology 15, 363–
 374.
- 793 PONTES-DA-SILVA, E., MAGNUSSON, W.E., SINERVO, B., CAETANO, G.H., MILES, D.B.,
- 794 COLLI, G.R., DIELE-VIEGAS, L.M., FENKER, J., SANTOS, J.C. & WERNECK, F.P. (2018)
- Extinction risks forced by climatic change and intraspecific variation in the thermal physiology of a transical ligand. Journal of Thermal Biology 72, 50, 60
- tropical lizard. Journal of Thermal Biology 73, 50–60.
- RECKNAGEL, H. & ELMER, K.R. (2019) Differential reproductive investment in co-occurring
 oviparous and viviparous common lizards (Zootoca vivipara) and implications for life-history tradeoffs with viviparity. Oecologia 190, 85–98.
- 800
- 801 RECKNAGEL, H., KAMENOS, N.A. & ELMER, K.R. (2018) Common lizards break Dollo's law of
- irreversibility: Genome-wide phylogenomics support a single origin of viviparity and re-evolution of
 oviparity. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 127, 579–588.
- ROBERTS, J.B. & LILLYWHITE, H.B. (1980) Lipid Barrier to Water Exchange in Reptile
 Epidermis. Science 207, 1077–1079.
- ROITBERG, E.S., ORLOVA, V.F., BULAKHOVA, N.A., KURANOVA, V.N., EPLANOVA, G.V.,
 ZINENKO, O.I., ARRIBAS, O., KRATOCHVÍL, L., LJUBISAVLJEVIĆ, K., STARIKOV, V.P.,
 STRIJBOSCH, H., HOFMANN, S., LEONTYEVA, O.A. & BÖHME, W. (2020) Variation in body
 size and sexual size dimorphism in the most widely ranging lizard: testing the effects of reproductive
- 810 mode and climate. Ecology and Evolution 10, 4531–4561.
- 811 ROZEN- RECHELS, D., BADIANE, A., AGOSTINI, S., MEYLAN, S. & GALLIARD, J.-F.L.
- (2020) Water restriction induces behavioral fight but impairs thermoregulation in a dry-skinned
 ectotherm. Oikos n/a.
- ROZEN- RECHELS, D., DUPOUÉ, A., LOURDAIS, O., CHAMAILLÉ- JAMMES, S., MEYLAN,
 S., CLOBERT, J. & GALLIARD, J.-F.L. (2019) When water interacts with temperature: Ecological

- and evolutionary implications of thermo-hydroregulation in terrestrial ectotherms. Ecology and
 Evolution 9, 10029–10043.
- 818 ROZEN-RECHELS, D., RUTSCHMANN, A., DUPOUÉ, A., BLAIMONT, P., CHAUVEAU, V.,
- MILES, D.B., GUILLON, M., RICHARD, M., BADIANE, A., MEYLAN, S., CLOBERT, J. & LE
 GALLIARD, J.-F. (2021) Interaction of hydric and thermal conditions drive geographic variation in
- thermoregulation in a widespread lizard. Ecological Monographs 91, e01440.
- 822 RUTSCHMANN, A., MILES, D.B., GALLIARD, J.-F.L., RICHARD, M., MOULHERAT, S.,
- SINERVO, B. & CLOBERT, J. (2016) Climate and habitat interact to shape the thermal reaction
 norms of breeding phenology across lizard populations. Journal of Animal Ecology 85, 457–466.
- 825 RUTSCHMANN, A., ROZEN- RECHELS, D., DUPOUÉ, A., BLAIMONT, P., VILLEMEREUIL,
- P. DE, MILES, D.B., RICHARD, M. & CLOBERT, J. (2020) Climate dependent heating efficiency in the common lizard. Ecology and Evolution 10, 8007–8017.
- SANNOLO, M. & CARRETERO, M.A. (2019) Dehydration constrains thermoregulation and space
 use in lizards. PLOS ONE 14, e0220384. Public Library of Science.
- 830 SANNOLO, M., CIVANTOS, E., MARTÍN, J. & CARRETERO, M.A. (2020) Variation in field body
- temperature and total evaporative water loss along an environmental gradient in a diurnal ectotherm.
- 832 Journal of Zoology 310, 221–231.
- 833
 834 SINERVO, B., MENDEZ-DE-LA-CRUZ, F., MILES, D.B., HEULIN, B., BASTIAANS, E., VILLAGRAN-SANTA
- 835 CRUZ, M., LARA-RESENDIZ, R., MARTINEZ-MENDEZ, N., CALDERON-ESPINOSA, M.L., MEZA-
- LAZARO, R.N., GADSDEN, H., AVILA, L.J., MORANDO, M., DE LA RIVA, I.J., SEPULVEDA, P.V., ET AL.
 (2010) Erosion of Lizard Diversity by Climate Change and Altered Thermal Niches. Science 328,
- 838 894–899.
- SCHMIDT-NIELSEN, K. (1997) Animal Physiology: Adaptation and Environment. Cambridge
 University Press.
- SCHNEIDER, C.A., RASBAND, W.S. & ELICEIRI, K.W. (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
 image analysis. Nature Methods 9, 671–675.
- 843 SEARS, M.W., ANGILLETTA, M.J., SCHULER, M.S., BORCHERT, J., DILLIPLANE, K.F.,
- STEGMAN, M., RUSCH, T.W. & MITCHELL, W.A. (2016) Configuration of the thermal landscape
 determines thermoregulatory performance of ectotherms. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 846 Sciences 113, 10595–10600.
 - 847
 - SEARS, M.W., RIDDELL, E.A., RUSCH, T.W. & ANGILLETTA, M.J., JR (2019) The World Still
- Is Not Flat: Lessons Learned from Organismal Interactions with Environmental Heterogeneity
 in Terrestrial Environments. Integrative and Comparative Biology 59, 1049–1058.
- 851 SEEBACHER, F. (2005) A review of thermoregulation and physiological performance in reptiles: 852 what is the role of phenotypic flexibility? Journal of Comparative Physiology B 175, 453–461.
- 853 SEEBACHER, F., WHITE, C.R. & FRANKLIN, C.E. (2015) Physiological plasticity increases 854 resilience of ectothermic animals to climate change. Nature Climate Change 5, 61–66.
- SHINE, R. (1985) The evolution of viviparity in reptiles: an ecological analysis. Biology of the
 Reptilia 15, 605–694.
- 857 S'KHIFA, A., KOZIEL, G., VENCES, M., CARRETERO, M.A. & SLIMANI, T. (2020)
- 858 Ecophysiology of a lacertid community in the high Moroccan mountains suggests conservation
- guidelines. Journal of Thermal Biology 94, 102743.

- 860
- 861 SORCI, G., CLOBERT, J. & BELICHON, S. (1996) Phenotypic Plasticity of Growth and Survival in the
- 862 Common Lizard Lacerta vivipara. Journal of Animal Ecology 65, 781–790. [Wiley, British Ecological
- 863 Society].
- STEVENSON, R.D. (1985) The Relative Importance of Behavioral and Physiological Adjustments
 Controlling Body Temperature in Terrestrial Ectotherms. The American Naturalist 126, 362–386.
- 866 SUNDAY, J.M., BATES, A.E., KEARNEY, M.R., COLWELL, R.K., DULVY, N.K., LONGINO,
- J.T. & HUEY, R.B. (2014) Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory behavior
- across latitude and elevation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 5610–5615.
- 869 SURGET- GROBA, Y., HEULIN, B., GUILLAUME, C.-P., PUKY, M., SEMENOV, D., ORLOVA,
- V., KUPRIYANOVA, L., GHIRA, I. & SMAJDA, B. (2006) Multiple origins of viviparity, or reversal
 from viviparity to oviparity? The European common lizard (Zootoca vivipara, Lacertidae) and the
- evolution of parity. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 87, 1–11.
- 873 SURGET-GROBA, Y., HEULIN, B., GUILLAUME, C.-P., THORPE, R.S., KUPRIYANOVA, L.,
- 874 VOGRIN, N., MASLAK, R., MAZZOTTI, S., VENCZEL, M., GHIRA, I., ODIERNA, G.,
- LEONTYEVA, O., MONNEY, J.C. & SMITH, N. (2001) Intraspecific Phylogeography of Lacerta
 vivipara and the Evolution of Viviparity. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 18, 449–459.
- vivipara and the Evolution of Viviparity. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 18, 449–459.
- 877 TAYLOR, E.N., DIELE- VIEGAS, L.M., GANGLOFF, E.J., HALL, J.M., HALPERN, B.,
- 878 MASSEY, M.D., RÖDDER, D., ROLLINSON, N., SPEARS, S., SUN, B. & TELEMECO, R.S.
- 879 (2021) The thermal ecology and physiology of reptiles and amphibians: A user's guide. Journal of
- 880 Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology 335, 13–44.
- TIELEMAN, B.I., WILLIAMS, J.B. & BLOOMER, P. (2003) Adaptation of metabolism and
- evaporative water loss along an aridity gradient. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series
 B: Biological Sciences 270, 207–214.
- TROCHET, A., DELUEN, M., BERTRAND, R., CALVEZ, O., MARTÍNEZ-SILVESTRE, A.,
 VERDAGUER-FOZ, I., MOSSOLL-TORRES, M., SOUCHET, J., DARNET, E., LE CHEVALIER,
 H., GUILLAUME, O. & AUBRET, F. (2019) Body Size Increases with Elevation in Pyrenean Newts
- 887 (Calotriton asper). Herpetologica 75, 30–37.
- 888 TROCHET, A., DUPOUÉ, A., SOUCHET, J., BERTRAND, R., DELUEN, M., MURARASU, S.,
- 889 CALVEZ, O., MARTINEZ-SILVESTRE, A., VERDAGUER-FOZ, I., DARNET, E., CHEVALIER,
- H.L., MOSSOLL-TORRES, M., GUILLAUME, O. & AUBRET, F. (2018) Variation of preferred
 body temperatures along an altitudinal gradient: A multi-species study. Journal of Thermal Biology
- 892 77, 38–44.
- VAN DAMME, R., BAUWENS, D. & VERHEYEN, R.F. (1990) Evolutionary rigidity of thermal
 physiology: the case of the cool temperate lizard Lacerta vivipara. Oikos, 61–67. JSTOR.
- WEGENER, J.E., GARTNER, G.E.A. & LOSOS, J.B. (2014) Lizard scales in an adaptive radiation:
 variation in scale number follows climatic and structural habitat diversity in Anolis lizards. Biological
 Lournel of the Linneen Society 112, 570, 570
- 897Journal of the Linnean Society 113, 570–579.
- 898 WRIGHT, C.D., JACKSON, M.L. & DENARDO, D.F. (2013) Meal consumption is ineffective at
- maintaining or correcting water balance in a desert lizard, Heloderma suspectum. Journal of
 Experimental Biology 216, 1439–1447.
- 901 ZAMORA-CAMACHO, F.J. (2013) Patterns of seasonal activity in a Mediterranean lizard along a
- 902 2200m altitudinal gradient. Journal of Thermal Biology, 6.
- 903

904905 Figures and Legends

- 906 Figure 1: Map of populations sampled for Z. vivipara louislantzi (clade B2) in South-Western France at
- 907 different pluviometry quotient levels (background colors, yellow to blue gradient: from low to high
- 908 pluviometry) and with permanent (diamond with blue outline) or periodic (diamond with white outline)
- access to water. Two outgroup populations of Z. vivipara vivipara (LEZ and RODI) and one of P.
- 910 *muralis* (CHIZE) were included for comparative analyses.



911

- Table 1. Mean body mass, water loss rates, oxygen consumption rates and thermal preferences in the
- 915 two sub-species of Z. *vivipara* and in P. *muralis*. Values are the mean values for respectively 142 Z.
- *vivipara louislantzi*, 21 Z. *vivipara vivipara* and 17 P. *muralis* adult males (± standard deviation).

	Z. vivipara louislantzi	Z. vivipara vivipara	P. muralis			
BM (g)	2.90 ± 0.66	3.15 ± 0.75	5.26 ± 1.60			
TEWL (mg/h)	3.63 ± 0.78	3.97 ± 0.68	4.08 ± 1.70			
TEWL per mass (mg/h/g)	1.42 ± 0.29	1.54 ± 0.27	0.87 ± 0.24			
CEWL (g/m²/h)	16.92 ± 4.35	17.86 ± 3.11	10.59 ± 2.23			
VO_2 (ml/h)	0.186 ± 0.03	0.203 ± 0.03	0.254 ± 0.06			
VO ₂ per mass (ml/h/g)	0.075 ± 0.013	0.073 ± 0.012	0.058 ± 0.008			
T_{pref} (°C)	34.94 ± 2.44	34.67 ± 2.75	32.08 ± 3.51			

919 Figure 2: Spatial variation of morphological and physiological traits in an environmental gradient. A: Snout to vent length variation along a gradient of mean environmental temperature during activity 920 921 period; color code represents the age class of the lizards (A1=1-year-old, A2=over-1-year-old). B: Body 922 mass variation along a gradient of aridity of the environment (low values indicate less mesic 923 environments); color separates forest covered habitats (yellow) from open habitats (purple). C: 924 Maximum tail width (mm) relative to SVL (residuals of a linear regression) along a gradient of altitude; 925 color separates forest covered habitats (yellow) from open habitats (purple). N=94 due to missing values. 926 D: Volume of oxygen consumed (ml per hour) relative to the body mass (residuals of a linear regression) 927 according to the aridity of the environment; color separates forest covered environments (yellow) from 928 open environments (purple).



930



Figure 3: Variation in oviparous populations of *Z. vivipara* A. Rates of total evaporative water loss (TEWL) according to the availability of water in the habitat; red dot is mean value and red lines are standard deviations. B. Mean and standard deviation of preferred body temperatures (T_{pref}) across populations along a gradient of aridity of the environment (low values indicate less mesic environments). The blue dashed line is the critical thermal maximum of *Z. vivipara* (Gvoždík & Castilla, 2001).

- 938
- 939



Figure 4: Scatterplot matrix of total and cutaneous water losses (TEWL, mg.g⁻¹.h⁻¹ and CEWL, g.m⁻².h⁻ ¹), metabolic rate (VO₂, ml.h⁻¹), total body surface (mm²) and preferred body temperature (T_{pref} , °C). The diagonal represents the distribution of each variable, the upper triangle provides the Pearson correlation coefficients between pairs of variables, and the lower triangle provides scatter plots of each pair of variables with the best linear regression line.



948

- 949 SUPPORTING INFORMATION (SEE BELOW)
- 950 Supp.InfoFigureS1.docx
- 951 Table_supplementaryS1.xlsx
- 952 Table_supplementaryS2.xlsx
- 953 Table_supplementary_S3toS11.xlsx
- 954 Table_supplementaryS12.xlsx
- 955 Supp.InfoS13.docx

957 Appendix S1: Complementary analysis of the multivariate data set using Structural Equation

958 Modelling

959

960 To further explore the relationships between ecophysiological traits and environmental conditions, we used piecewise structural equation modelling (SEM) with the piecewiseSEM v2.1 package in R 961 962 (Lefcheck, 2016) in combination with linear mixed models using the R packages *nlme* (Pinheiro et al., 963 2019). We designed our full model based on the same philosophy than the univariate model selection 964 approach described in the main text (see path diagram below). In our full model, average temperature, 965 pluviometry quotient Q and the two habitat features (water access and forest cover) have direct, causal effects on body mass W. In addition, these 4 environmental variables and body mass have direct, 966 967 causal effects on plasma osmolality (our index of physiological dehydration) on one side, and on each 968 of the thermo-hydroregulation traits (TWL, VO₂, CWL and T_{pref}) on the other side. Furthermore, we 969 assumed correlated errors between average temperatures and pluviometry quotient and between the 970 four ecophysiological traits. Based on an earlier analysis of this model with tests of direct separation 971 (Lefcheck, 2016), osmolality and VO_2 appeared to be correlated, so we added correlated errors 972 between these two variables.





The summary of the outputs of this full model is presented below. The assessment of the goodnessof-fit showed that it fitted well the data (Fisher's C = 9.372 with P-value = 0.154). In this full model, we found that significant paths are the same than the ones uncovered in our other model selection approach in the main text. Indeed, except for body mass which is positively influenced by pluviometry quotient Q in addition to habitat features, the SEM showed that mostly habitat features and not

thermal conditions influenced our ecophysiological traits (see coefficients of regression and critical

test values below, significant causal paths are bolded).

Model		Estimate	SE	P-Value	$R2_{marginal}$	$R2_{conditional}$
W ~	Taverage	-0.0091	0.077	0.908		
	Q	0.0049	0.002	0.038*	0.33	0.42
	Forest	0.3467	0.25	0.196		
	Water	-0.0466	0.148	0.759		
Osmo ~	W	-2.6415	3.045	0.387		
	Taverage	3.369	2.734	0.246	0.05	0.21
	Q	0.0749	0.074	0.339		
	Forest	5.3381	8.957	0.564		
	Water	-5.3288	5.238	0.333		
$TWL \sim$	W	0.6049	0.194	0.002**		
	Taverage	-0.2855	0.138	0.066	0.22	0.28
	Q	-0.0037	0.004	0.353		
	Forest	0.5216	0.456	0.279		
	Water	0.7528	0.271	0.019*		
CWL ~	W	-0.5686	0.587	0.335		
	Taverage	0.8019	0.551	0.176	0.09	0.26
	Q	0.03	0.015	0.073		
	Forest	1.6902	1.805	0.371		
	Water	-0.7595	1.053	0.487		
VO ₂ ~	W	0.0462	0.005	<0.0001***		
	Taverage	-0.0015	0.003	0.609	0.58	0.58
	Q	0.0001	0.0001	0.189		
	Forest	0.0099	0.0096	0.326		
	Water	0.0068	0.0058	0.268		
$T_{\rm pref}$ ~	W	0.0561	0.195	0.773		
	Taverage	-0.0652	0.107	0.555	0.04	0.04
	Q	-0.0015	0.003	0.624		
	Forest	-0.499	0.3545	0.1896		
	Water	0.107	0.2153	0.6301		
Tavera	ge ~~ Q	-0.8626		<0.0001***		
TWL ~~ 0	CWL	0.0218		0.404		
TWL ~~ \	/02	0.1201		0.088		
TWL ~~ 7	pref	0.123		0.083		
VO ₂ ~~ C	CWL	0.256		0.0018**		
	-	0 0500		0.055		
VO ₂ ~~ 7	pref	0.0588		0.255		
	r	0.061		0 247		
CVVL	pref	-0.001		0.24/		
$\Omega_{\rm smo} \sim 1/\Omega_{\rm s}$		_0 310		0 0001***		
USINO	v O ₂	0.519		0.0001		
1		1	1	1		

987

989 <u>Final path diagram with only significant paths showed:</u>

