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Abstract

A \(k\)-critical graph is a \(k\)-chromatic graph whose proper subgraphs are all \((k - 1)\)-colourable. An old open problem due to Borodin and Kostochka asserts that for \(k \geq 9\), no \(k\)-critical graph \(G\) with \(k = \Delta(G)\) exists, where \(\Delta(G)\) denotes the maximum degree of \(G\). We show that if a certain special list-colouring property holds for every 8-critical graph with \(\Delta = 8\) (which is true for the apparently only known example) then the Borodin-Kostochka Conjecture holds. We also briefly survey constructions of \(\Delta\)-critical graphs with \(\Delta \leq 8\), highlighting the apparent scarcity of such graphs once \(\Delta\) exceeds 6.

1 Introduction

A famous open problem about extending Brooks’ Theorem \([3]\) was posed by Borodin and Kostochka \([2]\) in 1977. It can be conveniently formulated as follows. Here \(\Delta\) denotes the maximum degree of a graph, and by a \(k\)-critical graph we mean a graph \(G\) with the property that the chromatic number \(\chi(G) = k\) but \(\chi(G') \leq k - 1\) for every proper subgraph \(G'\) of \(G\).

Conjecture 1. For each \(k \geq 9\), the only \(k\)-critical graph with \(\Delta \leq k\) is \(K_k\).

Since \(\Delta(K_k) = k - 1\), this is equivalent to asserting the non-existence of \(\Delta\)-critical graphs once \(\Delta \geq 9\). Phrased in similar language, Brooks’ Theorem implies that for each \(k \geq 4\), the only \(k\)-critical graph with \(\Delta + 1 \leq k\) is \(K_k\). The condition \(k \geq 9\) is necessary, due in particular to the graph \(C_5[K_3]\) shown in Figure 1.

Much progress has been made towards a solution to the Borodin-Kostochka Conjecture, for example \([2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 15]\) (see e.g. \([6]\) for a detailed description of previous work). Despite these developments, the conjecture remains open in general. Here we mention just two of the strongest results to date, namely, that the conjecture holds provided \(\Delta\) is a sufficiently large (unspecified) constant \([15]\), and that any \(\Delta\)-chromatic graph with \(\Delta \geq 13\) must contain \(K_{\Delta-3}\) \([6]\). In \([7]\) it was shown that the conjecture is equivalent to
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the seemingly much weaker assertion that every Δ-chromatic graph with Δ ≥ 9 contains
the graph obtained from $K_\Delta$ by removing the edges of a $K_{\Delta-3}$.

One simple but important step in addressing Conjecture 1, shown in [12, 4], has been
to reduce the general problem to graphs with Δ = 9. (Similar arguments were used in
e.g. [6] as well.) In this note we continue the same theme, linking the general
conjecture to the behaviour of graphs with Δ ≤ 8.

We will refer to a particular restricted notion of list colouring, called non-identical
list colouring (abbreviated NIL), defined as follows. For a set $S$, an integer $k$, and a graph
$G$, an $(S,k)$-NIL assignment of $G$ is an assignment $L$ of lists $L(v)$ ⊆ $S$ to each vertex
$v \in V(G)$ such that each $|L(v)| = k$, and $L(v) \neq L(w)$ for some pair $v, w \in V(G)$. We
say that $G$ is $(S,k)$-NIL colourable if every $(S,k)$-NIL assignment $L$ of $G$ admits an $L$-list
colouring of $G$. For example, it is easy to verify (see Section 2) that the complete graph
$K_n$ is $(S,n-1)$-NIL colourable for every $S$.

The main result of this note is the following, where $[n]$ denotes $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The proof
appears in Section 3.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that every 8-critical graph with Δ ≤ 8 is regular and $([8],7)$-NIL
colourable. Then the only 9-critical graph with Δ ≤ 9 is $K_9$ (i.e. the Borodin-Kostochka
Conjecture holds).

Recall that the lexicographic product of graphs $G$ and $H$ is the graph $G[H]$ with vertex
set $V(G) \times V(H)$, in which $(x, u)$ is adjacent to $(y, v)$ whenever $xy \in E(G)$, or $x = y$ and
$uv \in E(H)$. The (regular) 8-critical graph $C_5[K_3]$ (see Figure 1) is shown in Section 2
to be $([8],7)$-NIL colourable. Since we are unaware of any 8-critical graphs with Δ ≤ 8
besides $C_5[K_3]$ and $K_8$, the following corollary tempts us to conjecture that there are no
others.

**Corollary 3.** Suppose that the only 8-critical graphs with Δ ≤ 8 are $C_5[K_3]$ and $K_8$.
Then the Borodin-Kostochka Conjecture holds.

We end this brief note with a discussion on constructing Δ-critical graphs for small Δ
in Section 4. In particular, we point out there that while infinite families of such graphs
exist when Δ ≤ 6, it seems more difficult to construct examples for Δ = 7, and (as
mentioned) even more so for Δ = 8.

2 Non-identical list colouring

In this section we verify that the graph $C_5[K_3]$ shown in Figure 1 is $([8], 7)$-NIL colourable.
Observe that $C_5[K_3]$ has 15 vertices and independence number 2, so it is not 7-colourable.
An 8-colouring can be easily found ($\chi(C_5[K_3]) \leq 8$ also follows from Brooks’ theorem).
To see that it is critical, observe that the graph is vertex transitive and there are only
two types of edges (those in triangles $x_1y_1z_1$ and those connecting them). Given an 8-
colouring $\phi$, let us assume that 8 is the unique colour that appears exactly once, and
that $\phi(x_1) = 8$. By symmetry, we may assume that $\phi(z_2) = \phi(z_5) = 7$, and that colors
1, 2, . . . , 6 each appear on neighbours of $x_1$ exactly once. Then in a subgraph missing $x_1y_1$
we may recolour $x_1$ to $\phi(y_1)$, and in a subgraph missing $x_1x_2$ we may recolour $x_1$ to $\phi(x_2)$.
This shows that $C_5[K_3]$ is also 8-critical.
First we note the following simple consequence of the well-known fact (equivalent to Hall’s Theorem) that a collection of sets \( \{L(v) : v \in V\} \) has a system of distinct representatives \( \{s_v \in L(v) : v \in V\} \) if and only if \( |\bigcup_{v \in T} L(v)| \geq |T| \) for each \( T \subseteq V \).

**Lemma 4.** For every \( n \geq 2 \) and every set \( S \), the complete graph \( K_n \) is \((S, n-1)\)-NIL colourable.

**Lemma 5.** The graph \( C_5[K_3] \) is \(([8], 7)\)-NIL colourable.

**Proof.** Fix an \(([8], 7)\)-NIL assignment \( L \) of \( G = C_5[K_3] \). By symmetry, we may assume that two (adjacent) vertices with different lists are among \( x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \). Let \( K \) denote the \( K_4 \) induced by these four vertices. Since every pair of vertices of \( G \) have at least 6 common colours in their lists, by renaming colours if necessary we may choose the following partial colouring \( \varphi \):

- \( \varphi(z_1) = \varphi(z_3) = 7 \).
- \( \varphi(z_2) = \varphi(z_4) = 8 \).
- \( \varphi(x_3) = \varphi(y_3) = 6 \) and \( \varphi(y_3) = \varphi(z_5) = 5 \).

- For each \( v \in K \cup \{x_4, y_4, z_4\} \) set \( L'(v) = L(v) \setminus \{5, 6, 7, 8\} \). Then we may easily colour each vertex \( v \in \{x_4, y_4, z_4\} \) from \( L'(v) \) since \( |L'(v)| \geq 3 \) for each.

It remains to colour the vertices \( v \) of \( K \) from their current lists \( L'(v) \). If \( |L'(v)| = 3 \) for each \( v \in K \), then each \( L(v) \) contains all of \( \{5, 6, 7, 8\} \) and hence, by our choice of \( K \), we find that \( \{L'(v) : v \in K\} \) is a \(([4], 3)\)-NIL assignment of \( K_4 \). If \( |L'(x)| \geq 4 \) for some \( x \in K \), then we may assign to each \( v \) in \( K \) a 3-subset of \( L'(v) \) such that not all are identical. Hence, in either case, by Lemma 4 we may complete the colouring of \( K \) and hence of \( G \). \qed
3 Proof of Theorem 2

As mentioned in the Introduction, and shown in [12] (see also [3, 7, 13]), the Borodin-Kostochka Conjecture can be reduced to the specific case of \( k = 9 \). A useful tool for such purposes is the following result of King [11] (which is based on [9]). Here \( \omega(G) \) denotes the maximum size of a clique in \( G \).

**Theorem 6.** If a graph \( G \) satisfies \( \omega(G) > \frac{2}{3}(\Delta(G) + 1) \), then \( G \) contains an independent set \( I \) such that \( \omega(G - I) = \omega(G) - 1 \).

Our proof of Theorem 2 begins with an application of Theorem 6, which in this context is quite standard. For example it essentially repeats the proof of Lemma 1.8 in [7], but we include this argument in order to establish how the 8-critical graph \( H \) sits in \( G \). This is needed for the rest of the proof.

We may now begin the proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that there exist 9-critical graphs \( G \) with \( \Delta(G) \leq 9 \) that are distinct from \( K_9 \), and choose one such \( G \) with the smallest number of vertices. Then by Brooks’ Theorem we know \( \Delta(G) = 9 \), since otherwise \( G \) would be 8-colourable. By Theorem 6 we may choose a maximal independent set \( I \) in \( G \) that intersects every 8-clique. (Note that if \( \omega(G) \leq 7 \) then any maximal independent set will do.)

By maximality of \( I \) we know \( \Delta(G - I) \leq 8 \), by 9-criticality of \( G \) we know \( \chi(G - I) \leq 8 \) (and hence \( \chi(G - I) = 8 \)), and by choice of \( I \) we have \( \omega(G - I) \leq 7 \). Let \( H \) be an 8-critical subgraph of \( G - I \). Then clearly we have \( \Delta(H) \leq 8 \) and \( \omega(H) \leq 7 \) as well. Hence in particular \( H \) is not \( K_8 \), so again by Brooks’ Theorem \( \Delta(H) = 8 \). Thus, by the assumption of the theorem, \( H \) is 8-regular and \( ([8], 7) \)-NIL colourable.

We denote by \( I_H \) the subset \( I \cap N_G(H) \). Since \( \Delta(G) = 9 \) and \( H \) is 8-regular, by maximality of \( I \) we know \( d_{I_H}(v) = 1 \) for each vertex \( v \) of \( H \), and \( I_H \) is a vertex cut in \( G \) separating \( H \) from \( G - I - H \). Furthermore since \( H \) is 8-regular and not \( K_9 \) it has more than 9 vertices, so \( |I_H| \geq 2 \).

By 9-criticality of \( G \) we know that \( G - H \) is 8-colourable. First suppose that \( G - H \) has an 8-colouring \( \phi \) in which two vertices of \( I_H \) receive different colours. Since each vertex \( v \) of \( H \) has exactly one neighbour \( v_I \) in \( I_H \), the list assignment \( L \) given by \( L(v) = [8] \setminus \{ \phi(v_I) \} \) is an \( ([8], 7) \)-NIL assignment for \( H \). Hence, by the assumption, \( H \) has an \( L \)-colouring, which together with \( \phi \) shows that \( G \) is 8-colourable, giving a contradiction. Thus we may assume that every 8-colouring of \( G - H \) must give all vertices of \( I_H \) the same colour. In other words, adding any edge \( xy \) to \( G - H \) where \( x, y \in I_H \) results in a 9-chromatic graph \( G - H + xy \).

**Claim 1.** Every pair \( x, y \in I_H \) of distinct vertices lies in a \( K_9^- \)-subgraph \( K_{xy} \) of \( G - H \) with \( V(K_{xy}) \cap I_H = \{ x, y \} \). (Here \( K_9^- \) denotes the graph obtained by removing one edge from \( K_9 \).)

To verify the Claim, observe that since \( G - H + xy \) is 9-chromatic, it contains a 9-critical subgraph \( J \). Since \( |V(J)| \leq |V(G - H)| < |V(G)| \) and \( \Delta(J) \leq \Delta(G) = 9 \), by minimality of our counterexample \( G \), we conclude that \( J = K_9 \). Clearly \( J \) must contain the edge \( xy \), so \( J - xy \) is the claimed \( K_9^- \).

Recalling that \( |I_H| \geq 2 \) and \( \Delta(G) = 9 \), Claim 1 immediately implies a contradiction if any \( v \in I_H \) satisfies \( d_H(v) \geq 3 \). Hence we may assume \( d_H(v) \leq 2 \) for each \( v \in I_H \). We
known $H$ has more than 9 vertices, so since $d_H(u) = 1$ for each vertex $u$ of $H$, we find that $|I_H| \geq 5$. Fix $v \in I_H$.

For four vertices $u_i \in I_H$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, $u_i \neq v$, by Claim 1 we have copies $K_{vu_i}$ of $K_5$ in $G$, each of which contains $v$. Since $d(v) \leq \Delta(G) = 9$, these four subgraphs have another common vertex in $G - I - H$, say $w$. Then $w$ has eight neighbours in $K_{vu_i}$ together with $u_2$, $u_3$ and $u_4$, thus there are a total of at least 11 neighbours of $w$ in $G$, contradicting the fact that $\Delta(G) = 9$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4 $\Delta$-critical graphs for small $\Delta$

The graph $C_5[K_3]$ is one example of a critical graph obtained by blowing up each vertex of an odd cycle into a clique. One can easily construct infinite families of $k$-critical graphs with $\Delta = k$ for $k = 4, 5$ by using such blow-ups using clique sizes 1 and 2.

Another simple construction of critical graphs from $[4]$ (generalizing examples from $[1]$) is as follows. Fix $k \geq 4$, let $G$ be a graph with $\Delta(G) \leq k$, and suppose $G$ has a vertex $x$ of degree $k - 1$. Form a new graph $G_k$ by “evenly splitting” $x$ into 3 vertices (i.e. remove $x$ and add an independent set $I$ of three vertices whose degrees differ by at most one and whose neighbourhoods partition $N(x)$, and joining each vertex of $I$ to a new clique $K$ of size $k - 2$.

It is straightforward to verify that $G_k$ is $k$-critical if and only if $G$ is $k$-critical. Since each $v \in V(G) \cap V(G_k)$ has degree $d_G(v)$ in $G_k$, each $v \in K$ has degree $(k - 3) + 3 = k$, and each $v \in I$ has degree $k - 2 + \lceil \frac{k-1}{3} \rceil$ or $k - 2 + \lfloor \frac{k-1}{3} \rfloor$, we see that if $k - 2 + \lfloor \frac{k-1}{3} \rfloor < k$ then $\Delta(G_k) = k$. This holds for $k = 4, 5, 6, 7$. Moreover if $k - 2 + \lceil \frac{k-1}{3} \rceil \leq k - 1$, then $G_k$ has a vertex of degree less than $k$, which is true for $k = 4, 5, 6$. Hence for these values, this construction may be repeated an arbitrary number of times, starting e.g. with $K_k$, giving an infinite family of $k$-critical graphs with $\Delta = k$. For $k = 7$, however, since no new vertex of degree $k - 1$ is introduced by the construction, if we start with $K_7$ the process will produce 7-critical graphs with $\Delta = 7$ on $7i$ vertices for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$, thus terminating with graphs on 56 vertices. This operation produces various nonisomorphic 7-critical graphs on $7i$ vertices for $i = 3, \ldots, 8$. For example, for such graphs on 56 vertices, we note that the subgraph $J$ induced on the set of 21 split vertices is a 2-regular graph where there is (exactly) one edge connecting each pair of triples representing two vertices of $K_7$. In particular, for any partition $F_1, \ldots, F_6$ of $E(K_7)$ into connected even-degree subgraphs of $K_7$, we may construct $J$ whose components are cycles of lengths $|E(F_1)|, \ldots, |E(F_6)|$, by following an Euler tour in each of the $F_i$. There are many such partitions, for example a partition of $E(K_7)$ into three 7-cycles, or a partition into seven 3-cycles (the lines of Fano plane), or the whole set $E(K_7)$ as a single partition class (for which $J$ is a 21-cycle).

Since the graph obtained from $C_5[K_3]$ by removing two nonadjacent vertices is also 7-critical, another family of 7-critical graphs with $\Delta = 7$ can be built from it using the above operation. This gives further examples, with vertex sets of sizes 20, 27, and 34. To the best of our knowledge this describes all the known 7-critical graphs satisfying $\Delta = 7$. Thus we pose the following natural questions.

**Problem 7.** Does there exist an 8-critical graph with $\Delta = 8$ different from $C_5[K_3]$?

**Problem 8.** Does there exist an infinite family of 7-critical graphs with $\Delta = 7$?
Since $G_k$ is $k$-critical if and only if $G$ is $k$-critical, one potential way of showing that the above list is complete for $\Delta = 7$ might be to show that every 7-critical graph with $\Delta = 7$, aside from $C_5[K_3] - I$, contains the graph obtained from $K_8$ by removing the edges of a triangle.

Finally we remark that further examples of $\Delta$-critical graphs for $\Delta = 4, 6$ that are not of either of the types described above are given by the square $C_8^2$ of the 8-cycle and the cube $C_{11}^3$ of the 11-cycle respectively (the latter is shown in Figure 2). More generally, the $r$th power $C_{3r+2}^r$ of the $(3r + 2)$-cycle forms the basis for a family of tight examples for a conjecture of Reed [14], that is closely related to Conjecture 1. This conjecture proposes that for every graph $G$ one has $\chi(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\Delta(G) + \omega(G) + 1}{2} \rceil$. The graph $C_{3r+2}^r[K_t]$ has $(3r + 2)t$ vertices, it is $\Delta = ((2r + 1)t - 1)$-regular, it has clique number $\omega = (r + 1)t$, and independence number 2. This implies that its chromatic number is at least

$$\left\lceil \frac{(3r + 1)t}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{(2r + 1)t - 1 + (r + 1)t + 1}{2} \right\rceil \left\lceil \frac{\Delta(G) + \omega(G) + 1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

It is not difficult to give a colouring using only this many colours. The well-known example $C_5[K_t]$ is the special case $r = 1$.
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