

# Growing Optimized Anisotropic Microstructures with Reaction/Diffusion

David-Henri Garnier, Martin-Pierre Schmidt, Damien Rohmer

## ▶ To cite this version:

David-Henri Garnier, Martin-Pierre Schmidt, Damien Rohmer. Growing Optimized Anisotropic Microstructures with Reaction/Diffusion. Journées Françaises d'Informatique Graphique, Nov 2021, Sophia Antipolis, France. hal-03841181

## HAL Id: hal-03841181 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03841181v1

Submitted on 6 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Journées Françaises de l'Informatique Graphique 2021 / R. Vergne and N. Mellado. (Paper Chairs)

## **Growing Optimized Anisotropic Microstructures with Reaction/Diffusion**

D.H. Garnier<sup>1</sup>, M.P. Schmidt<sup>2</sup> and D. Rohmer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> LIX, Ecole Polytechnique/CNRS, IP Paris <sup>2</sup> LMI, Normandie Univ., INSA Rouen



Figure 1: Growth of a lattice-like, stress-aligned, four-legged stool using our adapted Reaction/Diffusion-based approach.

### Abstract

Lattice structures can present advantageous mechanical properties while remaining remarkably lightweight. Precise lattice design can however be tricky to set up on arbitrary domain with classical 3D modeling methods as it involves very fine oriented details. Interestingly, natural porous structures can present such lattice-like design which motivates the seek for bio-inspired approaches. In this paper we present a novel method to grow lattice-like structures within an arbitrary shape and aligned along an oriented field using adapted Reaction/Diffusion systems. While not directly computed from a global optimization process our structures still demonstrate remarkable structural properties for which we provide examples with numerical validation.

19

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

CCS Concepts

•*Computing methodologies* → *Shape modeling; Volumetric models; Mesh models;* 

## 1. Introduction

- Recent advances in Additive Manufacturing and 3D Printing allows 2
- for high shape complexity that leads to seek for new lightweight 3
- designs. Hence there has been a growing interest in design of opti-4
- mized multi-scale structures [LSZ\*14, MDL16, DTZ17, MSDL17, 5
- WAWS18, LGC\*18, ALSL\*18, AK21, SOG\*21]. Recent works are 6
- mostly built upon Topology Optimization [LHZ<sup>\*</sup>18, PT08, GS18, 7 SPG19, AGDP19, GSA\*20, WSG21]. 8

In the field of structural optimization, it is well known that the 9 orientation of orthotropic microstructures has to be locally aligned 10 with the principal stress directions for single-load case stiffness-11 optimal structure design [Mic04, Ped89, AK93, AJL\*19]. Some nat-12 ural materials show remarkable mechanical performances based 13 on this stress orientation property [Woo60]. In this paper, we de-14 scribe a novel bio-inspired method to design conforming lattice-15 like structures. Our method divides into two major steps. The first 16 step proposes to generate intermediate structures with patterns ori-17 ented by an underlying tensor field and limited by a prescribed 3D 18

submitted to JFIG 2021

shape with a growing process. This growth phase is controlled by an anisotropic Reaction/Diffusion model. The second step starts by 20 applying some filter to the different structures to operate on the 21 thickness of the oriented structures before combining them with 22 Boolean operations in a similar way than [GDAP20].

Our contributions are the following:

- A general method for designing field conformal lattice-like • structures, compatible with the workflow of topology optimization
- A novel approach based on a classic Reaction/Diffusion model to • design global structure using anisotropic growth of microstructures
- A new multi-scale process to design optimized structures which constitute a good trade-off between stiffness property and resistance to buckling despite not being directly an optimizer of these properties



Figure 2: Global pipeline of our method. The first step  $(\mathcal{RD})$  designates the Reaction/Diffusion, the intermediate step  $(\mathcal{F})$  represents a filtering process while the final step  $(\mathcal{B})$  is constituted of boolean operations

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

106

107

108

109

110

111

#### 2. Method 35

#### 36 2.1. Overview

Let us consider a rectangular domain  $\Omega$ , subset of R<sup>2</sup> or R<sup>3</sup>, repre-37 senting the domain of definition of the three following fields used as 38 inputs of our method as illustrated in Fig.2-left. First the scalar field 39  $\rho(\mathbf{x} \in \Omega) \in [0,1]$ , describes an initial notion of material density at 40 each position in  $\Omega$ . For a given isovalue *iso*  $\in ]0,1[$ , the domain 41 implicitly defined by  $\rho(\mathbf{x}) > iso$  corresponds to the general appear-42 ance of the 3D shape where the micro-structure should be synthe-43 sized, and will be designated as *infill space*. Second, a *tensor field* 44  $\sigma(\mathbf{x} \in \Omega)$  (for instance associated to the stress tensor), whose prin-45 cipal directions are considered to be the desired local orientation 46 of the microstructures. Third, another scalar field  $\Gamma(\mathbf{x} \in \Omega) \in [0, 1]$ 47 called *infill map* used to indicate the local regions to infill pref-48 erentially with solid material instead of lattice microstructures. All 49 inputs and intermediate field values are stored on a discretized grid, 50 and we may pre-process these inputs in up-sampling if needed their 51 values by interpolation to any grid resolution adapted to our ex-52 pected lattice microstructure. 53

The output of our approach is also described as a scalar field 54  $\mathcal{S}_{\rho,\sigma,\Gamma}(\mathbf{x} \in \Omega) \in [0,1]$  shown Fig.2-right. The resulting shape sur-55 face is described as an isovalue of S which can be computed typ-56 ically using marching cube or dual contouring when a triangu-57 lar mesh is expected for visualization and manufacturing purpose. 58 This surface represents an hybrid structure mostly filled with lat-59 tice aligned with both the tensor field directions and the boundary 60 of the *infill space*. More precisely, at the boundary the lattice re-61 mains oriented according to the tensor field while shaped by the 62 outer shell. 63

105 The core of our algorithm lies in the two major steps  $(\mathcal{RD})$  and 64  $(\mathcal{B})$ . Step  $(\mathcal{RD})$  is the actual anisotropic Reaction/Diffusion pro-65 cess allowing to synthesize intermediate scalar fields with locally 66 oriented patterns. We compute 2 (resp. 3) independent processes 67 in 2D (resp. 3D), while considering for each of them one of the 68 principal tensor direction to be the main diffusion direction. The 69 anisotropic Reaction/Diffusion patterns have a linear structure in 70 2D, and a surfacic one in 3D, and are restricted to grow in the re- 112 71 72 gions specified by  $\rho$  as explained in Sec. 2.3. Once these interme- 113 diate fields are generated, the final lattice structure is generated in 114 73 step ( $\mathcal{B}$ ). To this end, we first apply a filter ( $\mathcal{F}$ ) on each of the inter-74 115 mediate fields in order to steepen the variations of the field as well 75 116 as thickening or thinning the patterns depending on their direction 117 76

to optimize the mechanical structure associated to them. The output field S is finally obtained in combining the previous filtered fields using Boolean operations in order to generate a single lattice organization from the individual oriented patterns. These last steps are described in Sec. 2.4.

## 2.2. Inputs

This section describes with more details the automatic computation we followed to generate the input fields  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $\Gamma$ . While these fields can be provided using arbitrary methods, we will describe them as being automatically computed from a topology optimization as it provides an interesting case of application in the context of structural optimization.

Infill Space  $\rho$ . The purpose of this input density field is to design the overall shape which will be used as a boundary for lattice material infill. We adopt a simple approach where this boundary is defined by the optimal distribution of a budget of solid material maximizing the stiffness, given a fix design space with loads and constraints. Hence our desired input density field can be seen as the direct output of the classical compliance topology optimization problem subject to a global volume constraint, relying on the density-based method colloquially referred to as the Solid Isotropic Material with Penalization (SIMP) approach described by [BS99]. A numerical optimization on a low resolution grid can be conducted following [ACS\*11]. The example used as input for Fig.2 is referred to as the Cantilever problem, a de facto standard test case in the litterature: a load is applied vertically in the middle of the right edge of the design space while the displacement is constrained all along the left edge.

Tensor field  $\sigma.$  The stress tensor field  $\sigma(x\in\Omega)$  can then be extracted from this preliminary optimization. For each element x, the tensor is diagonalizable in an orthogonal basis with real eigenvalues called the principal stresses and their associated eigenvectors form a rotation matrix  $\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{x})$  which characterizes the principal stress directions perpendicular to the planes where the principal stresses act.

Infill Map  $\Gamma$ . The Infill Map indicates the areas to infill with homogeneous material. It can be divided into two sub-maps:  $\Gamma(\mathbf{x}) =$  $(\Gamma_c \cup \Gamma_{\sigma})(\mathbf{x}) = \max(\Gamma_c(\mathbf{x}), \Gamma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}))$ . The first one  $\Gamma_c(\mathbf{x})$  is given by the designer constraints and the other one  $\Gamma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$  is derived from the previous rotation field. This second map addresses an issue encountered when the input stress field is locally isotropic, or is associated 136

137

138

141

145

146

149

152

153

to very low values. In this case, the ordering and direction of the

eigenvalues become meaningless, and the extracted rotation field 119

would exhibit discontinuities. As there is no clear orientation to 120 follow in these regions, we propose to infill them with plain mate-121

rial. To detect these regions, we propose an automatic computation 122

assessing the local consistence of the alignment of all eigenvectors 123

1 using the value  $a(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{|N(\mathbf{x})|d} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in N(\mathbf{x})} \sum_{1 \le i \le d} |\mathbf{v}_i(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_i(\mathbf{x})|$  with  $N(\mathbf{x})$  the set of neighbours of the element situated in  $\mathbf{x}$ . We can then 124 125 define  $\Gamma_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = (1 - a(\mathbf{x}))\rho(\mathbf{x}).$ 126

#### 2.3. Pattern Growth 127

118

The concept of Turing patterns was first introduced by Alan Turing [Tur52] in a foundational paper. The original theory explains pattern formation through a Reaction/Diffusion mechanism and as of this day it remains a major theory in theoretical biology used to model embryonic development as well as skin pigmentation. Here we are only interested in this model as a *tool* to generate smooth oriented patterns through the integration of a PDE. Hence the growth phase of our method is controlled by a two-species Reaction/Diffusion system which is a variant of the Gray-Scott model [GS84, Pea93] where the first species diffuses anisotropically. In this case the evolution of the concentrations  $u(\mathbf{x},t)$  and  $v(\mathbf{x},t)$  of the two reactive chemical species U and V is described by the following set of equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \nabla^2 u + \gamma f(u, v) & \qquad 139 \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d \nabla^2 v + \gamma g(u, v) & \qquad (1) \ 140 \\ 141 \end{array} \right.$$

142 where  $\sigma$  represent the anisotropic diffusion tensor,  $d = \frac{D_v}{D_u}$  the dif-143 fusion ratio between the two species, and  $\gamma$  a parameter which controls the characteristic length of the pattern. In the original model the chemical U is added in the environment at a feed rate F while the chemical V is removed at a kill rate k. Both chemicals diffuse <sup>144</sup> but U diffuses faster than V (d < 1) to observe patterns. The reaction kinetics is hence controlled by the following functions:

$$\begin{cases} f(u,v) = -uv^2 + F(1-u) \\ g(u,v) = uv^2 - (k+F)v \end{cases}$$
(2) <sup>147</sup>  
<sup>148</sup>

Pattern growth can be restricted to a design region  $\Omega$  =  $\{\mathbf{x}, \rho(\mathbf{x}) > s\}$  (for s chosen in [0,1[)) by redefining the term  $\gamma g(u, v)$  as

$$\gamma g(u,v) \mathbb{1}_{\Omega} - \lambda (1 - \mathbb{1}_{\Omega}) v, \tag{3}$$

where  $\mathbb{1}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x})$  takes the value 1 if  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$  and 0 otherwise. We set  $\lambda > \lambda$ 128 0 and  $\lambda \gg F, k$  such that the species of interest (1 - U) (*i.e.* which 129 will generate the intermediate oriented structures) is exponentially 130 "killed" outside the area of interest. We also extend the value k to 131 a space-varying field defined as  $k(\mathbf{x}) = k(1 - \alpha \Gamma(\mathbf{x}))$ . This allows 132 increase the concentration of V (and so (1-U)) conformly to the <sup>150</sup> 133 Infill Map, while the parameter  $\alpha \in [0,1]$  is used to adjusts how <sup>151</sup> 134 much these regions should be infilled. 135

Our objective is to generate a lattice structure from the synthesized patterns. To this end, we simulate d independent Reaction/Diffusion processes, with  $d = \{2, 3\}$  being the dimension of the embedding. Each process  $i \in [1, d]$  uses its own diffusion tensor  $\sigma_i$  favouring one of the main direction of  $\sigma = \mathbb{RAR}^T$  and defined bv:

$$\sigma_i = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbb{D}_i)} \mathbb{R} \mathbb{D}_i \mathbb{R}^T \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_i$  is a diagonal matrix filled with 1 on the diagonal and  $\xi > 1$  the custom anisotropy at the (i, i) position. This will generate patterns oriented along each principal direction of the input tensor field. Gathering all together, each oriented intermediate structures  $S_i$  is represented by a (normalized) density field computed from the finite differences integration of each following system:

$$(S_i) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = \sigma_i(\mathbf{x}) \nabla^2 u_i + \gamma f(u_i, v_i) \\ \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial t} = d \nabla^2 v_i + \gamma g(u_i, v_i) \mathbb{1}_{\Omega} - \lambda (1 - \mathbb{1}_{\Omega}) v_i \\ f(u_i, v_i) = -u_i v_i^2 + F(1 - u_i) \\ g(u_i, v_i) = u_i v_i^2 - [k(1 - \alpha \Gamma(\mathbf{x})) + F] v_i \\ u_i(\mathbf{x}, t = 0) = 1, \quad \begin{cases} v_i(\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}_0, t = 0) = 1 \\ v_i(\mathbf{x} \notin \mathcal{D}_0, t = 0) = 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$
(5)

where  $\mathcal{D}_0$  designates the initial "seed", typically a small disk (in 2D) or sphere (in 3D) included in the infill space. This small initial seed was preferred to a large initial and possibly random covering of the infill space, as we noticed experimentally that the Reaction/Diffusion process generates more regular patterns when these are developed as growth through empty space. For the cantilever  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  can be seen Fig.2 (before and after filtering) as the result of an isosurface extraction of the density fields  $s_i = 1 - u_i$ .

## 2.4. Structure compilation

By merging the different substructures infilled with oriented patterns along the principal stress directions, it is possible to generate a shape with oriented lattice. A filter step is added to adapt the structure thickness before combining them. The result for the cantilever can be seen Fig.2-right.

Magnitude filter. [BK88] introduced the unit-cell with a rectangular hole as it constitutes an optimized microstructure compared to a regular square cell. Following this idea, in order to enhance the structural performance relatively to the mass, one can shift the iso to enlarge the pattern oriented along the first principal stress directions while reducing the width of the others. It can be achieved by redefining the density fields as follow

$$\tilde{s}_i = Normalize\left(\max\left(\min(s_i, s_{\sigma_i} + s_{w_{\sigma_i}}), s_{\sigma_i} - s_{w_{\sigma_i}}\right)\right)$$
(6)

where Normalize means that the density field is normalized between 0 and 1,  $s_{\sigma_i}$  denotes the targeted isovalue according to the direction *i* and  $s_{W_{\sigma_i}}$  a parameter which applies a threshold favouring a binary structure while preserving its smoothness.

Boolean Operation. The final structure can be generated through the use of binary merging operators of implicit surfaces, corresponding to the use of min and max functions on their field values:

D.H. Garnier, M.P. Schmidt & D. Rohmer / Growing Optimized Anisotropic Microstructures with Reaction/Diffusion



Figure 3: Different results with size of the grid: (a) Owl  $(752 \times 1024)$  (b) Optimized Femur Head  $(2048 \times 2624)$  (c) Lattice GE Bracket  $(302 \times 512 \times 826)$  (d) Optimized lattice chair  $(504 \times 360 \times 720)$ 

$$(\mathcal{S}) \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}_{2D} = \mathcal{S}_1 \cup \mathcal{S}_2 = \max(\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2) \\ \mathcal{S}_{3D} = \bigcup_{i \neq j} \mathcal{S}_i \cap \mathcal{S}_j = \max_{i \neq j} \left( \min_{i \neq j} (\tilde{s}_i, \tilde{s}_j) \right) \end{cases}$$
(7)

#### 3. Results and analysis 154

4

Fixed user-defined 2D shape: Owl. The first result Fig.3a is an 155 example of structure generated from a pre-defined shape given by 156 the designer. The input stress field is computed from topology op-157 timization considering a fixed load and the final structure is gener-158 ated by our method. 159

Optimized 2D shape: Femur Head. Fig.3b gives an example of a 160 structure optimized inside the the overall shape of a femur head. 161

3D Optimized GE Bracket. Fig.3c shows our version for the GE 162 163 Bracket scenario [Gra13] in case of a single load.

3D Optimized Chair. We propose to compare our result with the 164 previous work from Wu et al. [WWG21] that proposed an opti-165 mized lattice chair generated using a Topology Optimization with 166 186 oriented homogenized material followed by a parameterization op-167 187 timization algorithm to design the lattice. Using the same under-168 lying tensor field, the growth lattice obtained using our method is 188 169 shown Fig.3d. Our result shows more lattice regularity especially 170 near the surface of the object due to the aptitude of the Reac-171 tion/Diffusion to smoothly grow along the overall 3D shape. 172

Non-linear Structural Analysis. We run a general non-linear anal- 192 173 ysis in Abaqus 2021 to compare two design variants for the MBB 193 174 Beam scenario and retrieve the force-displacement curves shown in 194 175 Fig. 4. The two design variants have the same mass and are obtained 195 176 with a classical compliance-based topology optimization (TO de-177 196 sign) and with the proposed Reaction-Diffusion approach (RD de- 197 178 sign). The force-displacement curves of both design initially start 198 179 with a roughly linear portion with the steeper slope indicating that 199 180 the TO design achieves a higher stiffness, which is to be expected 200 181 because it was specifically optimized for maximum stiffness. How- 201 182 ever, at a load magnitude of approximately 140 kN the TO design 202 183 undergoes in-plane buckling and collapses. In contrast, the RD de- 203 184 sign shows near-linear deformation up to a load magnitude of 200 204 185



Figure 4: Force-Displacement curves for TO design variant (in green) and the RD design (in blue) in an MBB Beam scenario, with a zoom on RD design variant at peak load in the non linear analysis.

kN, and supports a peak load approximately 70% higher than the TO design.

## 4. Conclusion

189

190

191

The present work proposed a novel approach to design conforming lattice-like structures, inspired by morphogenesis. Our method finds its main application in the design of optimized microstructures oriented by inputs which can be provided by a stress field or an anisotropic material orientation field inside a structurally optimized shape. In this context, our lattice-like structures come as a good trade-off between pure stiffness property and resistance to buckling despite they are not the output of an optimizer of these properties. Due to its multiscale nature, our method is fast and scalable to high resolution designs. Moreover, the microstructure generation is completely local, allowing the designer to dynamically interact with the growing structure, by erasing or modifying some parts and letting it evolve. Additionally, the aptitude of the Reaction/Diffusion to smoothly grow along the overall 3D shape ensure to generate structures with high lattice regularity, which is desirable both for aesthetics and mechanical performance considerations.

272

### 205 **References**

- 206[ACS\*11]ANDREASSEN E., CLAUSEN A., SCHEVENELS M.,<br/>274273<br/>274207LAZAROV B. S., SIGMUND O.: Efficient topology optimization in mat-<br/>lab using 88 lines of code. Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization<br/>209273<br/>27420943, 1 (2011), 1–16. doi:10.1007/s00158-010-0594-7.2276
- 210[AGDP19] ALLAIRE G., GEOFFROY-DONDERS P., PANTZ O.: Topol-<br/>ogy optimization of modulated and oriented periodic microstructures by<br/>the homogenization method. Computers & Mathematics with Applica-<br/>tions 78, 7 (2019), 2197–2229. doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2018.277<br/>278<br/>279<br/>280213tions 78, 7 (2019), 2197–2229. doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2018.281
- [AJL\*19] ARORA R., JACOBSON A., LANGLOIS T. R., HUANG Y.,
   MUELLER C., MATUSIK W., SHAMIR A., SINGH K., LEVIN D. I.:
   Volumetric michell trusses for parametric design & fabrication. In *Pro-* 284
- ceedings of the 3rd ACM Symposium on Computation Fabrication (New 285 York, NY, USA, 2019), SCF '19, ACM. doi:10.1145/3328939. 286 3328999.1
- [AK93] ALLAIRE G., KOHN R. V.: Optimal design for minimum weight and compliance in plane stress using extremal microstructures. *European 289 journal of mechanics. A. Solids* 12, 6 (1993), 839–878. 1 290
- [AK21] AHSAN A. M. N., KHODA B.: Characterizing novel honey-291
   comb infill pattern for additive manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing*292
   *Science and Engineering* 143, 2 (2021), 021002. doi:10.1115/1.293
   4048044.1
- [ALSL\*18] ATTENE M., LIVESU M., S. LEFEBVRE T. F., 295
   RUSINKIEWICZ S., ELLERO S., MARTÍNEZ J., BERMANO A. H.: 296
   Design, representations, and processing for additive manufacturing.
   Synthesis Lectures on Visual Computing: Computer Graphics, Anima tion, Computational Photography, and Imaging 10, 2 (2018), 1–146.
   doi:10.2200/S00847ED1V01Y201804VCP031.1
- [BK88] BENDSØE M. P., KIKUCHI N.: Generating optimal topologies in structural design using a homogenization method. *Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering 71*, 2 (1988), 197–224. doi: 10.1016/0045-7825(88)90086-2.3
- [BS99] BENDSØE M. P., SIGMUND O.: Material interpolation schemes in topology optimization. Archive of applied mechanics 69, 9 (1999), 635–654. doi:10.1007/s004190050248.2
- [DTZ17] DONG G., TANG Y., ZHAO Y. F.: A survey of modeling of lattice structures fabricated by additive manufacturing. *Journal of Mechan ical Design 139*, 10 (2017), 100906. doi:10.1115/1.4037305.1
- [GDAP20] GEOFFROY-DONDERS P., ALLAIRE G., PANTZ O.: 3-d 311
   topology optimization of modulated and oriented periodic microstructures by the homogenization method. *Journal of Computational Physics* 312 401 (2020), 108994. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2019.108994.1
- 248 [Gra13] Grabcad ge jet engine bracket challenge., 2013. http:grabcad.
   249 com/challenges/ge-jet-engine-bracket-challenge.Accessed:
   250 2020-07-23. 4
   316
   317
- 251[GS84] GRAY P., SCOTT S.: Autocatalytic reaction in the isothermal<br/>continuous stirred tank reactor. Chemical engineering science 1 (1984),<br/>1087–1097. doi:10.1016/0009–2509(84)87017–7.3318<br/>319<br/>320
- [GS18] GROEN J. P., SIGMUND O.: Homogenization-based topology 321
   optimization for high-resolution manufacturable microstructures. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 113*, 8 (2018), 1148–1163. doi:10.1002/nme.5575.1
- [GSA\*20] GROEN J. P., STUTZ F. C., AAGE N., BÆRENTZEN J. A.,
   SIGMUND O.: De-homogenization of optimal multi-scale 3d topologies.
   *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering 364* (2020),
   112979. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2020.112979.1
- [LGC\*18] LIU J., GAYNOR A. T., CHEN S., KANG Z., SURESH
  K., TAKEZAWA A., LI L., KATO J., TANG J., WANG C. C. L.,
  CHENG L., LIANG X., TO A.: Current and future trends in topology optimization for additive manufacturing. *Structural and Multi- disciplinary Optimization* 57 (2018), 2457–2483. doi:10.1007/
  \$00158-018-1994-3.1
- 268 [LHZ\*18] LIU H., HU Y., ZHU B., MATUSIK W., SIFAKIS E.: Narrow-

band topology optimization on a sparsely populated grid. ACM Trans actions on Graphics (TOG) 37, 6 (2018), 1–14. doi:10.1145/

271 3272127.3275012.1

submitted to JFIG 2021.

- [LSZ\*14] LU L., SHARF A., ZHAO H., WEI Y., FAN Q., CHEN X., SAVOYE Y., TU C., COHEN-OR D., CHEN B.: Build-to-last: Strength to weight 3d printed objects. ACM Trans. Graph. (Proc. SIGGRAPH) 33, 4 (August 2014), 97:1–97:10. doi:10.1145/2601097.2601168. 1
- [MDL16] MARTÍNEZ J., DUMAS J., LEFEBVRE S.: Procedural voronoi foams for additive manufacturing. ACM Trans. Graph. 35, 4 (July 2016). URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2897824.2925922, doi: 10.1145/2897824.2925922.1
- [Mic04] MICHELL A.: Lviii. the limits of economy of material in framestructures. *Philosophical Magazine Series 1 8* (1904), 589–597. doi: 10.1080/14786440409463229.1
- [MSDL17] MARTÍNEZ J., SONG H., DUMAS J., LEFEBVRE S.: Orthotropic k-nearest foams for additive manufacturing. *ACM Transactions on Graphics 36*, 4 (July 2017), 1–12. doi:10.1145/3072959. 3073638.1
- [Pea93] PEARSON J. A.: Complex patterns in a simple system. Science 261 (1993), 189–192. doi:10.1126/science.261.5118.189. 3
- [Ped89] PEDERSEN P.: On optimal orientation of orthotropic materials. Structural optimization 1 (1989), 101–106. doi:10.1007/ BF01637666.1
- [PT08] PANTZ O., TRABELSI K.: A post-treatment of the homogenization method for shape optimization. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization 47, 3 (2008), 1380–1398. doi:10.1137/070688900.1
- [SOG\*21] STUTZ F., OLSEN T., GROEN J., AAGE N., SIGMUND O., SOLOMON J., BÆRENTZEN J. A.: Synthesis of frame field-aligned multi-laminar structures. ArXiv abs/2104.05550 (2021). 1
- [SPG19] SCHMIDT M.-P., PEDERSEN C. B., GOUT C.: On structural topology optimization using graded porosity control. *Structural* and Multidisciplinary Optimization 60, 4 (2019), 1437–1453. doi: 10.1007/s00158-019-02275-x. 1
- [Tur52] TURING A. M.: The chemical basis of morphogenesis. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences 237, 641 (1952), 37–72. doi:10.1098/rstb.1952. 0012.3
- [WAWS18] WU J., AAGE N., WESTERMANN R., SIGMUND O.: Infill optimization for additive manufacturing—approaching bone-like porous structures. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 24, 2 (2018), 1127–1140. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2017.2655523.1
- [Woo60] WOOD L. W.: Relation of strength of wood to duration of load.
- [WSG21] WU J., SIGMUND O., GROEN J. P.: Topology optimization of multi-scale structures: A review. *Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimization 63* (2021), 1455–1480. doi:10.1007/ s00158-021-02881-8.1
- [WWG21] WU J., WANG W., GAO X.: Design and optimization of conforming lattice structures. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics* 27, 1 (2021), 43–56. doi:10.1109/TVCG.2019. 2938946.4