

# The architecture and metabolic traits of monospecific photosynthetic biofilms studied in a custom flow-through system

Andrea Fanesi, Thierry Martin, Cyril Breton, Olivier Bernard, Romain Briandet, Filipa Lopes

# ▶ To cite this version:

Andrea Fanesi, Thierry Martin, Cyril Breton, Olivier Bernard, Romain Briandet, et al.. The architecture and metabolic traits of monospecific photosynthetic biofilms studied in a custom flow-through system. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 2022, 119, pp. 2459-2470. 10.1002/bit.28147. hal-03862671

HAL Id: hal-03862671

https://hal.science/hal-03862671

Submitted on 21 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The architecture and metabolic traits of monospecific photosynthetic biofilms studied in a custom flow-through system Andrea Fanesi<sup>1\*</sup>, Thierry Martin<sup>1</sup>, Cyril Breton<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Bernard<sup>2</sup>, Romain Briandet<sup>3</sup>, and Filipa Lopes<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Laboratoire Génie des Procédés et Matériaux (LGPM), CentraleSupélec, Université Paris-Saclay, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France <sup>2</sup>Biocore, INRIA, Université Côte d'Azur, 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex, France <sup>3</sup>Micalis Institute, AgroParisTech, INRAE, Université Paris-Saclay, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas, France \*Correspondence: andrea.fanesi@centralesupelec.fr; Tel.: +33175317322 Running title: architecture and physiology of microalgae biofilms 

## **Abstract**

Microalgae biofilms have a great ecological importance and a high biotechnological potential. Nevertheless, an in-depth and combined structural (i.e. the architecture of the biofilm) and physiological characterization of microalgae biofilms is still missing. An approach able to provide at the same time physiological and structural information during biofilm growth would be of paramount importance to understand these complex biological systems and to optimize their productivity. In this study, monospecific biofilms of a diatom and a green alga were grown under dynamic conditions in custom flow cells represented by UV/Vis spectroscopic cuvettes. Such flow cells were conceived to characterize the biofilms by several techniques mostly in situ and in a non-destructive way. Physiological traits were obtained measuring variable chlorophyll a fluorescence by pulse amplitude modulated fluorometry (PAM) and by scanning the biofilms in a spectrometer to obtain *in vivo* pigments spectral signatures. The architectural features were obtained by imaging the biofilms with a confocal scanning laser microscope (CLSM) and an optical coherence tomograph (OCT). Overall, this experimental setup allowed us to follow the growth of two biofilm-forming microalgae showing that cell physiology is more affected in complex biofilms likely as a consequence of alterations in local environmental conditions. 

Keywords: CLSM, flow cell, FTIR-spectroscopy, Microalgae biofilms, OCT, PAM

Microalgae biofilms play a crucial ecological role in most aquatic ecosystems as they

# Introduction

contribute substantially to the primary production of shallow waters (Buhmann et al., 2012). In most coastal and estuarine areas, they also stabilize sediments and promote the formation of microbial communities essential for the functioning of the main biogeochemical cycles in such environments. With respect to their planktonic counterparts, microalgae biofilms present biomass densities that can be 10 to 100 times higher (Ozkan et al., 2012; Podola et al., 2017). Such characteristic can provide strong resistance to grazing and pollutants but it can also create very strong gradients of light and nutrients making them rapidly limiting in some locations within the biofilm (Battin et al., 2016). For biotechnological applications, the high productivity of microalgae biofilms has been recently recognized as a key advantage of biofilm-based systems over classical photo-bioreactors where microalgae are in the planktonic state (Berner et al., 2015; Gross et al., 2015).

Despite the importance of characterizing the physiology of photosynthetic biofilms, the 85 majority of studies have been performed on mixed natural communities (e.g. 86 microphytobenthic biofilms with several microalgae taxa and bacteria), and only few studies 87 have investigated in parallel their physiology and architecture (i.e. specific 3D organization of 88 cells and matrix components) (Mallick et al., 2020; Stiefelmaier et al., 2020; Wijihastuti et al., 89 2017). However, understanding how the metabolic activity of cells changes as biofilm 3D 90 structure matures might help identifying key biofilm traits to better comprehend such a 91 complex life style in nature and to optimize biotechnological processes. Nutrient limitation or 92 light acclimation might be identified on the basis of chlorophyll a variable fluorescence 93 (Beardall et al., 2001) or on the base of changes of specific macromolecular pools such as 94 lipids and carbohydrates (Dean et al., 2010; Jebsen et al., 2012). Furthermore, the parallel 95 study of both physiological and architectural biofilm traits might reveal structural-dependent 96 97 changes or species-specific acclimation strategies in photosynthetic biofilms. In this context, the development of a system that allows measurements of 3D structures and physiological 98 99 properties of microalgae biofilms is of great importance. 100 In order to simulate environmental conditions, biofilms are experimentally grown under dynamic conditions, typically reached by continuously pumping fresh medium into a flow cell 101 where the biofilm is developing (Fanesi et al., 2021; Le Norcy et al., 2019). Several systems 102 exist for the study of bacterial and photosynthetic biofilms (Buhmann et al., 2012; Forster and 103 Martin-Jézéquel, 2005; Heydorn et al., 2000; Lemke et al., 2021; Wijihastuti et al., 2017; 104 Zippel et al., 2007) but only few of them allow for multiple *in-situ* measurements. In this 105 106 study, we developed a user friendly flow-through system that can be used to grow 107 photosynthetic biofilms continuously under controlled laboratory conditions. The flow cell is 108 conceived using a four-face clear spectrophotometer cuvette that allows to easily reproduce the system in different labs and to switch between several measurements modes. The system 109

was tested using two biofilm-forming microalgae (a diatom and a green algae) that produce biofilms with different architectural characteristics (Fanesi et al., 2019). Indeed, specific architectures may translate in the formation of light and nutrient gradients (including gases such as CO<sub>2</sub>) as a function of biofilm complexity (Battin et al., 2016; Schnurr and Allen, 2015). We therefore tested the hypothesis that photosynthetic cells producing biofilms with high structural complexities (e.g. high thickness and cell abundances) may need to acclimate and change their physiological performances in order to optimize their fitness in the changing local conditions (Stewart and Franklin, 2008). A consequence of this hypothesis is that a thick biofilm would be expected to induce stronger gradients and therefore more changes in cell physiology with respect to a thinner biofilm where light and nutrients gradients are weaker and may impact less cell metabolic performances. In order to test our hypothesis, the structural characteristics of photosynthetic biofilms were monitored by means of complementary techniques. Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM) at the microscopic scale and Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) at the mesoscale allowing a higher penetration in the sample (Wagner and Horn, 2017). Photosynthetic efficiency was measured using a pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometer and pigments and macromolecules signatures were obtained using a UV-Visible and an ATR-FTIR spectrometer. All the techniques were chosen because they are non-destructive and have the potential to allow for *in situ* measurements.

129

130

131

132

133

134

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

# **Materials and Methods**

Planktonic culture maintenance

The planktonic cultures of *Cylindroteca closterium* AC170 (Caen, France) and *Chlorella vulgaris* SAG 211-12 (Göttingen, Germany) were grown in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks filled with 30 mL of growth medium (artificial sea water (Lyman and Fleming,1940) + Walne's

medium (Walne, 1970)) for *C. closterium*, 3N-Bristol for *C. vulgaris* (Bischoff and Bold, 1963) and were kept in the exponential phase by frequent re-inoculations (every 3 days). The cultures were continuously shaken and grown in an incubator at 25°C under a continuous photon flux density (PFD) of 20 μmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>.

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

135

136

137

138

Development of the cuvette flow-through system for biofilm cultivation A bottle of sterilized medium is connected to the flow cell, which is connected to a waste bottle using silicon tubes (I.D. 1mm). The medium is pumped inside the system by means of a multichannel peristaltic pump 205S/CA (Watson and Marlow; La Queue Lez Yvelines, France). The innovative part of the flow-through incubation system conceived in this study is the use of a spectrophotometer cuvette (Fig. 1a,b). Such a flow cell was conceived using a four face clear cuvette made of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) (01961-00, Kartell S.p.A., Italy; total volume of 4mL; 1 cm optical path length), a plastic cap (XK25.1, Roth) and two connectors: one for the inflow medium was made from a glass capillary (micro-hematocrit capillary tubes, 1.2 mm I.D.) whereas the second was made from a 10µL pipet tip for the out flow. Two holes were made on the plastic cap (about 6-7 mm of distance). In one hole, the plastic tip was fitted in and in the second the glass capillary. The plastic tip has a length of about 10mm, 5 mm are inside the cuvette and 5mm are used to connect the silicone tube. The capillary was cut to a final length of 40mm: 35mm are inside the cuvette and 5mm are used to connect the silicon tube (Fig.1a). The difference in length between the glass capillary and the plastic tip is necessary in order to avoid short circuit between the inflow and the outflow of medium. Once fitted in, the two connectors were sealed on the plastic cap using transparent

silicon in order to avoid leakages. Once completed, the external edges of the cap were filled

with silicon and the whole cap inserted in the cuvette and the flow-through system was let dry overnight before using it for the experiments.

The optical characteristics and the standard design of the cuvette allow to perform *in situ* and in a non-destructive way measurements with multiple instruments. In our case, the choice of the measurements was done in order to get a complete characterization of biofilm structural, metabolic and compositional properties.

Inoculum and mode of operation of the cuvette flow-through cell for photosynthetic biofilms

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

figure S1 for the emission spectra of the LEDs).

159

160

161

162

163

164

growth Before each set of experiments, the complete system including the cuvette flow cells were sterilized by pumping a solution (0.5% v/v) of commercial bleacher for two hours. The system was then washed with two liters of autoclaved MilliQ water and filled with the specific sterile growth media used for the two algae (see *planktonic culture* section). For the inoculum of the system, an aliquot of planktonic culture containing 5 10<sup>5</sup> cell/mL for C. closterium and 10<sup>6</sup> cell/mL for C. vulgaris were injected using an in-line luer injection port (Ibidi GmbH, Germany) placed just before the cuvette. The cuvette inlet and outlet were then closed with clips and were gently shaken by hand to obtain a homogeneous suspension of cells. The cuvettes were then laid down horizontally for 24 hours (under 100 µmol photons m<sup>-</sup> <sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>) to induce the formation of the biofilm only on one face of the cuvette. After this time interval, the medium was flown at a flow rate of 0.2 mL min<sup>-1</sup> (a good compromise to avoid large volumes of growth medium and leakages) and the light was continuously provided at a photon flux density of 100 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> from the top using an Alpheus illumination system that uses a mix of blue, white and red LEDs (Alpheus LED, Montgeron, France; see

In this study, the biofilms were grown for four days, a time necessary (based on our inoculum size) to reach a *plateau* and to avoid colonization of the biofilms on all faces of the cuvette. For each experiment, a set of 6 flow-through cells was used. Three flow cells were used over the total length of the experiment (4 days) and measured every day at the spectrophotometer, CLSM, OCT, PAM and for light attenuation while of the remaining three, one flow cell for each day was opened and the biofilm scraped for FTIR measurements. Each experiment was repeated three times over three different weeks in order to obtain independent assays.

Light attenuation

Photon flux density was measured using a light meter (LI-190/R; LI-COR Biosciences GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany). Light attenuation by the biofilms was monitored by placing the flow-cells on the sensor of the light meter. Light attenuation was measured in three positions along the length of each cuvette. The attenuation of light was expressed with respect to the transmitted light measured before the inoculation of the flow cells (transmitted light (0); measured at day 0) as follow:

Light attenuation<sub>(i)</sub> (%) = 
$$[1 - (transmitted \ light_{(i)} / transmitted \ light_{(0)})] \cdot 100$$
 (1)

Where *i* represents the day during the growth of the biofilms.

*Chlorophyll a variable fluorometry (PAM)* 

The photosynthetic efficiency of the biofilms was determined using a portable pulse amplitude modulation (PAM) fluorometer (AquaPen, AP 110-C, Photon Systems Instruments, Drasov, Czech Republic) as described in Li et al. (Li et al., 2021). Briefly, the measurements were performed by placing the face of the cuvette colonized by the biofilms in contact with

the blue LED (455 nm) of the instrument, the measuring light was 0.02  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and saturation pulses had an intensity of 3000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, in order to understand if the biofilm lifestyle might interfere with the measurements, the biofilms of both microalgae were also measured after resuspension in the respective growth media (OD<sub>676</sub> adjusted to 0.1 in order to have optically thin samples). Before each measurement, all samples were dark-adapted for 10 minutes. Afterward, the samples were exposed to seven actinic lights (from 0 to 1000  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) applied every 60s. The maximum quantum yield (F<sub>V</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>) and the effective quantum yield ( $\Delta$ F/F'<sub>m</sub>) were calculated according to Eq. (2) and Eq. (3):

216

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

217 
$$F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_0)/F_m$$
 (2)

218 
$$\Delta F/F'_{m} = (F'_{m}-F)/F'_{m}$$
 (3)

219

- where F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>m</sub> are the minimum and max fluorescence determined after 10 min dark-
- adaptation, whereas F and F'<sub>m</sub> are the minimum and max fluorescence during illumination.
- The relative electron transport rate (rETR) was calculated using the Eq. (4):

223

$$rETR = \Delta F/F'_m \times PFD \times 0.5$$
 (4)

225

- Where PFD is the incident photon flux density and 0.5 is a factor assuming that two photons
- are required for linear electron transfer. Light curves were quantitatively compared using the
- parameters of maximum rate of relative ETR (rETR<sub>max</sub>),  $\alpha$  (the initial slope of the curves) and
- $E_k$  ( $E_k = rETR_{max}/\alpha$ ; the photon flux density at saturation) obtained by fitting the rETR vs.
- 230 PFD curves with the rectangular hyperbola rETR = rETR<sub>max</sub> (1  $e^{-\alpha PFD/rETRmax}$ ) (Ralph and
- 231 Gademann, 2005).

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

Each day, a biofilm was scrapped from one of the cuvettes. The samples were centrifuged at 8000 · g for 5 minutes and the supernatant removed. After that, 1 mL of distilled water in the case of C. vulgaris and 1 mL of a solution of NaCl (35 g · L -1) for C. closterium were used to wash the biofilm suspensions. Afterward, the pellet was re-suspended in 5-10 μL of distilled water or NaCl and 1.5 µL were transferred on a 45° ZnSe flat crystal of an ATR-FTIR PerkinElmer Spectrum-two spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Waltham, USA) and the sample was dried at room temperature for 20 minutes. Spectra were acquired in the range 4000 and 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> using 32 accumulations at a spectral resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Before each measurement, the empty crystal was measured using the same instrumental setting and used as a blank. Spectra were baselined and the ratios carbohydrates to proteins, lipids to proteins and carbohydrates to lipids were calculated as the ratios between the maximum absorption values for the spectral ranges corresponding to proteins (Amide I, vC=O; 1700–1630 cm<sup>-1</sup>), lipids  $(vC=O; 1750-1700 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ , and carbohydrates (vC-O-C, and vSi-O in diatoms; 1200-950)cm<sup>-1</sup>). The band assignments were based on Sacket et al. (Sackett et al., 2013). The ratios were calculated to follow over time the changes in the macromolecular composition of the cells.

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

*In-vivo absorption visible spectroscopy* 

Absorption spectra were measured using a UV-Vis spectrometer (Evolution 60S; Thermoscientific). Absorption spectra were measured in the range 400-750 nm using a spectral resolution of 1 nm. In the first days of growth each biofilm was scanned at least 8 times and the spectra averaged to increase the signal to noise ratio. The absorption at 750 nm was further subtracted to all the wavelengths to remove the background. The ratio between the absorption at 435 nm and that at 676 nm (Abs<sub>435</sub> to Abs<sub>676</sub> ratio) was further calculated as an

index of accessory pigments to chlorophyll *a* ratio in *in vivo* microalgae spectra (Dubinsky et al., 1986; SooHoo et al., 1986).

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

259

258

Confocal laser scanning microscopy and image analysis The biofilms were scanned using a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) to obtain zstacks of cells signal. The images were acquired using an inverted Zeiss LSM700 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) equipped with a LD Plan-Neofluar 20x/0.4 Korr M27 objective with a 0.4 N.A. (numerical aperture). Each image (1568 x 1568 pixels; 12 bit) was 638 · 638 μm in size with a z-step of 3.9 μm and a lateral resolution of 0.41 µm. Each flow-cell was scanned in at least 6 random positions, from the inlet to the outlet, along the length of the cuvette. Cells were detected by chlorophyll a auto-fluorescence. Chlorophyll a was excited at 639 nm and was detected using a long pass filter 615 nm. On the last day of growth, a biofilm cultivated in one of the cuvettes was also stained using a mix (5µL each diluted in 1 mL of respective growth media) of FITC-lectins (CON A, RCA I, SBA, PNA, DBA, WGA, UEA I; Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK) to visualize the matrix (i.e. the glycoconjugates) and to qualitatively compare the biofilms. This solution was later injected in a cuvette containing a biofilm that was incubated in the dark for 30 minutes before the acquisition of the images. FITC was excited using the 488 nm laser line. A custom script running in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) was used to extract from the z-stacks the quantitative parameters (based on the Chla fluorescence) used to characterize biofilm architecture: biovolume, maximal and mean thickness and roughness (See supporting

information for calculations; Tab.S1). The structural parameters were calculated according to

the computations described in Heydorn et al. (Heydorn et al., 2000; see also Tab.S1) and the

thresholding was performed using the Otsu algorithm (Otsu, 1979) considering the histogram

of the whole stack. The z-stacks were obtained over the whole length of the cuvette and the 283 284 results averaged. 285 Optical coherence tomography (OCT) 286 The meso-structures of the biofilms were visualized using a spectral domain Optical 287 Coherence Tomograph (OCT; Ganymede 621, Thorlabs GmbH, Lübeck, Germany) with a 288 central light source wavelength of 900 nm. The images were acquired using a telecentric scan 289 290 lens (OCT-LK3-BB) at a speed of 25kHz per A-scan and an averaging of 6 A-scan. The field of view was 4 x 4 mm (XY) and the axial depth 1 mm (Z). The lateral pixel resolution was 8 291 μm and the axial pixel resolution was 1.45 μm. For all the acquisitions a refractive index of 292 1.33 was used as the biofilms were imaged in situ in liquid medium (Wagner and Horn, 293 2017). Images were analysed using the software ThorImageOCT 5.4.4 (Thorlabs, Lübeck, 294 295 Germany). OCT measurements were performed only on one of the cuvettes to show the versatility of the flow cell system. 296 297 298 **Statistics** Statistics was performed using GraphPad prism 5.0 (San Diego, CA, USA) and the R software 299 (R Core Team, 2014). Before statistical analysis, the distribution of the data was investigated 300 using the Shapiro-Wilk's test. Data were transformed (log or square root) in the case they did 301 not followed a normal distribution (P<0.05). To evaluate the significance of mean differences 302 over time, One-way and Two-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey's post-hoc test for 303 multiple comparisons were carried out (ns =  $P \ge 0.05$ ; \* = P < 0.05; \*\* = P < 0.01; \*\*\* = 304 P<0.001). The level of significance was always set at 5%. The heatmap was performed in R 305 using the package "NMF" (Gaujoux and Seoighe, 2010). The analysis was conducted on the 306

mean values of all experiments performed in the study and the matrix of variables was further

scaled using z-score scaling. Clustering of the variables was obtained using the Euclidean distance.

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

308

309

## **Results and Discussion**

Biofilm architecture is species dependent The flow cell developed in this study was used to easily cultivate microalgae biofilms and to use several optical approaches to characterize their structures and physiology (i.e. metabolic activity and biochemical composition). The optical properties of the cuvettes allowed to acquire high quality images by means of CLSM and OCT (Fig.2, Fig.S2, Fig.S3). The two species were chosen since they form biofilms with different architectures (Fanesi et al., 2019; Fanesi et al., 2021; Le Norcy et al., 2019) and were therefore good experimental organisms to the test the hypothesis that complex architectures may induce stronger physiological adjustments in the cells. Figure 2, Fig.S2 and Fig.S3 show indeed that biofilm structure (both cells and EPS organizations) was speciesdependent, in line with previous results (Fanesi et al., 2019; Le Norcy et al., 2019) and it appeared to be homogeneous along the length of the cuvettes (Fig.S4). C. closterium produced biofilms that were twofold thicker and that produced 2.4 times more biomass than those of C. vulgaris (Fig. 3a,b). Biofilms produced by C. vulgaris did not seem to grow much in thickness (~20µm) but seemed mostly to become denser on the substrate surface (Fig.3a,b). The increase in biomass in C. closterium on the contrary matched an increase in thickness of the biofilms that reached up to 80 µm final thickness (Fig.2 and Fig.3a,b). These results are in agreement with previous reports about microalgae biofilms (Fanesi et al., 2019; Fanesi et al., 2021; Faÿ et al., 2010; Le Norcy et al., 2019) and are likely related to the preferential lifestyle of the two species: C. closterium is commonly found forming microphytobenthos

communities in mudflats whereas C. vulgaris is generally a planktonic species. However,

under specific conditions, both have been reported to switch to the respective opposite lifestyle for example due to sediment resuspension for the first or contaminations and shift in light spectra for the latter (Aigner et al., 2020; Hultberg et al., 2014; Irving and Allen, 2011; Kingston, 2009). The production over time of daughter cells led to a stronger light attenuation through the biofilms in both species (Fig.3d). After four days of development, C. closterium attenuated almost 60% of the incoming photons whereas C. vulgaris only 20%. Therefore, the lower biomass produced by C. vulgaris was likely not related to a light limitation, indeed the compensation point for C. vulgaris biofilms has been reported to be in the range 30-60 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (Rincon et al., 2019). Furthermore, recently Fanesi et al. (Fanesi et al., 2021) showed that even when biofilm architecture changes, C. vulgaris maintains constant diffusion characteristics in its biofilm. It results that a limitation by nutrients under dynamic conditions should be excluded. On the other hand, the authors demonstrated that at low shear stress the biofilms were very loose and that cells detached more easily from the biofilm. It is therefore probable that the hydrodynamic conditions used did not allow the cells to build up strong and biomass-rich biofilms.

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

Biofilm architecture affects cell physiology

Interestingly, the different architectural features exhibited by the biofilms of the two algal species were reflected in different physiological responses of the cells.

The photosynthetic performance of the cells was assessed by PAM fluorometry and the cultivation of the biofilms on one of the faces of the flow cell-cuvette allowed to perform the measurements directly *in situ*. The samples were also resuspended to test possible interferences of the biofilm lifestyle with the measurement, in this case structural integrity of the biofilms was however lost. Interestingly, the results presented in Fig.4, Fig.S5 and Tab.S2

and S3 show a strong dependency of the measurements on the species considered and on the thickness of their biofilms. Regardless of the time point, the thin biofilms formed by C. vulgaris, presented very similar photosynthetic responses both when measured in situ or in the suspended mode (Fig.4). On the other hand, in C. closterium a strong deviation of the rETR was observed between in situ and suspension measurements after two days of development (Fig.4). This response has been extensively described in the literature for microphytobenthic communities and it has been attributed to the attenuation of light as it penetrates through a biofilm and to the integration of the fluorescence response over the whole biofilm depth (Consalvey et al., 2005; Perkins et al., 2010). Thanks to the parallel measurements of structures and photosynthesis, we identified a threshold thickness of 60 µm that would still ensure linearity of the technique with our instrument (see Fig.S5). On the base of these observations, only the results coming from the resuspension of C. closterium biofilms were considered for interpretation, whereas the *in situ* measurements were retained for *C. vulgaris*. As the biofilms produced by C. closterium developed, at day4 the photosynthetic capacity of the cells was 40% lower (P<0.05) with respect to that at day1 and the rETR saturated at lower PFD values (P<0.05; 46% lower E<sub>k</sub> value) (Fig 4a,e). On the other hand, the photosynthetic performance of the cells of *C. vulgaris* did not appear to be negatively affected as the biofilms matured (Fig.4a). Since the thickness of *C. closterium* biofilms doubled at day4, the decrease in photosynthetic capacity could have been induced by a rate limiting resource related to the greater structural complexity of the biofilms. In accordance with Rincon et al. (Rincon et al., 2019), the maximum quantum yield  $(F_v/F_m)$  in both species did not change over time (P>0.05; Fig.4g,h). The combination of a constant  $F_v/F_m$  and the decrease in rETR<sub>max</sub> in C. closterium suggests that photosynthetic capacity could have been limited by DIC rather than nutrients such as N and P. Forster and Martin Jézéquel (Forster and Martin-Jézéquel, 2005) came to similar conclusions for diatoms biofilms grown under dynamic conditions. Furthermore, since

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

at day4 the attenuation of light by the biofilms reached 60% of the incident light, the decrease in rETR<sub>max</sub> and the parallel increase in  $\alpha$  could also be indicative of an acclimation of the biofilms to lower photon flux densities (Dubinsky and Stambler, 2009; Li et al., 2021). This interpretation would also fit with the progressive decrease of the Abs<sub>435</sub> to Abs<sub>676</sub> ratio over time (P<0.05; Fig.5a,b) that is a typical response of cells growing at lower light intensities (Dubinsky et al., 1986; SooHoo et al., 1986). Therefore, we cannot rule out a combined effect of light and nutrients as the biofilms of C. closterium became more complex from a structural point of view. Similarly to the photosynthetic parameters, substantial changes in the macromolecular composition of the cells only occurred in C. closterium (Fig.5): a decrease over time in the carbohydrates to proteins ratio (P<0.05; 20%) and an increase in the lipids to proteins ratio (P<0.05; ~40%), whereas no compositional change was exhibited by C. vulgaris (P>0.05; Fig.5). This results further strengthen the hypothesis of a possible nutrient and light colimitation in C. closterium. Indeed, lower photosynthetic capacity and accumulation of storage compounds such as lipids are typical strategies already described for the phytoplankton growing under stressful/limiting conditions and of cultures entering the stationary phase (Kamalanathan et al., 2016). Although for bacterial biofilms correlations between macromolecules and structures have been described (Feng et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2018), reports about photosynthetic biofilms are scarce (Fanesi et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021; Wijihastuti et al., 2017). The heatmap summarizes the dynamics of the biofilm traits for the two species. C. closterium, the algae that built the greater biofilms, underwent the greater changes in terms of metabolic activity and cellular composition, as it can be seen by the more frequent changes in colors in the heatmap for most of the investigated traits (see Fig.6). On the other hand, the thinner biofilms developed by C. vulgaris did not induce any drastic change in cell physiology

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

(constant colors in Fig.6). Also, the clustering of structural parameters such as thickness (max and mean) and biovolume with light attenuation, photosynthesis and compositional parameters confirms that the decrease in PFD over time was probably responsible for the acclimation strategy undertaken by C. closterium. Changes in cell activity as a function of biofilm structural complexity have been previously reported for bacteria strains (Piculell et al., 2016; Stewart and Franklin, 2008; Sun et al., 2016; Torresi et al., 2016), but only few reports are available for photosynthetic biofilms (Stiefelmaier et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021; Wijihastuti et al., 2017). The high physiological plasticity exhibited by benthic diatoms has long been recognized as a functional trait that allows the cells to rapidly acclimate in an extremely variable and harsh environment such as intertidal sediments (Forster and Martin-Jézéquel, 2005). Here, we suggest that such a trait might be advantageous in those species capable of building complex (i.e. thicker and with more biomass) biofilms, since it would allow the cells to promptly acclimate to the changing local conditions along biofilm maturation. In support to this, the ability of monospecific microalgae biofilms to promptly acclimate (both physiology and architecture) to changing environmental conditions (i.e. light intensity) has been recently demonstrated also by Wang et al. (Wang et al., 2021), suggesting that such a phenotypic plasticity must be taken into account also when designing biofilmbased systems for biotechnological applications. Further studies would be necessary to identify the precise rate-limiting resource inducing such physiological reorganization as a function of changing biofilm architecture, for example by integrating in the experimental set-up nutrients and pH sensors. Likely a combination of light quantity and spectrum and nutrient limitation could be the principal reason (Fanesi et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2019). It must be also kept in mind that the techniques used in this study to characterize cell photosynthesis and macromolecular composition are integrating the parameters over the whole cell population. Techniques with a higher spatial

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

resolution (i.e. Imaging PAM and FTIR/Raman micro-spectroscopy) may help to discriminate the spatial distribution of cell phenotypes in photosynthetic biofilms and to properly explain acclimation strategies at a finer scale (Stewart and Franklin, 2008).

## **Conclusions**

The use of UV/Vis-spectroscopic cuvettes as flow cell allowed a screening, by means of optical methods, of several biofilm traits (from metabolic activity to microscopic structures) mostly *in situ*, allowing to comprehend the interplay between structure development during the maturation of photosynthetic biofilms and their respective changes in photosynthetic activity and macromolecular composition. We were able to show that the development of more complex biofilms, from an architectural point of view, leads to greater changes in cell activity and composition likely because of changes in local environmental conditions as the biofilms grow in thickness and biomass. The diatom developed thicker and biomass-rich biofilms that attenuated more light, leading to a decrease in photosynthetic performance and a rearrangement of cell macromolecules. On the other hand, the thinner biofilms developed by *C. vulgaris* did not undergo any remarkable adjustment in their physiology. This system could be used to screen under controlled laboratory conditions several microalgae species at the same time, the interaction of mixed communities (microalgae and bacteria) and could provide a better comprehension of such complex communities for ecological and biotechnological purposes.

# Acknowledgments

This work benefited from the financial support of the LabeX LaSIPS project AlgaeBiofilm and Greenbelt managed by the French National Research Agency (ANR) under the "Investissements d'avenir" program and from the support of the ANR PhotoBiofilm Explorer

458	(ANR-20-CE43-0008). The authors would like also to thank Mme Hélène Santigny for her
459	continuous technical support provided during the experiments and Dr. Sebastian Schäfer from
460	Thorlabs for the assistance with the OCT measurements.
461	
462	<b>Author Contributions</b>
463	AF developed the flow cell, performed the experiments, analysed the data and wrote the
464	article, TM and CB contributed in the realization of the experimental set-up. AF, OB, RB and
465	FL designed the experiments. OB, RB and FL provided funding, analysed the data, supervised
466	the project and revised the manuscript.
467	
468	Data availability statement
469	The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author
470	upon reasonable request.
471	
472	Competing interests
473	The authors declare no competing interests.
474 475	References
476 477 478	Aigner S, Glaser K, Arc E, Holzinger A, Schletter M, Karsten U, Kranner I. 2020. Adaptation to aquatic and terrestrial environments in <i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> (Chlorophyta). <i>Frontiers in Microbiology</i> 11.
478	Battin TJ, Besemer K, Bengtsson MM, Romani AM, Packmann AI. 2016. The ecology and
480	biogeochemistry of stream biofilms. Nature Reviews Microbiology 14:251-263.
481	Beardall J, Young E, Roberts S. 2001. Approaches for determining phytoplankton nutrient
482 483	limitation. <i>Aquatic sciences</i> . <b>63</b> :44–69. Berner F, Heimann K, Sheehan M. 2015. Microalgal biofilms for biomass production. <i>Journal</i>
484	of Applied Phycology 27:1793–1804.
485	Bischoff HW, Bold HC. 1963. Phycological Studies IV. Some Soil algae from enchanted rock
486	and related algal species. University of Texas publication 6318:95.
487	Buhmann M, Kroth PG, Schleheck D. 2012. Photoautotrophic–heterotrophic biofilm
488	communities: a laboratory incubator designed for growing axenic diatoms and bacteria
489 490	in defined mixed-species biofilms. <i>Environmental Microbiology Reports</i> <b>4</b> :133–140. Consalvey M, Perkins RG, Paterson DM, Underwood GJC. 2005. Pam fluorescence: a
490	beginners guide for benthic diatomists. <i>Diatom Research</i> <b>20</b> :1–22.

- Dean AP, Sigee DC, Estrada B, Pittman JK. 2010. Using FTIR spectroscopy for rapid determination of lipid accumulation in response to nitrogen limitation in freshwater microalgae. *Bioresource Technology* **101**:4499–4507.
- Dubinsky Z, Falkowski PG, Wyman K. 1986. Light harvesting and utilization by phytoplankton. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **27**:1335–1349.

504

505 506

507

508 509

514

515

521

- Dubinsky Z, Stambler N. 2009. Photoacclimation processes in phytoplankton: mechanisms, consequences, and applications. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* **56**:163–176.
- Fanesi A, Lavayssière M, Breton C, Bernard O, Briandet R, Lopes F. 2021. Shear stress affects the architecture and cohesion of *Chlorella vulgaris* biofilms. *Scientific Reports* 11:4002.
- Fanesi A, Paule A, Bernard O, Briandet R, Lopes F. 2019. The Architecture of monospecific microalgae biofilms. *Microorganisms* 7:352.
  - Faÿ F, Linossier I, Carteau D, Dheilly A, Silkina A, Vallée-Réhel K. 2010. Booster biocides and microfouling. *Biofouling* **26**:787–798.
  - Feng J, Fuente-Núñez C de la, Trimble MJ, Xu J, Hancock REW, Lu X. 2015. An in situ Raman spectroscopy-based microfluidic "lab-on-a-chip" platform for non-destructive and continuous characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *Chemical Communication* **51**:8966–8969.
- Forster RM, Martin-Jézéquel V. 2005. Photophysiological variability of microphytobenthic diatoms after growth in different types of culture conditions. *Phycologia* **44**:393–402.
- Gaujoux R, Seoighe C. 2010. A flexible R package for nonnegative matrix factorization. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11:367.
  - Gross M, Jarboe D, Wen Z. 2015. Biofilm-based algal cultivation systems. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **99**:5781–5789.
- Heydorn A, Nielsen AT, Hentzer M, Sternberg C, Givskov M, Ersbøll BK, Molin S. 2000.
   Quantification of biofilm structures by the novel computer program Comstat.
   *Microbiology* 146:2395–2407.
- Hultberg M, Asp H, Marttila S, Bergstrand K-J, Gustafsson S. 2014. Biofilm formation by *Chlorella vulgaris* is Affected by Light Quality. *Current Microbiology* **69**:699–702.
  - Irving TE, Allen DG. 2011. Species and material considerations in the formation and development of microalgal biofilms. *Applied Microbiology Biotechnology* **92**:283–294
- Jebsen C, Norici A, Wagner H, Palmucci M, Giordano M, Wilhelm C. 2012. FTIR spectra of algal species can be used as physiological fingerprints to assess their actual growth potential. *Physiologia Plantarum* **146**:427–438.
- Kamalanathan M, Pierangelini M, Shearman LA, Gleadow R, Beardall J. 2016. Impacts of
   nitrogen and phosphorus starvation on the physiology of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.
   Journal of Applied Phycology 28:1509–1520.
- Kingston MB. 2009. Growth and motility of the diatom *Cylindrotheca closterium*: implications for commercial applications. *Journal of the North Carolina Academy of Science* **125**:138–142.
- Le Norcy T, Faÿ F, Obando CZ, Hellio C, Réhel K, Linossier I. 2019. A new method for evaluation of antifouling activity of molecules against microalgal biofilms using confocal laser scanning microscopy-microfluidic flow-cells. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation* **139**:54–61.
- Lemke P, Zoheir AE, Rabe KS, Niemeyer CM. 2021. Microfluidic cultivation and analysis of productive biofilms. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* **118**:3860–3870.
- Li SF, Fanesi A, Martin T, Lopes F. 2021. Biomass production and physiology of *Chlorella* vulgaris during the early stages of immobilized state are affected by light intensity and inoculum cell density. *Algal Research* **59**:102453.

- Lyman J, Fleming RH. 1940. Composition of sea water. *Journal of Marine Research* **3**:134–146.
- Mallick I, Kirtania P, Szabó M, Bashir F, Domonkos I, Kós PB, Vass I. 2020. A simple method to produce *Synechocystis* PCC6803 biofilm under laboratory conditions for electron microscopic and functional studies. *Plos One* **15**:e0236842.
- Otsu N. A Tlreshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms: *IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics* 9(1), 62-66.
- Ozkan A, Kinney K, Katz L, Berberoglu H. 2012. Reduction of water and energy requirement of algae cultivation using an algae biofilm photobioreactor. *Bioresource Technology* 114:542–548.
- Perkins RG, Kromkamp JC, Serôdio J, Lavaud J, Jesus B, Mouget JL, Lefebvre S, Forster RM. 2010. The application of variable chlorophyll fluorescence to microphytobenthic biofilms. In: Suggett, DJ, Prášil, O, Borowitzka, MA, editors. *Chlorophyll a Fluorescence in Aquatic Sciences: Methods and Applications*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. Developments in Applied Phycology, pp. 237–275. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9268-7 12.
- Piculell M, Welander P, Jönsson K, Welander T. 2016. Evaluating the effect of biofilm thickness on nitrification in moving bed biofilm reactors. *Environmental Technology* **37**:732–743.
  - Podola B, Li T, Melkonian M. 2017. Porous substrate bioreactors: a paradigm shift in microalgal biotechnology? *Trends in Biotechnology* **35**:121–132.
  - R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

561

562563

564

565 566

567

568 569

570

571

572

573

- Ralph PJ, Gademann R. 2005. Rapid light curves: A powerful tool to assess photosynthetic activity. *Aquatic Botany* **82**:222–237.
- Rincon SM, Urrego NF, Avila KJ, Romero HM, Beyenal H. 2019. Photosynthetic activity assessment in mixotrophically cultured *Chlorella vulgaris* biofilms at various developmental stages. *Algal Research* **38**:101408.
- Sackett O, Petrou K, Reedy B, Grazia AD, Hill R, Doblin M, Beardall J, Ralph P, Heraud P. 2013. Phenotypic plasticity of Southern Ocean diatoms: key to success in the sea ice habitat? *Plos one* **8**:e81185.
- Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature Methods* **9**:676–682.
- 576 Schnurr PJ, Allen DG. 2015. Factors affecting algae biofilm growth and lipid production: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* **52**:418–429.
- SooHoo JB, Kiefer DA, Collins DJ, McDermid IS. 1986. In vivo fluorescence excitation and
   absorption spectra of marine phytoplankton: I. Taxonomic characteristics and
   responses to photoadaptation. *Journal of Plankton Research* 8:197–214.
- Stewart PS, Franklin MJ. 2008. Physiological heterogeneity in biofilms. *Nature Reviews*582 *Microbiology* **6**:199–210.
- Stiefelmaier J, Strieth D, Di Nonno S, Erdmann N, Muffler K, Ulber R. 2020.
   Characterization of terrestrial phototrophic biofilms of cyanobacterial species. *Algal Research* 50:101996.
- Sun D, Chen J, Huang H, Liu W, Ye Y, Cheng S. 2016. The effect of biofilm thickness on electrochemical activity of Geobacter sulfurreducens. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 41. Special Issue: Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Developments: A special issue on the 8th International Conference on Sustainable Energy and Environmental Protection (SEEP 2015), 11–14 August 2015, Paisley, Scotland, UK:16523–16528.

591 592	Tan L, Zhao F, Han Q, Zhao A, Malakar PK, Liu H, Pan Y, Zhao Y. 2018. High correlation between structure development and chemical variation during biofilm formation by
593	Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Frontiers in Microbiology <b>9</b> .
594	Torresi E, Fowler SJ, Polesel F, Bester K, Andersen HR, Smets BF, Plósz BGy, Christensson
595	M. 2016. Biofilm thickness influences biodiversity in nitrifying MBBRs—
596	Implications on micropollutant removal. Environmental Science and Technology
597	<b>50</b> :9279–9288.
598	Wagner M, Horn H. 2017. Optical coherence tomography in biofilm research: A
599	comprehensive review. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 114:1386–1402.
600	Walne PR. Studies on the food value of nineteen genera of algae to juvenile bivalves of the
601	genera Ostrea, Crassostrea, Mercenaria and Mytilus. Fish. Invest. Ser. 2 26.
602	Wang Y, Jiang Z, Lai Z, Yuan H, Zhang X, Jia Y, Zhang X. 2021. The self-adaption
603	capability of microalgal biofilm under different light intensities: Photosynthetic
604	parameters and biofilm microstructures. Algal Research 58:102383.
605	Wijihastuti RS, Moheimani NR, Bahri PA, Cosgrove JJ, Watanabe MM. 2017. Growth and
606	photosynthetic activity of Botryococcus braunii biofilms. Journal of Applied
607	Phycology <b>29</b> :1123–1134.
608	Yuan H, Zhang X, Jiang Z, Wang X, Wang Y, Cao L, Zhang X. 2020. Effect of light spectra
609	on microalgal biofilm: Cell growth, photosynthetic property, and main organic
610	composition. Renewable Energy 157:83–89.
611	Zhang X, Yuan H, Guan L, Wang X, Wang Y, Jiang Z, Cao L, Zhang X. 2019. Influence of
612	photoperiods on microalgae biofilm: photosynthetic performance, biomass yield, and
613	cellular composition. Energies 12:3724.
614	Zippel B, Rijstenbil J, Neu TR. 2007. A flow-lane incubator for studying freshwater and
615	marine phototrophic biofilms. <i>Journal of Microbiological Methods</i> <b>70</b> :336–345.
616	
617	
618	
619	Figure legends
620	Figure 1. Schematic representation of the flow cell and example of biofilm growth. Panel (a)
621	depicts the design of the cuvette flow cell, whereas in panel (b) an example of biofilm growth
622	over time of <i>C. closterium</i> biofilms in the cuvettes is reported.
623	
624	<b>Figure 2.</b> CLSM-topographic representation of the biofilms developed by <i>C. closterium</i> and
625	C. vulgaris (a) and representative OCT B-scans of C. closterium biofilms over time (b). The
626	colors in the topographic representations of the biofilms are calibrated with respect to biofilm
627	height (in $\mu m$ , from 0 to 100 $\mu m$ ): blue colors represent the bottom of the biofilm whereas
628	purple colors represent the top of the biofilm (see the calibration bar). The height of the

biofilms is based on the Chla signal, therefore it represents only the thickness of the cell

biomass. The z-stacks were obtained with a CLSM and the topography of the biofilm was computed in Fiji using the TopoJ plugin.

**Figure 3**. Evolution of structural parameters of the biofilms over time. Biovolume (a), max thickness (b) and roughness coefficient (c), obtained from CLSM z-stacks and light attenuation (d) as a function of time in biofilms of *C. closterium* and *C. vulgaris* grown in cuvettes flow cells. The results are reported as the mean and standard deviation of at least three independent assays.

**Figure 4.** Photosynthetic parameters obtained from *in situ* biofilms (in white) and from resuspended biofilms (in black). The panels (a), (c), (e) and (g) refer to the photosynthetic parameters measured for the biofilms developed by *C. closterium* whereas the panels (b), (d), (f) and (h) refer to the biofilms developed by *C. vulgaris*. The results are reported as the mean and standard deviation of at least three independent assays. The statistical significance is reported with respect to day1. See Tab.S2 and S3 for the detailed statistical results.

**Figure 5.** Pigment signatures (a and b) and macromolecular composition (c,d,e and f) of *C. closterium* and *C. vulgaris* biofilms over time. The absorption ratio Abs435/Abs676 is an index of the accessory pigments to chl *a* ratio and was calculated from UV-Vis spectra whereas the macromolecular ratios were obtained from FTIR spectra. The results are reported as the mean and standard deviation of at least three independent assays. The statistical significance is reported with respect to day1.

**Figure 6.** Heatmap representing the changes in biofilm traits grouped in architecture, photosynthesis, macromolecular composition and optical properties of *C. closterium* and *C.* 

vulgaris biofilms over time. Red colors in the heatmap depict higher values for a variable, whereas blue colors refer to lower values for that variable. The dendrograms cluster variables presenting similar trends over time using the euclidean distance. The heatmap was computed using the mean values of the variables obtained from the experimental replicates.