

Martini 3: a general purpose force field for coarse-grained molecular dynamics

Paulo Souza, Riccardo Alessandri, Jonathan Barnoud, Sebastian Thallmair, Ignacio Faustino, Fabian Grünewald, Ilias Patmanidis, Haleh Abdizadeh, Bart Bruininks, Tsjerk Wassenaar, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Paulo Souza, Riccardo Alessandri, Jonathan Barnoud, Sebastian Thallmair, Ignacio Faustino, et al.. Martini 3: a general purpose force field for coarse-grained molecular dynamics. Nature Methods, 2021, 18 (4), pp.382-388. 10.1038/s41592-021-01098-3 . hal-03863208

HAL Id: hal-03863208 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03863208v1

Submitted on 24 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Martini 3: A General Purpose Force Field for Coarse-Grained Molecular Dynamics

2 3

1

Paulo C. T. Souza^{*1,2}, Riccardo Alessandri¹, Jonathan Barnoud^{1,3}, Sebastian Thallmair^{1,4}, Ignacio Faustino¹, Fabian Grunewald¹, Ilias Patmanidis¹, Haleh 4 5 Abdizadeh¹, Bart M.H. Bruininks¹, Tsjerk A. Wassenaar¹, Peter C. Kroon¹, 6 Josef Melcr¹, Vincent Nieto², Valentina Corradi⁵, Hanif M. Khan^{5,6}, Jan Domański^{7,8}, Matti Javanainen^{9,10}, Hector Martinez-Seara⁹, Nathalie Reuter⁶, Robert B. Best⁸, Ilpo Vattulainen^{10,11}, Luca Monticelli², Xavier Periole^{12,13}, D. Peter Tieleman⁵, Alex H. de Vries¹, Siewert J. Marrink^{*1} 7 8 9 10 11 12 ¹ Groningen Biomolecular Sciences and Biotechnology Institute and Zernike Institute for Advanced Material, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 7, 9747 AG Groningen, 13 14 The Netherlands. 15 ² Molecular Microbiology and Structural Biochemistry, UMR 5086 CNRS & University 16 17 of Lyon, 7 Passage du Vercors, F-69367, Lyon, France. 18 ³ Intangible Realities Laboratory, University of Bristol, School of Chemistry, Cantock's 19 20 Close, Bristol BS8 1TS, United Kingdom. 21 22 ⁴ Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Ruth-Moufang-Str. 1, 60438 Frankfurt am 23 Main, Germany.

⁵ Centre for Molecular Simulation and Department of Biological Sciences, University
 of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, Canada.

⁶ Department of Chemistry and Computational Biology Unit, University of Bergen, N 5020 Bergen, Norway.
 30

⁷ Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1
 3QU, United Kingdom.

⁸ Laboratory of Chemical Physics, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and
 Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892-0520,
 United States.

⁹ Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences, CZ 16610 Prague 6, Czech Republic.

¹⁰ Computational Physics Laboratory, Tampere University, FI-33100 Tampere,
 Finland.

43

50

¹¹ Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland.
 45

¹² Department of Chemistry, Aarhus University, Aarhus C, Denmark.

47
48 ¹³ School of Biological Sciences, The University of Auckland, Symonds Street 3A,
49 Auckland, New Zealand.

51	* Corresponding authors:	Paulo C. T. Souza – paulocts@gmail.com
52	. 2	Siewert J. Marrink – s.j.marrink@rug.nl
53		

54 **ABSTRACT**

55 The coarse-grained Martini force field is widely used in biomolecular 56 simulations. Here, we present the refined model, Martini 3 (http://cgmartini.nl), 57 with an improved interaction balance, new bead types, and expanded ability 58 to include specific interactions representing, e.g. hydrogen bonding and 59 electronic polarizability. The new model allows more accurate predictions of 60 molecular packing and interactions in general, which is exemplified with a vast 61 and diverse set of applications, ranging from oil/water partitioning and 62 miscibility data to complex molecular systems, involving protein-protein and 63 protein-lipid interactions and material science applications as ionic liquids and 64 aedamers.

65

66 **INTRODUCTION**

67 The molecular dynamics (MD) simulation technique has become an 68 indispensable tool in natural sciences, offering a spatio-temporal resolution unmatched by any experimental technique¹. A major bottleneck of MD is the 69 70 limited time and length scales that are accessible. To overcome this limitation, 71 coarse-grained (CG) models representing groups of atoms by effective beads, have achieved widespread use². The Martini model is among the most 72 73 popular CG models in the field of biomolecular simulation, due to its easy-to-74 use building block principle. Martini relies on a four-to-one mapping scheme 75 (i.e., on average four heavy atoms and associated hydrogens are mapped 76 into one CG bead), and has been parametrized using a top-down approach 77 with thermodynamic partitioning data as the main target^{3,4}. Non-bonded 78 interactions between neutral beads of Martini are solely described by

79 Lennard-Jones (LJ) potentials, while charged beads also include Coulombic 80 interactions. The interaction strength of the LJ potential (i.e. its well depth) is 81 used to discriminate between different levels of polarity of the CG beads. The 82 model features four main classes of CG bead types, denoted C, N, P, and Q 83 representing non-polar, intermediately polar, polar, and charged chemical groups, respectively⁴. Sub-labels are used to make a further distinction within 84 85 a class in terms of degree of polarity or hydrogen donor/acceptor capabilities. 86 In principle, all beads have the same size, denoted as regular (R) beads. By 87 way of exception, special small (S) beads were introduced to model ring-like 88 compounds for which a four-to-one mapping scheme is inadequate⁴. To 89 reproduce correct stacking and hydrogen bonding distances between 90 nucleotides, even smaller tiny (T) beads were found necessary⁵. 91 Parametrization of the cross-interactions between S- and T-beads with R-92 beads, however, was done on an *ad-hoc* basis.

93 The Martini force field is used in a wide range of applications in diverse fields biology⁶⁻⁸, biophysics^{9,10}. 94 biomedicine¹¹. including structural nanotechnology^{12,13}, and materials design^{14,15}. With its growing use, however, 95 96 a number of shortcomings of the Martini model have recently been identified. 97 One of the most important problems is the observation that certain molecules 98 tend to interact too strongly. This has been reported for proteins and carbohydrates in solution, as well as for membrane embedded proteins^{16–18}. 99 100 The origin lies among others in small but systematic deviations in packing and intermolecular interactions¹⁹. Besides, the coverage of chemical space for 101 102 broader applications was uneven, and in some cases, such as selectivity of nucleobase pairing^{5,20}, consistency was difficult to obtain given the limited 103

bead types and sizes. To alleviate these problems, we undertook a rebalancing of all non-bonded interaction terms of the Martini model, including the addition of new beads and labels. The new version, called Martini 3, enables more accurate simulations of molecular systems in general. In this paper, we present the key features of Martini 3 combined with examples of new applications and improvements in relation to the previous Martini model.

110

111 **RESULTS**

112 **Re-parametrization of the beads.** In Martini 3, the new parametrization 113 strategy was based on the construction of prototype models of polar and non-114 polar molecules in all three Martini resolutions. Self- (R-R, S-S, and T-T) and 115 cross-interactions (R-S, R-T, and S-T) of the different bead sizes were 116 optimized to be well-balanced (Supplementary Notes, sections B1 and B2). 117 In terms of chemical types, the beads were separated into three blocks: 118 organic, ions, and water (Supplementary Notes, section A1). The organic 119 (containing P-, N-, and C-beads) and ion (Q-beads) blocks have been 120 subjected to independent parametrizations, where different trends in self-121 interaction, solvation, and transfer free energy upon bead size change were 122 included (Supplementary Notes, sections A1, B2, and B3). In contrast to 123 the previous version, water is defined as a separate bead type (called W). 124 which enables optimization of water properties independently from other 125 targets; for example, the freezing of water at room temperature (a problem 126 sometimes encountered with the previous water model) no longer occurs. In 127 addition, it is available in three different sizes as well (Supplementary Notes, 128 section B4). Together with this optimization strategy, the new Martini 3 model

129 also features a fully revised interaction matrix (Supplementary Notes, 130 section A2) and new intermediate interaction levels, added to smoothen the 131 transition between chemical types (Supplementary Notes, section A3). 132 Bead assignment and validation of the models were not only based on 133 experimental transfer free energies, but also included solvent miscibility data 134 (Supplementary Notes, sections A6 and C2, and Supplementary Results, 135 sections E4-E6) and a series of benchmark tests, ranging from structural 136 properties of bilayers to dimerization potentials of mean force (PMFs) of 137 proteins (Methods, section 3, Supplementary Notes, section B5 and 138 Supplementary Results, sections F1-F5).

139 The improved interaction balance between regular and smaller bead types is 140 illustrated by the close to ideal mixing behavior of pure solvents composed of 141 molecules mapped at different resolutions (Fig. 1A). Integration of radial 142 distribution functions, defined as Kirkwood–Buff integrals (G_{ii}), are used here 143 to quantify the degree of miscibility of the multi-resolution liquid water model 144 (**Fig. 1B**). Theoretically, pair differences in Kirkwood–Buff integrals (ΔG_{ii}) should be equal to zero for all i, j pairs in ideal mixtures^{21,22} while real mixtures 145 146 that closely approach ideal behavior (like benzene-toluene) show values around ± 1 cm³/mol²². Our multi-resolution water model shows $\Delta G_{ii} \approx -0.3$ 147 148 cm³/mol, indicating that the balance achieved with the new parametrization closely captures an ideal mixing behavior. 149

The accuracy of CG models containing ring or branched fragments, which rely heavily on smaller bead types, is also greatly increased in Martini 3. For example, the binary mixing behavior of various solvents (**Supplementary Results, sections E4-E6**) and the transfer free energies of many linear,

branched, and ring-like compounds (**Fig 1C** and **Supplementary Results**, **section E1**) are now in very good agreement with experimental data. The mean absolute error of transfer free energies compared to the experimental data is 2 kJ/mol, with 86% of the molecules presenting errors lower than 3 kJ/mol.

159 Another benefit of the recalibrated interactions is the disappearance of the 160 artificially large desolvation free energy barriers that contribute to slow 161 dissociation processes of the previous Martini 2 models. The problem, that was initially observed in dimerization of nucleobases^{5,19}, is thus solved, as 162 163 highlighted by the comparison of Martini 2 and Martini 3 PMFs between 164 cytosine and guanine (left panel of **Fig. 1D**). Note that there is room for further 165 improvement, as the free energy minima of the CG PMF profiles with Martini 3 166 are shifted relative to the all-atom profiles because the bead sizes 167 representing nitrogen-containing groups are not optimal to reproduce 168 hydrogen bonding distances. In addition, the difference between C-G and G-G 169 base pairs is not as large as in the atomistic case (~20 kJ/mol). However, it is 170 still large enough (~8 kJ/mol) to provide specificity.

The proper balancing of R-, S-, and T-beads in Martini 3 also implies that the mapping choice of an arbitrary molecule to its Martini representation is now better defined. S- and T-beads are not only suited to represent ring-like compounds, but also used for cases involving 3-to-1 and 2-to-1 mapping of linear and branched chemical groups (**Supplementary Notes, section C1**).

176

177 Covering the chemical space with new beads and labels. Together with a 178 thorough revision of the interaction strengths, in Martini 3, we extend the 179 number of chemical bead types and the ability to modify the bead properties 180 depending on the chemical details of the underlying moieties. Each P-, N-, 181 and C-class now has 6 bead types with different degrees of polarity, which 182 enables a more precise definition of different chemical groups by assigning 183 them to certain bead types. Additionally, we introduce a new X-class of beads 184 to model halo-compounds (Supplementary Notes, section A1). In the 185 previous version of Martini, some of the bead types were already sub-186 classified according to their ability to act as hydrogen bond donor, acceptor, or 187 both. This property can be now attributed to all bead types of intermediate or 188 polar nature (N- or P- class). The effective interaction strength between donor 189 and acceptor pairs is increased, whereas donor-donor and acceptor-acceptor 190 pairs are weakened (Supplementary Notes, section A4). For example, 191 Martini 3 correctly reproduces the trends in hydrogen bond-based pairing of nucleobases^{5,20} without the use of special-purpose beads specifically for 192 193 nucleobases (right panel of **Fig. 1D**). Note that chemical groups that can act 194 as both donor and acceptor at the same time are always represented by the 195 pure beads of the P- and N-class in Martini 3.

Next to the fine-tuning based on hydrogen bonding capabilities, we introduce the possibility to change the interactions based on the electronic polarizability. Depending on inductive or conjugate effects caused by chemical functionalization, non-polar molecules can be polarized, i.e., they can acquire an electron-donor (or "enriched", label "e") or electron-acceptor (or "vacancy", label "v") character, which can promote preferential interactions. Polarizable

202 groups in Martini 3 can be distinguished through the label "e/v" which can only 203 be applied to the C- and X-class. A nice example of their application is the 204 strong and specific interaction between electron donor and electron acceptor 205 aromatic rings in aedamers, a class of molecules that have been studied 206 extensively in the context of biomimetic folding and self-assembly^{23,24}. The 207 use of "e/v" allows Martini 3 to capture the preferential interaction between 208 1,5-dialkoxynaphthalene (DAN) and naphthalene diimide (NDI) (left panel of Fig. 2A) experimentally observed via NMR titration²³ and atomistic simulation 209 210 data. Self-assembly of amide-linked tetramers shows preferential formation of 211 alternating stacks of DAN and NDI, which is also measured by NMR and isothermal titration calorimetry investigations²⁴. On top of hydrogen bonding 212 and electron polarization labels, all beads can have their self-interaction fine-213 214 tuned by other sub-labels (as further described in the Supplementary Notes, 215 section A4).

216 Chemical groups carrying monovalent charges +1/-1 are represented in 217 Martini by the class of Q-beads (Supplementary Notes, section A1). The 218 original Martini model only considers monovalent ions, and was solely 219 optimized for regular bead sizes which represented small ions and their first 220 hydration shell. In Martini 3, charged groups can have either R-, S-, or T-size. 221 The tiny size category allows modeling of small, bare ions, enabling 222 applications that involve ion binding where (part of) the hydration shell is lost. 223 This feature is exemplified by the binding of sodium ions (represented by a 224 charged tiny bead) to a buried small cavity localized in the core of the adenosine A_{2A} receptor (**Fig. 2B**). X-ray crystallographic²⁵ and ligand binding 225 assays²⁶ confirm the importance of sodium ions for the structure and for the 226

allosteric modulation of the A_{2A} receptor. Note that an extensive validation of
the lipid models in Martini 3 was performed to allow simulations of
transmembrane and peripheral membrane proteins (see Supplementary
Results, section F1).

231 In addition to the smaller sizes, the Q-class was also expanded to five bead types, following the classical Hofmeister series trend^{27,28} (Supplementary 232 233 Notes, section B3 and Supplementary Results, section F2). At one 234 extreme, the Q5 bead may be used to represent hard monovalent ions with 235 the smallest polarizability, e.g. inorganic ions such as R₂PO₄. At the other 236 end of the Martini Hofmeister series, the Q1 type models polarizable soft monovalent ions, like $N(CH_3)_4^+$, and implicitly includes in the LJ potential ion- π 237 238 interactions. Such differences in behavior of the different Q-bead types are 239 exemplified by MD simulations of the anion transfer between aqueous 240 solutions and organophosphonium-based ionic liquids (Fig. 2C and 241 Supplementary Results, section F2). Harder ions such as Cl⁻ (modeled as 242 TQ5 with -1 charge) tend to stay in the water phase, together with Na⁺ ions (TQ5⁺ bead). In contrast, softer ions like ClO₄⁻ (Q2⁻ bead) can exchange with 243 244 Br (SQ4 bead) or (partially) PF_6 (Q1 bead) from the ionic liquid phase. In the 245 case of the biphasic system using trihexyltetradecylphosphonium bromide 246 ([P₆₆₆₁₄][Br]), direct comparison to experimental data shows good agreement for the anion transfer trends^{28,29}. The new Q-bead types also impact 247 248 biologically relevant systems, as exemplified by preferential cation- π 249 interaction between choline groups (Q1⁺ bead) of phosphatidylcholine lipids 250 and aromatic residues of the Bacillus thuringiensis phosphatidylinositol-251 specific phospholipase C (BtPI-PLC). In the previous version, such specific

interaction between soft ions and aromatic molecules were solely included in
the recently updated polarizable Martini implementation³⁰. However, in Martini
3, the different Q-bead types allow easier (implicit) incorporation of such
interactions without the need for additional partial charges.

256 On top of the new chemical types, all Q-beads can use the hydrogen-bonding 257 labels (called in this case "p/n"). They represent organic charged molecules or 258 fragments, such as R-CH₂-COO⁻ and R-CH₂-NH₃⁺, and also introduce 259 modifications in the Hofmeister trends of the pure Q-beads (Supplementary 260 **Notes, section A4**). Positively charged hydrogen donors ("p" label) interact 261 more strongly with non-polar beads, as expected in cation- π interactions. On 262 the other hand, negatively charged hydrogen acceptors ("n" label), have 263 stronger interactions with neutral polar beads, mimicking the stronger 264 hydrogen-bonds with anions. To complete the ion block, we explicitly include a new D-bead for divalent ions (such as Ca^{2+}), which are typically hard ions. 265

266

267 Improving packing and protein-protein interactions. Another change in 268 philosophy with respect to the previous Martini models is the definition of 269 bonded interactions. Instead of using the center of mass of the mapped 270 chemical groups to define the geometry of the molecule, we now use a size-271 shape concept aimed at preserving the volume of molecules in comparison to 272 all-atom reference structures. This choice and the proper use of Martini 3 273 bead sizes (Supplementary Notes, sections C1 and C2) lead to more 274 realistic molecular packing. As a consequence, the hydration of protein 275 pockets and channels is improved, as illustrated by the Fragaceatoxin C 276 (FraC) nanopore inserted in a lipid bilayer (Fig. 3A). The pore of FraC

remains open over the whole trajectory in Martini 3, as indicated by X-ray
crystallography³¹ and electro-osmotic flow assays³², while in Martini 2 it is
closed.

Another example of accurate packing is the stacking predictions of thiophene derivatives in bulk heterojunction solar cells composed of poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT) and phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM) (**Fig. 3B**). The morphology of these organic solar cells is a determinant for highefficiency devices³³. The scattering profiles computed with Martini 3 show improved agreement with Martini 3 in relation to P3HT lamellar (peak around $q\approx 0.45 \text{ Å}^{-1}$) and stacking ($q\approx 1.65 \text{ Å}^{-1}$) experimental distances^{33,34}.

287 The use of bonds based on molecular volume and the appropriate choice of 288 chemical bead types, sizes, and labels also controls the interaction density of 289 the model, which has an important impact on the strength of collective interactions between molecules¹⁹. In order to test to what extent the changes 290 291 in non-bonded and bonded interactions reduce the over-estimated 292 aggregation of proteins, we performed extensive simulations comprised of 293 solutions of soluble proteins as well as membrane embedded proteins. These 294 systems were simulated under conditions in which proteins do not aggregate 295 and, preferentially, stay as monomers. For soluble proteins (Fig. 3C), 296 gualitative tests were performed with the headpiece domain of chicken villin³⁵, 297 and the modified and mutated cellulose-binding domain from Cellulomonas 298 *fimi* (EXG-CBM), which is an example of a protein completely free of charged 299 side chains that can maintain solubility, stability, and function³⁶. Trends are 300 well-captured in Martini 3, with both proteins mainly staying as monomers in 301 pure water (with only counter-ions to neutralize the system in the case of

villin). The villin headpiece showed salting-in behavior (i.e. less aggregation) under addition of 0.4M of NaCl, which was also observed for certain soluble proteins at low ionic strengths³⁷. On the other hand, EXG-CBM only showed salting-out behavior (i.e. more aggregation), which was expected based on experimental data³⁶. In contrast, both proteins aggregate in Martini 2, forming a single and stable aggregate during the simulation.

Polyleucine (K₂-L₂₆-K₂) was selected to evaluate the aggregation propensity in 308 309 membranes. Experimental evidence with this transmembrane (TM) protein 310 model indicates a preference for the monomeric state in a bilaver environment^{38–40}. Both Martini 2 and 3 show that the hydrophobic mismatch 311 312 between TM length and membrane thickness can play a role in the 313 aggregation, with Martini 3 showing a higher percentage of the monomeric 314 state (Fig. 3D). To quantitatively evaluate the strength of protein-protein 315 interactions in a membrane environment, we also considered the dimerization 316 of four selected transmembrane (TM) helices: the TM domains of the receptor 317 tyrosine kinases EphA1 and ErbB1; the red blood cell protein glycophorin A 318 (GpA); as well as the well-known model peptide WALP23 (left panel of Fig. 319 **3E**). For EphA1 and ErbB1, experimental dimerization free energies in a 320 membrane environment have been estimated using Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET)^{41,42}. For GpA, dimerization free energies range from around -321 15 kJ/mol (in various cell membrane environments)^{43,44} to -31.5 kJ/mol 322 (GALLEX assay in *E. coli* inner membranes)^{45,46} or -50.6 kJ/mol (steric trap in 323 POPC bilayers)⁴⁷. WALP peptides have been characterized thoroughly during 324 the past two decades, including their self-association⁴⁸. For each one of the 325 326 four peptide dimers, we compared experimental dimerization free energies

with the free energies predicted by the Martini 2 and Martini 3 model
predictions. Martini 3 shows not only to be able to capture the correct trends,
but also to quantitatively reproduce the experimental affinities. The binding
mode also becomes improved as highlighted for GpA (right panel of Fig. 3E).
The GpA homodimer structure with Martini 3 closely resembles experimental
results obtained with NMR spectroscopy and crystallography^{49–51}.

In summary, for both soluble and transmembrane proteins, we observed that the Martini 3 models are in much better agreement with experimental data than before. Another advantage of the current Martini 3 protein model is that the default bead type representing the protein backbone in Martini 3 (a regular P2 bead) no longer depends on the secondary structure. In addition, the representation of protein flexibility can now be improved by the use of Gō-like models⁵².

340

341 **DISCUSSION**

342 In this paper we have described the new version of the Martini force field, 343 which shows numerous improvements in relation to the previous version. 344 However, inherent limitations to the process of coarse-graining, related to transferability and representability problems 53-55 are still part of the model. An 345 346 important drawback is the limited structural detail, that is a consequence of 347 representing multiple atoms with isotropic interaction sites. This is most 348 noticeable for the Martini water model, which represents four water molecules 349 with a single LJ site and will certainly not capture any of the higher order 350 structural correlations of real water. The role of explicit water in a CG model

351 such as Martini is mostly to provide a good solvent for polar compounds 352 resulting in realistic partitioning. For applications requiring finer details, structure-based CG models are more suitable^{56,57}. Another fundamental 353 354 limitation is the entropy-enthalpy compensation. The loss of internal degrees 355 of freedom for groups of atoms represented by a CG bead inevitably reduces 356 the entropy of the system. Since the Martini force field is based on 357 reproducing free energies, this requires a concomitant reduction in the 358 enthalpy. As consequence, inaccurate entropy-enthalpy balance affects the 359 temperature dependence of several properties and reduces the transferability 360 to different state points. To probe transferability, we performed temperature 361 dependent calculations for a number of solvent systems as well as lipid 362 membranes (Supplementary Results F). Temperature dependent properties, 363 like the heat expansion coefficient and heat capacity of water and n-octane, 364 are very well captured by Martini 3, but this is not true for the hydrophobic 365 effect, that shows the opposite trend with respect to atomistic models, in line with previous findings⁵⁸. Note that the use of higher-resolution S- or T-366 367 particles does not remedy this problem, as these bead types were 368 parameterized mainly to be compatible with the regular (R-type) beads and 369 should be used primarily to represent parts of the system that cannot be 370 adequately mapped with R-particles. Potential improvements with respect to 371 the temperature transferability of our model could make use of environment 372 dependent potentials⁵⁹ or CG beads with embedded sites, such as the polarizable water models^{60,61}, where incorporation of quadrupole moment 373 374 might be required⁵⁸. Bottom-up CG models that are derived with minimization of the information loss⁵⁴ as parameterization target might also perform better. 375

For a more in depth discussion of these and related issues with respect to the
 Martini coarse-graining philosophy, we refer to previous papers^{62,63}.

378 Keeping these limitations in mind, Martini 3 offers a versatile and easy to use 379 generic force field to simulate molecular processes at a semi-quantitative level 380 of accuracy. In relation to the previous model, the excessive over-estimated aggregation¹⁹ is substantially reduced. We expect that Martini 3 will allow for 381 382 more realistic predictions of protein interactions, as well as more accurate 383 simulations of molecular systems in general. The increased number of bead 384 types and interaction levels makes the model even more versatile, covering a 385 larger part of chemical space with appropriate building blocks. Based on this 386 new foundation, further optimizations for different classes of molecules are 387 currently ongoing, including the use of Go-potentials to alleviate limitations of 388 protein conformational flexibility, a re-optimization of the bonded potentials of 389 lipids and other biomolecular classes, as well as a compatible polarizable 390 water model for applications requiring more realistic screening of electrostatic 391 interactions. Finally, we foresee new application horizons for the Martini model in the field of materials science^{64,65} and high-throughput drug design⁶⁶. 392

393

394 ONLINE CONTENT

Methods, including statements of data and code availability, supplementary information, and any other associated content and references, are available in the online version of the paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/sXXXX-XXX-XXX-X.

399

400 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

401 We thank all members of the S.J.M. group and also external users for testing 402 Martini 3 in its open-beta version. In particular, we would like to thank C.F.E. 403 Schroer, P.W.J.M. Frederix, W. Pezeshkian, M.N. Melo, H.I. Ingólfsson, M. Tsanai, M. König, P. A. Vainikka, T. Zijp, L. Gaifas, J.H. van der Woude, M. 404 405 Espinoza Cangahuala, M. Scharte, J. Cruiming, L.M. van der Sleen, V. 406 Verduijn, A.H. Beck Frederiksen, B. Schiøtt, M. Sikora, P. Schmalhorst, K. 407 Pluhackova, C. Arnarez, C.A. López, E. Jefferys, and M.S.P. Sansom for their 408 preliminary tests with a lot of different systems including aedamers, sugars, 409 amino acids, deep eutectic solvents, lipids, peptides, and proteins. We also 410 thank the Center for Information Technology of the University of Groningen for 411 providing access to the Peregrine high-performance computing cluster. We 412 acknowledge the National Computing Facilities Foundation (NCF) of The 413 Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO), CSC – IT Center for 414 Science Ltd (Espoo, Finland), and CINES (France) for providing computing 415 time. Work in the S.J.M. group was supported by an ERC Advanced Grant 416 "COMP-MICR-CROW-MEM". R.A. thanks The Netherlands Organisation for 417 Scientific Research NWO (Graduate Programme Advanced Materials, No. 418 022.005.006) for financial support. L.M. acknowledges the Institut National de 419 la Santé et de la Recherche Medicale (INSERM) and the Agence Nationale 420 de la Recherche (ANR) for funding (grant no. ANR-17-CE11-0003) and 421 GENCI-CINES for computing time (grant no. A0060710138). S.T. 422 acknowledges the support from the European Commission via a Marie 423 Skłodowska-Curie Actions individual fellowship (MicroMod-PSII, grant 424 agreement 748895). M.J. thanks the Emil Aaltonen foundation for financial

425 support. I.V. thanks the Academy of Finland (Center of Excellence program 426 (grant no. 307415)), Sigrid Juselius Foundation, the Helsinki Institute of Life 427 Science fellow program, and the HFSP (research grant Ref.-No: 428 RGP0059/2019). R.B. and J.D. were supported by the intramural research 429 program of the NIDDK, NIH. Their work utilized the computational resources 430 of the NIH HPC Biowulf cluster. (http://hpc.nih.gov). H.M.-S. acknowledges 431 the Czech Science Foundation (19-19561S). J.B. acknowledges funding from 432 the TOP grant of S.J.M. (NWO) and the EPSRC program grant 433 EP/P021123/1. Work in D.P.T.'s group is supported by the Natural Sciences 434 and Engineering Research Council (Canada) and Compute Canada, funded 435 by the Canada Foundation for Innovation. D.P.T. acknowledges further 436 support from the Canada Research Chairs program.

437

438 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

439 P.C.T.S. and S.J.M. conceived the project with suggestions from R.A., A.H.V., 440 J.B., and S.T.; P.C.T.S. generated and optimized all bead parameters; 441 P.C.T.S., R.A., and J.B. generated the topology and bonded parameters of all 442 CG models with suggestions from S.T. and I.F.; P.C.T.S., R.A., A.H.V., and 443 F.G. performed the simulations and analysis involving transfer free energies, 444 solvent and polymer properties; P.C.T.S., S.T., J.B., and J.M. performed the 445 simulations and analysis involving lipid bilayers; P.C.T.S., I.F., and R.A. 446 performed the simulations and analysis involving nucleobases; P.C.T.S., I.P., 447 and A.H.V. generated the models, performed the simulations and analysis 448 involving aedamers; P.C.T.S., and F.G. generated the models, performed the 449 simulations and analysis involving ionic liquids and ionic water solutions; R.A.

450 generated the models, performed the simulations and analysis involving bulk 451 heterojunctions, with suggestions from L.M. regarding the fullerene model; 452 P.C.T.S., J.B., H.A., R.A., B.M.H.B., S.T., J.M., V.N., X.P., M.J., H.M.K., J.D., 453 V.C., and H.M.-S. performed the simulations and analysis involving amino 454 acids, peptides and proteins; J.B., T.W., P.K., and S.T. developed some tools 455 and scripts used to generate the CG models and to run the MD simulations; 456 L.M., R.B., P.T., N.R., I.V., A.H.V., and S.J.M. provided guidance and 457 supervision in the studies performed by their respective group members and 458 collaborators; P.C.T.S. and S.J.M. wrote the main manuscript, with 459 contributions from all the authors; P.C.T.S. prepared the figures with 460 contributions from R.A., B.M.H.B., H.M.K., and A.H.V.; P.C.T.S. wrote the 461 Methods with contributions from all the authors. P.C.T.S. wrote the 462 Supplementary Information, with contributions from all the authors. All the 463 authors revised and approved the final version of the manuscript, Online 464 Methods, and Supplementary Information.

465

466 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

467 The authors declare no competing interests.

468

469**REFERENCES**

470 1. Bottaro, S. & Lindorff-Larsen, K. Biophysical experiments and biomolecular
471 simulations: A perfect match? *Science* **361**, 355–360 (2018).

- 472 2. Ingólfsson, H. I. et al. The power of coarse graining in biomolecular
- 473 simulations. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci. 4, 225–248 (2014).

- 474 3. Marrink, S. J., De Vries, A. H. & Mark, A. E. Coarse Grained Model for
- 475 Semiquantitative Lipid Simulations. J. Phys. Chem. B 108, 750–760 (2004).
- 476 4. Marrink, S. J., Risselada, H. J., Yefimov, S., Tieleman, D. P. & de Vries, A.
- 477 H. The MARTINI force field: coarse grained model for biomolecular 478 simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **111**, 7812–7824 (2007).
- 479 5. Uusitalo, J. J., Ingólfsson, H. I., Akhshi, P., Tieleman, D. P. & Marrink, S. J.
- 480 Martini Coarse-Grained Force Field: Extension to DNA. J. Chem. Theory
- 481 *Comput.* **11**, 3932–3945 (2015).
- 482 6. Abellón-Ruiz, J. *et al.* Structural basis for maintenance of bacterial outer
 483 membrane lipid asymmetry. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2, 1616–1623 (2017).
- 484 7. Yen, H. Y. *et al.* PtdIns(4,5)P2 stabilizes active states of GPCRs and
 485 enhances selectivity of G-protein coupling. *Nature* **559**, 423–427 (2018).
- 486 8. Van Eerden, F. J., Melo, M. N., Frederix, P. W. J. M., Periole, X. & Marrink,
- 487 S. J. Exchange pathways of plastoquinone and plastoquinol in the 488 photosystem II complex. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15214 (2017).
- 489 9. Vögele, M., Köfinger, J. & Hummer, G. Hydrodynamics of Diffusion in Lipid
- 490 Membrane Simulations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, (2018).
- 491 10. Agostino, M. D., Risselada, H. J., Lürick, A., Ungermann, C. & Mayer, A. A
- tethering complex drives the terminal stage of SNARE-dependent membrane
- 493 fusion. *Nature* **551**, 634–638 (2017).
- 494 11. Jeena, M. T. et al. Mitochondria localization induced self-assembly of
- 495 peptide amphiphiles for cellular dysfunction. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 26 (2017).
- 496 12. Jiang, Z. et al. Subnanometre ligand-shell asymmetry leads to Janus-like
- 497 nanoparticle membranes. *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 912–917 (2015).

- 498 13. Maingi, V. *et al.* Stability and dynamics of membrane-spanning DNA
 499 nanopores. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 14784 (2017).
- 500 14. Frederix, P. W. J. M. *et al.* Exploring the sequence space for (tri-)peptide
 501 self-assembly to design and discover new hydrogels. *Nat. Chem.* **7**, 30–37
 502 (2015).
- 503 15. Bochicchio, D., Salvalaglio, M. & Pavan, G. M. Into the dynamics of a
 504 supramolecular polymer at submolecular resolution. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 147
 505 (2017).
- 506 16. Stark, A. C., Andrews, C. T. & Elcock, A. H. Toward optimized potential
 507 functions for protein-protein interactions in aqueous solutions: osmotic second
 508 virial coefficient calculations using the MARTINI coarse-grained force field. *J.*509 *Chem. Theory Comput.* 9, 4176–4185 (2013).
- 510 17. Javanainen, M., Martinez-Seara, H. & Vattulainen, I. Excessive
 511 aggregation of membrane proteins in the Martini model. *PLoS One* **12**,
 512 e0187936 (2017).
- 513 18. Schmalhorst, P. S., Deluweit, F., Scherrers, R., Heisenberg, C.-P. & 514 Sikora, M. Overcoming the Limitations of the MARTINI Force Field in 515 Simulations of Polysaccharides. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **13**, 5039–5053 516 (2017).
- 517 19. Alessandri, R. *et al.* Pitfalls of the Martini Model. *J. Chem. Theory* 518 *Comput.* **15**, 5448–5460 (2019).
- 20. Uusitalo, J. J., Ingólfsson, H. I., Marrink, S. J. & Faustino, I. Martini
 Coarse-Grained Force Field: Extension to RNA. *Biophys. J.* 113, 246–256
 (2017).

- 522 21. Ben-Naim, A. *Molecular theory of solutions*. (Oxford University Press,523 2006).
- 524 22. Ploetz, E. A., Bentenitis, N. & Smith, P. E. Kirkwood-Buff integrals for ideal
 525 solutions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **132**, 164501 (2010).
- 23. Zych, A. J. & Iverson, B. L. Synthesis and Conformational
 Characterization of Tethered, Self-Complexing 1,5Dialkoxynaphthalene/1,4,5,8-Naphthalenetetracarboxylic Diimide Systems. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **122**, 8898–8909 (2000).
- 530 24. Gabriel, G. J. & Iverson, B. L. Aromatic oligomers that form hetero
- 531 duplexes in aqueous solution. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **124**, 15174–15175 (2002).
- 532 25. Liu, W. *et al.* Structural basis for allosteric regulation of GPCRs by sodium
- 533 ions. *Science* **337**, 232–236 (2012).
- 534 26. Gao, Z. G. & Ijzerman, A. P. Allosteric modulation of A(2A) adenosine
 535 receptors by amiloride analogues and sodium ions. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 60,
 536 669–676 (2000).
- 537 27. Okur, H. I. et al. Beyond the Hofmeister Series: Ion-Specific Effects on
- 538 Proteins and Their Biological Functions. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **121**, 1997–2014
 539 (2017).
- 28. Dupont, D., Depuydt, D. & Binnemans, K. Overview of the Effect of Salts
 on Biphasic Ionic Liquid/Water Solvent Extraction Systems: Anion Exchange,
 Mutual Solubility, and Thermomorphic Properties. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 119,
 6747–6757 (2015).
- 544 29. Naert, P., Rabaey, K. & Stevens, C. V. Ionic liquid ion exchange: 545 Exclusion from strong interactions condemns cations to the most weakly

- interacting anions and dictates reaction equilibrium. *Green Chem.* 20, 4277–
 4286 (2018).
- 30. Khan, H. M. *et al.* Capturing Choline-Aromatics Cation-πInteractions in the
 MARTINI Force Field. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **16**, 2550–2560 (2020).
- 550 31. Tanaka, K., Caaveiro, J. M. M., Morante, K., González-Manãs, J. M. &
- 551 Tsumoto, K. Structural basis for self-assembly of a cytolytic pore lined by 552 protein and lipid. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6337 (2015).
- 553 32. Huang, G., Willems, K., Soskine, M., Wloka, C. & Maglia, G. Electro-554 osmotic capture and ionic discrimination of peptide and protein biomarkers 555 with FraC nanopores. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 935 (2017).
- 556 33. Alessandri, R., Uusitalo, J. J., De Vries, A. H., Havenith, R. W. A. &
- 557 Marrink, S. J. Bulk Heterojunction Morphologies with Atomistic Resolution
- from Coarse-Grain Solvent Evaporation Simulations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139,
 3697–3705 (2017).
- 560 34. Chiu, M. Y., Jeng, U. S., Su, C. H., Liang, K. S. & Wei, K. H. Simultaneous
- use of small- and wide-angle X-ray techniques to analyze nanometerscale
 phase separation in polymer heterojunction solar cells. *Adv. Mater.* 20, 2573–
 2578 (2008).
- 35. Petrov, D. & Zagrovic, B. Are Current Atomistic Force Fields Accurate
 Enough to Study Proteins in Crowded Environments? *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 10,
 e1003638 (2014).
- 36. Højgaard, C. *et al.* A Soluble, Folded Protein without Charged Amino Acid
 Residues. *Biochemistry* 55, 3949–3956 (2016).

- 569 37. Ruckenstein, E. & Shulgin, I. L. Effect of salts and organic additives on the
- 570 solubility of proteins in aqueous solutions. Advances in Colloid and Interface
- 571 Science **123–126**, 97–103 (2006).
- 572 38. Zhou, F. X., Cocco, M. J., Russ, W. P., Brunger, A. T. & Engelman, D. M.
- 573 Interhelical hydrogen bonding drives strong interactions in membrane 574 proteins. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **7**, 154–160 (2000).
- 575 39. Zhou, F. X., Merianos, H. J., Brunger, A. T. & Engelman, D. M. Polar
- 576 residues drive association of polyleucine transmembrane helices. Proc. Natl.
- 577 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98, 2250–2255 (2001).
- 40. Grau, B. *et al.* The role of hydrophobic matching on transmembrane helix
- 579 packing in cells. *Cell Stress* **1**, 90–106 (2017).
- 41. Chen, L., Merzlyakov, M., Cohen, T., Shai, Y. & Hristova, K. Energetics of
- 581 ErbB1 transmembrane domain dimerization in lipid bilayers. *Biophys. J.* 96,
 582 4622–4630 (2009).
- 583 42. Artemenko, E. O., Egorova, N. S., Arseniev, A. S. & Feofanov, A. V.
- 584 Transmembrane domain of EphA1 receptor forms dimers in membrane-like 585 environment. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1778**, 2361–7 (2008).
- 43. Sarabipour, S. & Hristova, K. Glycophorin A transmembrane domain
 dimerization in plasma membrane vesicles derived from CHO, HEK 293T, and
- 588 A431 cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr. **1828**, 1829–1833 (2013).
- 589 44. Chen, L., Novicky, L., Merzlyakov, M., Hristov, T. & Hristova, K.
- 590 Measuring the Energetics of Membrane Protein Dimerization in Mammalian
- 591 Membranes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **132**, 3628–3635 (2010).

- 45. Nash, A., Notman, R. & Dixon, A. M. De novo design of transmembrane
- 593 helix-helix interactions and measurement of stability in a biological membrane.
- 594 Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr. **1848**, 1248–1257 (2015).
- 595 46. Finger, C. *et al.* The Stability of Transmembrane Helix Interactions 596 Measured in a Biological Membrane. *J. Mol. Biol.* **358**, 1221–1228 (2006).
- 597 47. Hong, H., Blois, T. M., Cao, Z. & Bowie, J. U. Method to measure strong
- 598 protein-protein interactions in lipid bilayers using a steric trap. Proc. Natl.
- 599 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **107**, 19802–19807 (2010).
- 48. Sparr, E. *et al.* Self-association of transmembrane α-helices in model membranes: Importance of helix orientation and role of hydrophobic
- 602 mismatch. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 39324–39331 (2005).
- 49. MacKenzie, K. R., Prestegard, J. H. & Engelman, D. M. Transmembrane
- helix dimer: Structure and implications. *Science (80-.).* **276**, 131–133 (1997).
- 50. Trenker, R., Call, M. E. & Call, M. J. Crystal Structure of the Glycophorin A
- Transmembrane Dimer in Lipidic Cubic Phase. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 137,
- 607 **15676–15679 (2015)**.
- 51. Domański, J., Sansom, M. S. P., Stansfeld, P. J. & Best, R. B. Balancing
- 609 Force Field Protein-Lipid Interactions To Capture Transmembrane Helix-
- 610 Helix Association. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 14, 1706–1715 (2018).
- 52. Souza, P. C. T., Thallmair, S., Marrink, S. J. & Mera-Adasme, R. An
 Allosteric Pathway in Copper, Zinc Superoxide Dismutase Unravels the
 Molecular Mechanism of the G93A Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis-Linked
 Mutation. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **10**, 7740–7744 (2019).
- 53. Brini, E. *et al.* Systematic coarse-graining methods for soft matter
 simulations-a review. *Soft Matter* 9, 2108–2119 (2013).

- 54. Foley, T. T., Shell, M. S. & Noid, W. G. The impact of resolution upon
 entropy and information in coarse-grained models. *J. Chem. Phys.* 143,
 243104 (2015).
- 55. Wagner, J. W., Dama, J. F., Durumeric, A. E. P. & Voth, G. A. On the
- 621 representability problem and the physical meaning of coarse-grained models.
- 622 J. Chem. Phys. 145, 044108 (2016).
- 56. Wörner, S. J., Bereau, T., Kremer, K. & Rudzinski, J. F. Direct route to reproducing pair distribution functions with coarse-grained models via transformed atomistic cross correlations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **151**, 244110 (2019).
- 57. Noid, W. G., Chu, J. W., Ayton, G. S. & Voth, G. A. Multiscale coarse-
- graining and structural correlations: Connections to liquid-state theory. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **111**, 4116–4127 (2007).
- 58. Wu, Z., Cui, Q. & Yethiraj, A. Driving force for the association of hydrophobic peptides: The importance of electrostatic interactions in coarsegrained water models. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2**, 1794–1798 (2011).
- 59. Jin, J., Yu, A. & Voth, G. A. Temperature and Phase Transferable Bottom-
- 633 up Coarse-Grained Models. J. Chem. Theory Comput. (2020).
- 634 doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.0c00832
- 635 60. Yesylevskyy, S. O., Schäfer, L. V, Sengupta, D. & Marrink, S. J.
 636 Polarizable water model for the coarse-grained MARTINI force field. *PLoS*637 *Comput. Biol.* 6, e1000810 (2010).
- 638 61. Michalowsky, J., Schäfer, L. V., Holm, C. & Smiatek, J. A refined
- 639 polarizable water model for the coarse-grained MARTINI force field with long-
- range electrostatic interactions. J. Chem. Phys. **146**, 054501 (2017).

- 641 62. Marrink, S. J. & Tieleman, D. P. Perspective on the Martini model. *Chem.*642 *Soc. Rev.* 42, 6801–22 (2013).
- 643 63. Bruininks, B. M. H., Souza, P. C. T. & Marrink, S. J. A Practical View of
- the Martini Force Field. in *Methods in Molecular Biology* **2022**, 105–127
- 645 (Humana Press Inc., 2019).
- 646 64. Liu, J. et al. Enhancing Molecular n-Type Doping of Donor-Acceptor
- 647 Copolymers by Tailoring Side Chains. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, 1704630 (2018).
- 648 65. Vazquez-Salazar, L. I., Selle, M., de Vries, A., Marrink, S. J. & Souza, P.
- 649 C. T. Martini coarse-grained models of imidazolium-based ionic liquids: from
- 650 nanostructural organization to liquid-liquid extraction. Green Chem. 22, 7376-
- 651 **7386 (2020)**.
- 652 66. Souza, P. C. T. et al. Protein-ligand binding with the coarse-grained
- 653 Martini model. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1–11 (2020).

654 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

655

656 Figure 1: Rebalancing R-, S-, and T-beads – (A) Snapshots of simulation boxes containing 657 mixtures of dodecane and water in three resolutions. (**B**) Radial distribution functions (g_{ij}) for 658 all bead combinations in the multi-resolution mixture of water. (C) Water-oil transfer free 659 energies (ΔG) computed for around 260 data points using Martini 3. (D) Hydrogen bonding 660 potential of mean force (PMF) between nucleobases. On the left, comparison between Martini 661 2 and 3 for the cytosine-guanine base pair. On the right, comparison of the cytosine-guanine 662 (C-G) and guanine-guanine (G-G) base pairs using Martini 3. In both plots, CHARMM and AMBER atomistic data are also reported⁵ for comparison. Errors are estimated with 663 664 bootstrapping and displayed as transparent shades. In the case of Martini, errors are smaller 665 than 0.1 kJ/mol, and hence are not visible in the graphs.

666

667 Figure 2: New chemical bead types, sub-labels, and applications – (A) Self-assembly of 668 aedamers. The left panel shows the dimerization free energies (ΔG_{dim}) of pegylated 669 monomers of 1,5-dialkoxynaphthalene (DAN) and naphthalene diimide (NDI). Errors are 670 estimated with bootstrapping. The right panel shows the self-assembled duplex dimer formed 671 by amide-linked tetramers of NDI (green) and DAN (orange). (B) As indicated by X-ray 672 crystallography²⁵, sodium ions (charged TQ5 bead) can bind to a buried small cavity in the 673 core of the adenosine A_{2A} receptor. (C) Charged Q-beads in Martini 3 follow the classical 674 Hofmeister series, as exemplified by the anion transfer between salt aqueous solutions and 675 organophosphonium-based ionic liquids (right panel). Errors in the average anion transfer 676 percentage are estimated by block averaging. (D) Preferential cation- π interaction between 677 choline groups (Q1 bead) of phosphatidylcholine lipids and aromatic residues of the Bacillus 678 thuringiensis phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (BtPI-PLC). The depth of insertion 679 of each amino acid of BtPI-PLC is in very good agreement with the insertion obtained from an 680 atomistic MD simulation³⁰.

681

682 Figure 3: Improving packing, cavities and reducing protein stickiness - (A) Hydration of 683 Fragaceatoxin C (FraC) nanopore inserted in a lipid bilayer. (B) Scattering profiles and a 684 Martini 3 snapshot of a bulk heterojunction morphology of poly(3-hexyl-thiophene) (P3HT, in 685 red) and phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM, in blue) formed after solvent 686 evaporation and annealing simulations. I(q) corresponds to scattering intensity and q is the 687 reciprocal space vector. (C) Aggregation levels of the soluble proteins villin headpiece and 688 the modified EXG-CBM in different salt concentrations. (D) Aggregation levels of polyleucine 689 helices in POPC and DLPC bilayers. Errors in the average monomer percentage of (C) and 690 (D) are estimated by block averaging. (E) Dimerization of transmembrane helices. Left panel 691 shows a comparison between experimental and calculated values for the mole fraction 692 standard Gibbs free energy of dimerization (ΔG_{ass}^X) of the following transmembrane protein 693 domains: ErbB1, EphA1, WALP23 and GpA. Simulation errors are estimated with 694 bootstrapping while experimental data was obtained in the literature⁴¹⁻⁴⁸. In the case of GpA, 695 error was estimated by the mean absolute error of four independent experimental data⁴³⁻⁴⁷. A 696 comparison between experimental and simulated binding modes of GpA is highlighted in the 697 right panel. The experimental structure was taken from solution NMR in micelles (PDB code 698 AFO)⁴⁹. Near identical experimental structures were obtained by ssNMR in nanodiscs and X-699 ray crystallography in a lipid cubic phase^{49–51}.

700

702 ONLINE METHODS

703

704 1) CG models

705 CG mappings of small molecules were initially inspired by the standard Martini 706 2 models, when they were available. Due to the well-balanced properties of 707 the regular (R), small (S), and tiny (T) beads in Martini 3, the CG models now 708 follow more specific rules for mapping. For instance, over-representing 3-to-1 709 or 2-to-1 fragments by the usage of R-beads is always avoided. Aromatic 710 rings without substituents are composed of T-beads and, in case of 711 substituents, S-beads are used. Aliphatic rings without substituents are 712 usually based on S-beads, which better reproduce their molecular shape. 713 More technical details about the mapping rules and bead types used are given in the Supplementary Notes, sections C1 and C3. As in the previous 714 version of Martini^{5,20,67-69}, bonded parameters are based on atomistic 715 716 simulations or high-resolution experimental data. The main difference in 717 Martini 3 lies in the protocol to derive bond lengths, which are now based on 718 matching overall volume and shape of the molecules (Supplementary Notes, 719 section C2). In this spirit, the bonded parameters of the protein models were also slightly modified from the standard Martini 2.2 values^{68,70}, including the 720 721 addition of side chain corrections⁷¹, based on experimental reference 722 structures. Backbone bead types do not depend on the secondary structure 723 anymore, but are now represented by P2 beads, except for proline (SP1a), 724 alanine (SP2, with an additional bead for the side chain) and glycine (SP1). Adapted versions of Gō-like models⁷² or Elastic Networks⁷³ were used to 725 726 maintain the tertiary protein structure. All CG protein models were constructed 727 using Martinize2, described in Supplementary Codes, section H1. Lipid

mapping was inspired by the previous Martini model^{74–77}, but now following the Martini 3 rules for mapping and also with adaptations in the bonded parameters inspired by the "extensible model" of Carpenter *et al.*⁷⁸.

731

732 2) General setup for CG MD simulations and Analysis

733 Settings for the CG simulations followed, in general, the "new" Martini set of simulation parameters⁷⁹ using the leap-frog algorithm⁸⁰ for integrating the 734 equations of motion. The Verlet neighbor search algorithm⁸¹ is used to update 735 the neighbor list every 20 steps with a buffer tolerance of 0.005 kJ \cdot mol⁻¹ \cdot ps⁻¹. 736 737 For the Lennard-Jones terms, we used a cutoff scheme with a value of 1.1 nm and the Verlet cutoff scheme⁸² for the potential-shift. Long range electrostatic 738 interactions were treated with reaction field⁸³ or Particle Mesh Ewald (PME)⁸⁴, 739 740 with relative permittivity set to ϵ_r =15 and a cutoff value of 1.1 nm. Reaction 741 field was used for most of the systems, except the ones explicitly mentioning 742 PME. Periodic boundary conditions were used in all three dimensions. For the production simulations, the velocity rescaling thermostat⁸⁵ (coupling time 743 constant of 1.0 ps) and the Parrinello-Rahman barostat⁸⁶ (coupling time 744 745 constant of 12.0 ps) were employed to maintain temperature and pressure, 746 respectively. Except for equilibration runs, a time step of 20 fs was used for all 747 systems. CG simulation settings are available as input files for GROMACS on 748 the Martini portal http://cgmartini.nl. GROMACS 2016.x and 2018.x were used to run all the MD simulations^{87,88}. For automated running and managing 749 750 the Martini 3 simulations, we provide an updated version of *Martinate*^{89,90}, 751 described in Supplementary Codes, section H2. All the analysis were performed using gmx analysis tools (GROMACS 2016 and 2018)^{87,88}, VMD 752

1.9.4a12⁹¹, xmgrace (5.1.25) and MDAnalysis⁹². The graphs were plotted
using Excel 2016, xmgrace (5.1.25) and gnuplot (5.2). Figures were compiled
using VMD 1.9.4a12 and Inkscape 1.1.

756

757 3) Parameter calibration, tests and validation

758 In order to parametrize the LJ parameters of single beads and also test the 759 Martini 3 CG models, many molecular systems and methods were used in this 760 work. The overall iterative approach was not based in rigorous separation of 761 calibration and validation groups. As Martini is based on pair interactions, it is 762 hard to find simple systems that cover enough points in the interaction matrix 763 for all bead size combinations. So, complex systems are not only used for 764 validation but can be part of the calibration. The tests performed were 765 separated in "tiers", which represent systems with different level of complexity. 766 In "tier 0", isolate beads and simple-molecules are mainly used for calibration 767 of LJ parameters, with balance of different bead sizes and thermodynamics 768 data (e.g. liquid-liquid partitioning and miscibility) used as main targets. In the 769 intermediate "tier 1", bilayer properties are checked, together with qualitative 770 tests, applied to systems like soluble and transmembrane proteins. These 771 qualitative tests are designed as "yes-or-no" questions to evaluate the overall 772 quality of the force field. At the same time, some points in the interaction 773 matrix were also tested and fine-tuned here. In the final "tier 2", quantitative 774 tests involving complex systems are performed, including comparisons with 775 experimental or atomistic simulation data. Here most of the system are 776 considered validation. For a complete overview of the parametrization 777 see the Supplementary Notes, strategy used, section Β. The

Supplementary Notes, section D provide details of the specific systems and
methods used in the tests performed to parametrize and validate the new
Martini 3 LJ parameters. Further information on research design is available in
the Life Sciences Reporting Summary linked to this article.

782

783 DATA AVAILABILITY

Force-field parameters and procedures (e.g. tutorials) are publicly available at <u>http://cgmartini.nl</u>. Simulation Data (e.g. trajectories) supporting the results of this paper are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

788

789 CODE AVAILABILITY

790 Martinize2 (for which the manuscript is in preparation) and Martinate codes

- vised in this work are publicly available at <u>https://github.com/marrink-lab/</u>. For
- a more detailed information, see **Supplementary Codes**, section H.

793

794

796 **REFERENCES**

- 797 67. López, C. A. et al. Martini Coarse-Grained Force Field: Extension to
- 798 Carbohydrates. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 5, 3195–3210 (2009).
- 68. Monticelli, L. *et al.* The MARTINI Coarse-Grained Force Field: Extension
 to Proteins. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 4, 819–834 (2008).
- 801 69. Grunewald, F., Rossi, G., de Vries, A. H., Marrink, S. J. & Monticelli, L.
- Transferable MARTINI Model of Poly(ethylene Oxide). J. Phys. Chem. B 122,
- 803 7436–7449 (2018).
- 70. de Jong, D. H. et al. Improved Parameters for the Martini Coarse-Grained
- 805 Protein Force Field. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 9, 687–97 (2013).
- 806 71. Herzog, F. A., Braun, L., Schoen, I. & Vogel, V. Improved Side Chain
- 807 Dynamics in MARTINI Simulations of Protein–Lipid Interfaces. *J. Chem.*
- 808 Theory Comput. **12**, 2446–2458 (2016).
- 809 72. Poma, A. B., Cieplak, M. & Theodorakis, P. E. Combining the MARTINI
- and Structure-Based Coarse-Grained Approaches for the Molecular Dynamics
- 811 Studies of Conformational Transitions in Proteins. J. Chem. Theory Comput.
- 812 **13**, 1366–1374 (2017).
- 73. Periole, X., Cavalli, M., Marrink, S.-J. & Ceruso, M. A. Combining an
 Elastic Network With a Coarse-Grained Molecular Force Field: Structure,
 Dynamics, and Intermolecular Recognition. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 5,
 2531–2543 (2009).
- 74. Marrink, S. J., Risselada, H. J., Yefimov, S., Tieleman, D. P. & de Vries,
- A. H. The MARTINI force field: coarse grained model for biomolecular simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **111**, 7812–7824 (2007).

- 75. Wassenaar, T. A., Ingólfsson, H. I., Böckmann, R. A., Tieleman, D. P. &
- 821 Marrink, S. J. Computational Lipidomics with insane: A Versatile Tool for
- Generating Custom Membranes for Molecular Simulations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 11, 2144–2155 (2015).
- 76. Melo, M. N., Ingólfsson, H. I. & Marrink, S. J. Parameters for Martini
 sterols and hopanoids based on a virtual-site description. *J. Chem. Phys.* 143,
 243152 (2015).
- 77. López, C. A., Sovova, Z., van Eerden, F. J., de Vries, A. H. & Marrink, S.
- J. Martini Force Field Parameters for Glycolipids. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 9,
 1694–1708 (2013).
- 830 78. Carpenter, T. S. *et al.* Capturing Phase Behavior of Ternary Lipid Mixtures
- with a Refined Martini Coarse-Grained Force Field. J. Chem. Theory Comput.
 14, 6050–6062 (2018).
- 79. de Jong, D. H., Baoukina, S., Ingólfsson, H. I. & Marrink, S. J. Martini
 straight: Boosting performance using a shorter cutoff and GPUs. *Comput.*
- 835 Phys. Commun. **199**, 1–7 (2016).
- 836 80. Hockney, R. W., Goel, S. P. & Eastwood, J. W. Quiet high-resolution
- computer models of a plasma. *J. Comput. Phys.* **14**, 148–158 (1974).
- 838 81. Páll, S. & Hess, B. A flexible algorithm for calculating pair interactions on
- 839 SIMD architectures. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**, 2641–2650 (2013).
- 840 82. Verlet, L. Computer 'experiments' on classical fluids. I. Thermodynamical
- properties of Lennard-Jones molecules. *Phys. Rev.* **159**, 98–103 (1967).
- 842 83. Tironi, I. G., Sperb, R., Smith, P. E. & Van Gunsteren, W. F. A generalized
- reaction field method for molecular dynamics simulations. J. Chem. Phys.
- 844 **102**, 5451–5459 (1995).

- 845 84. Essmann, U. *et al.* A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *J. Chem. Phys.*846 **103**, 8577–8593 (1995).
- 847 85. Bussi, G., Donadio, D. & Parrinello, M. Canonical sampling through 848 velocity rescaling. *J. Chem. Phys.* **126**, 014101 (2007).
- 849 86. Parrinello, M. & Rahman, A. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A
- new molecular dynamics method. J. Appl. Phys. 52, 7182–7190 (1981).
- 851 87. Abraham, M. J. et al. GROMACS: High performance molecular
- simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to supercomputers.
- 853 SoftwareX 1–2, 19–25 (2015).
- 854 88. Van Der Spoel, D. et al. GROMACS: Fast, flexible, and free. J. Comput.
- 855 *Chem.* **26**, 1701–1718 (2005).
- 856 89. Wassenaar, T. A., Ingólfsson, H. I., Prieß, M., Marrink, S. J. & Schäfer, L.
- 857 V. Mixing MARTINI: Electrostatic coupling in hybrid atomistic-coarse-grained
- biomolecular simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **117**, 3516–3530 (2013).
- 90. Wassenaar, T. A. *et al.* High-Throughput Simulations of Dimer and Trimer
- 860 Assembly of Membrane Proteins. The DAFT Approach. J. Chem. Theory
- 861 *Comput.* **11**, 2278–91 (2015).
- 91. Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. and Schulten, K. VMD Visual Molecular
 Dynamics. *J. Molec. Graph.* 14, 33–38 (1996).
- 92. Gowers, R. J. et al. MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis
- of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. In Proc. 15th Python Sci. Conference 98–
- 866 105 (2016).







NMR experiment