

# An integrated toolbox to profile macrophage immunometabolism

Sanne G.S. Verberk, Kyra de Goede, Friederike Gorki, Xanthe A.M.H. van Dierendonck, Rafael J Argüello, Jan van den Bossche

## ▶ To cite this version:

Sanne G.S. Verberk, Kyra de Goede, Friederike Gorki, Xanthe A.M.H. van Dierendonck, Rafael J Argüello, et al.. An integrated toolbox to profile macrophage immunometabolism. Cell Reports Methods, 2022, 2 (4), pp.100192. 10.1016/j.crmeth.2022.100192. hal-03867132

## HAL Id: hal-03867132 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-03867132

Submitted on 23 Nov 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## 1 An integrated toolbox to profile (macrophage) immunometabolism

- 2 Sanne G. S. Verberk<sup>1,4</sup>, Kyra E. de Goede<sup>1,4</sup>, Friederike S. Gorki<sup>1,2</sup>, Xanthe A.M.H. van
- 3 Dierendonck<sup>1</sup>, Rafael J. Argüello<sup>3</sup>, Jan Van den Bossche<sup>1,\*</sup>
- 4 <sup>1</sup> Department of Molecular Cell Biology and Immunology, Amsterdam Cardiovascular
- 5 Sciences, Amsterdam Gastroenterology Endocrinology Metabolism, Amsterdam Institute for
- 6 Infection and Immunity, Cancer Centre Amsterdam, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit
- 7 Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- 8 <sup>2</sup> Institute of Innate Immunity, University Hospital Bonn, University of Bonn, Bonn 53127,
- 9 Germany
- 10 <sup>3</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, INSERM, CIML, Centre d'Immunologie de Marseille-Luminy,
- 11 Marseille, France
- 12 <sup>4</sup> These authors contributed equally
- 13 \*Lead contact: <u>j.vandenbossche@amsterdamumc.nl</u>

## 15 **Summary**

14

- 16 Macrophages are plastic immune cells that can adopt several activation states. Fundamental
- 17 to these functional activation states is the regulation of cellular metabolic processes. Especially
- in mice, the metabolic alterations underlying pro-inflammatory or homeostatic phenotypes
- 19 have been assessed thoroughly using various techniques. However, researchers new to the
- 20 field may encounter ambiguity in choosing which combination of techniques is best suited to
- 21 profile immunometabolism.
- 22 To guide readers, we provide a toolbox to assess cellular metabolism in a semi-high-
- 23 throughput 96-well-plate-based format. We applied the approach to activated mouse and
- 24 human macrophages by metabolically pre-screening cells, followed by measuring extracellular
- 25 fluxes, mitochondrial mass and membrane potential, glucose and lipid uptake, along with the
- application of SCENITH.
- 27 Hereby, we not only validated established activation-induced metabolic rewiring in mouse
- 28 macrophages, but also uncovered new insights in human macrophage immunometabolism. By
- 29 thoroughly discussing each techniques, we guide readers with a practical workflow to
- 30 interrogate immunometabolism.
- 31 Keywords: immunometabolism, macrophages, toolbox, semi-high-throughput, metabolism

#### 32 Motivation

In the last decade, it has become increasingly clear that cellular metabolism regulates immune cell function. This has sparked an expansion of immunometabolism research. However, the different techniques available may seem daunting to researchers new to the field and can be very time-consuming and costly. We therefore established a 96-well-plate-based immunometabolic toolbox and illustrate different techniques on mouse and human macrophages. Next to established methods such as extracellular flux analysis, NO, arginase, lactate and glucose measurements, the toolbox includes the recently published method SCENITH which has not been previously applied to macrophages *in vitro*. We further discuss advantages and limitations of each technique to guide readers to effectively use the toolbox in their own research setting.

#### Introduction

 Macrophages are innate immune cells that reside in tissues or differentiate from circulating monocytes and regulate acute inflammatory responses and tissue homeostasis (Wynn et al., 2013). While they can adopt a broad spectrum of activation states, *in vitro* macrophage research primarily focusses on lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-, LPS plus interferon (IFN) γ-, and interleukin (IL)-4-induced activation states. LPS±IFNγ-induced macrophages (classically activated macrophages, M[LPS±IFNγ]) produce high levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and show increased surface expression of (co)stimulatory immune activation markers. Conversely, IL-4-induced macrophages (alternatively activated macrophages, M[IL-4]) upregulate a different set of surface markers and enzymes involved in repair and homeostasis (Martinez et al., 2013; Murray et al., 2014).

Metabolic rewiring resides at the core of phenotypic polarization and has been shown to direct immune responses (Jha et al., 2015). Upon stimulation with LPS, mouse macrophages increase metabolic flux through glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) which in turn fuels reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and nitric oxide (NO) synthesis (Baardman et al., 2018; Bailey et al., 2019; Van den Bossche et al., 2017). M[LPS] mouse macrophages also display a disruption of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle at isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) and succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) (Jha *et al.*, 2015). The LPS-induced downregulation of IDH results in the shunting of (iso)citrate towards synthesis of aconitate and subsequent accumulation of anti-microbial itaconate, mediated by the *immunoresponsive gene 1* (*Irg1*)-encoded enzyme ACOD1 (Lampropoulou et al., 2016). As a result of blunted SDH activity, succinate accumulates and functions as an immunoregulatory metabolite in macrophages that directs the immune response via hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF1α) and other mechanisms (Harber et al., 2020; Mills et al., 2016). In sharp contrast with M[LPS±IFNγ], IL-4-stimulated mouse macrophages are characterized by an intact TCA

cycle, increased fatty acid oxidation (FAO) (Van den Bossche *et al.*, 2017; Vats et al., 2006) and increased arginase-1 (*Arg1*) activity that aids in the metabolic conversion of arginine to proline for the resolution of inflammation (Mills and O'Neill, 2016).

Although human macrophages have been less well described, they also undergo metabolic reprogramming in response to inflammatory stimuli which slightly differs from that in mouse macrophages. Firstly, opposed to mouse macrophages, human macrophages do not produce NO upon stimulation with LPS±IFNγ (Gross et al., 2014). Additionally, LPS-induced glycolysis is less pronounced or sometimes absent in human monocytes and macrophages (Lachmandas et al., 2016; Vijayan et al., 2019). Lastly, the disrupted TCA cycle and mitochondrial dysfunction as seen in mouse macrophages has not yet been shown in human macrophages (Vijayan *et al.*, 2019).

As metabolism dictates functional responses in macrophages and other immune cells, there is substantial potential in generating targeted therapeutics that combat chronic inflammatory disorders, infectious diseases and cancer (Galli and Saleh, 2020; Lim et al., 2020; Makowski et al., 2020). In the study of new therapeutic metabolic interventions lies the importance of measuring cellular metabolism in a time- and cost-effective manner. Currently, a commonly used method is extracellular flux (XF, also known as Seahorse) analysis, which measures extracellular acidification rates (ECAR) and oxygen consumption rates (OCR) as markers for glycolysis and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), respectively (Van den Bossche et al., 2015). This technique contributed to the key concept that classically activated mouse macrophages are more glycolytic, whereas mouse M[IL-4] macrophages show higher OXPHOS and FAO (Huang et al., 2014a; Tavakoli et al., 2013; Vats et al., 2006). While the method gives a proper overview of core metabolic pathways, it does not reveal the regulation and activation of more specific cellular metabolic pathways or the direct uptake of nutrients from the environment.

A combination of several -omics techniques can explain delicate changes in cellular metabolism. As such, metabolomics measures the abundance of metabolites and can reveal metabolic changes dictated by increased production or decreased substrate usage (Jha *et al.*, 2015; Rattigan et al., 2018). Also, (single-cell) RNA-sequencing (transcriptomics) shows regulation on gene expression level, and aids in evaluating the transcriptional regulation of all metabolic pathways (Artyomov and Van den Bossche, 2020; Jha *et al.*, 2015). However, RNA-sequencing neither allows the assessment of post-translational modifications that may dictate the function of metabolic enzymes, nor of metabolic enzyme activity. While new methods are arising that permit the measurement of metabolic enzyme abundance and activation by (flow) cytometry (Ahl et al., 2020; Hartmann et al., 2021; Levine et al., 2021), these are relatively

expensive in terms of time and costs, resulting in the inclusion of only a limited number of samples or experimental conditions. Therefore, we evaluate and integrate methods allowing for an effective screening of metabolic pathway activity to facilitate drug and inhibitor screens.

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

Here, we demonstrate an integrated approach in which cells and their supernatants can be used in parallel in different metabolic and phenotypic or functional readouts. While the individual methods have been published in separate papers, combining these distinct readouts facilitates a fast and cost-effective way to profile macrophage immunometabolism in a 96-wellplate-based format. First, we perform NO production and arginase activity measurements to quickly show metabolic alterations as a preliminary readout tool for mouse macrophages. Measurements of glucose consumption and lactate production serve as a first indication of altered glycolytic flux in both mouse and human macrophages. Next, we compare XF analysis with the recently developed method SCENITH for measuring metabolism in cell subsets (Arguello et al., 2020). Additionally, we apply fluorescent dyes to assess glucose and fatty acid uptake, mitochondrial mass, and mitochondrial membrane potential. Lastly, we provide a comprehensive protocol to measure specific substrate usage in intact and permeabilized cells as an optional follow-up method. The methods are applied to LPS±IFNy- and IL-4-induced mouse and human macrophages, providing the tools for semi-high-throughput immunometabolic research that can be applied in macrophages and may be extended to different immune cells.

#### 122 Results

- 123 <u>Integration of 96-well-plate metabolic readouts into an immunometabolic profiling toolbox</u>
- 124 We evaluated and combined existing techniques and integrated them in a toolbox to
- interrogate immunometabolism in a semi-high-throughput manner using 96-well-plates both in
- 126 parallel and consecutively.
- 127 The approach presented here includes an easy and quick pre-screening for metabolic
- alterations (Figure 1) that can be combined with phenotypic/functional analyses (ELISA, flow
- 129 cytometry, viability, etc.) and followed up by more dedicated readouts including XF analysis,
- 130 SCENITH-based analysis of cell subsets (Arguello et al., 2020) and by measuring uptake of
- 131 fluorescent probes by flow cytometry or fluorescent imaging. Together, this integrated
- 132 approach allows for fast (1 assay-day after 1-week culture) screening of macrophage
- metabolism and function.
- Metabolic pre-screening gives first indications about altered macrophage metabolism
- Mouse bone marrow cells and human monocytes were differentiated into macrophages
- 136 (Supplementary Figure 1A-D) and subsequently left untreated or stimulated with either
- 137 LPS±IFNy or IL-4 (Figure 2A). Consistent with earlier studies, bone marrow-derived
- macrophages (BMDMs) displayed an LPS±IFNy-mediated increase of NO production by
- inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and increased IL-4-induced arginase activity (Figure 2B)
- 140 (Van den Bossche et al., 2016). Confirming literature, human monocyte-derived macrophages
- 141 (HMDMs) did not show detectable NO production and arginase activity (Figure 2C).
- 142 While NO/arginase measurements are not applicable to human macrophages, measuring
- extracellular glucose and lactate levels in macrophage supernatants are fast approaches to
- interrogate the LPS±IFNy-induced glycolytic switch in both mouse and human macrophages
- 145 (Figure 2D, E). Increased glycolytic flux, as evidenced by increased glucose consumption and
- 146 lactate secretion, is generally associated with increased inflammatory signaling and immune
- effector functions in macrophages and other immune cells (Freemerman et al., 2014; Voss et
- 148 al., 2021).
- 149 XF analysis validates metabolic rewiring in LPS±IFNy and IL-4-activated macrophages
- More insight into alterations in glycolysis can be obtained by measuring ECAR via XF analysis.
- This method confirmed the increase in glycolysis in both mouse and human M[LPS±IFNy] and
- 152 additionally revealed increased glycolysis in IL-4-stimulated BMDMs (Figure 3A, E,
- Supplementary Figure 2A). An added value of XF analysis is that it simultaneously measures
- 154 OCR as a proxy of mitochondrial function. Mouse macrophages displayed the expected

- 155 upregulated mitochondrial respiration after IL-4 treatment (Figure 3C, Supplementary Figure 156 2C), and decreased OCR following LPS+IFN<sub>y</sub> activation (Figure 3C, E) (Jha et al., 2015; 157 Koenis et al., 2018; Van den Bossche et al., 2016). The calculated mitochondrial and glycolytic 158 contribution to ATP production followed a similar pattern as OCR and ECAR parameters in the 159 different conditions (Figure 3F). In HMDMs, LPS+IFNy significantly increased glycolysis parameters, while none of the stimuli significantly affected OCR-derived readouts (Figure 3B, 160 161 D, G, Supplementary Figure 2B, D). Akin to mouse macrophages, the mitochondrial 162 contribution to ATP production was significantly decreased by LPS±IFNy and increased by IL-163 4 (Figure 3H).
- 164 Since metabolic readouts like XF analysis depend on cell count, data need to be normalized. 165 Normalization methods are further discussed in the 'Practical considerations' section of the 166 discussion. To normalize ECAR and OCR for cell counts, cell-permeable Hoechst dye was 167 injected in the last step of XF analysis, followed by fluorescent imaging and counting as 168 detailed previously (Little et al., 2020) (Supplementary Figure 2E). Normalization of XF data 169 with relative Hoechst object counts (counts per well / average counts in all wells (See methods) 170 for more detail)) reduced the variation between wells as indicated by reduced standard 171 deviation of both ECAR and OCR-based parameters (Supplementary Figure 2F-H).
- Flow cytometry-based SCENITH method allows metabolic profiling of cell subsets and correlates well with XF analysis

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

- While XF analysis provides valuable insight into the glycolytic and mitochondrial function of cells, this bulk analysis requires relatively large cell numbers in the 96-well format. Moreover, it requires an XF analyzer that is not available in all laboratories. We therefore examined the SCENITH technology which can profile metabolism of distinct and small cell subsets (Arguello et al., 2020). This technique is based on the fact that protein synthesis and ATP levels are often tightly connected and determines the effect of metabolic inhibitors on puromycin incorporation during protein translation to estimate glycolytic and mitochondrial dependency via flow cytometry. We assessed mouse and human macrophages with SCENITH and compared it with XF analysis, as done previously for T cells (Arguello et al., 2020).
- High puromycin incorporation (displayed as median fluorescence intensity, MFI) was detected in control samples, indicating a high level of protein synthesis in naïve BMDMs, and this was further increased after stimulation with LPS or IL-4 which correlated significantly with XFderived total mitochondrial plus glycolytic ATP production (Figure 4A, Supplementary Figure 3A). This was not the case for HMDMs (Figure 4B, Supplementary Figure 3B). Addition of

- 188 Deoxy-D-Glucose (DG) for 30 minutes affected viability in some conditions, highlighting the
- need of including a viability dye (Supplementary Figure 3C, D).
- 190 Measurement of puromycin MFI after addition of inhibitors allowed for the calculation of distinct
- metabolic parameters as visualized in Figure 4C:
- 192 Glucose and mitochondrial dependency were calculated as the proportion of protein
- 193 synthesis dependent on glucose oxidation and OXPHOS, respectively (Figure 4A-C).
- Glycolytic capacity and fatty acid and/or amino acid oxidation (FAO/AAO) capacity indicate
- 195 the maximum potential of cells to sustain protein synthesis when OXPHOS and glucose
- oxidation are inhibited, respectively (Arguello *et al.*, 2020).
- 197 DG almost completely abolished the puromycin signal in all macrophage stimulations,
- 198 indicating overall high glucose dependency (Figure 4A-E). While M[LPS±IFNγ] depended
- almost exclusively on glucose, naïve and IL-4-treated BMDMs and HMDMs had the capacity
- 200 to use FAO/AAO as fuel (Figure 4D, E). Accordingly, inhibiting mitochondrial ATP production
- with oligomycin (O) had the smallest effect in M[LPS+IFNy] (Figure 4A, B, D, E). Together, the
- 202 SCENITH-based calculations revealed reduced mitochondrial dependency and high glycolysis
- 203 in M[LPS+IFNγ] (Figure 4D, E, F, H), which aligns with data obtained by XF analysis (Figure
- 204 4G, I).
- 205 An important advantage of SCENITH is that it can be combined with larger flow cytometry
- 206 panels including for example M[LPS±IFNy]- and M[IL-4]-associated activation markers. To test
- the capacity of SCENITH to directly link macrophage metabolism (as measured by puromycin
- inhibition) to phenotype (surface markers), we performed tSNE dimensionality reduction on
- 209 oligomycin-treated BMDMs and HMDMs (Figure 4J, K). In tSNE graphs, differentially activated
- 210 macrophages cluster separately for both mouse and human, with substantial overlap between
- 211 the LPS and LPS+IFNy populations (Figure 4J, K). Puromycin levels of oligoymcin-treated
- 212 cells were highest, and thus the least affected by oligomycin, in (iNOShi) CD80hi CD40hi
- 213 M[LPS+IFNy] (Figure 4J, K, Supplementary Figure 3E, F), which in other analyses also
- showed to be the least dependent on mitochondria for ATP production. While oligomycin
- stimulation in the SCENITH experiment can be analyzed at single-cell resolution as shown in
- 216 Figure 4J, K, cells need to be split into distinct wells and conditions to calculate all metabolic
- 217 dependency and capacity parameters shown in the rest of Figure 4. As such, SCENITH should
- 218 be regarded as cell subset analysis and not truly single-cell analysis.
- 219 Measuring incorporation of fluorescent nutrient analogs yields complementary information to
- 220 XF analysis and SCENITH

To advance from SCENITH-based subset analysis to single-cell resolution, the uptake of fluorescent dyes that provide distinct insights into cellular metabolism can be measured by flow cytometry or imaging. We first titrated the dyes, followed by qualitative assessment via fluorescent imaging (Figure 5A, B), and validation that obtained signal could be inhibited by specific inhibitors to ensure that the selected concentration was not too high (Supplementary Figure 4).

2NB-DG is a fluorescent glucose analog that is used to assess glucose uptake by cells during the 30-minutes incubation in the presence of this dye. Flow cytometry revealed an elevated uptake of 2NB-DG in LPS-stimulated BMDMs, which correlated with the increased glycolysis as determined by XF analysis (Figure 5C). In comparison to naïve macrophages, LPS+IFNγ-activated BMDMs showed decreased 2NB-DG uptake. Yet, they consumed more glucose over a 24-hour time period (Figure 2D), probably indicating that they were saturated and were no longer capable of taking up more 2NB-DG. Although HMDMs did not show differences in 2NB-DG uptake (Figure 5E), it is a single-cell approach to assess glucose uptake that significantly correlates with bulk glycolysis measurements during XF analysis (Figure 5G).

In parallel to 2NB-DG, the fluorescent BODIPY C16 can be applied as a marker to estimate fatty acid uptake by cells via flow cytometry. In mouse macrophages, fatty acid uptake was increased by IL-4 and decreased by LPS±IFNγ (Figure 5D). This aligns with the observed FAO/AAO capacity by SCENITH and the fact that M[IL-4] macrophages show enhanced mitochondrial OXPHOS and FAO, whilst their LPS±IFNγ-induced inflammatory counterparts do not (Figures 3C, 4D). In HMDMs, fatty acid uptake was mostly increased by macrophage activation with both LPS+IFNγ and IL-4. Whereas fatty acid uptake cannot always be directly correlated to mitochondrial oxygen consumption (Figure 5F), BODIPY C16 provides an easy cytometry-based approach to assess fatty acid uptake in single cells.

MitoTracker Green is a fluorescent mitochondrial stain that is commonly used to estimate mitochondrial mass of cells by flow cytometry. Its signal aligns well with other mitochondrial mass measurements including mitochondrial DNA:genomic DNA ratio and mitochondrial complex immunoblotting and in certain conditions it relates to the spare respiratory capacity of cells (Baardman *et al.*, 2018; van der Windt et al., 2012). Here, MitoTracker Green signal was unchanged in all BMDMs and was decreased by the different stimuli in HMDMs (Figure 5G, I). In this setting, no correlation was found with XF analysis-derived mitochondrial readouts. In BMDMs, MitoTrackerGreen signal was unaffected by FCCP treatment, whereas a decrease was visible for HMDMs, indicating that MitoTracker Green staining may not be independent of mitochondrial membrane potential for all species or cell types (Supplementary Figure 4A-D).

TMRM is a red fluorescent probe that is designed to quantify changes in mitochondrial membrane potential. Such changes can relate to metabolic stress, proton leak, reverse electron transport and ROS production (Zorova et al., 2018), and as such TMRM signals often do not directly correlate with XF-derived mitochondrial parameters. Here, we observed a drop in TMRM signal in LPS+IFNγ- and IL-4-stimulated BMDMs and HMDMs, which did not correlate with OCR-derived mitochondrial readouts (Figure 5H, J).

Overall, the fluorescent probes provide an accessible option to estimate metabolic changes at single-cell resolution using equipment that is present in most laboratories. For good biological interpretation and in-depth insight, these readouts should be combined with other metabolic analyses presented above or followed up by more advanced readouts described below.

#### Potential follow-up beyond the 96-well plate-based metabolic profiling approach

When researchers want to obtain more in-depth knowledge about the metabolic changes observed in the readouts described above, we refer to a detailed overview of the distinct possibilities (Voss *et al.*, 2021), as well as to novel approaches providing single-cell and/or spatial resolution that are especially applicable in patient material (Artyomov and Van den Bossche, 2020). One potential follow-up option we further tested here is the use of substrate-coated plates as this is a less established technique. However, it might provide additional insight into macrophage immunometabolism.

This technique is based on the reduction of a redox dye by NAD(P)H or FADH<sub>2</sub> production as a result of mitochondrial respiration (Figure 1). Intact cells added to carbon-substrate-coated plates (PM-M01 plates) reveal insight in their utilization of sugars. Alternatively, permeabilized cells added to plates coated with mitochondrial respiration-related carbon sources (MitoPlate S-1) reveal increased insight into mitochondrial function.

Using carbon-substrate-coated plates, we observed that intact BMDMs highly upregulated D-glucose utilization after both LPS- and IL-4-activation (Supplementary Figure 5A). The maximum rate of D-glucose oxidation correlated well with glycolysis as determined by XF analysis and with 2NB-DG uptake (Supplementary Figure 5B). In parallel, we used mitochondrial-substrate-coated plates to obtain information about TCA cycle and mitochondrial function in distinct macrophage subsets (Supplementary Figure 5C, D). In agreement with the described breaks in the TCA cycle at IDH and SDH in inflammatory macrophages (Jha *et al.*, 2015), we observed decreased oxidation of isocitrate and succinate in LPS-activated BMDMs but not HMDMs (Supplementary Figure 6C, D). As such, the use of substrate-coated plates can be a useful follow-up method to study enzyme activity across a variety of metabolic pathways. Yet, it should be noted that this approach also yielded unexpected results that

289 appear in contrast with published results and data obtained by more established techniques 290 such as XF analysis. For example, upregulation of mitochondrial respiration in M[IL-4] (Figure 291 3C, Supplementary Figure 2C) could not be reproduced in either plate type and alterations in 292 FAO as observed with SCENITH (Figure 4D, E) could not be confirmed (Supplementary Figure 293 6E, F). 294 Together, the techniques assessed here investigate metabolism from different angles and all 295 contribute to a deeper understanding of metabolic phenotypes. In the next sections, results will 296 be discussed followed by a consideration of strengths and limitations of each technique.

#### Discussion

The recently increased appreciation that metabolic reprogramming is essential during innate immune responses now requires immunologists to select between different techniques and interpret data without formal training in metabolism. To help researchers entering the immunometabolism field, we laid out an approach that integrates distinct 96-well-plate-based metabolic assays and can be combined with common immune readouts (e.g. ELISA, cytometry) (Figure 1). This allows for easy, fast and cost-efficient pre-screening of the effect of candidate drugs (or other interventions) on immune cell metabolism along with function, before moving towards more advanced metabolic assays. In this discussion, we describe the strengths, weaknesses, pitfalls and caveats associated with the available assays, and provide a practical workflow that guides readers through the different possibilities, ranging from basic to more advanced.

- Profiling immunometabolism highlights distinct metabolic rewiring in mouse and human macrophage activation states
  - As an example, we applied our immunometabolic profiling platform to LPS±IFNγ- and IL-4-stimulated BMDMs for which metabolic reprogramming is well-described (Lauterbach et al., 2019; Van den Bossche *et al.*, 2015; Vijayan *et al.*, 2019), and also applied the same stimuli to HMDMs for which metabolic processes are less well understood. While focused on macrophages, after optimization of certain factors (detailed in Supplementary Table 1) the approach can also be extended to other cells since individual techniques have previously been applied to, for example T cells and dendritic cells (Arguello *et al.*, 2020; Buck et al., 2016; Everts et al., 2012; Little *et al.*, 2020; Lopes et al., 2021; Scharping et al., 2016; Thomas and Mattila, 2014).
  - Mouse macrophages from different (sub)strains and mouse and human macrophages cannot be directly compared due to differences in metabolism between mice (sub)strains, different cell sources and diverse differentiation methods. However, it is worth mentioning some exposed similarities and differences between both species before proceeding with a technical discussion and practical guidance:
  - (i) Both mouse and human macrophages induced glycolysis upon inflammatory activation, as measured consistently with multiple methods. Since inflammatory signaling and glycolysis are often strongly connected, the distinct readouts that estimate glycolytic function can be applied as a first and easy way to assess whether functional changes induced by pharmacological and/or genetic interventions are paralleled by metabolic rewiring.

- 330 (ii) While measuring L-arginine metabolism via iNOS or arginase-1 was one of the first 331 metabolic ways to discriminate between classical (LPS±IFNy) and alternative (IL-4) 332 macrophage activation in mice (Munder et al., 1998), we here confirm it is not a valid approach to monitor in vitro responses in human macrophages. This is due to NOS2 being epigenetically 333 334 silenced in HMDMs and arginase usually not being regulated in human 335 monocytes/macrophages in vitro (Gross et al., 2014; Thomas and Mattila, 2014).
- (iii) Since HMDMs do not produce NO *in vitro* (Figure 2C) (Gross *et al.*, 2014), this could explain
   why they don't show the drop in mitochondrial respiration that is observed in their LPS±IFNγ induced NO-producing mouse counterparts (Van den Bossche *et al.*, 2016; Vijayan *et al.*,
   2019).
- 340 (iv) Notwithstanding the lack of OCR reduction in human inflammatory macrophages, they 341 depend less on mitochondria for ATP production and as such this can be regarded as a
- 342 commonality between both species.

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

- (v) Fatty acids fuel mitochondrial respiration in reparative macrophages and this supposedly anti-inflammatory metabolic feature was most apparent in IL-4-activated BMDMs in XF analysis and HMDMs during SCENITH analysis.
- (vi) It should be noted that variation between human donors is higher than the variation between mice as it is also the case for other (immunological) readouts. Further increasing the number of replicates (+6) could help to reach significance in some human conditions.
  - Importantly, the observed metabolic rewiring was sometimes context- and method-dependent, as highlighted in the technical discussion. Lastly, it is worth mentioning that we used M-CSF to differentiate human monocytes to macrophages while they can also be differentiated with GM-CSF. The latter induces a more inflammatory state when compared to M-CSF-differentiated macrophages (Jaguin et al., 2013; Lacey et al., 2012) and the limited research that compared both growth factors revealed that they can yield metabolic differences (Namgaladze and Brune, 2014). Dissecting the metabolic rewiring induced by different differentiation and activation factors is an avenue for future research. To address these and other questions, our integrated immunometabolic profiling approach will be valuable to efficiently investigate many conditions simultaneously. The practical workflow presented here (summarized in Figure 1) allows starting with a fast metabolic pre-screening of a broad range of conditions before narrowing down to a selective set of conditions for comprehensive metabolic characterization with specialized high-end techniques.

In this section, we elucidate how the different methods can give complementary insights into cellular metabolism. Due to the nature and sensitivity of the readouts, some differences in results may occur as detailed below.

#### Readouts of glycolysis

- 367 Extracellular glucose and lactate measurements, ECAR-derived parameters in XF analysis,
- 368 SCENITH, 2NB-DG uptake and analyzing glucose utilization with carbon-substrate-coated
- 369 plates allow inferences about glycolysis.
  - The uptake of 2NB-DG, together with ECAR-derived glycolysis, and glucose usage as analyzed with carbon-substrate-coated plates all correlated significantly for BMDMs. For both BMDMs and HMDMs, glycolytic capacity determined by SCENITH significantly correlated with ECAR-derived glycolytic capacity. Therefore, these techniques give similar results and the choice of method can thus depend on practical considerations such as sample type or desired resolution (i.e., bulk or single cell) as discussed in the workflow below. On the other hand, glucose and lactate measurements in the supernatant can differ from ECAR-derived glycolysis or 2NB-DG uptake due to the nature of the readouts (i.e., cumulative over 24 h or a snapshot at the 24 h timepoint). This is illustrated in LPS+IFNγ-activated BMDMs, which showed increased glucose consumption and lactate production over the course of 24 h but did not show increased ECAR or 2NB-DG uptake after this period. Therefore, these are complementary techniques that examine cumulative changes in extracellular glucose and lactate during the stimulation versus effects on glycolysis after this period, and results may not always be identical.

#### Mitochondrial function

To measure mitochondrial parameters, we here showed the use of XF-derived OCR, SCENITH, MitoTracker Green, TMRM, and mitochondrial-substrate-coated plates. As expected, OCR measurements showed decreased mitochondrial respiration in inflammatory BMDMs but not HMDMs due to species differences as discussed above. Still, mitochondrial contribution to total ATP production as calculated when taking along CO<sub>2</sub>-based acidification in XF analysis, and mitochondrial dependence as assessed by SCENITH was decreased in both mouse and human inflammatory macrophages. Mitochondrial-substrate-coated plates confirmed this reduction in LPS-activated mouse (but not human) inflammatory macrophages. However, the substrate utilization assays appear less sensitive than XF and SCENITH since the metabolism of the individual mitochondrial substrates was not indicative of the well-established elevated mitochondrial respiration in IL-4-activated BMDMs when analyzing intact cells with carbon-substrate-coated plates nor permeabilized cells with mitochondrial-substrate-

coated plates. Since XF and SCENITH yield similar results, they can be selected based on other criteria.

Other parameters related to mitochondrial function include mitochondrial mass and membrane potential, measured by MitoTracker Green and TMRM, respectively. While MitoTracker Green is a reliable estimate of mitochondrial mass in BMDMs, caution and additional validation are warranted for HMDMs since staining may not be independent of mitochondrial membrane potential. Additionally, while reduced mitochondrial mass can in certain cases (such as in foam cells) explain a decrease in maximal respiration (Baardman *et al.*, 2018), changes in respiration as seen in activated macrophages are not per definition accompanied by a change in mitochondrial mass. Similarly, changes in mitochondrial membrane potential can reflect, among others, metabolic stress and reverse electron transport (Zorova *et al.*, 2018) and are difficult to directly relate to mitochondrial parameters. Furthermore, LPS-treatment has shown both increases (Mills *et al.*, 2016) and decreases (Yu et al., 2020) of mitochondrial membrane potential (TMRM), and is time-dependent (Bauerfeld et al., 2012). Therefore, while these readouts may correlate under certain circumstances, interpretation of results can be difficult and are therefore not a first go-to assay.

## 413 Fatty acid metabolism

Readouts for fatty acid metabolism demonstrated here include SCENITH, BODIPY C16 uptake and fatty acid utilization in mitochondrial-substrate-coated plates. SCENITH-derived FAO/AAO capacity followed the expected pattern for both BMDMs and HMDMs, although SCENITH cannot formally distinguish between FAO and AAO capacities. SCENITH-derived FAO/AAO capacity was paralleled by BODIPY C16 signal for BMDMs but not for HMDMs, indicating that uptake of fatty acids is not a direct measure of FAO. Rather, fatty acids can be stored in lipid droplets instead of being used to fuel metabolic processes (Feingold et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2014b). mitochondrial-substrate-coated plates did not pick up differences in fatty acid metabolism between conditions. Therefore, SCENITH and BODIPY C16 uptake can give first indications about fatty acid metabolism and could be extended by a BODIPY dye staining lipid droplets (Qiu and Simon, 2016) or an adapted XF protocol allowing to probe fuel preferences (Voss et al., 2021).

While we now described the readouts per metabolic pathway, many techniques assess parameters of several pathways in parallel. The following section addresses advantages and disadvantages for each technique, in combination with a potential practical workflow to navigate through the distinct readouts.

#### 430 Practical considerations

- Here, we offer practical considerations and assess strengths and limitations of each approach
- 432 to provide a practical guide on using the distinct techniques (Figure 6, Table 1).
- 433 Pre-screening of metabolic alterations
- 434 Generally, the metabolic pre-screening assays are quick, cost-efficient and easy to perform
- 435 and are combinable with functional assays (e.g. cytokine ELISAs and viability assays).
- Therefore, the pre-screening is well-suited to screen many conditions simultaneously. NO and
- 437 arginase assays are particularly useful to profile altered L-arginine metabolism in mouse
- 438 macrophages, and can also be used for neutrophils, dendritic cells and NK cells (Thomas and
- 439 Mattila, 2014). In case NO production is altered, assessing mitochondrial OCR with XF analysis
- is recommended since NO is known to affect mitochondrial function (Everts et al., 2012; Van
- den Bossche et al., 2016). Given the basic nature of these measurements which does not
- always reflect the intricate regulation of the metabolic pathways, researchers should be aware
- 443 that no changes in glucose, lactate, NO or arginase levels do not automatically imply no
- 444 metabolic changes. Therefore, if other indications exist to study metabolism (e.g., results of
- RNA-seg), more advanced metabolic readouts are a recommended next step.
- 446 XF analysis profiles glycolysis and mitochondrial function in parallel
- 447 XF analysis currently is a commonly used tool to study glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration.
- Besides standard use as described in the present study, injected inhibitors can be customized
- 449 to assess dependence on main fuels or activity of electron transport chain components
- 450 (Salabei et al., 2014; Voss et al., 2021). Therefore, it is often a standard tool in an
- immunometabolism researcher's repertoire.
- However, it also comes with certain disadvantages limiting its applications. Whereas extremely
- 453 useful for homogeneous samples of which many cells are present (such as *in vitro* stimulated
- 454 cells), only the core metabolic pathways that directly result in H<sup>+</sup> production or oxygen
- 455 consumption are represented. Additionally, analysis of complex in vivo samples such as tumor
- 456 tissue is much more challenging. Such samples include many distinct cell populations which
- 457 cannot be separately assessed without prior cell sorting. Both cell sorting and cell culturing
- may affect the metabolic state of cells (Binek et al., 2019; Llufrio et al., 2018; Voss et al., 2021).
- 459 Generally, XF analysis requires large cell numbers in the 96-well format (typically 5,000-
- 460 200,000 cells in 3-8 replicates).
- 461 Furthermore, since changes in viability, adherence, proliferation and plating may affect XF
- readouts, these data need to be carefully normalized. This can be done by measuring protein

- content (e.g. BCA protein assay), DNA content (e.g. CyQUANT), biomass (e.g. Crystal Violet)
  on standard (fluorescent) plate readers or by cell counts using a 96-well plate imager. We refer
  to a comparison for details about the specific advantages and disadvantages of distinct
  normalization methods for XF analysis (Kam, 2018).
- Additionally, for further assessing viability, we recommend assays based on cell membrane integrity (such as adding a live/dead marker in flow cytometry) instead of assays based on mitochondrial activity (such as the MTT assay) since mitochondrial activity may be affected without decreasing viability.
- 471 SCENITH allows metabolic phenotyping of distinct cell subsets

- When the sample to assess consists of distinct cell subsets and when isolation of rare populations yields cell numbers too low for XF analysis, SCENITH can be used to evaluate metabolism using just one fluorescent channel in flow cytometry. It can provide additional phenotypic (and metabolic) insights when combined with antibodies against immune activation markers (and key metabolic mediators) in larger cytometry panels. Similar to XF analysis, the inhibitors used can also be extended or customized to assess different metabolic dependencies.
- Although a range of cell types was tested in the original publication, situations may exist for which protein synthesis is not directly related to ATP synthesis, e.g. in quiescent stem cells (Arguello *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, as the metabolic parameters are calculated from samples treated with inhibitors in parallel rather than from one single sample, metabolic parameters cannot be calculated for each single cell but rather for cell subsets. Additionally, combining SCENITH with large panels can become costly, since each sample needs to be split, stained, and measured in parallel in order to accommodate the different inhibitors.
  - Fluorescent metabolic probes enable assessing metabolic features at single-cell resolution
  - Fluorescent metabolic probes can be measured by flow cytometry or microscopy to provide single-cell resolution, and are practical when no XF analyzer is available. Flow cytometry allows for easier quantification of data but lacks the option for visual information. While metabolic dyes can in principle be combined into larger flow cytometry panels, this is practically limited due to protocol incompatibilities (e.g. staining temperature and duration, and not all dyes are fixable). Furthermore, care needs to be taken when assessing metabolic properties solely by fluorescent labeling as it was previously shown that 2NB-DG staining yielded substantially different results compared to more established glucose transport assays (D'Souza et al., 2021; Sinclair et al., 2020). This illustrates the importance of proper controls to ensure that dyes are taken up specifically. Dyes should also be titrated to prevent unspecific

staining of cellular compartments. Additionally, MitoTracker Green signal should be checked for independence of mitochondrial membrane potential to reliably estimate mitochondrial mass, since this may be species- or cell-type-dependent. Lastly, interpretation of results may be difficult due lack of robustness and timing- and context-dependent effects on mitochondrial function, particularly for MitoTracker Green and TMRM.

Next to the fluorescent probes measured here, a range of other fluorescent dyes and analyses exist to analyze metabolic alterations in response to specific treatments. Examples of other probes are mitoSOX for the measurement of mitochondrial ROS or propidium iodide for the analysis of cell cycle distribution. Additionally, a confocal microscope or multi-mode reader with high resolution can be used to reveal visual differences in subcellular localization or mitochondrial fragmentation (Little *et al.*, 2020).

The toolbox as discussed here gives an overview of metabolic alterations occurring after compound treatment and can help to narrow down stimuli of interest. These can be investigated in more detail with more complex techniques which will be discussed briefly in the following section. For more details we refer the reader to recent reviews (Artyomov and Van den Bossche, 2020; Voss *et al.*, 2021).

#### <u>Limitations and potential follow-up beyond the toolbox</u>

It should be noted that the readouts within the toolbox provide a good estimation of the immunometabolic state of macrophages, but that more dedicated high-end follow-up studies can be required to obtain more in-depth insight. One potential follow-up technique that we here illustrate is substrate utilization analysis for intact and permeabilized cells that facilitates the screening of fuel preference in homogeneous *in vitro* cultured cells. Due to the range of different substrates, a greater variety of metabolic pathways can be assessed in extensive detail.

Carbon-substrate-coated plates can measure substrate utilization in intact cells, but the assessment of mitochondria-specific substrate utilization requires cell permeabilization. This results in a rather artificial system due to the lack of feedback and inhibition by other metabolites, the inability to regulate cellular substrate uptake and because of ad libitum access to substrates by mitochondria. For these reasons, the assessed substrate oxidation reflects theoretical enzyme activity, but does not reflect the physiological metabolic pathway. While this technique has been applied in other immune cells (Kalvala et al., 2019; Thwe et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021), it has not previously been used in cultured macrophages *in vitro*. In combination with the limited overlap with the other techniques tested here, it makes this technique a potential follow-up tool when additional validation is included.

Transcriptomics and metabolomics measurements can provide additional insight into metabolic changes. The acquired data may be complementary and can be integrated (Jha *et al.*, 2015), but may seem contradictory when enzyme regulation takes place on different levels. For example, post-transcriptional or post-translational modifications are not included in transcriptomics data, and differences in gene expression do not always correlate to active translation into protein and enzyme activity. We refer the reader to recent reviews (Artyomov and Van den Bossche, 2020; Voss *et al.*, 2021) for a further discussion of (single-cell) transcriptomics, metabolomics and their integration and other single-cell techniques to study cellular metabolism such as cytometry-based metabolic panels (Ahl *et al.*, 2020; Hartmann *et al.*, 2021; Levine *et al.*, 2021). These methods will greatly enhance insight into metabolic alterations upon treatment with compounds of interest, but they do require more specialized machines, and can complicate data analysis.

In the metabolic analysis of complex in vivo samples, spatial and temporal knowledge of metabolic processes are of major additional value (Artyomov and Van den Bossche, 2020; Murphy and O'Neill, 2020). Therefore, imaging-based techniques (Miller et al., 2017; Palmer et al., 2017) such as GeoMX (Farren et al., 2020) or MIBI-TOF (Hartmann and Bendall, 2020; Keren et al., 2019) have been developed that combine transcriptomics, metabolic and functional readouts with spatial information. Also, approaches that assess subcellular metabolism are important since metabolites accumulate to a different extent in diverse subcellular locations and may affect (signaling) targets differently. This was for example indicated for acyl intermediates and their ability to modify proteins (Bambouskova et al., 2021; Murphy and O'Neill, 2020). Additionally, to prove whether a metabolic change is cause or consequence of phenotypic alterations, it is desirable to assess temporal aspects. This can be implemented e.g. by repeated <sup>13</sup>C-metabolomic flux measurements in combination with phenotypic assessment or the analysis of pseudo-time in single-cell RNA-sequencing. By including spatiotemporal approaches in immunometabolic research, discrepancies in the literature may be resolved. Lastly, causality needs to be demonstrated by targeting metabolic enzymes with genetic or pharmacological tools as described previously in more detail (Voss et al., 2021).

#### Conclusion and practical guidance

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

- We here present an integrated 96-well-plate-based approach to screen metabolic alterations.
- We recommend to start with guick and easy pre-screening methods such as NO, arginase,
- 563 glucose and lactate assays before continuing with XF analysis for bulk samples, SCENITH for
- subset analysis of complex samples or fluorescent dyes for single-cell resolution (Figure 6).
- This approach serves to efficiently analyze metabolic alterations and narrow down conditions

- of interest before applying complex and costly follow up techniques such as (single-cell)
- transcriptomics or (spatial) metabolomics.

#### **Author contributions**

- 569 SV and KdG contributed equally to this work, order of authorship was decided by flipping a
- 570 coin. Conceptualization: JVdB, SV, KdG. Methodology: SV, KdG, FG, RA. Formal analysis:
- 571 SV, KdG. Investigation: SV, KdG, FG, XvD. Visualization: SV, KdG. Writing-review&editing:
- 572 SV, KdG, FG, XvD, RA, JVdB, Funding acquisition: JVdB. All authors read and approved the
- final manuscript.

568

574

585

#### Acknowledgements

- We thank Sam de Decker, Enrico Tatti and Barry Bochner for supplying all materials to perform
- 576 the Biolog mitochondrial-substrate-coated and carbon-substrate-coated assays, their help with
- 577 setting up the assays, with interpreting the results and their helpful comments on the
- 578 manuscript. We further thank Amber Eggert for optimizing the Hoechst cell counting protocol
- on the Cytation 5 and Karl Harber for proofreading the manuscript. We thank ANR and Inserm
- 580 Transfert for the ANR-20-CE-CE14-0028-01 and CoPoC MAT-PI-17493-A-04 grants (to RJA).
- JVdB received a Netherlands Heart Foundation senior fellowship (2017T048). Additionally,
- JVdB received an Enw-Klein-1 grant from NWO (OCENW.KLEIN.268) and a consortia grant
- from European Research Area network on Cardiovascular Diseases (ERA-CVD 2019T108).
- Lastly, we acknowledge support from Cancer Center Amsterdam.

#### **Declarations of interest**

- 586 The authors declare no competing interests. There are restrictions to the commercial use of
- 587 SCENITH due to a pending patent application (PCT/EP2020/060486).

Figure 1: Overview of semi-high-throughput techniques encompassed in metabolic pre-screening and toolbox. (i) Metabolic pre-screening, consisting of arginase activity assay in cell lysates and NO, lactate and glucose levels in cellular supernatant (yellow). (ii) The core metabolic characterization, consisting of extracellular flux (XF) analysis, SCENITH and uptake of fluorescent metabolic dyes (purple), and (iii) substrate oxidation analysis as a potential follow-up on the toolbox (green). XF analysis measures extracellular acidification and oxygen consumption in XF96-well plates in response to metabolic inhibitors to estimate glycolysis and OXPHOS, respectively. The flow cytometry-based metabolic profiling technique SCENITH (Arguello et al., 2020) measures changes in the level of translation in response to inhibitors as a measure for cellular metabolism. This can be assessed in plate-reader compatible FACS 96-well plates. Fluorescent measurement of the uptake of several metabolic dyes can be measured by an imaging multi-mode plate reader in black 96-well plates and by flow cytometry. (iii) These readouts can be followed up by more extensive metabolic profiling using substrate oxidation, metabolomics, transcriptomics or various types of single-cell profiling.

Figure 2: Metabolic pre-screening of BMDMs and HMDMs indicate metabolic differences after varying macrophage activation. (A) BMDMs and HMDMs were left untreated (Naive), or stimulated with either LPS, LPS+IFNγ or IL-4 for 24 h. (B, C) Levels of NO in supernatants from BMDMs (B) or HMDMs (both 1\*10<sup>5</sup> cells per well) (C) and arginase activity in BMDMs (5\*10<sup>4</sup> cells per well) (B) or HMDMs (1\*10<sup>5</sup> cells per well) (C) following stimulation. (D, E) Levels of glucose consumption and lactate production in supernatants of BMDMs (D) or HMDMs (E) (all 1\*10<sup>5</sup> cells per well). Data are shown as mean ± SEM. For BMDMs, N=12 mice with 3 technical replicates in 4 independent experiments were included for NO, glucose and lactate assays, and N=6 with 3 technical replicates in 2 independent experiments for arginase activity assay. For HMDMs, N=5 human donors with 3 technical replicates in 2 independent experiments were included for all assays. \*\* P<0.01, \*\*\* P<0.001 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons.

Figure 3: XF analyses of BMDMs and HMDMs yield insight into metabolic profiles of macrophages after LPS±IFNy and IL-4-activation. (A, B) Normalized (to relative Hoechst\* objects) ECAR, with injections of glucose, oligomycin, FCCP and Antimycin A/Rotenone/Hoechst for BMDMs (A) and HMDMs (B). (C, D) Normalized (to relative Hoechst\* objects) OCR with same injections as for ECAR for BMDMs (C) and HMDMs (D). (E, G) Metabolic profiles outlining basal respiration and glycolysis for BMDMs (E) and HMDMs (G). (F, H) Mitochondrial and glycolytic contribution to overall ATP production in BMDMs (F) and HMDMs (H).. N=6 mice or N=6 donors were included with 4-5 technical replicates each. Values shown as mean ± SEM calculated from the average of technical replicates per mouse/donor. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. For F and H, significance on top of bar graphs indicates changes in total ATP production rate, significance within bars indicates significant differences between either the glycolytic or mitochondrial contribution to ATP production rate compared with N.

Figure 4: Metabolic analysis of BMDMs and HMDMs with SCENITH reveals expected macrophage activation by LPS±IFNγ and IL-4. (A, B) MFI of puromycin across samples treated with different inhibitors for BMDMs (A) and HMDMs (B). DG, O and DGO indicate Deoxyglucose- (DG), Oligomycin- (O) or Deoxyglucose+Oligomycin-treated (DGO) samples. (C) Calculations of metabolic SCENITH parameters based on puromycin MFI. (D, E, F, H) SCENITH parameters as calculated for mouse (D, F) and human (E, H) macrophages. (G, I) Correlation of glycolytic capacity as measured with XF analysis with glycolytic capacity as measured with SCENITH for BMDMs (G) and HMDMs (I). (J, K) tSNE dimensionality reduction of naïve, LPS±IFNγ- and IL-4-treated BMDMs (J) and HMDMs (K) and clustered heatmaps showing the expression of activation markers and puromycin per stimulus. Data are shown as mean ± SEM. Each dot marks a separate mouse (N=6) or human donor (N=6). \* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01, \*\*\* P<0.001 by two-way (A, B) or ordinary one-way ANOVA (D, E, F, H) with Dunnett's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. Correlations were fitted using a simple linear regression model (G, I).

**Figure 5: Uptake of fluorescent probes provides additional insight into macrophage metabolism.** (A, B) Representative images of BMDM (A) and HMDM (B) staining by fluorescent dyes and uptake of fluorescent nutrient analogs as assessed by multi-mode reader. Scale bar represents 200 μm. (C-F) Fluorescent intensity of 2NB-DG (C, E) and BODIPY C16 (D, F) uptake by BMDMs (C, D) and HMDMs (E, F) as examined by flow cytometry, correlated with relevant parameters of XF analysis. (G-J) Fluorescent intensity of MitoTracker Green (G, I) and TMRM (H, J) analysis as examined by flow cytometry and correlations with relevant parameters of XF analysis in BMDMs (G, H) and HMDMs (I, J). Data are shown as mean ± SEM. For graphs of fluorescent probes, each dot marks a separate mouse (N=9) or donor (N=8). ΔMFI was calculated as MFI (median fluorescent intensity) of sample – MFI of unstained control. \* P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01, \*\*\* P<0.001 by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons. Correlations were fitted using a simple linear regression model.

Figure 6: An actionable workflow to guide researchers from simple screening towards complex measurement of immunometabolism. Immunometabolic alterations can be pre-screened by quick and easy assays such as NO production and arginase activity in mouse macrophages and glucose consumption and lactate production in both species (yellow). Cytokine and viability measurements (green) can be performed in parallel to connect cellular metabolic changes and function. These assays can be followed up by metabolic characterization (purple) with a bulk (XF analysis) or single-cell approaches (SCENITH and fluorescent metabolic dyes).

Normalization should be performed in parallel to XF analysis, and optional phenotyping can be done by adding activation and/or lineage markers to SCENITH and fluorescent metbolic dyes. Experiments can be further extended using more complex techniques (green), such as substrate utilization, metabolomics/fluxomics, RNA-seq for bulk analysis of homogeneous samples or metabolic profiling using cytometry, single-cell RNA-seq, spatial metabolomics or immunohistochemistry for complex samples where single-cell or spatial resolution is required. Solid lines indicate preferred workflow, dotted lines indicate optional readouts.

Methods	Assay principle	Advantages/disadvantages	Equipment
Extracellular glucose / lactate assay	Estimate of glycolysis based on cumulative glucose consumption / lactate secretion	+ Simple, fast, cheap - Limited insight - Bulk analysis	Absorbance (glucose) or fluorescent (lactate) plate reader
NO / arginase assay	Estimates L-arginine metabolism via iNOS / arginase	<ul><li>+ Simple, fast, cheap</li><li>Not all species/cell types</li><li>- Bulk analysis</li></ul>	Absorbance plate reader
Extracellular flux analysis	Measures ECAR and OCR as proxies of glycolysis and mitochondrial OXPHOS, respectively	+ Parallel readouts of glycolysis and OXPHOS  + Adaptable injections and protocol  - Dedicated (costly) instrument/consumables  - Normalization needed  - Bulk analysis, require cell purification/sorting	Seahorse XF analyzer
SCENITH	Estimates metabolic capacities and dependencies by measuring changes in the level of protein synthesis	+ Suitable for rare cells and complex samples + Compatible with complex immune phenotyping - Assay principle requires active protein synthesis - Subset analysis rather than single-cell for calculated parameters	Flow cytometer
2-NBDG uptake	Fluorescent glucose analogue to estimate glucose uptake		
BODIPY C16	Fluorescent fatty acid to estimate fatty acid uptake		Flow
MitoTracker Green	Fluorescent mitochondrial mass indicator	+ Simple, fast, single-cell  - Need to be validated with complementary readouts and appropriate controls to ensure correct interpretation	cytometer / multi-mode fluorescence imager / microscope
TMRM (TMRE)	Fluorescent dye to measure mitochondrial membrane potential		

662 **STAR Methods** 

663

664

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689 690

691

692

#### RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

#### Lead contact

- Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be
- fulfilled by the lead contact, Jan Van den Bossche (j.vandenbossche@amsterdamumc.nl).

#### 667 **Materials availability**

- This study did not generate new unique reagents. As described previously, adapted SCENITH
- protocols and all the reagents including the panel of inhibitors, puromycin and the monoclonal
- antibody clone R4743L-E8, conjugated with Alexa Fluor 647 or Alexa Fluor 488 (SCENITH kit)
- are available upon application at <a href="http://www.scenith.com/">http://www.scenith.com/</a>.

## 672 **Data and code availability**

- All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request. This paper does
- not report original code. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in
- this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

#### Bone marrow isolation and BMDM culture

Mouse experiments were approved by the Committee for Animal Welfare of the VU University Amsterdam. 8-16 week old male and female C57Bl/6J mice were purchased from Charles River and housed in groups of four in SPF conditions at 21°C until sacrifice. Bone marrow cells were isolated from femurs and tibias by flushing with PBS. Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were generated by culturing in 145x20 mm petri dishes (greiner bio-one) in 20 ml complete RPMI-1640 (Gibco) containing 2 mM L-glutamine, 10% FCS (Gibco), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin (all Gibco), and 15% L929-conditioned medium (LCM) for 6 days, which resulted in >90% macrophage purity (Supplementary Figure 1B). 10 ml fresh medium was added on day 3. On day 6, cells were harvested with cold PBS and gentle scraping and counted using a Bürker cell counting chamber with 0.0025 mm² grid (Optik Labor). Cells were subsequently plated at a density of 1\*10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml in fresh medium with 5% LCM in 96-well plates for the experiments. On day 7, medium was refreshed and cells were either left untreated or stimulated with 100 ng/ml LPS (Sigma Aldrich), 10 ng/ml LPS+100 U IFNy (Peptrotech), or 20 ng/ml IL-4 (Peprotech) for 24 hours in the presence of 5% LCM.

#### Monocyte isolation and HMDM culture

Buffy coats (50 ml) were purchased from Sanquin blood Bank (Amsterdam, Netherlands). Information regarding sex and age of donors was not supplied by the blood bank. PBMCs were isolated with a Ficoll/Lymphoprep gradient (Greiner Biosciences) and careful centrifugation at 800g for 30 minutes. Next, monocytes were isolated by applying 120-150\*106 cells on top of a 46% Percoll<sup>™</sup> (Cytiva) solution followed by careful centrifugation at 2000 rpm for 20 minutes. Monocytes were counted in the same manner as BMDMs and plated at a density of 2\*106 cells/ml in the appropriate 96-well plates for each experiment in 100 μl IMDM medium containing HEPES (Gibco) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin (full IMDM medium), and containing 1% FCS. After settling for one hour, medium was replaced with full IMDM medium with 10% FCS and 50 ng/ml M-CSF (Miltenyi) for 6-day differentiation, resulting in >95% macrophage purity (Supplementary Figure 1C). On day 3, medium was replaced with fresh medium supplemented with M-CSF (Miltenyi). On day 6, cells (near 100% macrophage purity (Supplementary Figure 1A, C)) were left untreated or stimulated in fresh medium without M-CSF with 100 ng/ml LPS (Sigma Aldrich), 10 ng/ml LPS + 20 ng/ml IFNy (Peprotech), or 20 ng/ml IL-4 (Peprotech) for 24 hours.

#### **METHOD DETAILS**

## NO production and Arginase activity assay

- 710 100 µl supernatant was collected from 96-well plates with 1\*10<sup>5</sup> cells (BMDMs/HMDMs) per
- 711 well. Subsequently, NO production was measured by adding 50 µl Griess reagent (2.5% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>
- 712 (Merck), 1% sulfanilamide (Sigma Aldrich), and 0.1% naphtylene diamide dihydrochloride
- 713 (Sigma Aldrich) in H<sub>2</sub>O) to 50 µl cell supernatants (1:1) and optical density was measured at
- 714 540 nm.

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

726

- 715 Arginase activity was determined on cell lysates of 5\*10<sup>4</sup> cells (BMDMs) or 1\*10<sup>5</sup> cells
- 716 (HMDMs). Cells were washed with PBS and lysed by incubating for 30 minutes with 100 µl
- 717 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich), 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5, Roche) supplemented with 1x
- 718 protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Arginase was activated by adding 3.5 µl of 10 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub>
- 719 (Sigma Aldrich) to 10 µl sample and incubated at 56°C for 10 minutes. Next, samples were
- incubated with 10 µl 0.5 M L-arginine (pH 9.7, Sigma Aldrich) for 60 minutes at 37°C. The
- reaction was stopped by adding 90 µl stop solution (96%H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O 1:3:7, Merck)
- and incubated with 4 μl α-isonitrosopropiophenone (9%, Sigma Aldrich) for 30 minutes at 95°C.
- Samples were left in the dark to cool down to room temperature until measurement of optical
- 724 density at 540 nm. Enzymatic activity was calculated by [Urea]\*(total volume\*10<sup>6</sup>)/(tested
- 725 volume\*Time(incubated at 37°C)\*1000).

#### Glucose consumption assay

100 μl supernatant was collected from 96-well plates with 1\*10<sup>5</sup> cells (BMDMs/HMDMs) per well. To determine glucose levels in supernatant, samples and standard (5 μl per well) were pipetted into a 96-well plate. 250 μl glucose reagent (BIOLABO) was added to standard and samples, mixed by pipetting and incubated for 30 minutes in the dark. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm. Glucose consumption was calculated as the difference between glucose levels of medium without cells and glucose levels in cell supernatants.

#### Lactate production assay

100 μl supernatant was collected from 96-well plates with 1\*10<sup>5</sup> cells (BMDMs/HMDMs) per well. Lactate levels in cellular supernatants were determined by conversion of lactate into NADH by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). First, samples were incubated for 15 minutes at 4°C with 3% metaphosphoric acid (Sigma Aldrich), centrifuged at 20.000 g for 10 minutes, and supernatants were used further. 5 μl of thus deproteinized samples were transferred into a 96-wells plate and 150 μl Master Mix consisting of 0.5 M Glycine - 0.4 M hydrazine buffer (pH = 9.0, Sigma Aldrich) with 27 mM NAD (Cayman Chemicals) was added per well. NADH fluorescence was measured using a Mithras LB 940 with  $\lambda_{\rm ex}/\lambda_{\rm em}$ = 340-10 /450-10 nm every 2 minutes for 5 cycles as background measurement. Next, 50 μl of start solution consisting of 0.5 M Glycine - 0.4 M hydrazine buffer (pH = 9.0) and 5 mg/ml LDH was added to each well. Fluorescence was measured every 2 minutes with shaking until a stable read was achieved.

## Extracellular flux analysis

XF analysis was performed using the Seahorse XFe-96 Flux Analyzer (Agilent) to examine oxygen consumption (OCR) and extracellular acidification rates (ECAR) as described previously (Van den Bossche *et al.*, 2015). Briefly, BMDMs and HMDMs were plated at a density of 7.5\*10⁴ cells per well in XF-96-cell culture plates (Agilent) and stimulated for 24 hours with LPS or IL-4, or left untreated. 1 hour prior to the assay, cells were washed and medium was replaced by Seahorse base medium (Sigma-Aldrich) without glucose, phenol red, and sodium bicarbonate, supplemented with 5 mM HEPES and 2 mM L-glutamine. The run consisted of 2 minutes mixing, 3 minutes measuring and subsequent 4 injections; Glucose (final concentration in well 25 mM), Oligomycin (O, final concentration 1.5 μM), FCCP (final concentration 1.5 μM), and antimycin A (AA, final concentration 2.5 μM) with rotenone (rot, final concentration 1.25 μM) and Hoechst 33342 (Thermo Fisher) (final concentration 5 μg/ml). Directly after the run, Hoechst signal was measured on the Cytation 5 Cell Imaging multi-mode reader (BioTek) with a 4X magnification using a 365 nm LED in combination with an EX377/50 EM 447/60 filter cube and cell counts were analyzed using Gen 5<sup>TM</sup> software. Subsequently, flux rate data was normalized to cell counts with the following equation:

- Normalized OCR or ECAR = OCR or ECAR /  $\frac{\text{cell count in center of well}}{\text{average of plate}}$ .
- Data were analyzed using Wave software version 2.6.0.31 as described previously (Van den
- 763 Bossche et al., 2015).
- 764 Mitochondrial and glycolytic contributions to total ATP production rate were calculated as
- described here (Romero, 2017). First, OXPHOS-related acidification (mitochondrial proton
- 766 efflux rate, mitoPER) of the assay medium was calculated as follows:
- 767  $mitoPER = CCF * (OCR_{basal} OCR_{Rot/AA})),$
- 768 where CCF (CO<sub>2</sub> contribution factor) was determined as 0.61 for XFe96 plates by the
- manufacturer for a range of cell types. Total proton efflux rate (PER) can be calculated as:
- 770  $total\ PER = ECAR * BF * Vol_{measurement\ chamber} * K_{vol},$
- 771 where the buffer factor (BF) of the medium refers to the amount of H<sup>+</sup> necessary to change the
- pH of the medium by one unit, and was measured according to manufacturer instructions in
- 773 the Buffer Factor Protocol Quick Reference Guide (Agilent). Vol<sub>measurement chamber</sub> and K<sub>vol</sub> are
- scaling factors to determine the effective volume of the well and were determined as 2.28 µl
- and 1.60, respectively, by the manufacturer for XFe96 plates. From this, glycolytic proton efflux
- rate and therefore glycolytic ATP production rate can be determined:
- 777 glycoATP = glycoPER = total PER mitoPER.
- 778 Next, mitochondrial ATP production rate can be calculated as follows:
- 779  $mitoATP = (OCR_{basal} OCR_{Rot/AA}) * 2 * P/O,$
- 780 where multiplication by 2 is a stoichiometric correction for oxygen atoms consumed, and P/O
- 781 is the number of ADP molecules phosphorylated to ATP per atom of oxygen which was
- determined by the manufacturer as 2.75 for a range of cell types. Lastly, total ATP production
- rate is the sum of glycoATP and mitoATP, as described by the manufacturer in the Real-time
- 784 ATP Rate assay Kit (Agilent).

#### SCENITH and Flow cytometry and analysis

- 786 SCENITH protocol was performed as described previously (Arguello et al., 2020). Briefly,
- control or metabolic inhibitors Deoxy-D-glucose (DG, final concentration 100 mM), oligomycin
- 788 (O, final concentration 1 µM), combination of DG and O (DGO), or Harringtonine (H, final
- 789 concentration 2 mg/ml) as negative control were added to fully differentiated cells and
- 790 incubated for 15 min at 37°C. Subsequently, puromycin (final concentration 10 μg/ml) was

added without washing and incubated for another 30 min at 37°C. After incubation, cells were washed with cold PBS, harvested by incubating with PBS + 5 mM EDTA for 10 min at 4°C and proceeded with Fc receptor blockade (eBioScience for mouse, BD Biosciences for human cells) and fixable viability dye staining for 15 min at 4°C in the dark. Subsequently, cells were washed and stained with surface antibody mix in PBS/0.5% BSA/0.02% sodium azide (PBA) for 30 min at 4°C in the dark. Cells were then washed, fixed and permeabilized using the FOXP3 fixation and permeabilization kit (eBioScience) according to manufacturer's instructions. For intracellular staining of iNOS, Arg1 and puromycin, cells were incubated for 1h at 4°C in antibody staining solution in permeabilization buffer. Samples were then transferred to a plate-reader compatible 96-well U-bottom plate.

All samples were acquired within 24 hours of the experiment at the O2 Flow Facility at
Amsterdam UMC (Netherlands) on an X20 Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) with
high-throughput sampler. The flow cytometer was calibrated daily using CS&T calibration
beads (BD Biosciences).

Data were analyzed using FlowJo (TreeStar, v10) and were compensated using single stains with UltraComp eBeads (ThermoFisher) labeled with the appropriate fluorochrome. Next, cells were gated on FSC-A/SSC-A to gate out debris, then on FSC-A/FSC-H to identify single-cells and lastly on FVD<sup>-</sup> viable cells (Supplementary Figure 1A).

For unbiased tSNE analyses, files of oligomycin-treated cells were uploaded to the OMIQ online analysis platform (<a href="https://omiq.ai/">https://omiq.ai/</a>), scaled and subsampled to include 10.000 cells live single cells per file. Next, the tSNE-CUDA tool set to 1500 iterations, a perplexity of 30 and a theta of 0.5 was used to create tSNE dimensionality reduction. Cells were overlaid on tSNE dimensionality reduction according to stimulus. Stimulus-associated 'clusters' were further assessed using the 'Clustered Heatmap' tool in OMIQ to identify discriminating markers between activation states.

Vehicle control, DG, O, Harringtonine, puromycin and anti-puromycin antibodies were received as SCENITH kit from (<a href="http://www.scenith.com">http://www.scenith.com</a>) (Arguello et al., 2020). A complete list of antibodies used can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

#### Fluorescent metabolic dyes

Mitochondrial mass was measured using MitoTracker Green (Invitrogen). Mitochondrial membrane potential was measured using Tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM, Thermo Fisher) and fatty acid and glucose uptake was measured using BODIPY<sup>™</sup> FL C16 (BODIPY, Thermo Fisher) or 2-(N-(7-Nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazol-4-yl)Amino)-2-Deoxyglucose (2NB-DG, Invitrogen) fluorescent probes. Cells were plated in 96-well black culture plates at a density of 8\*10<sup>4</sup> cells/well. Cells were starved for 2 hours in basal RPMI-medium with (for BODIPY C16) or without glucose (for 2NB-DG) and subsequently stained by incubation for 30

minutes in complete RPMI with either 100 nM MitoTracker, 100 nM TMRM, 100  $\mu$ M 2NB-DG or with 0.75  $\mu$ M BODIPY. Concentrations were determined by analysis of unspecific staining and effect on cell viability (Supplementary Figure 4). Hoechst (final concentration 5  $\mu$ g/ml) was added for the last 5 minutes of incubation. Cells were washed with PBS and imaged in 4X magnification on the Cytation 5 at 37°C using a 465 nm LED in combination with an EX 469/35 EM 525/39 filter cube for MitoTracker Green, BODIPY and 2NB-DG and a 523 nm LED in combination with an EX 531/40 EM 593/40 filter cube for TMRM.

For FACS analysis, cells were harvested using ice-cold PBS+5 mM EDTA, transferred to a plate-reader compatible 96-well U-bottom plate and immediately acquired on an X20 Fortessa flow cytometer with plate reader (BD Biosciences) and analyzed as described in the section 'Flow cytometry and analysis'. To validate metabolic dye signal, MitoTracker Green and TMRM signal were inhibited by the uncoupler FCCP (Sigma-Aldrich, 5  $\mu$ M final concentration), 2NB-DG by phloretin, an inhibitor of glucose transport (Sigma-Aldrich, 150  $\mu$ M final concentration), and BODIPY C16 by lipofermata, an inhibitor of fatty acid transporter 2 (Cayman Chemicals, 10  $\mu$ M final concentration) (Supplementary Figure 4).

#### Mitochondrial functional substrate assay

Mitochondrial functional substrate assays were performed using mitochondrial-substrate-coated (Biolog). First, cells for mitochondrial functional substrate assay were seeded in a 96 well culture plate at a density of  $8*10^4$  cells/well and stimulated for 24 hours with LPS or IL-4, or left untreated. 1 hour prior to the assay, 30  $\mu$ l assay buffer with 1X saponin (Sigma-Aldrich, final concentration 50  $\mu$ g/ml) and redox dye MC was dispensed into the wells of the mitochondrial-substrate-coated plate and incubated at 37°C. Then, cells were washed once with 100  $\mu$ l assay buffer and subsequently incubated with 35  $\mu$ l assay buffer with 1X saponin at room temperature for permeabilization. After 15 minute incubation time, permeabilized cells were mixed by pipetting up and down and incubated for another 15 minutes at room temperature. Lastly, 30  $\mu$ l of permeabilized cell suspension in 1X saponin was transferred to the mitochondrial-substrate-coated plate.

Additionally, intact BMDMs were plated as  $4*10^4$  cells/well in 50  $\mu$ l MC-0 Assay Medium into carbon-substrate-coated plates (Biolog) to further assess whole-cell substrate usage as opposed to only mitochondrial substrate use. Cells and substrates were pre-incubated for 24 hours at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, and metabolism was assessed after adding 20  $\mu$ l 6X Biolog Redox Dye MB.

Color formation in mitochondrial-substrate-coated and carbon-substrate-coated plates was measured by the automated platform OmniLog (Biolog) and maximum rates between 1 and 4 hours of analysis were determined using Biolog Data Analysis software version 1.7.1.58.

#### **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) unless specified differently. Statistical significance was analyzed using an ordinary one-way or two-way ANOVA where appropriate followed by Sidak's or Dunnett's correction for multiple comparisons, respectively, in GraphPad Prism software (8.2.1) using paired analysis to minimize effects of mouse/donor variation. For substrate utilization experiments, significant substrates were determined with a Student's t-distribution using Biolog Data Analysis Software. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant indicated by \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. The number of mice or donors included in each experiment is indicated in the figure legend. Except for the titration of metabolic dyes, all experiments were performed as at least 2 independent experiments. For NO and Arginase assays, XF analyses and fluorescent probe uptake, at least 3 technical replicates were included to calculate a mean value to represent a mouse or human donor.

- 875 Ahl, P.J., Hopkins, R.A., Xiang, W.W., Au, B., Kaliaperumal, N., Fairhurst, A.M., and
- 876 Connolly, J.E. (2020). Met-Flow, a strategy for single-cell metabolic analysis highlights
- dynamic changes in immune subpopulations. Commun Biol 3, 305. 10.1038/s42003-020-
- 878 1027-9.
- 879 Arguello, R.J., Combes, A.J., Char, R., Gigan, J.P., Baaziz, A.I., Bousiquot, E., Camosseto,
- V., Samad, B., Tsui, J., Yan, P., et al. (2020). SCENITH: A Flow Cytometry-Based Method to
- 881 Functionally Profile Energy Metabolism with Single-Cell Resolution. Cell Metab 32, 1063-
- 882 1075 e1067. 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.11.007.
- Artyomov, M.N., and Van den Bossche, J. (2020). Immunometabolism in the Single-Cell Era.
- 884 Cell Metab 32, 710-725. 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.09.013.
- Baardman, J., Verberk, S.G.S., Prange, K.H.M., van Weeghel, M., van der Velden, S., Ryan,
- D.G., Wust, R.C.I., Neele, A.E., Speijer, D., Denis, S.W., et al. (2018). A Defective Pentose
- 887 Phosphate Pathway Reduces Inflammatory Macrophage Responses during
- 888 Hypercholesterolemia. Cell Rep 25, 2044-2052 e2045. 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.10.092.
- Bailey, J.D., Diotallevi, M., Nicol, T., McNeill, E., Shaw, A., Chuaiphichai, S., Hale, A., Starr,
- 890 A., Nandi, M., Stylianou, E., et al. (2019). Nitric Oxide Modulates Metabolic Remodeling in
- 891 Inflammatory Macrophages through TCA Cycle Regulation and Itaconate Accumulation. Cell
- 892 Rep 28, 218-230 e217. 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.06.018.
- 893 Bambouskova, M., Potuckova, L., Paulenda, T., Kerndl, M., Mogilenko, D.A., Lizotte, K.,
- Swain, A., Hayes, S., Sheldon, R.D., Kim, H., et al. (2021). Itaconate confers tolerance to
- late NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Cell Rep *34*, 108756. 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.108756.
- 896 Bauerfeld, C.P., Rastogi, R., Pirockinaite, G., Lee, I., Huttemann, M., Monks, B., Birnbaum,
- 897 M.J., Franchi, L., Nunez, G., and Samavati, L. (2012). TLR4-mediated AKT activation is
- 898 MyD88/TRIF dependent and critical for induction of oxidative phosphorylation and
- mitochondrial transcription factor A in murine macrophages. J Immunol 188, 2847-2857.
- 900 10.4049/jimmunol.1102157.
- 901 Binek, A., Rojo, D., Godzien, J., Ruperez, F.J., Nunez, V., Jorge, I., Ricote, M., Vazquez, J.,
- and Barbas, C. (2019). Flow Cytometry Has a Significant Impact on the Cellular Metabolome.
- 903 J Proteome Res 18, 169-181. 10.1021/acs.jproteome.8b00472.
- 904 Buck, M.D., O'Sullivan, D., Klein Geltink, R.I., Curtis, J.D., Chang, C.H., Sanin, D.E., Qiu, J.,
- 905 Kretz, O., Braas, D., van der Windt, G.J., et al. (2016). Mitochondrial Dynamics Controls T
- 906 Cell Fate through Metabolic Programming. Cell *166*, 63-76. 10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.035.
- 907 D'Souza, L.J., Wright, S.H., and Bhattacharya, D. (2021). 10.1101/2021.12.13.472409.
- 908 Everts, B., Amiel, E., van der Windt, G.J., Freitas, T.C., Chott, R., Yarasheski, K.E., Pearce,
- 909 E.L., and Pearce, E.J. (2012). Commitment to glycolysis sustains survival of NO-producing
- 910 inflammatory dendritic cells. Blood *120*, 1422-1431. 10.1182/blood-2012-03-419747.
- 911 Farren, M.R., Sayegh, L., Ware, M.B., Chen, H.R., Gong, J., Liang, Y., Krasinskas, A.,
- 912 Maithel, S.K., Zaidi, M., Sarmiento, J.M., et al. (2020). Immunologic alterations in the
- 913 pancreatic cancer microenvironment of patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy and
- 914 radiotherapy. JCI Insight 5. 10.1172/jci.insight.130362.
- 915 Feingold, K.R., Shigenaga, J.K., Kazemi, M.R., McDonald, C.M., Patzek, S.M., Cross, A.S.,
- 916 Moser, A., and Grunfeld, C. (2012). Mechanisms of triglyceride accumulation in activated
- 917 macrophages. J Leukoc Biol 92, 829-839. 10.1189/jlb.1111537.
- 918 Freemerman, A.J., Johnson, A.R., Sacks, G.N., Milner, J.J., Kirk, E.L., Troester, M.A.,
- 919 Macintyre, A.N., Goraksha-Hicks, P., Rathmell, J.C., and Makowski, L. (2014). Metabolic
- 920 reprogramming of macrophages: glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1)-mediated glucose
- 921 metabolism drives a proinflammatory phenotype. J Biol Chem 289, 7884-7896.
- 922 10.1074/jbc.M113.522037.
- 923 Galli, G., and Saleh, M. (2020). Immunometabolism of Macrophages in Bacterial Infections.
- 924 Front Cell Infect Microbiol *10*, 607650. 10.3389/fcimb.2020.607650.
- 925 Gross, T.J., Kremens, K., Powers, L.S., Brink, B., Knutson, T., Domann, F.E., Philibert, R.A.,
- 926 Milhem, M.M., and Monick, M.M. (2014). Epigenetic silencing of the human NOS2 gene:

- rethinking the role of nitric oxide in human macrophage inflammatory responses. J Immunol
- 928 *192*, 2326-2338. 10.4049/jimmunol.1301758.
- Harber, K.J., de Goede, K.E., Verberk, S.G.S., Meinster, E., de Vries, H.E., van Weeghel,
- 930 M., de Winther, M.P.J., and Van den Bossche, J. (2020). Succinate Is an Inflammation-
- 931 Induced Immunoregulatory Metabolite in Macrophages. Metabolites 10.
- 932 10.3390/metabo10090372.
- 933 Hartmann, F.J., and Bendall, S.C. (2020). Immune monitoring using mass cytometry and
- related high-dimensional imaging approaches. Nat Rev Rheumatol 16, 87-99.
- 935 10.1038/s41584-019-0338-z.
- 936 Hartmann, F.J., Mrdjen, D., McCaffrey, E., Glass, D.R., Greenwald, N.F., Bharadwaj, A.,
- 937 Khair, Z., Verberk, S.G.S., Baranski, A., Baskar, R., et al. (2021). Single-cell metabolic
- 938 profiling of human cytotoxic T cells. Nat Biotechnol *39*, 186-197. 10.1038/s41587-020-0651-939 8.
- 940 Huang, S.C., Everts, B., Ivanova, Y., O'Sullivan, D., Nascimento, M., Smith, A.M., Beatty, W.,
- Love-Gregory, L., Lam, W.Y., O'Neill, C.M., et al. (2014a). Cell-intrinsic lysosomal lipolysis is
- 942 essential for alternative activation of macrophages. Nat Immunol 15, 846-855.
- 943 10.1038/ni.2956.
- Huang, Y.L., Morales-Rosado, J., Ray, J., Myers, T.G., Kho, T., Lu, M., and Munford, R.S.
- 945 (2014b). Toll-like receptor agonists promote prolonged triglyceride storage in macrophages.
- 946 J Biol Chem 289, 3001-3012. 10.1074/jbc.M113.524587.
- Jaguin, M., Houlbert, N., Fardel, O., and Lecureur, V. (2013). Polarization profiles of human
- 948 M-CSF-generated macrophages and comparison of M1-markers in classically activated
- macrophages from GM-CSF and M-CSF origin. Cell Immunol 281, 51-61.
- 950 10.1016/j.cellimm.2013.01.010.
- 951 Jha, A.K., Huang, S.C., Sergushichev, A., Lampropoulou, V., Ivanova, Y., Loginicheva, E.,
- Chmielewski, K., Stewart, K.M., Ashall, J., Everts, B., et al. (2015). Network integration of
- 953 parallel metabolic and transcriptional data reveals metabolic modules that regulate
- 954 macrophage polarization. Immunity *42*, 419-430. 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.02.005.
- 955 Kalvala, A., Wallet, P., Yang, L., Wang, C., Li, H., Nam, A., Nathan, A., Mambetsariev, I.,
- 956 Poroyko, V., Gao, H., et al. (2019). Phenotypic Switching of Naive T Cells to Immune-
- 957 Suppressive Treg-Like Cells by Mutant KRAS. J Clin Med 8. 10.3390/jcm8101726.
- 958 Kam, Y., Rogers, G.W., Jastromb, N., Dranka, B.P. (2018). Methods and strategies for
- 959 normalizing XF metabolic data to cellular parameters.
- 960 <a href="https://www.agilent.com/cs/library/technicaloverviews/public/Methods">https://www.agilent.com/cs/library/technicaloverviews/public/Methods</a> and Strategies for N
- 961 ormalizing Tech Overview 022118.pdf.
- 962 Keren, L., Bosse, M., Thompson, S., Risom, T., Vijayaragavan, K., McCaffrey, E., Marquez,
- 963 D., Angoshtari, R., Greenwald, N.F., Fienberg, H., et al. (2019). MIBI-TOF: A multiplexed
- imaging platform relates cellular phenotypes and tissue structure. Sci Adv 5, eaax5851.
- 965 10.1126/sciadv.aax5851.
- 966 Koenis, D.S., Medzikovic, L., van Loenen, P.B., van Weeghel, M., Huveneers, S., Vos, M.,
- 967 Evers-van Gogh, I.J., Van den Bossche, J., Speijer, D., Kim, Y., et al. (2018). Nuclear
- 968 Receptor Nur77 Limits the Macrophage Inflammatory Response through Transcriptional
- 969 Reprogramming of Mitochondrial Metabolism. Cell Rep 24, 2127-2140 e2127.
- 970 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.07.065.
- 971 Lacey, D.C., Achuthan, A., Fleetwood, A.J., Dinh, H., Roiniotis, J., Scholz, G.M., Chang,
- 972 M.W., Beckman, S.K., Cook, A.D., and Hamilton, J.A. (2012). Defining GM-CSF- and
- 973 macrophage-CSF-dependent macrophage responses by in vitro models. J Immunol 188,
- 974 5752-5765. 10.4049/jimmunol.1103426.
- 975 Lachmandas, E., Boutens, L., Ratter, J.M., Hijmans, A., Hoojveld, G.J., Joosten, L.A.,
- 976 Rodenburg, R.J., Fransen, J.A., Houtkooper, R.H., van Crevel, R., et al. (2016). Microbial
- 977 stimulation of different Toll-like receptor signalling pathways induces diverse metabolic
- 978 programmes in human monocytes. Nat Microbiol 2, 16246. 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.246.
- 979 Lampropoulou, V., Sergushichev, A., Bambouskova, M., Nair, S., Vincent, E.E., Loginicheva,
- 980 E., Cervantes-Barragan, L., Ma, X., Huang, S.C., Griss, T., et al. (2016). Itaconate Links

- 981 Inhibition of Succinate Dehydrogenase with Macrophage Metabolic Remodeling and
- 982 Regulation of Inflammation. Cell Metab 24, 158-166. 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.06.004.
- 983 Lauterbach, M.A., Hanke, J.E., Serefidou, M., Mangan, M.S.J., Kolbe, C.C., Hess, T., Rothe,
- 984 M., Kaiser, R., Hoss, F., Gehlen, J., et al. (2019). Toll-like Receptor Signaling Rewires
- 985 Macrophage Metabolism and Promotes Histone Acetylation via ATP-Citrate Lyase. Immunity
- 986 *51*, 997-1011 e1017. 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.11.009.
- 987 Levine, L.S., Hiam-Galvez, K.J., Marquez, D.M., Tenvooren, I., Madden, M.Z., Contreras,
- 988 D.C., Dahunsi, D.O., Irish, J.M., Oluwole, O.O., Rathmell, J.C., and Spitzer, M.H. (2021).
- 989 Single-cell analysis by mass cytometry reveals metabolic states of early-activated CD8(+) T
- 990 cells during the primary immune response. Immunity 54, 829-844 e825.
- 991 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.02.018.
- 992 Lim, A.R., Rathmell, W.K., and Rathmell, J.C. (2020). The tumor microenvironment as a
- 993 metabolic barrier to effector T cells and immunotherapy. Elife 9, e55185.
- Little, A.C., Kovalenko, I., Goo, L.E., Hong, H.S., Kerk, S.A., Yates, J.A., Purohit, V.,
- 995 Lombard, D.B., Merajver, S.D., and Lyssiotis, C.A. (2020). High-content fluorescence
- 996 imaging with the metabolic flux assay reveals insights into mitochondrial properties and
- 997 functions. Commun Biol 3, 271. 10.1038/s42003-020-0988-z.
- 998 Llufrio, E.M., Wang, L., Naser, F.J., and Patti, G.J. (2018). Sorting cells alters their redox
- 999 state and cellular metabolome. Redox Biol *16*, 381-387. 10.1016/j.redox.2018.03.004.
- Lopes, N., McIntyre, C., Martin, S., Raverdeau, M., Sumaria, N., Kohlgruber, A.C., Fiala,
- 1001 G.J., Agudelo, L.Z., Dyck, L., Kane, H., et al. (2021). Distinct metabolic programs established
- in the thymus control effector functions of gammadelta T cell subsets in tumor
- 1003 microenvironments. Nat Immunol 22, 179-192. 10.1038/s41590-020-00848-3.
- 1004 Makowski, L., Chaib, M., and Rathmell, J.C. (2020). Immunometabolism: From basic
- mechanisms to translation. Immunological reviews 295, 5-14.
- 1006 Martinez, F.O., Helming, L., Milde, R., Varin, A., Melgert, B.N., Draijer, C., Thomas, B.,
- 1007 Fabbri, M., Crawshaw, A., Ho, L.P., et al. (2013). Genetic programs expressed in resting and
- 1008 IL-4 alternatively activated mouse and human macrophages: similarities and differences.
- 1009 Blood 121, e57-69. 10.1182/blood-2012-06-436212.
- 1010 Miller, A., Nagy, C., Knapp, B., Laengle, J., Ponweiser, E., Groeger, M., Starkl, P.,
- Bergmann, M., Wagner, O., and Haschemi, A. (2017). Exploring Metabolic Configurations of
- 1012 Single Cells within Complex Tissue Microenvironments. Cell Metab 26, 788-800 e786.
- 1013 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.08.014.
- 1014 Mills, E.L., Kelly, B., Logan, A., Costa, A.S.H., Varma, M., Bryant, C.E., Tourlomousis, P.,
- 1015 Dabritz, J.H.M., Gottlieb, E., Latorre, I., et al. (2016). Succinate Dehydrogenase Supports
- 1016 Metabolic Repurposing of Mitochondria to Drive Inflammatory Macrophages. Cell 167, 457-
- 1017 470 e413. 10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.064.
- 1018 Mills, E.L., and O'Neill, L.A. (2016). Reprogramming mitochondrial metabolism in
- macrophages as an anti-inflammatory signal. Eur J Immunol 46, 13-21.
- 1020 10.1002/eji.201445427.
- Munder, M., Eichmann, K., and Modolell, M. (1998). Alternative metabolic states in murine
- macrophages reflected by the nitric oxide synthase/arginase balance: competitive regulation
- by CD4+ T cells correlates with Th1/Th2 phenotype. J Immunol 160, 5347-5354.
- Murphy, M.P., and O'Neill, L.A.J. (2020). How should we talk about metabolism? Nat
- 1025 Immunol 21, 713-715. 10.1038/s41590-020-0691-8.
- Murray, P.J., Allen, J.E., Biswas, S.K., Fisher, E.A., Gilroy, D.W., Goerdt, S., Gordon, S.,
- 1027 Hamilton, J.A., Ivashkiv, L.B., Lawrence, T., et al. (2014). Macrophage activation and
- polarization: nomenclature and experimental guidelines. Immunity 41, 14-20.
- 1029 10.1016/i.immuni.2014.06.008.
- Namgaladze, D., and Brune, B. (2014). Fatty acid oxidation is dispensable for human
- macrophage IL-4-induced polarization. Biochim Biophys Acta 1841, 1329-1335.
- 1032 10.1016/j.bbalip.2014.06.007.
- 1033 Palmer, A., Phapale, P., Chernyavsky, I., Lavigne, R., Fay, D., Tarasov, A., Kovalev, V.,
- Fuchser, J., Nikolenko, S., Pineau, C., et al. (2017). FDR-controlled metabolite annotation for
- high-resolution imaging mass spectrometry. Nat Methods 14, 57-60. 10.1038/nmeth.4072.

- 1036 Qiu, B., and Simon, M.C. (2016). BODIPY 493/503 Staining of Neutral Lipid Droplets for
- 1037 Microscopy and Quantification by Flow Cytometry. Bio Protoc 6. 10.21769/BioProtoc.1912.
- Rattigan, K.M., Pountain, A.W., Regnault, C., Achcar, F., Vincent, I.M., Goodyear, C.S., and
- 1039 Barrett, M.P. (2018). Metabolomic profiling of macrophages determines the discrete
- metabolomic signature and metabolomic interactome triggered by polarising immune stimuli.
- 1041 PLoS One *13*, e0194126. 10.1371/journal.pone.0194126.
- 1042 Romero, N., Swain, P., Neilson A., and Dranka, B.P. (2017). Improving Quantification of
- 1043 Cellular Glycolytic Rate Using Agilent Seahorse XF Technology
- 1044 https://seahorseinfo.agilent.com/acton/fs/blocks/showLandingPage/a/10967/p/p-
- 1045 00ca/t/page/fm/1.
- Salabei, J.K., Gibb, A.A., and Hill, B.G. (2014). Comprehensive measurement of respiratory
- activity in permeabilized cells using extracellular flux analysis. Nat Protoc 9, 421-438.
- 1048 10.1038/nprot.2014.018.
- 1049 Scharping, N.E., Menk, A.V., Moreci, R.S., Whetstone, R.D., Dadey, R.E., Watkins, S.C.,
- 1050 Ferris, R.L., and Delgoffe, G.M. (2016). The Tumor Microenvironment Represses T Cell
- 1051 Mitochondrial Biogenesis to Drive Intratumoral T Cell Metabolic Insufficiency and
- 1052 Dysfunction. Immunity 45, 701-703. 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.08.009.
- Sinclair, L.V., Barthelemy, C., and Cantrell, D.A. (2020). Single Cell Glucose Uptake Assays:
- A Cautionary Tale. Immunometabolism 2, e200029. 10.20900/immunometab20200029.
- Tavakoli, S., Zamora, D., Ullevig, S., and Asmis, R. (2013). Bioenergetic profiles diverge
- during macrophage polarization: implications for the interpretation of 18F-FDG PET imaging
- 1057 of atherosclerosis. J Nucl Med *54*, 1661-1667. 10.2967/jnumed.112.119099.
- Thomas, A.C., and Mattila, J.T. (2014). "Of mice and men": arginine metabolism in
- 1059 macrophages. Front Immunol *5*, 479. 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00479.
- 1060 Thwe, P.M., Pelgrom, L.R., Cooper, R., Beauchamp, S., Reisz, J.A., D'Alessandro, A.,
- 1061 Everts, B., and Amiel, E. (2019). Cell-Intrinsic Glycogen Metabolism Supports Early
- 1062 Glycolytic Reprogramming Required for Dendritic Cell Immune Responses. Cell Metab 30,
- 1063 225. 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.05.017.
- Van den Bossche, J., Baardman, J., and de Winther, M.P. (2015). Metabolic characterization
- of polarized M1 and M2 bone marrow-derived macrophages using real-time extracellular flux analysis. JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments), e53424.
- Van den Bossche, J., Baardman, J., Otto, N.A., van der Velden, S., Neele, A.E., van den
- Berg, S.M., Luque-Martin, R., Chen, H.J., Boshuizen, M.C., Ahmed, M., et al. (2016).
- 1069 Mitochondrial Dysfunction Prevents Repolarization of Inflammatory Macrophages. Cell Rep
- 1070 17, 684-696. 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.09.008.
- 1071 Van den Bossche, J., O'Neill, L.A., and Menon, D. (2017). Macrophage Immunometabolism:
- 1072 Where Are We (Going)? Trends Immunol 38, 395-406. 10.1016/j.it.2017.03.001.
- van der Windt, G.J., Everts, B., Chang, C.H., Curtis, J.D., Freitas, T.C., Amiel, E., Pearce,
- 1074 E.J., and Pearce, E.L. (2012). Mitochondrial respiratory capacity is a critical regulator of
- 1075 CD8+ T cell memory development. Immunity *36*, 68-78. 10.1016/j.immuni.2011.12.007.
- 1076 Vats, D., Mukundan, L., Odegaard, J.I., Zhang, L., Smith, K.L., Morel, C.R., Wagner, R.A.,
- 1077 Greaves, D.R., Murray, P.J., and Chawla, A. (2006). Oxidative metabolism and PGC-1beta
- attenuate macrophage-mediated inflammation. Cell Metab 4, 13-24.
- 1079 10.1016/j.cmet.2006.05.011.
- 1080 Vijayan, V., Pradhan, P., Braud, L., Fuchs, H.R., Gueler, F., Motterlini, R., Foresti, R., and
- 1081 Immenschuh, S. (2019). Human and murine macrophages exhibit differential metabolic
- responses to lipopolysaccharide A divergent role for glycolysis. Redox Biol 22, 101147.
- 1083 10.1016/j.redox.2019.101147.
- 1084 Voss, K., Hong, H.S., Bader, J.E., Sugiura, A., Lyssiotis, C.A., and Rathmell, J.C. (2021), A
- guide to interrogating immunometabolism. Nat Rev Immunol. 10.1038/s41577-021-00529-8.
- 1086 Wynn, T.A., Chawla, A., and Pollard, J.W. (2013). Macrophage biology in development,
- 1087 homeostasis and disease. Nature 496, 445-455. 10.1038/nature12034.
- 1088 Yu, W., Wang, X., Zhao, J., Liu, R., Liu, J., Wang, Z., Peng, J., Wu, H., Zhang, X., Long, Z.,
- et al. (2020). Stat2-Drp1 mediated mitochondrial mass increase is necessary for pro-

- inflammatory differentiation of macrophages. Redox Biol 37, 101761.
- 1091 10.1016/j.redox.2020.101761.
- Thang, I.W., Curto, A., Lopez-Vicario, C., Casulleras, M., Duran-Guell, M., Flores-Costa, R.,
- 1093 Colsch, B., Aguilar, F., Aransay, A.M., Lozano, J.J., et al. (2021). Mitochondrial dysfunction
- 1094 governs immunometabolism in leukocytes of patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure. J
- 1095 Hepatol. 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.08.009.
- Zorova, L.D., Popkov, V.A., Plotnikov, E.Y., Silachev, D.N., Pevzner, I.B., Jankauskas, S.S.,
- 1097 Babenko, V.A., Zorov, S.D., Balakireva, A.V., Juhaszova, M., et al. (2018). Mitochondrial
- membrane potential. Anal Biochem *552*, 50-59. 10.1016/j.ab.2017.07.009.