

Framing the area for avoiding visual interference and optimizing visual search in adolescents and adults

Sabrina Bouhassoun, Christian Gerlach, Grégoire Borst, Nicolas Poirel

▶ To cite this version:

Sabrina Bouhassoun, Christian Gerlach, Grégoire Borst, Nicolas Poirel. Framing the area for avoiding visual interference and optimizing visual search in adolescents and adults. FLUX, Sep 2022, Paris, France. . hal-04043209

HAL Id: hal-04043209 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04043209

Submitted on 23 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

FRAMING THE AREA FOR AVOIDING VISUAL INTERFERENCE AND **OPTIMIZING VISUAL SEARCH IN ADOLESCENTS AND ADULTS**

Sabrina Bouhassoun^{a,b,},, Christian Gerlach^c, Grégoire Borst^{a,d}, Nicolas Poirel^{a,b,d}

 (\mathbf{T})

LaPsvDÉ







Cyceron

Visual distractions as a key factor during visual search Because of our limited attentional capacity (Desimone & Duncan, 1995), searching for relevant information frequently induces attentional competition between

Handling with visual distractors plays a key role during effective visual search and depends, at least in part, on the target and distractors attributes (e.g., Duncan &

Ignoring visual distractors is critical to ensure optimal working memory performance through lifespan (e.g., Zanto & Gazzaley, 2009).

The use of compound stimuli has proven helpful in teasing apart the effects of global and local properties of visual stimuli on both object recognition (Gerlach & Poirel, 2018) and visual search tasks (Kimchi et al., 2005).

Searching for details in our visual environment frequently requires cognitive resources (Poirel et al., 2014; see also Navon, 2003; Poirel et al., 2008).

During visual search task, participants require more time to identify a target at the local level as the number of distractors increases (e.g., Krakowski et

al., 2015). **AIMS OF THE STUDY** We investigated the developmental impact of a frame that delimits a portion of a screen during visual search, and how the frame can prevent the processing of irrelevant stimuli located outside of it during a demanding detail-local oriented attentional task - Adolescents: n=41 (M = 12.4 years, SD = 0.6) with normal or corrected-to-normal visual acuity. Sample Target : - Frame group : n=21 (M= 12.6 years, SD = 0.5) - No-frame group : n=20 (M= 12.3 years, SD = 0.7) Local-oriented trial - Adults: - Frame group : n=46 (M = 22.5 years, SD = 5.0) with normal or corrected-to-normal visual acuity. Stimuli All the stimuli were hierarchical Navon-like figures (Navon, 1977). Target stimuli contained a square at the target level (local Inside-frame and outsideor global level) and circles at the irrelevant level frame distractor conditions Frame group procedure The frame appeared at one of five possible positions on the screen (upper right, upper left, lower right, × 1 × 3 × 5 lower left, or center) x 3 x 9 - Target-present trials (50%) : The target was located inside a gray frame with one or more inside and outside distractors. - Target-absent trials (50%) : Trials were matched with each target-present trial by replacing the target stimulus with a non-target. No-frame group procedure: The exact same items were used, except that the frame was absent. ADOLESCENTS : ADULTS : There was a significant target level x group x number of outside-frame There was no main effect of the number distractor interaction effect (p<.001, η_p^2 =.64). of outside-frame distractors (p=.246) and ADOLESCENTS non significant target level x number of Frame group: No-frame group: Frame No frame outside-frame distractors interaction x 2600 2500 2400 2300 2200 2000 1900 1800 1500 1400 1300 1400 1300 1000 900 800 trials interaction (p=.351), reflecting the $^{\circ}$ ੇ lack of influence of the outside-frame ं ं ं $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ distractors on RTs when searching for a RESULTS target (present or absent-target) at both $^{\circ}$ ੇ ं $^{\circ}$ े \bigcirc ੇ $^{\circ}$ the global and local levels. ੇ Response time vs. Developmental trajectory of the effect of $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ ੇ \bigcirc ं physical framing : Adults were faster during the local visual ं ੇ search task with the frame compared to ੇ ं $^{\circ}$ ੇ adolescents (p<.001, η_p^2 =.62). There was non-significant interaction 9 15 3 3 9 15 with age group (adolescents ; adults)(all Number of outside-frame distractors p's>.10). The RTs were not affected by the The RTs were affected by the increasing of outside-frame distractors increasing number of distractors during the local visual search task. during local target processing (all ps<.001). These results suggest that a frame presented on a visual display can prevent attentional resources from being caught by irrelevant stimuli presented outside of the frame (Bouhassoun et al., 2021). The present results suggest that: (1) Using a frame that delimits a topographical visual area is a concrete and efficient approach for optimizing the allocation of attention during visual search in DISCUSSI

both middle-school adolescents and adults.

(2) This effect of physical framing on visual search performance is found in both adults and adolescents, although adults are faster, which probably reflects a better executive control with age related to the maturation of the prefrontal cortex (e.g., Gogtay et al., 2004).

Our findings also provide evidence that local details processing can be improved without modifying the structure of the stimulus (Bouhassoun et al., 2021).

These findings afford a better understanding of the deployment of attention during visual search and hold implications for daily real-world situations such as academic/learning situations that require visual attention to be maintained over long periods of time.

TAKE HOME MESSAGE

When a frame delimits a restricted topographical visual area, it refrains the influence of an increasing number of outside-frame distractors for both adolescents and adults.



Desimone, R., & Duncan, J. (1995). Annual Review of Neuroscience Duncan, J., & Humphreys, G. W. (1989). Psychological Review. Gerlach, C., & Poirel, N. (2018). Scientific Reports. Gogtay, N. et al., (2004). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Krakowski, C. S., Borst, G., Pineau, A., Houdé, O., & Poirel, N. (2015). Acta Psychologica. Navon, D. (2003). Acta Psychologica Poirel, N., Pineau, A., & Mellet, E. (2008). Acta Psychologica

Poirel, N., Krakowski, C. S., Sayah, S., Pineau, A., Houdé, O., & Borst, G. (2014). Experimental Psychology. Zanto, T. P., & Gazzaley, A. (2009). Journal of Neuroscience.