

In Situ Trace Element and Fe-O Isotope Studies on Magnetite of the Iron-Oxide Ores from the Takab Region, North Western Iran: Implications for Ore Genesis

Christiane Wagner, Johan Villeneuve, Omar Boudouma, Nicolas Rividi, Beate Orberger, Ghasem Nabatian, Maryam Honarmand, Iman Monsef

► To cite this version:

Christiane Wagner, Johan Villeneuve, Omar Boudouma, Nicolas Rividi, Beate Orberger, et al.. In Situ Trace Element and Fe-O Isotope Studies on Magnetite of the Iron-Oxide Ores from the Takab Region, North Western Iran: Implications for Ore Genesis. Minerals, 2023, 13 (6), pp.774. 10.3390/min13060774. hal-04122772

HAL Id: hal-04122772 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04122772v1

Submitted on 8 Jun2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





In Situ Trace Element and Fe-O Isotope Studies on Magnetite of the Iron-Oxide Ores from the Takab Region, North Western Iran: Implications for Ore Genesis

Christiane Wagner ^{1,*}, Johan Villeneuve ², Omar Boudouma ¹, Nicolas Rividi ³, Beate Orberger ⁴, Ghasem Nabatian ⁵, Maryam Honarmand ⁶ and Iman Monsef ⁶

- ¹ Sorbonne Université, CNRS-INSU, Institut des Sciences de la Terre de Paris, ISTeP, 75005 Paris, France; omar.boudouma@sorbonne-universite.fr
- ² CRPG, CNRS, Université de Lorraine, 54500 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France; johan.villeneuve@univ-lorraine.fr
- ³ Sorbonne Université, IPGP, CNRS-INSU, 75005 Paris, France; nicolas.rividi@sorbonne-universite.fr
- ⁴ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, GEOPS, 91405 Orsay, France; beate.orberger@universite-paris-saclay.fr
- ⁵ Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, University of Zanjan, University Boulevard, Zanjan 45371-38791, Iran; gh.nabatian@znu.ac.ir
- ⁶ Department of Earth Sciences, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran; m.honarmand@iasbs.ac.ir (M.H.); iman.monsef@iasbs.ac.ir (I.M.)
- Correspondence: christiane.wagner_raffin@sorbonne-universite.fr

Abstract: The early Cambrian Takab iron ore deposit is situated in the northern part of the Sanandaj-Sirjan zone, western Iran. It consists of banded, nodular and disseminated magnetite hosted in folded micaschists. Trace element and Fe and O isotopic experiments reveal various hydrothermal precipitation environments under reduced to slightly oxidizing conditions. Disseminated magnetite has high Ti (945–1940 ppm) positively correlated with Mg + Al + Si, and heavy Fe (+0.76 to +1.86‰) and O (+1.0 to +4.07‰) isotopic compositions that support a magmatic/high-T hydrothermal origin. Banded magnetite has low Ti (15–200 ppm), V (≤100 ppm), Si and Mg (mostly ≤300 ppm) and variable Al. The ∂^{56} Fe values vary from -0.2% to +1.12‰ but most values also support a magmatic/high-T hydrothermal origin. However, variable ∂^{18} O (-2.52 to +1.22‰) values provide evidence of re-equilibration with lower-T fluid at ~200–300 °C. Nodular magnetite shows high Mn (≤1%), and mostly negative ∂^{56} Fe values (average, -0.3%) indicative of precipitation from an isotopically light hydrothermal fluid. Re-equilibration with carbonated rocks/fluids likely results in a negative Ce anomaly and higher ∂^{18} O (average, +6.30‰). The Takab iron ore deposit has, thus, experienced a complex hydrothermal history.

Keywords: Takab; Iran; magnetite; trace element; Fe isotopes; O isotopes; EMPA; SIMS

1. Introduction

Magnetite forms in various geological settings and can survive chemical alteration and mechanical breakdown processes. It can accommodate a variety of trace elements and thus may be a useful petrogenetic indicator of the specific environments in which it formed and evolved [1–4]. Numerous studies have reported that magmatic- and hydrothermalrelated magnetite are characterized by different chemical signatures: for example, hydrothermal magnetite contains higher Ca, Al and Mn and lower Ti and V than magmatic magnetite [1,3,4]. Furthermore, iron and oxygen isotope signatures differ in the two oreforming contexts e.g., [2,5–8].

In Iran, iron deposits were formed during several metallogenic stages. The Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian iron deposits are mainly interpreted as Kiruna-type IOA (Iron Oxide-Apatite), whereas the Mesozoic to Cenozoic deposits are mostly interpreted as skarns e.g., [9–14]. Their spatial distribution is correlated with the main suture zones of the fragmented Iranian continental block [13,15,16]. The major deposits are located in the



Citation: Wagner, C.; Villeneuve, J.; Boudouma, O.; Rividi, N.; Orberger, B.; Nabatian, G.; Honarmand, M.; Monsef, I. In Situ Trace Element and Fe-O Isotope Studies on Magnetite of the Iron-Oxide Ores from the Takab Region, North Western Iran: Implications for Ore Genesis. *Minerals* **2023**, *13*, 774. https:// doi.org/10.3390/min13060774

Academic Editor: Mauricio Calderón

Received: 10 March 2023 Revised: 19 April 2023 Accepted: 28 May 2023 Published: 6 June 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Central Iranian zone and interpreted as associated with the evolution of the Paleo-Tethys Ocean, while the deposits in the Alborz magmatic zone, Urumieh–Doktar magmatic arc and the Sanandaj–Sirjan magmatic-metamorphic zone (SSZ) are related to the subduction and the closure of the Neo–Tethys Ocean ([13] and reference therein). The Sanandaj–Sirjan structural zone extends 1500 km from the northwest to the southeast parallel to the Zagros belt, on the East side of the Main Zagros Fault (Figure 1; [15,17,18]). The SSZ hosts numerous Fe and Fe-Mn deposits interpreted as being of volcano-sedimentary, hydrothermal or mixed volcano-sedimentary and skarn in origin: for example the major deposits from Gol Gohar in the south near Sirjan, the Hamekasi deposits near Hamedan (e.g., Baba Ali), Shamsabad near Arak and in the Zanjan district (e.g., Sorkhe Dizaj) from the nearby northwestern Central Iranian Zone (Figure 1; [13,19] and references therein, [20]).



Figure 1. Tectonic and structural map of Iran (after [13]). The red star indicates the location of the Takab study area. The black boxes correspond to the locations of different types of iron ore deposits in Iran. Zagros, Zagros ranges; KRSZ, Kermanshah Radiolarires subzone; SSZ, Sanandaj-Sirjan zone; UD, Urumieh-Dokhtar magmatic arc; The Central Iranian microcontinent includes Yadz, Posht-e-Badam block (PB), Tabas, and Lut blocks; Alborz, Alborz ranges; KTZ, Khazar-Talesh-Ziveh structural zone; CIZ, Central Iranian zone; Sistan, East Iran ranges; Makran, Makran zone; KD, Kopeh-Dagh ranges; Zabol, Zabol area; CMR, Cenozoic magmatic rocks [13].

Compared to the above deposits, relatively, little is known about the ore deposits in the northern part of the SSZ. Here we present results from a study of the iron ore deposit of Takab in the NW of SSZ, a hundred kilometers west of Zanjan [21–23]. Based on field and petrologial studies it was suggested that these ores are typical for banded iron formation (BIF) environments [21,24]. However, preliminary microtextural and mineralogical studies suggest that these ores have experienced significant fluid events [22,23]. Here we show that an in-situ study of trace elements in magnetite coupled with Fe and O isotopes of magnetite from the Takab iron deposit is powerful to constrain the factors controlling the chemical

and isotopic composition of magnetite, and thereby to precise the origin and evolution of this iron deposit.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Geological Settings

The ore deposit is hosted in the Takab complex located in the northern part of the SSZ at $47^{\circ}45'$ to $47^{\circ}05'$ E and $37^{\circ}30'$ to $36^{\circ}30'$ N (Figure 1). The complex basement has been dated at ca. 2961 \pm 72 Ma (U-Pb), an age comparable to ages known from the Central Iranian Microcontinent [25]. The Takab complex experienced metamorphism and deformation during the Precambrian and Cenozoic [26]. Exposure of the complex basement is related to Mesozoic (Early to Middle Jurassic) crustal extension and subsequent Cenozoic (Tertiary) exhumation [13,26]. The complex was overthrusted by Miocene volcano-sedimentary rocks [13].

The Takab complex is composed of a variety of rocks. Gneisses are intercalated with micaschists and calcschists, discontinuous layers of metabasite, amphibolite, jaspilite, quartzite and rare granulite. The U-Pb dating on detrital zircons of the schists gives a depositional age of 550 Ma [24].

The iron oxide mineralization studied here, is located ~5 km northwest of Takab. It is hosted in micaschists.

2.2. Magnetite-Bearing Ore Types

After a detailed petrographic investigation, seven samples representing the various iron ore types were selected out of twenty iron ore samples. Photographs and photomicrographs of representative samples are shown in Figures 2 and 3 and Table S1.

The iron oxides, mainly magnetite, are hosted in light grey micaschists. Magnetite and silicates form discontinuous layers or patches showing flow structures. The silicate bands are composed of quartz, K-feldspar, muscovite, and biotite (Figure 2). Magnetite forms mainly bands, but also nodules, and occurs disseminated in the silicate matrix.

In a few samples late hematite forms thin rims, trellis, or micro vein-filling. Rare goethite occurs. The iron oxide mineralogy and the accessory minerals are detailed in Tables 1 and 2 and briefly summarized below.

The banded iron ore type consists of variably dense magnetite banding intercalated in the quartz K-feldspar \pm mica matrix (Figures 2 and 3). The banding is discontinuous in samples TAK21 and TAK22, while sample TAK52 shows fine and coarse magnetite bands. Flow structure is observed in sample TAK23. Magnetite forms grains from ~50 µm to several hundreds of µm in diameter, which frequently host euhedral zircons (sometimes corroded) and less often droplet-like inclusions of galena and sphalerite. In some samples, magnetite is partly altered to hematite. Trellis structures, which are characteristic of martite, are observed in sample TAK23 and a few large grains of sample TAK51 and TAK52. Goethite is interstitial or forms thin veins in magnetite, sometimes hosting minute hematite and phosphate inclusions.

The nodular ore (TAK24) is composed of mm-sized iron oxide agglomerates in a quartz matrix. They are partly elongated and disrupted (Figure 2). Small iron oxide grains sometimes show 120° triple junctions with quartz grains, evidence for static crystallization, and grain boundary migration, indicating dynamic crystallization. Magnetite is not hematized. It hosts inclusions of chlorapatite, Mn- and Fe-carbonates and uraninite. Mn-Ba-oxides and barite partly replace Ba-K feldspar (hyalophane) (Figure 3).

In the disseminated ore (TAK53) magnetite forms euhedral grains (~400 μ m–1.5 mm), which host inclusions of monazite and zircon. Altered monazite is also abundant in the matrix. Moreover, the matrix also hosts P-, U- and Th-bearing phosphates, zircon and barite (Figure 3).



(d)

(f)

Figure 2. Hand specimens and enlarged views of the different ore-types from Takab showing the magnetite grains and bands (in black) in the silicate matrix (in grey and white). Banded ore: (a) discontinuous bands in TAK21; (b) flow structure in TAK23; (c) continuous and discontinuous bands in TAK51; (d) bands of large or small magnetite grains in TAK52. Nodular ore TAK24 (e). Disseminated ore TAK53 (f).

(e)



Figure 3. Representative photomicrographs (BSE image) of the different ore-types from Takab. TAK21: (a) euhedral magnetite (Mt) with zircon inclusion, partly replaced by hematite (Hem), and crosscuts by goethite (Gth) veinlets; (b) euhedral Mt with corroded zircon inclusion; TAK52: (c) Mt band surrounded by Gth; (d) inclusions of monazite in Mt. TAK23: (e) close-up of a large crystal showing trellis texture and relict Mt. TAK53: (f) euhedral Mt hosting zircon inclusion (insert view); (g) fresh monazite and (h) altered monazite in matrix. TAK24: inclusions in Mt (i–k): (i) Qz and apatite; (j) apatite and rhodochrosite; (k) uraninite; (l) barite and Mn-Pb-Ba oxide interstitial to Mt.

Table 1. Mineralogy of the Takab iron ore.

C		Manualla	Fe-Oxide		
Sample	Sample non Ole Type Magnette —		Hematite	Goethite	
TAK21	banded	х	late	surrounding & in veins in Mt	
	discontinuous				
TAK22	banded	х	-	surrounding & in veins in Mt	
	uiscontinuous				
TAK23	banded	trellis (martite)	late	interstitial to Mt	
	flow structure	with relic Mt		include minute hematite	
TAK51	banded	trellis (martite) in large crystals	late	-	
TAK52	banded	х	late in rim \pm crack	-	
TAK24	nodular	abundant inclusions of apatite and Qz in some crystals	-	-	
TAK53	disseminated	x	late in rim \pm crack	_	

(x) presence and (-) absence of the mineral; Qz, quartz; Mt, magnetite.

Sample	Uraninite	Frequent Accessories	Monazite	Zircon	Rare Accessories	
		Barite				
TAK21	in goethite & Mt	x	-	in Mt	chalcopyrite	
TAK22	-	in matrix	-	in Mt & Qz	scheelite	
TAK23	-	-	х	in Mt	Mo-Se-(Pb) sulfide in Mt	
TAK51	-	in matrix	_	-		
TAK52	x	very small (<1 μm)	small, fresh in Qz & Mt	in Mt	Mo sulfide in Qz	
		inclusions in feldspar			xenotime	
TAK24	in Mt	in matrix	in Mt	-	Mn-Ba-Pb oxides in Mt; PbS in Qz inclusions of rhodochrosite and Cl-apatite in Mt	
TAK53	very small inclusions	very small inclusions	abundant, altered	abundant	ilmenite, rutile, +Ti-Mt in altered Mt	
	in feldspar	in feldspar	small, fresh in Qz & Mt	in Mt & Qz		

Table 2. Mineralogy of the accessories in the Takab iron ore.

(x) presence and (-) absence of the mineral; Qz, quartz; Mt, magnetite.

2.3. Analytical Methods

After detailed imaging using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) in backscattered electron mode (BSE), we selected five samples representative of the different ore types and of the relative abundance of each ore-type: three banded ore-type (TAK21, TAK51, TAK52), one nodular ore-type (TAK24) and one disseminated ore-type (TAK53). Rare earth elements and Y were determined on whole-rock samples using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Major and trace element composition of magnetite were determined using electron microprobe analysis (EMPA). In-situ Fe and O isotope analysis of magnetite were then carried out by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) on the four samples TAK51 and TAK52 (banded), TAK24 (nodular) and TAK53 (disseminated).

2.3.1. ICP-MS Analysis

ICP-MS analyses were performed at the SARM-CRPG (Service d'analyse des roches et des minéraux, Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France). The samples were fused with LiBO₂, dissolved with HNO₃, and analyzed by ICP-MS for trace element concentrations after calibration by international standards. For more details on the instruments used (ICP and AES), precisions, and detection limits, and, also on the applied analytical procedures, see http://www.crpg.cnrs-nancy.fr/SARM/, accessed on 1 January 2022.

2.3.2. SEM and EMPA Analysis

SEM imaging was carried out using a ZEISS Supra 55 VP at ISTeP (Sorbonne Université, Paris, France). Electron microprobe analyses were performed with a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe equipped with five wavelength dispersive spectrometers at CAM-PARIS, Sorbonne-Université, Paris. All analyses were made based on detailed SEM-BSE imaging at high resolution to avoid defects and contamination from other phases. Carbon coating was added simultaneously to standards and samples to ensure the same coating thickness. The operating conditions for major elements, Fe and O were: monochromators LIF for the measurement of Fe and PC1 for oxygen; accelerating voltage 15 kV; beam current 40 nA; counting times, 10 s over the peak and 10 s for background measured on each side of the peak. For trace elements, monochromators were LTAP (Si, Al, Mg), PET (for Ca), and PC1 for oxygen. To decrease the detection limits, Ti, V, Mn, Ni, Cr and Cu were simultaneously analyzed with LIF and LLIF monochromators on three different spectrometers. The operating conditions were: 25 keV, 300 nA, 40 s to 70 s and a beam size of 10 µm. Any damage effects on the samples were checked during analyses. The concentration determined for V using the K α lines was not affected by the adjacent Ti K β line at the observed low Ti concentration. Standards were synthetic metal oxides and natural minerals: Ti, Mn: MnTiO₃; Fe, O: Fe₂O₃; Al; orthoclase; Cr: Cr₂O₃; Ni: NiO; Mg, Si, Ca: diopside; V: vanadinite; Cu: Cu native. These settings yielded minimum detection limits on the average concentrations as low as ~3-4 for Ca, ~5-9 ppm for Mg, Al, Si and Cr; and ~8–15 ppm for the other trace elements. We found that Cu and in some samples Ni, and Cr have average concentrations below their minimum detection limit and cannot be accurately determined.

2.3.3. SIMS Isotope Analysis

In situ, Fe and oxygen isotopic analyses were performed with the CAMECA IMS 1280 HR2 ion microprobe at the CRPG (Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques, Nancy, France). The analyses were performed close to the EMP analytical points after a thorough check to assure the absence of defects or contamination from other phases. The samples were gold-coated. In each sample, 4 to 6 grains of magnetite were analyzed, and 3 to 9 points were measured per crystal depending on the crystal size and the quality of the area. Fe isotope analysis measurements were performed first during two days of instrument use, and O isotopes were analyzed close to the existing SIMS pits in another two-day analytical session. SEM imaging was performed after the sessions to ensure that SIMS spots did not penetrate adjacent phases, inclusions, or cracks. Eight analyses were then discarded for questionable Fe or O isotope results.

Iron isotope composition was measured following the procedure described in detail in [27]. Briefly, a ${}^{16}O^{-}$ primary beam of 10 nA intensity was focused to a spot of 15 μ m. The mass resolution was set at \sim 7000 and 54 Fe⁺ and 56 Fe⁺ were measured in multicollection mode with two off-axis Faraday cups, while the intensity of 52 Cr was measured on an electron multiplier. The gains of Faraday cups and amplifiers were determined at the beginning of the analytical session and drift was monitored by analyses of standards interspersed among analyses of the unknowns. The background of each detector was measured for 60 s during pre-sputtering, i.e., at the beginning of each analysis. Ion currents converted to count rates were typically $\sim 5 \times 10^7$ counts per second (cps) for ⁵⁶Fe. Each analysis consisted of 30 cycles, each with 5 s acquisition time. The isobaric interference of 54 Cr on 54 Fe was corrected using the 54 Cr/ 52 Cr ratio but was negligible (less than 0.002‰) in all samples, which have very low chromium levels. The measurements were corrected for the matrix effect (instrumental mass fractionation, IMF) using the international standard magnetite LP204 (from Westin Mine in the Adirondack; [28]), and the in-house standards magnetite "Russie" analyzed before sample measurements, in the middle of the sample suite, and once after all samples. The internal precision for ∂^{56} Fe was typically better than 0.1% (2 σ), and the external reproducibility based on multiple measurements was ~0.2‰ (2σ).

Oxygen isotope composition was measured following the procedure described in detail in [29]. A Cs⁺ primary beam of ~10 nA intensity was focused to a spot of about 15 μ m. The mass resolution was set at ~4000 and ¹⁶O⁻ and ¹⁸O⁻ were detected in multicollection mode using two Faraday cups. The gains of these Faraday cups were determined at the beginning of each analytical session. Typical count rates on ¹⁶O⁻ and ¹⁸O⁻ were of ~3 × 10⁹ and ~6 × 10⁶ cps, respectively. Analysis consisted of 30 cycles and 5 s acquisition time after 60 s pre-sputtering. Under these conditions the internal precision for ¹⁸O⁻ values was 0.1_{∞} (2 σ). The measurements were corrected for the matrix effect (IMF) using the in-house standard magnetite Charroy analyzed before sample measurements, in the middle of the sample suite, and once after all samples. The external reproducibility based on multiple measurements was ~0.2 ∞ (2 σ).

Fe and O isotope data are reported as 56 Fe/ 54 Fe and 18 O/ 16 O in standard (∂) notation, in units per mil (∞):

$$\partial^{56} \text{Fe}_{\text{sample}}$$
 (‰) = [(⁵⁶Fe/⁵⁴Fe)_{measured}/[(⁵⁶Fe/⁵⁴Fe)_{IRMM-14} - 1] × 1000
 $\partial^{18} \text{O}_{\text{sample}}$ (‰) = [(¹⁸O/¹⁶O)_{measured}/[(¹⁸O/¹⁶O)_{SMOW} - 1] × 1000

3. Results

3.1. Magnetite Trace Elements

BSE imaging and chemical mapping showed that the magnetite grains are unzoned and allowed the selection of inclusion-free areas for EMP analysis. The average concentrations, standard deviations and range of values are listed in Table 2. The detailed analytical results are plotted on the different figures hereafter and given in Table S1.

Magnetite grains are rich in Mn (mostly 893–3360 ppm and up to 10,609 ppm in TAK24). Ti contents are low (15–200 ppm) except in two samples 575–1022 ppm in TAK21 and 945–1940 ppm in TAK53. V is below or around 100 ppm, except in TAK53 (118–200 ppm), and Mg ranges from ~50–300 ppm. Cr is mostly in the range of 14–98 ppm, and Ni and Cu are near or below the detection limits (Table 3). However, these three elements show erratic values even within the same grain and the results must be treated with caution in some samples. Ca is mostly below 50 ppm and reaches 218 ppm in TAK21. Si is mostly \leq 300 ppm but reaches 1071 ppm in TAK51. The higher Ca and Si values may imply possible contamination from apatite and monazite in TAK21, and zircon in TAK51 from in-depth located inclusions. However, no phosphate or zircon inclusions were observed at the surface.

Table 3. Trace elements (in ppm) of magnetite from the Takab iron ore.

Sample		TAK 21	TAK 51	TAK 52	TAK 24	TAK 53
Ore Type		Banded	Banded	Banded	Nodular	Disseminated
n Analyses		n = 12	n = 12	n = 21	n = 12	n = 9
Mg DL = 7	Average SD Range	178 47 145–251	76 20 46–100	158 66 95–291	201 47 118–264	86 29 46–138
$\begin{array}{c} Al\\ DL = 6 \end{array}$	Average SD Range	1390 360 878–1986	353 67 227–431	2069 378 1402–2588	363 14 342–386	1704 404 1011–2254
Si DL = 8	Average SD Range	69 74 9–218	340 339 0–1071	41 36 0–99	nc 0–27	160 89 0–290
Ca DL = 4	Average SD Range	18 18 9–218	18 9 10–39	19 23 0–71	3 4 0–13	16 6 6–24
Ti DL = 10	Average SD Range	728 142 575–1022	15 9 0–28	188 32 148–243	30 9 15–43	1420 305 945–1940
V DL = 9	Average SD Range	24 16 1–54	77 11 58–93	100 13 82–117	72 7 62–88	168 27 118–200

Sample		TAK 21	TAK 51	TAK 52	TAK 24	TAK 53
Ore Type		Banded	Banded	Banded	Nodular	Disseminated
n Analyses		n = 12	n = 12	n = 21	n = 12	n = 9
	Average	962	2465	1838	9423	2658
Mn	SD	58	190	344	942	516
DL = 10	Range	893–1059	2240-2779	1293–2236	7809–10,609	1923–3360
	Average	nc	10	12	9	15
Ni	SD	0-17	20	10	11	10
DL = 12	Range	23	0–70	0–30	0–33	4–37
	Average	23	26	30	9	10
Cr	SD	11	25	11	6	7
DL = 8	Range	16–46	0–98	15–53	0–20	0–20
	Average	17	6	16	5	14
Cu	SD	15	12	12	8	11
DL = 15	Range	0–49	0–40	0–40	0–22	0–27

Table 3. Cont.

The average values of the element whose minimum concentrations are below detection limit in some analyses were calculated by assigning all these values to zero. "nc": The average value of the element was not calculated when only a few analyses show concentration above the detection limit for this element. Ni and Cu contents are near or below the detection limit (DL).

Ti positively correlates with Al and Mg in disseminated magnetite TAK53 while in other samples no correlation is observed. In most samples, Al and Mg are variable compared to the more restricted range of Ti. Al is low and shows a restricted range in banded magnetite TAK51 and nodular magnetite TAK24 (Figure 4a–c). In all samples, magnetite shows continental crust normalized lithophile element patterns with a peak in Mn, but variable Ti (Figure 4d).

3.2. Rare Earth Element Compositions of Bulk Ore Sample

The REE and Y composition of the bulk ore samples are given in Table 4 and REE + Y patterns normalized to Post-Archean Australian Shale (PAAS) are shown in Figure 5. The composition of the calcschist (TAK12) is given for comparison as it is important for the discussion.

The main characteristic is the positive Eu anomaly in all samples. Magnetite from banded sample TAK52 and nodular sample TAK24 show a positive Y anomaly on the PAAS normalized plot (Figure 5). Y/Ho ratios are variable, but most oscillate around values typical for shales (25–27), except for a higher value (40) in nodular ore TAK24, similar to that of Mid-Ocean-Ridge (MOR)-hydrothermal fluids. The banded ore samples TAK51 and TAK52 are characterized by an HREE enrichment and LREE depletion (La/Yb)_{PAAS} ratios = 0.5–0.7), unlike nodular TAK24 and disseminated TAK53 ores (2.2 and 1.2, respectively). A negative Ce anomaly is only observed in nodular magnetite TAK24, which shows a REE + Y pattern such as that of calcschist TAK12 (Figure 5).

3.3. Fe Isotope Data

Four samples representative of banded (TAK51 and TAK52), nodular (TAK24) and disseminated (TAK53) ore types were selected for Fe and O isotope analyses. Fe isotopic compositions are given in Table 5 and Table S2 and displayed in Figure 6.



◆ TAK21-banded ■ TAK51-banded ● TAK52-banded ▲ TAK24-nodular ◆ TAK53-disseminated

Figure 4. (a) Plots of Ti vs. Mg, (b) Ti vs. Al and (c) Ti vs. V in magnetite from the Takab iron ore. (d) Bulk continental crust normalized patterns of magnetite from the Takab iron ore. The points correspond to the average values reported in Table 2. Trace element abundances in bulk continental crust are from [30].

Element _	TAK 21	TAK 51	TAK 52	TAK 24	TAK 53	TAK 12
	Banded	Banded	Banded	Nodular	Disseminated	Calcschist
La	0.083	0.021	0.044	0.144	0.384	0.283
Ce	0.089	0.012	0.038	0.046	0.382	0.087
Pr	0.108	0.014	0.040	0.101	0.381	0.218
Nd	0.108	0.014	0.038	0.111	0.349	0.214
Sm	0.144	0.033	0.072	0.169	0.401	0.241
Eu	0.752	0.051	0.175	0.531	0.495	0.256
Gd	0.138	0.039	0.072	0.193	0.251	0.227
Tb	0.118	0.040	0.074	0.140	0.213	0.203
Dy	0.108	0.045	0.073	0.117	0.192	0.196
Ý	2.51	1.09	2.49	0.046	5.19	7.00
Ho	0.109	0.044	0.072	0.101	0.191	0.200
Er	0.110	0.044	0.072	0.111	0.202	0.182
Tm	0.128	0.047	0.062	0.169	0.257	0.190
Yb	0.130	0.046	0.062	0.531	0.310	0.169
Lu	0.143	0.042	0.053	0.193	0.337	0.159

Table 4. REE and Y composition (in ppm) of magnetite from Takab.



Figure 5. REE and Y patterns of the ore samples and calcschist (TAK12) from Takab. Normalization values to Post-Archean Australian Shale (PAAS) from [31].

Sample	Ore Type		∂ ⁵⁶ Fe(‰)	2σ	∂ ¹⁸ O(‰)	2σ
TAK 51	banded	Average Range n analyses	0.41 -0.22 to +1.12 13	0.39	-0.24 -2.52 to +1.10 10	1.15
TAK 52	banded	Average Range n analyses	0.41 -0.28 to +0.92 15	0.37	0.17 -1.52 to +1.95 13	1.09
TAK 24	nodular	Average Range n analyses	-0.32 -0.98 to +0.56 22	0.41	5.30 +4.10 to +6.30 23	0.57
TAK 53	disseminated	Average Range n analyses	1.35 +0.76 to +1.86 14	0.32	2.15 +1.02 to +4.07 12	0.87

Table 5. Fe and O isotope composition of magnetite from Takab.

In the disseminated ore (TAK53) magnetite forms euhedral grains (~400 µm–1.5 mm). The grains are slightly hematized on the rims and in small cracks. Magnetite ∂^{56} Fe values (n = 14) range from 0.76 \pm 0.26‰ to 1.86 \pm 0.22‰. In the banded ore (TAK51 and TAK52), magnetite forms individual grains (~50 µm to several hundreds of µm) altered to hematite on the rims and in cracks. Magnetite ∂^{56} Fe values range from -0.28 \pm 0.20‰ to 1.12 \pm 0.21‰ (n = 28). However, only four points have a negative ∂^{56} Fe value. In the nodular ore (TAK24), magnetite forms mm-sized agglomerates partly elongated and disrupted in the quartz matrix. Magnetite is not hematized. Magnetite ∂^{56} Fe values (n = 22) range from -0.98 \pm 0.22‰ to 0.56 \pm 0.19‰. Most of the analytical points have negative ∂^{56} Fe values (18/22 spots).

To sum up, magnetite ∂^{56} Fe values are highly positive in disseminated ore (+1.35 \pm 0.32‰ on average), mostly positive in banded ore (+ 0.41 \pm 0.37‰ on average) and mostly negative in the nodular ore (-0.32 \pm 0.41‰ on average).



Figure 6. Magnetite ∂^{56} Fe values from the Takab iron ore. Each position on the x-axis represents a distinct grain, with different analytical points from the same grain being represented by individual symbols at the same x-axis position: e.g., in sample TAK53 six grains were analyzed and there were three analytical points in the first analyzed grain. Each value is given with the analytical standard error (2 σ). The red and blue box show the range of magmatic/high-T hydrothermal (+0.04 to +0.8%) and low-T hydrothermal (-0.7 to +0.2%) magnetites after [32].

3.4. Oxygen Isotope Data

O isotopic compositions are reported in Tables 4 and S2 and plotted in Figure 7.

In the disseminated ore (TAK53), magnetite ∂^{18} O values (n = 12) range from 1.02 ± 0.24‰ to 4.07 ± 0.22‰. In the banded ore (TAK51 and TAK52), magnetite ∂^{18} O values (n = 23) vary from $-2.52 \pm 0.28\%$ to $+1.95 \pm 0.23\%$. In magnetite from sample TAK51 only one of the four analyzed grains shows positive values (average $0.70 \pm 0.35\%$). All the other grains show negative values (average $-0.64 \pm 1.15\%$). In the nodular ore (TAK24), magnetite ∂^{18} O values (n = 23) range from 4.10 ± 0.22‰ to 6.30 ± 0.23‰, values that are even higher than the proposed range for magmatic/high-T hydrothermal magnetites [2,33].

In summary, magnetite ∂^{18} O values are positive in disseminated and nodular ores (+2.15 ± 0.87‰ and +5.30 ± 0.57‰ on average, respectively), while magnetite ∂^{18} O ratios in banded ores show negative and positive intra-grain or inter-grain values.



Figure 7. Magnetite ∂^{18} O values from the Takab iron ore. Each position on the x-axis represents a distinct grain, with different analytical points from the same grain being represented by individual

symbols at the same x-axis position: e.g., in sample TAK53 six grains were analyzed and there were three analytical points in the first analyzed grain. Each value is given with the analytical standard error (2 σ). The red box shows the range of magmatic/high-T hydrothermal (+1 to +4‰) magnetites [2,33]. The dash-dot line separates magnetite derived from magmatic or magmatic-hydrothermal fluid at high temperature ($\partial^{18}O > 0.9\%$) from magnetite derived from low temperature ($\leq 400 \,^{\circ}$ C) hydrothermal fluid ($\partial^{18}O < 0.9\%$) for Kiruna-type ore [7,34].

4. Discussion

4.1. Magnetite Composition and Ore-Forming Conditions

Banded and nodular ore types show positive Y and Eu anomalies typical for a formation from a mixture of seawater and hydrothermal fluid, respectively [23]. Variations in the intensity of the positive Y anomaly indicate a variable contribution of seawater (Figure 5). In the nodular type (TAK24) the strong negative Ce anomaly is indicative of a reduced environment. Moreover, its contrasting higher La/Yb ratio would signify a contribution of a silica-rich magmatic/high-T hydrothermal fluid. The disseminated type (TAK53) only shows a different REE pattern with higher amounts of REE and a weak Eu anomaly.

The composition of magnetite itself, in particular the trace element composition, is relevant to understanding its environment of formation, despite the complexity of factors and processes that can directly or indirectly affect its composition (melt/fluid composition, melt rock/ratio, temperature, oxygen fugacity, etc.). Trace elements such as Ti, V, Cr, Co, Ni, Mn and Mg have been recognized as good discriminators between hydrothermal and igneous magnetite [1,3,4].

Coexisting phases might affect the composition of magnetite in specific trace elements [4]. However, at Takab, magnetite is mostly associated with quartz and feldspar, which do not significantly incorporate the discriminating elements mentioned above. Furthermore, there are no coexisting sulfides (or very rare inclusions) susceptible to incorporating, for example, Co. Therefore, it is considered that the coexisting phases have not affected the trace element concentrations of magnetite.

Magnetite from Takab is rich in Mn (up to 1 wt. %), has low Ti and V contents (Table 2) and low (Ti + V)/(Al + Mn) ratios between 0.01 and 0.4, characteristics of hydrothermal magnetite [4]. Furthermore, magnetite has very low concentrations of Cr and Ni (mostly below the detection limits) also supporting a hydrothermal imprint.

The hydrothermal imprint is more important in nodular sample TAK24 with low content of Ti + V and higher contents of Mg + Mn (Figure 8a,b; [35,36]). In this sample, the presence of accessory rhodochrosite (MnCO₃) may indicate fluid/rock interactions with a nearby Mn-(Mg)-bearing carbonated rock. Only disseminated magnetite TAK53 shows a positive correlation of Ti + V with Mg + Al + Si contents. In magnetite from the other samples, there is no such correlation. Instead, rather constant (or less variable) Ti + V contents for highly variable Mg + Al + Si contents are observed in magnetite from the banded iron type (Figure 8a).

In the discrimination diagram Al + Mn vs. Ti + V (Figure 9 after [1,3,4]) the magnetite, of banded, disseminated and nodular types plot in the field of hydrothermal magnetite defined in skarn deposits, the disseminated magnetite TAK53 plotting close to the porphyry copper field due to its higher amount of Ti. A skarn affinity cannot be totally discarded, although there is a lack of further evidence. Only the banded magnetite TAK21 plots in the upper part of the field of IOGC (iron oxide copper-gold), close to the "skarn field". However, an IOGC type of deposit is not supported as no Cu-sulfide mineralization is observed and magnetite has low (<10 ppm) Ni content. A possible explanation is that the trace element composition of magnetite TAK21 was modified by a coupled-dissolution-reprecipitation mechanism of primary magnetite (e.g., type 1 CDR process in [37]) induced by changing fluid composition.



♦ TAK21-banded ■ TAK51-banded ● TAK52-banded ▲ TAK24-nodular ♦ TAK53-disseminated

Figure 8. Plots of Ti vs. (Mg + Al + Si) and Mg + Mn vs. (Si + Al)/(Mg + Mn) respectively (**a**) and (**b**). In (**a**) the arrow indicates increasing fluid/rock interaction after [35,36].



Figure 9. (Al + Mn) vs. (Ti + V) plots (in wt%) of magnetite from the Takab iron ore. Reference fields are after [1,4]. BIF: banded iron formation; IOGC: iron oxide-copper -gold deposits; Porphyry: porphyry Cu deposits; Kiruna: Kiruna apatite-magnetite deposits; Skarn: Fe-Cu skarn deposits; Fe-Ti, V: Fe-Ti, V oxide deposits of magmatic origin.

The incorporation of Ti, Mg and Al in magnetite is temperature dependent. It increases with high temperature (such as in magnatic systems) and is negligible in low-temperature hydrothermal fluids [38,39]. According to the temperature trend shown in the (Al +Mn) vs. (Ti + V) diagram (Figure 9), the samples from the Takab iron ore mostly plot in the field of medium temperature (200–300 °C) hydrothermal skarn (Figure 10); the nodular magnetite from sample TAK24 plots outside the proposed limit of this field due to its high Mn content. The disseminated magnetite TAK53 may suggest a slightly higher temperature of formation than the other ore types.

Ti and V are also potential proxies for redox conditions. Ti has one valence state (Ti^{4+}) in hydrothermal fluids and a rather constant partition coefficient between magnetite and fluids. In contrast, V has three valences $(V^{3+}, V^{4+} \text{ and } V^{5+})$ and only V^{3+} enters into magnetite in high abundance. Thus, V is enriched, and Ti/V ratios are lowered in magnetite formed from reducing fluids [4,39]. The low Ti/V average ratios (0.2–0.5) of magnetite from samples TAK51 and TAK24, (2) for magnetite TAK52 and (9) for magnetite TAK53 suggest a rather reduced depositional environment (Figure 11). Only magnetite from sample TAK21 with an average Ti/V ratio of 40 suggests more highly oxidizing conditions.



Figure 10. Approximate temperature of formation of magnetite. The different fields of temperature are adapted from [4,40].



♦ TAK21-banded ■ TAK51-banded ● TAK52-banded ▲ TAK24-nodular ♦ TAK53-disseminated

Figure 11. Plot of Mn vs. Ti/V in magnetite from the Takab iron ore.

In summary, the formation of the magnetite from Takab likely results from clear hydrothermal overprint on a volcano-sedimentary sequence, which may have mostly occurred at medium temperature (~200–300 °C) under variable fO_2 , under mostly reduced to suboxic conditions.

4.2. Magnetite Fe and O Isotope Signature

Fe and O isotope pairs in magnetite are useful to characterize specific (magmatic, magmatic-hydrothermal, or meteoritic) processes and environments of formation of the iron ores. The Fe isotopic composition of magnetite from Takab is variable across the sample suite, pointing to different processes as detailed hereafter (Figure 12).

The distinct heavy Fe and O isotopic composition of the disseminated magnetite TAK53 (Figure 12) testify for an ortho-magmatic origin, which is also suggested by the distinct trace element behaviour (Figures 4, 8 and 9). It can be noticed that the high ∂^{56} Fe values plot outside the ortho-magmatic field (Figure 6) based on literature data (e.g., [2,6,7,32]). However, recent data would support the enlargement of the magmatic field to heavier Fe isotope values [41].



♦ TAK 53-disseminated ■ TAK 51-banded ● TAK 52-banded ▲ TAK 24-nodular

Figure 12. ∂^{56} Fe and ∂^{18} O isotopic data for magnetites from Takab. The different samples form three distinct groups according to their Fe-O isotope composition. Red and blue boxes as in Figures 6 and 7 [2,32,33]. The dash-dot line separates magnetite derived from magnatic or magnatic-hydrothermal fluid at high temperature (∂^{18} O > 0.9‰) from magnetite derived from low temperature (\leq 400 °C) hydrothermal fluid (∂^{18} O < 0.9‰) for Kiruna-type ore [7,34].

The Fe isotopic composition of magnetite from the banded ore-type samples TAK51 and TAK52 varies from negative to positive values, but most values plot in the magmatic/high-T hydrothermal box (Figures 6 and 12). However, their lighter oxygen isotopic composition mainly plots in the low T-hydrothermal box (Figures 7 and 12). This suggests a subsequent hydrothermal alteration or re-equilibration with low-temperature fluids, which is not recorded by the iron isotopic composition less affected by secondary processes than the oxygen isotope system [5].

The nodular magnetite TAK24 features important differences from the other two types. Magnetite has predominantly light ∂^{56} Fe values, indicative of a low-temperature hydrothermal fluid signature, and heavy ∂^{18} O (4 to 6‰) values consistent with a magmatic/ high-T hydrothermal origin (Figures 6, 7 and 12). Decoupling of ∂^{56} Fe and ∂^{18} O has, indeed, been reported in different contexts, e.g., in iron oxide-copper-gold (IOCG) deposits and in banded iron formation (BIFs) [42] and references therein]. At Takab, the presence of Clbearing apatite in the magnetite (Figure 3j) supports the precipitation of low ∂^{56} Fe magnetite from a Cl-bearing hydrothermal fluid. A Cl-bearing hydrothermal fluid is indeed known to preferentially incorporate ⁵⁴Fe e.g., [43–45], and can dissolve a (primary?) magnetite ore and transport iron and precipitated it in an isotopically lighter ore such as the nodular magnetite from sample TAK24. However, the high ∂^{18} O values suggest crystallization at high temperature (magmatic) from a silicate melt or precipitation from a high-temperature hydrothermal fluid, as reported in iron oxide-apatite (IOA) deposits e.g., [2,6,7,34,46] and in IOCG deposits [42,47,48]. Although high ∂^{18} O values of the magnetites from the IOCG deposit of Queensland, Australia was mostly attributed to a magmatic-hydrothermal origin, the authors invoked some local carbonate assimilation for the highest values [42]. The high $\partial^{18}O(+5 \text{ to } +8\%)$ values of magnetite from the volcano-sedimentary formation of Candelaria, Chile [6], and of magnetite from the Yadz and Sirjan IOA deposits from Central Iran $(\partial^{18}O > 4\%, [49])$ were interpreted as resulting from a re-equilibration of a magmatichydrothermal fluid with carbonate rocks or mixing with fluid in equilibrium with the carbonate in the host rock. A similar scenario is likely to have occurred for the Takab nodular magnetite, which reveals a complex process apparently related to the involvement

of CO₂-bearing hydrothermal fluids produced during the decarbonatization of the close-by calcschist (Figure 5).

5. Conclusions

In-situ trace element and Fe-O isotope analysis indicates that the Takab iron ore deposit clearly shows ortho-magmatic as well as volcano-sedimentary (BIF) signatures. However, the most characteristic feature is the large predominance of the hydrothermal overprint on the volcano-sedimentary sequence throughout the whole formation and evolutionary history of the iron ore deposit. This is also supported by the presence of accessory minerals included in the magnetite and/or in the matrix, for example, monazite, barite, scheelite, and Fe-Mn-carbonates. Banded and disseminated ore types show a magmatic/high-temperature hydrothermal Fe isotope signature. However, although the disseminated ore preserves this signature, the banded ore was affected by low-temperature hydrothermal fluid that decreased the ∂^{18} O values. This event occurred at medium temperature ca. 200–300 °C under variable fO₂, i.e., mostly reduced to slightly oxidizing conditions. Magnetite from the nodular ore provides evidence for precipitation from a Cl-bearing fluid and experienced an equilibration with a CO_2 -rich fluid, which may suggest the following scenario: (1) an initial formation at seafloor condition [23], as observed in e.g., the Biwabik Iron Formation, Minnesota, USA [50]. This would imply a process of banded iron formation; (2) a later equilibration of the magnetite with hydrothermal CO₂rich fluids. Thus, at Takab the variety of the fluids involved and the degree of interactions result in variable chemical and isotopic compositions of the different magnetite ore types.

Finally, late oxidizing fluids transformed the magnetite into martite and hematite, which may also have filled fractures or formed rims on magnetite crystals. Aqueous metal bearing (Ba, Pb, Mn ...) solutions, may have formed goethite filling fractures and surrounded magnetite and hematite, and remobilized Mn-Ba-Pb-U as Mn-Pb-Ba oxyhydroxides, scheelite and barite into veins.

Although currently no final genetic model can be proposed, broader implications of this study are twofold:

From the fundamental point of view: Precambrian terranes in complex tectonic and magmatic settings must be studied at all scales, from outcrop to nanoscale, with complementary tools. Petrology, in-situ Fe and O isotopes coupled with mineral and whole rock chemistry are a key combination that can significantly contribute to the understanding of the evolution of such iron deposits.

From the industrial point of view: knowing formation temperatures of the iron ore types, allows adjustment of pyro metallurgical parameters to optimize processing. This contributes to energy and, thus, greenhouse gas emission savings and cost reduction.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/min13060774/s1, Table S1: Trace element composition of magnetite from the Takab iron ore samples; Table S2: Iron and oxygen isotopic composition of magnetite from the Takab iron ore samples.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.W. and B.O.; validation, J.V., N.R. and C.W.; formal analysis, J.V., N.R. and O.B.; investigation, C.W., J.V., O.B., N.R. and B.O.; resources, M.H., G.N., I.M. and B.O.; data curation, J.V., N.R. and C.W.; writing—original draft preparation, C.W.; writing—review and editing, C.W. and B.O.; visualization, C.W.; supervision, C.W. and B.O.; project administration, B.O. and C.W.; funding acquisition, B.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We thank the Institute of Advanced Sciences of Basic Science and University of Zanjan, Zanjan for logistic support during fieldwork and the stay of BO in Zanjan. Campus France and the French embassy (Gundishapur project N° 40624TK) are thanked for financing the stay of BO at IASBS, Zanjan. The institute ISTeP from Sorbonne Université, is thanked for financial support of the analyses, through the French National TRIGGER project, French-Iranian cooperation.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: L. Reisberg (CRPG, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France) is kindly acknowledged for English revision. We thank R. Mathur, F. Hervé and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Dupuis, C.; Beaudouin, G. Discriminant diagrams for iron oxide trace element finger printing of mineral deposit types. *Miner. Depos.* **2011**, *46*, 319–335. [CrossRef]
- Childress, T.M.; Simon, A.C.; Day, W.C.; Lundstrom, C.C.; Bindeman, H.N. Iron and oxygen isotope signatures of the Pea Ridge and Pilot Knob magnetite-apatite deposits, Southeast Missouri, USA. *Econ. Geol.* 2016, 111, 2033–2044. [CrossRef]
- Dare, S.A.S.; Barnes, S.-J.; Beaudoin, G.; Méric, J.; Boutroy, E.; Potvin-Doucet, C. Trace elements in magnetite as petrogenetic indicators. *Miner. Depos.* 2014, 49, 785–796. [CrossRef]
- 4. Nadoll, P.; Angerer, T.; Mauk, J.L.; French, D.; Walshe, J. The chemistry of hydrothermal magnetite: A review. *Ore Geol. Rev.* 2014, 16, 1–32. [CrossRef]
- Li, W.; Huberty, J.M.; Beard, B.L.; Kita, N.T.; Valley, J.W.; Johnson, C.M. Contrasting behavior of oxygen and iron isotopes in banded iron formations revealed by in situ isotopic analysis. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 2013, 50, 132–143. [CrossRef]
- Bilenker, L.D.; Simon, A.C.; Reich, M.; Lundstrom, C.C.; Gajos, N.; Bindeman, I.; Barra, F.; Munizaga, R. Fe–O stable isotope pairs elucidate a high-temperature origin of Chilean iron oxide-apatite deposits. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2016, 177, 94–104. [CrossRef]
- Troll, V.R.; Weis, F.A.; Jonsson, E.; Andersson, U.B.; Majidi, S.A.; Högdahl, K.; Harris, C.; Millet, M.-A.; Chinnasamy, S.S.; Kooijman, E.; et al. Global Fe–O isotope correlation reveals magmatic origin of Kiruna-type apatite-iron-oxide ores. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 1712. [CrossRef]
- 8. Zhou, Z.-J.; Tang, H.-S.; Chen, Y.-J.; Chen, Z.-L. Trace elements of magnetite and iron isotopes of the Zankan iron deposit, westernmost Kunlun, China: A case study of seafloor hydrothermal deposits. *Ore Geol. Rev.* 2017, *80*, 1191–1205. [CrossRef]
- Foster, H.; Jafarzadeh, A. The Bafq mining district in Central Iran—A highly mineralized Infracambrian volcanic field. *Econ. Geol.* 1994, 89, 1697–1721. [CrossRef]
- 10. Mazaheri, S.A.; Andrew, A.S.; Chenhall, B.E. *Petrological Studies of Sangan Iron Ore Deposit*; Center for Isotope Studies Research Report: Sydney, NSW, Australia, 1994; pp. 48–52.
- 11. Daliran, F. Kiruna-Type Iron Oxide-Apatite Ores and Apatitites of the Bafq District, Iran, with an Emphasis on the REE Geochemistry of Their Apatites. In *Hydrothermal Iron Oxide Copper Gold and Related Deposits. A Global Perspective*; Porter, T.M., Ed.; PGC Publishing: Adelaide, SA, Australia, 2002; Volume 2, pp. 303–320.
- Daliran, F.; Stosch, H.G.; Williams, P.; Jamli, H.; Dorri, M.B. Lower Cambrian Iron Oxide-Apatite-REE (U) Deposits of the Bafq District, East-Central Iran. In *Exploring for Iron Oxide Copper-Gold Deposits: Canada and Global Analogues*; Corriveau, L., Mumin, H., Eds.; Geological Association of Canada Short Course Notes: St. John's, NL, Canada, 2010; Volume 20, pp. 143–155.
- 13. Nabatian, G.; Rastad, E.; Neubauer, F.; Honarmand, M.; Ghaderi, M. Iron and Fe-Mn mineralisation in Iran: Implications for Tethyan metallogeny. *Aust. J. Earth Sci.* 2015, *62*, 211–241. [CrossRef]
- 14. Nabatian, G.; Li, X.-H.; Honarmand, M.; Melgarejo, J.C. Geology, mineralogy and evolution of iron skarn deposits in the Zanjan district, NW Iran: Constraints from U-Pb dating, Hf and O isotope analyses of zircons and stable isotope geochemistry. *Ore Geol. Rev.* **2017**, *84*, 42–66. [CrossRef]
- 15. Alavi, M. Sedimentary and structural characteristics of the Paleo-Tethys remnants in northeastern Iran. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* **1991**, 103, 983–992. [CrossRef]
- Jafari, A.; Karimpour, M.H.; Mazaheri, S.A.; Shafaroudi, A.M.; Ren, M. Geochemistry of metamorphic rocks and mineralization in the Gol-Gohar iron ore deposit (No. 1), Sirjan, SE Iran: Implications for Paleotectonic setting and ore genesis. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 2019, 205, 106330. [CrossRef]
- 17. Berberian, M.; King, G.C.P. Towards a paleogeography and tectonic evolution of Iran. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **1981**, *18*, 210–265. [CrossRef]
- 18. Agard, P.; Omrani, J.; Jolivet, L.; Mouthereau, F. Convergence history across Zagros (Iran): Constraints from collisional and earlier deformation. *Int. J. Earth Sci.* 2005, 94, 401–419. [CrossRef]
- 19. Sarjoughian, F.; Habibi, I.; Lentz, D.R.; Azizi, H.; Esna-Ashari, A. Magnetite compositions from the Baba Ali iron deposit in the Sanandaj-Sirjan zone, western Iran: Implications for ore genesis. *Ore Geol. Rev.* **2020**, *126*, 103728. [CrossRef]
- 20. Nabatian, G.; Ghaderi, M.; Daliran, F.; Rashidnejad-Omran, N. Sorkhe-Dizaj iron oxide-apatite ore deposit in the Cenozoic Alborz-Azarbaijan magmatic belt, NW Iran. *Resour. Geol.* **2013**, *63*, 42–56. [CrossRef]
- Orberger, B.; Miska, S.; Tudryn, A.; Wagner, C.; Fialin, M.; Boudouma, O.; Derré, C.; Nabatian, G.; Hornamand, M.; Moussef, I.; et al. Iron-Oxide Mineralogy of Banded Iron Formations in the Takab Region, North Western Iran. In Proceedings of the 14th SGA Biannual Meeting, Québec, QC, Canada, 20–23 August 2017.
- Orberger, B.; Wagner, C.; Boudouma, O.; Villeneuve, J.; Deloule, E.; Fialin, M.; Rividi, N.; Nabatian, G.; Hornamand, M.; Moussef, I.; et al. Mineralogy and Fe-Isotopic Composition of Iron-Oxide Ore Takab Region, North Western Iran. In Proceedings of the 22nd International Mineralogical Association General Meeting, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, 13–17 August 2018.

- Orberger, B.; Wagner, C.; Boudouma, O.; Derré, C.; Fialin, M.; Rividi, N.; Villeneuve, J.; Deloule, E.; Nabatian, G.; Hornamand, M.; et al. Iron-Oxide Ores in the Takab Region, North Western Iran. In Proceedings of the 15th SGA Biannual Meeting, Glasgow, UK, 27–30 August 2019.
- 24. Honarmand, M.; Nabatian, N.; Wagner, C.; Monsef, I.; Delpech, G.; Bayon, G.; Orberger, B. Late Ediacaran iron formation, NW Iran: Origin, depositional age and tectonic/climate significance. *Precambrian Res.* **2023**, *to be submitted*.
- 25. Moazzen, M.; Hajialioggli, R. Zircon SHRIMP Dating of Mafic Migmatites from NW Iran: Reporting the Oldest Rocks from the Iranian Crust. In Proceedings of the 5th Annual Meeting AOGS, Busan, Republic of Korea, 16–20 June 2008.
- Hassanzadeh, J.; Stockli, D.F.; Horton, B.K.; Axen, G.J.; Stockli, L.D.; Grove, M.; Schmitt, A.K.; Walker, J.D. U-Pb zircon geochronology of late Neoproterozoic-early Cambrian granitoids in Iran: Implications for paleogeography, magmatism, and exhumation history of Iranian basement. *Tectonophysics* 2008, 451, 71–96. [CrossRef]
- 27. Marin-Carbonne, J.; Rollion-Bard, C.; Luais, B. In-situ measurements of iron isotopes by SIMS: MC-ICP-MS intercalibration and application to magnetite crystal from the Gunflint chert. *Chem. Geol.* **2011**, *285*, 50–61. [CrossRef]
- 28. Valley, J.W.; Graham, C.M. Ion microprobe analysis of oxygen isotope ratios in granulite facies magnetites: Diffusive exchange as a guide to cooling history. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* **1991**, *109*, 38–52. [CrossRef]
- Marin, J.; Chaussidon, M.; Robert, F. Microscale oxygen isotope variations in 1.9 Ga Guntflint cherts: Assessments of diagenesis effects and implications for oceanic paleotemperature reconstructions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2010, 74, 116–130. [CrossRef]
 Rudnick, R.L.; Gao, S. *The Crust*; Rudnick, R.L., Ed.; Elsevier Ltd.: Oxford, UK, 2005; pp. 1–64.
- 31. McLennan, S.M. Rare earth elements in sedimentary rocks: Influence and of provenance and sedimentary processes. *Rev. Mineral.* **1989**, *21*, 169–200.
- 32. Günther, T.; Klemd, R.; Zhang, X.; Horn, I.; Weyer, S. In-situ trace element and Fe-isotope studies on magnetite of the volcanichosted Zhibo and Chagangnuoer iron ore deposits in the Western Tianshan, NW China. *Chem. Geol.* 2017, 453, 11–127. [CrossRef]
- 33. Taylor, H.P. The oxygen isotope geochemistry of igneous rocks. Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 1968, 19, 1–71. [CrossRef]
- Jonsson, E.; Troll, V.R.; Högdahl, K.; Harris, C.; Weis, F.; Nilsson, K.P.; Skelton, A. Magmatic origin of giant "Kiruna-type" apatite-iron-oxide ores in Central Sweden. Sci. Rep. 2013, 3, 1644. [CrossRef]
- Hu, H.; Li, J.W.; Lentz, D.; Ren, Z.; Zhao, X.F.; Deng, X.D.; Hall, D. Dissolution-re precipitation process of magnetite from the Chengchao iron deposit: Insights into ore genesis and implication for in-situ chemical analysis of magnetite. *Ore Geol. Rev.* 2014, 57, 393–405. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; Fan, Y.; Zhou, T.; Xiao, X.; White, N.C.; Thompson, J.; Hong, H.; Zhang, L. Geochemical characteristics of magnetite in Longqiao skarn iron deposit in the Middle-Lower Yangtze Metallogenic Belt, Eastern China. *Mineral. Depos.* 2019, 54, 1229–1242. [CrossRef]
- Huang, X.W.; Beaudoin, G. Textures and chemical compositions of magnetite from iron oxide copper-gold (IOCG) and Kirunatype iron oxide-apatite deposits and their implications for ore genesis and magnetite classification schemes. *Econ. Geol.* 2019, 114, 953–979. [CrossRef]
- Nielsen, R.L.; Forsythe, L.M.; Gallahan, W.E.; Fisk, M.R. Major- and trace-element magnetite-melt equilibria. *Chem. Geol.* 1994, 117, 167–191. [CrossRef]
- 39. Toplis, M.J.; Caroll, M.R. An experimental study of oxygen fugacity on Fe-Ti oxide stability, phase relations, and mineral-melt equilibria in ferro-basaltic systems. *J. Petrol.* **1995**, *36*, 1137–1170. [CrossRef]
- 40. Deditius, A.P.; Reich, M.; Simon, A.C.; Suvorova, A.; Knipping, J.; Roberts, M.P.; Rubanov, S.; Dodd, A.; Saunders, M. Nanogeochemistry of hydrothermal magnetite. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* **2018**, *173*, 46. [CrossRef]
- 41. Mathur, R. Personal Communication; Juniata College: Huntingdon, PA, USA, 2023.
- Emproto, C.; Mathur, R.; Simon, A.; Bindeman, I.; Godfrey, L.; Dhnaram, C.; Lisitsin, V. Integrated O, Fe, and Ti isotopic analysis elucidates multiple metal and fluid sources for magnetite from the Ernest Henry Iron oxide copper (IOCG) Deposit, Queensland, Australia. Ore Geol. Rev. 2022, 150, 105170. [CrossRef]
- 43. Hill, P.S.; Schauble, E.A. Modeling the effects of bond environment on equilibrium iron isotope fractionation in ferric aquo-chloro complexes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2008, 72, 1938–1958. [CrossRef]
- 44. Bilenker, L.D.; Simon, A.; Lundstrom, C.C.; Gajos, N.; Zajacz, Z. Experimental Constraints on Fe Isotope Fractionation in Fluid-melt-Oxide-Sulfide Assemblages. In Proceedings of the 23rd Goldschmidt Conference, Florence, Italy, 25–30 August 2013.
- Bilenker, L.D. Elucidating Igneous and Ore-Forming Processes by using Fe Isotopes through Experimental and Field-Based Methods. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, New Brunswick, NJ, USA, 2015.
- Tunnell, B.N.; Locmelis, M.; Seeger, C.; Moroni, M.; Dare, S.; Mathur, R.; Sullivan, B. The Sheperd Mountain iron ore deposit in Southeast Missouri, USA—An extension of the Pilot Knob magmatic-hydrothermal ore system: Evidence for iron ore chemistry. Ore Geol. Rev. 2022, 141, 104633. [CrossRef]
- Rodriguez-Mustafa, M.A.; Simon, A.C.; Del Real, I.; Thompson, J.F.H.; Bilenker, L.D.; Barra, F.; Bindeman, I.; Cadwell, D.A. Continuum from Iron Oxide Copper-Gold to Iron Oxide-Apatite Deposits: Evidence from Fe and O Stable Isotopes and Trace Element Chemistry of Magnetite. *Econ. Geol.* 2020, 115, 1443–1459. [CrossRef]
- 48. Zhu, L.; Liu, J.; Bagas, D.; Zhai, D.; Meng, G.; Verrall, M. New insights into the genesis of IOGC deposits: From a case study of the Yinachangd eposit in SW China. *Ore Geol. Rev.* **2020**, *124*, 103664. [CrossRef]

- 49. Peters, S.T.M.; Alibabais, N.; Pack, A.; MsKibbin, S.J.; Raeisi, D.; Nayebi, N.; Torab, F.; Lehmann, B. Triple oxygen isotope variations in magnetite from iron-oxide deposits, central Iran, record magmatic fluid interaction with evaporite and carbonate host rocks. *Geology* **2019**, *48*, 211–215. [CrossRef]
- 50. Frost, C.D.; Von Blankenburg, F.; Schoenberg, R.; Frost, B.R.; Swapp, S.M. Preservation of Fe heterogeneities during diagenesis and metamorphism of banded iron formation. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* **2007**, *153*, 211–235. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.