

Thermally Switchable Nanogate Based on Polymer Phase Transition

Pauline J Kolbeck, Dihia Benaoudia, Léa Chazot-Franguiadakis, Gwendoline Delecourt, Jérôme Mathé, Sha Li, Romeo Bonnet, Pascal Martin, Jan Lipfert, Anna Salvetti, et al.

► To cite this version:

Pauline J Kolbeck, Dihia Benaoudia, Léa Chazot-Franguiadakis, Gwendoline Delecourt, Jérôme Mathé, et al.. Thermally Switchable Nanogate Based on Polymer Phase Transition. Nano Letters, 2023, 23 (11), pp.4862-4869. 10.1021/acs.nanolett.3c00438 . hal-04131308

HAL Id: hal-04131308 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04131308v1

Submitted on 16 Jun2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Thermally switchable nanogate based on polymer phase transition

Pauline J. Kolbeck^{1,2,3*}, Dihia Benaoudia^{4,5*}, Léa Chazot-Franguiadakis¹, Gwendoline Delecourt⁵, Jérôme Mathé⁶, Sha Li⁶, Romeo Bonnet⁴, Pascal Martin⁴, Jan Lipfert^{2,3}, Anna Salvetti⁷, Mordjane Boukhet⁸, Véronique Bennevault^{5,9}, Jean-Christophe Lacroix⁴, Philippe Guégan⁵, Fabien Montel^{1†}

Affiliations:

1: Univ Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique, F-69342 Lyon, France

2: Department of Physics and Center for NanoScience, LMU Munich, 80799 Munich, Germany

3: Department of Physics and Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, 3584 CC Utrecht, The Netherlands

4: Université Paris Cité, ITODYS, CNRS, F-75006 Paris, France

5: Institut Parisien de Chimie Moléculaire, *Equipe Chimie des Polymères*, UMR CNRS 8232, Sorbonne Université, Paris 75252, France

6: Université Paris-Saclay, Univ Evry, CNRS, LAMBE, Evry-Courcouronnes, France

7: Centre International de Recherche en Infectiologie, INSERM U111, UMR CNRS 5308, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon 69007, France

8: Center for Molecular Bioengineering (B CUBE), Technical University of Dresden, Dresden, Germany

9: University of Evry, Evry 91000, France

*Contributed equally to this work

[†]Corresponding Author Fabien Montel. Email: fabien.montel@ens-lyon.fr

ABSTRACT

Mimicking and extending the gating properties of biological pores is of paramount interest for the fabrication of membranes that could be used in filtration or drug processing. Here, we build a selective and switchable nanopore for macromolecular cargo transport. Our approach exploits polymer graftings within artificial nanopores to control the translocation of biomole-cules. To measure transport at the scale of individual biomolecules, we use fluorescence mi-croscopy with a zero-mode waveguide set up. We show that grafting polymers that exhibit a lower critical solution temperature creates a toggle switch between an open and closed state of the nanopore depending on the temperature. We demonstrate tight control over the transport of DNA and viral capsids with a sharp transition (~1 °C) and present a simple physical model that predicts key features of this transition. Our approach provides the potential for controllable and responsive nanopores in a range of applications.

Keywords:

Nanopore; Zero-Mode Waveguide; Biomolecule filtration; Thermo-responsive polymer; Coilglobule transition;

The design of bio-inspired nanopores brings the ability to manipulate and control ionic and molecular transport inside a confined environment and provides insight into ionic and molecular transport processes of biological channels (1-6). Synthetic nanopores have many advantages over biological ones, such as their stability, tunable dimensions (size and shape), and the possibility to integrate into nanofluidic systems (7, 8). By functionalizing the surface of the nanopore, their physical and chemical properties (e.g., hydrophobicity, selectivity, surface charges, and specific molecular recognition) and thus the ionic and molecular transport properties can be modified (2, 9-15) and modeled using simulations (16-18).

Poly(ethylene glycol) polymers graftings (PEG) have been employed previously to create a steric repulsive barrier that fills the interior of the nanopore (3, 4, 19, 20). The PEG grafting collapses by adding proteins in solution that bind to the network and induces a transition between poor and good solvent conditions. Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAM) can also be used to coat the interior of the pore. PNIPAM is known for its hydrophobic-hydrophilic phase transition at physiological temperatures (1, 6, 21). It has been previously shown that PNIPAM can be used to reproduce receptor-mediated transport in grafted nanopores (Caspi et al. 2008, 2009). More precisely when grafted onto the pore surface and onto the transported molecule, it enables selective diffusive transport in an artificial system.

Nevertheless, none of these approaches has led to an efficient, reversible and stable toggle switch that allows the transport of DNA and viral capsids through artificial membranes to be controlled in a temperature-modulated manner. In addition, transport in some of the previous studies was diffusive and molecular transport measurements were limited by aborted-diffusion events observed with electrical detection or accumulation effects due to adhesion on the membrane observed for simple fluorescence measurements.

To overcome these limitations, we built a thermally switchable nanopore as a gateway for the transport and filtration of DNA and viral capsids by electrografting temperature responsive polymers on the nanopore membrane. We have used artificial polymers, poly(2-alkyl-2-oxazoline)s, as a new type of grafting inside the nanopore. Specifically, we used two types of poly(2-alkyl-2-oxazoline)s: hydrophilic poly(2-methyl-2-oxazoline) (PMeOx) and poly(2-*n*-propyl-2-oxazoline) (PnPrOx), which becomes hydrophobic at high temperature. For both polymers, we varied the molecular mass. The thickness of the grafted layer depends on both the type of polymer grafted and environmental conditions. PMeOx is in good solvent at all temperatures around room temperature (20 °C) and can be considered an ideal coil. In contrast, PnPrOx exhibits a lower critical solution temperature (*LCST*). Below the *LCST* this polymer is fully soluble in aqueous solution whereas at temperatures higher than the *LCST* it aggregates and precipitates. This temperature dependence in a range compatible with biomolecules allows us to create a switch between an open and a closed state of the nanopore depending on the temperature inside the nanopore. We demonstrate how the transport of biomolecules and viral capsids through the

artificial nanopores can be controlled by the type of grafted polymer inside the pore and switched on and off by an external stimulus.

Here, we used an optical approach, based on zero-mode waveguide for nanopores (ZMW) (19), to follow the transport of individual macromolecules in real time and at the scale of the single nanopore. To this end, the macromolecules were fluorescently labeled and driven through the nanopore by applying a pressure difference between the two sides of the membrane (**Figure 1**). A gold layer was vapor deposited onto the membrane, onto which poly(2-alkyl-2-oxazoline)s with different degrees of polymerization (X_n) were then electrografted, which either may or may not exhibit LCST (see **Materials and Methods and Supplementary Information S1, S2, S9 for characterizations**).

Polymer grafting inside nanopore creates a thermo-responsive switch for DNA translocation. With our ZMW for nanopores approach, we were able to directly observe individual DNA translocation events through polymer-grafted nanopores. We recorded and examined the translocation frequency for double-stranded DNA molecules (lambda phage DNA) as a function of temperature for different polymer graftings inside the nanopore. The heating system we used controlled the temperature of the entire membrane (see Materials and Methods). The measurements were conducted for the PnPrOx and PMeOx graftings and for a membrane without polymer grafting as a negative control (**Figure 2A, Supplementary Information S10**).

In the case of PnPrOx, we observed that the translocation frequency was strongly affected by temperature. A sharp drop in translocation frequency was observed when lowering the temperature in a narrow range around *LCST*. In contrast, for PMeOx grafted membranes, the translocation frequency remained unchanged with changes in temperature (**Figure 2A**). This behavior is consistent with the fact that PnPrOx possesses a *LCST*, such that a change in temperature in a range including the *LCST* switches the conformation of the polymers in the grafted layer between an extended and a collapsed phase. We interpret the changes in translocation frequency as a polymer toggle switch between an open (collapsed polymer) and a closed (extended polymer) state of the nanopore in response to the temperature of the surrounding medium (**Figure 1**).

To get quantitative results for the mean steepness of the transition m (in °C⁻¹) and the mean transition temperature θ (in °C), we fitted the temperature-frequency curves for the PnPrOx grafting (**Figure 2B, Supplementary Information S10**) by an error function $f(T)/f_{max} = 1/2 \cdot (erf(m \cdot (T - \theta)) + 1)$. The error bars were calculated as the standard errors. The steepness of the error function m is found to range between (0.58 ± 0.03) °C⁻¹ for X_n=33 and (0.66 ± 0.05) °C⁻¹ for X_n=59 (the complete set of values is shown in **Table 2**). This shows that the transition between the open state of the nanopore and the closed state is extremely sharp. A change of the surrounding temperature of less than 1 °C is already enough to evoke a conformational change of the polymer grafting and with this, a change in the openness of the pore from a completely closed pore (no translocation events) to an open pore. The second fitting parameter is reduced at higher molar masses of the grafting and ranges from (29.4 ± 0.1) °C for X_n=538 (**Table 2, Figure 2B "PnPrOx grafted"**). While the grafting and geometry of the pore make a direct comparison to measurements in free aqueous

solution challenging, the measured values are close (26 °C for the P*n*PrOx X_n =33 and 23 °C for P*n*PrOx X_n =59, measured using optical turbidity). For the PMeOx grafting and the membrane without polymer grafting, the translocation frequency was found to be temperature-independent (**Figure 2A**).

Interestingly, we find that the transition temperature θ systematically decreases with increasing chain length for P*n*PrOx (**Figure 2C**). A decrease in *LCST* with increasing chain length or degree of polymerization has been observed for several polymers (22-25). We find that the transition temperatures for our grafted polymers are overall similar to values determined by Hoogenboom *et al.* (22). For ungrafted P*n*PrOx in aqueous solution while showing a less steep dependence on chain length (**Figure 2C "PnPrOx bulk"**). This difference in scaling behavior might be due to the effect of grafting the polymer onto gold support, which has been shown to lead to an altered chain length dependence for PNIPAM previously (24).

In another series of experiments (**Supplementary Information S4**), we cooled the system down until the translocation frequency reached zero. These results demonstrate the reversibility of the system and show that, at low temperature, the pore reaches a state that efficiently blocks DNA molecules.

Having established thermal gating by heating the entire device, we were also able to demonstrate an even simpler and more elegant alternative heating method: local heating using a fluorescence lamp (**Supplementary Information S3**). We found that adsorption of heat from the light source by the membrane can indeed be used to locally control the transition between coil and globule and modulate transport through the pore.

A simple polymer model can account for the gating experiments. To provide a deeper understanding of the polymer phase transition inside the nanopore, we compared the temperature gating observed in our experiments to a simple theoretical model. Our theoretical description of the gating phenomenon is based on the work of Halperin on grafted polymer chain collapse in poor solvent and the suction model (26, 27) (see Supplementary Information S5 for more details). Briefly, the configuration of the grafted chains is modeled by a mean field theory. We assume that the free energy F of the chains is composed of two main contributions: F_{el} which accounts for the configurational entropy and F_{mix} which accounts for the interaction of the monomer units with the solvent and with the other monomer units. The total free energy can then be expressed as a function of the number of monomer units per chain N, the coil radius R, as well as v and w, the second and third virial coefficients which quantify respectively the 2-monomer and 3-monomer interactions, respectively. The coil radius was then determined by the numerical minimization of the polymer chain free energy for each temperature. From this value, R_{eff} the effective radius of the pore and the critical pressure of translocation P_c are determined. Using the suction model, f the frequency of translocation as a function of temperature was finally calculated as:

$$f = k(\frac{R_{eff}}{R_{pore}})^4 \frac{P}{P_c^0} \exp(-\frac{P_c^0}{P}(\frac{R_{pore}}{R_{eff}})^4)$$

with R_{pore} the radius of the pore without polymer grafting and P_c^0 the critical pressure without polymer grafting (i.e for $R_{eff} = R_{pore}$).

The model predicts that the translocation frequency as a function of temperature exhibits a sharp transition between no translocation at low temperature and full transmission at high temperature (**Figure 3A** and see **Supplementary Information S5** for details about the choice of parameters). The sharpness of the transition is dominated by the influence of the third virial coefficient w may be varied by chemical modification of the polymer (change of side groups etc.). For w values, used here as a fitting parameter, between 10^{-3} to 10^{-4} comparable to values from the literature, this model reproduces well the transition observed experimentally for individual measurements with grafted polymers of different molar masses (**Figure 3B**).

This result shows that the key features of the temperature induced gating can be readily recovered by a simple physical model including the collapse of the polymer inside the pore and the relation between the effective diameter of the pore and the energy barrier of transport.

Flow-driven DNA translocation experiments provide a tool to characterize grafted polymer layers inside nanopores. To examine the correlation between translocation frequency and the spatial extension of the polymer layer grafted inside the nanopore, we performed single-molecule ZMW experiments and measured the translocation frequency of DNA molecules through the membrane as a function of the applied pressure. Since the critical pressure of translocation depends strongly on pore radius $P_c \sim R_{pore}^{-4}$ (see Supplementary Information S6), a small change in the pore radius is expected to result in a large difference in the critical pressure.

We recorded the frequency of translocation of DNA molecules for different graftings (PMeOx and PnPrOx) and degree of polymerization as a function of pressure (**Figure 4A-B**). Notably, the grafted polymers remained stable over a 6-month period (**Supplementary Information S11**)

For the DNA translocation experiments using membranes with a PMeOx grafting, the experiments were performed at room temperature (20 °C) as the surrounding temperature had no influence on the translocation frequency for this grafting (**Figure 2**). For the experiments using membranes with a P*n*PrOx grafting of various polymerization degrees ($X_n=33$, $X_n=59$, $X_n=210$, $X_n=538$), we heated the system to a temperature higher than 30 °C. Heating the system made the grafted polymers collapse and as a result, partially opened the pore (**Figure 2**). As a control experiment, we performed the same measurements with membranes with P*n*PrOx graftings at room temperature (20 °C). In the same pressure range, we recorded no translocation events confirming the assumption that the pores were indeed closed at 20 °C.

All the pressure-frequency curves were fitted with the suction model (27) and the results were then compared to the findings originating from membranes without a polymer grafting (19). For the track-etched pores used here, the radius measured by electron microscopy (see **Supplementary Information, S9**), $R_{pore} = 21 \pm 0.25$ nm, Auger *et al.* found the critical pressure to be $P_c^0 = 82 \pm 4$ mbar in the absence of grafting polymers. We used these values to calculate the thickness of the grafted polymer layer. As shown in **S6**, the effective thickness of the polymer layer (R_p) can indeed be expressed as:

$$R_p = R_{pore} (1 - (P_c^0 / P_c)^{1/4})$$

With P_c , the critical pressure through the pore grafted with polymers. This relation was checked with mPEG graftings by measuring the critical pressure Pc for different molecular masses of the grafted polymer (see S6).

The systematic variation in critical pressures with polymer type and length (**Table 1**) shows that the translocation of DNA through nanopores is strongly influenced by the grafting inside the pore. The observed trends are consistent with the additional polymer layer controlling the translocation by reducing the diameter of the nanopore. As a result, the DNA molecules to be transported must be spatially confined even further, and consequently, the critical pressure increases with increasing thickness of the polymer grafting.

For both PMeOx and P*n*PrOx, the increase of grafted polymer thickness R_p with polymerization degree X_n are well-described by power-law fits of the form $R_p = aX_n^{\nu}$ (Figure 4C). For PMeOx, we have used the scaling exponent $\nu = 0.5$, as expected for the end-to-end distance of an ideal polymer chain. The fitted Kuhn length a = 0.32 nm for PMeOx is in the same order of the theoretical monomer length obtained from its chemical structure (0.428 nm). These findings suggest that grafted PMeOx behaves like a flexible polymer chain in good solvent, similar to PMeOx in free solution. In contrast, while the grafted polymer thickness for P*n*PrOx above the critical temperature (Figure 4C) also increases with increasing chain length, the observed values are much smaller. In this case, we have used the scaling exponent $\nu = 0.33$, as expected for the end-to-end distance of a collapsed polymer in bad solvent. The fitted apparent monomer length a = 0.43 nm, which is as expected larger than PMeOx.

These results support not only the idea that the PnPrOx polymers exhibit a reversible coil-globule transition inside the pore when heated above the *LCST*, but also that our nanopore methodology can be used as a precise tool to determine grafted polymer thickness in nanoporous membranes.

Thermo-switchable nanopores control the translocation of viral particles. As an application of the gating behavior observed for DNA molecules, we extended our approach to gating viral capsids derived from Adeno-Associated Virus (AAV) as a model system. We translocated viral particles (with a diameter of 25 ± 3 nm (28)) through grafted membranes (PMeOx and PnPrOx) and measured the translocation frequency as a function of the temperature. In this case, the pore diameter was chosen to be 200 nm in order to avoid self-interaction between viral capsids. The switching phenomenon observed for DNA molecules was also observed for AAV for PnPrOx grafting, but not for PMeOx grafting (**Figure 5** and **Supplementary Information S10**). Remarkably, the critical temperature measured for Viral particles ($T_{crit} = 25.5$ °C for PnPrOx X_n =210) was very close to the one observed for DNA molecules ($T_{crit} = 25.8$ °C for PnPrOx X_n =210). This result strengthens the idea that the grafted polymers of PnPrOx undergo a global reorganization during the coil-globule transition and that the toggle switch phenomenon observed is not limited to one type of transported biomolecule but has far-reaching relevance for a wide range of biological and non-biological systems.

In this study, we report a thermally switchable and reversible gate for the transport and filtration of macromolecules and viral particles. The properties of this nanogate are controlled by a network of electro-grafted polymers. We systematically characterize the effects of the chemical composition and molar mass of the grafted macromolecules on the opening of the pore. In addition, we show that the transport properties of the membrane can be controlled by changes in temperature induced by light illumination via heating up the membrane.

The temperature switch between the extended and the collapsed state observed in this work for PnPrOx polymers occurs in a well-defined and sharp temperature range (~1 °C). Because of the limitation of our temperature measurement and averaging effects (see **Supplementary Information S8**) we think that the steepness of this effective transition is in fact higher and may depend on grafted polymer molar mass. The difference of transport properties between the two types of polymers at low temperature may be interpreted, beyond a simple coil-globule transition, as a difference of cohesivity of the network induced by the different chemical nature of the monomer units. A detailed investigation with polymers composed of a mixture of these two monomers might enable to probe these effects in the future.

Future works may use this approach to investigate other viral capsids tolerance and plasticity and benefit from the proposed model to engineer the shape of critical transition. Overall, we believe that nanopores grafted with thermo-switchable polymers have the potential to enable smart filters, act as sensors, and provide a new dimension of control over translocation, with possible applications in drinking water treatment, pharmaceutical production, and more.

Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to thank Jens Uwe-Sommer, Holger Merlitz, Cendrine Moskalenko, Martin Castelnovo, Saskia Brugère, Kassandra Gérard, Thomas Auger, Jean-Marc Di Meglio, Loic Auvray, Arthur Ermatov, Willem Vanderlinden and Hermann Gaub for helpful comments and discussions. This work was supported by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique under: the 80 Prime project "NanoViro", and the ANR "Golden Gates".

Author contributions:

F.M, P.G, J-C.L, J.M, and S.L conceived the project. D.B, R.B, P.M and G.D performed polymer synthesis, characterization, and electro-grafting on nanoporous membranes. A.S produced the AAV capsids. P.J.K, L.C-F, G.D, and M.B performed nanopore experiments. P.J.K, L.C-F, M.B, and F.M performed data analysis. F.M performed theoretical modeling. F.M, P.G, V.B, J-C.L, J.M., and J.L supervised the work. P.J.K, L.C-F, and F.M wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the ideas and reviewed the manuscript.

TABLES

<u>Table 1</u>: Critical pressure and grafted polymer thickness extracted from our experimental data

For the track-etched pores used here, the radius was measured by electron microscopy, $(R_{pore} = 21 \pm 0.25 \text{ nm})$, and Auger *et al.* found the critical pressure to be $P_c = 82 \pm 4$ mbar in the absence of grafting polymers(19). For PnPrOx graftings, the experiments were carried out at T (31 °C) >LCST. The contour length, L_c (i.e., maximum length of the molecule), is the number of monomers multiplied by their size (MeOx and PnPrOx monomers are about 428 pm).

Polymer type	PMeOx	PMeOx	PMeOx	PMeOx	P <i>n</i> PrOx	PnPrOx	PnPrOx
X _n	61	83	178	387	33	59	538
L_c (nm)	26	36	76	166	14	25	230
Pc _{grafted} (mbar)	135±3	150±4	223±4	327±7	96±4	104±2	185±4
R_p , polymer thick-	2.5±0.5	3±0.6	4.6±0.6	6.1±0.7	0.8±0.5	1.2±0.6	3.9±0.6
ness (nm)							

<u>Table 2</u>: Transition temperature θ and steepness *m* for different degrees of polymerization of P*n*PrOx grafting.

X _n	33	59	210	538
<i>m</i> (1/°C)	0.58±0.03	0.66 ± 0.05	0.61±0.05	0.52±0.03
$\theta(^{\circ}C)$	29.4±0.1	28.4±0.2	25.8±0.1	24.9±0.1




Figure 1: Zero mode waveguide setup for nanopore with grafted polymer. A, B) Schematic of the zero-mode waveguide (ZMW) for nanopores setup. It uses a nanoporous array coated with a gold layer for near-field enhancement. When illuminated with a laser, the fluorescent molecules in the *cis* chamber do not receive any light because of metal whereas downstream molecules are unfocused and bleached by the laser. Only the fluorescent molecules in the pore in the vicinity of the metal film are exposed to an enhancement of the electromagnetic field which leads to reinforcement of the fluorescence. This methodology enables the detection of single molecules translocation events with a high parallelization. In the case of nanoporous arrays functionalized with grafted polymers, the translocation of the transported molecule can be modulated by closing and opening the grafted channels. An examplary video recording of the translocation events can be found in **Supplementary Information S12**. C) Left: Schematic of how grafted polymers modulate the pore diameter. Polymers that do not exhibit a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) limit the channel size but cannot completely close the pore. Pores grafted with polymers with an LCST can be triggered by changing the temperature to switch from an open state at high temperature to a closed state at low temperature. Right: Poly(2-alkyl-2-oxazoline)s structure. The -R group corresponds to -CH₃ for PMeOx and for -CH₂-CH₂-CH₃ for PnPrOx.





Figure 2: Normalized translocation frequency as a function of temperature for differently grafted pores. A) Comparison of the frequency of translocation of λ -DNA as a function of temperature for PMeOx (X_n =61), PnPrOx (X_n=59), and ungrafted membrane. Pore diameter 42±0.5 nm. Applied pressure: 80 mbar. The blue solid line represents the fitting by the error function. The dashed black line represents the constant f/f_{max}=1. Unaveraged curves are shown in **Supplementary Information S8**. B) Comparison of the frequency of translocation of λ -DNA as a function of temperature for different number-average degree of polymerization of PnPrOx (X_n=33, X_n=59, X_n=210, X_n=538). Pore diameter 42±0.5 nm. Applied pressure: 80 mbar. Solid lines represent the fitting by error function. Unaveraged curves are shown in **Supplementary Information S8**. C) Transition temperature θ for different polymerization degrees X_n . Red stars correspond to the PnPrOx graftings used in this study. Yellow diamonds correspond to a study from Hoogenboom *et al.* (22). Solid lines represent model fitting with a power



law $\theta = a \cdot X_n^{\ b} + \theta_{\infty}$. The exponent *b* is equal to -0.3 for our data and -0.9 for Hoogenboom *et al.*

Figure 3: Theoretical modeling of the gating phenomenon. A) (main) Modeling of the frequency of translocation normalized by the suction model prefactor *k*. This prefactor corresponds to the frequency of translocation for $P=P_c$. (inset) Modeling of the effective radius of the grafted nanopore, R_{eff} , as a function of temperature difference $T-\theta$ with θ the critical temperature. Different values of the third virial coefficient *w* are represented by different colors. B) Comparison between the coarse-grained model and individual translocation experiments (numbers 1 to 3) for different PnPrOx graftings (X_n=33 and X_n=59) normalized by the maximum frequency f_{max}.




Figure 4: Averaged translocation frequency as a function of the applied pressure for different graftings. Frequency of translocation of λ -DNA was measured for membranes grafted with A) PMeOx: X_n=61 in light blue and X_n=83 in dark blue and B) P*n*PrOx: X_n=33 in blue and X_n=59 in purple at high temperature (T=30 °C). Pore diameter (42±0.5) nm. The solid lines correspond to the suction model which provides the critical pressure P_c as well as the constant k. The different polymers grafting inside the pore lead to a change in translocation frequency implying a different state of openness of the nanopore that can be related to an effective radius of the pore. Each experiment was repeated at least 3 times. Error bars are standard errors. Unaveraged curves are shown in **Supplementary Information S8**. C) Evolution of the grafted polymer thickness Rp as a function of polymerization degree X_n for various graftings. Black circles represent PMeOx graftings and red stars represent P*n*PrOx graftings. Solid lines correspond to a fit with a simple scaling law: $R_p = a \cdot X_n^{\nu}$ with $\nu = 0.5$ for PMeOx and $\nu = 0.33$ for P*n*PrOx. We found *a*(PMeOx)=0.32 nm and *a*(P*n*PrOx)=0.43 nm.




Figure 5: Controlled translocation of viral particles. Normalized frequency of translocation of AAV viral particles as a function of the temperature for two different graftings: black stars are PMeOx and green diamonds are P*n*PrOx. Pore diameter (220±1.8) nm. Applied pressure: 4 mbar. The green solid line is the result of fitting by an error function. Black solid line is the constant $(f(T)-f_{\infty})/(f_{\infty}-f_0)=1$. N>2 for each experiment. Errors bars are standard errors. Insert: Scheme of an AAV virus at the entry of a grafted nanopore.

REFERENCES

1. Caspi Y, Zbaida D, Cohen H, Elbaum M. Synthetic Mimic of Selective Transport Through the Nuclear Pore Complex. Nano Letters. 2008;8(11):3728-34.

2. Dekker C. Solid-state nanopores. Nature Nanotechnology. 2007;2(4):209-15.

3. Emilsson G, Sakiyama Y, Malekian B, Xiong K, Adali-Kaya Z, Lim RYH, et al. Gating Protein Transport in Solid State Nanopores by Single Molecule Recognition. ACS Cent Sci. 2018;4(8):1007-14.

4. Emilsson G, Xiong K, Sakiyama Y, Malekian B, Ahlberg Gagnér V, Schoch RL, et al. Polymer brushes in solid-state nanopores form an impenetrable entropic barrier for proteins. Nanoscale. 2018;10(10):4663-9.

5. Hriciga A, Lehn JM. pH regulation of divalent/monovalent Ca/K cation transport selectivity by a macrocyclic carrier molecule. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1983;80(20):6426-8.

6. Yameen B, Ali M, Neumann R, Ensinger W, Knoll W, Azzaroni O. Ionic Transport Through Single Solid-State Nanopores Controlled with Thermally Nanoactuated Macromolecular Gates. Small. 2009;5(11):1287-91.

7. Keyser UF, Koeleman BN, van Dorp S, Krapf D, Smeets RMM, Lemay SG, et al. Direct force measurements on DNA in a solid-state nanopore. Nature Physics. 2006;2(7):473-7.

8. Roman J, Français O, Jarroux N, Patriarche G, Pelta J, Bacri L, et al. Solid-State Nanopore Easy Chip Integration in a Cheap and Reusable Microfluidic Device for Ion Transport and Polymer Conformation Sensing. ACS Sensors. 2018;3(10):2129-37.

9. Lepoitevin M, Ma T, Bechelany M, Janot J-M, Balme S. Functionalization of single solid state nanopores to mimic biological ion channels: A review. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science. 2017;250:195-213.

10. Venkatesan BM, Dorvel B, Yemenicioglu S, Watkins N, Petrov I, Bashir R. Highly Sensitive, Mechanically Stable Nanopore Sensors for DNA Analysis. Advanced Materials. 2009;21(27):2771-6.

11. Alem H, Duwez A-S, Lussis P, Lipnik P, Jonas AM, Demoustier-Champagne S. Microstructure and thermo-responsive behavior of poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) brushes grafted in nanopores of track-etched membranes. Journal of Membrane Science. 2008;308(1):75-86.

12. Lokuge I, Wang X, Bohn PW. Temperature-Controlled Flow Switching in Nanocapillary Array Membranes Mediated by Poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) Polymer Brushes Grafted by Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization. Langmuir. 2007;23(1):305-11.

13. Reber N, Küchel A, Spohr R, Wolf A, Yoshida M. Transport properties of thermo-responsive ion track membranes. Journal of Membrane Science. 2001;193(1):49-58.

14. Reber N, Omichi H, Spohr R, Tamada M, Wolf A, Yoshida M. Thermal switching of grafted single ion tracks. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms. 1995;105(1):275-7.

15. Spohr R, Reber N, Wolf A, Alder GM, Ang V, Bashford CL, et al. Thermal control of drug release by a responsive ion track membrane observed by radio tracer flow dialysis. Journal of Controlled Release. 1998;50(1):1-11.

16. Arroyo N, Balme S, Picaud F. Impact of surface state on polyethylene glycol conformation confined inside a nanopore. The Journal of Chemical Physics. 2021;154(10):104901.

17. Chen G, Dormidontova E. PEO-Grafted Gold Nanopore: Grafting Density, Chain Length, and Curvature Effects. Macromolecules. 2022;55(12):5222-32.

18. Ma T, Arroyo N, Marc Janot J, Picaud F, Balme S. Conformation of Polyethylene Glycol inside Confined Space: Simulation and Experimental Approaches. Nanomaterials [Internet]. 2021; 11(1).

19. Auger T, Mathé J, Viasnoff V, Charron G, Di Meglio J-M, Auvray L, et al. Zero-Mode Waveguide Detection of Flow-Driven DNA Translocation through Nanopores. Physical Review Letters. 2014;113(2):028302.

20. Lim RYH, Deng J. Interaction Forces and Reversible Collapse of a Polymer Brush-Gated Nanopore. ACS Nano. 2009;3(10):2911-8.

21. Caspi Y, Zbaida D, Cohen H, Elbaum M. Anomalous Diffusion of High Molecular Weight Polyisopropylacrylamide in Nanopores. Macromolecules. 2009;42(3):760-7.

22. Hoogenboom R, Thijs HML, Jochems MJHC, van Lankvelt BM, Fijten MWM, Schubert US. Tuning the LCST of poly(2-oxazoline)s by varying composition and molecular weight: alternatives to poly(N-isopropylacrylamide)? Chemical Communications. 2008(44):5758-60.

23. Lessard DG, Ousalem M, Zhu XX. Effect of the molecular weight on the lower critical solution temperature of poly(N,N-diethylacrylamide) in aqueous solutions. Canadian Journal of Chemistry. 2001;79(12):1870-4.

24. Shan J, Zhao Y, Granqvist N, Tenhu H. Thermoresponsive Properties of N-Isopropylacrylamide Oligomer Brushes Grafted to Gold Nanoparticles: Effects of Molar Mass and Gold Core Size. Macromolecules. 2009;42(7):2696-701.

25. Tong YW, Shoichet MS. Defining the Surface Chemistry of Ammonia-Modified Poly(tetrafluoroethylene-co-hexafluoropropylene) Films. Macromolecules. 1999;32(10):3464-8.

26. Halperin A. Collapse of grafted chains in poor solvents. J Phys France. 1988;49(3):547-50.

27. Gay C, de Gennes PG, Raphaël E, Brochard-Wyart F. Injection Threshold for a Statistically Branched Polymer inside a Nanopore. Macromolecules. 1996;29(26):8379-82.

28. Mietzsch M, Barnes C, Hull JA, Chipman P, Xie J, Bhattacharya N, et al. Comparative Analysis of the Capsid Structures of AAVrh.10, AAVrh.39, and AAV8. Journal of Virology. 2020;94(6):e01769-19.

Graphical TOC

