

# State diagram of whole milk for freeze-drying application

Fadwa Alla, Émilie Gagnière, Géraldine Agusti, Maria Perez Rodriguez, Anouar Rich, Mohammed Mountadar, Mostapha Siniti, Claudia Cogné

## ▶ To cite this version:

Fadwa Alla, Émilie Gagnière, Géraldine Agusti, Maria Perez Rodriguez, Anouar Rich, et al.. State diagram of whole milk for freeze-drying application. Journal of Crystal Growth, 2023, 622, pp.127349. 10.1016/j.jcrysgro.2023.127349. hal-04212721

# HAL Id: hal-04212721 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04212721v1

Submitted on 5 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **1** State diagram of whole milk for freeze-drying application

3	RICH <sup>2</sup> . Mohammed MOUNTADAR <sup>2</sup> Mostapha SINITI <sup>2</sup> and Claudia COGNÉ <sup>1</sup>
2	Fadwa ALLA <sup>1,2</sup> . Émilie GAGNIERE <sup>1</sup> . Géraldine AGUSTI <sup>1</sup> . Maria PEREZ RODRIGUEZ, Anouar

<sup>1</sup> Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, LAGEPP UMR 5007, 43 boulevard du 11
 novembre 1918, F-69100, Villeurbanne, France
 <sup>2</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination et d'Analytique (LCCA), Equipe Thermodynamique
 Appliquée et Procédés (ETAP). Faculté des Sciences El Jadida, Université Chouaib Doukkali, PO Box
 20, El Jadida, M-24000, Morocco.
 E-mail: Fadwa.alla95@gmail.com

#### 10 Abstract

The state diagram is a key parameter when optimizing a freeze-drying cycle, as it allows us to 11 set the optimum processing temperature for a specific product. The purpose of this work is to 12 provide some thermodynamic and physicochemical data for optimizing the freeze-drying 13 cycle of whole milk. Freezing point measurements were determined using differential 14 scanning calorimetry and cryoscopy methods, and glass transitions were evaluated by DSC. 15 The endothermic peak was used as the equilibrium freezing point, a variation from 273.93 K 16 to 264.92 K was detected when the dry matter content varied from 0 to 75%.  $T_a$  midpoint 17 temperature was used as glass transition of dry mater. Furthermore, Fox and Gordon-Taylor 18 equations were used to predict the glass transition curve to determine  $T_g^\prime$ , this temperature 19 was used as an approximation of the critical temperature  $T_c$ . Collapse temperature was 20 determined using the freeze-drying microscopy setup. 21

*Key words:* A1. State diagrams, A1 Characterization, A1. Solidification, A1. Optical
 microscopy, A1. Freeze-drying

## 24 **1.** Introduction

Milk is a complex mixture of ingredients. Liquid water, arguably the most important solvent on Earth, is the main component of whole milk representing more than 86% of the total mass of cow milk. The most important compounds in milk are lactose, fat and protein. Dry food is thus much more stable than wet food. Water in dairy products contributes to microorganisms growth and acts as a plasticizer for non-fat (carbohydrates and proteins) milk solids [1]. One process that can be used to promote the long-term stability of these products while avoiding thermal degradation of their structure is freeze-drying (also known as lyophilization).

Freeze-drying is a low-temperature, low-pressure dehydration process that is time-consuming 32 and energy-intensive. It consists of three main steps: freezing, primary drying and secondary 33 drying. In the first step, the product can be frozen at an extremely low temperature around 34 -60°C and most of the water solvent is converted to ice. In the second step, the ice is removed 35 from the frozen product by sublimation [2], the primary drying must be performed at a 36 37 temperature below collapse point T<sub>c</sub> (i.e. maximum allowable product temperature during primary drying for an amorphous system). In the final step, the unfrozen water that has not 38 crystallized during freezing and is bound to the product is desorbed at a higher temperature 39 40 [3]. Primary drying is the most time and energy consuming step in the entire process [4].

Therefore, the optimization of this step is of paramount importance to accelerate the 41 development of freeze-drying process. It is well known that increasing the temperature will 42 significantly increase yield during sublimation [5][6]. Indeed, a temperature increase of only 43 1°C resulted in a reduction of at least 13% in primary drying time [5][7]. Thus, to optimize 44 the freeze-drying cycles it is necessary to determine the key parameters i.e.  $T_g$ ,  $T_g'$  and  $T_c$ .  $T_g$ 45 can be defined as the temperature at which an amorphous system changes from glassy to 46 rubbery. It has been used as an indicator of food stability and to predict food behavior, 47 whereas for a concentrated solution at the freezing maximum, the notation is  $T_{g'}$  [8], Glass 48 transition temperature  $(T_g)$  of any milk powder, is primarily the effect of amorphous lactose, 49 the amorphous lactose coats milk proteins and fat globules in a glassy powder [9]. The 50 collapse temperature  $T_c$  is related to the glass transition temperature  $T'_g$  but is not the same the 51 latter being slightly lower even when measured at low rates [5]  $T_c$  is usually determined by 52

freeze-drying microscopy (FDM) while the standard method for  $T_{g}'$  is Differential Scanning 53 Calorimetry (DSC) [10][11]. Small molecules act as plasticizers when mixed with larger 54 55 molecules by lowering the apparent glass transition temperature of the latter [9]. The most common plasticizer in the food industry is water. As suggested in the literature, the glass 56 transition temperature  $T'_{a}$  can be used as a first approximation of the collapse temperature. The 57  $T_g$  of skimmed milk powder is easier to determine than whole milk powder (WMP). The glass 58 transition temperature of WMP is dependent on the water content, which overlaps more or 59 less with the end point of fat melting in a given water content [9]. To fully understand what 60 occurs in freeze drying, it is also necessary to consider the role of ice formation, both 61 processes are best understood with reference to a state diagram. 62

63 State diagram is a tool for optimizing the drying process because it depicts the different states 64 of the food, describes equilibria such as freezing point and glass transition, which helps to understand the complex changes that occur when the water content of a food is modified. It 65 66 also helps to identify the stability of food during storage and to select the appropriate temperature and moisture content [9]. In order to establish the solid-liquid equilibrium 67 temperature of a mixture, the commonly used methods are cryoscopy and DSC. AOAC 68 (Association of Official Analytical Chemists) usually uses the cryoscopy method to quantify 69 adulteration of milk with water, the solution is cooled until solidification, the temperature-70 71 time is observed with a temperature-indicator, and initial or equilibrium freezing point is used as the maximum temperature after ice nucleation (e.i plateau) [12]. While cryoscopy has been 72 shown to be effective, it requires manual observation and often does not provide information 73 on other thermodynamic properties of interest. The amount of solute must be negligible 74 compared to the amount of solvent in a liquid solution [13]. In addition, serious complications 75 can arise from operating cryo-devices at low temperatures. The application of DSC to the 76 77 study of organic mixtures has shown the development of several methods for the

determination of the liquidus temperature (T<sub>liquidus</sub>) from the thermogram observed during the 78 79 melting of the solid phase [14]. The freezing point is usually characterized from the endothermic peak during the melting process [15]. The freezing point could be considered as 80 the temperature peak or at the onset point of the endothermic peak [15], although the melting 81 point in mixtures are qualitatively different from those in pure substances. The use of a low 82 heating rate is important to ensure a steady state during heating. It is important to note that the 83 freezing point of water and other liquids can be at the same temperature as the melting point. 84 It won't be higher, but it could easily be lower. 85

The objective of this study is to realize the state diagram of whole milk by measuring glass transition temperature and freezing curves to find the maximal-freeze-concentration condition, in order to better understand the freeze-drying applied effect. This was possible by using a low-cost and simple cooling curve method (cryoscopy) to measure the freezing point  $T_f$  and to compare with DSC results obtained from the melting curve.

#### 91 2. Experimental Methods.

## 92 2.1. Samples preparation.

To plot the state diagram of the water/WMP mixture, samples with different dry matter were prepared using the same brand of milk powder "Commercial name Nido", with initial moisture content of 3% dry matter (measured using Karl Fisher apparatus). *Tab.1* presents the main information about this product. The concentrations of the solutions were calculated using *Eq1*.

98

$$W_{p.w} = \frac{m_p}{m_p + m_w} \qquad Eq.1$$

99 Where  $W_{p,w}$  is the weight concentration of the solution,  $m_p$  is the mass of Milk powder and 100  $m_w$  is the mass of distilled water. To complete the discussion about the collapse temperature, some analysis have been done on
liquid whole milk, (noted WM) that contains 12.4% of dried matter with (3.6g for 100ml of
fat content).

104 2.2. State diagram of whole milk

## 105 2.2.1. Cryoscopy "cooling curve"

Freezing point depression (FPD) is one of the most important thermophysical properties of an 106 aqueous solution for freezing processes [13]. Fig.1 shows the experimental setup used to 107 108 determine the solid/liquid equilibrium of milk solutions. The cryoscopy apparatus used in this study is from PHYWE, it consists of two cylindrical DURANglass vessels (1-3). One fits into 109 the other and is secured by a screw cap GL 45. The inner cylindrical glass vessel has a 110 111 capacity of 60 ml with a flat bottom to accommodate a magnetic stirring bar and a side inlet for the introduction of the product. The outer jacket glass is filled with 35-40 ml of ethanol to 112 113 ensure a uniform heat transport from the inner vessel to the frozen mixture. The set of two cylindrical tubes was immersed in a 1000 ml glass beaker filled with a suitable freezing 114 mixture of crushed ice and sodium chloride to achieve a temperature near to -22 °C. The 115 116 whole equipment is placed under stirring using magnetic stirrer. The temperatures in the inner cylindrical glass vessel and cooling bath are measured by two Pt100 immersion temperature 117 probes (5) connected to the data acquisition system (6). 118

The solutions were cooled at a cooling rate of approximately 1.6 °C/min. The experimental study of the solid-liquid equilibrium was carried out for milk solution at concentrations of dry matter ranging from 0 wt % to 50 wt % dry matter. Aqueous solutions were prepared using sucrose as analytical reagent, varied from to 273.15 to 263.39 K when solids content changed from 0 to 49.5%. The FPD of this solution is available in literature, allowing the comparison of our results and the literature data. To further confirm the measurement procedure in the high concentration range [13]. Equilibrium points are synthesized from a temperature plateau
(temperature-time curve) over a long period as shown by *Fig.2*.

## 127 2.2.2. Calorimetric Analysis by Differential Scanning Calorimetry

Freezing point and glass transition of whole milk sample at different dry matter content were 128 measured by DSC. The Differential Scanning Calorimetry instrument used was a TA 129 130 Instruments DSC Q200 with Universal Analysis Software, to determine the state transition temperatures. Milk solution samples (20-25 mg) from 0% (wt) to 75% (wt) dry matter were 131 prepared, each sample was pipetted into a DSC pan and weighed with a Mettler balance to an 132 133 accuracy of 0.01 mg. Hermetically sealable aluminum sample pans were used in all measurements with an empty aluminum pan as a reference, equilibrated at 20°C. Afterward, 134 the temperature was decreased to  $-40^{\circ}$ C and then increased to  $40^{\circ}$ C at a rate of  $1^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>, two 135 scans were performed for each sample. All measurements were performed under a nitrogen 136 atmosphere with a flow rate of 50 mL  $min^{-1}$  used to purge the sample head for all 137 measurements to avoid condensation in the oven. The results reported in this work correspond 138 to data as a function of temperature, with the freezing temperature acquired at the peak of the 139 melting of the heat flow curve at the second melting scan. Fig.3 represents an example of 140 DSC thermogram of whole milk sample containing 20% dry matter. 141

## 142 2.2.3. Freeze-drying microscopy (FDM)

Linkam Scientific FDCS196 freeze drying cryo-stage and optical microscopy LEICA (DM) were used to observe the behavior of the solutions during both cooling and warming periods. A microscope slide made of quartz (16-mm) and oil was used to enhance thermal contact between the sample and the temperature-controlled plate. A drop of 2-3 µl of sample (10wt%, 12.4wt% and 20wt% of WMP and commercial WM) was prepared. A second slide was gently pressed on top to form a seal tight enough to prevent sample water from evaporating, the samples were then transferred into the microscope chamber. A Sony CCD camera with 1600 x
1200 pixels was used to record pictures.

### 151 3. Results and discussion

## 152 3.1. Experimental Solid–Liquid state Diagram: Freezing point

Whole milk state diagram has been studied to identify the phase transitions that can occur at a 153 154 specific temperature and weight fraction. Experimental state diagram was investigated using two methods: Cryoscopy and DSC analysis to determine freezing point. Whole milk samples 155 were prepared by diluting concentrated milk with distilled water. Cryoscopy method was used 156 for milk solutions with concentrations ranging from 0 to 50% dry mater and the DSC analysis 157 158 was used for milk solutions with concentrations ranging from 0 to 75% dry matter. According to literature [13], freezing points can be derived from long temperature plateau when 159 cryoscopy method is used, it can be also determined from melting peak at the endothermic 160 curve using DSC [15]. 161

162 *Fig.4* represents the melting curves obtained using DSC at a heating rate of  $1^{\circ}$ C.min<sup>-1</sup> for 163 different dry matter contents. When the heating rate decreases and approaches zero, the 164 temperature gradient within the sample decreases [16], that is why a low heating rate was 165 applied. Freezing point results are summarized in *Tab.2*.

According to literature work, it is more reasonable to consider the maximum of the endothermic peak as the freezing point to develop the state diagram using DSC, in the case of food products [15][17][18][19].

169 Chen et. al [13] results were used to compare the liquidus temperatures measured by the 170 cryoscopy and DSC as shown in *Fig.5*. Both methods showed good consistency, increasing 171 amount of milk powder leads to a decreasing of freezing point. However, the equilibrium 172 temperatures given by the synthetic method tends to be lower at certain points than the temperatures obtained by DSC. For fact that the freezing point of a substance largely depends
on supercooling, while supercooling has no effect on the melting point of a substance.
Cryoscopy results [13] have been used to determine the freezing point to build the model state
diagram of whole milk [9].

However the reliability of cryoscopy results lies in the study of solutions with low solute 177 concentration [13], the cooling curve lost its sensitivity at high dry matter content, due to the 178 low amount of freezable water present in the sample. We find out that using DSC for the 179 construction of equilibrium state diagrams is becoming common; the advantages of DSC lies 180 181 in its speed of measurement and ease of use. It has been widely used in the study of protein denaturation, carbohydrate stability, and the determination of first and second-order 182 transitions in lipids [20] [21][22]. Based on all of that, the DSC results seem to be more 183 184 accurate than cryoscopy method in our case.

#### 185 3.2. Glass transition

The study of the effect of heating rates on glass transition  $T_g$  was performed using a 186 187 commercial whole milk powder containing 3 % water content Fig. 6 shows the graphical results of  $T_g$  at different heating rates (1; 5; 10 and 20 °C/min). Heating rate was found to 188 have an effect on the  $T_g$  value, with a significant decrease in the glass transition from 65.55 to 189 54.15 °C when the scanning rate was reduced from 20 to 1°C/min, the use of a low heating 190 rate is important to ensure a steady state during heating. Therefore, the lowest heating rate 191 was selected for the  $T_g$  measurements. Glass transition was difficult to detect for WMP 192 between 4 and 8.5 % water content, as reported in the literature [9]. In addition, it was not 193 possible to determine  $T_g$  from the DSC curves of fat-containing with a water content range of 194 23.9 to 44.4 % because the fat-melting endothermic predominates in the same temperature 195 range [23] *Tab. 3* shows the  $T_q$  results of weight mass fraction (93.3 and 97%) for whole milk 196

197 powders at a heating rate of 1 °C/min. The glass transitions are reported as the midpoint 198 temperatures: the glass-transition mid-point value was obtained as an average of the onset and 199 end values [24], the glass transition temperature increased as solid content increased.

Glass transition of mixtures can be predicted using various empirical equations. The reliability of  $T_g$  depends on the accuracy of the determination of total solids in milk. In this paper, the Gordon-Taylor and Fox equations were established to predict the  $T_g$  curve of amorphous whole milk, considering that all solid components which contributing to the observed glass transition were miscible and formed a single phase. The Gordon-Taylor equation seems to be most commonly applied to amorphous foods to describe the plasticity of solids by water [9][25].

207 
$$T_{g,m} = \frac{W_1 T_{g,1} + K(1 - W_1) T_{g,2}}{W_1 + K(1 - W_1)} \qquad Eq.2$$

Where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are the weight fractions of solute and water, respectively. The model 208 requires knowledge of three constants: the coefficient K, which represents the non-linearity of 209 plasticizing effect of water.  $T_{g,1}$  is the  $T_g$  of anhydrous solute and  $T_{g,2}$  is the  $T_g$  of water. 210 However, it is difficult to dehydrate amorphous food powders by heating without significant 211 chemical changes, recrystallization or structural changes. That is why we used the literature as 212 defining parameters for our datasets:  $T_{g,1} = 373.75$  K, K=8.57 [9] ( $T_{g,1}$  is close to  $T_g$  of pure 213 lactose [23][26][27]), and  $T_{g,2}$  =138K (glass transition temperature for pure water) [15][28]. 214 The  $T_g$  curve with Gordon-Taylor equation for whole milk is presented on Fig. 7 (Orange 215 curve). A predicted glass transition curve is also obtained using the Fox equation (Eq.3) for 216 approximate estimation of  $T_g$  of binary system [29]. 217

218 
$$\frac{1}{T_{g,m}} = \frac{W_1}{T_{g,1}} + \frac{W_2}{T_{g,2}} \quad Eq.3$$

219 Where the definition of  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ,  $T_{g,1}$ , and  $T_{g,2}$  is the same as *Eq.2*. As mentioned earlier, it is 220 difficult to measure the  $T_g$  of anhydrous milk. In this section, we predicted the  $T_{g,1}$  using the 221  $T_{g,m}$  of 97 % dry matter of the commercial powder. The  $T_g$  curve of the Fox equation is 222 shown in *Fig.7* (blue curve). The most accurate model to build glass transition curve for our 223 state diagram seems to be Gordon-Taylor equation.

 $T'_g$  is defined as the intersection of the freezing curve with the glass line. The  $T'_g$  corresponds 224 225 to the glass transition of the matrix (mixture of dry matter and unfrozen water) in the frozen sample. It is an important process parameter during freeze-drying. The  $T_g'$  is approximately 226 read between -48°C and -23 °C, corresponding to a maximally freeze-concentrated solution 227 read between 83% and 88% of WMP using Gordon-Taylor curve (Fig.7,) result closed to 228 what has already been found by the authors [23]. The  $T_{g}'$  of lactose in food are respectively -229 41°C and -28°C for 0.813 and 0.592g dry solid/g food [30][19]. This prediction is important 230 to determinate the collapse temperature  $(T_c)$  currently assimilated to the  $T'_g$  as a first 231 approximation. 232

### 233 **3.3.** Collapse temperature

The collapse temperature  $(T_c)$  of whole milk is measured by direct microscopic observation 234 235 under simulated low-temperature, low-pressure freeze-drying conditions. Samples containing in weight 10%, -12.4%, 20% WMP and a commercial WM with 12.4% were selected for 236 237 Freeze-drying microscopic observation of the physical state of the WMP/water system. The sample was frozen to a temperature lower than  $T_g'$  (about -50°C) below the lowest 238 temperature value in the  $T_{g}'$  range. Then, the sample was kept at this temperature for 1 min, 239 then the system was placed under vacuum at about 10 Pa (operating limit of our freeze dryer 240 during sublimation). The temperature was increased by 10°C/min until -40°C. At -40°C, we 241 242 noted that samples were not collapsed (Fig 8). Then the temperature is gradually increased at 243 0.5°C/min until a collapse was observed up to -36°C, -37°C, -38°C and -35°C respectively for 244 solution 10%, 12.4%, 20% of WMP and WM with 12.4% (*Fig 9*), the collapse temperature 245 ( $T_c$ ) is observed by the formation of micro-cracks during the displacement of a front between 246 two zones, a frozen zone and a dried zone as shown in *Fig. 9*.

We can conclude that the  $T_c$  is slightly depending on the WMP amount content of the initial solution of whole milk. This collapse temperature value is in adequation with the  $T_g'$ , currently  $T_c$  is often reported to be higher (1–22°C) than the corresponding  $T_g'$ . In last decades, the  $T_g'$  has mainly been used as the critical temperature, collapse temperature is now known to better reflect drying conditions [25][31][27] [7].

### 252 *Conclusion*

In this work, DSC data were used to design the solid/liquid state diagram of the water/WMP 253 254 system with the peak of the endothermic curve as the freezing point and the midpoint as the glass transition temperature. The freezing points of whole milk were varied from to 273.93 to 255 269.55 K when dry matter content changed from 0 to 75% using DSC, respectively. DSC 256 results were consolidated using cryoscopy experimental data. These data allow us to calculate 257 the glass transition evolution versus mass fraction of WPM using two models, Fox and 258 259 Gordon-Taylor equations. In addition, the collapse temperature has been evaluated by Freezedrying microscopy. 260

These new experimental data concerning whole milk allow us to fix the critical temperatures of freeze-drying cycles of milk formulations in order to optimize the process time and the energy consumption.

## 264 Acknowledgment

This study was supported by the Franco-Moroccan Interuniversity Committee, Hubert Curien
/ Toubkal program, the authors are grateful to the Campus France program for the financial

support received during this work "Number: Toubkal/21/125 - Campus France: 45806RC"

## 268 **References**

- 269 [1] O. Fox, P.F., Uniacke-Lowe, T., McSweeney, P.L.H., "Water in Milk and Dairy Products. In:
- Dairy Chemistry and Biochemistry," *Springer, Cham*, 2015, [Online]. Available:
  https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14892-2\_7
- 272 [2] Y. H. Roos, "Glass transition temperature and its relevance in food processing," Annu. Rev.
- 273 *Food Sci. Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 469–496, 2010, doi:
- **274** 10.1146/annurev.food.102308.124139.
- 275 [3] C. T. and M. J. Pikal, "Design of Freeze-Drying Processes for Pharmaceuticals: Practical
- 276 Advice," *Pharm. Res.*, vol. 34, no. October 2004, 2004, doi:
- 277 0.1023/B:PHAM.0000016234.73023.75B.
- 278 [4] L. Stratta, L. C. Capozzi, S. Franzino, and R. Pisano, "Economic analysis of a freeze-drying

279 cycle," *Processes*, vol. 8, no. 11, pp. 1–17, 2020, doi: 10.3390/pr8111399.

- 280 [5] M. J. Pikal and S. Shah, "The collapse temperature in freeze drying: Dependence on
- 281 measurement methodology and rate of water removal from the glassy phase," *Int. J. Pharm.*,
  282 vol. 62, no. 2–3, pp. 165–186, 1990, doi: 10.1016/0378-5173(90)90231-R.
- 283 [6] G. Bano, R. De-Luca, E. Tomba, A. Marcelli, F. Bezzo, and M. Barolo, "Primary Drying
- 284 Optimization in Pharmaceutical Freeze-Drying: A Multivial Stochastic Modeling Framework,"
- 285 *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 5056–5071, 2020, doi: 10.1021/acs.iecr.9b06402.
- 286 [7] J. Horn and W. Friess, "Detection of collapse and crystallization of saccharide, protein, and
- 287 mannitol formulations by optical fibers in lyophilization," *Front. Chem.*, vol. 6, no. JAN, pp.
- 288 1–9, 2018, doi: 10.3389/fchem.2018.00004.
- [8] S. K. Pansare and S. M. Patel, "Practical Considerations for Determination of Glass Transition
- 290 Temperature of a Maximally Freeze Concentrated Solution," *AAPS PharmSciTech*, vol. 17, no.
- 291 4, pp. 805–819, 2016, doi: 10.1208/s12249-016-0551-x.

- G. Vuataz, "The phase diagram of milk: A new tool for optimising the drying process," Lait, 292 [9] vol. 82(4), pp. 485–500., 2002, doi: https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2002026. 293
- 294 [10] F. Fonseca, S. Passot, P. Lieben, and M. Marin, "Collapse temperature of bacterial 295 suspensions: The effect of cell type and concentration," Cryo-Letters, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 425-296 434, 2004.
- P. Verlhac, C. Cogné, S. Vessot, G. Degobert, and J. Andrieu, "Thermodynamic Properties and 297 [11] 298 Water States in Ternary PVP/Lactose/Water Frozen Systems," J. Chem. Eng. Data, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 4166–4175, 2018, doi: 10.1021/acs.jced.8b00613. 299
- M. S. Rahman, N. Guizani, M. Al-Khaseibi, S. Ali Al-Hinai, S. S. Al-Maskri, and K. Al-300 [12]

Hamhami, "Analysis of cooling curve to determine the end point of freezing," Food 301

- Hydrocoll., vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 653–659, 2002, doi: 10.1016/S0268-005X(02)00031-0. 302
- P. Chen, X. D. Chen, and K. W. Free, "Measurement and data interpretation of the freezing 303 [13] point depression of milks," J. Food Eng., vol. 30, no. 1-2, pp. 239-253, 1996, doi: 304
- 10.1016/s0260-8774(96)00047-7. 305
- 306 [14] S. Gibout, E. Franquet, D. Haillot, J. P. Bédécarrats, and J. P. Dumas, "Challenges of the usual graphical methods used to characterize Phase Change Materials by Differential Scanning 307
- Calorimetry," Appl. Sci., vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1-41, 2018, doi: 10.3390/app8010066. 308
- 309 [15] M. S. Rahman, "State diagram of date flesh using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)," Int. J. Food Prop., vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 407-428, 2004, doi: 10.1081/JFP-200032930. 310
- J. H. Oakley, T. J. Hughes, B. F. Graham, K. N. Marsh, and E. F. May, "Determination of 311 [16]
- melting temperatures in hydrocarbon mixtures by differential scanning calorimetry," J. Chem. 312 Thermodyn., vol. 108, pp. 59–70, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jct.2016.12.030. 313
- 314 [17] Y. - L CHEN and B. S. PAN, "Freezing tilapia by airblast and liquid nitrogen – freezing point
- 315 and freezing rate," Int. J. Food Sci. Technol., vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 167-173, 1995, doi:
- 10.1111/j.1365-2621.1995.tb01368.x. 316
- D. Q. WANG and E. KOLBE, "Thermal Properties of Surimi Analyzed using DSC," J. Food [18] 317 Sci., vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 302–308, 1991, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.1991.tb05267.x.
- 318
- S. S. Sablani, R. M. Syamaladevi, and B. G. Swanson, "A review of methods, data and 319 [19]

- applications of state diagrams of food systems," *Food Eng. Rev.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 168–203,
- 321 2010, doi: 10.1007/s12393-010-9020-6.
- A. Pugliese, M. Paciulli, E. Chiavaro, and G. Mucchetti, "Application of differential scanning
  calorimetry to freeze-dried milk and milk fractions," *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, vol. 137, no. 2,
- 324 pp. 703–709, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10973-018-7971-7.
- M. Siniti, S. Jabrane, and J. M. Létoffé, "Study of the respective binary phase diagrams of
  sorbitol with mannitol, maltitol and water," *Thermochim. Acta*, vol. 325, no. 2, pp. 171–180,
  1999, doi: 10.1016/S0040-6031(98)00576-0.
- J. Tomaszewska-Gras, "Melting and crystallization DSC profiles of milk fat depending on
  selected factors," *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 199–208, 2013, doi:
- **330** 10.1007/s10973-013-3087-2.
- [23] K. Jouppila and Y. H. Roos, "Glass Transitions and Crystallization in Milk Powders," *J. Dairy Sci.*, vol. 77, no. 10, pp. 2907–2915, 1994, doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(94)77231-3.
- 333 [24] M. B. Braga, S. C. dos S. Rocha, and M. D. Hubinger, "Spray-Drying of Milk–Blackberry Pulp
- 334 Mixture: Effect of Carrier Agent on the Physical Properties of Powder, Water Sorption, and
- Glass Transition Temperature," *J. Food Sci.*, vol. 83, no. 6, pp. 1650–1659, 2018, doi:
- **336** 10.1111/1750-3841.14187.
- L. Ozmen and T. A. G. Langrish, "Comparison of glass transition temperature and sticky point
  temperature for skim milk powder," *Dry. Technol.*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1177–1192, 2002, doi:
  10.1081/DRT-120004046.
- K. Jouppila and Y. H. Roos, "Water Sorption and Time-Dependent Phenomena of Milk
  Powders," *J. Dairy Sci.*, vol. 77, no. 7, pp. 1798–1808, 1994, doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-
- **342** 0302(94)77121-6.
- M. E. C. Thomas, J. Scher, S. Desobry-Banon, and S. Desobry, "Milk powders ageing: Effect
  on physical and functional properties," *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 297–322,
  2004, doi: 10.1080/10408690490464041.
- 346 [28] Y. H. Roos, "Water in Milk Products," in *Advanced Dairy Chemistry Volume 3*, vol. 3, 1997,
  347 pp. 303–346. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-4409-5\_8.

348	[29]	W. Brostow, R. Chiu, I. M. Kalogeras, and A. Vassilikou-Dova, "Prediction of glass transition
349		temperatures: Binary blends and copolymers," Mater. Lett., vol. 62, no. 17-18, pp. 3152-3155,
350		2008, doi: 10.1016/j.matlet.2008.02.008.

- 351 [30] Y. Roos, "ScienceDirect.com Carbohydrate Research Melting and glass transitions of low
- 352 molecular weight carbohydrates," *Carbohydr. Res.*, vol. 238, pp. 39–48, 1993, [Online].
- 353 Available:
- http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000862159387004C%5Cnpapers2://publicatio
   n/uuid/F2F3CE37-AC6E-470B-9416-9940080A6584
- 356 [31] D. Champion, M. Le Meste, and D. Simatos, "Towards an improved understanding of glass

357 transition and relaxations in foods: Molecular mobility in the glass transition range," *Trends* 

- *Food Sci. Technol.*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 41–55, 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0924-2244(00)00047-9.

- - -

376		
377		
378		
379	Table 1. Main dry matter con	mponents of whole milk powder
380	Nutrition	WMP per 100 g
381	Protein	25.7 g
382	Carbohydrates Lipids	36.5 g
383	Salt	0.9 g
384		
385		
386		
387		
388		
389		
390		
391		
392		
393		
394		
395		
396		
397		
398		
399		
400		
401		
402		
403		
404		
405		
406		
407		

*Table 2. Experimental results of cryoscopy and DSC <sup>a</sup> measurement in our laboratory* 

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 2.6\\ 2.8\\ 3.5\\ 4.0\\ 4.9\\ 6.5\\ 7.2\\ 8.2\\ 9.3\\ 11.4\\ 13.3\\ 21.6\end{array}$	273.15 273.05 273.03 273 272.98 272.95 272.88 272.85 272.81 272.65 272.55	0 7 12 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	271.92 270.88 270.35 269.4 268.79 268.18 267.42 266.7	273.93 273.53 273.06 272.23 271.76 271.33 270.65
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.6 2.8 3.5 4.0 4.9 6.5 7.2 8.2 9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	273.05 273.03 273 272.98 272.95 272.88 272.85 272.81 272.65 272.55	7 12 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	270.88 270.35 269.4 268.79 268.18 267.42 266.7	273.53 273.06 272.23 271.76 271.33 270.65
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.8 3.5 4.0 4.9 6.5 7.2 8.2 9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	273.03 273 272.98 272.95 272.88 272.85 272.81 272.65 272.55	12 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	270.35 269.4 268.79 268.18 267.42 266.7	273.06 272.23 271.76 271.33 270.65
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.5 4.0 4.9 6.5 7.2 8.2 9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	273 272.98 272.95 272.88 272.85 272.81 272.65 272.55	20 25 30 35 40 45 50	269.4 268.79 268.18 267.42 266.7	272.23 271.76 271.33 270.65
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.0 4.9 6.5 7.2 8.2 9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	272.98 272.95 272.88 272.85 272.81 272.65 272.55	25 30 35 40 45 50	268.79 268.18 267.42 266.7	271.76 271.33 270.65
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.9 6.5 7.2 8.2 9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	272.95 272.88 272.85 272.81 272.65 272.55	30 35 40 45 50	268.18 267.42 266.7	271.33 270.65
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6.5 7.2 8.2 9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	272.88 272.85 272.81 272.65 272.55	35 40 45 50	267.42 266.7	270.65
$7.2$ $272.85$ $40$ $266.7$ $270$ $8.2$ $272.81$ $45$ $266.2$ $269.76$ $9.3$ $272.65$ $50$ $266.17$ $269.55$ $11.4$ $272.55$ $60$ $265.44$ $269.05$ $13.3$ $272.45$ $70$ $262.36$ $267.2$ $21.6$ $272.15$ $75$ $258.83$ $264.92$ $30$ $271.45$ $34.6$ $270.21$ $42.9$ $269.82$ $44.6$ $269.47$ $49.4$ $268.63$ $268.63$ tandard uncertainties (u) are ur(wPA) = $0.001$ , $u(T_{eq}) = u(T_{eut}) = 1$ K, and $u(P) = 1$	7.2 8.2 9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	272.85 272.81 272.65 272.55	40 45 50	266.7	270
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8.2 9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	272.81 272.65 272.55	45 50		270
9.3 272.65 50 266.17 269.55 11.4 272.55 60 265.44 269.05 13.3 272.45 70 262.36 267.2 21.6 272.15 75 258.83 264.92 30 271.45 34.6 270.83 39.6 270.21 42.9 269.82 44.6 269.47 49.4 268.63 Standard uncertainties (u) are ur(wPA) = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = u(T <sub>eut</sub> ) = 1 K, and u(P) = 0.001 = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = u(T <sub>eut</sub> ) = 1 K, and u(P) = 0.001 = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = u(T <sub>eut</sub> ) = 1 K, and u(P) = 0.001 = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = u(T <sub>eut</sub> ) = 0.001 = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = 0.001 = 0.001 = 0.001 = 0.001	9.3 11.4 13.3 21.6	272.65 272.55	50	266.2	269.76
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11.4 13.3 21.6	272.55	50	266.17	269.55
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13.3 21.6	<b>=</b> , <b>=</b>	60	265.44	269.05
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21.6	272.45	70	262.36	267.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		272.15	75	258.83	264.92
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	271.45			
$\begin{array}{cccc} 39.6 & 270.21 \\ 42.9 & 269.82 \\ 44.6 & 269.47 \\ 49.4 & 268.63 \end{array}$ Standard uncertainties (u) are ur(wPA) = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = u(T <sub>eut</sub> ) = 1 K, and u(P) = 0.001 \\ 0.001, u(T_{eq}) = 0.001, u(T_{eut}) = 0.001 \\ 0.001, u(T_{eut}) = 0.001, u(T_{eut})	34.6	270.83			
$\begin{array}{ccc} 42.9 & 269.82 \\ 44.6 & 269.47 \\ 49.4 & 268.63 \end{array}$ Standard uncertainties (u) are ur(wPA) = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = u(T <sub>eut</sub> ) = 1 K, and u(P) =	39.6	270.21			
$\frac{44.6}{49.4} = \frac{269.47}{268.63}$ Standard uncertainties (u) are ur(wPA) = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = u(T <sub>eut</sub> ) = 1 K, and u(P) = 0.001, u(T <sub>eq</sub> ) = 0.001, u(T <sub>eut</sub> ) = 0.001, u(P) = 0.001, u(	42.9	269.82			
$\frac{49.4}{\text{Standard uncertainties (u) are ur(wPA) = 0.001, u(T_{eq}) = u(T_{eut}) = 1 \text{ K, and u(P) = 0.001, u(T_{eq}) = 0.001, u(T_{eq}) = 0.001, u(T_{eut}) = 0.00$	44.6	269.47			
Standard uncertainties (u) are $ur(wPA) = 0.001$ , $u(T_{eq}) = u(T_{eut}) = 1$ K, and $u(P) = 0.001$	494	268.63			

422		
423		
424		
425		
426		
427		
428		
429		
430	Table 3: Analytical resu	lts for whole milk powder
	Mass fraction %	Glass transition K
	Mass fraction % 93.3	Glass transition K 304.53 327.59
431	Mass fraction % 93.3 97	Glass transition K 304.53 327.59
431 432	Mass fraction % 93.3 97	Glass transition K 304.53 327.59
431 432 433	Mass fraction % 93.3 97	Glass transition K 304.53 327.59
431 432 433 434	Mass fraction % 93.3 97	Glass transition K 304.53 327.59
431 432 433 434	Mass fraction % 93.3 97	Glass transition K 304.53 327.59
<ul> <li>431</li> <li>432</li> <li>433</li> <li>434</li> <li>435</li> <li>436</li> </ul>	Mass fraction % 93.3 97	Glass transition K 304.53 327.59
<ul> <li>431</li> <li>432</li> <li>433</li> <li>434</li> <li>435</li> <li>436</li> <li>437</li> </ul>	Mass fraction % 93.3 97	Glass transition K 304.53 327.59





*Figure 2.* Freezing temperature for whole milk solutions with 6%; 7% and 11.2% (wt) dry











Figure 5. Solid-liquid state diagram of whole milk powder (WMP) using DSC results (green triangle), cryoscopy data (blue square) and Chen et al results (red circle) [12].



497 Figure 6. DSC thermographs of whole milk powder (3% water content) at different
498 heating rates (1, 5, 10 and 20°C/min respectively in green, red, blue and black
499 curves).
500



Figure 7. Whole Milk Powder (WMP) state diagram; Freezing temperatures measured by DSC (red square); Glass transition temperatures measured by DSC (purple circle); Glass transition temperature from bibliography (Vuataz et al. [9] (green circle), Jouppila et al. [26] (blue rhombus); Glass transition temperatures from Fox equation (blue line) and Gordon–Taylor equation (orange line). The T<sub>g</sub>' range is between 225K and 250K.

- 511
- 512
- 513



Figure 8 Microscopic observations of Whole Milk (10%, 12.4%, 20% WMP and 12.4% WM) 



Figure 9 Collapse microscopic observations of Whole Milk (10%, 12.4%, 20% WMP and 12.4% WM).