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Abstract—In the retina, signals originating from rod and cone photoreceptors can reach retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) through different pathways. The secondary rod pathway is functionally important under mesopic light, such as at dawn or dusk. Electrical synapses (gap junctions) between rods and cones form the entry to the secondary rod pathway. Recent experimental observations indicate that these gap junctions are plastic, changing with the time of day and/or light/dark adaptation. However, the impact of this plasticity on the contribution of the secondary rod pathway to RGC activity remains elusive. As a first step, we model the spatial topology and connectivity of the secondary rod pathway of the mouse retina and test the light responses of OFF RGCs to dim light stimuli. Our results corroborate previously published electrical recordings thereby establishing the validity of the model and its suitability to further study rod/cone coupling plasticity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The retina is a sensory organ located in the back of the eye and whose role is to detect light stimuli and process early visual information. Light is captured by photoreceptors (rods and cones), and converted into electrical potentials through the process of phototransduction. Electrical signals originating from photoreceptors are conveyed through secondary neurons (horizontal, bipolar and amacrine cells) to retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) – the output neurons of the retina whose axons project to higher processing centers in the brain. At the circuit level, rod and cone signals can travel across the retina through several distinct pathways: three different rod pathways and the cone pathway. Recent efforts have been made to experimentally characterize the secondary rod pathway [1]. Yet, accumulating evidence that the entry of the secondary rod pathway – the rod/cone gap junction – shows a high degree of plasticity [2] warrants further investigation of the contribution of this rod pathway to RGC activity.

To gain biological insight into the contribution of the secondary rod pathway and the impact of rod/cone gap junction plasticity to the retinal output, computational modeling could be a valuable asset. In that sense, our paper aims at developing a detailed model of the secondary rod pathway in the mouse retina with the goal to reproduce experimental data to validate the model. Here, we use a bottom-up approach consisting in connecting single cells into circuits and circuits into systems. Each cell of the secondary rod pathway is modeled using a single-point conductance-based model (CBM). In addition, and contrarily to previous studies [3]–[5], our model is based on a realistic representation of the spatial topology and connectivity of the mouse retina. Finally, we show that our detailed model is able to qualitatively reproduce the output of the secondary rod pathway – the behavior of RGCs – in response to a series of dim light stimuli. This shows the suitability of our model to further study rod/cone coupling plasticity that will be implemented in future works.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the spatial topology and connectivity of the secondary rod pathway in the mouse retina, as well as the model of each cell of the pathway and the photocurrent equation. Then, Section III shows the spatial topology and connectivity simulated from our modeling framework, and the simulated light responses of the RGC against the experimental ones. Finally, Section IV discusses the potential implications of our modeling workflow.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this section, we first present the connectivity map of the secondary rod pathway to the transient OFF alpha retinal ganglion cells (OFF αRGCs). Then, we describe the models of each cell composing this pathway, as well as the synaptic model that governs the interactions between the different components of the pathway.
A. Connectivity map of the secondary rod pathway

The secondary rod pathway is composed of the rods, cones, CBCs (Cone Bipolar Cells), and RGCs – the output of the pathway. We model the OFF component of this pathway to a single tOFF αRGC. Fig. 1 summarizes the convergence and divergence relationship between each cell population to a single tOFF αRGC based on anatomical knowledge from the mouse retina.

Fig. 1. Secondary rod pathway diagram. The numbers above each population denote their number of cells. The numbers in red denote the convergence and those in blue the divergence, between cell populations. “All” means that all OFF CBCs are connected to the tOFF αRGC.

In the photoreceptor network, rods outnumber cones by about 30:1 [6]. While rod/cone gap junctions are prevalent, there is little experimental support for rod/rod gap junctions, and cone/cone gap junctions are rare [7], [8], so we do not consider rod/rod and cone/cone connections in our model. Moreover, each cone makes gap junctions with around 45 nearby rods, while each rod contacts approximately 1.5 cones through gap junctions [7].

Also, the tOFF αRGC makes synaptic contacts with OFF CBC types 3A, 3B and 4 only [9], [10]. According to [10], each cone contacts approximately 1.3 CBCs of each type, and about 2.5 cones provide input to a single OFF CBC. Finally, we connect all OFF CBCs to the single tOFF αRGC.

To preserve the convergence and divergence ratio between each population of cells, we calculate their number according to the equation \( N_{pre}/N_{post} = \text{convergence}/\text{divergence} \) where \( N_{pre} \) and \( N_{post} \) denotes the number of cells in the pre- and post-synaptic groups, respectively; and \( \text{convergence} \) and \( \text{divergence} \) denote the ratio of convergence and divergence between each cell group as described above. Following this methodology, we obtain a set of cells which comprises 37,636 rods, 1,225 cones, 625 OFF CBCs, and 1 tOFF αRGC, consistent with experimental data in the mouse retina [10].

B. Models

1) Photocurrent model: Both rods and cones respond to light but with different sensitivities. Rods can detect as few as a single photon whereas the cone threshold requires a few dozens [11]. This implies that we can omit the cone response under dim light conditions when individual rods receive one or a few photons on average. To model changes in the rod dark current caused by the dim light transduction process, we use a simulated photocurrent waveform, slightly adapted from [11], as input in the form 

\[
I_{\text{photo}} = I_{\text{amp}}[1 - \exp(-(t - b_1)/\tau_1)] - \exp(-(t - b_2)/\tau_2)
\]

with \( I_{\text{amp}} \) the amplitude of the photocurrent (in pA), \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) the inflection points of the photocurrent trajectory, and \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) time constants (in ms). In this paper, we set \( b_1 = 1000, b_2 = 1500, \tau_1 = 100 \) and \( \tau_2 = 100 \).

2) Cell models: For each cell we use a single-point CBM that describes the evolution of the membrane potential \( V \) by a general equation of the form 

\[
C dV/dt = -\sum I_{\text{ion}} + I
\]

where \( C \) is the membrane capacitance, \( \sum I_{\text{ion}} \) is the total current flowing across the cell membrane, and \( I \) is an applied current. For the rod and cone cells, we use the CBM described in [12] and [13], respectively. For the OFF CBCs, we use the CBM reported in [4], and for the RGC the CBM described in [14]. Slight modifications have been made to the conductance values of these models in order to reproduce the qualitative shape of their steady-state current reported in the literature. Indeed, the steady-state current plays a paramount role in the dynamics of non-spiking neurons since it determines their qualitative features [15]. All the currents and the parameter values of each model are then reported in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rod</th>
<th>Cone</th>
<th>CBC</th>
<th>RGC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( I_{Na} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{Kv} )</td>
<td>10 / -74</td>
<td>2 / -80</td>
<td>2 / -58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_b )</td>
<td>2.5 / -32</td>
<td>3.5 / -32.5</td>
<td>0.975 / -17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{KCa} )</td>
<td>5 / -74</td>
<td>0.5 / -80</td>
<td>8.5 / -58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_L )</td>
<td>0.52 / -74</td>
<td>1 / -63</td>
<td>0.73 / -53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_{Cl} )</td>
<td>1.3 / -20</td>
<td>6.5 / -45</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_A )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>720 / -75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first number denotes the maximal conductances (in nS), and the second number denotes the reversal potential (in mV).

3) Synaptic model: Several experimental studies have shown that the mode of neurotransmitter release in the retina is graded [16]. A ‘graded synaptic connection’ is one in which incremental changes in the membrane potential of the presynaptic neuron cause incremental changes in the membrane potential of the postsynaptic neuron [17]. To model this, we use the graded synaptic model described in [18], and adjust some parameters to reproduce the qualitative experimental behavior of the RGC described in [1].

4) Connectivity: We connect rods and cones with a probability that is modulated according to a 2-dimensional Gaussian of the distance between the cells, so that convergence and divergence between rods and cones are preserved. We proceed in the same way to connect cones to OFF CBCs. Finally, we connect all CBCs to a single tOFF αRGC.

III. RESULTS

In this section, we first implement the spatial connectivity of the secondary rod pathway, and we compare it with data from the literature. Then, we compare the behavior of our simulated tOFF αRGC with the experimental results of [1]. All this was done using the Brian2 simulation environment [19].

A. Generation of the retina network

In our model, the retinal cells are distributed in a 266 \( \mu \)m long square grid (i.e. 70,756 \( \mu \)m\(^2\)), which is an accurate
representation of the experimental spatial topology of the mouse retina for a single tOFF αRGC. Indeed, tOFF αRGCs have large dendritic fields of about 300 µm diameter [20]. In other words, the set of presynaptic cells to a tOFF αRGC - including the photoreceptor network and the OFF CBCs in the case of the secondary rod pathway - thus extends over an area of about 70,685 µm². Within this area, the cells are evenly and equidistantly distributed (Fig. 2.A), with a rod/rod distance of approximately 1.57 µm, a cone/cone distance around 7.6 µm, and a OFF CBC/OFF CBC distance of approximately 10.64 µm, which is also consistent with biological observations [9], [10].

In addition, our model takes into account the spatial connectivity in the secondary rod pathway in the mouse retina. In particular, the average number of connections per cell is maintained (e.g., in our model the divergence and convergence from rods to cones is, respectively, 1.56 and 47 on average, as illustrated in Fig. 2.B).
Once these limitations are addressed, our model will be useful to study the functional importance of the plasticity of the rod/cone gap junction. Indeed, these coupling changes with the time of day and light/dark environmental conditions [2]. As the dynamic range of rod/cone spans over more than 1,000 pS [8], it is expected to dramatically affect the contribution of the secondary rod pathway to the retinal output. Because the pharmacological approach is limited (modulating rod/cone gap junctions will affect other gap junctions elsewhere in retinal circuits), our model will provide valuable insights into the functional impact of the modulation of rod/cone coupling.
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