



**HAL**  
open science

## **L -shell photoionization of Mg-like S 4 + in ground and metastable states: Experiment and theory**

J.-P. Mosnier, E T Kennedy, D. Cubaynes, J.-M. Bizau, S. Guilbaud, M. Hasoğlu, C. Blancard, T W Gorczyca

### ► To cite this version:

J.-P. Mosnier, E T Kennedy, D. Cubaynes, J.-M. Bizau, S. Guilbaud, et al.. L -shell photoionization of Mg-like S 4 + in ground and metastable states: Experiment and theory. *Physical Review A*, 2022, 106 (3), pp.033113. 10.1103/PhysRevA.106.033113 . hal-04256283

**HAL Id: hal-04256283**

**<https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04256283v1>**

Submitted on 24 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# L-shell Photoionization of the Mg-like $S^{4+}$ in ground and metastable states: Experiment and theory

J.-P. Mosnier\* and E.T. Kennedy†

*School of Physical Sciences, National Centre for Plasma Science and Technology (NCPST),  
Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland*

S. Guilbaud‡

*Institut des Sciences Moléculaires d'Orsay, UMR 8214, Rue André Rivière,  
Bâtiment 520, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France*

D. Cubaynes§ and J.-M. Bizau¶

*Institut des Sciences Moléculaires d'Orsay, UMR 8214,  
Rue André Rivière, Bâtiment 520,  
Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay, France  
and  
Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'Orme des Merisiers,  
Saint-Aubin, BP 48, CEDEX,  
F-91192 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

M. F. Hasoğlu\*\*

*Department of Computer Engineering, Hasan Kalyoncu University, Gaziantep, Turkey*

C. Blancard††

*Université Paris-Saclay, Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique et aux Énergies Alternatives,  
Laboratoire Matière en Conditions Extrêmes, 91680 Bruyères le Châtel, France*

T. W. Gorczyca‡‡

*Department of Physics, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI, USA  
(Dated: May 20, 2022)*

We report measurements of the absolute photoionization cross sections of the magnesium-like  $S^{4+}$  ion over the 160-270 eV photon energy range. The experiments were performed with the Multi-Analysis Ion Apparatus (MAIA) at the SOLEIL synchrotron radiation facility. Single and double ionization ion yields produced by the photoionization of the  $2p$  subshell of the  $S^{4+}$  ion both from the  $2p^6 3s^2 \ ^1S_0$  ground state and the  $2p^5 3s 3p \ ^3P_{0,1,2}$  metastable levels were observed, as well as  $2s$  excitations. Calculations of the photoionization cross sections were carried out using Multi-Configuration Dirac-Fock and R-matrix codes and the results are compared with the experimental data.

Keywords: photoionization, sulphur ions, magnesium-like, R-Matrix, MCDF

## I. INTRODUCTION

Most of the matter in the universe is in the ionized state. Where ions exist near short wavelength sources, the interaction of the ionizing radiation with free positively charged ions becomes of particular importance. The XMM-Newton and Chandra x-ray observatories continue to provide spectrally resolved information on ions

within environments such as diffuse interstellar and intergalactic media, active galactic nuclei, planetary nebulae or areas of star formation [1, 2]. The XRISM and Athena future missions will provide greater sensitivity and higher spectral resolution and place even greater demands on relevant atomic theoretical and experimental laboratory investigations, in order to realize their full potential for insights into fundamental cosmic phenomena [3]. The plasma modelling of radiation dominated regions similarly depends on the detailed knowledge of various photon-ion interactions [4]. The overall growing multiple atomic and molecular data needs have led to significant developments, both theoretical and experimental, in laboratory astrophysics [5–7] and atomic data bases [8, 9] including the investigation of the photoionization behaviour of a wide range of important ions [10, 11].

Different theoretical models may be used when calculating photoionization of atomic ions and the value of

---

\* corresponding author jean-paul.mosnier@dcu.ie

† eugene.kennedy@dcu.ie

‡ segolene.guilbaud@universite-paris-saclay.fr

§ denis.cubaynes@universite-paris-saclay.fr

¶ bizau.jean-marc@orange.fr

\*\* mfatih.hasoglu@hku.edu.tr

†† christophe.blancard@cea.fr

‡‡ thomas.gorczyca@wmich.edu

absolute cross sections measurements, apart from providing basic atomic data for the interpretation of photon-ion interactions in plasma environments, is that they provide benchmarking for the theoretical models. This is important because many of the cross sections used for astrophysical modelling were generated through computational methods [8, 9, 12–14]. Inner-shell and double excitations can result in several open atomic shells and this, combined with electron correlation and relativistic effects, places considerable demands on theory. Photoionization cross sections also provide data on the inverse process of dielectronic recombination which can be very important in plasma equilibrium modelling [15, 16].

Experimentally, the dual laser plasma (DLP) technique provided early insight into the photoabsorption behaviour of a range of positively charged ions [17]. Besides, the ongoing need for absolute photoionization data on ions prompted significant developments at synchrotron facilities. Pioneered at Daresbury during the 1980's, the merged photon-ion beam approach proved very successful and dedicated systems were installed at Supper ACO (Orsay, France), Astrid (Aarhus, Denmark), SPring-8 (Hyogo, Japan), the Advanced Light Source ALS (Berkeley, USA), SOLEIL (St Aubin, France) and most recently at Petra III (Hamburg, Germany) [18, 19] and references therein. In this technique, the ionizing synchrotron radiation beam is overlapped with a charge-selected, counter-propagating, beam of ions. By measuring the parameters of the overlapped beams and the resulting photoions, it is possible to provide absolute cross section values for the different (single, double, etc) photoionization channels.

It is the synchrotron-based study of the photoionization of magnesium-like quadruply charged ions of sulphur ( $S^{4+}$ ) that is the subject of this work. Sulphur is an important element in the cosmos, featuring in the top ten elements according to abundance. It can appear in atomic or molecular forms or as part of aggregates [20–23]. Photoionization of specific sulphur atomic ions has been the subject of several studies to date. Resonant photoionization of singly-ionized sulphur has been investigated theoretically [24] and experimentally [25]. Photoionization of the triply ionized sulphur ion  $S^{3+}$  has been investigated theoretically using the Breit-Pauli method [26]. Previous work on  $S^{4+}$  includes the theoretical calculation of oscillator strengths and photoionization cross sections along the magnesium sequence (including  $S^{4+}$ ) [27] and the calculation of the valence absorption spectrum of  $S^{4+}$  [28, 29]. Doubly excited autoionization resonances for the  $S^{4+}$  isoelectronic cases of  $Al^+$  and  $Si^{2+}$  [30] and photoionization spectra in the UV range for the Mg-like  $Al^+$  ion [31] were also investigated theoretically. Experimental investigations of the  $2p$ -subshell photoabsorption of the isoelectronic  $Al^+$  and  $Si^{2+}$  ions were carried out using the DLP technique [32, 33] and for the  $Al^+$  ion by the synchrotron photon-ion merged beam technique [34–36]. More recently, isoelectronic doubly ionized  $Si^{2+}$  absolute cross sections and resonance structures were measured at relatively high spectral resolution at the SOLEIL syn-

chrotron and compared to MCDF and RPA calculations [37].

In this paper we report the measurement of absolute cross sections for  $S^{4+}$  in both single and double ionization channels, between photon energies of 158 eV and 280 eV. This photon energy range lies in the vicinity of the  $2p$  and  $2s$  inner thresholds and leads to series of strong resonances, which have been classified and interpreted through concomitant multiconfiguration Dirac-Fock (MCDF) and R-matrix calculations.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A detailed description of the MAIA (Multi-Analysis Ion Apparatus) experimental setup and procedures used in our merged-beam measurements can be found in [38]. Briefly, the experimental details relevant to the present study are as follows. The sulphur ions were produced, from hydrogen sulphide gas, in a permanent magnet Electron Cyclotron Resonance (ECR) ion source excited by a 12.36 GHz microwave power supply, run at a power of about 8W. After extraction and acceleration through a potential difference of  $-4$  kV, quadruply-charged  $S^{4+}$  ions of terminal velocity  $3.1 \times 10^5$  ms $^{-1}$ , were selected using a magnetic filter and guided by an electrostatic deflector to merge with the counter-propagating synchrotron radiation (SR) beam. A typical  $S^{4+}$  ion current of 400 nA was achieved. The two beams overlap in a spatially well-defined, 0.57 m long, interaction region. The parent  $S^{4+}$  ions were collected in a Faraday cup and the  $S^{5+}$  and  $S^{6+}$  photoions were counted with a microchannel plate. Photon energy scans of the  $S^{5+}$  and  $S^{6+}$  count rates map out the single and double photoionization cross section behaviours respectively. By measuring the photon and ion beam parameters, their overlap volumes and using calibrated photon and ion detectors it was possible to put the measured cross sections on an absolute basis [38] after noise subtraction. A  $-2.0$  kV bias was applied to the interaction region in order to tag the photoions produced within the interaction region from those produced outside the region. The photon energies were calibrated using a gas cell and known argon reference lines [39] and the resonance energies could be determined to within 40 meV. The relative uncertainty in the measured cross sections is generally within 15% [38].

The measured absolute single and double photoionization cross sections are presented in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), as function of photon energy over the 158 eV to 280 eV range, respectively. At the low energy end of the single ionization channel, near 162 eV photon energy, weak resonances are observed which, we will see below, originate from  $2p$  excitations of  $S^{4+}$  metastable  $^3P$  levels, whereas, at photon energies above about 180 eV, strong Rydberg series of resonances are recorded which are primarily associated with  $2p \rightarrow nd$  excitations in  $^1S$  ground state ions. The strongest resonances between 192 and 194 eV and between 213 and 215 eV can also be seen




FIG. 1. The measured (a) single and (b) double absolute photoionization cross sections of  $S^{4+}$  over the whole photon energy range covered in this work.

at the same peak energies in the double ionization channel 1(b) but with much lower cross sections than in the single ionization channel. The double ionization channel features a strong enhancement of the cross section above about 225 eV, readily identifiable as the onset of the direct 2p ionization process. In the following, we will compare in detail the experimental measurements with the predictions from theoretical calculations using different, R-matrix and Multi-Configuration Dirac Fock (MCDF), approaches.

### III. THEORETICAL METHODOLOGIES

Two distinct theoretical approaches are used to analyse the experimental results. The Multi-Configuration-Dirac-Fock (MCDF) approach treats the  $S^{4+}$   $N$ -electron problem directly while the R-Matrix calculations treat the problem as single electron scattering off the  $(N - 1)$ -electron  $S^{5+}$  target. It is interesting in the present context to inter-compare the predictions of both, and with the experimental data.

To study L-shell photoionization of  $S^{4+}$ , wavefunctions are constructed for the initial and final states using linear combinations of coupled configurations, or Slater determinants, made up from atomic orbitals. Since the basis description must be small enough to be computationally feasible, the choice of orbitals and configurations is somewhat of an art, geared towards using the minimal number that will reproduce anticipated photoionization features.

From a single-configuration, non-relativistic (LS-coupled) perspective, the specific processes to be considered are, first, the inner-shell photoexcitation of the  $S^{4+}$  ground state,

$$\begin{aligned}
 h\nu + 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2(^1S) &\rightarrow 2s^2 2p^5 3s^2 nd(^1P), \\
 &2s^2 2p^5 3s^2 ns(^1P), \\
 &2s 2p^6 3s^2 np(^1P).
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The absorption lines in (1) are made up of three dominant Rydberg series that are expected as prominent resonances in the photoionization cross section. The latter absorption gives rise to a single, simple  $2snp(^1P)$  resonance series that converges to the higher-energy  $2s^{-1}$  L-vacancy state. Of the first two series, the  $2p \rightarrow nd$

oscillator strength is expected to be at least nine times stronger than the  $2p \rightarrow ns$  strength due to angular momentum coupling, or geometrical factors (see, e.g., [40]).

Each intermediate autoionizing, or resonant, state  $2p^5 3s^2 nd$  can decay via two qualitatively different Auger pathways. First, there is *participator* Auger decay

$$2p^5 3s^2 nd \rightarrow 2p^6 3s + e^- ,$$

in which the valence electron  $nd$  *participates* in the autoionization process, thus giving a decay rate that scales as  $1/n^3$ . On the other hand, *spectator* Auger decay

$$2p^5 3s^2 nd \rightarrow 2p^6 nd + e^- ,$$

proceeds via a stronger,  $n$ -independent Auger rate, which broadens the entire Rydberg series of resonances below the L-edge. The final theoretical calculations included these resonances as well as the  $2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p ns$  and  $2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p nd$  resonances for photoabsorption from the  $2s^2 2p^6 3s 3p (^3P_{0,1,2})$  metastable states.

MCDF calculations were performed using an updated version of the code originally developed by J. Bruneau [41]. Calculations are based on a full intermediate coupling scheme in a  $jj$  basis set. Photo-excitation and photo-ionization cross sections were computed for the electric dipole transitions only, using the Babushkin gauge which corresponds to the length form of the dipole operator in the non-relativistic limit [42]. The  $2s$  and  $2p$  photo-excitation cross sections were calculated for the  $^1S_0$  level of the  $[\text{Ne}]3s^2$  ground configuration and for the  $^3P_{0,1,2}$  metastable levels of the  $[\text{Ne}]3s3p$  configuration (where  $[\text{Ne}] = 1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$ ). To describe the initial states, the following configuration set was used:  $[\text{Ne}]3l3l'$  where  $l, l' = s, p, d$ . The  $[\text{F}]3s^2 3d$ ,  $[\text{F}]3s3p^2$ ,  $[\text{F}]3s3d^2$ ,  $[\text{F}]3p^2 3d$ ,  $[\text{F}]3s^2 nl$ ,  $[\text{F}^*]3s^2 3p$ ,  $[\text{F}^*]3s3p3d$ ,  $[\text{F}^*]3p^3$ ,  $[\text{F}^*]3p3d^2$  and  $[\text{F}^*]3s^2 nl'$  configurations were considered to describe the final states photo-excited from the ground state  $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 ^1S_0$ , while the  $[\text{F}]3s^2 3p$ ,  $[\text{F}]3s3p3d$ ,  $[\text{F}]3s3pnl$ ,  $[\text{F}^*]3s3p^2$ , and  $[\text{F}^*]3s3pnl'$  configurations were retained to describe the final states photo-excited from the  $[\text{Ne}]3s3p ^3P_{0,1,2}$  levels (where  $[\text{F}] = 1s^2 2s^2 2p^5$ ,  $[\text{F}^*] = 1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$ ,  $n = 4, \dots, 7$ ;  $l = s, d$  and  $l' = p$ ). From this set of 41 configurations involving 1531 levels, the one-electron wave-functions were optimized using the Slater's transition state method [43]. To evaluate the lifetime of the  $[\text{F}]3s^2 3d ^1P_1$  and  $^3P_1$  photo-excited states, Auger rates were computed using the one-electron wave-functions mentioned above. The largest Auger widths of 74 and 50 meV were obtained for the  $[\text{F}]3s^2 3d ^1P_1$  and  $^3P_1 \rightarrow [\text{Ne}]3s$  decay channels, respectively. The direct  $2p$  and  $3s$  photo-ionization cross sections were calculated for all the  $[\text{Ne}]3s^2 ^1S_0$  and  $[\text{Ne}]3s3p ^3P_{0,1,2}$  initial states. For the latter only, the  $3p$  photo-ionization cross section was also taken into account.

For the R-matrix calculations, the same general method was used as in earlier analyses of experimental synchrotron measurements for the various inner-shell photoabsorption (see, for example, [44] and references

therein). Within the R-matrix protocol, an atomic orbital basis was used consisting of physical orbitals and additional pseudoorbitals to account for relaxation effects following  $2s$  and  $2p$  vacancies, keeping in mind the formulation as an  $e^- + S^{5+}$  scattering/quasibound (resonance) calculation. For photoionization of  $S^{4+}$ , these orbitals are the  $1s, 2s, 2p, 3s$  physical orbitals obtained from a single-configuration Hartree-Fock (HF) calculation on the  $S^{5+}(1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s)$  ground-state. Additional *physical* orbitals  $3p$  and  $3d$  were generated from frozen-core calculations on the  $S^{5+}(1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3p)$  and  $S^{5+}(1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3d)$  excited states. To expand the basis flexibility and to account for inner-shell relaxation, additional  $4s, 4p$ , and  $4d$  *pseudoorbitals* were generated from a multi-configuration Hartree-Fock (MCHF) calculation on the inner-shell-vacancy  $2p^5 3s 3p$  ionized state of  $S^{5+}$ , allowing all single and double promotions from this configuration to other physical orbitals and pseudoorbitals (e.g.,  $2p \rightarrow 3p$  and  $2p \rightarrow 4p$ ) to account for  $2p$  orbital relaxation due to the decreased  $1s$ -electron screening and increased effective charge  $Z_{eff}$ . Resultant energies are listed in Table I for the initial  $S^{4+}$  ground and metastable states and the  $S^{5+}$  scattering target states, as compared to the NIST energies [45]. In view of the considerable inaccuracy of the R-matrix energies compared to the more reliable NIST values, the binding energies  $E_b$  and the energies of the inner-shell vacancy states were shifted by the amounts indicated to better simulate the photoelectron scattering energies  $k^2$  and the photoionization energies  $\hbar\omega = E_b + k^2$ .

The complete  $S^{4+}$  wavefunction — either for the initial  $2p^6 3s^2$  ground state or  $2p^6 3s 3p (^3P)$  metastables states, or for the  $2p^5 3s^2 nd$  intermediate states embedded in the  $e^- + S^{5+}$  final continua — was constructed using a basis coupling all  $S^{5+}$  target configurations described above to all physical orbitals and pseudoorbitals, and an additional orbital basis of 60 R-matrix-generated continuum orbitals. A variational approach for the expansion coefficients yields the full initial-state wavefunctions  $\psi_i$  and final-state wavefunctions  $\psi_f$ .

Given the computed R-matrix initial and final wavefunctions, and dipole matrix ( $D$ ) coupling the two, the photoionization cross section  $\sigma$  as a function of photon energy  $E = \hbar\omega$ , was determined using the Golden Rule transition rate divided by initial flux, leading to the expression

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha}{3} \omega |\langle \psi_i | D | \psi_f \rangle|^2 , \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha$  is the fine structure constant. For photoionization from the metastable states, the procedure was essentially the same except now it is possible to have the lower-energy  $2p \rightarrow 3s$  resonance absorption:

$$\hbar\omega + 2p^6 3s 3p \rightarrow 2p^5 3s^2 3p .$$

Since this appears below the first  $2p \rightarrow 3d$  or  $2p \rightarrow 4s$  resonances seen in the ground state photoionization, it was possible to isolate the metastable contributions alone in this lower-energy region (at  $\approx 162$  eV in Fig. 1).

TABLE I. Computed R-matrix energies, recommended NIST energies, and  $2p^{-1}$  thresholds inferred from the present QD analysis of experimental Rydberg series (in parenthesis). Rmat1 and Rmat2 refer to the energies before and after shifting.

#	State	Photoelectron Energy $k^2$ (Ryd)				Photon Energy $\hbar\omega$ (eV)			
		R-mat1	Shift	R-mat2	NIST	R-mat	NIST		
—	$S^{4+}$	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2$	$^1S_0^e$	-5.47666	0.14107	-5.33560	-5.33560	0.00000	0.00000
—	$S^{4+}$	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s 3p$	$^3P_0^o$	-4.72097	0.14195	-4.57903	-4.57903		
—	$S^{4+}$	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s 3p$	$^3P_1^o$	-4.71792	0.14226	-4.57566	-4.57566		
—	$S^{4+}$	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s 3p$	$^3P_2^o$	-4.71103	0.14231	-4.56872	-4.56872		
1	$S^{5+} 3s^{-1}$	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s$	$^2S_{1/2}^e$	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	72.59507	72.59507
2	$S^{5+} 3p^{-1}$	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3p$	$^2P_{1/2}^o$	0.97090	-0.00611	0.96479	0.96479	85.72182	85.72182
3		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3p$	$^2P_{3/2}^o$	0.98127	-0.00496	0.97631	0.97631	85.87856	85.87856
4	$S^{5+} 2p^{-1}$	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s^2$	$^2P_{1/2}^o$	12.43132	-0.19900	12.23232		239.02557	(237.5) <sup>a</sup>
5		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s^2$	$^2P_{3/2}^o$	12.51946	-0.19900	12.32046		240.22478	(238.6) <sup>a</sup>
6		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^4S_{3/2}^e$	12.94643	-0.18920	12.75723	12.72949	246.16739	245.78995
7		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^4D_{1/2}^e$	13.10688	-0.18920	12.87958	12.89839	247.83206	248.08799
8		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^4D_{3/2}^e$	13.08651	-0.18920	12.89731	12.87730	248.07329	247.80103
9		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^4D_{5/2}^e$	13.06878	-0.18920	12.91768	12.85871	248.35044	247.54810
10		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^4P_{1/2}^e$	13.17137	-0.18920	12.94996	12.96019	248.78963	248.92875
11		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^4P_{3/2}^e$	13.15495	-0.18920	12.96575	12.94350	249.00447	248.70177
12		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^4P_{5/2}^e$	13.13916	-0.18920	12.98217	12.93266	249.22787	248.55425
13		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2D_{3/2}^e$	13.19784	-0.18920	13.00864		249.58802	
14		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2D_{5/2}^e$	13.22689	-0.18920	13.02863		249.86000	
15		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2P_{1/2}^e$	13.21783	-0.18920	13.03769		249.98327	
16		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2P_{3/2}^e$	13.24280	-0.18920	13.05360		250.19974	
17		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2S_{1/2}^e$	13.31425	-0.18920	13.12505		251.17187	
18		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2D_{3/2}^e$	13.68799	-0.18920	13.45609		255.67593	
19		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2D_{5/2}^e$	13.64529	-0.18920	13.49879		256.25690	
20		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2P_{1/2}^e$	13.76438	-0.18920	13.56515		257.15978	
21		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2P_{3/2}^e$	13.75435	-0.18920	13.57518		257.29625	
22		$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s 3p$	$^2S_{1/2}^e$	13.94185	-0.18920	13.75265		259.71087	
23	$S^{5+} 2s^{-1}$	$1s^2 2s 2p^6 3s^2$	$^2S_{1/2}^e$	17.40935	-0.58000	16.82935		301.57184	

<sup>a</sup> Experimentally determined value obtained in the present work. See below.

Participator Auger decay is accounted for in a straightforward manner by explicitly including the  $2p^6 3s$  channel in the standard R-matrix implementation [46, 47]. Spectator Auger decay is instead included via an optical potential approach [48] that adds an additional imaginary potential  $-i\Gamma/2$  to the multichannel quantum defect scattering formulation, with the additional spectator Auger width  $\Gamma$  as an external parameter that can be computed separately or derived from experimental results. Here, it is found that the Lorentzian Auger width is much less than the broader Gaussian width due to experimental broadening, so a fixed spectator Auger width  $\Gamma = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$  Ryd = 34 meV is chosen that is less than the Gaussian broadening of  $\approx 140$  meV but larger than the energy mesh step of 1.7 meV used in the final R-matrix calculation.

The main excitation, ionization and decay processes just presented are summarised in Fig. 2 which shows an energy level diagram for the relevant parent  $S^{4+}$ , single

ionization channel  $S^{5+}$  and double ionization channel  $S^{6+}$  ions. The figure shows the metastable  $^3P_{0,1,2}$  levels lying just over 10 eV above the  $^1S_0$  ground state and indicates the dominant inner-shell excitations  $2p^6 3s^2 \ ^1S_0 \rightarrow 2p^5 3s^2 nd, (n+1)s$  states leading to the  $2p^{-1}$  inner shell ionization limits  $2p^5 3s^2 \ ^2P_{1/2,3/2}$ . Figure 2 also shows the positions of the single and double ionization energy values for both valence and inner-shell ionization. For the valence states and ionization limits NIST [45] data are used. The energy bands corresponding with the inner-shell excited states are the results of the present calculations.

#### IV. RESULTS AND ANALYSES

In order to examine the experimental data more closely and compare in greater detail with the theoretical predictions of the MCDF and R-matrix codes, we divide the




FIG. 2. Schematic energy-level diagram showing excitation energies and ionization thresholds relevant to the present work. The solid and broken blue lines represent possible absorption processes of synchrotron radiation (SR) photons from the  $S^{4+}$   $2p^6 3s^2$  ground and  $2p^6 3s 3p$  metastable states, respectively.

overall photon energy scale of Fig. 1 into contiguous photon energy regions, each of which can be associated with a dominant atomic process.

### A. Absorption by metastable ions near 162 eV

Metastable ions in sizeable amounts can be collisionally produced within the ECR source due to the presence of high energy electrons therein. The  $S^{4+}$  ions in the merged beam overlap region therefore exist in the ground ( $3s^2 \ ^1S_0$ ) or metastable ( $3s 3p \ ^3P_{0,1,2}$ ) states, as the latter can have sufficiently long lifetimes to survive the journey from the ECR source to the overlap region. The presence of excited state parent ions is a well-recognized issue in merged beam experiments [18, 19]. In the present case of  $S^{4+}$  ions, there are clearly resolved resonances associated only with photoionization of  $^3P_{0,1,2}$  metastable ions observed in the single-ionization channel in the 162 eV photon energy range (see Figs. 1,3,4). Measurements of




FIG. 3. Ab initio MCDF and R-Matrix cross sections for the  $h\nu + 2s^2 2p^6 3s 3p \ ^3P \rightarrow 2s^2 2p^5 3s^2 3p \ ^3L^e$  resonances after convolution with a Gaussian function of FWHM 64 meV to simulate the effect of instrumental broadening.

the strengths of these metastable resonances enable us to estimate the relative populations of ground to excited initial states. We require this information in order to reliably compare the experimental data with the corresponding theoretical predictions, e.g.[49].

The resonances observed around 162 eV arise purely from the excited  $2p^6 3s 3p \ ^3P_{0,1,2}$  valence-excited states. We note that the  $E_1$  allowed  $3s 3p \ ^3P_1 \rightarrow 3s^2 \ ^1S_0$  radiative decay has a transition probability of  $1.65 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (equivalent to a radiative lifetime of 6.06  $\mu\text{s}$ ) [45] which is similar with the transit time from the extractor to the overlap regions of the  $S^{4+}$  ions. This decay contributes to repopulating the  $3s^2$  ground state along the ions path.

Figure 3 shows the ab-initio MCDF and R-Matrix cross sections for the  $h\nu + 2s^2 2p^6 3s 3p \ ^3P \rightarrow 2s^2 2p^5 3s^2 3p \ ^3L^e$  resonances after convolution with a Gaussian function of FWHM 64 meV to simulate the effect of instrumental broadening. Figure 4(a) shows the experimentally observed  $2s^2 2p^5 3s^2 3p \ ^3L^e$  resonances. There are marked differences with Fig. 3 both in the energy positions, strengths and widths of the resonances. Overall shifts of +2.5 eV and -0.7 eV applied to the ab initio MCDF and R-Matrix resonance energies, respectively, bring the main predicted resonance at 160.23 eV into approximate coincidence with the main experimental resonance at that energy. A 74 meV Lorentzian profile is used for all the MCDF calculations which is larger than the Gaussian instrumental broadening of 64 meV and accounts for the overall broader MCDF profiles compared with the R-Matrix ones. The aforementioned difference in resonance strengths is due to the  $3s 3p \ ^3P_{0,1,2}$  populations relative to the population of ground state ions in the sample beam. By summing the cross sections over the resonances for the experimental profiles and comparing with the analogous integrated cross sections from the MCDF and R-matrix predictions, we obtained the estimates for the relative

populations of the various levels as 78%  $^1S_0$ , 4%  $^3P_0$ , 18%  $^3P_2$ . Once these scaling/modelling parameters were determined and applied to the ab initio data of Figure 3, it is seen that the overall structures of the experimental resonances of Fig. 4(a) are quite well reproduced by both the scaled MCDF and R-matrix simulations of Figs. 4(b) and (c), respectively. From now on, the same populations scaling parameters are applied to all the theoretical spectra when comparison with experimental data is made, whereas slightly different energy shifts may need to be applied for the different spectral windows under investigation (see details below).

Table II shows a list of all the experimental resonances arising from the metastable states. Energies, line strengths and widths are provided from the experimental measurements and compared with the MCDF and R-matrix calculations. As expected, all the observed features are readily interpreted as originating from either of the two  $2p^63s3p^3P_{0,2}$  initial states with no discernible contributions from  $2p^63s3p^3P_1$ .

### B. Region of $2p \rightarrow 3d$ excitations 188 eV- 200 eV

Figure 5(a) and (b) show the experimental data acquired with a bandpass of 80 meV, over the photon energy range between 184 and 198 eV, for the single ionisation and double ionisation channels, respectively. The single ionisation channel is shown again in Fig. 5(c) but with a narrower bandpass of 47 meV. Also shown in Figs. 5(d) and (e) are the MCDF and R-matrix results, respectively, convolved with a 47 meV Gaussian function and appropriately weighted and energy shifted (MCDF +2.5 eV, R-Matrix -1.9 eV). These can be compared with the experimental results of 5(c). From the  $3s^2(^1S_0)$  ground state, as expected, the observed resonance structure is comparatively simpler than the more complex structure arising from the  $^3P_{0,1,2}$  metastable levels. It is seen that the R-matrix simulation agrees well with experiment while the MCDF resonances differ quite significantly. It is also worth noting that the relative populations of the metastable and ground state levels derived from our measurements and comparison with theory for the purely metastable region near 162 eV photon energy also provide good agreement between theory and experiment for the higher photon energy region. This provides reassurance that the inferred population percentages are fairly reliable.

A list of the most intense ground state resonances observed in this photon energy region is provided in Table III which shows their energies, line strengths and some assignments. The two main  $3s^23d^3D_1$  and  $^1P_1$  resonances at 192.74 and 194.88 eV, respectively, dominate the experimental spectra. The strength of the spin-forbidden  $3s^23d^3D_1$  component reflects the importance of spin-orbit mixing effects between terms of different multiplicities of the same configuration. Additional resonances are clearly distinguishable in this range and, following




FIG. 4. Photoionization cross-sections of  $S^{4+}$  in  $2p^63s3p^3P_{0,1,2}$  initial states: (a) measured, (b) and (c) simulated from the results of MCDF and R-Matrix theoretical calculations, respectively.

the MCDF theoretical predictions, attributable to strong correlation mixing effects between terms of the energetically close  $2p^53s^23d$  and  $2p^53s3p^2$  configurations, i.e. the  $(3s3d + 3p^2)$  mixing, see Table III.

It is worth pointing out that the extent of mixing between nearby resonances, whether differing in  $L$  and  $S$  but still coupling via the stronger spin-orbit operator  $V_{SO}$  that scale as  $Z^4$ , with  $Z = 5$ , or coupling the same  $L$  and  $S$  via the interelectron operator  $V_{ee}$ , is highly sensitive



TABLE II. Experimental and theoretical energies and line strengths for resonances in the 158 eV - 163 eV photon energy range due to  $2p \rightarrow 3s$  inner-excitations in  $S^{4+}$  valence-excited in the  $2p^6 3s 3p^3 P_{0,1,2}$  states

Measured <sup>a</sup>	Energy (eV)		Measured	Strength (Mb eV)			Initial State	
	R-Matrix	MCDF		R-Matrix	MCDF	MCDF	MCDF	
158.86(5)	159.57	158.18	0.11(2)	0.087	0.046		$^3P_2$	
159.02(5)	159.71	158.32	0.07(2)	0.066	0.055		$^3P_0$	
160.23(5)	160.94	159.43	1.0(2)	0.955	0.604		$^3P_2$	
160.37(7)	161.08	159.56	0.03(3)	0.025	0.013		$^3P_2$	
160.75(9)	161.47	159.95		0.013	0.010		$^3P_2$	
160.88(5)	161.61	160.08	0.28(5)	0.328	0.248		$^3P_0$	
161.11(5)	161.83	160.23	0.33(5)	0.195	0.236		$^3P_2$	
161.62(5)	162.39	160.83	0.08(2)	0.069	0.052		$^3P_2$	
161.79(9)	162.53	160.97		0.017	0.015		$^3P_0$	
161.98(5)	162.73	161.15	0.38(6)	0.527	0.232		$^3P_2$	
162.05(5)	162.81	161.21	0.15(3)	0.129	0.128		$^3P_2$	
162.19(5)	162.96	161.35	0.09(2)	0.055	0.057		$^3P_0$	

<sup>a</sup> The number in parentheses is the uncertainty on the last digit due to numerical fitting procedure used to determine resonance peak maximum.

to the relative positions of the mixing states. A simple two-state analysis shows that theoretical predictions for the fractional mixing of any two states is given as  $|c_2|^2/|c_1|^2 \approx [V/(E_2 - E_1)]^2$ , so that two resonances can exchange oscillator strengths, and the relative oscillator strengths are sensitive to the predicted relative energies, which have inherent uncertainties, as has been seen.

### C. Region of $2p \rightarrow nd$ Rydberg excitations and $2p$ thresholds 200 eV- 240 eV

Figure 6 shows the corresponding data for the 200 to 244 eV range where the various resonance series are approaching the  $2p$  inner-shell ionisation limits. The single and double ionisation channels are shown for the experimental data in Figs. 6(a) and (b), respectively, after appropriate populations weighting and energy shifts (MCDF + 2.5 eV, R-Matrix -1.9 eV). The MCDF and R-matrix theoretical results are shown in Figs. 6(c) and (d), respectively. Both simulations represent the experimental data quite well with the latter simulation better reproducing the weaker experimental resonances near 203 eV.

Table IV includes a list of the observed resonance energies, relative line strengths and assignments. The latter are obtained from standard quantum defect (QD) analyses of the experimental and theoretical data and assume, as suggested by visual observations, the existence of four distinct Rydberg series, namely  $2p \rightarrow nd \ ^1P_1, ^3D_1$  and  $2p \rightarrow (n+1)s \ ^1P_1, ^3D_1$  converging on the two  $2p^5 3s^2 \ ^2P_{1/2,3/2}$  limits. Quantum defect values of  $\mu_d = 0.25$  and  $\mu_s = 0.9$  are obtained. The small value of the former indicates more strongly localised hydrogenic orbits for the  $nd$  Rydberg electron while the larger value of the latter points to more delocalised penetrating orbits for the  $ns$  Rydberg electrons. The use of the  $^1P_1$  and  $^3D_1$   $LSJ$  labelling for the designation of these Rydberg series

is based on the MCDF eigenvector compositions for the states having the largest  $3d$  and  $4s \ ^1P_1$  and  $^3D_1$  characters, respectively. Although this does not invalidate the present QD analyses, the  $jK$  or  $jj$  notations would likely be more appropriate for Rydberg states with  $n > 3$ , see [37] for example, however, such detailed data is not available for  $S^{4+}$ .

Comparison of Figs. 6(a) and (b), show that identical intensity patterns at the exact same photon energies are retrieved in the single- and double-ionisation channels for the resonant Auger decay of, most evidently, the  $4d$  and other  $nd$  states. This suggests shake-off like processes in the double-ionisation channel for these states. Getting closer to the energy of the  $2p^5 3s^2 \ ^2P_{1/2,3/2}$  thresholds, supernumerary resonances, i.e. not fitting into the Rydberg patterns just mentioned, are observed. These are discussed in the following sub-section.

In addition to visibly comparing the experimental cross sectional profile with the theoretical simulations in Fig. 6, which, in principle, requires the theoretical knowledge of the Auger width for each individual resonance, we can quantitatively assess the theoretical atomic data by comparing the integrated cross section over a given photon energy window. This is tantamount to comparing the measured and calculated amounts of oscillator strength in the photon range. The relevant numbers between 190 eV and 244 eV are: Experiment total ionization (sum of single and double ionisation channels) 149.9 Mb.eV, MCDF 132.8 Mb.eV and R-matrix 124.5 Mb.eV, i.e. relative differences of 11% and 17%, respectively.

### D. Region of the $2p$ thresholds and $2s$ excitations 230 eV- 300 eV

Figure 7 shows the details for the 230 to 300 eV range which overlaps the  $2p$  inner-shell ionization limits. As mentioned briefly above, a number of strong resonances

TABLE III. Experimental and theoretical energies and line strengths in the 188 eV-200 eV photon energy range for the resonances arising from  $2p \rightarrow 3d$  excitations in  $S^{4+}$ .

Measured	Energy (eV)		Width (meV)			Strength (Mb eV)			Final State <i>LSJ</i>
	R-Matrix	MCDF	Measured	R-Matrix	MCDF	Measured	R-Matrix	MCDF	
183.87(4)	185.39	180.50		23		3.6(6)	5.51	5.42	$3s3p^2\ ^3P_1$
184.83(4)	186.38	181.38		16		0.8(3)	1.19	3.02	$3s3p^2\ ^3D_1$
187.98(4)	189.91	184.96		8.8		0.7(3)	1.10	0.77	
188.86(4)	190.75	185.97		35		2.7(5)	3.62	7.26	$3s3p^2\ ^1P_1$
189.50(4)	191.41			54		2.2(5)	2.62		
192.74(4)	194.59	190.27	47(1)	43	15.9	31(5)	37.6	33.7	$3s^23d\ ^3D_1$
193.42(4)	195.34		149(13)	97		13(2)	22.6		$3s^23d\ ^3P_1$
194.88(4)	196.90	191.21	51(1)	84	74.2	46(7)	45	64.9	$3s^23d\ ^1P_1$

TABLE IV. Experimental, theoretical energies and Rydberg analyses in the 190 eV-240 eV photon energy range for the resonances arising from  $2p \rightarrow nd\ ^1P_1, ^3D_1$  and  $2p \rightarrow (n+1)s\ ^1P_1, ^3D_1$  excitations in  $S^{4+}$ .

Electron excitation	Resonance Energy (eV)						
	Measured	$^1P_1$ series		$^3D_1$ series		R-Matrix	
$2p \rightarrow 3d$	192.75	190.27	R-Matrix	194.59	193.42	191.21	195.34
4d	213.17	211.39		215.04	214.31	212.57	216.17
5d	222.26	220.24		224.11	223.32	221.37	225.17
6d	227.04	224.92		228.93	228.24	226.08	229.94
7d	229.92	227.66		232.82	230.7	228.81	233.41
⋮							
$\infty d$	237.3	235.3		239.1	238.4	236.5	240.2
Quantum defect <sup>a</sup>	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
$2p \rightarrow 4s$	202.27	200.48	204.11	203.41	201.65	205.24	
5s	217.42	215.26	219.11	218.60	216.45	220.60	
6s		222.18	226.12		223.38	226.52	
7s		225.97			227.19		
⋮							
$\infty s$	237.5	235.1	239.1	238.6	236.3	239.6	
Quantum defect <sup>a</sup>	0.9	0.86	0.88	0.9	0.86	0.93	

<sup>a</sup> Obtained from numerical fit of standard hydrogenic energy level formula

with energies just below the higher  $2p$ -threshold are distinctively observed in the single and double ionisation channels see Figs. 7(a) and (b). These resonances are found over the 232-238 eV range and do not fit into the pattern of  $2p \rightarrow nd, (n+1)s$  Rydberg series identified above. Several intense and sharp resonances are seen in the single-ionisation channel (see Fig. 7(a)) without clear counterparts at the same energies in the double ionisation channel. The double-ionisation channel shows the characteristic step-like structure of the onset of continuum Auger processes over the 237 eV -238 eV band of energies. This is compatible with the QD analyses of Table IV which provide experimentally determined  $^2P_{1/2}$  and  $^2P_{3/2}$  threshold values at 237.5 eV and 238.6 eV, respectively. Additional discrete and broad structures are superimposed in the 237 eV - 239 eV also (see Fig. 7(b)). The experimentally determined threshold values are reasonably well reproduced by the R-Matrix and MCDF values of Table I and Table IV. We propose that  $J = 1$

states obtained from the multiply-excited configurations  $2p^53p^2nd$ ,  $2p^53d^2nd$  or  $2p^53s3dnd$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) contribute to the patterns of resonances observed in Figure 7(a). Preliminary MCDF calculations for the  $2p^53s3d^2$  configuration support this hypothesis, but no detailed theoretical predictions are available at this stage.

We note that the first member of the  $2s \rightarrow np\ ^1P_1$  series, namely the  $2s2p^63s^23p\ ^1P_1$  resonance is predicted at 234.0 eV and 238.1 eV by the R-Matrix and MCDF theories, featuring as prominent peaks in Figures 7(c) and (d)). The strong resonances lying around 235.0 eV in the single and double-ionization channels on Figures 7(a) and (b) are thus assigned to the  $2s2p^63s^23p\ ^1P_1$  resonance, accounting for the additional discrete structure observed in the vicinity of the  $2p$  thresholds. MCDF predicts the  $2s2p^63s^23p\ ^1P_1$  resonance just above these thresholds while R-Matrix places it just below which would seem more in line with the experimental observations. Overall, the MCDF and R-matrix simulations




FIG. 5. Photoionization cross-sections of  $S^{4+}$  in the 188-200 eV photon range (region of  $2p \rightarrow 3d$  excitations): (a) and (b) experimental values measured with 80 meV bandpass for single ionization and double ionization, respectively, (c) experimental values for single ionization measured with 47 meV bandpass, (d) MCDF and (e) R-Matrix theoretical cross sections, convolved with a 47 meV Gaussian function and weighted according to the initial populations, respectively.

differ quite markedly in this region, see Figs. 7(c) and (d); the complexity of the observed structure being generally better simulated by the R-Matrix results. This is likely related to the overlap of several  $2p^5 3s 3l n l' \ ^{(2S+1)}L_{J=1}$  Rydberg members with the strong  $2s 2p^6 3s^2 3p^1 P_1$  resonance, which is seen to lie below the  $2p^{-1}$  thresholds in




FIG. 6. Photoionization cross-sections of  $S^{4+}$  in the 200-240 eV photon range (region of  $2p \rightarrow nd$  Rydberg excitations and  $2p$  thresholds): (a) and (b) experimental values measured with 110 meV bandpass for single and double ionization, respectively, (c) MCDF and (d) R-Matrix theoretical cross sections, convolved with a 110 meV Gaussian function and weighted according to the initial populations, respectively.

the R-matrix results, thereby mixing oscillator strengths for a more complicated resonance spectrum. However, a number of important multiply-excited configurations would need to be introduced in the calculations to obtain a reliable intercomparison of the theoretical results. Figure 7(b) also includes the prediction of the Verner formula [13], which is seen to match the experimental data well in the continuum region.

In the region from the  $2p$ -thresholds eV to 300 eV, the direct  $2p$  ionization process followed by Auger decay dominates as seen by the strength of the continuum processes found entirely in the double-ionization channel (see Figs. 1b and 7(b)). The discrete pattern of the  $2s \rightarrow np \ ^3P_1$  excitations is superimposed on this continuum. This results in two Rydberg series converg-




FIG. 7. Photoionization cross-sections of  $S^{4+}$  in the 235-270 eV photon range (above  $2p$  thresholds): (a) and (b) experimental values measured with 150 meV bandpass for single ionization and double ionization, respectively; the blue traces are the  $2s$  and  $2p$  photo-ionization cross sections from ref. [13]; (c) MCDF and (d) R-Matrix theoretical cross-sections, convolved with a 150 meV Gaussian function and weighted according to the initial populations, respectively.

ing on the  $1s^2 2s 2p^6 3s^2 \ ^2S_{1/2}$  inner-shell ionization limit. The latter is predicted to lie just above 301 eV photon energy by both the MCDF and R-Matrix calculations (see Table V). The first member  $2s \rightarrow 3p \ ^1P_1$  overlaps the  $2p$  thresholds region as discussed above. The  $2s \rightarrow 4p \ ^1P_1$  resonance features in both the single and double-ionization channels as seen on Figs. 7(a) and (b), respectively. Higher series members were not intense enough to emerge clearly out of the background noise and are not shown here. The characteristic asymmetric Fano profile shape is observed in Figs. 7 (b), pointing to sizeable interaction with the underlying continua. However, the experimental profile data appeared to be too noisy to extract reliable Fano parameters for the res-

TABLE V. Experimental and theoretical energies in the 235 eV - 300 eV photon energy range for the  $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 \ ^1S_0 \rightarrow 1s^2 2s 2p^6 3s^2 np \ ^1P_1$  resonances in  $S^{4+}$ .

$n$	Resonance Energy (eV)		
	Measured	MCDF	R-matrix
3	235.0	238.1	$\approx 234$
4	270.3	271.17	272.34
5		283.42	284.24
6		289.33	290.05
7		292.68	293.36
8			295.42
9			296.79
10			297.75
11			298.45
12			298.97
13			299.37
14			299.69
15			299.94
16			300.14
17			300.31
18			300.45
19			300.57
20			300.67
21			300.76
22			300.83
limit $\infty p$		301.1 <sup>a</sup>	301.6 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Quantum defects of 0.63 (MCDF) and 0.59 (R-Matrix) from numerical fits of the hydrogenic formula

onance after deconvolution of the instrumental broadening. Successive resonant Auger decays of the type  $1s^2 2s 2p^6 3s^2 4p \rightarrow 1s^2 2s^2 2p^5 3s^2 + e^- \rightarrow 1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 + e^-$  could be invoked to explain the relative strength of this resonance in the double-ionisation channel. The population weighted and energy shifted MCDF (-0.9 eV) and R-Matrix (-1.9 eV) calculations reproduce the experimental results well as shown in Figs. 7(c) and (d), respectively, although the MCDF results also show the weaker  $2s 2p^6 3s 3pnl$  resonances that were omitted in the R-matrix channel basis. Resonance energy data is summarised in Table V for the series.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

Using the MAIA merged photon-ion beam facility at SOLEIL we have measured the absolute cross sections for photoionization of the magnesium-like  $S^{4+}$  ion in the photon energy region corresponding to excitation and ionization of the inner-shell  $2s$  and  $2p$  electrons. Both single and double ionization channels were measured. Resonances arising from both ground  $^1S_0$  and metastable  $^3P_{0,1,2}$  states were observed and the relative populations determined. Detailed R-matrix and MCDF calculations were carried out and the predictions compared with the experimental results, following convolution with the experimental bandpass and weighting to take account of the different initial state populations. Figures and Ta-

bles are provided which detail the measured resonance energies and strengths and compare with the theoretical predictions. In general, reasonably good agreement is found but some notable differences in the strengths of the resonance predictions are observed together with the need for systematic energy shifts, which underpin the ongoing need for experimental measurements to benchmark the theoretical models.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the SOLEIL beamline staff John Bozek and Aleksandar Milosavljevic for their help during the experiments.

- 
- [1] Chandra x-ray center <https://cxc.harvard.edu/>.
- [2] Xmm-newton: The x-ray multi-mirror mission a project of the european space agency (2018).
- [3] G. Betancourt-Martinez, H. Akamatsu, D. Barret, M. Bautista, S. Bernitt, S. Bianchi, D. Bodewits, N. Brickhouse, G. V. Brown, E. Costantini, M. Coreno, J. R. C. López-Urrutia, R. Cumbee, M. Eckart, G. Ferland, F. Fiore, M. Fogle, A. S. Foster, J. Garcia, T. Gorczyca, V. Grinberg, N. Grosso, L. Gu, M. F. Gu, M. Guainazzi, N. Hell, J.-W. d. Herder, J. Kaastra, T. Kallman, J. Lee, M. Leutenegger, J. Marler, D. McCammon, S. Nakashima, F. Nicastro, F. Paerels, F. Pajot, E. Pointecouteau, D. Porquet, F. S. Porter, D. W. Savin, M. Sawada, C. Shah, A. Simionescu, M. De Simone, C. Sosolik, P. Stancil, R. Steinbrügge, and H. Yamaguchi (2019), science white paper submitted to the Astro2020 Decadal Survey.
- [4] T. R. Kallman, *Space Science Reviews* **157**, 177 (2010).
- [5] T. R. Kallman and P. Palmeri, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 79 (2007).
- [6] A. R. Foster, R. K. Smith, N. S. Brickhouse, T. R. Kallman, and M. C. Witthoef, *Space Science Reviews* **157**, 135 (2010).
- [7] D. W. Savin, N. S. Brickhouse, J. J. Cowan, R. P. Drake, S. R. Federman, G. J. Ferland, A. Frank, M. S. Gudipati, W. C. Haxton, E. Herbst, S. Profumo, F. Salama, L. M. Ziurys, and E. G. Zweibel, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **75**, 036901 (2012).
- [8] S. Nahar, *Atoms* **8**, 10.3390/atoms8040068 (2020).
- [9] C. Mendoza, M. A. Bautista, J. Deprince, J. A. García, E. Gatuzz, T. W. Gorczyca, T. R. Kallman, P. Palmeri, P. Quinet, and M. C. Witthoef, *Atoms* **9**, 10.3390/atoms9010012 (2021).
- [10] S. Schippers and A. Müller, *Atoms* **8**, 10.3390/atoms8030045 (2020).
- [11] C. Blancard, D. Cubaynes, S. Guilbaud, and J.-M. Bizau, *The Astrophysical Journal* **853**, 32 (2018).
- [12] S. N. Nahar and A. K. Pradhan, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **26**, 1109 (1993).
- [13] D. A. Verner, G. J. Ferland, K. T. Korista, and D. G. Yakovlev, *Astrophys. J* **465**, 487 (1996), arXiv:astro-ph/9601009.
- [14] G. J. Ferland, R. L. Porter, P. A. M. van Hoof, R. J. R. Williams, N. P. Abel, M. L. Lykins, G. Shaw, W. J. Henney, and P. C. Stancil, *The 2013 release of cloudy* (2013), arXiv:1302.4485 [astro-ph.GA].
- [15] N. R. Badnell, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **88**, 012070 (2007).
- [16] T. W. Gorczyca, N. R. Badnell, and D. W. Savin, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 062707 (2002).
- [17] E. Kennedy, J. Costello, J.-P. Mosnier, and P. van Kampen, *Radiation Physics and Chemistry* **70**, 291 (2004), photoeffect: Theory and Experiment.
- [18] H. Kjeldsen, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **39**, R325 (2006).
- [19] A. Müller, *Physica Scripta* **90**, 054004 (2015).
- [20] R. B. C. Henry, A. Speck, A. I. Karakas, G. J. Ferland, and M. Maguire, *The Astrophysical Journal* **749**, 61 (2012).
- [21] N. Kacharov, A. Koch, E. Caffau, and L. Sbordone, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **577**, A18 (2015).
- [22] O. L. Dors, E. Pérez-Montero, G. F. Hägele, M. V. Cardaci, and A. C. Krabbe, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **456**, 4407 (2016).
- [23] P. Gorai, in *Astrophysics and Space Science Proceedings* (Springer International Publishing, 2018) pp. 467–475.
- [24] S. S. Tayal, *Physical Review A* **74**, 10.1103/physreva.74.022704 (2006).
- [25] B. Kristensen, T. Andersen, F. Folkmann, H. Kjeldsen, and J. B. West, *Physical Review A* **65**, 10.1103/physreva.65.022707 (2002).
- [26] V. Stancalie, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* **205**, 7 (2018).
- [27] K. Butler, C. Mendoza, and C. J. Zeippen, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **209**, 343 (1984).
- [28] J. P. Serrão, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* **54**, 447 (1995).
- [29] D.-S. Kim and D.-H. Kwon, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 033426 (2013).
- [30] T. K. Fang, B. I. Nam, Y. S. Kim, and T. N. Chang, *Physical Review A* **55**, 433 (1997).
- [31] D.-S. Kim and Y. S. Kim, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **41**, 165002 (2008).
- [32] J. T. Costello, D. Evans, R. B. Hopkins, E. T. Kennedy, L. Kiernan, M. W. D. Mansfield, J. P. Mosnier, M. H. Sayyad, and B. F. Sonntag, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **25**, 5055 (1992).
- [33] M. H. Sayyad, E. T. Kennedy, L. Kiernan, J. P. Mosnier, and J. T. Costello, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **28**, 1715 (1995).
- [34] J. B. West, T. Andersen, R. L. Brooks, F. Folkmann, H. Kjeldsen, and H. Knudsen, *Physical Review A* **63**, 10.1103/physreva.63.052719 (2001).
- [35] C. E. Hudson, J. B. West, K. L. Bell, A. Aguilar, R. A. Phaneuf, F. Folkmann, H. Kjeldsen, J. Bozek, A. S. Schlachter, and C. Cisneros, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **38**, 2911 (2005).
- [36] L. Hernández, A. Covington, E. Hernández, A. Antillón, A. Morales-Mori, K. Chartkunchand, A. Aguilar, and G. Hinojosa, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* **159**, 80 (2015).

- [37] J.-P. Mosnier, M. H. Sayyad, E. T. Kennedy, J.-M. Bizau, D. Cubaynes, F. J. Wuilleumier, J.-P. Champeaux, C. Blancard, R. H. Varma, T. Banerjee, P. C. Deshmukh, and S. T. Manson, *Physical Review A* **68**, 10.1103/physreva.68.052712 (2003).
- [38] J. Bizau, D. Cubaynes, S. Guilbaud, N. E. Eassan, M. A. Shorman, E. Bouisset, J. Guigand, O. Moustier, A. Marié, E. Nadal, E. Robert, C. Nicolas, and C. Miron, *Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena* **210**, 5 (2016).
- [39] L.-M. Ren, Y.-Y. Wang, D.-D. Li, Z.-S. Yuan, and L.-F. Zhu, *Chinese Physics Letters* **28**, 053401 (2011).
- [40] H. Friedrich, *Theoretical Atomic Physics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2006).
- [41] J. Bruneau, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic and Molecular Physics* **17**, 3009 (1984).
- [42] I. P. Grant, *Journal of Physics B: Atomic and Molecular Physics* **7**, 1458 (1974).
- [43] J. Slater, *Quantum Theory of Molecules and Solids Vol. 4: The Self-Consistent Field for Molecules and Solids* (McGraw Hill, 1974).
- [44] T. W. Gorczyca, M. A. Bautista, M. F. Hasoglu, J. García, E. Gatuzz, J. S. Kaastra, T. R. Kallman, S. T. Manson, C. Mendoza, A. J. J. Raassen, C. P. de Vries, and O. Zatsarinny, *Astrophys. J* **779**, 78 (2013), arXiv:1310.1889 [astro-ph.IM].
- [45] A. E. Kramida, Y. Ralchenko, J. Reader, and NIST ASD Team, National Institute of Standards and Technology (2020), <http://physics.nist.gov/asd>.
- [46] P. G. Burke, *R-matrix Theory of Atomic Collisions* (Springer, New York, 2011).
- [47] K. A. Berrington, W. B. Eissner, and P. H. Norrington, *Computer Physics Communications* **92**, 290 (1995).
- [48] T. W. Gorczyca and F. Robicheaux, *Phys. Rev. A* **60**, 1216 (1999).
- [49] J.-P. Mosnier, E. T. Kennedy, J.-M. Bizau, D. Cubaynes, S. Guilbaud, C. Blancard, and B. M. McLaughlin, *Atoms* **9**, 10.3390/atoms9020027 (2021).