

Experimental and methodological framework for the assessment of nucleic acids in airborne microorganisms

Raphaëlle Péguilhan, Florent Rossi, Olivier Rué, Muriel Joly, Pierre Amato

▶ To cite this version:

Raphaëlle Péguilhan, Florent Rossi, Olivier Rué, Muriel Joly, Pierre Amato. Experimental and methodological framework for the assessment of nucleic acids in airborne microorganisms. 2024. hal-04271307

HAL Id: hal-04271307 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04271307v1

Preprint submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Experimental and methodological framework for the assessment
2	of nucleic acids in airborne microorganisms
3	Running Title: Methods in aeromicrobiology
4	Raphaëlle Péguilhan ¹ [*] , Florent Rossi ^{1†} , Olivier Rué ^{2,3} , Muriel Joly ¹ , and Pierre Amato ^{*1}
5	¹ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Institut de Chimie de Clermont-Ferrand (ICCF), F-
6	63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.
7	² Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, MaIAGE, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas, France.
8	³ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, BioinfOmics, MIGALE bioinformatics facility, 78350,
9	Jouy-en-Josas, France.
10 11	 * Now at: Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, E2S UPPA, CNRS, IPREM, Pau, France. † Now at : Département de Biochimie, de Microbiologie et de Bio-informatique, Faculté des
12	Sciences et de Génie, Université Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada.
13	Author contributions: RP, MJ have made major contribution to the acquisition, analysis and
14	interpretation of the data. FR and OR helped with data acquisition and data analysis. PA
15	conceived and designed the study, and interpreted the data. PA and RP wrote the manuscript.
16	*Correspondence to: <u>pierre.amato@uca.fr</u>
17	Keywords: Atmosphere, aerobiology, bacteria, sample replication, contaminants
18	Data Archiving Statement: Demultiplexed sequencing files were deposited at the European
19	Nucleotide Archive and have accession numbers ERR9924984 to ERR9924999, and
20	ERR9924950 to ERR9924958 (project accession: PRJEB54614).
21	Acknowledgments: We thank OPGC' staff for access to puy de Dôme instrumented station.
22	We are grateful to the platforms AUBI (Clermont Auvergne University's Mésocentre) for
23	providing computing and storage capacities, and CYSTEM (UCA Partner service) for access
24	to flow cytometry. This research was supported by the French National Research Agency

- 25 (ANR) (grant no. ANR-17-MPGA-0013), with fellowship from Clermont Auvergne University
- 26 to RP.
- 27
- 28 Graphical abstract:



29

31 Abstract

32 Studying airborne microorganisms is highly challenging due to ultra-low and spread 33 biomass, and great spatial and temporal variabilities at short scales. Aeromicrobiology is still 34 an emerging discipline of environmental microbiology, and some of the basic practices (replication, control of contaminants, etc) are not yet widely adopted, which potentially limits 35 36 conclusions. Here we aim at evaluating the benefits of such practices in the study of the 37 aeromicrobiome using molecular-based approaches, and recommend the following: (i) sample 38 at high airflow rate, if possible into a fixative agent, in order to be able to capture specific 39 situations; (ii) replicate sampling and process samples individually to enable statistical analyses 40 ; (iii) check for contaminants at different steps of the analytical process, and account for their 41 potential stochasticity in sequence decontamination methods; (iv) include internal references 42 to verify qualitative and quantitative aspects of the data, and (v) eventually investigate multiple analytical procedures to identify potential impacts on the data. In our study, samples were 43 44 collected at a remote mountain site using high-flow rate impingers collecting airborne material 45 into nucleic acid preservation buffer. As high of ~75% of the sequences were shared between independent triplicates, gathering 28 to 38% of the richness observed at the ASV level at a 46 47 given sampling date, which also emphasizes spatial heterogeneity at short scale due to rare taxa. 48 Thanks to replicates, daily variations in the diversity of bacteria could be distinguished 49 statistically, and the inevitable presence of contaminating sequences in controls could be 50 accounted for using established statistical methods. This work opens new perspectives and 51 notably paves the way to untargeted molecular methods in the exploration of aeromicrobiome's 52 composition and functioning.

- 53
- 54
- 55

56 Introduction

57 The atmosphere is exposed to emissions of biological material from surface ecosystems 58 and carries their DNA imprints. These can be lifted up to high altitudes and travel over long 59 distances up to continental scales (Després et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2013; Barberán et al., 2015). Biological aerosols play roles as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nuclei (IN), 60 61 and can have influence on cloud microphysics and precipitation (Zhang et al., 2021; Bauer et 62 al., 2003; Möhler et al., 2007; Patade et al., 2021). Bioaerosols also include microorganisms of sanitary concern, such as pathogenic, opportunistic, or antibiotic resistant taxa (Rossi et al., 63 64 2022; Fernstrom et al., 2013; Beattie and Lindow, 1995), and, regardless of the potential hazards they may create, the fact that microbial cells can maintain metabolic activity (Krumins 65 et al., 2014; Amato et al., 2019; Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2017) raises questions about their 66 67 physiological properties and their role in atmospheric chemical processes (Wirgot et al., 2017; 68 Khaled et al., 2021; Joly et al., 2015).

69 Aeromicrobiology is still an emerging discipline, and the basics of recommended 70 practices in environmental microbiology are often neglected. The first prerequisite for a 71 meaningful analysis is to be able to distinguish target(s) from contaminants. These can originate 72 from handling, equipment, or reagents including commercial kits (Stinson et al., 2019; de 73 Goffau et al., 2018; Salter et al., 2014). Preventing contaminants involves, at the very least, 74 working under adequate sterile conditions, and checking them by taking control samples at 75 various stages of the analytical process. In addition, statistical comparison between diverse 76 environmental situations requires replicates (Prosser, 2010; Ji et al., 2019), which also help 77 detecting sporadic contaminants such as those brought by commercial reagents.

The greatest technical challenges in outdoor aeromicrobiology are related to the low biomass (typically ~1 to 100 cells per liter of air), in particular in remote situations. This imposes sampling for extended periods of time, or at high-flow rate, and preserving the *in-situ*

state of the material during and upon sampling (Burrows et al., 2009; Després et al., 2012; Šantl-81 82 Temkiv et al., 2020). Sampling over long periods of time alters integrity and viability of 83 airborne biological material and living cells (e.g., Manibusan and Mainelis, 2022). Moreover, 84 as microbial assemblages vary widely over short temporal and spatial scales, sampling may have to be carried out over short periods of time (e.g., a few hours or less) depending on the 85 86 objectives, for instance for capturing a specific situation. Meanwhile, sufficient quantities of 87 biological material must be collected to allow analyses, and ensure representativeness of the 88 samples through statistics. High-flow rate sampling solutions are therefore methods of choice, 89 and impingers, *i.e.*, liquid impactors, are considered as reference samplers for bioaerosols 90 (Dybwad et al., 2014; Rule et al., 2007). In addition of preserving cell integrity due to gentler 91 impaction than on solid surfaces, these allow the use of a variety of solutions for preserving viability or nucleic acids, including RNAs (Kathiriya et al., 2021; Šantl-Temkiy et al., 2017; 92 93 Griffin et al., 2011; Camacho-Sanchez et al., 2013).

94 As analytical methods improve, deepest investigations are made possible, and methods 95 based on high-throughput sequencing of amplicons are now widely used to explore bacterial 96 and fungal diversity (Zhao et al., 2022; Tignat-Perrier et al., 2020b). With the advent of NGS 97 techniques as highly sensitive methods for investigating microbial diversity, new challenges 98 have emerged such as detection of trace contaminants, sequencing bias and artifacts (de Goffau 99 et al., 2018). Here, we propose an experimental procedure to study biological aerosols through 100 nucleic acid-based approaches in remote atmosphere, considering replication and accounting 101 for inevitable contaminants, whatever their origin. Several high-flow-rate impingers (HFRi) 102 filled with nucleic acid preservation (NAP) buffer as the sampling fluid were deployed in 103 parallel for molecular investigations. Controls for the presence of contaminants were made at 104 multiple steps of the experimental procedure, and quantitative aspects as well as sequence 105 annotation accuracy were verified using artificial "mock" communities as internal references.

106 Amplicon sequencing data indicated good reproducibility of the methods and allowed 107 distinguishing bacteria diversity from consecutive days with good statistical confidence. The 108 amounts of DNA and RNA collected opens unprecedented possibilities of direct sequencing of 109 metagenomes and metatranscriptomes from atmospheric samples.

110

111 Materials and methods

Drastic procedures were applied throughout the experimental process, including systematic decontamination of the material used (pipets, *etc.*) with detergents (RNAse away, ethanol 70% or diluted bleach), exposure to UVs, use of laminar flow hoods, systematic filtration of all the liquids before autoclave, *etc*.

116

117 Sampling setup with High-Flow-Rate Impingers (HFRi)

Sampling of aerosols was carried out at the summit of puy de Dôme mountain (1,465 m a.s.l, France) using the facilities of the atmospheric station (Baray et al., 2020; Péguilhan et al., 2021), during three consecutive days in July 2020. Samples were collected for 6 to 6.5 consecutive hours, corresponding to 708-770 m³ of air collected by each sampler at each occasion, at ambient temperature ranging from 11 to 20°C and 48 to 61% humidity (**Table 1**). More details on the meteorological context, including backward trajectories of the corresponding air masses can be found at <u>https://www.opgc.fr/data-center/public/metadata</u>.

125

126	Table 1: Main	characteristics of	aerosol samples.
-----	---------------	--------------------	------------------

Sample identifier	Sampling date (dd/mm/yyyy)	Number of replicates	Sampling duration (h)	Air temperature (°C) [#]	Relative humidity (%) [#]	Wind speed (m.s ⁻¹) [#]	Microbial cell concentration (cell.m ⁻³)*
Day 1	07/07/2020	3	6.5	11.1	61	3.6	1.62×10^{3}
Day 2	08/07/2020	3	6.1	14.2	53	3.1	1.72×10^{3}
Day 3	09/07/2020	3	6.0	20.3	48	3.4	2.62×10^{3}

Average over the sampling period; *: inferred from concentration in the collection liquid and sampler's air flow
 rate.



129

130 **Figure 1:** Schematic of the experimental procedure (HFRi: High Flow Rate impinger).

131 The overall sampling strategy is summarized in **Figure 1**. Four HFRi were used in 132 parallel from the platform of the roof of the atmospheric station. HFRi sampler is a commercial 133 Kärcher DS 5600 or DS6 vacuum cleaner (Kärcher SAS, Bonneuil sur Marne, France) that can contain up to 1.7 L of collection liquid and operates at an airflow rate of 118 m³.h⁻¹ (Šantl-134 135 Temkiv et al., 2017). One of the samplers was dedicated to cell quantification by flow cytometry 136 and was filled with filtered (0.22µm mixed cellulose esters (MCE) membranes, 47 mm 137 diameter, Dominique Dutscher; Bernolsheim, France) and autoclaved ultrapure water as the 138 collection liquid. The other three HFRi were dedicated to nucleic acid analyses and were filled 139 with 0.5X nucleic acid preservation (NAP) buffer (see below for preparation). For all samplers, 140 the volume of liquid was checked individually every hour by weighing, and it was compensated 141 for evaporation with filtered autoclaved ultrapure H₂O when necessary, assuming unit density. 142 Immediately after sampling (on site), the collection liquid of each individual sampler dedicated 143 to nucleic acid analyses was filtered independently through 0.22 µm MCE membranes using 144 sterile filtration units (Thermo Scientific Nalgene), in a laminar flow hood previously exposed 145 to UV light for 15 min. The filters were then individually placed into 5 mL Type A Bead-Tubes 146 (ref. 740799.50, Macherey-Nagel), added with 1,200 μL MWA1 lysis buffer and stored at 147 80°C until nucleic acids extraction as specified in the corresponding section below.

148 Negative controls of the collection liquids and samplers were performed just before 149 sampling: 1.7 L of 0.5 X NAP buffer were poured into one of the HFRi tanks, left for 10 min 150 with agitation, and then collected in a sterile bottle; these are referred to as "sampling blanks". 151 In addition, filtered autoclaved ultrapure water was used as a negative control to detect possible 152 contamination during sample processing after collection; these are referred to as "water blanks" 153 (Figure 1). All controls were processed and analyzed along with environmental samples. NAP buffer was prepared following the instructions in Camacho-Sanchez et al. (2013). 154 155 This is composed of (final concentrations): 0.019 M of ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid 156 (EDTA; Fisher BioReagentsTM), 0.018 M of trisodium citrate salt (Fisher Chemical) and 3.8 M 157 of ammonium sulfate (ACROS ORGANICS) dissolved in ultrapure water, and H₂SO₄ to pH

158 5.2. The NAP buffer was then filtered through Glass Microfiber filters GF/F (47 mm diameter,

159 porosity of 0.7 μ m; Whatman; Maidstone, United Kingdom) to remove impurities, aliquoted 160 and autoclaved as volumes of 1.7 L into 2-L glass bottles for subsequent direct use.

161

162 Sampler decontamination procedure

Ethanol and UVs are common decontamination procedures (Dommergue et al., 2019; Archer et al., 2019; Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2017). Here the standard decontamination procedure of the polypropylene HFRi's tanks consisted in: (1) thorough rinse with H₂O then deionized H₂O; (2) rinse and exposure to 2 L of 70% ethanol for 10 min; (3) exposure of the different parts of the tanks to UVs (254 nm) for 10 min, within a laminar flow hood. The decontaminated tanks were then stored in sterile autoclave bags until deployment to the field.

169 To evaluate the efficiency of our decontamination procedure, two sampling tanks were 170 intentionally contaminated with a culture of *Pseudomonas syringae* 32b-74 (see 171 Supplementary Figure 1). The strain was cultured in liquid R2A at 17°C for 3 days and then 172 centrifuged for 3 min at 13,000 g to recover cells. The supernatant was removed and the pellet rinsed and resuspended in dH₂O, at a concentration of $\sim 2.3 \times 10^9$ cell mL⁻¹ estimated from OD₆₀₀. 173 174 Finally, 7.2 mL of the stock solution was diluted in 1.7 L of autoclaved ultrapure water to reach 175 a final solution at $\sim 10^7$ cell mL⁻¹, and poured into sampler's tank. Such cell concentration in the 176 collection liquid is much higher than what can be expected from sampling in natural context 177 (Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2017); a third sampler was left uncontaminated as a control. The samplers 178 were then emptied and subjected to decontamination, using either the standard procedure 179 described above, or just the thorough rinsing step with dH₂O, then refilled with 1.7 L of filtered 180 (0.22 µm porosity) autoclaved ultrapure water, as for actual sampling. Samplers were then 181 switched on for 10 minutes to ensure contact with all tank's parts, and subsamples of the 182 collection liquid were then analyzed for total cells count as detailed below in the corresponding 183 section. The autoclaved ultrapure water used was also analyzed without contact with the 184 samplers. Total cell concentrations in the liquid exposed to the samplers after intentional 185 contamination and decontamination were similar as those in uncontaminated controls (Mann-186 Whitney test; p-value < 0.05). A simple thorough rinse with filtered ultrapure water thus 187 removed cells, and UV exposure ensured further decontamination.

188

189 **Total cell counts**

Total cells were quantified by flow cytometry from triplicate subsamples of 450 µL of
the collection liquid from the dedicated HFRi, fixed with 50 µL of 5% glutaraldehyde (0.5%
final) and stored at 4°C until analysis, using a BD FacsCalibur instrument (Becton Dickinson,
Franklin Lakes, NJ). Before analysis, samples were added with TE buffer (pH 8.0) and SYBR
Green I stain following the protocols in Amato et al. (2017). Negative controls consisted of
ultrapure water as the template.

196

197 Nucleic acid extraction

198 Environmental samples were processed within a laminar flow hood previously exposed 199 to UVs (15 min), and all bench surfaces, pipets etc. were decontaminated using RNase away 200 spray solution (Thermo Scientific; Waltham, USA). Three nucleic acid extraction kits designed 201 for DNA and RNA extraction were compared: DNeasy PowerWater kit (QIAGEN; Hilden, Germany), NucleoSpin Soil, and NucleoMag[®] DNA/RNA Water kit for water and air sample 202 203 (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt, France), referred to as the "Water", "Soil" and "Air" kits, 204 respectively. The "Water" and "Soil" kits, and the "Soil" and "Air" kits were compared as pairs 205 during two sampling events each, in triplicate using three independent samplers. After each 206 sampling event, the collection liquids were entirely and individually filtered through 0.22 µm 207 MCE membranes. The filters were then cut equally into two pieces for extraction using 2 of the 208 kits and stored at -80°C until processing. Extractions were performed following the 209 manufacturers' protocols. In the case of the "Air" kit, slight adaptations were made from 210 manufacturer's instructions: half MCE filters were placed into individual 5 mL Type A Bead-211 Tubes (ref. 740799.50, Macherey-Nagel) and added with 1,200 µL MWA1 lysis buffer. After 212 the lysis step (5 min of bead-beating using a vortex), ~600 μ L of lysate was processed following 213 the protocol adapted for 47 mm filter membranes. For DNA, the lysates were added with 1:50 214 volumes of RNase A (12 mg/mL, stock solution), incubated for 20 min at room temperature, 215 then eluted into 50 µL of RNase-free H₂O after another incubation for 5 min at 56°C. DNA in 216 the eluates was finally quantified using Quant-iT[™] PicoGreen dsDNA kit (Invitrogen; Thermo 217 Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA). For RNA, the lysates were added with rDNAse and 218 processed as recommended. Purified RNAs were finally quantified by fluorimetry using 219 RiboGreen (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA). In terms of quantity of 220 DNA recovered, the "Air" kit outperformed the "Soil" kit, which itself surpassed the "Water"

kit (see Supplementary Figure 2). The "Air" kit was therefore selected for further 221 222 investigations; it has the additional advantage of allowing parallel extraction of DNA and RNA. 223

224 16S ribosomal gene amplification by PCR

225 Amplification of the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene of bacteria was performed from 226 genomic extracts by multiplexed primers 515f DNA PCR. using the (5' -227 GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') (Parada al., 2016) 806r et and (5' -228 GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') (Apprill et al., 2015). The PCR mix was composed as 229 follows: each 50 µL reaction volume contained 2 µL of sample, 10 µL of 5X Platinum II PCR 230 Buffer (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA), 5 µL of Platinum GC 231 Enhancer, 1 µL of 10 nM dNTPs (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck, Darmstadt Germany), 1 µl of 10 µM 232 forward and reverse primers, 0.2 µL of Platinum II Taq HS DNA pol (Invitrogen), and 29.8 µL 233 of Ambion[™] Nuclease-free water (Invitrogen). PCR amplification conditions (35 PCR cycles) 234 are described on the "Earth Microbiome Project" website (https://earthmicrobiome.org/). All 235 amplicons (environmental samples and negative and positive controls) were purified using 236 QIAquick PCR Purification kit (QIAGEN; Hilden, Germany), pooled equimolarly and 237 sequenced on Illumina Miseq 2*250 bp (GenoScreen; Lille, France).

238

239 Quality controls for taxonomic affiliation and biodiversity profiling

240 Artificial mock samples prepared from pure cultures or DNA extracts were processed 241 as internal references, down to sequencing. An artificial atmospheric "mock" community was 242 obtained by mixing pure cultures of six bacterial strains isolated from cloud water and 243 representing a range of typical atmospheric bacteria (Amato et al., 2007; Vaïtilingom et al., 244 2012; Lallement et al., 2017): Pseudomonas syringae PDD-32b-74 (GenBank ID for 16S rRNA 245 gene sequence: HQ256872), Bacillus sp. PDD-5b-1 (DQ512749), Sphingomonas aerolata 246 PDD-32b-11 (HQ256831), Rhodococcus enclensis PDD-23b-28 (DOVD0000000), 247 Staphylococcus equorum PDD-5b-16 (DQ512761) and Flavobacterium sp. PDD-57b-18 (KR922118.1). These were cultured separately in 10 mL of liquid R2A at 17°C until late 248 249 exponential phase (21-44h incubation). Genomic DNA were extracted either from pure cell 250 suspensions then mixed at known concentrations ("Mock DNA"), or from cell suspensions 251 mixed at known concentrations before DNA extraction ("Mock Cloud") (Supplementary 252 Figure 3; Supplementary Table 1). The former allowed evaluating differences in DNA 253 amplification efficiency depending on taxa, while the latter evaluated in particular the 254 efficiency of DNA extraction.

255 For the "Mock DNA" samples, DNA extraction was performed following 256 manufacturer's protocol of the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) with 257 minor changes: 1 mL of each culture was centrifuged at 14,000 g after 4 days of incubation and 258 the pellets were re-suspended in 180 µL of TE (1X), with 25 µL of lysozyme (50 mg/mL) and 259 5 µL of RNase (1 mg/mL). The mixture was vortexed and incubated 30 min at 37°C. Twenty 260 microliters of Protease K and 200 µL of Buffer AL were added. The mixture was vortexed 261 again and incubated first during 30 min at 56°C, then for 5 min at 95°C. DNA was finally 262 quantified in each individual extract using Quant-iT[™] PicoGreen® dsDNA kit (Invitrogen; 263 Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) and "Mock DNA" aliquots were prepared by 264 mixing $2 \mu L$ of each extract, then stored at -80°C.

For the "Mock cloud" samples, the cell concentrations in individual cultures were estimated by flow cytometry. The six strains were then mixed at known concentrations (**Supplementary Table 1**) and 1 mL aliquots in 10% glycerol were stored at -80°C for further use. DNA was extracted in triplicate for mixed cell suspensions using either NucleoMag® DNA/RNA Water (Macherey-Nagel, Hoerdt, France), *i.e.*, the kit denominated as "Air" in the previous section, or QIAamp DNA Mini kit (QIAGEN; Hilden, Germany) (**Supplementary**

Figure 3). DNA extracts were stored at -80°C before further processing. The relative abundances of 16S rRNA gene sequences in the extracts were estimated from total genomic DNA quantifications and from the mean numbers of ribosomes in the corresponding genera, as reported in the ribosomal RNA Database (rrnDB, v 5.7): 4.3 copies for *Rhodococcus*, 6.6 for *Flavobacterium*, 8.7 for *Bacillus*, 5.7 for *Staphylococcus*, 4.8 for *Pseudomonas*, and 2.0 for *Sphingomonas*.

277

278 **Bioinformatics data processing and statistics**

279 Amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were obtained from raw reads with the package 280 dada2 (v 1.20.0) (Callahan et al., 2016), using the functions filterAndTrim, learnErrors, dada, 281 mergePairs, makeSequenceTable and removeBimeraDenovo following authors guidelines. 282 Then, FROGS software (Bernard et al., 2021) was used to affiliate ASVs against SILVA v138.1 283 (Quast et al., 2013). When the BLAST assignation was questionable (*i.e.*, multi-affiliations, 284 percent identity < 95 %, or percent query coverage < 98 %), this was verified using the RDP 285 assignation and the EzBioCloud 16S rRNA gene-based ID database (Yoon et al., 2017; 286 https://www.ezbiocloud.net/, update 2021.07.07). ASVs affiliated to Chloroplast (46 ASVs; 287 13% of total sequences), Mitochondria (71; 1.6 %) or Archaea (5; 0.2%), and ASVs without 288 affiliation (87: 4%) were removed. The number of raw reads processed and remaining for 289 analysis after curation and rarefaction are indicated in **Supplementary Table 2**. Environmental 290 and mock samples were rarefied to 16,250 and 28,100 sequences, respectively, corresponding 291 to the sample of each category with the lowest number of reads, using FROGS Abundance 292 normalization. Blank samples were not rarefied. This left 22 and 556 ASVs in mock and 293 environmental samples for further analyses, respectively.

ASV abundance data were centered log-ratio (CLR)-transformed, as recommended by Gloor et al. (2017) to account for their compositional nature. Data analysis was performed and 296 represented using the R environment (v 4.0.3) (R Core Team (2019). R: A language and 297 environment for statistical computing., 2020). The zCompositions package (v 1.3.4) (Palarea-298 Albaladejo and Martín-Fernández, 2015) was used to replace null counts in our compositional 299 data based on a Bayesian-multiplicative method (function *cmultRepl* using CZM method and 300 an input format in pseudo-counts) and to CLR-transform the abundance table (clr function). 301 Heatmaps and dendograms were obtained using the packages *pheatmap* (v 1.0.12) (Raivo 302 Kolde, 2019) and ggdendro (v 0.1.22) (Andrie de Vries and Brian D. Ripley, 2016). Principal 303 component analysis was carried out using the R package *factoExtra*, with ellipses depicting 95% confidence levels. The R package decontam (Davis et al., 2018) was used for identifying 304 305 and removing contaminants (referred to as "Method (iv)" in the corresponding result section). Univariate statistical tests were performed using PAST v. 4.02 (Hammer et al., 2001). 306

307

309 **Results and discussion**

310 Total cells in the air samples averaged $(1.98 \pm 0.55) \times 10^3$ cell m⁻³ of air (**Table 1**); these 311 are typical values at puy de Dôme station (Vaïtilingom et al., 2012). In total, 424 ASVs could 312 be detected on Day 1, 378 on Day 2, and 348 on Day 3. The main bacterial phyla identified 313 belonged to Proteobacteria (Alpha- and Gamma-; e.g., Sphingomonas, Methylobacterium, 314 Massilia, Pseudomonas and Bradyrhizobium), Firmicutes (e.g., Bacillus), Actinobacteria (e.g., 315 Nocardioides), and Bacteroidota (e.g., Hymenobacter and Pedobacter), which are commonly reported in the atmosphere (Bowers et al., 2012; Tignat-perrier et al., 2019). Day 1 was 316 317 characterized by high abundance of Sphingomonas (3.2 % of the sequences), over 318 Hymenobacter (1.1 %) and Pseudomonas (1.0 %), while Day 3 was more evenly dominated by Sphingomonas (1.8%), Bacillus (1.6%) and Methylobacterium (1.2%) (see Supplementary 319 320 Figure 4, and Supplementary Table 3).

321

322 The recovery of high amounts of DNA and RNA opens new perspectives

The amounts of DNA extracted from the collection liquids ranged from 70.5 ng (Day 3, replicate 2), to 324.5 ng (Day 1, replicate 3), with low variations between replicates (CV<30%). In total, 309 to 839 ng of DNA and 118.8 ng to 179.1 ng of RNA were available for analyses (**Figure 2**). These quantities are sufficient to allow direct sequencing of DNA and cDNA using current high throughput methods, which opens unprecedented opportunities of metagenomics and metatranscriptomics studies.



329

330



332

333 Metagenomics and metatranscriptomics approaches have proven their strength in the 334 understanding of microbial functioning in environments like oceans (Salazar et al., 2019; 335 Gifford et al., 2011). In the air, nucleic acids are in general obtained from filter samples (Be et 336 al., 2015; Tignat-Perrier et al., 2020a; Gusareva et al., 2019), which prevents relevant 337 assessment of transcriptomes. To our knowledge the very few studies reporting 338 metatranscriptomes sequences from atmospheric samples so far involved targeted or untargeted 339 pre-amplification (PCR or MDA) (Amato et al., 2019; Womack et al., 2015; Klein et al., 2016; 340 Amato et al., 2017).

342 Internal references allow evaluating quantitative aspects

343 Mixed cell suspensions ("Mock cloud") and DNA mixes ("Mock DNA"), composed of 344 cultures and DNA extracts of isolates from clouds, were processed as internal references. These 345 included a range of bacteria typically reported in atmospheric samples: affiliated with 346 Pseudomonas syringae, Bacillus sp., Sphingomonas aerolata, Rhodococcus enclensis, 347 Staphylococcus equorum and Flavobacterium sp.. In all cases, clustering analysis followed 348 expectations and grouped replicates with their respective theoretical taxa distribution 349 (Supplementary Figure 5). Mock DNA samples data supported that PCR and sequencing 350 processes were efficient at maintaining the relative distribution of taxa from DNA mixes. In 351 Mock cloud samples, Staphylococcus was found overrepresented at the expense of Bacillus as 352 compared to expectations based on cell counts, in particular when using QIA amp extraction kit. 353 The primer pair used for 16S rRNA gene amplification (515F-806R) has been shown to perform 354 better than many other current ribosomal primers in detecting a wide range of bacteria taxa 355 (Abellan-Schneyder et al., 2021) and could not be incriminated. It is likely, rather, that cell 356 counts by flow cytometry tended to underestimate the actual relative abundance of 357 Staphylococcus in the original cell suspensions as this forms cell agglomerates, while Bacillus 358 was to some extent recalcitrant to DNA extraction due to the presence of spores (Knüpfer et al., 359 2020). This indicates that specific taxa, in particular among Firmicutes, can present distortions 360 in their relative abundance in sequence datasets compared with actual abundance in samples.

The few other sequences sporadically present in positive controls at very low abundance (<50 reads; <0.05% of the total reads in samples; **Supplementary Table 4**) may inform about the presence of contaminants and/or sequencing artifacts. Moreover, aliquots of both mock sample types were archived at -80°C for further use as references to compare data from distinct sequencing runs and studies.

366

367 Replicate sampling allows statistics

371

The data demonstrate good reproducibility of the methods (**Figure 3**); it allowed distinguishing the airborne bacteria diversity of 3 consecutive days with very similar

and environmental conditions by principal component analysis (PCA) (Figure 3A).



Figure 3: Distribution of ASVs and sequences among sample replicates. A: Principal
component analysis based on ASV's relative abundances (ellipses depict 95% confidence level
areas); B: Proportions of sequencing reads retrieved in 1 (unique), 2 or 3 sample replicates; C:
Venn diagrams showing the occurrence (presence/absence) of ASVs among sample replicates.

Bacterial assemblages were very uneven, composed of few abundant taxa accompanied by numerous sporadic (low-abundance) ones. Most sequences were consistently retrieved between sample replicates (71.6% to 76.8% depending on the sampling date; **Figure 3B**), representing a core assemblage gathering 28.7% to 37.2% of the richness (**Figure 3C**). In turn, 381 only ~3% of the sequences were specific, *i.e.* retrieved in only one of the 3 replicates of each 382 sampling date, but they contributed relatively large proportions (6.4% to 19.7%) of the observed 383 species richness in a sampler. Low-abundance taxa thus largely contributed to the variability 384 observed between replicates and sampling dates, which is common in such datasets as pointed 385 earlier in a range of ecosystems from air and lakes to skin and gut (Shade et al., 2014). With 386 our setup, taxa's rarity directly related to their chance to be captured by one or more samplers. 387 The fact that a relatively large fraction of the total richness observed on a given sampling date 388 was specific (~ 33 to 40%) emphasizes the high spatial heterogeneity at short scale of the 389 atmospheric environment, as regularly documented (Fierer et al., 2008), which is caused by a 390 high proportion of rare taxa. The multiplicity of potential sources to the material collected and 391 their respective strengths in emitting microorganisms can generate such variations despite 392 overall very similar environmental conditions. Rare taxa can be important components of the 393 material circulating in the air, for ecological and/or sanitary reasons, and they must not be 394 neglected (Jalasvuori, 2020; Barberán et al., 2014; Leyronas et al., 2018; Pascoal et al., 2021; 395 Pester et al., 2010; Rossi et al., 2022; Lynch and Neufeld, 2015).

Thanks to the individual processing of replicate samples, we could statistically discriminate sampling dates. Nevertheless, given the large number of potential explanatory variables involved respect to the limited number of samples assessed, it would be highly hazardous to try explaining the variability observed between sampling dates, so such attempt is not proposed. A more complete assessment of the extended dataset in relation to environmental variables can be found in Péguilhan et al. (2023).

402

403 Account for the inevitable presence of contaminants

404 Processing negative controls is crucial in environmental microbiology to ensure data
 405 robustness, in particular where the biomass is low and subjected to strong short-scale variations

such as in the atmosphere. As many contaminants can be brought by air itself (other than from
the target environment) during sample handling and processing, detecting them is particularly
challenging in aeromicrobiology (Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2022). Moreover, rare taxa can easily be
confounded with stochastic trace contaminants from kits and reagents, or sequencing artefacts
(de Goffau et al., 2018; Šantl-Temkiv et al., 2020; Glassing et al., 2016; Salter et al., 2014).

411 The amounts of nucleic acids recovered from controls, *i.e.* 1.7 L of unexposed deionized 412 water ("water blanks") or of NAP buffer exposed to the samplers ("sampling blanks") were 413 well below the amounts obtained from environmental samples: over 10 sampling blanks 414 performed between July 2019 and September 2020 (including some which are not presented 415 here, collected in the frame of other studies), the total amount of DNA ranged from 2.8 to 9.6 416 ng (7.45 \pm 2.1 ng; mean \pm SE), while it remained under detection limits for water blanks. From 417 both control types, slight PCR products could be generated, and these were processed down to 418 sequencing. This points toward the difficulty to maintain background signals at low level when 419 using DNA amplification and high-throughput sequencing methods. Stinson et al. (2019) 420 proposed to treat commercial reagents with DNase in order to remove potential contaminants.

421 The number of raw sequencing reads was much fewer in controls than in environmental 422 samples as expected from lower amounts of DNA, with medians of ~4,000 versus ~88,000 423 reads, respectively (Mann-Whitney test, p = 0.001; Supplementary Table 2). Both water and 424 sampling controls types exhibited much lower richness and diversity than environmental 425 samples. Among controls, more raw reads could be obtained from unexposed water than from 426 the collection liquid after exposed to the samplers, and they tended to be richer (Chao1 index). 427 This confirms the effectiveness of sampler decontamination procedures and suggests that 428 contamination occurred randomly during sample processing rather than during field work; it 429 also indicates the absence of core contamination from the reagents and material used.

A total of 89 ASVs could be detected in controls, including 18 to 47 ASVs in water blanks and 24 to 29 ASVs in sampling blanks; 54 of them (~61%) were also detected in environmental samples. These were affiliated with a large diversity of taxa identified as frequent contaminants in commercial reagents (Stinson et al., 2019; Salter et al., 2014; Glassing et al., 2016) and also typically dominant in atmospheric samples (Vaïtilingom et al., 2012; Amato et al., 2017), such *Sphingomonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Hymenobacter*, and *Methylobacterium* (see **Supplementary Table 5** for complete list).

437 The stochasticity of contaminants (Stinson et al., 2019) makes them difficult to detect in complex datasets. In our study, most ASVs in controls (56 out of 89; 63%) occurred only 438 439 once, and only 3 were recurring, affiliated with Sphingomonas, Pseudomonas, and 440 Burkholderia: (cluster_4, cluster_2 and cluster_174, respectively). Cluster_4 was present in all 441 the samples processed in this study, including positive ("Mock") controls; cluster 2 was present 442 in at least one replicate of each sampling date, in various proportions; cluster_174 was absent 443 from environmental samples. This latter was therefore most likely associated with reagents or 444 sequencing procedures.

445 The most conservative way to account for contaminants in samples is to exclude them 446 totally from environmental datasets, regardless of their relative abundance (it would be 447 irrelevant to subtract read numbers or proportions), although this is likely to remove also true 448 members of the environment studied. Besides, less drastic, more elaborated statistical 449 procedures have been proposed to account for both the prevalence and frequency of 450 contaminants in such datasets (Davis et al., 2018), and so better consider stochasticity aspects; 451 this requires replicates. In order to test the influence of sequence decontamination methods on 452 richness and diversity, 4 treatments were applied to non-rarefied environmental datasets: (i) 453 strict removal of all the ASVs detected in water blanks regardless of their relative abundance; 454 (ii) strict removal of all the ASVs detected in sampling blanks; (iii) strict removal of all the

- 455 ASVs detected in water and/or in sampling blanks; (*iv*) probability-based method cumulating
- 456 the frequency and prevalence methods of the R package *decontam* (Davis et al., 2018).

457

458 Table 2: Proportions of reads and ASVs removed from environmental datasets from459 decontamination methods (see text for details on the methods).

	Initial data (non-		Method (<i>i</i>) (water		Method (ii)		Method (iii) (water		Method (<i>iv</i>)	
	rarefied)		blanks)		(sampling blanks)		+ sampling blanks)		(probabilily)	
Sample	Number	Number	% reads	% ASVs	% reads	% ASVs	% reads	% ASVs	% reads	% ASVs
_	of reads	of ASVs	removed	removed	removed	removed	removed	removed	removed	removed
Day 1.1	18823	273	24.07%	10.26%	16.66%	7.69%	31.07%	14.29%	2.75%	4.40%
Day 1.2	16250	254	23.22%	9.84%	16.46%	7.09%	31.41%	14.17%	3.24%	4.72%
Day 1.3	24856	346	22.55%	8.38%	14.97%	6.07%	29.03%	11.85%	2.99%	4.62%
Day 2.1	27670	297	38.23%	9.43%	12.94%	6.40%	44.86%	12.79%	2.97%	5.72%
Day 2.2	19027	187	45.99%	9.63%	12.66%	8.02%	53.82%	15.51%	1.56%	4.28%
Day 2.3	24406	261	44.14%	8.81%	12.27%	5.36%	49.63%	11.49%	1.63%	3.45%
Day 3.1	29709	242	45.88%	7.02%	9.41%	4.96%	51.68%	10.33%	1.50%	3.31%
Day 3.2	24392	238	48.38%	9.24%	11.30%	7.56%	55.12%	13.45%	3.23%	6.30%
Day 3.3	23014	238	48.94%	9.24%	10.72%	7.14%	54.94%	13.03%	2.92%	5.04%
Mean			37.93%	9.10%	13.04%	6.70%	44.62%	12.99%	2.53%	4.65%
Standard error			11.41%	0.95%	2.52%	1.07%	11.05%	1.59%	0.74%	0.97%

460

461

462 The proportions of reads and ASVs removed with each method and their influence on 463 richness and biodiversity on environmental data are presented in Table 2 and Figure 4. 464 respectively. All methods showed very good consistency between replicates. These all 465 necessarily decreased richness in samples, by ~5% to ~13% in average depending on the 466 methods (Table 2). The strict methods (*i*, *ii* and *iii*) led to the removal of higher proportions of 467 reads than ASV, contrarily to the statistic method, and strongly increased biodiversity index in 468 samples, by up to more than 1 point in the cases of Day 2 and Day 3 (Figure 4). In turn, the 469 statistic method (iv) did not alter biodiversity indexes.





471 Figure 4: Influence of decontamination methods on environmental samples' richness and472 biodiversity indexes.

473

The decontamination solutions that can appear the most conservative at first sight can thus counterintuitively lead to increase biodiversity in environmental samples and smooth temporal variability, so result in erroneous conclusions. In addition of strengthening statistics aiming at deciphering alpha- and beta- diversity of airborne microbial assemblages, replication also enables more meaningful decontamination methods to be applied.

480 **Conclusion**

481 We used an analytical framework involving some of the basic recommended practices 482 in environmental microbiology (replicate, check and account for contaminants, include internal 483 references) to atmospheric samples, and demonstrate that these can logically benefit to 484 aerobiology as well in many aspects. Replicated sampling at high air-flow rate for short periods 485 of time into nucleic acid preservation buffer allows capturing close atmospheric situations that 486 can be distinguished statistically using high throughput sequencing methods. Replication is also 487 necessary to account for the stochastic and inevitable presence of contaminants, and so to ensure 488 confidence to taxa's presence and abundance in environmental samples. Finally, replicating 489 also allows pooling to access larger amounts of material if necessary, which opens new 490 perspectives for metagenomics and metatranscriptomics investigations of the aeromicrobiome. 491 Analyses of the alpha- and beta- diversity of microbial assemblages in air masse, and short-492 scale and specific atmospheric variations (i.e., day-to-day or day/night variations, clouds, 493 pollution events, etc) will be assessable with good confidence using this framework.

495 **References**

- 496 Abellan-Schneyder, I., Matchado, M. S., Reitmeier, S., Sommer, A., Sewald, Z., Baumbach,
- 497 J., List, M., and Neuhaus, K.: Primer, Pipelines, Parameters: Issues in 16S rRNA Gene
- 498 Sequencing, mSphere, 6, e01202-20, https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.01202-20, 2021.
- 499 Amato, P., Parazols, M., Sancelme, M., Laj, P., Mailhot, G., and Delort, A. M.:
- 500 Microorganisms isolated from the water phase of tropospheric clouds at the Puy de Dôme:
- 501 Major groups and growth abilities at low temperatures, in: FEMS Microbiology Ecology,
- 502 242–254, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00199.x, 2007.
- 503 Amato, P., Joly, M., Besaury, L., Oudart, A., Taib, N., Moné, A. I., Deguillaume, L., Delort,
- 504 A. M., and Debroas, D.: Active microorganisms thrive among extremely diverse communities
- 505 in cloud water, PLoS ONE, 12, 1–22, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0182869, 2017.
- 506 Amato, P., Besaury, L., Joly, M., Penaud, B., Deguillaume, L., and Delort, A. M.:
- 507 Metatranscriptomic exploration of microbial functioning in clouds, Scientific Reports, 9, 508 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41032-4, 2019.
- Andrie de Vries and Brian D. Ripley: ggdendro: Create Dendrograms and Tree Diagrams
 Using "ggplot2". R package version 0.1-20., 2016.
- 511 Apprill, A., Mcnally, S., Parsons, R., and Weber, L.: Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA
- 512 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton, Aquatic Microbial
- 513 Ecology, 75, 129–137, https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753, 2015.
- 514 Archer, S. D. J., Lee, K. C., Caruso, T., Maki, T., Lee, C. K., Cary, S. C., Cowan, D. A.,
- 515 Maestre, F. T., and Pointing, S. B.: Airborne microbial transport limitation to isolated
- 516 Antarctic soil habitats, Nature Microbiology, 4, 925–932, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-
- 517 0370-4, 2019.
- 518 Baray, J. L., Deguillaume, L., Colomb, A., Sellegri, K., Freney, E., Rose, C., Baelen, J. Van,
- 519 Pichon, J. M., Picard, D., Fréville, P., Bouvier, L., Ribeiro, M., Amato, P., Banson, S.,
- 520 Bianco, A., Borbon, A., Bourcier, L., Bras, Y., Brigante, M., Cacault, P., Chauvigne, A.,
- 521 Charbouillot, T., Chaumerliac, N., Delort, A. M., Delmotte, M., Dupuy, R., Farah, A., Febvre,
- 522 G., Flossmann, A., Gourbeyre, C., Hervier, C., Hervo, M., Huret, N., Joly, M., Kazan, V.,
- 523 Lopez, M., Mailhot, G., Marinoni, A., Masson, O., Montoux, N., Parazols, M., Peyrin, F.,
- 524 Pointin, Y., Ramonet, M., Rocco, M., Sancelme, M., Sauvage, S., Schmidt, M., Tison, E.,
- 525 Vaïtilingom, M., Villani, P., Wang, M., Yver-Kwok, C., and Laj, P.: Cézeaux-Aulnat-Opme-
- 526 Puy de Dôme: A multi-site for the long-term survey of the tropospheric composition and
- 527 climate change, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 13, 3413–3445,
- 528 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-3413-2020, 2020.
- 529 Barberán, A., Henley, J., Fierer, N., and Casamayor, E. O.: Structure, inter-annual recurrence,
- and global-scale connectivity of airborne microbial communities, Sci. Total Environ., 487,
- 531 187–195, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.04.030, 2014.
- 532 Barberán, A., Ladau, J., Leff, J. W., Pollard, K. S., Menninger, H. L., Dunn, R. R., and Fierer,
- 533 N.: Continental-scale distributions of dust-associated bacteria and fungi, PNAS, 112, 5756-
- 534 5761, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420815112, 2015.

- 535 Bauer, H., Giebl, H., Hitzenberger, R., Kasper-Giebl, A., Reischl, G., Zibuschka, F., and
- 536 Puxbaum, H.: Airborne bacteria as cloud condensation nuclei, Journal of Geophysical
- 537 Research: Atmospheres, 108, 4658, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003545, 2003.
- 538 Be, N. A., Thissen, J. B., Fofanov, V. Y., Allen, J. E., Rojas, M., Golovko, G., Fofanov, Y.,
- 539 Koshinsky, H., and Jaing, C. J.: Metagenomic analysis of the airborne environment in urban
- 540 spaces, Microb. Ecol., 69, 346–355, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-014-0517-z, 2015.
- 541 Beattie, G. A. and Lindow, S. E.: The secret life of foliar bacterial pathogens on leaves,
- 542 Annual review of phytopathology, 33, 145–172, 1995.
- 543 Bernard, M., Rué, O., Mariadassou, M., and Pascal, G.: FROGS: a powerful tool to analyse
- the diversity of fungi with special management of internal transcribed spacers, Briefings in
- 545 Bioinformatics, 22, https://doi.org/10.1093/BIB/BBAB318, 2021.
- 546 Bowers, R. M., McCubbin, I. B., Hallar, A. G., and Fierer, N.: Seasonal variability in airborne
- bacterial communities at a high-elevation site, Atmospheric Environment, 50, 41–49,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.01.005, 2012.
- 549 Burrows, S. M., Elbert, W., Lawrence, M. G., and Pöschl, U.: Bacteria in the global
- 550 atmosphere Part 1: Review and synthesis of literature data for different ecosystems,
- 551 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 9263–9280, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-9263-2009, 552 2009.
- 553 Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., and Holmes, S.
- 554 P.: DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data, Nature Methods
- 555 2016 13:7, 13, 581–583, https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869, 2016.
- 556 Camacho-Sanchez, M., Burraco, P., Gomez-Mestre, I., and Leonard, J. A.: Preservation of
- 557 RNA and DNA from mammal samples under field conditions, Molecular Ecology Resources,
- 558 13, 663–673, https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12108, 2013.
- 559 Camacho-Sanchez, M., Burraco, P., Gomez-Mestre, I., and Leonard, J. A.: Preservation of
- 560 RNA and DNA from mammal samples under field conditions, Molecular Ecology Resources, 561 12,662,672, https://doi.org/10.1111/1755.0008.12108.2012
- 561 13, 663–673, https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12108, 2013.
- 562 Davis, N. M., Proctor, D. M., Holmes, S. P., Relman, D. A., and Callahan, B. J.: Simple
- statistical identification and removal of contaminant sequences in marker-gene and
- 564 metagenomics data, Microbiome, 6, 226, https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0605-2, 2018.
- 565 Després, V. R., Alex Huffman, J., Burrows, S. M., Hoose, C., Safatov, A. S., Buryak, G.,
- 566 Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Elbert, W., Andreae, M. O., Pöschl, U., and Jaenicke, R.: Primary
- 567 biological aerosol particles in the atmosphere: A review, Tellus, Series B: Chemical and
- 568 Physical Meteorology, 64, https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v64i0.15598, 2012.
- 569 Dommergue, A., Amato, P., Tignat-Perrier, R., Magand, O., Thollot, A., Joly, M., Bouvier,
- 570 L., Sellegri, K., Vogel, T., Sonke, J. E., Jaffrezo, J. L., Andrade, M., Moreno, I.,
- 571 Labuschagne, C., Martin, L., Zhang, Q., and Larose, C.: Methods to investigate the global
- 572 atmospheric microbiome, Frontiers in Microbiology, 10,
- 573 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00243, 2019.

- 574 Dybwad, M., Skogan, G., and Blatny, J. M.: Comparative Testing and Evaluation of Nine
- 575 Different Air Samplers: End-to-End Sampling Efficiencies as Specific Performance
- 576 Measurements for Bioaerosol Applications, Aerosol Science and Technology, 48, 282–295,
- 577 https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2013.871501, 2014.
- 578 Fernstrom, A., Goldblatt, M., Fernstrom, A., and Goldblatt, M.: Aerobiology and Its Role in
- 579 the Transmission of Infectious Diseases, Aerobiology and Its Role in the Transmission of
- 580 Infectious Diseases, Journal of Pathogens, Journal of Pathogens, 2013, 2013, e493960,
- 581 https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/493960, 2013.
- 582 Fierer, N., Liu, Z., Rodríguez-Hernández, M., Knight, R., Henn, M., and Hernandez, M. T.:
- 583 Short-Term Temporal Variability in Airborne Bacterial and Fungal Populations, Appl Environ
- 584 Microbiol, 74, 200–207, https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01467-07, 2008.
- Gifford, S. M., Sharma, S., Rinta-Kanto, J. M., and Moran, M. A.: Quantitative analysis of a
 deeply sequenced marine microbial metatranscriptome, The ISME Journal, 5, 461–472,
- 587 https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.141, 2011.
- 588 Glassing, A., Dowd, S. E., Galandiuk, S., Davis, B., and Chiodini, R. J.: Inherent bacterial
- 589 DNA contamination of extraction and sequencing reagents may affect interpretation of
- 590 microbiota in low bacterial biomass samples, Gut Pathog, 8, 24,
- 591 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-016-0103-7, 2016.
- de Goffau, M. C., Lager, S., Salter, S. J., Wagner, J., Kronbichler, A., Charnock-Jones, D. S.,
- 593 Peacock, S. J., Smith, G. C. S., and Parkhill, J.: Recognizing the reagent microbiome, Nature
- 594 Microbiology, 3, 851–853, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0202-y, 2018.
- 595 Griffin, D. W., Gonzalez, C., Teigell, N., Petrosky, T., Northup, D. E., and Lyles, M.:
- 596 Observations on the use of membrane filtration and liquid impingement to collect airborne
- 597 microorganisms in various atmospheric environments, Aerobiologia, 27, 25–35,
- 598 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10453-010-9173-z, 2011.
- 599 Gusareva, E. S., Acerbi, E., Lau, K. J. X., Luhung, I., Premkrishnan, B. N. V., Kolundžija, S.,
- 600 Purbojati, R. W., Wong, A., Houghton, J. N. I., Miller, D., Gaultier, N. E., Heinle, C. E.,
- 601 Clare, M. E., Vettath, V. K., Kee, C., Lim, S. B. Y., Chénard, C., Phung, W. J., Kushwaha, K.
- 602 K., Nee, A. P., Putra, A., Panicker, D., Yanqing, K., Hwee, Y. Z., Lohar, S. R., Kuwata, M.,
- Kim, H. L., Yang, L., Uchida, A., Drautz-Moses, D. I., Junqueira, A. C. M., and Schuster, S.
- 604 C.: Microbial communities in the tropical air ecosystem follow a precise diel cycle,
- 605 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 23299–23308,
- 606 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1908493116, 2019.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A. T., and Ryan, P. D.: Past: Paleontological statistics software
 package for education and data analysis, Palaeontologia Electronica, 4, 1–9, 2001.
- 609 Jalasvuori, M.: Silent rain: does the atmosphere-mediated connectivity between microbiomes
- 610 influence bacterial evolutionary rates?, FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 96,
- 611 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa096, 2020.
- 512 Ji, B. W., Sheth, R. U., Dixit, P. D., Huang, Y., Kaufman, A., Wang, H. H., and Vitkup, D.:
- 613 Quantifying spatiotemporal variability and noise in absolute microbiota abundances using
- 614 replicate sampling, Nat Methods, 16, 731–736, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0467-y,
- 615 2019.

- Joly, M., Amato, P., Sancelme, M., Vinatier, V., Abrantes, M., Deguillaume, L., and Delort,
- 617 A.-M.: Survival of microbial isolates from clouds toward simulated atmospheric stress
- 618 factors, Atmospheric Environment, 117, 92–98,
- 619 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.009, 2015.
- 620 Kathiriya, T., Gupta, A., and Singh, N. K.: An opinion review on sampling strategies,
- 621 enumeration techniques, and critical environmental factors for bioaerosols: An emerging
- 622 sustainability indicator for society and cities, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.101287, 2021.
- 623 Khaled, A., Zhang, M., Amato, P., Delort, A. M., and Ervens, B.: Biodegradation by bacteria
- 624 in clouds: An underestimated sink for some organics in the atmospheric multiphase system,
- 625 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 3123–3141, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-3123-626 2021, 2021.
- 627 Klein, A. M., Bohannan, B. J. M., Jaffe, D. A., Levin, D. A., and Green, J. L.: Molecular
- Evidence for Metabolically Active Bacteria in the Atmosphere, Front. Microbiol., 772,
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00772, 2016.
- 630 Knüpfer, M., Braun, P., Baumann, K., Rehn, A., Antwerpen, M., Grass, G., and Wölfel, and
- 631 R.: Evaluation of a Highly Efficient DNA Extraction Method for Bacillus anthracis
- 632 Endospores, Microorganisms, 8, 763, https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8050763, 2020.
- 633 Krumins, V., Mainelis, G., Kerkhof, L. J., and Fennell, D. E.: Substrate-Dependent rRNA
- 634 Production in an Airborne Bacterium, Environmental Science and Technology Letters, 1,
- 635 376–381, https://doi.org/10.1021/ez500245y, 2014.
- 636 Lallement, A., Besaury, L., Eyheraguibel, B., Amato, P., Sancelme, M., Mailhot, G., and
- 637 Delort, A. M.: Draft Genome Sequence of Rhodococcus enclensis 23b-28, a Model Strain
- 638 Isolated from Cloud Water, Genome Announcements, 5,
- 639 https://doi.org/10.1128/genomea.01199-17, 2017.
- 640 Leyronas, C., Morris, C. E., Choufany, M., and Soubeyrand, S.: Assessing the Aerial
- 641 Interconnectivity of Distant Reservoirs of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Front. Microbiol., 9,
 642 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02257, 2018.
- Lynch, M. D. J. and Neufeld, J. D.: Ecology and exploration of the rare biosphere, Nat Rev
 Microbiol, 13, 217–229, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3400, 2015.
- 645 Manibusan, S. and Mainelis, G.: Passive bioaerosol samplers: A complementary tool for
- bioaerosol research. A review, Journal of Aerosol Science, 163, 105992,
- 647 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2022.105992, 2022.
- Möhler, O., DeMott, P. J., Vali, G., and Levin, Z.: Microbiology and atmospheric processes:
 The role of biological particles in cloud physics, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-4-1059-2007,
 2007.
- 651 Palarea-Albaladejo, J. and Martín-Fernández, J. A.: ZCompositions R package for
- multivariate imputation of left-censored data under a compositional approach, Chemometrics
- and Intelligent Laboratory Systems, 143, 85–96,
- 654 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2015.02.019, 2015.

- 655 Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M., and Fuhrman, J. A.: Every base matters: Assessing small
- 656 subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and
- 657 global field samples, Environmental Microbiology, 18, 1403–1414,
- 658 https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13023, 2016.
- 659 Pascoal, F., Costa, R., and Magalhães, C.: The microbial rare biosphere: current concepts,
- 660 methods and ecological principles, FEMS Microbiol Ecol, 97, fiaa227,
- 661 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiaa227, 2021.
- 662 Patade, S., Phillips, V. T. J., Amato, P., Bingemer, H. G., Burrows, S. M., DeMott, P. J.,
- 663 Goncalves, F. L. T., Knopf, D. A., Morris, C. E., Alwmark, C., Artaxo, P., Pöhlker, C.,
- 664 Schrod, J., and Weber, B.: Empirical formulation for multiple groups of primary biological
- 665 ice nucleating particles from field observations over Amazonia, Journal of the Atmospheric
- 666 Sciences, 1, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-20-0096.1, 2021.
- 667 Péguilhan, R., Besaury, L., Rossi, F., Enault, F., Baray, J., Deguillaume, L., and Amato, P.:
- 668 Rainfalls sprinkle cloud bacterial diversity while scavenging biomass, FEMS Microbiology
- 669 Ecology, 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiab144, 2021.
- 670 Péguilhan, R., Rossi, F., Rué, O., Joly, M., and Amato, P.: Comparative analysis of bacterial
- diversity in clouds and aerosols, Atmospheric Environment, 119635,
- 672 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119635, 2023.
- 673 Pester, M., Bittner, N., Deevong, P., Wagner, M., and Loy, A.: A 'rare biosphere'
- microorganism contributes to sulfate reduction in a peatland, ISME J, 4, 1591–1602,
 https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.75, 2010.
- 676 Prosser, J. I.: Replicate or lie, Environmental Microbiology, 12, 1806–1810,
 677 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02201.x, 2010.
- 678 Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., and
- 679 Glöckner, F. O.: The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data
- processing and web-based tools, Nucleic Acids Research, 41, 590–596,
- 681 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219, 2013.
- R Core Team (2019). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.: http://www.r project.org/index.html, last access: 13 April 2020.
- Raivo Kolde: pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R package version 1.0.12., 2019.
- 685 Rossi, F., Péguilhan, R., Turgeon, N., Veillette, M., Baray, J.-L., Deguillaume, L., Amato, P.,
- and Duchaine, C.: Quantification of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in clouds at a
- mountain site (puy de Dôme, central France), Science of The Total Environment, 161264,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161264, 2022.
- oss https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161264, 2022.
- Rule, A. M., Kesavan, J., Schwab, K. J., and Buckley, T. J.: Application of Flow Cytometry
- 690 for the Assessment of Preservation and Recovery Efficiency of Bioaerosol Samplers Spiked
- 691 with Pantoea agglomerans, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 2467–2472,
- 692 https://doi.org/10.1021/es0623941, 2007.
- 693 Salazar, G., Paoli, L., Alberti, A., Huerta-Cepas, J., Ruscheweyh, H.-J., Cuenca, M., Field, C.
- 694 M., Coelho, L. P., Cruaud, C., Engelen, S., Gregory, A. C., Labadie, K., Marec, C., Pelletier,

- E., Royo-Llonch, M., Roux, S., Sánchez, P., Uehara, H., Zayed, A. A., Zeller, G., Carmichael,
- M., Dimier, C., Ferland, J., Kandels, S., Picheral, M., Pisarev, S., Poulain, J., Acinas, S. G.,
- 697 Babin, M., Bork, P., Boss, E., Bowler, C., Cochrane, G., de Vargas, C., Follows, M., Gorsky,
- 698 G., Grimsley, N., Guidi, L., Hingamp, P., Iudicone, D., Jaillon, O., Kandels-Lewis, S., Karp-
- Boss, L., Karsenti, E., Not, F., Ogata, H., Pesant, S., Poulton, N., Raes, J., Sardet, C., Speich,
- 700 S., Stemmann, L., Sullivan, M. B., Sunagawa, S., Wincker, P., Acinas, S. G., Babin, M.,
- 701 Bork, P., Bowler, C., de Vargas, C., Guidi, L., Hingamp, P., Iudicone, D., Karp-Boss, L.,
- Karsenti, E., Ogata, H., Pesant, S., Speich, S., Sullivan, M. B., Wincker, P., and Sunagawa,
- 703
 S.: Gene Expression Changes and Community Turnover Differentially Shape the Global

 704
 S.: Gene Expression Changes and Community Turnover Differentially Shape the Global
- Ocean Metatranscriptome, Cell, 179, 1068-1083.e21,
- 705 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.10.014, 2019.
- 706 Salter, S. J., Cox, M. J., Turek, E. M., Calus, S. T., Cookson, W. O., Moffatt, M. F., Turner,
- P., Parkhill, J., Loman, N. J., and Walker, A. W.: Reagent and laboratory contamination can
- ritically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses, BMC Biology, 12, 87,
- 709 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z, 2014.
- 710 Šantl-Temkiv, T., Amato, P., Gosewinkel, U., Thyrhaug, R., Charton, A., Chicot, B., Finster,
- 711 K., Bratbak, G., and Löndahl, J.: High-Flow-Rate Impinger for the Study of Concentration,
- 712 Viability, Metabolic Activity, and Ice-Nucleation Activity of Airborne Bacteria,
- 713 Environmental Science and Technology, 51, 11224–11234,
- 714 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b01480, 2017.
- 715 Šantl-Temkiv, T., Sikoparija, B., Maki, T., Carotenuto, F., Amato, P., Yao, M., Morris, C. E.,
- Schnell, R., Jaenicke, R., Pöhlker, C., DeMott, P. J., Hill, T. C. J., and Huffman, J. A.:
- 717 Bioaerosol field measurements: Challenges and perspectives in outdoor studies, Aerosol
- Science and Technology, 54, 520–546, https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2019.1676395,
 2020.
- 720 Šantl-Temkiv, T., Amato, P., Casamayor, E. O., Lee, P. K. H., and Pointing, S. B.: Microbial
- ecology of the atmosphere, FEMS Microbiology Reviews, fuac009,
- 722 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuac009, 2022.
- 723 Shade, A., Jones, S. E., Caporaso, J. G., Handelsman, J., Knight, R., Fierer, N., and Gilbert, J.
- A.: Conditionally Rare Taxa Disproportionately Contribute to Temporal Changes in Microbial
- 725 Diversity, mBio, 5, e01371-14, https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01371-14, 2014.
- 726 Smith, D. J., Timonen, H. J., Jaffe, D. A., Griffin, D. W., Birmele, M. N., Perry, K. D., Ward,
- P. D., and Roberts, M. S.: Intercontinental dispersal of bacteria and archaea by transpacific
- winds, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 79, 1134–1139,
- 729 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03029-12, 2013.
- 730 Stinson, L. F., Keelan, J. A., and Payne, M. S.: Identification and removal of contaminating
- microbial DNA from PCR reagents: impact on low-biomass microbiome analyses, Letters in
 Applied Microbiology, 68, 2–8, https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.13091, 2019.
- 752 Applied Microbiology, 68, 2-8, https://doi.org/10.1111/laiii.15091, 2019.
- 733 Tignat-perrier, R., Dommergue, A., Thollot, A., Keuschnig, C., Magand, O., Vogel, T. M.,
- and Larose, C.: Global airborne microbial communities controlled by surrounding landscapes
- and wind conditions, Scientific Reports, 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51073-4,
- 736 2019.

- 737 Tignat-Perrier, R., Dommergue, A., Thollot, A., Magand, O., Vogel, T. M., and Larose, C.:
- Microbial functional signature in the atmospheric boundary layer, Biogeosciences, 17, 6081–
 6095, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-6081-2020, 2020a.
- 740 Tignat-Perrier, R., Dommergue, A., Thollot, A., Magand, O., Amato, P., Joly, M., Sellegri,
- 741 K., Vogel, T. M., and Larose, C.: Seasonal shift in airborne microbial communities, Science
- 742 of The Total Environment, 137129, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137129, 2020b.
- 743 Vaïtilingom, M., Attard, E., Gaiani, N., Sancelme, M., Deguillaume, L., Flossmann, A. I.,
- Amato, P., and Delort, A. M.: Long-term features of cloud microbiology at the puy de Dôme
- 745 (France), Atmospheric Environment, 56, 88–100,
- 746 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.03.072, 2012.
- 747 Wirgot, N., Vinatier, V., Deguillaume, L., Sancelme, M., and Delort, A. M.: H2O2 modulates
- the energetic metabolism of the cloud microbiome, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17,
- 749 14841–14851, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14841-2017, 2017.
- 750 Womack, A. M., Artaxo, P. E., Ishida, F. Y., Mueller, R. C., Saleska, S. R., Wiedemann, K.
- 751 T., Bohannan, B. J. M., and Green, J. L.: Characterization of active and total fungal

communities in the atmosphere over the Amazon rainforest, Biogeosciences, 12, 6337–6349,

- 753 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-6337-2015, 2015.
- Yoon, S. H., Ha, S. M., Kwon, S., Lim, J., Kim, Y., Seo, H., and Chun, J.: Introducing
- EzBioCloud: A taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-
- 756 genome assemblies, International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 67,
- 757 1613–1617, https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001755, 2017.
- 758 Zhang, M., Khaled, A., Amato, P., Delort, A. M., and Ervens, B.: Sensitivities to biological
- aerosol particle properties and ageing processes: Potential implications for aerosol-cloud
- interactions and optical properties, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 3699–3724,
- 761 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-3699-2021, 2021.
- 762 Zhao, J., Jin, L., Wu, D., Xie, J., Li, J., Fu, X., Cong, Z., Fu, P., Zhang, Y., Luo, X., Feng, X.,
- 763 Zhang, G., Tiedje, J. M., and Li, X.: Global airborne bacterial community—interactions with
- Earth's microbiomes and anthropogenic activities, Proceedings of the National Academy of
- 765 Sciences, 119, e2204465119, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2204465119, 2022.
- 766