

Tailoring the pore architecture and crystalline structure of UiO-66 for the selective adsorption of anionic species in aqueous media

Hugo G Palhares, Alice G Leonel, Rodrigo L Oréfice, Ricardo O Correia, David Riassetto, Michel Langlet, Manuel Houmard, Eduardo H M Nunes

▶ To cite this version:

Hugo G Palhares, Alice G Leonel, Rodrigo L Oréfice, Ricardo O Correia, David Riassetto, et al.. Tailoring the pore architecture and crystalline structure of UiO-66 for the selective adsorption of anionic species in aqueous media. Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management, 2023, 20, 10.1016/j.enmm.2023.100869. hal-04271823

HAL Id: hal-04271823 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04271823v1

Submitted on 7 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	TAILORING THE PORE ARCHITECTURE AND CRYSTALLINE
2	STRUCTURE OF UIO-66 FOR THE SELECTIVE ADSORPTION OF ANIONIC
3	SPECIES IN AQUEOUS MEDIA
4	
5	Hugo G. Palhares ^{1,2*} , Alice G. Leonel ¹ , Rodrigo L. Oréfice ¹ , Ricardo O. Correia ¹ , David
6	Riassetto ² , Michel Langlet ² , Manuel Houmard ^{3,4\perp} , Eduardo H.M. Nunes ^{1,4\otimes} .
7	
8	(1) Departamento de Engenharia Metalúrgica e de Materiais, Universidade Federal de
9	Minas Gerais, Pampulha, CEP: 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil.
10	
11	(2) Universite Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP (Institute of Engineering Univ.
12	Grenoble Alpes), LMGP, Grenoble, 38000 France
13	
14	(3) Departamento de Engenharia Química, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais,
15	Pampulha, CEP: 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil.
16	
17	(4) Centro de Tecnologia em Nanomateriais e Grafeno (CTNano), Rua Professor José
18	Vieira de Mendonça, 520 – Engenho Nogueira, Belo Horizonte – MG, CEP: 31310-260,
19	Brasil.
20	
21	* hugogpalhares@gmail.com
22	[⊥] mhoumard@ufmg.br
23	[®] eduardo.nunes@demet.ufmg.br
24	
25	

ABSTRACT

28 Micropollutants such as organic dyes and pharmaceuticals have received much attention in recent years due to their harmful effects on humans and wildlife, high stability against 29 natural degradation, and difficult removal in conventional water treatment plants. In this 30 31 work, we have optimized the adsorption of organic pollutants on UiO-66 metal-organic frameworks by changing some synthesis parameters, which allowed the tuning of their 32 crystal structure and pore architecture. Samples with an extended microporous structure 33 and specific surface areas over 1300 m².g⁻¹ were prepared by a solvothermal approach, 34 which favored the access of the pollutant molecules to active adsorption sites. The 35 specific surface areas measured in this study are among the highest ever reported for UiO-36 66. In batch adsorption tests conducted using aqueous solutions containing low initial 37 concentrations (20 mg.L⁻¹) of acid orange (AO7), ibuprofen (IBU), and methylene blue 38 (MB), the materials tested in this study exhibited preferential adsorption of the anionic 39 species AO7 (192 mg.g⁻¹) and IBU (173 mg.g⁻¹), resulting in AO7/MB and IBU/MB 40 selectivities of 9.6 and 8.7, respectively. The primary adsorption mechanism is most 41 42 likely a combination of electrostatic and Lewis acid-base interactions involving Zr-(-SO₃⁻) for AO7 and Zr-(-CO₂⁻) for IBU. Subsequent tests with moderately higher 43 concentrations of AO7 (50 mg.L⁻¹) showed even higher adsorption capacities, exceeding 44 340 mg.g⁻¹. These results demonstrate that the optimized UiO-66 structure prepared in 45 46 this study exhibits high-performance adsorption capabilities for the removal of anionic pollutants from aqueous media, thereby expanding the knowledge on the use of Zr-based 47 MOFs for water remediation. 48

50 KEYWORDS: Metal-organic frameworks; UiO-66; organic dyes; non-steroidal anti 51 inflammatory drugs; adsorption.

52

53 1. INTRODUCTION

54

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have received much attention in the past few decades 55 due to their promising application in different fields, including adsorption (Pedro H M 56 Andrade et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2021b; Madumo et al., 2023; Oladoye et al., 2021; Zango 57 et al., 2021), gas separation/storage (Basu et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2014; Ren et al., 2014; 58 59 Xie et al., 2018), membrane separation (Deng et al., 2021; Fang et al., 2020), sensing 60 (Koo et al., 2019; Li et al., 2022), drug delivery (Della Rocca et al., 2011; Gordon et al., 2015), and catalysis (Pedro H. M. Andrade et al., 2022; Du et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2023; 61 62 Man et al., 2022; Rao et al., 2023; Rimoldi et al., 2017). The success of these materials lies in their unique advantages, including very high porosity and specific surface area, 63 controllable pore size, tunable pore surface, and low density (Cao et al., 2021; Furukawa 64 et al., 2010; Oladoye et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2012). These materials have a highly 65 66 interconnected three-dimensional pore structure, resulting in specific surface areas of up to several thousand m².g⁻¹ (Farha et al., 2012). They can be constructed from two 67 counterparts, namely secondary building units (SBUs) and linkers (Tranchemontagne et 68 al., 2009). SBUs are inorganic clusters containing one or more metal ions coordinated by 69 70 oxygen or nitrogen and connected by linkers (Butova et al., 2021). MOFs can be easily modified by incorporating chemical groups into their structure and changing their surface 71 72 chemistry. Among the promising applications of MOFs, adsorption deserves to be highlighted. Contaminants such as organic dyes, pharmaceuticals, and personal care 73 products have attracted considerable attention in recent years due to their harmful effects 74

on humans and wildlife, their high stability against natural degradation, and the difficulty
of removal in conventional water treatment plants (Drumond Chequer et al., 2013; Lin et
al., 2018; Rozada et al., 2003).

78

Several strategies have been used for treating wastewaters contaminated with organic 79 pollutants, including ozonation (Wang and Chen, 2020), electrochemical oxidation 80 (Martínez-Huitle and Panizza, 2018), photocatalysis (Gonçalves et al., 2019; Palhares et 81 al., 2020), filtration (Isawi, 2019; Lin et al., 2023), and adsorption (Dey et al., 2019; Lin 82 et al., 2023). Among these, adsorption has been considered a promising approach for 83 84 removing these and other pollutants due to its low environmental impact, ease of 85 operation, low cost, and high efficiency (Huang et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2021a, 2021b; Wang et al., 2018). 86

87

The use of tetravalent metals and dicarboxylate ligands in MOFs is a relatively recent 88 development. First reported in 2008, UiO-66 is a zirconium-based MOF with cubic 89 symmetry and a three-dimensional pore system (Butova et al., 2019; Cavka et al., 2008). 90 91 This material is known for its good thermal and chemical stability, allowing it to 92 withstand adverse conditions without compromising its structural integrity. Although this 93 MOF has been tested in different applications, such works are generally based on standard routes and barely investigate the influence of synthesis conditions on the structure and 94 95 properties of the prepared materials (Bambalaza et al., 2018; Bi et al., 2022; Castarlenas et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2017; Dinh et al., 2021; Embaby et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020; 96 97 Nagarjun et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2020, 1998). As far as we know, only a few works have evaluated to some extent the influence of the synthesis route on the structure and 98 adsorption behavior of UiO-66 (Cao et al., 2021; Jrad et al., 2022; Qiu et al., 2017; Zhang 99

et al., 2017). Furthermore, only a few synthesis conditions were evaluated in these studies, 100 101 indicating the need for additional research to fully understand the structural parameters 102 that impact the adsorption behavior of this MOF. Therefore, this study contributes to a better understanding of the correlation between the synthesis conditions, structure and 103 104 adsorption properties of UiO-66-based materials. We show here that depending on the 105 type and concentration of the additive used, as well as the relative amount of solvent, 106 structures with different types and degrees of defects can be produced, resulting in 107 different adsorption properties towards anionic and cationic molecules.

108

109 In this work, we investigated the structure and adsorption behavior of UiO-66 samples 110 prepared by a facile solvothermal route. The synthesis conditions were varied aiming to obtain specimens with different structures and properties. Organic pollutants such as dyes 111 112 (acid orange 7, AO7, and methylene blue, MB) and a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (ibuprofen, IBU) were used in the adsorption tests. As mentioned earlier, these are 113 contaminants of great concern in recent years. The Lagergren kinetic model was 114 employed for evaluating the adsorption behavior of the tested samples. These materials 115 116 were examined by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), N₂ sorption, thermogravimetry (TG), 117 and transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

118

119 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

120

121 The following materials were used in this study as received and without further 122 purification: zirconium tetrachloride (ZrCl₄ / Aldrich / \ge 99.5%), terephthalic acid 123 (H₂BDC / Aldrich / 98%), N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF / Exodo / \ge 99.8%), 124 hydrochloric acid (HCl / Vetec / 37%), glacial acetic acid (HAc / Synth / \ge 99.7%), absolute ethanol (EtOH / Synth / \geq 99.5%), and deionized Milli-Q water. For the adsorption tests, acid orange 7 (AO7 / Sigma-Aldrich), methylene blue (MB / Synth), and ibuprofen (IBU / Florien Pharmaceutical Supplies, Brazil) were used as the pollutants.

128

129 **2.1 MOF SYNTHESIS**

130

The UiO-66 samples were synthesized using a modified protocol of the standard 131 procedure described by Cavka et al. (Cavka et al., 2008). Typically, ZrCl₄ (1.6 mmol) 132 and H₂BDC (1.6 mmol) were initially mixed with DMF (40 mL). ZrCl₄, H₂BDC, and 133 134 DMF acted as the metal precursor, organic linker, and solvent, respectively. H₂O, HCl, or HAc solutions (40 mL of DMF) were subsequently added to the previously prepared 135 136 solution. The effect of these additives on the structural properties and adsorption behavior 137 of the prepared MOFs was investigated. The additive/Zr molar ratio was adjusted between 0 and 150. To avoid overly acidic solutions and minimize the influence of large amounts 138 139 of water added to the reaction media, the molar ratio of additive/Zr was limited to 33 when HCl (37 wt%) was used. The as-obtained solutions were poured into a Teflon-lined 140 stainless-steel autoclave and kept at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, 141 142 the obtained crystalline material was washed twice with DMF and then with EtOH. This powder was subsequently sonicated for 30 min in EtOH (50 mL) before drying and finally 143 activated in air at 100 °C. The prepared materials were designated as UiO-66 (X_Y), 144 where X is associated with the additive/linker molar ratio and Y corresponds to the 145 additive used (H₂O, HCl, or HAc). For reference purposes, an additive-free sample was 146 prepared and referred to as UiO-66. In addition, another reference sample was synthesized 147 by reproducing the methodology described by Katz et al. (Katz et al., 2013), here 148 designated as UiO-66 (22 HCl Katz) due to the HCl/Zr molar ratio used. This synthesis 149 method has become a standard in many other studies because it has been shown to 150

produce MOFs with high specific surface areas (about 1580 m².g⁻¹) and high adsorption
capacity (Katz et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017).

153

Samples were also obtained by increasing the concentration of metal precursor and 154 155 organic linker relative to the solvent content by a factor of five, resulting in higher yields and more uniform crystal size. In this case, 8.0 mmol of ZrCl₄ and 8.0 mmol of H₂BDC 156 157 were initially mixed with 40 mL of DMF. The synthesis procedure used to prepare these specimens is similar to that reported before. Samples obtained by this method were 158 159 labeled with the term " $5\times$ ". As discussed later, the addition of water provided an optimal compromise between desirable structural properties, adsorption behavior, and feasibility. 160 For this reason, these samples were obtained using H_2O as the additive. In these highly 161 concentrated reaction media, another Zr-based MOF could be obtained in the absence of 162 163 an additive, namely MIL-140A (Guillerm et al., 2012); it has been reported that this 164 framework forms a monoclinic phase with a one-dimensional pore system (Butova et al., 165 2019; Guillerm et al., 2012).

166

167 2.2 CHARACTERIZATIONS

168

169 X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) was conducted on a Philips-PANalytical PW1710 170 diffractometer at a scan rate of 0.03° .s⁻¹, using CuK_{α} as the radiation source ($\lambda = 1.54$ Å). 171 N₂ sorption tests were conducted at -196°C on a BELSORP-max analyzer using samples 172 outgassed under vacuum at 100 °C for 12 h. The specific surface area (SSA) was evaluated 173 by the multipoint BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) model following the consistency 174 criteria (Ambroz et al., 2018; Guillerm et al., 2012). The non-local density functional 175 theory (NLDFT) and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method were employed to evaluate

the pore size distribution of the examined materials. The microporosity and mesoporosity fractions of the tested samples were evaluated from Eq. (1) and (2), where V_{micro} , V_{meso} , and V_{total} represent, respectively, the micropore, mesopore, and total pore volumes (cm³.g⁻ 179 ¹).

180

181
$$Microporosity = \frac{V_{micro}}{V_{total}}$$
(1)

182

183
$$Mesoporosity = \frac{V_{meso}}{V_{total}}$$
(2)

184

Thermogravimetry (TG) was performed on an Exstar 7200 thermal analyzer at a heating rate of 10 °C.min⁻¹ and under N₂ flow (20 mL.min⁻¹). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was conducted on an FEI Tecnai G2-20 SuperTwin microscope at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. The samples used in these tests were previously dispersed in absolute ethanol and sonicated at room temperature for 5 min. The as-obtained suspensions were dripped on carbon-coated grids (Holey Carbon) and then air-dried at room temperature.

191

192 **2.3 ADSORPTION TESTS**

193

Batch adsorption tests were carried out at room temperature using aqueous solutions containing AO7, MB, or IBU. The adsorbent loading in these solutions was initially kept constant at 200 mg.L⁻¹, while the concentration of AO7, MB, and IBU was set at 20 mg.L⁻¹ , unless otherwise specified. The initial pH of these aqueous solutions was about 5 and remained nearly constant during the adsorption tests. The adsorption behavior of the samples prepared in this study was evaluated using the Lagergren kinetic model. The

pseudo-nth-order kinetic law of Lagergren is expressed in Eq. (3), where k_n represents the rate constant for the nth-order adsorption (mg.g⁻¹.min⁻¹), q_e is the uptake capacity at equilibrium (mg.g⁻¹), and q_t is the adsorption capacity (mg.g⁻¹) at time t (min⁻¹) (Ho and McKay, 1998).

204

205
$$\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_n (q_e - q_t)^n$$
(3)

206

The integration of Eq. (3) from t = 0 and t = t ($q_0 = 0$ and $q_t = q_t$) leads to Eq. (4) for the pseudo-second-order (n = 2) law. This model has been effectively used to describe adsorption systems similar to the one studied in this work (Guo et al., 2015; Li et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2018; Qiu et al., 2017).

212
$$q_t = \frac{k_2 q_e^2 t}{1 + k_2 q_e t}$$
 (4)

213

Aliquots collected from these solutions after the adsorption step were centrifuged at 5000 214 rpm for 10 min. The particle-free supernatant was then transferred to quartz cuvettes and 215 216 examined by Ultraviolet-Visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopy on a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrometer at a resolution of 1 nm. The light absorption at 193 nm, 484 nm, and 664 217 218 nm was used as a reference for IBU, AO7, and MB, respectively. The uptake of these pollutants on the prepared adsorbents $(q_t - mg.g^{-1})$ was calculated from Eq. (5), where C₀ 219 220 and C_t represent the initial concentration ($t_0 = 0$) and concentration at time t (mg.L⁻¹), V is the solution volume (L), and m is the adsorbent mass (g). The removal efficiency (R -221 222 %) was determined from Eq. (6), where C_e represents the concentration at equilibrium (t 223 $= t_e$).

225
$$q_t = (C_0 - C_t) \frac{V}{m}$$
 (5)

226

227
$$\mathbf{R} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{C}_0 - \mathbf{C}_e}{\mathbf{C}_0}\right) \times 100 \tag{6}$$

228

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

230

231 **3.1 INFLUENCE OF H2O, HCl, HAc ADDITIVES**

232

233 - STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION

234

The XRD patterns and TEM micrographs obtained for UiO-66 samples are shown in Fig. 235 236 1 and 2. The XRD pattern of the UiO-66 reference and the other samples show a high 237 degree of similarity, indicating that these materials have similar crystalline structures. UiO-66 has particles in the size range of 120-180 nm according to TEM images. In 238 239 general, the higher the amount of H₂O used, the smaller the particle size of the prepared sample; UiO-66 (10_H₂O), UiO-66 (50_H₂O), and UiO-66 (150_H₂O) have particles in 240 the range of 30-50 nm, 15-25 nm, and 8-12 nm, respectively. This behavior is related to 241 the fast nucleation rate of Zr₆O₄(OH)₄ clusters (SBUs) when high concentrations of H₂O 242 243 are used, which favors the formation of the UiO-66 framework (Ragon et al., 2014; 244 Schaate et al., 2011). On the one hand, the addition of HCl up to 11 eq. decreased the particle size of the prepared MOF; this behavior can also be observed from the broadening 245 of the XRD peak at $2\theta \approx 7.3^{\circ}$ when UiO-66 (2.2_HCl) and UiO-66 (11_HCl) are 246 247 compared to UiO-66. On the other hand, further additions of this acid caused a dramatic increase in particle size. This seemingly contradictory behavior may be due to the 248

combined effect of the pH decrease and the presence of water in the HCl solution (37 249 250 wt.% purity). At low pH, the nucleation rate of UiO-66 is slowed down because the deprotonation of H_2BDC (pK_a = 3.54) is inhibited (Ding et al., 2019). However, as 251 discussed earlier, the addition of water has the opposite effect, favoring the nucleation of 252 253 metal clusters. Thus, HCl has a variable effect on the crystallite size of the prepared MOFs 254 depending on the concentration used. This controversial behavior of HCl has also been 255 discussed elsewhere (Ragon et al., 2014). The addition of either H₂O or HCl caused a loss 256 of the octahedral shape commonly observed for UiO-66 crystals (Fig. 2b-2e). The same behavior was not observed for HAc and the addition of this modulator up to 150 eq. 257 258 resulted in large octahedral crystals of about 415 nm in size (Fig. 2f). Similar behavior 259 has also been reported in other studies (Bueken et al., 2017; Ragon et al., 2014; Schaate 260 et al., 2011). The peak marked with an asterisk in the XRD patterns displayed in Fig. 1c 261 is associated with a minor phase of unknown origin observed in the HAc-derived samples. 262

Table 1 brings the textural properties obtained in the N₂ sorption tests (Fig. S1). UiO-66 263 has a microporous structure (microporosity = 0.9), SSA = 948 m².g⁻¹, and V_{total} = 264 0.41 cm³.g⁻¹. These values are in agreement with those described elsewhere (Guillerm et 265 266 al., 2012; Hao et al., 2018). As a general trend, the use of the different additives slightly expanded the typical micropore structure of the UiO-66 framework and increased the 267 mesopore volume (see NLDFT and BJH in Fig. S1). It can be observed that the use of 268 269 H₂O was more effective in creating mesoporosity, which can be related to the formation of smaller crystals as discussed previously. This can be clearly seen by the formation of 270 hysteresis loops in the sorption isotherms and BJH distribution. The use of H₂O at 10 eq. 271 caused a dramatic increase in SSA to $1255 \text{ m}^2.\text{g}^{-1}$ and V_{total} to $0.64 \text{ cm}^3.\text{g}^{-1}$. These 272 structural changes were accompanied by an increase in the mesoporosity from 0.10 to 273

0.22. Further additions of H₂O at 50 eq. or 150 eq. caused an increase in mesoporosity 274 fraction to about 0.8, but a decrease in SSA to 831 m².g⁻¹ and 782 m².g⁻¹. The higher 275 mesoporosity of UiO-66 (50_H2O) and UiO-66 (150_H2O) compared to UiO-66 and 276 277 UiO-66 (10 H₂O) can also be observed in the N₂ sorption isotherms (Fig. S1), as evidenced by wider hysteresis loops. It has been reported that the hysteresis loop is due 278 279 to the capillary condensation of N₂ in mesopores (Thommes et al., 2015). This increase in mesoporosity was accompanied by an increase in V_{total} to 1.42 cm³.g⁻¹ and 0.90 cm³.g⁻¹ 280 ¹, respectively. The use of HAc and HCl at 10 eq. and 11 eq., respectively, decreased the 281 microporosity of UiO-66 from 0.90 to 0.80. Nonetheless, further additions of these acids 282 increase the microporosity and SSA of this MOF, reaching values above 1300 m².g⁻¹. 283

284

From the results described so far, it can be observed that the preparation of UiO-66 MOFs 285 286 with small crystallites leads to samples with low microporosity and lower SSA. On the other hand, specimens with large crystallites also have high SSA. This trend was observed 287 288 for all the additives used in this study. For instance, adding only 10 eq. of H₂O leads to samples with about 40 nm crystal size and 1255 m².g⁻¹. Increasing this concentration to 289 50 eq. or 150 eq. results in the formation of materials with crystallites of 20 nm and 10 290 nm, respectively. The resulting samples have SSA of 831 m².g⁻¹ and 782 m².g⁻¹, 291 respectively. In the case of HCl and HAc, a higher amount of additive results in an 292 increased SSA. The high SSA of UiO-66 (22_HCl_Katz) has been assigned to the 293 presence of defects related to missing linkers in the MOF structure; Katz et al. (Katz et 294 al., 2013) estimated that about 1/3 of the linkers are missing in this material. The same 295 reasoning can be applied when water is used as an additive, where hydroxyl groups and 296 Cl⁻ from the ZrCl₄ precursor can partially replace the BDC linkers (Shearer et al., 2014). 297 Achieving high SSA requires the controlled formation of defects while maintaining the 298

303 - ADSORPTION TESTS

304

305 AO7 was initially used as a contaminant in adsorption tests on UiO-66 samples prepared 306 with various additives. The pH remained stable at about 5 and no significant changes were 307 observed throughout the experiments. Table 2 summarizes the evaluated adsorption rate 308 (k₂) and uptake capacity (q_e) based on the adsorption curves obtained (Fig. S2). It can be 309 observed that the pseudo-second-order kinetic model fitted well to the experimental data, 310 displaying a goodness-of-fit (\mathbb{R}^2) close to 1. In general, the use of H₂O, HCl, or HAc had 311 a positive effect on the adsorption behavior of UiO-66. As mentioned before, these 312 additives can cause the formation of defects such as missing linkers and missing clusters in the MOF structure, which in turn can increase the total pore volume and improve the 313 adsorption performance. As it can be noticed, such an improvement was not observed for 314 315 UiO-66 (150_HAc) because this material showed a lower uptake capacity than UiO-66 (51.8 mg.g⁻¹ vs. 80.2 mg.g⁻¹), despite having faster adsorption kinetics (1.18 mg.g⁻¹.min⁻ 316 ¹ vs. 0.54 mg.g^{-1} .min⁻¹). This result could be considered unexpected since 317 UiO-66 (150 HAc) is the sample with the highest SSA among those obtained in this work 318 (1356 m².g⁻¹). However, as mentioned earlier, the use of HAc is often associated with the 319 presence of missing cluster defects in the MOF structure. According to Zhang et al. 320 321 (Zhang et al., 2017), zirconium ions act as open active sites and can coordinate with the sulfonate groups contained in AO7 through Lewis acid-base interactions. Therefore, the 322 decrease in adsorption capacity observed in samples prepared with higher amounts of 323

HAc can be attributed to the presence of missing Zr clusters, leading to a reduced numberof active sites available for AO7 adsorption.

326

Zeta potential and thermogravimetric (TG) tests were performed on selected samples 327 328 (Fig. S3) to validate the adsorption mechanisms. The results were consistent with the previous observation, indicating that the use of HAc is more likely to be associated with 329 330 the generation of missing cluster defects in the UiO-66 structure than with missing linker 331 defects. On the other hand, the use of H₂O and HCl at 10 eq. and 33 eq. caused a lack of linkers in the MOF framework, which positively affected their adsorption behavior due 332 333 to the presence of open active sites. In the UiO-66/AO7 system, it was observed that 334 higher positive zeta potentials did not always correlate with increased adsorption of the anionic AO7, contrary to what might have been expected. Again, it was expected that 335 336 UiO-66 (150_HAc) would have the highest adsorption capacity, but this was not 337 observed. Thus, both the TG and zeta potential results confirm that the primary adsorption mechanism is based on more specific interactions than just electrostatic interactions. In 338 this case, AO7 coordinates with the readily accessible Zr-cluster, facilitated by the 339 340 presence of missing linker defects, through Lewis acid-base interactions (Zhang et al., 341 2017).

342

The most promising adsorption behavior was observed for samples prepared with either low amounts of H_2O (up to 50 eq.) or high additions of HCl (above 11 eq.). In both cases, the pollutant was nearly completely removed from the solution after 180 min of adsorption. UiO-66 (10_H₂O) and UiO-66 (33_HCl) were able to remove about 95% of AO7 in the first 60 min of adsorption. Among the samples prepared with H₂O, it is worth highlighting that UiO-66 (50 H₂O) had faster adsorption kinetics than UiO-66 (10 H₂O)

 $(5.27 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg.g}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1} \text{ vs. } 2.47 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg.g}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1})$, even though the latter exhibited a 349 higher adsorption capacity (96.3 mg.g⁻¹ vs. 101 mg.g⁻¹). This result may be due to the 350 large difference in the pore structure of these samples (Table 1). The pore volume and 351 mesoporosity assessed for UiO-66 (10 H₂O) and UiO-66 (50 H₂O) were 0.64 cm³.g⁻¹ 352 and 1.42 cm³.g⁻¹, 0.22 and 0.80, respectively (Table 1). On the other hand, 353 UiO-66 (10 H₂O) displayed a higher SSA (1255 $m^2.g^{-1}$ vs. 831 $m^2.g^{-1}$). These results 354 strongly support the correlation between the adsorption kinetics and the pollutant 355 356 diffusion within the porous structure of the MOF, which in turn is influenced by the 357 presence of defects. Moreover, the adsorption capacity is influenced not only by the accessibility of the SBUs (which serve as specific sites for the adsorption of anionic 358 species), but also by the abundance of these active sites, which, as previously discussed, 359 is related to both a high specific surface area and the presence of missing linker defects. 360

361

362 **3.2 INFLUENCE OF ZrCl4 and H2BDC CONCENTRATION**

363

364 - STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION

365

Fig. 3a shows XRD patterns of samples prepared with a 5-fold increase in metal precursor 366 and organic linker concentration relative to the solvent amount. The XRD patterns of 367 MIL-140A and UiO-66 are also shown for comparison purposes. Although UiO-66 and 368 MIL-140A have similar XRD patterns, the latter has its main peaks shifted to larger 20 369 values (Butova et al., 2020). The coexistence of these MOFs in MIL-140A can be noted 370 371 in Fig. 3b, in which rectangular plates characteristic of the former and octahedral crystals typical of the latter are observed (Butova et al., 2020). The addition of H₂O led to 372 specimens in which UiO-66 is the only phase present. As already observed, increasing 373

the water concentration decreases the particle size of the prepared MOF (Fig. 3c to 3e). This behavior can also be observed in the XRD patterns displayed in Fig. 3a, where the broadening of the main peak at $2\theta \approx 7.3^{\circ}$ occurs with increasing the water concentration. The addition of H₂O at concentrations up to 10 eq. led to samples with well-resolved XRD patterns, while further increases in this concentration resulted in specimens with poorly-resolved peaks. Once again, water appears to play a key role in the crystallization of UiO-66.

381

382 Fig. 4 shows the N₂ sorption isotherms and NLDFT pore size distributions of the samples prepared after increasing the concentration of ZrCl₄ and H₂BDC. Table 3 summarizes the 383 textural parameters obtained from these tests. The theoretical SSA calculated by other 384 authors for MIL-140A and UiO-66 were 360 m².g⁻¹ and 1125 m².g⁻¹, respectively (Butova 385 et al., 2020). The values obtained in this work were 597 m².g⁻¹ and 948 m².g⁻¹. This 386 387 difference can be due to the presence of structural defects in these samples, in addition to 388 the existence of additional phases in the MIL-140A sample (Fig. 3a and 3b). The addition up to 10 eq. of water increased the microporosity of the prepared samples (Table 3) and 389 390 shifted their pore size distribution to larger sizes (Fig. 4b). As a result, UiO-66 (5 H_2O) (5×) and UiO-66 (10_H₂O) (5×) displayed SSA of 1280 $m^2.g^{-1}$ and 1241 $m^2.g^{-1}$, 391 respectively. The use of water at higher concentrations (i.e., 50 eq. or 150 eq.) resulted in 392 samples with higher mesoporosities (up to 0.78) but much lower SSA (as low as 567 m^2 .g⁻ 393 394 ¹). From Fig. 4b it can also be seen that the micropores, which are the typical tetrahedral 395 and octahedral cages, are enlarged for all water-synthesized samples compared to the standard UiO-66. In particular, the UiO-66 (5_H₂O) (5×) sample exhibited the most 396 significant expansion of the tetrahedral cage, leading to enhanced accessibility of the 397

398 SBUs to AO7 molecules.. This behavior is discussed in more detail in the following399 sections.

400

401 - ADSORPTION OF AO7

402

403 The adsorption behavior of the samples prepared with a high concentration of precursors 404 is summarized in Table 4 (Fig. S4). MIL-140A had a lower uptake capacity (qe) than UiO-66, which can be related to its smaller micropores, SSA, and V_{total} of the former compared 405 to the latter (597 m^2 .g⁻¹ vs. 948 m^2 .g⁻¹, and 0.31 cm³.g⁻¹ vs. 0.41 cm³.g⁻¹). This could result 406 in fewer adsorption sites on the MIL-140A surface, which could reduce its adsorption 407 408 performance. Regarding the UiO-66 materials obtained with water, their adsorption 409 behavior is positively influenced by increasing the precursor concentration as long as the 410 water addition is maintained up to 10 equivalents. UiO-66 (5_H_2O) (5×) was the sample 411 with the best adsorption performance, displaying a much higher adsorption rate (0.078 g.mg⁻¹.min⁻¹). This material was able to remove 97% of AO7 after 5 min of adsorption, 412 and 99% after 15 min. It is worth recalling that this MOF exhibited a very high SSA (1280 413 m².g⁻¹) and the largest expansion of the tetrahedral cage to about 1.1 nm (Fig. 4b), which 414 415 was attributed to the presence of missing linker defects. Considering the dimensions of the AO7 molecule (1.57×1.00×0.54 nm³) (Zhao et al., 2013), also shown in Fig. S4), it 416 can be inferred that the observed expansion allows the longitudinal access of the dye 417 418 molecules into the small cages. This in turn not only increases the mobility of the organic 419 molecules within the microporous structure, but also increases the number of accessible adsorption sites (based on Lewis acid-base interactions). The combination of both 420 features explains the high adsorption efficiency of the sample UiO-66 (5_H_2O) (5×). 421 Besides, it showed a well-resolved XRD pattern with sharp peaks (Fig. 3a), which 422

suggests that it has a highly crystalline structure. The same trend was not observed for UiO-66 (50_H₂O) (5×) and UiO-66 (150_H₂O) (5×). These samples had a sharp decrease in SSA, which is consistent with their poor adsorption performance.

426

427 Since UiO-66 (5_H_2O) (5×) showed the most promising adsorption behavior, additional tests were performed with this sample. In these tests, the concentration of adsorbent in 428 the starting solution was systematically varied, from 50 mg.L⁻¹ to 200 mg.L⁻¹ (Table 5 429 and Fig. S5). Additionally, the dye concentration was increased from 20 mg.L⁻¹ to 430 50 mg.L⁻¹. When used at a concentration of 50 mg.L⁻¹, UiO-66 (5_H2O) (5×) showed an 431 outstanding adsorption capacity of more than 300 mg.g⁻¹ after only 60 min. Notably, this 432 performance exceeds that of previously reported studies, especially under similar test 433 conditions (Yoon et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2017). 434

435

436 **3.3 SELECTIVE ADSORPTION OF ANIONIC SPECIES**

437

438 Several studies have suggested that these organic contaminants are adsorbed onto the MOF's surface through a combination of hydrophobic, π - π , electrostatic, and hydrogen 439 440 bonding interactions (Cao et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2017; Oladoye et al., 2021; Panda et al., 2020). To further investigate the main adsorption mechanism of UiO-66 (5_H₂O) 441 $(5\times)$, it was used in adsorption tests conducted with aqueous solutions containing AO7, 442 MB, and IBU. UiO-66 was also employed in these tests for comparison purposes. Both 443 MB and AO7 are organic dyes with comparable molecular sizes of 1.63×0.79×0.40 nm³ 444 445 and 1.57×1.00×0.54 nm³, respectively (Zhao et al., 2013). Moreover, MB is cationic while AO7 is anionic. IBU, a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, is a compound of 446 447 significant global concern (Rahman and Aziz, 2022). It is anionic and has molecular

dimensions significantly smaller than those of AO7 and MB (IBU = $1.03 \times 0.52 \times$ 448 0.43 nm³ (Mestre et al., 2007)). Fig. 5 shows the adsorption behavior of UiO-66 and 449 UiO-66 (5_H_2O) (5×) as well as the structure of the different molecules tested. It can be 450 seen that the adsorption of both anionic species IBU and AO7 was greater on 451 UiO-66 (5 H₂O) (5×) compared to UiO-66, following the same trend previously 452 observed for AO7 (Table 4). However, an opposite behavior was observed for the cationic 453 MB. UiO-66 (5 H₂O) (5×) showed AO7/MB and IBU/MB selectivities of 9.6 and 8.7, 454 455 respectively, indicating a greater preference for AO7 and IBU adsorption over MB. These selectivities are much higher compared to those obtained for UiO-66 (2.2 and 2.6, 456 457 respectively).

458

The adsorption of MB was much less pronounced compared to AO7 and IBU, suggesting 459 460 that electrostatic interactions may also play an important role in the adsorption mechanism of these pollutants on UiO-66 when comparing oppositely charged species. 461 Figure 6 depicts the zeta potential as a function of pH for UiO-66 and UiO-66 (5_H₂O) 462 (5×). The zeta potential of these materials at pH 5 is positive and equal to 10 ± 4 mV 463 (UiO-66) and 15 ± 10 mV (UiO-66 (5_H₂O) (5×)). While the adsorption mechanism of 464 different samples cannot be fully explained by the surface charge alone, as discussed in 465 466 the previous section (3.1 - Adsorption Tests), the consideration of the opposite charges can certainly help to justify the observed adsorption differences. The positively charged 467 surface of the MOF initiates an electrostatic interaction with the guest molecules, 468 resulting in the repulsion of cationic molecules such as MB, while favoring the 469 penetration of anionic molecules such as AO7 and IBU into the microporous network. 470 Subsequently, more specific interactions can occur leading to the increased adsorption 471

472

capacity of anionic species as discussed above. Therefore, the greater surface positivity

473 of UiO-66 (5_H₂O) (5×) contributes to its higher selectivity compared to UiO-66.

474

As discussed earlier, the porous structure plays a key role in the adsorption process by 475 476 favoring the diffusion of target molecules into the adsorbent's pore structure, threreby 477 accelerating the adsorption process. This behavior occurs for both anionic (AO7 and IBU) and cationic (MB) species, as evidenced by the increased kinetic rate constants observed 478 479 for UiO-66 (5_H₂O) (5×) (Fig. 5c), which has an optimized porous structure when compared to that of UiO-66. Also, the adsorption behavior of UiO-66 and 480 UiO-66 (5_H_2O) (5×) was different concerning the anionic pollutants; while the 481 adsorption rate and uptake capacity of UiO-66 is higher when using IBU, the opposite is 482 observed for UiO-66 (5_H₂O) (5×) with AO7 being more adsorbed. UiO-66 exhibited 483 484 higher adsorption affinity for IBU, which can be attributed to the greater accessibility of IBU to the active adsorption sites due to its smaller molecular size compared to AO7. On 485 486 the other hand, because UiO-66 (5_H_2O) (5×) has larger micropores, this effect is less pronounced. In fact, its adsorption capacity is even higher for AO7, although the 487 accessibility of IBU is still higher, as suggested by its size and confirmed by the faster 488 kinetic constant. However, it is important to note that when these values are converted to 489 molar capacity, the adsorption capacity of UiO-66 (5_H_2O) (5×) would be 0.59 mmol.g⁻ 490 ¹ for AO7 and 0.84 mmol.g⁻¹ for IBU. The values obtained are more representative 491 because adsorption occurs at a finite number of active sites. In this case, both kinetics and 492 493 adsorption capacity would be higher for IBU compared to AO7. The adsorption differences suggested here are schematically displayed in the inset of Fig. 6. 494

The adsorption capacities of various adsorbents, including similar MOF structures such 496 497 as UiO-66 isostructures, are shown in Table 6. It can be observed that the optimized 498 sample, UiO-66 (5_H_2O) (5x), exhibits an excellent adsorption capacity towards anionic pollutants even at relatively low pollutant concentrations at equilibrium (up to 33 ppm). 499 500 The only other studies that reported similar or higher adsorption capacities used pollutant 501 concentrations much higher than those used here, which was beyond the scope of this 502 investigation. Our optimized material shows not only a significant improvement in 503 adsorption capacity, but also a remarkable increase in adsorption selectivity. The selective 504 adsorption of AO7/MB increased from 2.2 to 9.6 compared to regular UiO-66, indicating a more than 4-fold increase in selectivity. 505

506

507 4. CONCLUSION

508

509 We have shown that both crystallinity and porous structure play an important role in the 510 adsorption capacity of organic pollutants on the UiO-66 framework. It was observed that as the SSA and crystallinity increased, the number of active sites for adsorption also 511 512 increased, resulting in higher adsorption capacity. The intrinsic microporous channels of 513 the framework were also found to be critical in facilitating the access of the adsorbate to the active sites. An optimal number of defects, in the form of missing linkers, resulted in 514 expanded micropore structures with increased specific surface area and exposed cationic 515 516 Zr active sites. As a result, materials with higher adsoption capacity and faster kinetics could be obtained. The samples that best combined these two properties were obtained 517 using small amounts of water (i.e., 5 eq. or 10 eq.) in a highly concentrated reaction 518 medium. Loading capacities of up to 341 mg.g⁻¹ for AO7 and 173 mg.g⁻¹ for IBU were 519 achieved at relatively low pollutant concentrations, which are significantly higher 520

compared to other reports. In addition to the incredibly high adsorption capacity for 521 522 anionic species, the optimized UiO-66 framework showed outstanding selectivity for the separation of anionic and cationic species, which can be associated with the expanded 523 micropore structure and the increased zeta potential. The main adsorption mechanism 524 involves an initial electrostatic interaction that repels cationic species and restricts their 525 526 interaction to a few sites on the surface, while allowing anionic species to interact 527 throughout the micropore structure. Subsequently, such anionic molecules can interact more specifically with the defective Zr cluster through Lewis acid-base interactions 528 involving Zr-(-SO₃⁻) for AO7 and Zr-(-CO₂⁻) for IBU. The AO7⁻/MB⁺ adsorption 529 530 capacity ratio was remarkably high, reaching 9.6, which is more than 4 times higher than that of the UiO-66 reference sample. 531

532

533

534 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

535

This work was supported by FAPEMIG (APQ-00792-17), CNPq (306193/2020-5, 403191/2021-1, and 304415/2021-9) and CAPES (PROEX / 001). The authors are grateful for the technical support from Centro de Microscopia/UFMG and CTNano.

540 **REFERENCES**

541

542 Ambroz, F., Macdonald, T.J., Martis, V., Parkin, I.P., 2018. Evaluation of the BET 543 theory for the characterization of meso and microporous MOFs. Small Methods 2, 1800173. https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.201800173 544 545 Andrade, Pedro H. M., Gomes, A.L.M., Palhares, H.G., Volkringer, C., Moissette, A., 546 Victória, H.F. V., Hatem, N.M.A., Krambrock, K., Houmard, M., Nunes, E.H.M., 2022. Post-synthetic modification of aluminum trimesate and copper trimesate 547 with TiO2 nanoparticles for photocatalytic applications. J. Mater. Sci. 57, 4481-548 4503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-021-06842-w 549 550 Andrade, Pedro H M, Henry, N., Volkringer, C., Loiseau, T., Vezin, H., Hureau, M., Moissette, A., 2022. Iodine Uptake by Zr-/Hf-Based UiO-66 Materials: The 551 552 Influence of Metal Substitution on Iodine Evolution. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 553 14, 29916–29933. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c07288 554 Bambalaza, S.E., Langmi, H.W., Mokaya, R., Musyoka, N.M., Ren, J., Khotseng, L.E., 555 2018. Compaction of a zirconium metal-organic framework (UiO-66) for high 556 density hydrogen storage applications. J. Mater. Chem. A 6, 23569–23577. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8TA09227C 557 558 Basu, S., Cano-Odena, A., Vankelecom, I.F.J., 2011. MOF-containing mixed-matrix membranes for CO2/CH4 and CO2/N2 binary gas mixture separations. Sep. Purif. 559 Technol. 81, 31-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2011.06.037 560 Bi, F., Zhao, Z., Yang, Y., Liu, Q., Huang, W., Huang, Y., Zhang, X., 2022. Efficient 561 degradation of toluene over ultra-low Pd supported on UiO-66 and its functional 562 563 materials: Reaction mechanism, water-resistance, and influence of SO2. Environ. 564 Funct. Mater. 1, 166–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.efmat.2022.07.002 Brião, G. V., Jahn, S.L., Foletto, E.L., Dotto, G.L., 2018. Highly efficient and reusable 565 mesoporous zeolite synthetized from a biopolymer for cationic dyes adsorption. 566 Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 556, 43–50. 567 568 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2018.08.019 569 Bueken, B., Van Velthoven, N., Willhammar, T., Stassin, T., Stassen, I., Keen, D.A.,

570	Baron, G. V., Denayer, J.F.M., Ameloot, R., Bals, S., De Vos, D., Bennett, T.D.,
571	2017. Gel-based morphological design of zirconium metal-organic frameworks.
572	Chem. Sci. 8, 3939–3948. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6sc05602d
573	Butova, V. V., Budnyk, A.P., Charykov, K.M., Vetlitsyna-Novikova, K.S., Lamberti,
574	C., Soldatov, A. V., 2019. Water as a structure-driving agent between the UiO-66
575	and MIL-140A metal-organic frameworks. Chem. Commun. 55, 901–904.
576	https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cc07709f
577	Butova, V. V., Vetlitsyna-Novikova, K.S., Pankin, I.A., Charykov, K.M., Trigub, A.L.,
578	Soldatov, A. V., 2020. Microwave synthesis and phase transition in UiO-66/MIL-
579	140A system. Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 296, 109998.
580	https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICROMESO.2020.109998
581	Butova, V. V, Pankin, I.A., Burachevskaya, O.A., Vetlitsyna-Novikova, K.S., Soldatov,
582	A. V, 2021. New fast synthesis of MOF-801 for water and hydrogen storage:
583	Modulator effect and recycling options. Inorganica Chim. Acta 514, 120025.
584	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2020.120025
585	Cao, Y., Chen, X., Li, X., Wang, B., 2021. Tuning Surface Functionalization and Pore
586	Structure of UiO-66 Metal–Organic Framework Nanoparticles for Organic
587	Pollutant Elimination. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 4, 5486–5495.
588	https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSANM.1C00796
589	Castarlenas, S., Téllez, C., Coronas, J., 2017. Gas separation with mixed matrix
590	membranes obtained from MOF UiO-66-graphite oxide hybrids. J. Memb. Sci.
591	526, 205–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2016.12.041
592	Cavka, J.H., Jakobsen, S., Olsbye, U., Guillou, N., Lamberti, C., Bordiga, S., Lillerud,
593	K.P., 2008. A New Zirconium Inorganic Building Brick Forming Metal Organic
594	Frameworks with Exceptional Stability. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 13850–13851.
595	https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8057953
596	Chen, C., Chen, D., Xie, S., Quan, H., Luo, X., Guo, L., 2017. Adsorption Behaviors of
597	Organic Micropollutants on Zirconium Metal-Organic Framework UiO-66:
598	Analysis of Surface Interactions. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9, 41043–41054.
599	https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b13443
600	Della Rocca, J., Liu, D., Lin, W., 2011. Nanoscale metal-organic frameworks for

601 biomedical imaging and drug delivery. Acc. Chem. Res. 44, 957–68.

602 https://doi.org/10.1021/ar200028a

- Deng, Y., Wu, Y., Chen, G., Zheng, X., Dai, M., Peng, C., 2021. Metal-organic
 framework membranes: Recent development in the synthesis strategies and their
 application in oil-water separation. Chem. Eng. J. 405, 127004.
- 606 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127004
- Dey, D., Chandra Murmu, N., Banerjee, P., 2019. Tailor-made synthesis of an
 melamine-based aminal hydrophobic polymer for selective adsorption of toxic
 organic pollutants: An initiative towards wastewater purification. RSC Adv. 9,
 7469–7478. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra00453j
- Ding, M., Cai, X., Jiang, H.-L., 2019. Improving MOF stability: approaches and
 applications. Chem. Sci. 10, 10209–10230. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9SC03916C
- Dinh, H.T., Tran, N.T., Trinh, D.X., 2021. Investigation into the Adsorption of
- Methylene Blue and Methyl Orange by UiO-66-NO2 Nanoparticles. J. Anal.
 Methods Chem. 2021, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5512174
- Drumond Chequer, F.M., de Oliveira, G.A.R., Anastacio Ferraz, E.R., Carvalho, J.,
 Boldrin Zanoni, M.V., de Oliveir, D.P., 2013. Textile Dyes: Dyeing Process and
 Environmental Impact, in: Günay, M. (Ed.), Eco-Friendly Textile Dyeing and
- 619 Finishing. InTech. https://doi.org/10.5772/53659
- Du, Q., Wu, P., Sun, Y., Zhang, J., He, H., 2020. Selective photodegradation of
 tetracycline by molecularly imprinted ZnO@NH2-UiO-66 composites. Chem. Eng.
 J. 390, 124614. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2020.124614
- Embaby, M.S., Elwany, S.D., Setyaningsih, W., Saber, M.R., 2018. The adsorptive
 properties of UiO-66 towards organic dyes: A record adsorption capacity for the
- anionic dye Alizarin Red S. Chinese J. Chem. Eng. 26, 731–739.
- 626 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CJCHE.2017.07.014
- 627 Fang, S.-Y., Zhang, P., Gong, J.-L., Tang, L., Zeng, G.-M., Song, B., Cao, W.-C., Li, J.,
- 628 Ye, J., 2020. Construction of highly water-stable metal-organic framework UiO-66
- 629 thin-film composite membrane for dyes and antibiotics separation. Chem. Eng. J.
- 630 385, 123400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123400

631	Farha, O.K., Eryazici, I., Jeong, N.C., Hauser, B.G., Wilmer, C.E., Sarjeant, A.A.,
632	Snurr, R.Q., Nguyen, S.T., Yazaydın, A.Ö., Hupp, J.T., 2012. Metal–Organic
633	Framework Materials with Ultrahigh Surface Areas: Is the Sky the Limit? J. Am.
634	Chem. Soc. 134, 15016–15021. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3055639
635	Furukawa, H., Ko, N., Go, Y.B., Aratani, N., Choi, S.B., Choi, E., Yazaydin, A.Ö.,
636	Snurr, R.Q., O'Keeffe, M., Kim, J., Yaghi, O.M., 2010. Ultrahigh Porosity in
637	Metal-Organic Frameworks. Science (80). 329, 424–428.
638	https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1192160
639	Gomes, A.L.M., Andrade, P.H.M., Palhares, H.G., Dumont, M.R., Soares, D.C.F.,
640	Volkringer, C., Houmard, M., Nunes, E.H.M., 2021. Facile sol-gel synthesis of
641	silica sorbents for the removal of organic pollutants from aqueous media. J. Mater.
642	Res. Technol. 15, 4580–4594. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMRT.2021.10.069
643	Gonçalves, B.S., Palhares, H.G., Souza, T.C.C.D., Castro, V.G.D., Silva, G.G., Silva,
644	B.C., Krambrock, K., Soares, R.B., Lins, V.F.C., Houmard, M., Nunes, E.H.M.,
645	2019. Effect of the carbon loading on the structural and photocatalytic properties of
646	reduced graphene oxide-TiO2 nanocomposites prepared by hydrothermal
647	synthesis. J. Mater. Res. Technol. 8, 6262–6274.
648	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2019.10.020
649	Gordon, J., Kazemian, H., Rohani, S., 2015. MIL-53(Fe), MIL-101, and SBA-15 porous
650	materials: Potential platforms for drug delivery. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 47, 172-179.
651	https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MSEC.2014.11.046
652	Guillerm, V., Ragon, F., Dan-Hardi, M., Devic, T., Vishnuvarthan, M., Campo, B.,
653	Vimont, A., Clet, G., Yang, Q., Maurin, G., Férey, G., Vittadini, A., Gross, S.,
654	Serre, C., 2012. A series of isoreticular, highly stable, porous zirconium oxide
655	based metal-organic frameworks. Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed. 51, 9267–9271.
656	https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201204806
657	Guo, H., Lin, F., Chen, J., Li, F., Weng, W., 2015. Metal-organic framework MIL-
658	125(Ti) for efficient adsorptive removal of Rhodamine B from aqueous solution.
659	Appl. Organomet. Chem. 29, 12–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.3237
660	Hao, L., Li, X., Hurlock, M.J., Tu, X., Zhang, Q., 2018. Hierarchically porous UiO-66:
661	Facile synthesis, characterization and application. Chem. Commun. 54, 11817-

662 11820. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cc05895d

- Ho, Y.S., McKay, G., 1998. A Comparison of chemisorption kinetic models applied to
 pollutant removal on various sorbents. Process Saf. Environ. Prot. 76, 332–340.
 https://doi.org/10.1205/095758298529696
- Hu, Y., Verdegaal, W.M., Yu, S.H., Jiang, H.L., 2014. Alkylamine-tethered stable
- metal-organic framework for CO2 capture from flue gas. ChemSusChem 7, 734–
 737. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201301163
- Huang, L., Cao, H., Ma, J., Wang, X., 2022. Efficient removal of Pb(II) by UiO-66-
- 670 NH2: A combined experimental and spectroscopic studies. Environ.
- Nanotechnology, Monit. Manag. 18, 100741.
- 672 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2022.100741
- Isawi, H., 2019. Evaluating the performance of different nano-enhanced ultrafiltration
- 674 membranes for the removal of organic pollutants from wastewater. J. Water

675 Process Eng. 31, 100833. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.100833

- Jrad, A., Damacet, P., Yaghi, Z., Ahmad, M., Hmadeh, M., 2022. Zr-Based Metal–
 Organic Framework Nanocrystals for Water Remediation. ACS Appl. Nano Mater.
- 678 5, 10795–10808. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsanm.2c02128
- 679 Katz, M.J., Brown, Z.J., Colón, Y.J., Siu, P.W., Scheidt, K.A., Snurr, R.Q., Hupp, J.T.,
- Farha, O.K., 2013. A facile synthesis of UiO-66, UiO-67 and their derivatives.
- 681 Chem. Commun. 49, 9449. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cc46105j
- 682 Koo, W.-T., Jang, J.-S., Kim, I.-D., 2019. Metal-Organic Frameworks for
- 683 Chemiresistive Sensors. Chem 5, 1938–1963.
- 684 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHEMPR.2019.04.013
- 685 Li, J., Gong, J.L., Zeng, G.M., Zhang, P., Song, B., Cao, W.C., Liu, H.Y., Huan, S.Y.,
- 686 2018. Zirconium-based metal organic frameworks loaded on polyurethane foam
- 687 membrane for simultaneous removal of dyes with different charges. J. Colloid
- 688 Interface Sci. 527, 267–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2018.05.028
- 689 Li, Y.X., Wang, X., Wang, C.C., Fu, H., Liu, Y., Wang, P., Zhao, C., 2020. S-
- 690 TiO2/UiO-66-NH2 composite for boosted photocatalytic Cr(VI) reduction and
- bisphenol A degradation under LED visible light. J. Hazard. Mater. 399, 123085.

- Li, Z., Li, Q., Jiang, R., Qin, Y., Luo, Y., Li, J., Kong, W., Yang, Z., Huang, C., Qu, X.,
 Wang, T., Cui, L., Wang, G., Yang, S., Liu, Z., Guo, X., 2022. An electrochemical
 sensor based on a MOF/ZnO composite for the highly sensitive detection of Cu(ii
- 696) in river water samples. RSC Adv. 12, 5062–5071.
- 697 https://doi.org/10.1039/D1RA08376G
- Lin, H., Jie, B., Ye, J., Zhai, Y., Luo, Z., Shao, G., Chen, R., Zhang, X., Yang, Y., 2023.
 Recent advance of macroscopic metal-organic frameworks for water treatment: A
 review. Surfaces and Interfaces 36, 102564.
- 701 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2022.102564
- Lin, S., Zhao, Y., Yun, Y.-S., 2018. Highly Effective Removal of Nonsteroidal Anti-
- inflammatory Pharmaceuticals from Water by Zr(IV)-Based Metal–Organic
- Framework: Adsorption Performance and Mechanisms. ACS Appl. Mater.
- 705 Interfaces 10, 28076–28085. https://doi.org/10.1021/ACSAMI.8B08596
- 706 Liu, N., Hu, B., Tang, K., Xia, T., Li, F., Quan, G., Zhang, X., Tang, L., 2023.
- Assembling UiO-66 into layered HTiNbO5 nanosheets for efficient photocatalytic
- CO2 reduction. Surfaces and Interfaces 41, 103134.
- 709 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2023.103134
- Liu, Y., Huo, Y., Wang, Xiangxue, Yu, S., Ai, Y., Chen, Z., Zhang, P., Chen, L., Song,
- 711G., Alharbi, N.S., Rabah, S.O., Wang, Xiangke, 2021a. Impact of metal ions and
- organic ligands on uranium removal properties by zeolitic imidazolate framework
- 713 materials. J. Clean. Prod. 278, 123216.
- 714 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123216
- Liu, Y., Pang, H., Wang, Xiangxue, Yu, S., Chen, Z., Zhang, P., Chen, L., Song, G.,
- 716 Saleh Alharbi, N., Omar Rabah, S., Wang, Xiangke, 2021b. Zeolitic imidazolate
- 717 framework-based nanomaterials for the capture of heavy metal ions and
- radionuclides: A review. Chem. Eng. J. 406, 127139.
- 719 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127139
- 720 Madumo, T.M., Zikalala, S.A., Gumbi, N.N., Mishra, S.B., Ntsendwana, B., Nxumalo,
- E.N., 2023. Development of nitrogen-doped graphene/MOF nanocomposites
- towards adsorptive removal of Cr(VI) from the wastewater of the Herbert Bickley

723 724	treatment works. Environ. Nanotechnology, Monit. Manag. 20, 100794.
724	https://doi.org/10.1010/j.ennini.2025.100794
725	Man, Z., Meng, Y., Lin, X., Dai, X., Wang, L., Liu, D., 2022. Assembling UiO-
726	66@TiO2 nanocomposites for efficient photocatalytic degradation of dimethyl
727	sulfide. Chem. Eng. J. 431, 133952. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2021.133952
728	Martínez-Huitle, C.A., Panizza, M., 2018. Electrochemical oxidation of organic
729	pollutants for wastewater treatment. Curr. Opin. Electrochem.
730	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coelec.2018.07.010
731	Mestre, A.S., Pires, J., Nogueira, J.M.F., Carvalho, A.P., 2007. Activated carbons for
732	the adsorption of ibuprofen. Carbon N. Y. 45, 1979–1988.
733	https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CARBON.2007.06.005
734	Nagarjun, N., Jacob, M., Varalakshmi, P., Dhakshinamoorthy, A., 2021. UiO-66(Ce)
735	metal-organic framework as a highly active and selective catalyst for the aerobic
736	oxidation of benzyl amines. Mol. Catal. 499, 111277.
737	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2020.111277
738	Oladoye, P.O., Adegboyega, S.A., Giwa, A.R.A., 2021. Remediation potentials of
739	composite metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) for dyes as water contaminants: A
740	comprehensive review of recent literatures. Environ. Nanotechnology, Monit.
741	Manag. 16, 100568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2021.100568
742	Palhares, H.G., Gonçalves, B.S., Silva, L.M.C., Nunes, E.H.M., Houmard, M., 2020.
743	Clarifying the roles of hydrothermal treatment and silica addition to synthesize
744	TiO2-based nanocomposites with high photocatalytic performance. J. Sol-Gel Sci.
745	Technol. 95, 119–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10971-020-05265-4
746	Panda, J., Sahu, S.N., Sahoo, J.K., Biswal, S.P., Pattanayak, S.K., Samantaray, R., Sahu,
747	R., 2020. Efficient removal of two anionic dyes by a highly robust zirconium based
748	metal organic framework from aqueous medium: Experimental findings with
749	molecular docking study. Environ. Nanotechnology, Monit. Manag. 14, 100340.
750	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2020.100340
751	Qiu, J., Feng, Y., Zhang, X., Jia, M., Yao, J., 2017. Acid-promoted synthesis of UiO-66
752	for highly selective adsorption of anionic dyes: Adsorption performance and
753	mechanisms. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 499, 151–158.

- Ragon, F., Horcajada, P., Chevreau, H., Hwang, Y.K., Lee, U.H., Miller, S.R., Devic,
 T., Chang, J.S., Serre, C., 2014. In situ energy-dispersive x-ray diffraction for the
 synthesis optimization and scale-up of the porous zirconium terephthalate UiO-66.
 Inorg. Chem. 53, 2491–2500. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic402514n
- Rahman, K.O., Aziz, K.H.H., 2022. Utilizing scrap printed circuit boards to fabricate
 efficient Fenton-like catalysts for the removal of pharmaceutical diclofenac and
 ibuprofen from water. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 10, 109015.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2022.109015
- Rao, R., Ma, S., Gao, B., Bi, F., Chen, Y., Yang, Y., Liu, N., Wu, M., Zhang, X., 2023.
 Recent advances of metal-organic framework-based and derivative materials in the
 heterogeneous catalytic removal of volatile organic compounds. J. Colloid
 Interface Sci. 636, 55–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2022.12.167
- Ren, J., Langmi, H.W., North, B.C., Mathe, M., Bessarabov, D., 2014. Modulated
 synthesis of zirconium-metal organic framework (Zr-MOF) for hydrogen storage
 applications. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 39, 890–895.
- 770 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2013.10.087
- 771 Rimoldi, M., Howarth, A.J., Destefano, M.R., Lin, L., Goswami, S., Li, P., Hupp, J.T.,
- Farha, O.K., 2017. Catalytic Zirconium/Hafnium-Based Metal-Organic
- Frameworks. ACS Catal. 7, 997–1014. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b02923
- Rozada, F., Calvo, L.F., García, A.I., Martín-Villacorta, J., Otero, M., 2003. Dye
 adsorption by sewage sludge-based activated carbons in batch and fixed-bed
 systems. Bioresour. Technol. 87, 221–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/S09608524(02)00243-2
- Schaate, A., Roy, P., Godt, A., Lippke, J., Waltz, F., Wiebcke, M., Behrens, P., 2011.
 Modulated Synthesis of Zr-Based Metal-Organic Frameworks: From Nano to
 Single Crystals. Chem. A Eur. J. 17, 6643–6651.
- 781 https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201003211
- Shearer, G.C., Chavan, S., Bordiga, S., Svelle, S., Olsbye, U., Lillerud, K.P., 2016.
 Defect Engineering: Tuning the Porosity and Composition of the Metal–Organic
 Framework UiO-66 via Modulated Synthesis. Chem. Mater. 28, 3749–3761.

- Shearer, G.C., Chavan, S., Ethiraj, J., Vitillo, J.G., Svelle, S., Olsbye, U., Lamberti, C., 786 787 Bordiga, S., Lillerud, K.P., 2014. Tuned to Perfection: Ironing Out the Defects in Metal–Organic Framework UiO-66. Chem. Mater. 26, 4068–4071. 788 789 https://doi.org/10.1021/cm501859p
- Sun, W., Li, Haibo, Li, Huimin, Li, S., Cao, X., 2019. Adsorption mechanisms of 790 791 ibuprofen and naproxen to UiO-66 and UiO-66-NH2: Batch experiment and DFT 792 calculation. Chem. Eng. J. 360, 645-653. 793
- https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CEJ.2018.12.021
- 794 Thommes, M., Kaneko, K., Neimark, A. V., Olivier, J.P., Rodriguez-Reinoso, F., Rouquerol, J., Sing, K.S.W., 2015. Physisorption of gases, with special reference 795
- 796 to the evaluation of surface area and pore size distribution (IUPAC Technical
- 797 Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 87, 1051–1069. https://doi.org/10.1515/pac-2014-1117
- 798 Tranchemontagne, D.J., Mendoza-Cortés, J.L., O'Keeffe, M., Yaghi, O.M., 2009. Secondary building units, nets and bonding in the chemistry of metal-organic 799 800 frameworks. Chem. Soc. Rev. 38, 1257-1283. https://doi.org/10.1039/B817735J
- 801 Wang, J., Chen, H., 2020. Catalytic ozonation for water and wastewater treatment: 802 Recent advances and perspective. Sci. Total Environ. 704, 135249.
- 803 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135249
- 804 Wang, J., Zhao, S. qi, Zhang, M. ya, He, B. shu, 2018. Targeted eco-pharmacovigilance 805 for ketoprofen in the environment: Need, strategy and challenge. Chemosphere. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.020 806
- 807 Xie, K., Fu, Q., Xu, C., Lu, H., Zhao, Q., Curtain, R., Gu, D., Webley, P.A., Qiao, G.G., 808 2018. Continuous assembly of a polymer on a metal-organic framework (CAP on
- 809 MOF): a 30 nm thick polymeric gas separation membrane. Energy Environ. Sci.
- 810 11, 544–550. https://doi.org/10.1039/C7EE02820B
- 811 Yang, J.M., 2017. A facile approach to fabricate an immobilized-phosphate zirconium-
- 812 based metal-organic framework composite (UiO-66-P) and its activity in the
- adsorption and separation of organic dyes. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 505, 178-185. 813
- 814 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2017.05.040

815 816	Yoon, S., Calvo, J.J., So, M.C., 2019. Removal of acid orange 7 from aqueous solution by metal-organic frameworks. Crystals 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst9010017
817	Zango, Z.U., Jumbri, K., Sambudi, N.S., Abu Bakar, H.H., Garba, Z.N., Isiyaka, H.A.,
818	Saad, B., 2021. Selective adsorption of dyes and pharmaceuticals from water by
819	UiO metal-organic frameworks: A comprehensive review. Polyhedron 210,
820	115515. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POLY.2021.115515
821	Zhang, J., Hu, Y., Qin, J., Yang, Z., Fu, M., 2020. TiO2-UiO-66-NH2 nanocomposites
822	as efficient photocatalysts for the oxidation of VOCs. Chem. Eng. J. 385, 123814.
823	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.123814
824	Zhang, K.D., Tsai, F.C., Ma, N., Xia, Y., Liu, H.L., Zhan, X.Q., Yu, X.Y., Zeng, X.Z.,
825	Jiang, T., Shi, D., Chang, C.J., 2017. Adsorption behavior of high stable Zr-Based
826	MOFs for the removal of acid organic dye from water. Materials (Basel). 10.
827	https://doi.org/10.3390/MA10020205
828	Zhang, Z., Wang, CC., Zakaria, R., Ying, J.Y., 1998. Role of Particle Size in
829	Nanocrystalline TiO2-Based Photocatalysts. J. Phys. Chem. B 102, 10871–10878.
830	https://doi.org/10.1021/JP982948+
831	Zhao, D., Cai, C., 2021. Cerium-based UiO-66 metal-organic framework for synergistic
832	dye adsorption and photodegradation: A discussion of the mechanism. Dye.
833	Pigment. 185, 108957. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DYEPIG.2020.108957
834	Zhao, X., Bu, X., Wu, T., Zheng, S.T., Wang, L., Feng, P., 2013. Selective anion
835	exchange with nanogated isoreticular positive metal-organic frameworks. Nat.
836	Commun. 2013 41 4, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3344
837	Zhou, H.C., Long, J.R., Yaghi, O.M., 2012. Introduction to metal-organic frameworks.
838	Chem. Rev. 112, 673-674. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr300014x

840 TABLES

841

Micro-Meso-SSA V_{micro} V_{meso} V_{total} Sample porosity porosity $(m^2.g^{-1})$ $(cm^{3}.g^{-1})$ $(cm^{3}.g^{-1})$ $(cm^{3}.g^{-1})$ (V_{micro}/V_{total}) (V_{meso}/V_{total}) UiO-66 948 0.04 0.37 0.41 0.90 0.10 UiO-66 (10_H₂O) 1255 0.50 0.14 0.64 0.78 0.22 UiO-66 (50_H₂O) 831 0.28 1.14 1.42 0.20 0.80 UiO-66 (150_H₂O) 0.78 782 0.20 0.70 0.90 0.22 UiO-66 (2.2_HCl) 593 0.23 0.12 0.35 0.66 0.34 UiO-66 (11_HCl) 833 0.33 0.08 0.41 0.80 0.20 UiO-66 (22_HCl_Katz) 1349 0.55 0.10 0.65 0.85 0.15 UiO-66 (33_HCl) 0.07 0.58 0.88 0.12 1292 0.51 UiO-66 (10_HAc) 0.19 1223 0.52 0.12 0.64 0.81 UiO-66 (50_HAc) 1305 0.58 0.12 0.70 0.83 0.17 UiO-66 (150_HAc) 1356 0.56 0.04 0.60 0.93 0.07

842 Table 1: Textural properties evaluated from the N_2 sorption.

843

Sample	$k_2 \times 10^{-3}$ (g.mg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹)	qe (mg.g ⁻¹)	R ²	Removal Efficiency (%)
UiO-66	0.54	80.2	0.97	75
UiO-66 (10_H ₂ O)	2.47	101.0	1.00	100
UiO-66 (50_H ₂ O)	5.27	96.3	1.00	97
UiO-66 (150_H ₂ O)	2.52	95.5	0.99	96
UiO-66 (2.2_HCl)	1.24	76.9	0.99	74
UiO-66 (11_HCl)	2.20	95.6	1.00	95
UiO-66 (22_HCl_Katz)	2.68	102.8	1.00	98
UiO-66 (33_HCl)	3.25	102.6	1.00	98
UiO-66 (10_HAc)	3.72	101.5	0.99	93
UiO-66 (50_HAc)	1.51	98.9	0.96	90

1.18

0.97

51

51.8

Table 2: Summary of AO7 adsorption by various samples using pseudo-second-order kinetics, with the removal efficiency evaluated at 180 min.

848

UiO-66 (150_HAc)

Sample	SSA (m ² .g ⁻¹)	V _{micro} (cm ³ .g ⁻¹)	V _{meso} (cm ³ .g ⁻¹)	V _{total} (cm ³ .g ⁻¹)	Micro- porosity (V _{micro} /V _{total})	Meso- porosity (V _{meso} /V _{total})
UiO-66	948	0.37	0.04	0.41	0.90	0.10
MIL-140A	597	0.24	0.07	0.31	0.77	0.23
UiO-66 (5_H ₂ O) (5x)	1280	0.49	0.04	0.53	0.92	0.08
UiO-66 (10_H ₂ O) (5x)	1241	0.47	0.04	0.51	0.92	0.08
UiO-66 (50_H ₂ O) (5x)	890	0.31	1.11	1.42	0.22	0.78
UiO-66 (150_H ₂ O) (5x)	567	0.18	0.22	0.40	0.45	0.55

Table 3: Textural properties of samples prepared using water as an additiveat higher concentrations.

Sample	k ₂ × 10 ⁻³ (g.mg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹)	qe (mg.g ⁻¹)	R ²	Removal Efficiency (%)
MIL-140A	1.56	57.8	0.97	58
UiO-66	0.54	80.2	0.97	75
UiO-66 (5_H ₂ O) (5x)	78.31	102.1	1.00	100
UiO-66 (10_H ₂ O) (5x)	7.23	100.7	1.00	100
UiO-66 (50_H ₂ O) (5x)	2.47	80.3	0.99	80

1.83

UiO-66 (150_H₂O) (5x)

61.5

0.98

61

Table 4: Summary of AO7 adsorption kinetics on samples synthesized with 856 H₂O and a 5-fold increase in precursor concentration, evaluated with pseudo-857 se 858

859

860

Adsorbent concentration (mg.L ⁻¹)	k ₂ × 10 ⁻³ (g.mg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹)	qe (mg.g ⁻¹)	R ²	Removal Efficiency (%)
50	0.47	341.10	0.99	34
100	0.70	295.10	0.99	59
150	1.21	262.50	1.00	78
200	1.67	230.00	1.00	92

Table 5: Summary of AO7 adsorption kinetics on UiO-66 (5_H2O) (5×)
optimized sample at different concentrations, using pseudo-second-order
kinetics and measuring removal efficiency at 180 min.

Table 6: Comparison of the adsorption performance of the samples preparedin this study and others reported elsewhere.

Adsorbent	Pollutant	Adsorption Condition C_{eq} in mg.L ⁻¹	Adsorption Result Q_e and Q_{max} in mg.g ⁻¹ k_2 in x10 ⁻³ g.mg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹	Ref.
UiO-66	A07 –	-Langmuir isotherm C _{eq} up to 230 (298K)	$-Q_{max} = 332$ $-Qe = 220 (k_2 = 0.025)$	(Zhang et al., 2017)
UiO-66	A07 –	-Kinetics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 28$ -Kinetics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 39$	$-Q_e = 107 \ (k_2 = N/S)$	(Yoon et al.,
UiO-66 (NH ₂)		-Kinetics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 41$	$-Q_e = 85 \ (k_2 = N/S)$	2019)
UiO-66(Ce)	A07 – M0 –	-Langmuir isotherm C_{eq} up to 130 -Langmuir isotherm C_{eq} up to 150	-Q _{max} = 427 -Q _{max} = 298	(Zhao and Cai,
	MB +	-Langmuir isotherm C _{eq} up to 160	-Q _{max} = 249	2021)
UiO-66	MO – MB +	Langmuir isotherm C_{eq} up to 500	-Q _{max} = 176 -Q _{max} = 22	(Yang, 2017)
UiO-66-P	MO – MB +	Langmuir isotherm C _{eq} up to 500	-Q _{max} = 3.5 -Q _{max} = 95	(Yang, 2017)
UiO-66	MO –	-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 6$	$-Q_e = 70 \ (k_2 = N/S)$	(Qiu et al.,
	MB + MO -	-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 7$ -Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 2$	$-Q_{e} = 67 (k_{2} = N/S)$ $-Q_{e} = 85 (k_{2} = N/S)$	2017) (Qiu et
UiO-66 (HCl)	MB +	-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 17$	$-Q_e = 13 \ (k_2 = N/S)$	al., 2017)

	MB +	-Langmuir isotherm C _{eq} up to 160	$Q_{max} = 41.7$	(Dinh
UiO-66-NO ₂				et al.,
	MO –	-Langmuir isotherm C _{eq} up to 160	Q _{max} = 142.9	2021)
-UiO-66		-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 1.8$	$Q_e = 73 \ (k_2 = 11)$	(Cao et
	IBU –			al.,
-D_UiO-66		-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 0.3$	$Q_e = 79 \ (k_2 = 558)$	2021)
-UiO-66		-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 3$	$-Q_{\rm e} = 128 \; (k_2 = 0.56)$	(Sun et
	IBU –			al.,
-NH ₂ -UiO-66		-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 6.5$	$-Q_e = 51 \ (k_2 = 0.85)$	2019)
	MB +	-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 8$	$-Q_e = 37 \ (k_2 = N/S)$	(Gomes
Silica				et al.,
	DIP –	-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 79$	$-Q_e = 8.5 \ (k_2 = N/S)$	2021)
A			$Q_e = 89 \ (k_2 = 5.2)$	(Mestre
Activated	IBU	-Kinetics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 0.5$		et al.,
Carbon CPAC				2007)
		-Langmuir isotherm C _{eq} up to	Q _{max} = 78	
	MB +	135(298K)		(Brião
Zeolite			$Q_{max} = 86$	et al.,
	CV +	-Langmuir isotherm C _{eq} up to		2018)
		185(298K)		
		-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 33$	$-Q_e = 341 \ (k_2 = 0.5)$	
UiO-66				This
(5 U O) (5)	AO7	-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 20$	$-Q_e = 295 \ (k_2 = 0.7)$	
$(5_H_2O)(5x)$				WORK
		-Kinectics – pseudo 2^{nd} order $C_{eq} = 0.8$	$-Q_e = 192 \ (k_2 = 2.9)$	
UiO-66	IDU		0 172 (1 4 2)	This
(5_H ₂ O) (5x)	IBO	Kinetics – pseudo 2^{hd} order $C_{eq} = 2.9$	$Q_e = 1/3 \ (k_2 = 4.3)$	work
UiO-66				This
$(5_H_2O)(5x)$	MB	Kinetics – pseudo 2^{na} order $C_{eq} = 18$	$Q_e = 20 \ (k_2 = 15)$	work

874 FIGURES

876 Figure 1



Figure 1: XRD patterns of UiO-66 specimens prepared using (a) H₂O, (b) HCl, and (c)
HAc as additives. The peak marked with the symbol "*" is assigned to minor phases
observed in the HAc-derived MOFs.

89	1
----	---

892 Figure 2



Figure 2: TEM micrographs of UiO-66 samples obtained in this work. (a) UiO-66, (b)
UiO-66 (10_H₂O), (c) UiO-66 (50_H₂O), (d) UiO-66 (150_H₂O), (e) UiO-66 (33_HCl),

UiO-66 (10_H₂O), (c) UiO-66 (50_H₂O), (d) UiO-66 (150_H₂O), (e) UiO-66 (33_HCl),
and (f) UiO-66 (150_HAc).

902 Figure 3



Figure 3: (a) XRD pattern of samples obtained after a 5-fold increase in the
concentration of ZrCl₄ and H₂BDC. The XRD patterns of UiO-66 and MIL-140A are also
displayed for reference purposes. The highlighted diffraction peaks have been indexed
according to the work of Butova *et al.* (Butova et al., 2020). TEM micrographs of (b)
MIL-140A, (c) UiO-66 (5_H₂O) (5×), (d) UiO-66 (10_H₂O) (5×), and (e)
UiO-66 (50_H₂O) (5×) are also exhibited.

100 nm

915 Figure 4

916



917

918 - Figure 4: (a) N_2 sorption isotherms and (b) NLDFT and BJH (inset) pore size 919 distributions of samples obtained after a 5-fold increase in the concentration of ZrCl₄ and 920 H₂BDC relative to DMF. The closed and open symbols are related to the adsorption and 921 desorption branches, respectively. The data ascribed to UiO-66 and MIL-140A are also 922 depicted for comparison purposes.



925 Figure 5



928- Figure 5: Adsorption behavior of (a) UiO-66 and (b) UiO-66 (5_H2O) (5×) in aqueous929solutions containing AO7, IBU, and MB. The concentration of adsorbent and pollutants930was kept at 100 mg.L⁻¹ and 20 mg.L⁻¹, respectively. (c) Comparison of the pseudo-second-931order adsorption rate constant (k2) and uptake capacity at equilibrium (qe) evaluated for932these MOFs. (d) Structural representations of the different pollutants used.





Figure 6: Zeta potential as a function of pH for UiO-66 and UiO-66 (5_H₂O) (5×). Inset:
schematic representation comparing the adsorption mechanism of AO7, IBU, and MB on
the optimized porous structure of UiO-66 (5_H₂O) (5×).