# The repetitive structure of DNA clamps: An overlooked protein tandem repeat 

Paula Nazarena Arrías, Alexander Miguel Monzon, Damiano Clementel, Soroush Mozaffari, Damiano Piovesan, Andrey V Kajava, Silvio C.E. Tosatto

## - To cite this version:

Paula Nazarena Arrías, Alexander Miguel Monzon, Damiano Clementel, Soroush Mozaffari, Damiano Piovesan, et al.. The repetitive structure of DNA clamps: An overlooked protein tandem repeat. Journal of Structural Biology, 2023, 215 (3), pp.108001. 10.1016/j.jsb.2023.108001 . hal-04294466

## HAL Id: hal-04294466

https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04294466
Submitted on 19 Nov 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The repetitive structure of DNA clamps: an overlooked protein tandem repeat 

Paula Nazarena Arrías ${ }^{1}$, Alexander Miguel Monzon², Damiano Clementel ${ }^{1}$, Soroush Mozaffari¹, Damiano Piovesan ${ }^{1}$, Andrey V. Kajava ${ }^{3}$, Silvio C.E. Tosatto ${ }^{1 \#}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Padova, via U. Bassi 58/b, 35121 Padova, Italy<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Information Engineering, University of Padova, via Giovanni Gradenigo 6/B, 35131 Padova, Italy<br>${ }^{3}$ Centre de Recherche en Biologie cellulaire de Montpellier (CRBM), UMR 5237 CNRS, Université Montpellier, 1919 Route de Mende, Cedex 5, 34293 Montpellier, France<br>\# To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: silvio.tosatto@unipd.it; Tel: +39 0498276269


#### Abstract

Tandem repeat proteins (TRPs) are a widespread class of non-globular proteins that contain repetitive stretches of amino acids. Structured tandem repeats in proteins (STRiPs) are a specific type of tandem repeats, characterized by a modular three-dimensional structure arrangement. The majority of STRiPs adopt solenoidal structures, but with the increased availability of experimental structural data and top-quality structural predictive models more STRiPs folds can be characterized. Here, we describe an overlooked STRiPs fold present in the DNA sliding clamp processivity factors, which has eluded classification although structural data has been available since the late 1990s. "Box" repeats comprise a fold inside class V of "beads-on-a-string" STRiPs which has been added to the RepeatsDB database, which now provides structural annotation for 66 of these proteins belonging to different organisms, including viruses.
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## INTRODUCTION

Tandem repeat proteins (TRPs) are a ubiquitous type of non-globular proteins characterized by repetitive sequence elements arranged in tandem (Kajava \& Tosatto, 2018). The length of these
repetitive stretches, or "repetitive units", can range from just a few residues to more than a hundred. This distinct organization can generate a modular 3D protein structure made up of repetitions of the same structural unit denominated STRiPs (Structural Tandem Repeats in Proteins). The repetitive units are defined as the smallest structural building block that make up the repetitive region (Di Domenico et al., 2014). Repetitive regions however, are usually not perfect and can include insertions, i.e. segments that do not belong to the repetitive units, which can be found inside the units or between them.
TPRs are reported to be highly prevalent in eukaryotes, but they are also present in bacteria and archaea, as well as in some viruses (Marcotte et al., 1999; Delucchi et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2008).

Kajava (Kajava, 2012) proposed a classification for STRiPs based on their architecture and the length of their units, grouping tandem repeat protein structures into five distinct classes. Class I groups proteins that form crystalline aggregates, in which the repetitive regions have very short repeat units (1 or 2 amino acids). Class II gathers fibrous structures that require interchain interactions for stabilization, and in which the repeats have a length of 3 to 7 residues. Class III is mainly composed of elongated structures (mostly different types of solenoids), with repeats in the range from 5-45 amino acids. The units in this class require one another to maintain the structure. Class IV of closed structures, has a similar repeat length to Class III (each repeat averaging 3060 amino acids in length), but in contrast to elongated repeats, have a fixed number of units due to the circular nature of the structure, although they still need one another to maintain the stability. Lastly, Class V is mainly dominated by structures of different "beads on a string" repeats, in which the units have average lengths of over 50 residues. These longer values in repeat length, makes it possible for each unit to fold into small "globular" domains loosely connected to each other, like the repeats present in, for example, different types of zinc fingers and annexin repeats. However, the increased structural data deposited into the Protein Data Bank has led to the identification of other types or structures that belong to Class V. Included within these structures are spectrin repeats (IPR002017) and sushi repeats (IPR000436), among others.

Identification, classification and annotation of new types of STRiPs is a continuous effort. The RepeatsDB database (Paladin et al., 2021) collects experimental STRiPs information, and provides both classification (based on Kajava's proposal) and annotation of tandem repeat protein structures.

DNA replication is a crucial process in all domains of life, including some viruses, which is carried out by a multi-protein complex denominated DNA replisome. A quintessential protein of the replisome is the DNA polymerase (DNApol). DNA polymerases synthesize complementary DNA in a 5' to 3' direction, and present outstanding fidelity which assures precise replication of the genomes (Lehman et al., 1958). In contraposition to their high fidelity, DNA polymerases, in general, have very low processivity. Processivity refers to the ability of the DNApol to synthesize DNA continuously on a template without dissociating from it, and it is usually measured in terms of the number of nucleotides that are incorporated into the growing DNA molecule per binding event (Zhuang \& Ai, 2010). For the complete replication of large DNA genomes, DNApol processivity is not enough. However, this has been made possible due to the existence of other proteins; the processivity factors (Mace \& Alberts, 1984). A specific type of processivity factors, known as DNA sliding clamps, are proteins that enhance the processivity of the DNApol by holding it together with the DNA.

Although structural information of DNA sliding clamps has been around since the early 1990s (Kong et al., 1992), they have not been previously classified as containing STRiPs. Here we set out to provide a classification for these processivity factors following Kajava's scheme, comparing their structures, sequences and distribution across the domains of life.

## METHODS

The RepeatsDB database (Paladin et al., 2021) was used to initially retrieve a dataset of protein structures with "Box" repeats. In addition, DNA clamp protein sequences with experimental structures were retrieved from UniProt (The UniProt Consortium, 2023) by using the CATH superfamily identifier 3.70.10.10 which contains the fold denominated "box". These initial sets of structures were grouped by protein sequence with the UniProt accession number, and by protein family/domain with Pfam (Mistry et al., 2021). The identification of repeated units and insertions was manually performed following the same protocol of biocuration in the RepeatsDB database. A total of 66 PDB structures were annotated, representing 64 different protein sequences and Pfam families/domains (Supplementary Table 1).

Structural similarity between repeat units was calculated by performing pairwise structural alignments with the software TM-align (Zhang \& Skolnick, 2005). The multiple sequence alignment of the units were derived from the aligned residues on the structural alignment, and
visualized with the software JalView (Waterhouse et al., 2009). The alignment consensus was calculated using the EMBOSS Cons tool (EMBL-EBI). The clustering analysis was performed using Scikit-learn and the algorithm DBSCAN (Pedregosa et al., 2011; Ester et al., 1996).
FoldSeek server (van Kempen et al., 2022) was used to search the PDB for similar folds to Box repeats.
PyMOL Molecular Graphics System was used for protein structure representation (Schrödinger, LLC, 2015).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## DNA clamps are composed of structural tandem repeats

## Structural architecture and unit definition

Most DNA clamps form ring-shaped oligomers with six domains and have been previously described to have 6 -fold pseudosymmetry (Oakley, 2016). The domains are superimposable with each other and they are composed of two $\square$-helices and eight $\beta$-strands. However, looking into the arrangement of the secondary structure elements in each domain it is possible to define two repetitions of $\beta \square \beta \beta \beta$ modules. These repetitions, or units, are arranged in tandem (Figure 1A). Although the structural similarity of the units belonging to the same protein is high, sequentially this is not always the case (Figure 1B and 1C).
The overall arrangement of the units gives rise to a structure denominated as "box" in CATH/Gene3D database, and all the structures of DNA clamps analyzed (Table 1) belong to the superfamily 3.70.10.10 (Dawson et al., 2017).
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Figure 1: Repetitive units definition and organization in DNA clamps. (A) Unit organization and insertion in PDB 1plqA. (B) Unit multiple sequence alignment. Arrows represent $\beta$-strands and black cylinders represent $\square$-helices. (C) Unit structural similarity matrix (TM-score) for PDB 1plqA.

## Insertions

Tandem repeats are usually not perfect, and their modular structure makes them prone to deletions, duplications and insertions. Insertions are elements that do not belong to the repeat units, and can appear inside units or between them. They do not contribute to the stability of the repeat, but they usually have a functional role, such as binding (Paladin et al., 2020). Such is the case of DNA clamps, in which every two units there is a non-repetitive segment, composed by a loop (IDCL, inter-domain connecting loop), which connects the last unit of one domain to the first one of the other (Figure 1A). The interaction of DNA clamps with multiple of their partners, such as p21, Fen1 and DNA ligase, among others, are mediated through residues of the IDCL (Pascal et al., 2006; Sakurai et al., 2005; Warbrick et al., 1995).

## The structural organization of DNA sliding clamps varies across the domains of life

## Bacterial DNA sliding clamps

The first structural information of these processivity factors came out in 1992 with the determination of Escherichia coli beta sliding clamp (coded by gene dnaN) structure (Kong et al., 1992). Up to this day, the same protein (UniProt ID P0A988) has been crystallized multiple times, and the structures of other 23 bacterial sliding clamps have been solved (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).
Bacterial sliding clamp monomers have about 378 amino acids. They present three distinct Pfam domains; PF00712, PF02768, PF02767 (Figure 2A). The structures of these domains are highly similar, showing an average TM-score of 0.75 (Supplementary Figure 1).
Two monomers assemble in a heat-to-tail fashion to form a donut-shaped homodimer that accommodates double stranded DNA (dsDNA) in a central cavity of around $35 \AA$ in diameter (Kong et al., 1992) (Figure 2B). The dimer interacts with DNA polymerase III and is "loaded" onto DNA by the action of the clamp loader complex.

## Eukaryotic PCNA and 9-1-1 clamp

Homo sapiens DNA sliding clamp (UniProt ID P12004), commonly known as proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), was originally discovered as an antigen reacting with antibodies derived from systemic lupus erythematosus patients' sera (Miyachi et al., 1978). Homologs in other eukaryotic organisms were described afterwards. Although there is virtually no sequence similarity between eukaryotic and bacterial DNA sliding clamps (Acharya et al., 2021), their structures are highly similar. Each PCNA monomer has an average length of 260 residues, and presents two distinct Pfam domains; PF00705 and PF02747. As in the case of their bacterial counterparts, both domains share structural similarity, with an average TM-score of 0.79 (Supplementary Figure 1), but in contraposition to the DNA sliding clamps of bacteria, PCNA monomers assemble into a trimer (Gulbis et al., 1996). The PCNA trimer increases DNApol processivity by directly interacting with it and holding it to the DNA during chromosome replication. In addition to PCNA, eukaryotic cells also have another structurally similar DNA sliding clamp (Venclovas \& Thelen, 2000) that is involved in replication checkpoint control (S-phase progression, G2/M arrest) and DNA repair that has been denominated the 9-1-1 complex (ParrillaCastellar et al., 2004). A fundamental difference between PCNA and the 9-1-1 complex is that
the latter is a heterotrimer made up from 3 distinct proteins that in humans are called RAD9 (UniProt ID Q99638), RAD1 (UniProt ID O60671) and HUS1 (UniProt ID O60921).
RAD9 of Homo sapiens is a protein of 391 amino acids, almost the average length of the bacterial sliding clamps, but it is structurally similar to PCNA, with the difference that Pfam assigns only one domain to the entire protein (PF04139).

RAD1 and HUS1 have lengths of 280 and 282 amino acids respectively, more similar to the average length of the rest of the eukaryotic PCNAs. As with RAD9, Pfam only assigns one domain for each protein, being PF02144 for RAD1 and PF04005 for HUS1. In the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the proteins DDC1 (UniProt ID Q08949), MEC3 (UniProt ID Q02574) and RAD17 (UniProt ID P48581) make up the 9-1-1 clamp. The structures of 20 different proteins have been deposited into the PDB so far (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

## Archaeal PCNA

The DNA sliding clamps of Archaea resemble eukaryotic PCNA. Each monomer has an average length of 248 residues and presents the two distinct domains of eukaryotic PCNA; PF00705 and PF02747. In contrast to eukaryotic PCNA, some archaeans present two (Thermococcus kodakarensis) and even three (Sulfolobus solfataricus and Sulfurisphaera tokodaii) PCNA homologs, which assemble as heterotrimers, or even as a heterotetramer which has been proposed can accommodate in its cavity a Holliday junction (Kawai et al., 2011). There are currently 14 different archaeal PCNAs structures in the PDB (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

## Viral processivity factors

Some double stranded DNA viruses and bacteriophages also have proteins that act as processivity factors. Currently, the structure of only 6 of these viral proteins (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1) has been elucidated.

The protein GP45 of two species of bacteriophages; Mosigvirus RB69 (previously known as Escherichia phage RB69) and Tequatrovirus T4 (also known as T4 bacteriophage) have a length of 228 amino acids. Similarly to eukaryotic and archaeal DNA sliding clamps, GP45 forms a homotrimeric ring, and each monomer has two distinct domains; PF02916, PF09116, which are structurally similar although sequentially there is no apparent similarity.

Human alphaherpesvirus 1 (HHV-1) processivity factor, a protein of 488 amino acids denominated UL42 (UniProt ID P10226), differs from the previously mentioned DNA sliding clamps. Structurally, the N-terminal domain of UL42 adopts a similar conformation as archaeal and
eukaryotic clamps but the C-terminal domain is predicted to be disordered by MobiDB (Piovesan et al., 2018). Pfam assigns a sole domain for the N-terminal region, PF02282, which is a member of the CL0060 clan (same as the other sliding clamps). In contrast to the other sliding clamps, UL42 apparently binds DNA as a monomer and not as a ring-shaped oligomer (Randell \& Coen, 2004).

Table 1. Experimental structures analyzed in this work. PDB codes and chain IDs grouped by domain of life.

| Domain of Life |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bacteria |  |  | Eukarya |  | Archaea |  | Viruses |
| 6degA | 3t0pA | 6d46A | 3g65A | 4ztdA | 3hi8A | 2hiiA | 1b77A |
| 4k74A | 4tr6A | 6ptvA | 3a1jC | 6qh1A | 5a6dA | 2hikC | 1czdA |
| 6 amqC | 6dj8A | 2avtA | 3a1jB | 1plqA | 6t8hE | 1 rwzA | 2z01A |
| 6ap4A | $5 \mathrm{wce} A$ | 2awaA | 7wp3E | 7bupA | 6aigA | 1iz4A | 3hslX |
| 4tr7A | 5x06A | 6d47A | $3 \mathrm{k} 4 \times \mathrm{A}$ | 7ep8A | 1ud9A | 3aixA | 1t6lA |
| 3p16A | 4n96A | 6manA | 5tupA | 701eA | $31 \times 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | $31 \times 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1 dml A |
| 5 ah 2 A | 4rkiA | 4trtA | 3p91A | 2zvwA | 2hiiB | 3aixB |  |
| 6dm6A | 4tr8A | 1 vpkA | $4 \mathrm{cs5} 5$ | 7sh2H |  |  |  |
| 6d46A | 6 manA | 7evpA | 2zvvA | 7sh2G |  |  |  |
| 7 rzmA | 7evpA | 7rzmA | 4hk1A | 7sh2F |  |  |  |



Figure 2: Structural organization of DNA sliding clamps across the domains of life (A) Pfam domains present in DNA clamps and their distribution across domains of life (including viruses). (B) Oligomerization states of DNA clamps. In red one monomer. (C) Average length of the Pfam domains present in DNA clamps.

Similarly to HHV-1 UL42, the processivity factor of another herpesvirus, UL44 (UniProt ID P16790) of human betaherpesvirus 5 (HHV-5), a protein of 433 residues, presents a N-terminal domain (PF03325) that is structurally similar to PCNA. However, the crystal structure suggests that it exerts its function as a C-shaped dimer, in which both monomers interact in a head-to-head fashion, instead of a ring formed by the heat-to-tail interaction of the monomers (Appleton et al., 2004). This situation also applies for HHV-8 protein PF-8 (UniProt ID Q77ZG5) (Baltz et al., 2009)
and BMRF1 (UniProt ID P03191) of HHV-4 (Murayama et al., 2009), which have a length of 396 and 404 residues, respectively. The N-terminal domain of both proteins is classified as PF04929.

The length of the monomers defines the number of units within the repetitive region; in the case of eukaryotic, archaeal and viral DNA clamps, the number of units in each monomer is four, while the bacterial clamps have six (Figure 3A and 3C). The average length of each unit is quite homogeneous in the case of Archaea, Bacteria and Eukarya but for viruses this number can vary quite a lot (Figure 3D). However, the number of experimental structures for viral processivity factors available on the PDB is small (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).


Figure 3: The repeats present in DNA clamps vary across domains of life. (A) Protein average length in amino acids (B) Repetitive region average length in amino acids (C) Total number of units per region in
the different domains of life. (D) Unit length distribution (in residues). In all cases, the red dotted line represents mean values.

## Structural Tandem Repeat classification of DNA clamps: "Box" repeats

## General classification

Box repeats are about 60 residue long. Given the average unit length of the repeats as well as the tight connection between units, box repeats can be classified as belonging to either Class IV or Class V structures. Kajava's classification is based not only on the length of the repeat, but also on the context under which a repetitive unit of such a length can fold into a stable structure. The individual repeats of the Class IV structures cannot form a stable structure and become stable in the context of closed (ring-like) structures. At the same time, the repeats from Class V are large enough to fold independently into stable domains. Thus, evidence about the ability of a single Box repeat to fold or not to fold into the stable structure becomes essential for the classification. We searched the PDB database to find single structural domains similar to the $\beta \square \beta \beta \beta$ modules of Box repeats. Some proteins, such as the Ragulator complex protein LAMTOR4 (Q0VGL1, PDB ID 5VOK_A) and the polyprotein of Hepacivirus C (H9XGD6, PDB ID 4UOI_A) have single domains with the structures (Rasheed et al., 2019; El Omari et al., 2014) similar to one Box repeat, suggesting that Box repeats have a potential to fold independently. Therefore, Box repeat proteins can be assigned to Class V rather than to Class IV.

## RepeatsDB classification

RepeatsDB (Paladin et al., 2021) is a database that provides annotation and classification of STRiPs. The classification is based on Kajava's scheme, with the first level of classification being "Class". However, it incorporates 4 extra levels of classification; "Topology" (second level of classification), which is distinguished by the general path of the polypeptide chain and the type of secondary structure in the repeat units, "Fold" (third level of classification), which comprises variants of a certain topology that present structural differences in the number of secondary structure elements, additional structural elements and overall structural arrangement, "Clan" (fourth level of classification) which is a subfold, and lastly "Family" (fifth level of classification), which groups proteins with a common ancestor based on sequence similarity.

Within this classification, Box repeats comprise a unique fold within the Alpha/beta beads topology of Class V. Structural clustering analysis of the regions employing DBSCAN shows that the box repeats from bacteriophages form a separate cluster in the range of $73 \%-76 \%$ of structural similarity and hence we propose they form a separate clan. The level of family has not yet been implemented into the current version of the database, and thus remains unassigned for box repeats.

## Eukaryotic PCNA exon arrangement does not exhibit the periodicity of their repeat units

It has been suggested that in eukaryotes, repeat segments could correspond to exons, thus allowing their easy duplication and shuffling (Schaper \& Anisimova, 2015). The instances in which the structural symmetry of the tandem repeat regions is also seen in their exon arrangement supports the notion that STRiPs can evolve through duplication of their coding exons. Such is the case of some repeat types, such as ankyrins and leucine-rich repeats (Paladin et al., 2020).
We examined this periodicity in the exon arrangement for the eukaryotic DNA clamps with available structure, and employed the pipeline developed by Paladin and collaborators (Paladin et al., 2020) to produce their "repeat/exon plots", which allow the visualization of the alignment between the structural units and exons.
We found that for eukaryotic clamps there is not a uniform pattern. For example, both Saccharomyces cerevisiae PCNA (P15873) and the three proteins that compose its 9-1-1 complex are encoded by a single exon, while Homo sapiens PCNA (P12004) is encoded by 6, but shows a complex pattern (data not shown). Arabidopsis thaliana PCNA1 (Q9M7Q7) and PCNA2 (Q9ZW35) are, however, encoded by 4 exons, but these do not correspond to the structural units, just partial parts of them.

## CONCLUSION

Here we have provided a classification for the structural tandem repeats present in the DNA clamp processivity factors within Kajava's scheme. We have manually annotated 66 protein structures from different organisms, including DNA viruses. This new data and structural description will help to increase and improve the coverage of the "Box" repeats in the RepeatsDB database, as well as improve the identification and study of these proteins.
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## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Table 1. DNA clamps with experimental structures. In bold the structures analyzed in this work.

| Domain of Life | UniProt ID | PDB ID and Chain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Archaea | D0VWY8 | $3 i f v A, 3 i f v B, 3 i f v C, 3 h i 8 A, 3 h i 8 B, 3 h i 8 C, 3 h i 8 D, 3 h i 8 E$, 3hi8F |
|  | C5A5N6 | 5a6dA, 5a6dB, 7n5iB, 7n5iC, 7n5id, 7n5iA, 7n5jB, 7n5jC, $7 n 5 j D, 7 n 5 j A, 7 n 5 k A, 7 n 5 k C, 7 n 5 k B, 7 n 5 k D, 7 n 51 A, 7 n 51 B$, 7n51C, 7n51D, 7n5mB, 7n5mA, 7n5nB, 7n5nA |
|  | Q9UYx8 | 6t8hD, 6t8hE, 6t7xA, 6t7yA |
|  | Q9YFT8 | 6aigA |
|  | Q975N2 | 1ud9A, 1ud9B, 1ud9C, 1ud9D |
|  | Q5JF32 | 6kncE, 6kncC, 6kncD, 6knbC, 6knbD, 6knbE, 5da7A, 5daiA, 31x1A |
|  | Q97284 | $7 r p \times B, 2 i o 4 D, 2 i o 4 B, 7 r p w B, 2 h i i B, 7 r p o B, 2 h i k B, 2 i x 2 B$, 2ntiH, 2ntiE, 2ntiB, 2izoB |
|  | P57766 | 7rpxA, 2io4C, 2io4A, 7rpwA, 2hiiA, 7rpoA, 2hikA, 2ix2A, 2ntiG, 2ntiD, 2ntiA, 2izoC |
|  | P57765 | 7rpoc, 7rpxC, 7rpwC, 2ijxC, 2ijxD, 2ijxA, 2ijxB, 2hiiC, 2hikC, 2ix2C, 2ntiF, 2ntiC, 2ntiI |
|  | 029912 | $1 \mathrm{rxmA}, 1 \mathrm{rwzA}, 3 \mathrm{P} 83 \mathrm{~A}, 3 \mathrm{3} 83 \mathrm{~B}, 3 \mathrm{3p83C}, 1 \mathrm{rxzA}$ |
|  | 073947 | 1isqA, 5aujA, 1iz4A, 3a2fB, 1iz5A, 1iz5B, 1ge8A |


|  | Q973F5 | 3aizC, 3aizD, 3aixA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q5JFD3 | $31 \times 2 A, 31 \times 2 B, 31 \times 2 C$ |
|  | Q975M2 | 3aizA, 3aizB, 3aixB |
| Eukarya | Q99638 | 3ggrA, 3a1jA, 6hm5B, 7z6hA, 3g65A, 6j8yA |
|  | 060671 | $3 \mathrm{ggrC}, 3 \mathrm{a} 1 \mathrm{jC}, ~ 6 j 8 y C, ~ 7 z 6 h B, ~ 3 g 65 B$ |
|  | 060921 | 3ggrB, 3a1jB, 6j8yB, 7z6hC, 3g65C |
|  | B5TV91 | 7wp3E, 7wp3A, 7wp3B, 7wp3c, 7wp3D, 7wp3F, 5hacD, 5hacA, 5hacB, 5hacC, 5hacE, 5hacF, 5h0tA, 5h0tD, 5h0tF, 5h0tE, $5 \mathrm{~h} 0 \mathrm{tB}, 5 \mathrm{~h} 0 \mathrm{tC}, 6 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{mF}, 6 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{mE}, 6 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{~mA}, 6 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{mD}, 6 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{mB}, 6 \mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{mC}$, $6 j 0 j B, 6 j 0 j A, 6 j 0 j D, 6 j 0 j E, 6 j 0 j C, 6 j 0 j F, 5 c f k A, 5 c f k B$, $5 c f k C, 5 c f k D, 5 c f k E, 5 c f k F$ |
|  | A6ZL36 | 3k4xA |
|  | A0A0J5SJF1 | 5tupA, 5tupB, 5tupC |
|  | C4M9R9 | 3p91A |
|  | G1E6N7 | 4cs5A, 4cs5B, 4cs5C |
|  | Q9M7Q7 | 6009C, 6009A, 6009K, 2zvvA, 2zvvB |
|  | P17917 | 4hk1A |
|  | P12004 | $4 r j f A, 4 r j f C, 4 r j f E, 5 m l o E, 5 m l o A, 5 m l o C, 6 s 1 n E, 6 s 1 n F$, 6s1ng, 5mavE, 5mavA, 5mavC, 5mavB, 5mavF, 5mavD, 6cbiA, $6 \mathrm{cbiC}, 6 \mathrm{cbiE}, 6 \mathrm{cbiB}, 6 \mathrm{cbiD}, 6 \mathrm{cbiF}, 6 q \mathrm{cgA}, 6 q \mathrm{cgC}, 6 \mathrm{cgD}$, 6qcgB, 6qcgF, 6qcgE, 6hvoC, 6hvoA, 6hvoB, 3ja9C, 3ja9A, $3 j a 9 b, 5 y c o C, 5 y c o A, 5 y c o b, 5 y c o D, 6 k 3 a E, 6 k 3 a A, 6 k 3 a C$, 6gwsA, 6gwsB, 6gwsC, 4d2gA, 4d2gB, 4d2gC, $6 \mathrm{fcmA}, 6 \mathrm{fcmC}$, $6 f c n A, 6 f c n C, 5 e 0 v A, 5 e 0 v B, 5 e 0 t A, 5 e 0 t B, 5 e 0 t C, 5 e 0 u A$, 5e0uB, $5 \mathrm{e} 0 \mathrm{uC}, 7 \mathrm{~m} 5 \mathrm{nA}, 7 \mathrm{~m} 5 \mathrm{nB}, 7 \mathrm{~m} 5 \mathrm{nC}, 7 \mathrm{~m} 5 \mathrm{mC}, 7 \mathrm{~m} 5 \mathrm{~mA}, 7 \mathrm{~m} 5 \mathrm{mB}$, 7m51C, 7m51A, 7m51B, 7nv1B, 7nv1C, 7nv0D, 7nv0B, 7nv0C, 4ztdA, 4ztdB, 4ztdC, 6tnyE, 6tnyF, 6tnyG, 6tnzE, 6tnzF, 6 tnzG, $1 \mathrm{u} 76 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{u} 76 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{u} 76 \mathrm{E}, 1 \mathrm{axcE}, 1 \mathrm{axcA}, 1 \mathrm{axcC}, 5 \mathrm{momA}$, $5 \mathrm{momB}, 5 \mathrm{momC}, 6 \mathrm{gisC}, 6 \mathrm{gisA}, 6 \mathrm{gisB}, 3 \mathrm{tblA}, 3 \mathrm{tblB}, 3 \mathrm{tblC}$, $5 \mathrm{mlwA}, 5 \mathrm{mlwC}, 5 \mathrm{mlwE}, 6 q c 0 A, 6 q c 0 C, 3 v k x A, 7 e f a A, 1 w 60 A$, 1w60B, 5iy4A, 5iy4C, 5iy4E, 6ehtA, 6ehtC, 6ehtB, 7kq1E, $7 \mathrm{kq} 1 \mathrm{~A}, 7 \mathrm{kq1C}, 7 \mathrm{kq} \theta \mathrm{A}, 7 \mathrm{kq} \theta \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{kq} \theta \mathrm{E}, 1 \mathrm{u} 7 \mathrm{bA}, 1 v y m \mathrm{~A}, 1 v y m B$, 1vymC, 1vyjK, 1vyjA, 1vyjC, 1vyjE, 1vyjG, 1vyjI, 6s1oG, 6s1oE, 6s1oF, 6s1mE, 6s1mF, 6s1mG, 3wgwB, 3wgwA, 6vvoF, $6 v v o G, 6 v v o H, 2 z v k C, 2 z v k A, 3 p 87 A, 3 p 87 C, 3 p 87 E, 2 z v 1 A$, $2 z v 1 C, 2 z v 1 E, 2 z v m A, 2 z v m C$ |


|  | Q03392 | 6qh1A, 6qh1B, 6qh1C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P15873 | 8dqzF, 8dqzG, 8dqzH, 8dqxF, 8dqxG, 8dqxH, 3v61B, 3v60B, 4l60A, 6cx2A, 5t9dA, 5t9dB, 5t9dC, 7tfjF, 7tfjG, 7tfjH, 7tfiF, 7tfiG, 7tfiH, 7tfhH, 7tfhG, 7tfhF, 3f1wA, 6e49C, 6e49A, 6e49B, 4yhrA, 6cx3A, 1sxjF, 1sxjG, 1sxjH, 7tibF, 7tibG, 7tibH, 7ticF, 7ticG, 7ticH, 3v62B, 3v62E, 8dr4F, 8dr4G, 8dr4H, 7tidF, 7tidG, 7tidH, 7kc0G, 8dr3F, 8dr3G, 8dr3H, 5jneD, 5jneH, 3gpnA, 3gpmA, 7u1pF, 7u1pG, 7u1pH, 7tkuG, 7tkuH, 7tkuF, 310wA, 3l0wB, 3pgeB, 3pgeA, 6cx4A, 4l6pA, 4l6pB, 4l6pC, 5v7kA, 5v7lA, 5v7mA, 6d0rA, 6d0qA, 6w9wA, 7thvF, 7thvG, 7thvH, 3110B, 3110A, 7u1aF, 7u1aG, 7u1aH, 2od8A, 8dr6F, 8dr6G, 8dr6H, 310xA, 310xB, 7ti8F, 7ti8G, 7ti8H, 5zutA, 8dr1F, 8dr1G, 8dr1H, 1plrA, 1plqA, 6wacA , 7u19F, 7u19G, 7u19H, 7thjF, 7thjG, 7thjH, |
|  | Q5AMN0 | 7bupA, 7bupB, 7bupC |
|  | Q7SF71 | 7ep8A |
|  | G0SF70 | 7o1eA, 7o1fB, 701fC, 7o1fD, 7o1fE, 7o1fF, 7o1fA |
|  | Q9ZW35 | $2 z v w C, 2 z v w D, 2 z v w E, 2 z v w F, 2 z v w G, 2 z v w H, 2 z v w A, ~ 2 z v w B$ |
|  | Q08949 | 7sh2H, 7sgzH, 7stbG, 7st9G |
|  | P48581 | 7sh2G, 7sgzG, 7stbF, 7st9F |
|  | Q02574 | 7sh2F, 7stbH, 7st9H, 7sgzF |
| Bacteria | J1IY24 | 6ptrA, 6degB, 6degA |
|  | Q1R4N6 | 4k74A, 4k74B |
|  | G8LES0 | 6amqA, 6amqB, 6amqC, 6amqD |
|  | V5V7W3 | 6ap4N, 6ap40, 6ap4P, 6ap4F, 6ap4A, 6ap4B, 6ap4C, 6ap4D, 6ap4E, 6ap4G, 6ap4H, 6ap4I, 6ap4J, 6ap4K, 6ap4L, 6ap4M |
|  | P9WNU0 | 6fvnA, 6fvnC, 4tr7A, 4tr7B, 6fvoD, 6fvoB, 6fvoc, 6fvoA |
|  | P9WNU1 | 3rb9A, 3rb9B, 3p16D, 3p16A, 3p16B, 3p16E, 3p16C, 3p16F, 5agvA, 5agvB, 5aguB, 5aguA |
|  | A0QND6 | 5ah2A, 5ah2B, 5ah2C, 5ah2D, 5ah4A, 5ah4B |
|  | Q92I37 | 5w7zA, 5w7zB, 6dm6A, 6dm6B |
|  | Q68WW0 | 6d46A, 6djkA |
|  | A0A0H3AWV3 | 6ptvA, 6ptvB, 6ptvD, 6dlkA, 6dlkB |


|  | Q9EVR1 | 2avtA, 2avtB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 006672 | 2awaA, 2awaB, 2awaC, 2awaD |
|  | B2HI47 | 6d47A, 6dlyB, 6dlyA |
|  | C4Z938 | $3 t 0 p B, 3 t 0 p A$ |
|  | P05649 | 4tr6A, 4tr6B, 6e8dC, 6e8dD, 6e8dB, 6e8dA |
|  | P33761 | 6dj8A, 6dj8B |
|  | P0CAU5 | 5wceB, 5wceA, 6izoA, 6izoB, 6jirB, 6jirA |
|  | P0A990 | 5x06A, 5x06B, 5x06C, 5x06D, 6fvmA, 6fvmB |
|  | P0A988 | 7azfB, 7azfC, 7azfD, 7azfA, 7azgH, 7azgA, 7azgG, 7azgB, <br> 7azgC, 7azgD, 7azgE, 7azgF, 7azdA, 7azdB, 7azdC, 7azdD, <br> 7azeA, 7azeB, 7azcA, 7azcB, 7azcC, 7azcD, 3qsbA, 3qsbB, <br> 3q4jA, 3q4jB, 3q4jE, 3q4jC, 3q4jD, 3q4jF, 2xurB, 2xurA, <br> 3q4lA, 3q4lB, 7azkC, 7azkA, 3f1vB, 3f1vA, 3pweA, 3pweB, <br> 1jqjA, 1jqjB, 4n99B, 4n99A, 6e8eA, 6e8eB, 4n98A, 4n98B, <br> $1 \mathrm{mmiB}, 1 \mathrm{mmiA}, 4 \mathrm{k} 3 o \mathrm{~A}, 4 \mathrm{k} 3 o \mathrm{~B}, 4 \mathrm{k} 3 \mathrm{lA}, 4 \mathrm{k} 31 \mathrm{~B}, 4 \mathrm{k} 3 \mathrm{~mA}, 4 \mathrm{k} 3 \mathrm{mB}$, <br> $4 k 3 k A, 4 k 3 k B, 3 d 1 f A, 3 d 1 f B, 3 d 1 g A, 3 d 1 g B, 3 d 1 e B, 3 d 1 e A$, <br> $4 k 3 r B, 4 k 3 r A, 4 m j q A, 4 m j q B, 4 k 3 p B, 4 k 3 p A, 4 k 3 q A, 4 k 3 q B$, <br> 4n95B, 4n95A, 4n94B, 4n94A, 4n97B, 4n97A, 2polA, 2polB, <br> 5m1sB, 5m1sC, 3q4kA, 3q4kB, 6fvlD, 6fvlC, 6fvlA, 6fvlB, <br> 4k3sB, 4k3sA, 7az6A, 7az7A, 7az5D, 7az5C, 7az5A, 7az5B, <br> 7azlD, 7azlA, 7azlB, 7azlC, 4mjrA, 4mjrB, 7az8A, 7az8B, <br> 4mjpA, 4mjpB, 1jqlA, 4n9aA, 4n9aB, 1ok7A, 1ok7B, 5fkuC, <br> 5fkuB, $5 f k v B, 5 f k v C, 5 f k w B, 5 f k w C, 1 u n n B, 1 u n n A, 4 n 96 A$, 4n96B |
|  | 025242 | 5g4qA, 5g4qB, 5g48A, 5g48B, 5fxtA, 4rkiA, 5fveA, 4s3iA, 4s3iB, 5frqD, 5frqA, 5frqB, 5frqC |
|  | Q9I7C4 | 4tr8A, 4tr8B, 6amsD, 6amsA, 6amsB, 6amsC, 6pthA, 4tszK, 4tszI, 4tszO, 4tszM, 4tszA, 4tszC, 4tszE, 4tszG |
|  | Q1RIS7 | 6manA, 6manB |
|  | Q9RYE8 | 4trtA, 4trtB |
|  | Q9WYA0 | 1 vpkA |
|  | P0A024 | 7evpA |
|  | B2FT80 | 7rzmA |
|  | 080164 | 1b8hA, 1b8hB, 1b8hC, 1b77A, 1b77B, 1b77C |


| Viruses | P04525 | $3 u 60 H, 3 u 61 G, 3 u 61 H, 3 u 61 F, 3 u 5 z P, 3 u 5 z G, 3 u 5 z H, 3 u 5 z F$, 3u5zQ, 3u5zR, 6drtC, 6drtA, 6drtB, 1czdA, 1czdB, 1czdC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | P03191 | 2z01A, 2z01B, 2z01C, 2z01D, 2z0lE, 2z0lF, 2z0lG, 2z01H |
|  | Q77ZG5 | 3i2mX, 3hslX |
|  | P16790 | 1yypA, 5ixaB, 5ixaA, 5iwdA, 1t61A |
|  | P10226 | $1 \mathrm{dmlC}, 1 \mathrm{dmlE}, 1 \mathrm{dmlA}, 1 \mathrm{dmlG}$ |

Supplementary Figure S1. Structural similarity and sequence identity comparison between the different Pfam domains present in DNA clamps of Archaea, Eukarya and Bacteria. Structural similarity is given by TM-Score represented as a percentage.


