

The Elegant Theory, the Observed Societal Reality and the Potentialities of Coupled Models

Adel RAZEK

NuMoDiTEE, Beijing, 5-7 /01/2020 Institute of Electrical Engineering Chinese Academy of Sciences

ASSESSMENT OF THE CONCEPTS: OBSERVATIONAL AND MODELING *The limits of their self-sufficiency *Model-observation relate

AMENDED MODELS

*Observation is directly related to reality and model comes from theory in a given field *Model approaches closer to observed reality accounting for coupling and/or more theoretical domains

APPLICATION ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMES

I. The world (the observable) and the theory (the model)

Self-sufficiency of both concepts

The observable

A real objet, observable, behaves according to real environmental events that are observable

Observation auto-sufficiency?

Observation alone?

e.g. Anthropology (e.g. myths)

Observation alone, despite its richness of memory, limits the field of research!

Claude Lévi-Strauss (1908-2009), Structural Anthropology, Paris 1958

Putting it in a theoretical context opens the research opportunity!



p. 336:

Structural researches in the social sciences are indirect consequences of modern mathematics: logic, sets, groups and topology

e.g. In physical science Michael Faraday (1791-1867) electromagnetic induction An excellent observer, Experimental work in a very simple style, Mathematical skills are limited to the simplest algebra **James Clerk Maxwell (1831-1879)** Put it, with others, in a mathematical context "Maxwell equations", basis of **Modern researches on electromagnetic phenomena Observation needs modeling for** deeper research! 01/12/2023 7

The model

The observed behavior of a real object can be dominated by a science (physical, chemical, ...) or a phenomenon involved in this science (EM, Mechanics, ...)

Modeling auto-sufficiency?

Model alone? The world (the observable) and the theory (the model) **Almost** The eye and the spirit, 1960 **Maurice Merleau-Ponty** (1908-1961)***Science manipulates** things and gives up living inside

*Science takes the world as an object of knowledge ''dissociated'' from the existing subject

*Model = f (its author) The model and the mind are matched and scientists see the world with a spirit related only to the model

Observables and observers

(50 years after Maurice Merleau-Ponty)

Functional MRI



Brain mapping

11

Mirror neurons (existing observable and observer) (2 observers) (model author and user) (2 users)

e.g. In physical science **Parity violation in weak interactions**

Symmetries in physics Parity was naturally assumed to be conserved in the 4 fundamental interactions:



electromagnetism, gravity & strong and weak interactions,

(long-range forces) (subatomic)

Lee and Yang (Nobel 1957) suggested parity violation in weak interactions after experimental confirmation (by Wu)

Contribution originated explorations in elementary particles (subatomic) concerns





Tsung-Dao Lee (李政道)

(1912 - 1997)

1926

Chen-Ning Yang (杨振宁)



1922

Sound tongue of Feynman

Richard Phillips Feynman (1918-1988) Quantum electrodynamics, Nobel 1965 Quantum computing...



13

"No matter how beautiful your theory is, No matter how smart you are, No matter if you're famous ... If your theory is not in tune with experience, It's wrong. That's all."

Modeling needs observation, simply to be credible!

Theory-Observation managing

*Standard: Theory validated (or not) later by experience:

e.g.

Superposition states

- **S Haroche& D Wineland 1996**
- (Nobel 2012) validated
- E Schrödinger 1930 (Nobel 1933)



&

Hall effect

E Hall 1879 invalidated (JC Maxwell 1873)

*Experience first: e.g. superconductivity: Kamerlingh Onnes (1853-1926) Nobel 1913 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$

*Simultaneously: e.g. Duality Particle-wave: Louis Victor de Broglie (1892-1987) Nobel 1929 & Clinton joseph Davisson (1881-1958) Nobel 1937 (Bell teleph. Labs: Nickel in electronic components + electrons,

Explosion, Nickel crystalline, Max Born conference)

*Same work: e.g. Graphene...Dirac cone: Andre Geim & Konstantin Novoselov Nobel 2010 (Adhesive tape! A.G. lg Nobel 2000: magnet Frog levitation)

*+ Observation-theory Evolution and Serendipity

Rulings on Theory-Observation

In general, **One can consider** a theory only established after validation by observation Moreover, Such a theory remains valid until disagreement with observation Therefore, The theory-observation couple is necessarily always associated

II. Amended models One of theory-observation relate interests: Amended models accounting for more theory to fit reality

*The societal observable is just and the model is necessary *The model does not match the societal observable and has to be adapted to fit better the reality Mathematical models come from coherent and pleasant theories under idealizing assumptions

When such theories apply to: *situations corresponding to these hypotheses, the model may represent reality

When such theories apply to:

*Situations corresponding to all other non-idealized conditions (societal applications), we need revised models

This is possible by accounting for more theory in the model

• • •

The observed (societal) behavior is dominated by different sciences and/or involved phenomena The simple model will be substituted by a compound model

*Models ... all together to do better !!!

*If the mathematical models concerned are particularly interdependent, only a coupled solution of these models can give an acceptable answer

*Models interdependence is strongly guided by their time evolutions proximity and their self and mutual stuff behavior laws density

Coupling of interdependent models

Degree of interdependence corresponds directly to the degree of coupling required Weak: consider the models individually in an iterative process linking their stuff behavior laws **Strong:** consider a simultaneous solution of models enclosing their behavior laws For a given degree of coupling, we need to opt for: *an appropriate mathematical formulation, *suitable space and time scales *a proper resolution method

Validation

*Through analytical solutions with simplified geometries and constitutive laws of substances (for verification)

*With experience by means of real laboratory device, on which precise measurements can be accomplished

III. CASE OF ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMES

***Present in most of societal applications:** mobility, health, security, communication ... ***Behave under 4 occurrences:** electrical, magnetic, mechanical, and thermal ***Thermal: has a slower time evolution** (higher time-constant)

Coupling of the fields of the different occurrences in EMS

Couplings classification:

Causatives (system behavior), integrated (electrical and magnetic), material intrinsic (functional)

Smart materials: Magnetostrictive, electrostrictive, shape-memory, thermoelectric

Solution of the equations of the involved governing occurrences

*Complex geometry and materials with non-linear behavior laws:

local distribution of variables: fields, potentials,...
*2D or 3D discretized geometrical cells or elements :
local solution,... on Node, Edge, Facet,...and B.C.
*Nature of the concerned system behavior :
frequency domain or time domain analyses
*Degrees of discretization refinement of space and time :
geometry, temporal evolutions, and individual and
interdependent laws of behavior of variables

Occurrences couplings strategies

With very different time constants *Independent occurrences and linear behavior Simple coupling solution (direct separate solutions) *Non-linear behavior and/or variables are interdependent Weak separately iterative coupling

e.g.

*A Sekkak, L Pichon, A Razek: 3-D FEM magneto-thermal analysis in microwave ovens, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 30 (5), 3347-3350, 1994

With the same order of time constants In general simultaneous strong solution The non-linearity and the variables interdependence are included in the solution through iterative convergence procedure

e.g.

*F Piriou, A Razek: Finite element analysis in electromagnetic systems-accounting for electric circuits, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 29 (2), 1669-1675, 1993

*Z Ren, A Razek: A strong coupled model for analyzing dynamic behaviors of non-linear electromechanical systems, IEEE Transactions on magnetics 30 (5), 3252-3255, 1994

Material intrinsic interactions

Smart materials:

Magnetostrictive, electrostrictive, shape-memory, thermoelectric (mag.-mec.) (elec.-mec.) (therm.-mec.) (therm.-elec.)

*Linear behavior and/or very different time constants Weakly coupled solution

e.g.

*H Hariri, Y Bernard, A Razek: A traveling wave **piezoelectric beam robot**, Smart Materials and Structures 23 (2), 025013, 2013

*Otherwise: f (complexity of the nonlinear relationships) Strong coupling and multiscale methodologies

e.g.

*M Besbes, Z Ren, A Razek: A generalized finite element model of magnetostriction phenomena, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 37 (5), 3324-3328, 2001

Validations *By analytical solutions For simple geometries and linear materials (verification) *By observation e.g. TEAM (Testing Electromagnetic Analysis Methods) workshop Started in 1986 in two-year rounds, as a satellite event of

- Started in 1986 in two-year rounds, as a satellite event of the COMPUMAG Conference
- The TEAM problems: list of test-problems, with precisely defined dimensions, constitutive laws of materials, excitations, ...
 - Each backed by a real laboratory device, on which measurements can be accomplished

e.g. TEAM Problem 12: Cantilevered beam in crossed field Coupled problem with moving conductor (A clamped beam is placed in a uniform magnetic field B_x) B_y(t) exponentially decaying with time, induced current in the beam,

interact with B_x, create a force that will cause the beam's movement



e.g. for validation by analytical solution and by observation (TEAM) *Z Ren, A Razek, A coupled electromagnetic-mechanical model for thin conductive plate deflection analysis, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 26 (5), 1650-1652, 1990

01/12/2023

Go on theory-observation relate interests

*Bayesian relate (Digital Twin concept) using integrated multiphenomena, multiscale, probabilistic approach: real object, digital/virtual item, and their connections (sensors, history,...)

*Bayesian Brain: The predictive model creates sensory expectations; only the error (prediction versus observation and history) is represented in the spread neuronal discharges. Human brain (10¹¹ neurons, each tied to 10⁴ others)

*Quantum and Neuromorphic technologies (computing) derive from observed reality (quantum physics and neurosciences): Quantum: 2 states (0 &1) switched to 2^N , with N energy levels; capacity, speed,.... Neuromorphic: ANN or BNN; optimization, diagnostics, images, machine learning,...

bias



The Baves

MERCI de m'avoir supporté!!!

THANK YOU for supporting me !!!