

Experimental determination of the temperature-and phase-dependent elastic constants of FeRh

D. Ourdani, Aloïs Castellano, Ashwin Kavilen Vythelingum, Jon Ander Arregi, Vojtěch Uhlíř, Bernard Perrin, M. Belmeguenai, Y. Roussigné, Catherine Gourdon, Matthieu Jean Verstraete, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

D. Ourdani, Aloïs Castellano, Ashwin Kavilen Vythelingum, Jon Ander Arregi, Vojtěch Uhlíř, et al.. Experimental determination of the temperature-and phase-dependent elastic constants of FeRh. 2024. hal-04526444

HAL Id: hal-04526444 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04526444

Preprint submitted on 29 Mar 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Experimental determination of the temperature- and phase-dependent elastic constants of FeRh				
2	D. Ourdani, ^{1,2} A. Castellano, ³ A. K. Vythelingum, ¹ J. A. Arregi, ⁴ V. Uhlíř, ^{4,5} B. Perrin, ¹				
3	M. Belmeguenai, ² Y. Roussigné, ² C. Gourdon, ¹ M.J. Verstraete, ^{3,6} and L. Thevenard ¹				
4	¹ Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Institut des Nanosciences de Paris, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France				
5	² LSPM, Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 99 avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France				
6	³ NanoMat/Q-Mat Université de Liège, and European Theoretical Spectroscopy Facility, B-4000 Liège, Belgium				
7	⁴ CEITEC BUT, Brno University of Technology, Purkyňova 123, 612 00 Brno, Czech Republic				
8	⁵ Institute of Physical Engineering, Brno University of Technology, Technická 2, 616 69 Brno, Czech Republic				
9	⁶ ITP, Physics Department, Utrecht University 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands				
10	(*thevenard@insp.jussieu.fr)				
11	(Dated: March 29, 2024)				
12	The elastic constants of an epitaxial film of FeRh have been determined experimentally in both ferromagnetic				
13	(FM) and antiferromagnetic (AF) phases, using a combination of Brillouin light scattering and picosecond				
14	acoustics experiments. The C_{11} constant is noticeably larger in the FM phase than in the AF phase, while				
15	C_{12} and C_{44} are both lower, leading to larger Rayleigh wave velocities in the FM phase than in the AF phase.				
16	The elastic constants were calculated numerically using first principles anharmonic modeling and machine-				
17	learned interatomic potentials. We find that using a temperature-dependent effective potential is indispensable to				
18	correctly reproduce the experimental values to within 80 to 100%. The accurate knowledge of the temperature-				
19	and phase-dependencies of the elastic constants of crystalline FeRh are valuable ingredients for the predictive				
20	modeling of the acoustic and magneto-acoustic properties of this magnetostrictive material.				

81

INTRODUCTION

21

FeRh is a fascinating magnetic material discovered in 1938 22 by M. Fallot [1]. It is currently being revisited in the light 23 of novel magnetic and spintronic applications. Its room-24 temperature bistable antiferromagnetic (AF) states herald the 25 possibility of robust magnetic encoding [2–5], while its first-26 order transition to a ferromagnetic (FM) state is responsible 27 for large entropy changes promising solid-state magnetic re-28 frigeration [6, 7]. This transition is accompanied by a sub-29 stantial ($\sim 1\%$) iso-structural volume change [8, 9] that is in-30 tertwined with the magnetic transition [10, 11]. 31

While much attention has been dedicated to the magnetic 32 33 characteristics of this material, few experimental studies have been devoted to its elastic properties as a function of the mag-34 netic phase and/or temperature. Notably, there is no record of 35 the complete set of elastic constants C_{11} , C_{12} and C_{44} of crys-36 talline cubic FeRh in the literature. The value of C_{11} is the 37 ³⁸ one that is most readily obtained, by measuring the longitu-³⁹ dinal acoustic wave velocity and the volume density ρ , with ⁴⁰ $V_L = \sqrt{\frac{C_{11}}{\rho}}$. Combining this value with a specific heat measure-⁴¹ ment within a Debye model yields an estimate of the trans-⁴² verse acoustic velocity, and hence C_{44} given that $V_T = \sqrt{\frac{C_{44}}{\rho}}$. This enabled Cooke *et al.* [12] to estimate the values of C_{11} 43 ⁴⁴ and C_{44} in the AF and FM phases, both obtained at room-⁴⁵ temperature by imposing slightly different Rh concentrations ⁴⁶ in two distinct samples. No value has been provided so far for $_{47}$ C₁₂, which is more challenging to measure. There is somewhat more data for *polycrystalline* FeRh [13–15], on which it 48 ⁴⁹ is straightforward to estimate the Young modulus E by mea-⁵⁰ suring bulk acoustic wave velocities. Finally, there is a sub-51 stantial corpus of theoretical papers reporting DFT simula- 82 signal tions of the phonon band structure of FeRh [16–19], from signal grown on a MgO(001) substrate via DC magnetron sputter-

⁵³ which the elastic constants in both phases can be estimated. 54 However, to our knowledge, the explicit temperature depen-⁵⁵ dence of the C_{ij} has never been determined.

In this work, we measure experimentally the temperature 57 dependence of C_{11} , C_{12} and C_{44} in both AF and FM phases 58 of the same composition, by a combination of Brillouin Light 59 Scattering (BLS) and picosecond acoustic wave interferome-60 try. We follow the strategy developed for other materials by 61 previous authors [20-23] who measured the dispersion rela-62 tions of phonons, using either inelastic BLS [20, 21] or time-63 of-flight methods [23]. For sufficiently complete datasets in-64 cluding different modes (bulk/surface, or Rayleigh/Sezawa), 65 or various crystallographic directions, the elastic constants 66 can be recovered by global fits, e.g. using simplex methods 67 applied to the modeling of the acoustic wave dispersion. Our 68 findings are supported by machine-learning molecular dynam-69 ics simulation fits to *ab initio* data, and are in agreement with ⁷⁰ previously published theoretical estimates [16–19], which re-⁷¹ port a substantially larger C_{11} and lower C_{12} , C_{44} in the FM 72 phase, with respect to the AF one. Moreover, the measured 73 temperature dependence of the elastic constants is very well 74 corroborated by these temperature-dependent simulations.

75 The first sections of this article are dedicated to the descrip-76 tion of the sample, and the BLS and picosecond acoustics 77 experiments. The following sections describe the analytical 78 modeling of the acoustic dispersion, the resulting deduction 79 of the elastic constants, and a comparison to available theoret-⁸⁰ ical elastic constants from our calculations and the literature.

SAMPLE

The epitaxial 200-nm-thick FeRh film under study was



FIG. 1. Characterization of the AF to FM phase transition of FeRh using VSM and reflectivity (inverted scale and normalized to its maximal value).

⁸⁴ ing from an equiatomic target. The FeRh film was grown at 430°C after preheating the substrate in high vacuum for 60 $_{86}$ min at the same temperature. An Ar pressure of 2.7×10^{-3} mbar and a sputtering power of 50 W led to a deposition rate 87 of 2 nm min⁻¹. The film was then annealed in situ in high 88 acuum at 780°C for 80 min, and a protective 2-nm-thick Pt 89 capping layer was grown after cooling down the sample be-90 low 120°C. X-ray diffraction characterization shows a high-91 quality FeRh(001) out-of-plane texture of the sample and the 92 attainment of a homogeneous CsCl-type structure, showing 93 cube-on-cube epitaxy with the FeRh unit cell being 45° in-94 lane rotated with respect to MgO [9]. Strain in the FeRh 95 film is largely relaxed (with the out-of-plane lattice parameter 96 = 2.988 Å approaching the bulk value) due to the relatively 97 large thickness of the film. Transmission electron microscopy ⁹⁹ imaging of a cross-sectional lamella allowed a more precise determination of the film thickness, $d = 195 \pm 2$ nm [24].

101 102 103 104 105 106 107 ranch) from VSM and $\approx 94^{\circ}$ C/101°C from light reflection. ¹⁵⁸ be identical in absolute value. 108 The slight discrepancy in the transition temperatures obtained 159 109 110 112 ¹¹³ residual magnetization at room temperature, both confirming ¹⁶³ increased to enter the FM phase, a magnetic field of 200 mT ¹¹⁴ the excellent quality of the film.

METHODOLOGY

The experimental approach is the following: using Bril-116 117 louin Light Scattering, we measure phonon frequencies at 118 fixed temperatures and variable incident wave-vectors to ex-¹¹⁹ tract the temperature-dependent dispersion relationships of 120 the first three acoustic modes (Rayleigh and two Sezawa ¹²¹ modes). We then perform temperature-dependent picosecond 122 acoustic wave interferometry to measure the longitudinal ve-¹²³ locity and obtain $C_{11}(T)$. Fixing this value, we then adjust $_{124} C_{12}(T)$ and $C_{44}(T)$ to reproduce the BLS-measured disper-125 sion curves. To analyze the anharmonic and elastic properties, we perform first principles calculations for T = 0, and then augment these with a machine-learning inter-atomic potential (MLIP) to be able to run large molecular dynamics simula-128 tions, and to compute the temperature-dependence of phonons 129 and the corresponding elastic constants. 130

BRILLOUIN LIGHT SCATTERING MEASUREMENTS 131

132

Description of the experiment

Over the past few decades, BLS has proven to be a pow-133 erful technique for characterizing elastic (via surface acoustic 134 waves, SAWs) and magnetic (via spin waves, SWs) properties 135 136 of thin films and multilayer structures. In our BLS experi-137 ments, a monochromatic solid-state laser with a wavelength ¹³⁸ of $\lambda_{BLS} = 532 \,\text{nm}$ and a power of 200 mW is focused onto 139 the sample surface after passing through a set of mirrors and 140 lenses. The backscattered beam from the sample (according to 141 elastic and inelastic processes) is directed to a tandem Fabry-¹⁴² Pérot interferometer at (3 + 3)-pass to determine the frequency 143 shift with respect to the incident beam. The wave-vector (k) is 144 determined by the angle of incidence of the laser with respect ¹⁴⁵ to the normal to the sample (θ_{in}) according to the relationship: ¹⁴⁶ $k = 4\pi \sin \theta_{in} / \lambda_{BLS}$. All the measurements carried out in this work were made for a wave-vector parallel to the [100] (resp. ¹⁴⁸ [110]) direction of MgO (resp. FeRh).

In Fig. 2(a) we present three spectra obtained for differ-149 $_{150}$ ent k values at room temperature (AF phase). Note that in The AF \leftrightarrow FM transition of the sample is characterized ¹⁵¹ this phase, magnetic modes are expected to be out of the oby both vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM, probing the 152 served frequency range. Three surface acoustic modes can be entire volume of the sample) and light reflection microscopy 153 seen, corresponding to the Rayleigh and the so-called Sezawa probing the topmost 10 nm of the film into which the $\lambda_R = 154$ guided waves. Lorentzian fits of these spectra are then per-635 nm light is absorbed). As shown in Fig. 1, the onset of 155 formed to obtain the positions of the Stokes (S) and antihe transition occurs at very similar temperatures with both 156 Stokes (aS) lines, which correspond to negative and positive nethods, respectively $\approx 89^{\circ}$ C/98°C (warming and cooling 157 frequency shifts respectively, f_S and f_{aS} . They were found to

Finally, an *in-situ* heating system was integrated into the y these two methods arises from the difference in the probed 160 BLS bench in order to vary the temperature and perform meaarea and volume. The transition width is relatively narrow (≈ 161 surements in the uniform AF and FM phases (please refer to 10°C) and the sample possesses a very low ($\approx 16 \text{ kAm}^{-1}$) $_{162}$ Appendix A for technical details). As the temperature was ¹⁶⁴ was applied to isolate the purely elastic modes.



FIG. 2. Room-temperature ($T=25^{\circ}C$), antiferromagnetic phase data : (a) BLS spectra at fixed temperature, variable wave-vectors. (b) Dispersion relationship of the first three acoustic surface modes: symbols refer to BLS data and solid lines are calculations with the FeRh elastic coefficients C_{11} =219, C_{12} =148 and C_{44} =125 GPa, optimized via the procedure described in the text.



FIG. 3. Acoustic frequencies of the Rayleigh and first two Sezawa modes, measured at $k=15.18 \,\mu\text{m}^{-1}$ versus temperature. The background color illustrates the nature of the magnetic phase (uniform antiferromagnetic or ferromagnetic, and mixed for the warming branch).

165

Discussion of f(T) and f(k) curves

Two kinds of spectra were recorded : (i) at a fixed wave-166 vector and variable temperature ($k = 15.18 \,\mu m^{-1}$) used to lo-167 cate the phase transition, and (ii) at a fixed temperature and 168 169 rom which the elastic constants were extracted. 170

171 172 The measured frequencies reflect the effective acoustic veloc- 205 of the usual decrease of acoustic velocities upon warming. 173

174 ities of the entire FeRh film over the MgO substrate system. Because acoustic waves in the magnetic film are *slower* than in the substrate, a dispersive character is obtained, as clearly evidenced in Fig. 2(b) (room temperature measurement). Sur-177 178 face acoustic waves have an evanescent-like decay perpendicular to the surface with a depth of the order of the acoustic wavelength $(2\pi/k)$. As the wave-vector increases, the corresponding acoustic wavelength decreases, exploring a volume 181 with a larger fraction of FeRh. At the lowest wave-vector, it 182 ¹⁸³ is essentially only the MgO substrate that is probed. For the ¹⁸⁴ largest probed wave-vector, $k=21.4 \,\mu m^{-1}$, the corresponding ¹⁸⁵ phonon wavelength is $\lambda = 294$ nm, a little thicker than the film.

We now consider how the acoustic frequencies vary dur-186 $_{187}$ ing a complete temperature warming/cooling cycle at fixed k = 15.18 μ m⁻¹ (Fig. 3). Strikingly, by comparing similar tem-188 peratures on the warming and cooling branches, one clearly 189 190 observes a hysteresis opening up, e.g about 0.63 GHz for the first Sezawa mode, 0.46 GHz for the second one and 0.17 GHz 191 for the Rayleigh mode. This behavior is due to the hysteretic 192 ¹⁹³ nature of the first-order AF↔FM phase transition of FeRh, which can be probed by the acoustic waves given the appreciable ratio λ/d between the wavelength and thickness. The onsets of the transition for the heating and cooling branches 196 occur at approximately the same temperatures as for VSM and 197 reflectivity data in Fig. 1. The slight discrepancy can be at-198 ¹⁹⁹ tributed to a down-shift of the transition under magnetic field 200 (the -8° C/Tesla shift recorded by Maat *et al.* [25] leads to ariable wave-vector, giving access to dispersion relationships $_{201}$ a -1.6 °C shift for the 200 mT field applied here), and to the 202 static heating induced by the CW laser beam. Finally, we em-We first comment on the dispersion relationship measure- 203 phasize that, away from the transition on either side, all mode ments, performed upon warming, from $T=25^{\circ}$ C to $T=121^{\circ}$ C. ²⁰⁴ frequencies decrease with increasing temperature, a signature

The temperature-dependent BLS measurements give a set 207 of dispersion relations in the AF and FM phases. They reflect 208 the values of the (unknown) FeRh and (known) MgO elastic 209 onstants, and the (known) film thickness, and material vol-210 me density. In order to narrow the parameter space to de-211 termine the C_{ij} s, we measure the longitudinal (bulk) acoustic 212 vave velocity independently. 213

For this, we use a standard pump-probe technique in which 214 pump beam impinging on the metallic FeRh surface gener-215 а ates a picosecond-long acoustic pulse [26]. The probe beam is 216 bassed through a Sagnac interferometer in order to detect the 217 displacement of the surface. Please refer to Appendix B for 218 more experimental details on this technique. 219

A typical time delay scan (Fig. 4(a)) results in an electronic 220 peak at the pump-probe coincidence, followed by a slow de-221 cay over which appear features (echoes), corresponding to 222 he displacement of the surface upon arrival of the acoustic 223 ave after reflection off the FeRh/MgO interface. We point 224 out that the electronic response is much stronger in the AF 225 hase. While a proper analysis of this interesting feature is 226 out of the scope of this paper, we suggest this might be at-227 ributed to the more electrically resistive nature of the low-228 temperature phase. Within our time window, two echoes are 229 clearly visible, separated in time by a delay Δt related to the 230 longitudinal velocity: $\Delta t = \frac{2d}{V_L}$. Measurements are then performed at discrete rising temperature values on the warming 231 232 branch of the transition, and the values of $\Delta t(T)$ and $V_L(T)$ are 233 estimated precisely (see Appendix B for details). Using the 234 temperature/phase dependence of the volume density (see Ap-235 pendix C), we obtain the thermal variations of $C_{11}(T)$ using 236 $V_L(T) = \sqrt{\frac{C_{11}(T)}{\rho(T)}}$ (Fig. 4(b)). The main source of error comes 237 from the ± 2 nm uncertainty on the d=195 nm layer thickness. 238 As is often the case in solid crystals, C_{11} decreases steadily 239 with temperature. It undergoes a steep jump upon crossing 240 the transition (between $T=86^{\circ}$ C and 107° C). Despite being 241 minute (a mere 2 ps), the difference in echo delays at the onset 242 of the transition ($T=86^{\circ}$ C) undoubtedly points to a larger C_{11} 243 constant in the FM phase, with $C_{11,AF} \approx 216-218$ GPa between 244 25°C and 86°C, and $C_{11,FM} \approx 228-232$ GPa (T>105°C). These 245 values align with those found by previous authors [12, 13]: 246 Cooke *et al.* had similarly found an increase of C_{11} from 218 247 to 236 GPa when going from AF to FM by changing the Rh 248 concentration, at $T=25^{\circ}C$. 249

DETERMINATION OF THE ELASTIC CONSTANTS 250

251

252

We now follow the "layer-on-substrate" approach of Far-²⁵³ nell and Adler ^[27] to derive the frequency versus wave-vector $_{254}$ f(k) relationship of surface acoustic waves propagating along

Fitting procedure

255 x || [100] (resp. [110]) in MgO (resp. in FeRh). The elastic 266



FIG. 4. (a) Longitudinal picosecond acoustic wave interferometry at different temperatures, in the AFM phase up to 86.5°C, and in the FM phase above. Inset: the arrival times of the echoes are found by fitting the data after removal of the thermal background (here $T=70^{\circ}$ C). See Appendix B for experimental details. (b) The difference in echo arrival time gives the velocity, and from there C_{11} knowing the volume density.

256 constants of both materials are expressed in the [100] refer-257 ence frame of MgO, labelling C^*_{ij} the $\pi/4$ -rotated C_{ij} elas-²⁵⁸ tic constants of FeRh and $C_{0,ij}$ those of MgO (see Appendix C for the explicit expressions of the [C], $[C^*]$ and $[C_0]$ ten-260 sors). Displacement waves in both materials are taken as linear combinations of z-damped terms of the general form $u_i = U_i e^{-\alpha z} e^{j(kx-\omega t)}$ with here i=x,z, $\omega = 2\pi f = Vk$ and j = 0261 262 $\sqrt{-1}$. Injecting these in the elastic dynamical equation and ²⁶⁴ imposing the adequate boundary conditions gives a system of ²⁶⁵ 6 equations, whose determinant $\mathscr{D}(V)$ must be nullified :

$$\mathscr{D}(V) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ r_{0,1} & r_{0,2} & -r_1 & -r_2 & -r_3 & -r_4 \\ a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & -a_1 & -a_2 & -a_3 & -a_4 \\ b_{0,1} & b_{0,2} & -b_1 & -b_2 & -b_3 & -b_4 \\ 0 & 0 & a_1 e^{q_1 k h} & a_2 e^{q_2 k h} & a_3 e^{q_3 k h} & a_4 e^{q_4 k h} \\ 0 & 0 & b_1 e^{q_1 k h} & b_2 e^{q_2 k h} & b_2 e^{q_3 k h} & b_4 e^{q_4 k h} \end{vmatrix}$$
(1)

The full procedure is described at length in Appendix C,

 $_{268}$ b on the elastic coefficients of FeRh and V. The roots V_i of $_{292}$ for the following FeRh constants: $C_{11}=219$, $C_{12}=148$ and 269 $_{270}$ one being the Rayleigh wave, the second and third being the $_{294}$ exist above a particular cut-off wave-vector (k_{S1} =1.58 and $_{271}$ first and second Sezawa modes. It is then straightforward to $_{295}$ $k_{52}=10.25$ μ m⁻¹), a well-known feature of these semi-guided ²⁷² compute $f_i(k) = \frac{V_{ik}}{2\pi}$ in order to compare to the experimental ²⁹⁶ surface modes[27]. The fact that we nevertheless observe the $f_{exp}(k_{exp})$ of Fig. 2(b). 273

274 $_{275}$ stants of FeRh by testing numerically a large set of (C_{11} , C_{12} , $_{299}$ these points from the fitting procedure and only used points $_{276}$ C₄₄) values. C₁₁ is set by the picosecond acoustics measure- $_{300}$ in the interval $k=13-21.4 \,\mu\text{m}^{-1}$. The fitting procedure is ap- $_{277}$ ments (Fig. 4(b)), while C_{12} was typically searched between $_{301}$ plied to all the temperatures of the warming branch of Fig. 3. $_{278}$ 120-200 GPa, and C_{44} between 70-150 GPa in steps of 1 $_{302}$ The resulting temperature-dependent FeRh elastic constants 279 GPa. All the corresponding combinations were tested and we 303 are shown in Fig. 5, with the error bars reflecting the uncer-₂₈₀ choose the best solution as the triplet that minimizes the fol- $_{304}$ tainty on the film thickness ($d=195\pm2$ nm). ²⁸¹ lowing figure of merit χ :

$$\chi = \sum_{p} \sum_{l_k} |\mathscr{D}(C_{11}, C_{12}, C_{44}, k_{exp,l}, V_p(k_{exp,l}))|^2$$
(2)

282 283 mode number, and $V_p(k_{exp,p}) = \frac{2\pi f_{exp,l,p}}{k_{exp,p}}$ is the velocity computed from the experimentally observed mode frequency at 285 286 wave-vector $k_{exp,p}$.



FIG. 5. Temperature-dependence of elastic constants of FeRh: determined by the analysis of the BLS and picosecond acoustics data (symbols with dashed lines, at more temperatures for the latter), or calculated by TDEP anharmonic lattice dynamics (full lines). Note that the latter were obtained in *both* phases in the entire temperature range, and that we are only showing values relevant to the experimentally observed phases.

Results

287

288 $_{290}$ sion relation of the three acoustic modes plotted in full lines. $_{343}$ monicity, in particular for AF FeRh. Existing T = 0 K theoreti-

 $_{267}$ as well as the explicit dependency of the coefficients r, a and $_{291}$ An excellent agreement with the experimental data is found Eq. 1 correspond to the different acoustic modes, the lowest 293 C44=125 GPa. Note that the calculated Sezawa modes only 297 guided modes below k_{S2} might be due to a slight misalign-Inspired by previous work [22, 28], we find the elastic con-²⁹⁸ ment off high-symmetry crystalline axis. We have discarded

We find C_{44} has a rather flat behavior with temperature in 305 306 the AF phase, and then decreases from 130 to 110 GPa at the 307 transition. This drop is similar to the one seen by Cooke et 308 al., albeit on very different values (77 to 57 GPa) estimated 309 quite indirectly from a heat capacity measurement. We evi-In this expression, p=1,2,3 labels the Rayleigh, first and ³¹⁰ dence a non-monotonic evolution of C_{12} in the AF phase, with second Sezawa modes, $l=1..N_l$ are the data points for a given 311 a sharp increase (≈ 20 GPa) as the FM phase is approached. 312 While this is not unheard of $(C_{12}(T) \text{ of MgO is, for instance,})$ non-monotonous at low temperatures [29]), one might won-313 der whether it is related to the volume increase taking place ³¹⁵ at the transition. There is no previous record of any estimate $_{316}$ of C_{12} in either phase to compare our results to. Instead, we $_{317}$ can "isotropize" our coefficients into a Young modulus E, us-³¹⁸ ing the well-known Hill method [30], and compare it to val-³¹⁹ ues of the literature. We find in the AF phase E_{AF} =187 GPa $(T=86^{\circ}C)$, and a higher value $E_{FM}=198$ GPa in the FM phase $(T=107^{\circ}C)$. This is reassuringly similar to the values found on ³²² polycristalline FeRh by both Palmer *et al.* [13]: E_{AF} =196 and ³²³ *E_{FM}*=211 GPa (*T* ≈40°C), and by Ricodeau *et al.* [14, 31]: ₃₂₄ E_{AF} =170 (*T* ≈25°C) and E_{FM} =190 GPa (*T* ≈100°C). Let us 325 recommend to compare absolute values of the elastic coeffi-326 cients in different phases with caution if taken at very different 327 temperatures, or Rh concentration, since both of these param-328 eters have a strong influence. Comparing to other materials, 329 it is worth mentionning that the elastic constants of FeRh (i) ³³⁰ vary overall more weakly with temperature than for instance ³³¹ those of Fe [32] or MgO [29] (for which C_{11} loses ≈ 6 GPa, $_{332}$ $C_{12} \approx 0.5$ GPa and $C_{44} \approx 1-2$ GPa between 25°C and 125°C), 333 and (ii) are very similar, in the FM phase, to those of crystalline Iron taken at a similar temperature ($T = 125^{\circ}C$ [32]): $_{335}$ C₁₁=225, C₁₂=133, C₄₄=114 GPa, to be compared to those ³³⁶ we found for FeRh in the FM phase : $C_{11}=227$, $C_{12}=145$, ³³⁷ C₄₄=109.5 GPa.

NUMERICAL ESTIMATES OF C_{ii} OF FeRh

338

We now discuss the first principles modelling of the elas-330 340 tic constants of FeRh. To compare quantitatively to our ex-A typical adjustment in the AF phase at room-temperature ³⁴¹ periments, it is essential to go beyond the harmonic approxis shown in Fig. 2(b), with the resulting calculated disper- ³⁴² imation, by including thermal expansion and intrinsic anhar-

³⁴⁴ cal studies in the literature predict the appearance of an imagi- ³⁸¹ nary phonon mode [17, 33–35], which prevents the evaluation 345 of thermodynamic quantities. 346

347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 emperature-dependence of the TDEP force constants. 359

Considering first T = 0 K DFT values, Table I shows that 360 our results compare well with available literature [16, 17, 19], 361 with slight differences that can be attributed to the choice of 362 exchange and correlation functionals or the use of DFT+U. 363 The temperature-dependence of the elastic constants of FeRh 364 n both phases are then shown in Fig. 5, with values cut-off to 365 nimic the experimentally observed warming transition. 366

When comparing to the experimentally determined values 367 of C_{11} , C_{12} and C_{44} , a particularly good agreement is obtained 368 for C_{11} in both phases, with a maximum discrepancy (in abso-369 lute value) of 2.4%. For the other C_{ii} s, the agreement is over-370 all good, with a maximum discrepancy (in absolute value) of $_{372}$ 23% for C_{12} and 13% for C_{44} . More importantly, the temperature evolution is well described, particularly the changes when 373 going from the AF to the FM phase. It should be noted that 374 xplicitly including atomic vibrations in the temperature evoution is important for a quantitative description. In particular, 376 and as shown in Appendix D, only including thermal expan-377 sion as a mechanism for the temperature evolution results in 378 an overestimation of the C_{11} in the FM phase and the C_{44} in 379 380 the AF phase.

Phase		<i>C</i> ₁₁ (GPa)	<i>C</i> ₁₂ (GPa)	$\overline{C_{44} (\text{GPa})}^4$
	This work exp 25°C	219	148	125
	This work DFT 25°C	224	177	116 4
AF	This work DFT 0K	239	184	129 4
	He et al DFT 0K [16]	219	188	120 4
	Aschauer <i>et al</i> DFT 0K [17]	225	181	120 4
	This work exp 121°C	227	145	109
	This work DFT 121°C	225	165	103 4
FM	This work DFT 0K	262	169	111 4
	He et al DFT 0K [16]	278	179	110 4
	Aschauer <i>et al</i> DFT 0K [17]	252	161	110 4
	Hao <i>et al</i> DFT 0K [19]	259	162	111 4

TABLE I. Survey of the elastic constants of the C_{ii} constants of FeRh obtained by DFT computations and this work, at 25°C in the AF phase and 121°C in the FM phase and comparison to our experimental data

CONCLUSIONS

We measured the phonon dispersion relationship of epi-382 We employ the temperature-dependent effective potential 383 taxial FeRh/MgO using Brillouin light scattering at variable TDEP) method [36–38] to include anharmonicity and renor- 384 temperature in both the uniform ferro- and anti-ferromagnetic malize phonon-phonon interactions. In order to reduce the 385 phases of this material. Modeling the obtained dispersion by a simulation costs while keeping the accuracy of DFT, we con- 386 "layer-on-substrate" approach, using the known parameters of structed two machine-learning interatomic potential (MLIP $_{387}$ MgO, and the independently measured C_{11} constant, we ob-39]) models for the FM and AF phases. The details of the 388 tained the other two constants; $C_{12}(T)$ and $C_{44}(T)$. As is very simulations are described in Appendix D. We calculate the $_{389}$ often the case, C_{11} is substantially larger than the other two. elastic constants of both phases as a function of T (0 to 500 $_{390}$ Unlike the latter two, C_{11} is larger in the FM phase than in the K, i.e -273 to 227°C) through their relation to the real space 391 AF phase. A temperature-dependent first principles modeling nteratomic force constants. Aschauer et al. [17] in partic- 392 of the elastic constants renders the experimental values very alar showed the importance of non-linear elasticity in FeRh. 393 closely. This work represents a substantial step towards the These effects on the measured C_{ij} are folded in through the 394 accurate modeling of the magnon-phonon interaction, thanks ³⁹⁵ to a proper description of the elastic system in both phases, ³⁹⁶ and at varying temperature. In this respect, it should also provide a new tool to determine the role of strain in the intriguing 397 ³⁹⁸ first-order AF-FM transition of FeRh.

APPENDIX A: DETAILS ON THE HEATING SYSTEM INTEGRATED IN THE BLS SETUP

399

400

401 In order to study the sample in both AF and FM phases, ⁴⁰² an in-situ heating system was integrated into the BLS bench. ⁴⁰³ This system is placed in the air gap of an electromagnet con-⁴⁰⁴ sisting of a cylindrical oven with an internal diameter of 1 cm, $_{405}$ having an electrical resistance of 380 Ω powered by a DC cur-406 rent source to ensure the heating. The sample is held on a 407 metal rod with a thermal paste, inserted into the oven nearby ⁴⁰⁸ a thermocouple probe to get the heating temperature which ⁴⁰⁹ is adjusted from ambient to 150° C corresponding to a max-410 imum current of 260 mA. For each temperature, the heating 411 process, thermal equilibrium and spectrum acquisition take ⁴¹² around 3 hours, corresponding on average to 1 count/minute ⁴¹³ for the Rayleigh peak.

APPENDIX B: PICOSECOND ACOUSTICS

The picosecond acoustic pump-probe set-up is described 15 ¹⁶ in Peronne *et al.* [26]. The Sagnac interferometer measures ¹⁷ Im($\Delta r/r$) where r is the amplitude reflection coefficient of the ¹⁸ light electric field. One can shows that this quantity gives the ¹⁹ modification of the phase of the electric field of the light in-²⁰ duced by the vertical displacement of the sample surface [40]. ²¹ More specifically to these measurements, the laser repetition ²² rate was 80 MHz, with a modulation of the pump at 1 MHz. ²³ Its wavelength was 773 nm, and the beam diameter was of the order of $\approx 15 \ \mu\text{m}$. The power of the pump beam was around 425 P=32 mW, that of the probe around 4 mW. The delay line was 426 scanned mechanically at 40 nm/ps. In order to determine pre-427 cisely the arrival time of the echoes, the thermal background 428 is removed, and the peaks are fitted by a Lorentzian (inset of 429 Fig. 4(a)).

APPENDIX C: DETAILS ON THE MODELING OF THE 430 ACOUSTIC DISPERSION 431

In this appendix we give the cumbersome details on how 432 the determinant of Eq. 1 is obtained. It is then used to deter-433 mine the elastic constants of FeRh from the dispersion relation 434 measured by BLS. 435

Waves and tensors are all given in the <100> reference 436 ⁴³⁷ frame of the cubic MgO substrate. For MgO we have:

$${}_{438} \quad [C_0] = \begin{pmatrix} C_{0,11} & C_{0,12} & C_{0,12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{0,12} & C_{0,11} & C_{0,12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{0,12} & C_{0,12} & C_{0,11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{0,44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{0,44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{0,44} \end{pmatrix}$$

The elastic coefficient tensor of cubic FeRh has an identical 439 440 symmetry, but needs to be $\pi/4$ rotated to render the epitaxial ⁴⁴¹ match condition of the layer on its substrate:

$${}_{442} \quad [C^*] = \begin{pmatrix} C^*_{11} & C^*_{12} & C^*_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C^*_{12} & C^*_{11} & C^*_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C^*_{13} & C^*_{12} & C^*_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & C^*_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C^*_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C^*_{66} \end{pmatrix}$$

	• . 1
443	with

$$\begin{cases} C_{11}^* = \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} + C_{12}) + C_{44} \\ C_{12}^* = \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} + C_{12}) - C_{44} \\ C_{13}^* = C_{12} \\ C_{33}^* = C_{11} \\ C_{44}^* = C_{44} \\ C_{66}^* = \frac{1}{2}(C_{11} - C_{12}) \end{cases}$$

Following Farnell et al. [27], the procedure to obtain the 444 dispersion relationship of the FeRh/MgO system is the fol-445 lowing: (i) fix a wavevector k, (ii) calculate the implicit rela-116 tionship relating the Rayleigh wave velocity V to the elastic constants of each material, (iii) find the solution V(k) satisfy-448 ing the boundary conditions at the film/substrate and air/film 449 interfaces. 450

With z the normal to the film and $x \parallel [100]$, the partial waves 451 ⁴⁵² propagating in MgO and FeRh along x are respectively of the 453 form:

$$u_0(x,z,t) = \sum_{i=1,2} \begin{pmatrix} U_{x,0i} \\ 0 \\ U_{z,0i} \end{pmatrix} e^{-q_{0,i}kz} \cdot e^{j(\omega t - kx)}$$
(3)

$$u(x,z,t) = \sum_{i=1-4} \begin{pmatrix} U_{x,i} \\ 0 \\ U_{z,i} \end{pmatrix} e^{-q_i k z} \cdot e^{j(\omega t - kx)}$$
(4)

The dimensionless coefficients $q_{0,i}, q_i$ convey the penetra-454 455 tion profile of the displacements u, u_0 . Injecting these wave-456 forms into the elastic equation of motion leads to the two ⁴⁵⁷ quadratic equations 5, 6. We label $q_{i,0}$ (*i*=1,2) the two roots 458 of Eq. 5 exhibiting a positive real part, and q_i (*i*=1-4) the four ⁴⁵⁹ roots of Eq. 6. ρ_0 and ρ are the volume densities of MgO and 460 FeRh respectively.

$$q_{0}^{4} + \left(\frac{-C_{0,44}^{2} - C_{0,11}^{2} + (C_{0,12} + C_{0,44})^{2} + \rho_{0}V^{2}(C_{0,11} + C_{0,44})}{C_{0,11}C_{0,44}}\right)q_{0}^{2} + \frac{(\rho_{0}V^{2} - C_{0,11})(\rho_{0}V^{2} - C_{0,44})}{C_{0,11}C_{0,44}} = 0$$
(5)
$$q^{4} + \left(\frac{-C_{44}^{*2} - C_{11}^{*}C_{33}^{*} + (C_{13}^{*} + C_{44}^{*})^{2} + \rho V^{2}(C_{33}^{*} + C_{44}^{*})}{C_{33}^{*}C_{44}^{*}}\right)q^{2} + \frac{(\rho V^{2} - C_{11}^{*})(\rho V^{2} - C_{44}^{*})}{C_{33}^{*}C_{44}^{*}} = 0$$
(6)

461 ⁴⁶⁴ the $q_{0,i}, q_i$ depend on V.

$$r_{0,i}(V,k) = \frac{U_{z,0i}}{U_{x,0i}} = \frac{C_{11,0} - q_{0,i}^2 C_{44,0} - \rho_0 V^2}{jq_{0,i}(C_{12,0} + C_{44,0})}$$

$$r_i(V,k) = \frac{U_{z,i}}{U_{x,i}} = \frac{C_{11}^* - q_i^2 C_{44}^* - \rho V^2}{jq_i(C_{13}^* + C_{44}^*)}$$
(8)

Finally, the conditions of continuous displacements and 465

The ratio of the amplitudes of the out-of-plane and in-plane 466 tangential and normal stresses across the interface, and zero ⁴⁶² displacements for each solution in MgO and FeRh are labelled ⁴⁶⁷ stress at the surface leads to a system of 6 equations with six $_{463}$ $r_{0,i}$ and r_i . They are given by Eqs. 7, 8, in which we recall that $_{468}$ unknown amplitudes $(U_{x,01}, U_{x,02}, U_{x,1}, U_{x,2}, U_{x,3}, U_{x,4})$. In or- $_{469}$ der to find the velocity V corresponding to the chosen k, one ⁴⁷⁰ must thus find the roots V_i of the 6×6 determinant $\mathscr{D}(V)$ given ⁴⁷¹ in the main text, Eq. 1. The velocity intervenes through the (7) 472 dependency $q_{0,i}(V,k), q_i(V,k)$ and $r_{0,i}(V,k), r_i(V,k)$ in the co-473 efficients $a_{0,i}, a_i$ and $b_{0,i}, b_i$:

$$a_{0,i}(V,k) = \frac{C_{44,0}}{C_{44}}(q_{0,i}+jr_{0,i})$$
(9)

$$a_i(V,k) = q_i + jr_i \tag{10}$$

$$b_{0,i}(V,k) = jC_{12,0} + C_{11,0}q_{0,i}r_{0,i}$$
(11)

$$b_i(V,k) = jC_{13}^* + C_{33}^* q_i r_i \tag{12}$$

The numerical values for $C_{0,ij}(T)$ and $\rho_0(T)$ of MgO were taken from Sumino *et al.* [29]. The volume density of FeRh was taken phase-dependent with $\rho_{FM} = \rho_{AF}/1.07 = 9957.7$ tr7 kg m⁻³, where $\rho_{AF} = 9888.49$ kg m⁻³ was computed from inplane and out-of-plane lattice parameters $a_{||,AF} = 2.987$ Å and $c_{\perp,AF} = 2.988$ Å measured by X-ray diffraction at room temtaken perture [9, 24].

APPENDIX D: DETAILS ON THE FIRST PRINCIPLES ANHARMONIC MODELING

483 First principles forces and Machine-learning interatomic 484 potential

As a reference potential for the MLIP, we performed DFT 485 calculations with the Abinit suite [41, 42], using the PBE [43] 486 parametrization of the exchange and correlation functional 487 in the PAW formalism [44, 45]. To ensure the convergence 488 of the calculations, the kinetic energy cutoff was set to 20 489 Ia, while the Brillouin zone integration was discretized on 490 $21 \times 21 \times 21$ k-point grid. The ground state lattice constants 491 obtained with these parameters are shown in Table II. They 492 are in agreement with previous theoretical results [17, 35] and 493 ery close to room-temperature experimental values [24]. 494

The MLIP were constructed using the Moment Tensor Po-495 tential [39, 46]. For both phases, we set the level of the MLIP 496 to 22 and a cutoff of 5.6 is used, in order to ensure an ac-497 curate description of important interactions for the B2 struc-498 ture [47]. In the AF phase, to account for the spin-dependent 499 interactions between atoms, the spin up and down Fe atoms 500 were considered as distinct elements in the descriptor. The 501 DFT dataset was constructed self-consistently following the 502 MLACS algorithm [48], in which a molecular dynamics tra-503 jectory is driven by a MLIP which is trained regularly on con-504 figurations extracted from this dynamics. It should be noted 505 that the configurations are chosen randomly and not based on 506 an extrapolation criterion [49], to improve on the measure de-507 fined in [48]. After each new addition to the database, the 508 thermostat and barostat of the MD run were set to randomly 509 generated temperature and pressure in the range 20 to 1200 K 510 and -2 to 2 GPa, to ensure a stable MLIP in the range of ther-511 modynamic conditions considered in this work. To improve 512 he description of elastic properties, some strained configura-513 tions were also included in the dataset. Once enough data is 514 available, the potential is validated by splitting the dataset into 515 testing and training sets, and the final MLIP were fit using the 516 517 energy, forces and stress.

The resulting MLIP provides an accurate representation of the potential energy surface provided by the DFT, as shown the good agreement for structural properties in Table II and the energy, forces and stress correlation shown in Fig. 6.



FIG. 6. Correlation plot between the MLIP and the DFT datasets. Plot a), b) and c) are for the AF phase, and d), e) and f) are for the FM phase.

	a (Å)	<i>C</i> ₁₁ (GPa)	<i>C</i> ₁₂ (GPa)	C ₄₄ (GPa)
DFT AF	3.004	231	188	121
MLIP AF	3.004	239	184	129
DFT FM	3.019	267	169	114
MLIP FM	3.020	262	169	111
DFT AF MLIP AF DFT FM MLIP FM	3.004 3.004 3.019 3.020	231 239 267 262	188 184 169 169	121 129 114 111

TABLE II. Comparison of structural properties at 0 K computed with DFT and the MLIP using finite deformation and fitted using the elastic package [50].

Molecular dynamics

With the MLIP, we compute the effective anharmonic 523 lamiltonian from 50 to 450 K in steps of 50 K. For each 524 temperature, we run two 100 ps MD simulations on $8 \times 8 \times 8$ 525 supercells, with a time step of 1 fs using the LAMMPS 526 package [51]. The first MD run is performed in the NPT 527 isothermal-isobaric) ensemble, and is used to compute the av-528 erage equilibrium volume, while the second one employs this 529 530 equilibrium volume in the NVT (canonical) ensemble. Post-⁵³¹ processing is done using 900 uncorrelated configurations, ex-⁵³² tracted from the MD trajectory after 25 ps of equilibration.

533

Temperature-dependent elastic constants

To describe the influence of the temperature on the elastic 534 constants, a common approximation is to neglect the explicit 535 effects of atomic vibrations and consider that the C_{ii} evolve 536 only through the thermal expansion as 537

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Omega,T) = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Omega(T),0) \tag{13}$$

564

582

583

585

586

587

588

589

592

593

594

595

597

where $\Omega(T)$ is the volume. While this method often brings 538 a good description of the temperature evolution of the elastic 539 constants, explicit effects of the temperature can be important 540 to be quantitative. 541

To go beyond this approximation, we can use the fact that 542 elastic constants are related to long wavelength phonons and 543 can be extracted using the slope of the acoustic dispersion 544 close to the Γ point. Then, introducing the temperature evo-545 lution of the phonons to extract the slope allows to include 547 the effects of temperature on the elastic properties. The slope 548 of the acoustic dispersion can be directly extracted from the ⁵⁴⁹ interatomic force constants Φ as [52, 53]

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\Omega,T) = -\frac{1}{2\Omega} \sum_{ij} \Phi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(\Omega,T) d_{ij}^{\gamma} d_{ij}^{\delta}$$
(14)

where d_{ii}^{γ} is the distance between the unitcells of atom *i* and *j* 550 along Cartesian direction γ . 551

To introduce finite temperature renormalization of the in- 584 552 teratomic force constant, and consequently of the $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ ten-553 sor, we use the Temperature-Dependent Effective Potential 554 (TDEP) method. The method works by performing a least-555 squares fit of the $\mathbf{\Phi}(\Omega, T)$ tensor using a set of forces and 556 displacements extracted from a NVT molecular dynamics 557 590 run [36, 37]. We used the implementation provided by the 591 558 TDEP package [54]. 559

We compare the temperature dependence of both ap-560 ⁵⁶¹ proaches in Fig. 7. While most of the C_{ij} changed very little ⁵⁶² when introducing atomic vibrations in the description, the FM 596 $_{563}$ C_{11} and the AF C_{44} are significantly reduced.



FIG. 7. Theoretical prediction of C_{ij} s of FeRh in the FM and AF phases. Markers are the direct prediction of the elastic constants using the TDEP method and full lines are a 3rd order polynomial fit. Dashed lines present results when only considering thermal expansion in the temperature evolution of the elastic constants.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been partly supported by the French Agence 565 Nationale de la Recherche (ANR ACAF 20-CE30-0027). Access to the CEITEC Nano Research Infrastructure was supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of the Czech Republic under the project Czech-569 NanoLab (LM2023051). AC and MJV acknowledge the 571 Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS Belgium) 572 for PdR Grant No. T.0103.19 - ALPS, and ARC project 573 DREAMS (G.A. 21/25-11) funded by Federation Wallonie 574 Bruxelles and ULiege. Simulation time was awarded by 575 the Belgian share of EuroHPC in LUMI hosted by CSC 576 in Finland, by the CECI (FRS-FNRS Belgium Grant No. 577 2.5020.11), as well as the Zenobe Tier-1 of the Fédération 578 Wallonie-Bruxelles (Walloon Region grant agreement No. 579 1117545).

We acknowledge the technical assistance of Mathieu 580 581 Bernard from Institut des Nanosciences de Paris.

- [1] M. Fallot, Annales de physique 11, 291 (1938).
- [2] T. Moriyama, N. Matsuzaki, K.-J. Kim, I. Suzuki, T. Taniyama, and T. Ono, Applied Physics Letters 107, 122403 (2015).
- X. Marti, I. Fina, C. Frontera, J. Liu, P. Wadley, Q. He, R. J. [3] Paull, J. D. Clarkson, J. Kudrnovský, I. Turek, J. Kuneš, D. Yi, J.-H. Chu, C. T. Nelson, L. You, E. Arenholz, S. Salahuddin, J. Fontcuberta, T. Jungwirth, and R. Ramesh, Nature Materials 13, 367 (2014), arXiv:0402594v3 [arXiv:cond-mat].
- [4] H. Wu, H. Zhang, B. Wang, F. Groß, C. Y. Yang, G. Li, C. Guo, H. He, K. Wong, D. Wu, X. Han, C. H. Lai, J. Gräfe, R. Cheng, and K. L. Wang, Nature Communications 13, 1 (2022).
- [5] N. A. Blumenschein, G. M. Stephen, C. D. Cress, S. W. La-Gasse, A. T. Hanbicki, S. P. Bennett, and A. L. Friedman, Scientific Reports 12, 22061 (2022).
- [6] Y. Liu, L. C. Phillips, R. Mattana, M. Bibes, A. Barthélémy, and B. Dkhil, Nature Communications 7, 11614 (2016).

- 598 (2017). 599
- [8] V. Uhlíř, J. A. Arregi, and E. E. Fullerton, Nature Communica-600 tions 7, 13113 (2016), arXiv:1605.06823. 601
- J. A. Arregi, O. Caha, and V. Uhlíř, Physical Review B 101, [9] 602 174413 (2020). 603
- F. Pressacco, D. Sangalli, V. Uhlíř, D. Kutnyakhov, J. A. [10] 604 Arregi, S. Y. Agustsson, G. Brenner, H. Redlin, M. Heber, 605
- D. Vasilyev, J. Demsar, G. Schönhense, M. Gatti, A. Marini, 606 W. Wurth, and F. Sirotti, Nature Communications 12, 5088 607
- (2021), arXiv:2102.09265. 608 [11] S. O. Mariager, F. Pressacco, G. Ingold, A. Caviezel, E. Möhr-673 609
- Vorobeva, P. Beaud, S. L. Johnson, C. J. Milne, E. Mancini, 610 S. Moyerman, E. E. Fullerton, R. Feidenhans'l, C. H. Back, 611
- and C. Quitmann, Physical Review Letters 108, 087201 (2012). 612
- [12] D. W. Cooke, F. Hellman, C. Baldasseroni, C. Bordel, S. Moy-677 613 erman, and E. E. Fullerton, Physical Review Letters 109, 678 614 255901 (2012). 615
- S. B. Palmer, P. Dentschuk, and D. Melville, Physica Status 616 [13] Solidi (a) **32**, 503 (1975). 617
- J. A. Ricodeau and D. Melville, Journal of Physics F: Metal 141 682 618 Physics 2, 337 (1972). 619
- 15] A. Castets, D. Tochetti, and B. Hennion, Physica B+C 86-88, 620 621 353 (1977).
- 16] W. He, H. Huang, and X. Ma, Materials Letters 195, 156 686 622 (2017).623
- U. Aschauer, R. Braddell, S. A. Brechbühl, P. M. Derlet, 624 [17] 688 and N. A. Spaldin, Physical Review B 94, 014109 (2016), 625 arXiv:1603.01827. 626
- [18] M. J. Jiménez, A. B. Schvval, and G. F. Cabeza, Computational 627 691 Materials Science 172, 109385 (2020). 628
- [19] Y. Hao, L. Zhang, and J. Zhu, Zeitschrift für Naturforschung A 629 693 75, 789 (2020). 630
- [20] J. Gump, H. Xia, M. Chirita, R. Soorvakumar, M. A. Tomaz, 631 and G. R. Harp, Journal of Applied Physics 86, 6005 (1999). 632
- 633 [21] G. Carlotti, J. Sadhu, and F. Dumont, in 2017 IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS) (IEEE, 2017) pp. 1-1. 634
- [22] J. O. Kim, J. D. Achenbach, P. B. Mirkarimi, M. Shinn, and 635 S. A. Barnett, Journal of Applied Physics 72, 1805 (1992). 636
- [23] P. Hemme, P. Djemia, P. Rovillain, Y. Gallais, A. Sa-637 cuto, A. Forget, D. Colson, E. Charron, B. Perrin, L. Bel-638 liard, and M. Cazayous, Applied Physics Letters 118 (2021), 639 10.1063/5.0039505. 640
- [24] J. A. Arregi, F. Ringe, J. Hajduček, O. Gomonay, T. Molnár, 705 641 642 J. Jaskowiec, and V. Uhlíř, Journal of Physics: Materials 6, 034003 (2023). 643
- [25] S. Maat, J.-U. Thiele, and E. E. Fullerton, Physical Review B 644 72, 214432 (2005). 645
- [26] E. Péronne, N. Chuecos, L. Thevenard, and B. Perrin, Physical 646 Review B 95, 064306 (2017). 647
- W. G. W. Farnell, in Topics in Applied Physics (Berlin, Heidel-648 [27] berg, 1978) springer ed., pp. 13-60. 649
- [28] Y. Bar-Cohen and A. K. Mal, Journal of the Acoustical Society 650 of America 88, 482 (1990). 651
- 652 [29] Y. Sumino, O. L. Anderson, and I. Suzuki, Physics and Chemistry of Minerals 9, 38 (1983). 653
- R. Hill, Proceedings of the Physical Society. Section A 65, 349 [30] 654 (1952).655
- A. I. Zakharov, A. M. Kadomtseva, R. . Z. Levitin, and E. G. [31] 656 Ponyatovskil, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 19 (1964). 657
- J. J. Adams, D. S. Agosta, R. G. Leisure, and H. Ledbetter, [32] 658 Journal of Applied Physics 100 (2006), 10.1063/1.2365714. 659
- [33] J. Kim, R. Ramesh, and N. Kioussis, Physical Review B 94, 660 180407(R) (2016). 661

- [7] J. Lyubina, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 50, 053002 662 [34] N. A. Zarkevich and D. D. Johnson, Physical Review B 97, 663 014202 (2018), arXiv:1710.04199.
 - 664 [35] M. P. Belov, A. B. Syzdykova, and I. A. Abrikosov, Physical Review B 101, 134303 (2020). 665
 - 666 [36] O. Hellman, I. A. Abrikosov, and S. I. Simak, Physical Review B 84, 180301 (2011). 667
 - O. Hellman and I. A. Abrikosov, Physical Review B 88, 144301 668 [37] (2013).669
 - 670 [38] O. Hellman and D. A. Broido, Physical Review B 90, 134309 (2014). 671
 - 672 [39] A. V. Shapeev, Multiscale Modeling and Simulation 14, 1153-1173 (2016).
 - C. Thomsen, H. Grahn, H. Maris, and J. Tauc, Physical Review 674 [40] B 34, 4129 (1986). 675
 - X. Gonze, B. Amadon, G. Antonius, F. Arnardi, L. Baguet, 676 [41] J.-M. Beuken, J. Bieder, F. Bottin, J. Bouchet, E. Bousquet, N. Brouwer, F. Bruneval, G. Brunin, T. Cavignac, J.-B. Charraud, W. Chen, M. Côté, S. Cottenier, J. Denier, G. Gen-679 este, P. Ghosez, M. Giantomassi, Y. Gillet, O. Gingras, D. R. 680 Hamann, G. Hautier, X. He, N. Helbig, N. Holzwarth, Y. Jia, F. Jollet, W. Lafargue-Dit-Hauret, K. Lejaeghere, M. A. Marques, A. Martin, C. Martins, H. P. Miranda, F. Naccarato, 683 K. Persson, G. Petretto, V. Planes, Y. Pouillon, S. Prokhorenko, F. Ricci, G.-M. Rignanese, A. H. Romero, M. M. Schmitt, 685 M. Torrent, M. J. van Setten, B. V. Troeye, M. J. Verstraete, G. Zérah, and J. W. Zwanziger, Computer Physics Communications 248, 107042 (2020).

681

684

687

708

- A. H. Romero, D. C. Allan, B. Amadon, G. Antonius, T. Ap-[42] 689 plencourt, L. Baguet, J. Bieder, F. Bottin, J. Bouchet, E. Bousquet, F. Bruneval, G. Brunin, D. Caliste, M. Côté, J. Denier, C. Dreyer, P. Ghosez, M. Giantomassi, Y. Gillet, O. Gingras, 692 D. R. Hamann, G. Hautier, F. Jollet, G. Jomard, A. Martin, H. P. C. Miranda, F. Naccarato, G. Petretto, N. A. Pike, 694 V. Planes, S. Prokhorenko, T. Rangel, F. Ricci, G.-M. Rig-695 nanese, M. Rovo, M. Stengel, M. Torrent, M. J. van Setten, 696 B. V. Troeve, M. J. Verstraete, J. Wiktor, J. W. Zwanziger, and 697 X. Gonze, J. Chem. Phys. 152, 124102 (2020). 698
- 699 [43] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Physical Review Letters 77, 3865 (1996). 700
- 701 [44] P. E. Blöchl, Physical Review B 50, 17953 (1994).
- [45] F. Jollet, M. Torrent, and N. Holzwarth, Computer Physics 702 Communications 185, 1246 (2014). 703
- 704 [46] I. S. Novikov, K. Gubaev, E. V. Podryabinkin, and A. V. Shapeev, Machine Learning: Science and Technology 2, 706 025002 (2021).
- [47] S. Ono and D. Kobayashi, Scientific Reports 12 (2022), 707 10.1038/s41598-022-10658-2.
- [48] A. Castellano, F. m. c. Bottin, J. Bouchet, A. Levitt, and 709 G. Stoltz, Physical Review B 106, L161110 (2022). 710
- 711 [49] E. V. Podryabinkin and A. V. Shapeev, Computational Materials Science 140, 171–180 (2017). 712
- Paweł T. Jochym and Codacy Badger, "jochym/elastic: Main-713 [50] tenance release," (2018). 714
- 715 A. P. Thompson, H. M. Aktulga, R. Berger, D. S. Bolintineanu, [51] W. M. Brown, P. S. Crozier, P. J. in 't Veld, A. Kohlmeyer, S. G. 716 Moore, T. D. Nguyen, R. Shan, M. J. Stevens, J. Tranchida, 717 C. Trott, and S. J. Plimpton, Computer Physics Communica-718 tions 271, 108171 (2022). 719
- [52] in Statistical Physics of Crystals and Liquids (WORLD SCI-720 ENTIFIC, 2003) p. 115-153. 721
- G. Leibfried and W. Ludwig, "Theory of anharmonic effects in [53 722 crystals," in Solid State Physics (Elsevier, 1961) p. 275-444. 723
- 724 [54] F. Knoop, N. Shulumba, A. Castellano, J. P. A. Batista, R. Farris, M. J. Verstraete, M. Heine, D. Broido, D. S. Kim, J. Klar-725

Open Source Software 9, 6150 (2024).