

Dispersive Wave Focusing on a Shear Current: Part 1-Linear Approximations

Simen Å. Ellingsen, Zibo Zheng, Malek Abid, Christian Kharif, Yan Li

▶ To cite this version:

Simen Å. Ellingsen, Zibo Zheng, Malek Abid, Christian Kharif, Yan Li. Dispersive Wave Focusing on a Shear Current: Part 1-Linear Approximations. Water Waves, In press, 10.1007/s42286-024-00085-3. hal-04535288

HAL Id: hal-04535288 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04535288

Submitted on 6 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Dispersive wave focusing on a shear current.
2	Part 1: Linear approximations
3	Simen Å. Ellingsen ^{1*†} , Zibo Zheng ^{1†} , Malek Abid ² , Christian
4	Kharif ² and Yan Li ³
5	¹ Department of Energy and Process Engineering, Norwegian
6	University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, 7491, Norway.
7	² Institut de Recherche sur les Phénomènes Hors Equilibre,
8	Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, 13384, France.
9	³ Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, Bergen,
10	5007, Norway.
11	*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): simen.a.ellingsen@ntnu.no;

12

13

Abstract

[†]SÅE and ZZ are to be considered joint first authors.

We consider the evolution and kinematics during dispersive focusing, 14 for a group of waves propagating atop currents varying with depth. 15 Our analysis assumes long-crested linear waves propagating at arbi-16 trary angles relative to the current. Although low steepness is assumed, 17 the linear model is often a reasonable approximation for understanding 18 rogue waves. A number of analytical approximate relations are derived 19 assuming different sub-surface current profiles, including linearly varying 20 current, exponentially varying current, and currents of arbitrary depth 21 profile which are weakly sheared following the approximation of Stewart 22 & Joy (Deep Sea Res. Abs. 21, 1974). The orbital velocities are like-23 wise studied. While shear currents have modest influence on the motion 24 of the envelope of the wave group, they significantly change wave kine-25 matics. Horizontal orbital velocities are either amplified or suppressed 26 depending on whether the shear is opposing or following, respectively. 27 To illustrate these phenomena we consider a real-world example using 28 velocity profiles and wave spectra measured in the Columbia River 29 estuary. Near the surface at the point where focusing occurs, hori-30 zontal orbital velocities are respectively increased and decreased by 31 factors of 1.4 and 0.7 for focusing groups propagating on following and 32

opposing shear (respectively upstream and downstream in the earthfixed reference system). The implications for the forces a focusing wave group can exert on vessels and installations are profound, emphasizing the importance of considering current profiles in maritime operations.

Keywords: Wave-shear current interaction, Focused wave group, Wave
 kinematics

³⁹ 1 Introduction

Rogue waves, characterized as enormous and abrupt waves appearing on the 40 sea's surface, pose a significant threat to maritime activities. These waves 41 which are defined by being far higher than the waves around them, can emerge 42 without warning, occurring both in deep and shallow waters, and they result 43 from various physical mechanisms that concentrate the energy of water waves 44 into a small area. Their occurrence has led to numerous fatalities, injuries, and 45 extensive damages to ships and maritime structures. Among the mechanisms 46 responsible for their formation are dispersive focusing, refraction influenced 47 by variable currents and bottom topography, modulational instability, con-48 structive wave interference enhanced by second-order interactions, cross-sea 49 interactions, and soliton interactions. For a comprehensive review of these 50 mechanisms, refer to the works by Kharif & Pelinovsky [1], Dysthe et al. [2], 51 and Onorato et al. [3]. 52

The main objective of this paper is to analyze the effect of depth-dependent 53 underlying currents on the dispersive focusing of water waves in deep water. 54 Extreme wave events resulting from dispersive focusing or spatiotemporal 55 focusing phenomena can be described as follows: when initially shorter wave 56 packets are positioned in front of longer wave packets with higher group veloc-57 ities, the longer waves eventually catch up and overtake the shorter waves 58 during the dispersive evolution process. At a fixed location (known as the focus 59 point) and time, the superposition of all these waves leads to the formation 60 of a large-amplitude wave. Subsequently, the longer waves move ahead of the 61 shorter waves, resulting in a decrease in the amplitude of the wave train. In 62 the absence of vorticity, giant waves created by dispersive focusing have been 63 frequently studied experimentally [4–7] and theoretically [8, 9], but studies in 64 the presence of a shear current are very scarce. Kharif et al. [10] investigated 65 the effect of a constant vorticity underlying current on the dispersive focus-66 ing of a one-dimensional nonlinear wave group propagating in shallow water. 67 Their findings revealed that the presence of constant vorticity increases the 68 maximum amplification factor of the surface elevation as the shear intensity 69 of the current increases. The duration of extreme wave events follows a similar 70 behavior. In narrowband assumption Xin et al. [11] report the different effects 71 of following and opposing shear current on both the extreme and fatigue loads 72 on fixed-bottom offshore slender structures in extreme wave events. The work 73

has shed light on the shear-current modified wave kinematics in the design of
offshore structures, which will be explored further in this work as explained
below.

This study is the first part of an investigation of waves focusing dispersively 77 on vertically sheared currents. The, in some respects, simplest case is treated in 78 this first part, where the theory is linearised with respect to wave steepness; a 70 second-order theory is found in part two [12]. Although nonlinear wave effects 80 are significant for rogue wave situations, a linear approximation has been found 81 to give reasonable results [13]. Our focus is on investigating the behavior of 82 focusing wave groups propagating obliquely to the current direction, as well 83 as wave groups traveling in the same direction as the current, in deep water 8/ (see Figure 1). By analyzing the impact of these depth-dependent underlying 85 currents on the dispersive focusing of water waves, we aim to enhance our 86 understanding of the formation and characteristics of rogue waves, contributing 87 to improved safety measures for maritime activities as highlighted in [11]. 88

We consider a range of vertically sheared currents, and of wave shapes 80 at focus, deriving a series of closed-form approximate results. The currents 90 we consider include the linear and exponential depth dependence — cases for 91 which closed-form solutions exist for the linear velocity field — and arbitrary 92 current profiles which satisfy the weak-shear approximation (fundamentally 93 that required for the celebrated approximation of Stewart & Joy [14]). Wave 94 groups focusing to a δ -function singularity, and a narrowband Gaussian packet, 95 are considered. The approximate formulae derived are, we propose, useful for 96 their relative simplicity and analytical tractability, for instance for the creation 97 of focusing waves on sheared currents in numerical and laboratory experiments 98 (see, e.g., [15]). 99



Fig. 1 Geometry: a quasi-2D wave propagating along the x axis. A sub-surface shear current makes an angle θ with the direction of wave propagation. Here $\mathbf{U}(z) = Sz\mathbf{e}_U$. Currents with opposing and following shear are denoted by $\theta \in [0, \pi/2)$ and $(\pi/2, \pi]$, respectively.

A main conclusion of our work, illustrated and quantified through many examples, is the following: within a linear framework, the presence of shear has modest effect on the focusing and defocusing of the wave-group envelope, but

a large effect on the wave kinematics. The component of the orbital velocities
 near the surface at the point of focus can be strongly enhanced.

¹⁰⁵ 2 Theoretical background

In this section we review the necessary background theory and phrase it in the formalism we use herein. While not in a strict sense novel, the reexamination of the basics sheds important light on the mechanisms in play which we will refer extensively to in later sections. After defining the problem and geometry, the linear initial-value solution is provided in a suitable form, and standard current profiles and highly useful approximations are briefly recapitulated.

112 2.1 Problem definition

We consider a body of water with a free surface which, when undisturbed, is at z = 0, and sustaining a shear current which depends arbitrarily on depth. The depth is infinite (some results are generalised to allow finite depth in Appendix A), and we ignore the effects of surface tension. The geometry is sketched in figure 1. The background current has the form

$$\mathbf{U}(z) = \{ U_x(z), U_y(z) \} = U(z)\mathbf{e}_U;$$
(1)

$$\mathbf{e}_U = \{\cos\theta, \sin\theta\},\tag{2}$$

where \mathbf{e}_{U} is a unit vector in the xy plane. Without loss of generality we choose 118 the coordinate system which follows the surface of the water so that U(0) = 0. 119 As well as simplifying the formalism this choice emphasizes that the effects 120 studies are due to shear rather than surface current. A nonzero surface current 121 is easily worked back into solutions by adding a Doppler shift to frequencies. 122 The angle between wave propagation and current is θ and we shall assume 123 without loss of generality that the wave propagates along the x axis so that 124 $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U} = kU_x = kU(z)\cos\theta$. In derivations we shall often retain a general wave 125 vector $\mathbf{k} = \{k_x, 0\}$. We allow k_x to take both signs in derivations, eventually 126 arriving at expressions for waves propagating only in the positive x direction, 127 whereupon we may consider only positive wave numbers. We assume long-128 crested waves, so the surface elevation is $\zeta(\mathbf{r},t) = \zeta(x,t)$ where $\mathbf{r} = (x,y)$. 129 We assume here that the current does not change direction with depth, but 130 generalisation to a z-dependent θ is straightforward. 131

As is well known (e.g. [16]), the surface elevation and dispersion relation depend only on **U** in the combination $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U} = kU_x$, whereas U_y has no influence on ζ . The angle θ thus only plays the role of varying U_x through values between -U and U. We shall see in section 4 that the same is not the case for the velocity field beneath the waves.

In this paper we linearise equations and boundary conditions with respect to ζ and its derivatives, as well as orbital velocities — a companion paper considers weakly nonlinear extensions.

¹⁴⁰ 2.2 Linear initial-value problem, and solution

We will solve initial value problems in this set-up, a simpler, long-crested version of the theory presented in [17]. The general linear solution can be written in Fourier form with the 3D formulae in Ref. [17] assuming translational symmetry in the y direction, as

$$\zeta(\mathbf{r},t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_x}{2\pi} \Big[b_+(k_x)e^{-i\omega_+(k_x)t} + b_-(k_x)e^{-i\omega_-(k_x)t} \Big] e^{ik_xx} \tag{3}$$

where $\omega_{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ are the two solutions of the linear dispersion relation for a general wave vector \mathbf{k} , and $b_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = b_{\pm}(k_x)$ are spectral weights determined by initial conditions.

In the reference system following the surface current (i.e., U(0) = 0), the dispersion relation always has one positive and one negative solution, corresponding to waves propagating in direction **k** and $-\mathbf{k}$, respectively, implying that $\omega_{+} \geq 0$ and $\omega_{-} \leq 0$.

Our initial condition is that the shape of the packet is prescribed at focus, t = 0, and propagates only in the positive x direction. Let the Fourier transform of ζ at focus be

$$\zeta(x,0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_x}{2\pi} \tilde{\zeta}_0(k_x) e^{ik_x x}; \quad \tilde{\zeta}_0(k_x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \,\zeta(x,0) e^{-ik_x x}, \quad (4)$$

which with the general linear solution (3) implies

$$b_{+}(k_{x}) + b_{-}(k_{x}) = \tilde{\zeta}_{0}(k_{x}).$$
 (5)

¹⁵⁶ In order to obtain the appropriate initial shape with only plane waves prop-¹⁵⁷ agating in the +x direction, we couple the kernel $\exp(ik_x x)$ to $\exp(-i\omega_- t)$ ¹⁵⁸ when $k_x < 0$ and to $\exp(-i\omega_+ t)$ when $k_x > 0$:

$$b_{\pm}(k_x) = \tilde{\zeta}_0(k_x)\Theta(\pm k_x),\tag{6}$$

where Θ is the Heaviside unit step function, explicitly

$$\tilde{\zeta}_0(k_x,t) = \tilde{\zeta}_0(k_x) \left[e^{-i\omega_-(k_x)t} \Theta(-k_x) + e^{-i\omega_+(k_x)t} \Theta(k_x) \right].$$
(7)

160 Substituting $k_x \rightarrow -k_x$ for the b_- term and noticing the well-known symmetry

$$\omega_{-}(-k_x) = -\omega_{+}(k_x),\tag{8}$$

¹⁶¹ the solution may be written

$$\zeta(x,t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \Big[\tilde{\zeta}_0(-k) e^{-i\psi} + \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) e^{i\psi} \Big],\tag{9}$$

where we now simplify the notation, $k_x \to k$ and $\omega_+(k_x) \to \omega(k)$ is the positivevalued frequency. As shorthand, we define the wave phase

$$\psi = \psi(x, t; k) \equiv kx - \omega(k)t, \tag{10}$$

frequently written without arguments for succinctness. Since (9) is real-valued, it follows that $\tilde{\zeta}_0(-k) = \tilde{\zeta}_0^*(k)$, so we finally write

$$\zeta(x,t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) e^{i\psi}.$$
 (11)

¹⁶⁶ In the following we shall use this form and therefore assume $k_x = k > 0$, with ¹⁶⁷ the exception of derivations where it is sometimes necessary to return to the ¹⁶⁸ more fundamental form.

In particular, if the shape at t = 0 is symmetrical around x = 0, $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)$ is real, hence

$$\zeta(x,t) = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) \cos\psi(x,t;\ k). \tag{12}$$

¹⁷¹ 2.3 Vertically sheared currents

We here introduce the classic linear and exponential shear profiles used as canonical examples, and approximate linear theories for arbitrary shear. Known results are briefly reviewed and framed in the formalism we use herein.

175 2.3.1 Current with constant shear

First we quote well-known results for the simple, linearly depth-dependentcurrent

$$\mathbf{U}(z) = Sz\mathbf{e}_U = Sz\{\cos\theta, \sin\theta\}$$
(13)

¹⁷⁸ with S the constant shear.

¹⁷⁹ Due to the symmetry (8) it is sufficient to consider the positive-valued $\omega(k)$ ¹⁸⁰ assuming positive k, which we write in the form

$$\omega_{\sigma}(k) = kc_{\sigma}(k) = \sqrt{g\varkappa} - \sigma \tag{14}$$

¹⁸¹ where the shear-modified wave number is

$$\varkappa(k) = k + \sigma^2/g,\tag{15}$$

182 and

$$\sigma \equiv \frac{1}{2}S\cos\theta. \tag{16}$$

¹⁸³ The group velocity is

$$c_{g\sigma}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{g}{\varkappa}}.$$
(17)

Note for future reference that $c_{g\sigma}(k)$ is symmetrical under $\sigma \to -\sigma$ while c_{σ} is not. In the spirit of [17, 18] we may define a Froude-shear number for the linearshear current based on velocity S/k and length 1/k,

$$FS_{lin.} = \frac{S}{2\sqrt{gk}}$$
(18)

¹⁸⁸ so that the shear-modified wave number is $\varkappa = k(1 + FS_{lin.}^2 \cos^2 \theta)$. This is ¹⁸⁹ particularly instructive in narrow-band cases (e.g., long groups with Gaussian ¹⁹⁰ envelope) where there is a dominating carrier wave number; see sections 3.4 ¹⁹¹ and 4.1 for further details. Here and henceforth a subscript 'lin.' indicates the ¹⁹² subscribed quantity pertains to the linear current.

¹⁹³ 2.3.2 Current with exponential shear

¹⁹⁴ We will frequently make use of the model current with exponential depth ¹⁹⁵ profile, which we define

$$\mathbf{U}_{\exp}(z) = U_0(\mathrm{e}^{\alpha z} - 1)\mathbf{e}_U = \{U_{x0}, U_{y0}\}(\mathrm{e}^{\alpha z} - 1),$$
(19)

where $\alpha > 0$ is a shear strength and U_{x0} a current strength. This model has been considered for the purposes of wave-current interactions for a very long time, thanks to its similarity to a wind-driven shear-layer (Ekman current) [19] [19].

An explicit, exact solution to the linear problem with the exponential current can be found in terms of hypergeometric functions, which we review in section 4.4. The dispersion relation in this case is, however, implicit but easily calculated numerically.

The exponential profile is a particularly useful model in combination with the weak-shear approximation (see section 2.4.1), an approximation which is excellent in the vast majority of oceanographic and coastal flows. Near-surface flows, such as wind-driven Ekman layers or estuarine plumes, are typically reasonably approximated by an exponential, and in this case the linearised weak-shear theory yields a wealth of explicit analytical results, a number of which we derive in this article.

211 2.4 Weak-shear and weak-curvature theory

We will summarise the results of theories for dispersion relations and flow fields for an arbitrary current U(z) satisfying criteria of weak shear and weak curvature, respectively. We emphasize that although the former approximation is termed 'weak shear' due to the formal requirements for it to be asymptotically accurate, in fact in an oceanic setting the shear can be very strong as in the case of the Columbia River Estuary considered in section 4.5.1, and still give results accurate to within a few percent or less.

The weak-shear approximation is in practice that underlying the celebrated approximation of Stewart & Joy [14], typically sufficient in practice while in

cases of extremely strong shear (as effectively felt by a wave of the wavelength in question), the strong-shear-weak-curvature expressions [20] could be necessary.

As discussed in Ref. [20], a suitable measure of the effective strength of the current shear is a dimensionless depth-integrated shear, or "directional shear-Froude number", $\delta(\mathbf{k})$, defined as

$$\delta(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \frac{1}{c_0(k)} \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{d}z \, U'_x(z) \mathrm{e}^{2kz} \equiv \mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{gen.}} \cos\theta.$$
(20)

with $c_0(k) = \sqrt{g/k}$ as usual. We use the symbol δ as well as FS to make contact with previously published theory [17, 18, 20], despite the slight redundancy. The *x* component of **U** is taken, being the component aligned with the waves, $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U} = kU_x$.

That the parameter $\delta(\mathbf{k})$ is a direct generalisation of the shear-Froude number (18) for linear shear based on the along-wave (here: x) current component, is easily seen by inserting $U_x(z) = Sz \cos \theta = 2\sigma z$ which gives $\delta(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{\text{lin.}}(\mathbf{k})$ with

$$\delta_{\text{lin.}}(\mathbf{k}) = 2\sigma \sqrt{\frac{k}{g}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathrm{d}z \,\mathrm{e}^{2kz} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{gk}} = \mathrm{FS}_{\text{lin.}} \cos\theta.$$
(21)

For ease of comparison to the linear-shear case above, it is also instructive for us to define the shear-induced Doppler shift for a wave propagating at an angle θ :

$$\sigma_{\delta}(k) = \omega_0(k)\delta(\mathbf{k}) = k \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{d}z \, U'_x(z) \mathrm{e}^{2kz} \tag{22}$$

a generalisation of σ for the linear current in Eq. (16). We defined $\omega_0 = \sqrt{gk}$.

239 2.4.1 Weak shear

A sufficient criterion for the approximate theory of Stewart & Joy [14] and its 240 generalisations [21, 22] to be accurate is $\delta(\mathbf{k}) \ll 1$ for all k which contribute 241 significantly (we follow the convention of [23] that \ll and \gg refer to the 242 absolute values of the quantities compared). The results in references [14, 22] 243 were derived assuming weak current, $U \ll c$, yet it is shown in ref. [20] that 244 the true condition of validity is that the shear is weak. (This was suspected by 245 Kirby & Chen [22] and in fact obliquely discussed already by Skop [21]). After 246 a partial integration of the original form of the much-used approximation due 247 to Stewart & Joy [14], it can be written 248

$$\omega(k) \approx \sqrt{gk} - \sigma_{\delta}(k) = \omega_0(k)[1 - \delta(\mathbf{k})].$$
(23)

We mention in passing that although (20) performs excellently for most typical ocean and coastal currents concentrated in the near-surface region (e.g. [20,

24), such as the exponential current profile, it does not perform particularly 251 well for the linear shear case even when shear is moderate [24]; for currents 252 which are close to linear, the strong-shear approximation in the next section 253 should be used. 254

Further formulae in the weak-shear approximation will be quoted or derived 255 later, as they are needed. Explicit expressions for the exponential current in 256 the context of surface motion are found in section 3.2.1, and weak-shear theory 257 for the kinematics and orbital velocities may be found in Section 4.2. 258

2.4.2 Strong shear, weak curvature 250

A similar theory allowing U(z) to have arbitrarily strong shear, but weak 260 curvature, was developed by Ellingsen & Li [20]; the explicit limitation on 261 curvature may be found therein. Now $\delta(\mathbf{k})$ can be arbitrarily large compared 262 to unity. The approximate dispersion relation derived in [20] (equation 18) is 263

$$\omega(k) \approx \omega_0(k)(\sqrt{1+\delta^2} - \delta) = \sqrt{gk + \sigma_\delta^2} - \sigma_\delta.$$
(24)

Ellingsen & Li finds no practical situations where (24) performs significantly 264 worse than (23), and it fares far better when δ is not small compared to unity. 265 Notice that when the linear current (13) is inserted, one finds $\sigma_{\delta}(k) = \sigma$ 266 as defined in (16) and the dispersion relation (14) is regained exactly. The 267 formalism thus bears a close similarity to that with constant shear, in section 268 2.3.1. Moreover, $\delta \ll 1$ returns the weak-shear dispersion relation (23) to 269 leading order. 270

The close resemblance in form to the constant shear case makes it natural 271 to define a generalised function analogous to Eq. (15), 272

$$\varkappa_{\delta}(k) = k + \sigma_{\delta}(k)^2/g.$$
⁽²⁵⁾

whereby (24) can be written $\omega(k) \approx \omega_{\delta}(k) = \sqrt{g \varkappa_{\delta}(k)} - \sigma_{\delta}(k)$. 273

3 Surface motion 274

In this section we derive and analyse a number of potentially useful results for 275 the moving free surface of a focusing wave groups, including explicit approx-276 imate expressions for general and special cases. We assume throughout in 277 Section 3 that the surface elevation at focus, $\zeta(x,0)$, is symmetric in x for 278 simplicity. 279

For purposes of analytical treatment, there are two challenges to contend 280 with when a vertical shear current is present: the dispersion relation is not 281 in general given in closed form, and the waves are described by Fourier inte-282 grals with no closed-form solutions. We consider in the following a number of 283 special cases and/or simplifying assumptions which allow useful, closed-form 284 expressions to be derived. 285

²⁸⁶ 3.1 General dispersion considerations

We briefly argue why the dispersion relation predicts that vertical shear of U(z) has little effect on the group envelope, but can greatly affect the phase velocity and hence the wave kinematics. We focus now on the simplest case of a linear shear current (13) which is sufficient to illustrate the overall effect of shear.

If now σ is not small compared to \sqrt{k} , the phase velocity in equation (14) depends strongly on θ ; for $\cos \theta > 0$ (opposing shear) the two terms in (14) tend to cancel each other while for $\cos \theta < 0$ (following shear) they add to each other, giving a phase velocity which can be far higher. In contrast, the group velocity is identical under $\theta \to -\theta$ since $c_{q\sigma}$ depends on $|\cos \theta|$ only.

²⁹⁷ Bearing in mind that the envelope of a focusing group of waves is governed ²⁹⁸ by the group velocity and its k derivative, the evolution of the group as a whole ²⁹⁹ is largely independent of whether propagation is upstream or downstream. ³⁰⁰ The kinematics of the wave patterns within the focusing group, however, are ³⁰¹ related to the phase velocity which can be very different depending on the ³⁰² direction θ . To wit, the ratio between phase velocity for opposite directions ³⁰³ $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ is

$$\frac{c(\theta = \pi)}{c(\theta = 0)} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + FS_{\text{lin.}}^2 + FS_{\text{lin.}}}}{\sqrt{1 + FS_{\text{lin.}}^2 - FS_{\text{lin.}}}} = \left(\sqrt{1 + FS_{\text{lin.}}^2 + FS_{\text{lin.}}}\right)^2$$
(26)

which very significant indeed when $\mathrm{FS}_{\mathrm{lin.}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. In section 4 we study the closely related amplification of horizontal velocities at focus depending on θ . The situation becomes particularly pointed for strong shear, $\sigma \gg 1$, in which case the phase and group velocities in equations (14) and (17) are

$$c_{\sigma}(k) = \frac{1}{k}(|\sigma| - \sigma) + \frac{g}{2|\sigma|} + \dots$$
(27a)

$$c_{g\sigma}(k) = \frac{g}{2|\sigma|} + \dots \tag{27b}$$

For $\cos \theta > 0$ the group and phase velocities become asymptotically equal and the wave becomes nondispersive, whereas for $\cos \theta < 0$ phase velocity can be many times greater than group velocity.

The effect is illustrated in Fig. 2 where we plot $\eta(x, t)$ at a series of equidis-311 tant times as the wave group focuses and defocuses. A short Gaussian packet 312 with carrier wave number k_0 and length L is chosen for improved illustration, 313 as defined and discussed in section 3.4. We plot time in units of $T_{\rm ref} = \sqrt{L/g}$. 314 The surface elevation $\zeta(x,t)$ was evaluated numerically from Eq. (12). The 315 shear S is constant and made strong for clarity of illustration, FS_{lin} , $\cos\theta$ takes 316 the values $-\frac{1}{2}$, 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$ at $k = k_0$. When $\theta = 0$ focusing is characterised by 317 a wave group which slowly varying phase as the group passes through focus. 318 When $\theta = \pi$ on the other hand, crests and troughs move so rapidly that they 319



Fig. 2 Illustration of the different kinematic behaviour for waves focusing into a short group, $k_0L = 3$ with a Gaussian envelope of standard deviation L in deep water for opposing, zero and following linear shear. (a,b,c): $\zeta(x,t)/a$ for \tilde{t} from -30 to 30 in steps of 0.25 with graphs growing progressively lighter in colour for increasing |t|, and the t = 0 (focused) wave group drawn as thicker white lines. The dashed lines are plots of the maximum group height, $\pm L(L^4 + B_0^2 t_g(x)^2)^{-1/4}$, using Eqs. (56), (54) and $t_g(x) = x/c_g(k_0)$. (d,e,f): same, with ζ as shades from darkest to lightest ($\zeta/a = -1$ and $\zeta/a = 1$, respectively), varying in space and time.

³²⁰ appear almost chaotic at this time resolution. (An illustration of the shallow ³²¹ water case is given in A.3.)

Another case suitable for illustration is the wave which takes the form of a Gaussian soliton at focus,

$$\zeta(x,0) = ae^{-x^2/2L^2}; \quad \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) = \sqrt{2\pi}aLe^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2L^2}.$$
(28)



12 Wave focusing on a shear current

Fig. 3 Illustration of the different kinematic behaviour for waves focusing into a Gaussian soliton of nondimensional width 1 in deep water. The solid graphs show $\zeta(x,t)/a$ for $t/T_{\rm ref}$ from -20 to 20 in steps of 0.5. Here $T_{\rm ref}\sigma = 1,0$ and -1 (top to bottom). The abcissa is x/L, and $\zeta(x,0)/a$ is shown with thicker, red line.

the width of the Gaussian focused shape. The shape is considered by [25], where an explicit solution is found in the shallow-water case without shear. The surface elevation according to Eq. (12) is

$$\zeta(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} aL \operatorname{Re}\left[\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}k^2 L^2 + ikx - i\omega(k)t}\right].$$
(29)

The time evolution of a group focusing into a Gaussian soliton with constant 327 shear in deep water is shown in Fig. 3. The behaviour is once again that the 328 wave group focusing on following shear ($\cos \theta = -1$) and that on opposing 329 shear $(\cos \theta = 1)$, while sharing the same averaged envelope, behave quite dif-330 ferently in a kinematic sense, the former appearing as a single soliton rising 331 slowly to its maximum and declines again, whereas the latter draws a hec-332 tic picture of crests and troughs rapidly replacing each other as the focus is 333 approached. 334

335 3.2 Stationary phase approximations

Before considering particular cases we derive a general expression for the stationary phase approximation of the shape of the wave packet sufficiently far from focus. Assume therefore that $x, t \gg 1$. Formally we write $\psi = t(k\xi - \omega(k))$ 339 where

$$\xi \equiv x/t, \tag{30}$$

and we assume ξ is moderately large, in the order of $c_g(k)$, then take the asymptotic solution as $|t| \to \infty$ [23].

Equation (12) is rapidly oscillating and dominated by its stationary points 342 when $|t| \to \infty$. We presume for simplicity that only one such exists, which is the 343 case for for gravity waves except very special and extreme cases; should several 344 stationary points exist, the procedure is simply repeated for each one. Equation 345 (12) has its stationary point at $k = k_{\rm sp}$ which solves $\psi'(k_{\rm sp}) = 0$ where a prime 346 here denotes differentiation w.r.t. k. This implies $c_q(k_{sp}) = \xi$ with $c_q(k_{sp})$ being 347 the stationary-point group velocity. In general the stationary point must be 348 found numerically, for example with the Direct Integration Method [26] which 349 we will employ later. 350

Now let a subscript 'sp' indicate the quantity is evaluated at $k = k_{sp}$. To connect with formalism in following sections (equation (54) in particular), we define

$$A_{\rm sp} = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d}k} \right|_{k=k_{\rm sp}}; \quad B_{\rm sp}(\xi) = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\omega}{\mathrm{d}k^2} \right|_{k=k_{\rm sp}}.$$
 (31)

Clearly, $A_{\rm sp} = \omega'_{\rm sp} = c_g(k_{\rm sp}) = \xi$, and $\psi''_{\rm sp} = -\omega''_{\rm sp}t = -B_{\rm sp}(\xi)t$ (note that $B_{\rm sp}$ is a function of ξ because $k_{\rm sp}$ is). With the stationary phase approximation (e.g., §6.5 of [23])

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x,t) = &\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \, \tilde{\zeta}_{0}(k) \mathrm{e}^{i\psi(x,t;\,k)}\right\} \\ \approx &\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi |B_{\mathrm{sp}}(\xi)t|}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\tilde{\zeta}_{0}(k_{\mathrm{sp}}) \exp\left[i(\psi_{\mathrm{sp}} + \frac{\pi}{4}\mathrm{Sg}(x))\right]\right\} \Theta(\xi \ge c_{g,\min}), \end{aligned}$$
(32)

where 'Sg' denotes the sign function, Θ is the unit step function, and $c_{g,\min}$ is the smallest value $c_g(k)$ can take. In particular, solutions only exist for $\xi > 0$ (bear in mind the assumption $\xi/c_g \sim 1$).

In all cases in the following, $Sg[\psi_{sp}''] = Sg(x) = Sg(t)$ when a stationary point exists, which we therefore assume henceforth.

³⁶² 3.2.1 Stationary phase approximation, exponential shear

³⁶³ Consider next the case of an exponential current, equation (19). The weak-³⁶⁴ shear approximation is sure to be accurate in any direction θ if $\delta(\mathbf{k}) \ll 1$ ³⁶⁵ where, from equation (20), $\delta(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ with w

$$\delta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{U_{x0}}{c_0(k)} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 2k}.$$
(33)

We might equally refer to δ_{α} as the Froude-shear number for the exponential case (notation FS_{exp} cos $\theta = \delta_{\alpha}$, although we will use δ_{α} in the following). fig/stationaryphasek01.pdf

Fig. 4 Wave surface elevation on an exponential shear current (19) with $U_0/\sqrt{gL} = 0.2$, $\alpha L = 2.5$ and $\theta = 0$. The red dashed line is the stationary phase approximation (32) with the weak exponential shear approximation using equations (35b), (36) and (37). The black solid line is the numerical solution using the Direct Integration Method [26]. The initial wave surface is a Gaussian group $\zeta(x,0) = a \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x^2/L^2) \cos(k_0 x)$ with $k_0 L = 1$ The focusing occurs at t = 0.

For a particular propagation direction θ it is sufficient that $\delta(\mathbf{k}) \ll 1$ for all significant values of k. The maximum absolute value of δ_{α} is at $k = \alpha/2$ where

$$\delta_{\alpha,\max} = |U_{x0}| \sqrt{\alpha/8g}. \tag{34}$$

Note that the global maximum of δ_{α} does not depend on the lengthscale L, i.e., $\delta_{\max} \ll 1$ guarantees the accuracy of weak-shear theory independently of the size and shape of the wave group at focus, as should be expected. However, note that this is a sufficient, not a necessary condition: if αL is much greater or smaller than unity, δ_{α} could remain far smaller than its maximal value for all k which contribute significantly.

We note in passing the correspondence with the assumption in Stewart Joy's theory of weak current compared to the phase velocity; at $k = \alpha/2$ the condition $\delta_{\text{max}} \ll 1$ can be written $U_{x0}/2c \ll 1$ since the phase velocity ³⁷⁹ is approximately $\sqrt{2g/\alpha}$. This is a (reference system invariant) weak current ³⁸⁰ assumption: the maximum difference in $U_{x0}(z)$ over the water column is much ³⁸¹ smaller than twice the phase velocity.

³⁸² The weak-shear approximation, eq. (23), yields

$$\omega(k) \approx \sqrt{gk} - \frac{U_{x0}\alpha k}{2k+\alpha};\tag{35a}$$

$$A_{\rm sp} = \xi = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{g}{k_{\rm sp}}} - \frac{U_{x0} \alpha^2}{(2k_{\rm sp} + \alpha)^2};$$
(35b)

$$B_{\rm sp} = -\frac{1}{4k_{\rm sp}}\sqrt{\frac{g}{k_{\rm sp}}} + \frac{4U_{x0}\alpha^2}{(2k_{\rm sp} + \alpha)^3} = -\frac{\xi}{2k_{\rm sp}} - \frac{U_{x0}\alpha^2(\alpha - 6k_{\rm sp})}{2k_{\rm sp}(2k_{\rm sp} + \alpha)^3}.$$
 (35c)

We wish to solve (35b) with respect to $k_{\rm sp}$. The assumption behind the weakshear approximation is that $\delta \ll 1$ as defined in Eq. (20). In this spirit we write $\alpha U_{0k} \rightarrow \gamma \alpha U_{0k}$ with γ a "smallness" parameter for bookkeeping we will eventually take to 1. We expand $k_{\rm sp} = k_{\rm sp}^{(0)} + \gamma k_{\rm sp}^{(1)}$ and solve (35b) in orders of γ and insert into (35c) to obtain

$$k_{\rm sp} = \frac{g}{4\xi^2} - \frac{2gU_{x0}\alpha^2\xi}{(g+2\alpha\xi^2)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2); \tag{36}$$

$$B_{\rm sp}(\xi) = -\frac{2\xi^3}{g} - \frac{8U_{x0}\alpha^2\xi^6(g-6\alpha\xi^2)}{g(g+2\alpha\xi^2)^3} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2).$$
(37)

The frequency in the weak-shear stationary phase approximation is found by inserting (36) into (35a) and retaining terms to $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$,

$$\omega_{\rm sp} = \frac{g}{2\xi} - \frac{U_{x0}g\alpha(g+6\alpha\xi^2)}{2(g+2\alpha\xi^2)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2),\tag{38}$$

while the applicability of weak-shear theory is well indicated by the Froudeshear number at the stationary point,

$$\delta_{\alpha,\text{sp}} = \frac{\alpha \xi U_{x0}}{g + 2\xi^2 \alpha} + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2) \tag{39}$$

which has its maximum at $\xi = \sqrt{g/2\alpha}$ where the result (34) is regained.

We test the stationary phase surface elevation solution in figure 4. The red line indicates the arbitrary-accuracy numerical solution using the method of reference [26], whereas the black is the weak-shear stationary phase solution, found by inserting (38) and (37) into equation (32). We observe a very slight phase shift over time because the frequency $\omega_{\rm sp}$ is only approximate, whereas the 'exact' and approximate envelope of the group are virtually indistinguishable.

⁴⁰⁰ 3.2.2 Stationary phase approximation for linear shear

⁴⁰¹ In the special case of linear shear, an explicit formula is readily derived. Rather ⁴⁰² than use Eq. (32) we substitute $\varpi = \sqrt{gk + \sigma^2}$ into the dispersion relation ⁴⁰³ (14), $\omega = \omega_{\sigma} = \varpi - \sigma$, from which it follows that $k(\varpi) = (\varpi^2 - \sigma^2)/g$; dk =⁴⁰⁴ $(2\varpi/g)d\varpi$, and according to equation (12) $\zeta(x,t)$ is,

$$\zeta(x,t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) \mathrm{e}^{ikx - i\omega_\sigma t}$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi g} \operatorname{Re} \left[\mathrm{e}^{-ix\sigma^2 g + i\sigma t} \int_{|\sigma|}^\infty \mathrm{d}\varpi \, \varpi \tilde{\zeta}_0(k(\varpi)) \mathrm{e}^{ix\varpi^2/g - i\varpi t} \right]. \tag{40}$$

Formally we write the exponent as $it\phi(\varpi)$ with $\phi(\varpi) = \frac{\omega^2 x}{gt - \varpi}$, and consider the asymptote $t \to \infty$ while assuming $x/t \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. The stationary phase $\varpi = \varpi_{sp}$ is

$$\phi'(\varpi_{\rm sp}) = \left. \left(\frac{2x}{gt} \varpi_{\rm sp} - 1 \right) \right|_{\varpi = \varpi_{\rm sp}} = 0, \tag{41}$$

or, in other words, $\varpi_{\rm sp} = gt/2x$. (Introduction of the symbol $\xi = x/t$ is not equally handy as in the previous section, and we retain x and t here.) With the stationary phase approximation the integral is (e.g., §6.5 of [23])

$$\int_{|\sigma|}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\varpi \, \bar{\omega} \tilde{\zeta}_0(k(\varpi)) \mathrm{e}^{ix\varpi^2/g - i\varpi t} \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi g}{|x|}} \frac{t \tilde{\zeta}_0(k_{\mathrm{sp}})}{2x} \exp i \left[-\frac{gt^2}{4x} + \frac{\pi}{4} \mathrm{Sg}(x) \right] \Theta(k_{\mathrm{sp}}) \tag{42}$$

411 with

$$k_{\rm sp} = \frac{\varpi_{\rm sp}^2 - \sigma^2}{g} = \frac{gt^2}{4x^2} - \frac{\sigma^2}{g}.$$
 (43)

⁴¹² There is no stationary point unless $t/2x > |\sigma|$, hence the unit step function Θ . ⁴¹³ Thus, taking the real part, the stationary phase approximation to $\zeta(x,t)$ is

$$\zeta(x,t) \approx \sqrt{\frac{g}{\pi|x|}} \frac{t}{x} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\tilde{\zeta}_0(k_{\rm sp}) \exp i\left[-\frac{\sigma^2 x}{g} - \frac{gt^2}{4x} + \sigma t + \frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{Sg}(x)\right]\right\} \Theta(k_{\rm sp}).$$
(44)

⁴¹⁴ The approximation is only nonzero when x and t are either both negative or ⁴¹⁵ both positive, as is reasonable since we have assumed propagation towards ⁴¹⁶ positive x. In the symmetrical case where $\zeta(x,0) = \zeta(-x,0)$, $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)$ is real and ⁴¹⁷ the exponential becomes a cosine.

⁴¹⁸ 3.3 Waves focusing to δ -function singularity

⁴¹⁹ Assuming the wave form at focus is a Dirac δ function is the most extreme ⁴²⁰ form of focusing. As is conventional, we overlook the obvious fact that linear ⁴²¹ theory cannot describe such a wave packet close to its maximum, and regard the solution some time before and after focusing. The case is particular in the sense that the wave shape at focus has no intrinsic length scale. We write the elevation at focus with the delta function in the limit form [e.g., 27, §7.2]

$$\zeta(x,0) = a\delta(x/L) = \lim_{\mu \to 0^+} \frac{a}{\pi} \frac{\mu}{x^2/L^2 + \mu^2}$$
(45)

where L is some arbitrary, finite lengthscale for dimensional reasons (in later
sections it will play the role of characteristic width of the wave packet at focus).
Its obtains physical meaning is only when this singular model flow is compared
to whatever real flow it models. The Fourier transform is

$$\tilde{\zeta}_0(k) = aL \lim_{\mu \to 0^+} e^{-\mu L|k|}.$$
(46)

 $_{429}$ Using (12) we have

$$\zeta(x,t) = 2aL \lim_{\mu \to 0^+} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \cos[kx - \omega(k)t] e^{-\mu Lk}.$$
(47)

430 The role of μ is to render the integral well defined.

431 For an integral with rapidly oscillating integrand of form

$$\int \mathrm{d}q f(q) e^{iX\phi(q)} \tag{48}$$

with $\phi(q) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, the stationary phase approximation is accurate for $X \gg 1$ assuming f(q) is significant for $q \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$. Substituting $q = \mu k$ into (47), we observe that $X = x/\mu$, which is very large for any nonzero x. Thus the stationary phase approximation should be sufficiently accurate everywhere, for practical purposes.

437 From equation (44) the stationary phase approximation for linear shear is

$$\zeta(x,t) = aL\sqrt{\frac{g}{\pi|x|}}\frac{t}{x}\cos\left[\frac{\sigma^2 x}{g} + \frac{gt^2}{4x} - \sigma t - \frac{\pi}{4}\mathrm{Sg}(x)\right]\Theta\left(\frac{gt^2}{2x} - |\sigma|t\right).$$
 (49)

⁴³⁸ Corresponding expressions for $\zeta(x, t)$ on other shear currents, including the ⁴³⁹ special case of an exponential currents, are obtained by inserting $\tilde{\zeta}_{sp} = aL$ into ⁴⁴⁰ the results in sections 3.2.

The surface elevation for a linear wave focusing towards a δ -function sin-441 gularity is shown in Fig. 5. Lines show a direct calculation of integral (47)442 with the integration path rotated slightly into the complex k plane (closed 443 with a non-contributing arc at infinity), ensuring exponential convergence. Let 444 $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma T_{\rm ref}$ and $\tilde{t} = t/T_{\rm ref}$ with reference time $T_{\rm ref} = \sqrt{L/g}$. Three differ-445 ent shear strengths are shown: $\tilde{\sigma} = -0.5, 0$ and 0.5 with reference time The 446 circular markers are the values obtained using equation (49); these are indis-447 tinguishable from the exact integral in all cases. As discussed in connection 448

fig/deltapack_sigma_pm0.5.pdf

Fig. 5 Surface elevation ζ/a (x in units of L, t in units of $T_{\rm ref} = \sqrt{L/g}$) for a wave group focusing to a δ -function singularity on a linear shear current. a) $t = -25 T_{\rm ref}$, b) $t = -5 T_{\rm ref}$. Three different shear strengths: $T_{\rm ref}\sigma = -0.5$ (blue, solid), $T_{\rm ref}\sigma = 0.5$ (black, dashed), and $T_{\rm ref}\sigma = 0$ (red, dotted); circular markers show the stationary phase approximation (49).

with equation (44), the cases $\tilde{\sigma} = -0.5$ and 0.5 are nearly indistinguishable at $\tilde{t} = -25$, but differences manifest at the later time $\tilde{t} = -5$.

In stark contrast, the behaviour of the wave phase for case $\tilde{\sigma} = 0$ is always quite distinct from the others, as should be obvious from inspection of the argument of the cosine in Eq. (49), where the term $\sigma^2 x/g$ is highly significant when $t/x \sim \sigma/g$. This observation has consequences for creating a focusing wave in a laboratory with a shear current.

An approximate solution in the shallow-water limit, which generalises results in Refs. [25, 28], is found in appendix A.2.

458 3.4 Long wave group with Gaussian envelope

We next consider a group with Gaussian envelope of characteristic length L_{450} and carrier wave number $k_0 > 0$, i.e.,

$$\zeta(x,0) = ae^{-x^2/2L^2}\cos(k_0 x).$$
(50)

We allow the shear current U(z) in equation (1) to be arbitrary and assume 461 $\omega(k)$ and its first and second derivatives are known. Note that $k_0 L$ now acts as 462 a bandwidth parameter: The higher k_0L , the narrower the bandwidth: k_0L is 463 approximately the number of wavelengths of the carrier wave within the group. 464 We will assume in derivations that the group is long (i.e., narrowband), $k_0 L \gg$ 465 1, yet we will see in the following that narrowband (long-group) approximations 466 are excellent for many practical purposes already at $k_0L = 3 - 5$ which would 467 not in most cases be considered a 'long' group. 468

Taking the Fourier transform of the Gaussian group we obtain with equation (11)

$$\zeta(x,t) = \frac{aL}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k \Big[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-k_0)^2 L^2} + e^{-\frac{1}{2}(k+k_0)^2 L^2} \Big] \cos[kx - \omega(k)t].$$
(51)

When $k_0 L \gg 1$, only the first term in the brackets makes a significant contribution, so, ignoring a term of order $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}k_0^2L^2)$, we may simplify (51) to

$$\zeta(x,t) \approx \frac{aL}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(k-k_0)^2 L^2} \cos[kx - \omega(k)t].$$
(52)

This simplification becomes suspect for $k_0 L \lesssim 3$, depending on the required level of accuracy.

This is the Gaussian group in the sense of [15], prescribing the spatial shape of the wave at focus, slightly different from the definition used in, e.g., [29, 30] where the time series of the wave elevation is specified in the time domain.

The integral (52) gets its significant contributions from near $k = k_0$. The longer the group, i.e., the more periods of the carrier wave it contains, the more focused the integral is around this value. We thus assume the group width Lis much larger than a wavelength, i.e., $k_0 L \gg 1$. Following [15] we expand the dispersion relation in a Taylor series around $k = k_0$,

$$\omega(k) = \omega(k_0) + A_0(k - k_0) + \frac{1}{2}B_0(k - k_0)^2 + \dots$$
(53)

484 where

$$A_0 = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\mathrm{d}k} \right|_{k=k_0}; \quad B_0 = \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\omega}{\mathrm{d}k^2} \right|_{k=k_0} \tag{54}$$

are found from the dispersion relation, either analytically or numerically using,
e.g., the Direct Integration Method [26].

Since k_0L is large the resulting integral is of Laplace type and is approximated as such (see, e.g., § 6.4 of [23]) whereby ζ tends asymptotically to

489

$$\frac{\zeta(x,t)}{a} \approx \frac{L}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{ik_0 x - i\omega(k_0)t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}q \, e^{-\frac{1}{2}(L^2 + iB_0 t)q^2 + iq(x - A_0 t)} \right\}$$
(55a)

$$= \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{L}{\sqrt{L^2 + iB_0t}} \exp\left[ik_0x - i\omega(k_0)t - \frac{(x - A_0t)^2}{2(L^2 + iB_0t)}\right]\right\}$$
(55b)

490 with $q = k - k_0$. Taking the real part readily yields

$$\frac{\zeta(x,t)}{a} \approx \left(\frac{L^4}{L^4 + B_0^2 t^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp\left[-\frac{L^2(x - A_0 t)^2}{2(L^4 + B_0^2 t^2)}\right] \\ \times \cos\left[k_0 x - \omega(k_0)t - \frac{1}{2}\arctan\left(\frac{B_0 t}{L^2}\right) + \frac{(x - A_0 t)^2 B_0 t}{2(L^4 + B_0^2 t^2)}\right].$$
 (56)

⁴⁹¹ This is the very general result of Ref. [15]. The effect of currents (and other ⁴⁹² factors affecting the dispersion, such as finite depth) is only to modify the ⁴⁹³ expressions for A_0 and B_0 through the more general dispersion relation. For ⁴⁹⁴ gravity waves, A_0 is typically positive and B_0 negative. In the cases we con-⁴⁹⁵ sider, the approximation (56) is reasonable already at $k_0L \sim 3$, adequate for ⁴⁹⁶ many purposes.

⁴⁹⁷ **3.4.1** Linear shear

⁴⁹⁸ Turning to our special case of constant shear and deep water, A_0 and B_0 are ⁴⁹⁹ easily found from Eq. (14) and may be instructively written in terms of a ⁵⁰⁰ shear-modified wave number (see eq. (15))

$$\varkappa_0 = k_0 + \sigma^2/g \tag{57}$$

501 as

$$A_{0,\text{lin.}} = \frac{1}{2}c_0(\varkappa_0); \quad B_{0,\text{lin.}} = -\frac{1}{4}c_0(\varkappa_0)/\varkappa_0 \tag{58}$$

with $c_0(k) = \sqrt{g/k}$ as usual. Insertion into (56) gives the approximation of $\zeta(x,t)$ for a long Gaussian focusing group. Expressions for general water depth are derived in A.3.

Figure 6 compares the approximation (56) to the exact linear solution (52)505 for Gaussian groups of two different lengths and strong following and opposing 506 shear, $\tilde{\sigma} = -0.5$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = 0.5$ for the left and right group on each horizontal line, 507 respectively. In Fig. 6b a moderately long packet ($k_0 L = 10$) is considered, and 508 the approximation (56) is excellent in all cases, out to having propagated 50 509 times the initial group width. Surprisingly, Fig. 6a shows how even for a short 510 package $k_0 L = 3$ performs reasonably well especially in the central region of 511 the group. In accordance with our discussion in Section 3.1, the development 512 of the envelopes in time is indistinguishable for the two opposite, strong shear 513 currents, making still images of surface elevations such as these qualitatively 514 indistinguishable. 515

fig/GaussGroupComparison_dx_combo_editedbyZ-eps-converted-to.pdf

Fig. 6 Comparison of exact and approximate linear solution for a defocusing Gaussian wave group on linear currents with $\tilde{\sigma} = -0.5$ and $\tilde{\sigma} = 0.5$ for the packets to the left and right on each horizontal line, respectively, as a function of x measured in number of "widths" L of the Gaussian envelope at focus. The first time (bottommost line of graphs) is at focus, whereupon defocusing is illustrated with time increasing from bottom to top in each panel by intervals $\Delta T = 10L/c_g$ with $c_g(k_0) = A_{0,\text{lin.}}$ from Eq. (58) (i.e., the group travels 10 times the 'envelope width' between subsequent times). Thin black line: full linear solution (52), thick red graph: approximation (56). a) Short group, $k_0L = 3$, focusing at x = 0 and x = 60L. b) Long group, $k_0L = 10$ focusing at x = 0 and x = 30L, respectively.

516 3.4.2 Arbitrary current with weak shear

⁵¹⁷ The first two derivatives of $\sigma_{\delta}(k)$ from equation (22) are

$$\sigma_{\delta}'(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathrm{d}z \, (1 + 2kz) U_x'(z) \mathrm{e}^{2kz}; \tag{59a}$$

$$\sigma_{\delta}''(k) = 4 \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathrm{d}z \, z(1+kz) U_x'(z) \mathrm{e}^{2kz}, \tag{59b}$$

from which we obtain, by insertion into Eq. (54), the coefficients A_0 and B_0 for use in equation (56)

$$A_{0\delta} = \frac{1}{2}c_0(k_0) - \sigma'_{\delta 0}(k_0); \quad B_{0\delta} = -\frac{1}{4}c_0(k_0)/k_0 - \sigma''_{\delta 0}(k_0).$$
(60)

⁵²⁰ Comparison with Eq. (58) shows that the first term on the right-hand sides of ⁵²¹ Eq. (60) are the no-shear expressions, and the remaining terms are corrections ⁵²² due to the weakly sheared current.

The quantities σ_{δ} , σ'_{δ} and σ''_{δ} can be written in closed form for a number of different profiles $U_x(z)$ including the linear current (a special case where the weak-shear theory does not perform particularly well [24]) and the exponential which we consider next.

⁵²⁷ Note in passing that with a partial integration of (22) we may write

$$\sigma_{\delta}(k) = \frac{1}{2} U'_x(0) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathrm{d}z \, U''_x(z) \mathrm{e}^{2kz}; \tag{61a}$$

$$\sigma_{\delta}'(k) = -\int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathrm{d}z \, z U_x''(z) \mathrm{e}^{2kz}; \tag{61b}$$

$$\sigma_{\delta}''(k) = -2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mathrm{d}z \, z^2 U_x''(z) \mathrm{e}^{2kz}; \tag{61c}$$

in other words, σ_{δ} represents the first-order correction to the wave-averaged 528 shear compared to the surface shear because $U_x(z)$ has nonzero curvature. 529 This is an indication why the weak-curvature theory in section 2.4.2 becomes 530 exact for the linear current which has U''(z) = 0, and also why the linear 531 current is a special case where weak-shear theory does not perform very well: 532 the shear correction to A_0 and B_0 for linear shear in equation (58) (which 533 is exact for the linear-current case) is symmetrical under $\sigma \to -\sigma$, but (60) 534 does not have this symmetry under $\sigma_{\delta} \to -\sigma_{\delta}$. Another way of putting it is 535 that when shear-current corrections in the surface-following system may be 536 treated perturbatively, the first-order correction to the phase velocity is due 537 to mean shear, but for the group velocity it is due to mean curvature. When 538 the curvature vanishes, however, the leading group-velocity correction becomes 539 second order in the average shear. For further discussions, see [20]. 540

⁵⁴¹ 3.4.3 Exponential shear. Weak-shear approximation vs ⁵⁴² numerical solution

As a particular example consider the exponential current (19). We will see that a number of useful approximate expressions can be found assuming weak shear and exponential current. Note that even the Columbia River delta shear current, considered to be a very strongly sheared current in this context [31], the weak-shear approximation is sufficient for most practical purposes as we detail in section 3.4.4.

We find $A_0 = A_{0\alpha}$ and $B_0 = B_{0\alpha}$ with 549

$$A_{0\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}c_0(k_0) - \frac{U_{x0}\alpha^2}{(2k_0 + \alpha)^2}; \quad B_{0\alpha} = -\frac{c_0(k_0)}{4k_0} + \frac{4U_{x0}\alpha^2}{(2k_0 + \alpha)^3}.$$
 (62)

In figure 7 the approximate solution (56) with coefficients (62) inserted is 550 compared with the 'exact' numerical solution of the linear-wave initial value 551 problem. It is striking that although the derivation assumes $k_0 L \gg 1$, the 552 approximation is reasonable already for $k_0 L = 3$. Moreover, the shear is here 553 not extremely weak; from equation (33) we find that for maximally opposing 554 shear $(\theta = 0)$, $\delta(k_0) = 0.10$ and $\delta(k_0) = 0.07$ for $k_0L = 3$ and 10, respectively, 555 the former of which is slightly higher than that for the Columbia River scenario 556 we consider in sections 3.4.4 and 4.5.1. This demonstrates the wide applicabil-557 ity of the simple closed-form approximation, equations (56) and (62). A slight 558 phase shift with propagation is observed in both cases in figure 7 due to the 559 approximate dispersion relation, from equations (23) and (33). In both cases 560 in figure 7 the envelope is excellently approximated; we quantify this in figure 561 8 where the decaying height of the defocusing wave group is plotted. Even for 562 $k_0 = 2$ the agreement is reasonable although this can in no way be called a 563 'narrowband' wavegroup. 564

3.4.4 Measured current profiles: The Columbia River estuary 565

The flow conditions in the estuary of the Columbia River have been much 566 studied for a long time (e.g., [32–34]) due to its strong, and strongly sheared, 567 tidal current, severe wave climate and high shipping traffic. It is also a much 568 used case for studies of waves interacting with sheared currents in various 569 contexts (examples include [24, 26, 35-37]). 570

In their study of the Columbia River delta, Zippel & Thomson [38] (ZT) 571 measured simultaneous wave spectra and shear current profiles in the delta of 572 the Columbia River. An even more strongly sheared current is found among 573 the measurements of Kilcher & Nash (KN) from the same area [39] (another 574 set of measurements is described and used in refs [33, 35, 37]). Their respective 575 current profiles are shown in figure 9. In section 4.5.1 we also make use of the 576 measured wave spectrum in reference [38], while here we shall use a model 577 wave group which at focus is slightly more narrowband than the one measured; 578 this would represent the situation where only the part of the spectrum near 579 the peak is involved in the focusing, the remainder forming a small-amplitude 580 random-phase background which we presently ignore. 581

The velocity profiles shown were shifted to the reference frame following the 582 mean (Eulerian) surface velocity and fitted to an exponential profile U(z) =583 $U_0[\exp(\alpha z) - 1]$ (see figure 9c) which gives $U_0 = 1.6 \,\mathrm{m/s}, \,\alpha = 0.26 \,\mathrm{m^{-1}}$ for 584 current ZT and $U_0 = 1.4 \text{ m/s}$, $\alpha = 0.39 \text{ m}^{-1}$ for current KN. 585

To study an example of a focusing group we choose reasonable values for 586 a dispersively focusing wave group in this location — see also section 4.5.1: 587

23

24 V	Wave	focusing	on	a	shear	current
------	------	----------	----	---	-------	---------

fig/stationaryphasek3.pdf

fig/stationaryphasek10.pdf



Fig. 8 The decay of envelope amplitude for increasing wave group length k_0L (decreasing spectral bandwidth) on the same exponential shear current as in figure 7. Each point is calculated as the maximum modulus of the Hilbert transform of the analytic surface elevation. Red solid lines: narrow-band weak-shear approximation. Black dash-dot lines: 'exact' numerical solution.

 $k_0 = 0.15 \text{ rad/m}, L = 20 \text{ m}, \text{ which gives } k_0 L = 3.0. \text{ We choose for our example}$ the average of the two values for U_0 and α , respectively.

It is worth pointing out at this stage that these parameters give a shear 590 Froude number of $\delta_{0\alpha} = 0.11$ (KN) and 0.080 (ZT), respectively, when inserted 591 into equation (33) ($\delta_{0\alpha} = 0.090$ with the chosen model parameters); in other 592 words, even though the Columbia River current is frequently used as an exam-593 ple of a very strongly sheared current where the effect of shear on the waves 594 is highly significant, we can safely employ weak-shear theory with errors no 595 greater than a few percent, less than those from typical measurement uncer-596 tainty from field measurements. Moreover, although $k_0 L = 3$ is not what one 597 would refer to as a narrowband wave group, we see from Fig. 7 that narrow-598 band weak-shear theory gives a more than adequate approximation of the 599 surface. Thus we may confidently approximate $\zeta(x,t)$ with the approximate 600 formula (56) with coefficients (62) inserted. 601

With the mentioned approximation we plot a focusing and defocusing wave group representative of the Columbia River flow conditions, in figure 10. Albeit less extreme than for the model linear current, the trend is once again clear: in the case of opposing shear (the focusing group propagates 'downstream' in the river, in an earth-fixed system) the crests and troughs focus and defocus more



Fig. 9 Shear current profiles of the Columbia River delta current. Measured data by Zippel & Thomson (blue dots) and Kilcher & Nash (red crosses), the lines are exponential functions of form (19) fitted to the data.

gently than for the case of following shear ('upstream') where individual crests
and troughs within the group move faster and live shorter. The corresponding
increase in orbital velocities in the latter case is considered and quantified in
section 4.5.1. Once again we notice that the group envelope, represented by
the change in maximum group height with time, varies modestly.

612 3.4.5 Arbitrary current with strong shear

Insering dispersion relation (24) into formula (54) now gives the coefficients A_0 and B_0 for strong shear in the strong shear, weak curvature approximation (SSWCA) of Ellingsen & Li [20],

$$A_{0,\text{EL}} = \frac{1}{2}c_0(\varkappa_{\delta 0})(1 + 2\sigma_{\delta 0}\sigma'_{\delta 0}/g) - \sigma'_{\delta 0}$$
(63a)

$$B_{0,\text{EL}} = -\frac{(g + 2\sigma_{\delta 0}\sigma'_{\delta 0})^2}{4\omega_0(\varkappa_{\delta 0})^3} + \frac{\sigma'^2_{\delta 0} + \sigma_{\delta 0}\sigma''_{\delta 0}}{\omega_0(\varkappa_{\delta 0})} - \sigma''_{\delta 0},\tag{63b}$$

where we use the shorthand $\varkappa_{\delta 0} = \varkappa_{\delta}(k_0)$ and $\omega_0(\varkappa_{\delta 0}) = \sqrt{g\varkappa_{\delta 0}} = \varkappa_{\delta 0}c_0(\varkappa_{\delta 0});$ \varkappa_{δ} was defined in equation (25). If the Froude-shear number δ is small we obtain (60) to leading order, while assuming linear shear ($\varkappa_{\delta}(k) \rightarrow \varkappa$, and $\sigma'_{\delta} = \sigma''_{\delta} = 0$) yields expressions (58). The SSWCA should replace the weakshear approximation when the shear as seen by the significant waves as fig/GaussPack_fineres_combo_CR-exp.jpg

Fig. 10 Same illustration as in figure 2, but with an exponential current representative of the Columbia River, shown in figure 9; see main text for further details. The exponential profile (19) is used with $k_0L = 3$, $\alpha L = 6.5$ and $U_0 = 0.107 U_{\rm ref}$ with $\theta = 0, \pi/2$ and π (top to bottom). The nondimensional time \bar{t} runs from from -50 to 50 in steps of 0.4. The dashed lines are plots of the maximum height, $\pm L(L^4 + B_{0\alpha}^2 t_g(x)^2)^{-1/4}$ with $t_g(x) = x/A_{0\alpha}$.

extremely strong (by oceanographic standards), i.e., when δ is not small com-621 pared to 1, and/or the current shear appears close to constant with depth. 622 For the exponential current representative of the Columbia River, figure 9, 623 using expressions (63) instead of (62) gives practically identical results. Deriv-624 ing explicit formulae for $A_{0,\text{EL}}$ and $A_{0,\text{EL}}$ with the exponential current (19) is 625 straightforward, but the resulting expressions are sufficiently bulky that we do 626 not quote them here. Several realistic situations where the weak-shear theory 627 is insufficient are mentioned and discussed in reference [20], although these are 628 not currents which occur in ocean or coastal waters. 629

4 Wave kinematics and orbital velocities

We proceed now to considering the shear-affected wave orbital motion. We consider three cases, a weakly sheared current in the approximation of [14] (see sections 2.4.1 and 3.4.2), and two cases where an exact solution to the linear problem exists: a current with constant shear and with exponential shear.

Taking a step back to the more general formalism of section 2, we set $\mathbf{k} = \{k_x, 0\}$ (k_x once again takes either sign) and write the orbital velocities of a linear plane wave as

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(x,z,t)\\v(x,z,t)\\w(x,z,t)\end{bmatrix} = \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{u}(z,t;k)\\\tilde{v}(z,t;k)\\\tilde{w}(z,t;k)\end{bmatrix} e^{ikx} + \mathrm{c.c.}$$
(64)

where as before we used that if some function $\varphi(x)$ is real, its Fourier transform satisfies $\tilde{\varphi}(-k_x) = \tilde{\varphi}^*(k_x)$ to only retain positive values of k. Solving the 3dimensional, linearised Euler equation in Fourier form produces the well-known Rayleigh equation (e.g. [16, 40])

$$\left[\partial_z^2 - k^2 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U}''(z)}{\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U}(z)}\right] \tilde{w}(z,t; \mathbf{k}) = 0$$
(65)

(note that $k = |\mathbf{k}| = |k_x|$ here). Once w is found, the horizontal velocity components $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\perp} = \{\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}\}$ are obtained using the general relation [26]

$$k^{2}(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U})\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\perp} = i[\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U}'\tilde{w} + (\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U})\tilde{w}']\mathbf{k} - ik^{2}\mathbf{U}'\tilde{w}, \qquad (66)$$

where the arguments of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{\perp}(z, t; \mathbf{k}), \tilde{w}(z, t; \mathbf{k}), \mathbf{U}(z)$ and $\omega(\mathbf{k})$ are understood, and a prime denotes derivative with respect to z. Note in particular that when $\mathbf{k} = \{k, 0\}$, one finds

$$\tilde{u} = i\tilde{w}'/k; \tag{67a}$$

$$\tilde{v} = \frac{-iU_y'(z)}{\omega - kU_x(z)}\tilde{w}.$$
(67b)

The eigenvalues of $\omega(k)$ are real provided the denominator in (65) is not zero [41], i.e., no critical layer exists. We shall assume this to be the case, physically implying that no critical layers occur.

Equation (67b) shows how the orbital velocities are modified by the shear current also for $\theta = \pi/2$ (i.e., $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U} = kU_x = 0$), even though the surface elevation is equal to that without current in that case (equation (3) shows that ζ is affected by the current only via the dispersion relation $\omega(\mathbf{k})$, in turn obtained as eigenvalues of the Rayleigh equation (65) which depends only on $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{U}$.)

656 We now define

$$\tilde{w}(z,t;\ k) = \tilde{w}(0,t;\ k)e^{kz}f(z;\ k) \tag{68}$$

with f(0; k) = 1. The function f differs from 1 when $U_x(z)$ has curvature (i.e., nonzero second derivative) [20] — see e.g., equation (82) below. Thus, from equation (67a),

$$\tilde{u}(z,t;\ k) = i\tilde{w}(0,t;\ k)e^{kz}[f(z;\ k) + f'(z;\ k)/k].$$
(69)

⁶⁶⁰ The kinematic boundary condition gives

$$\tilde{w}(0,t; k) = -i\omega(k)\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)e^{-i\omega(k)t}$$
(70)

 $_{661}$ where we used (7), whereby we obtain the general expressions

$$w(x, z, t) = 2 \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \omega(k) \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) f(z; \ k) e^{kz} e^{i\psi(x, t; \ k)};$$
(71a)

$$u(x,z,t) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \omega(k) \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) [f(z; k) + f'(z; k)/k] e^{kz} e^{i\psi(k)t}; \quad (71b)$$

$$v(x,z,t) = -2 \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \frac{\omega(k) U'_y(z)}{\omega(k) - k U_x(z)} \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) f(z; \, k) e^{kz} e^{i\psi(k)t}.$$
 (71c)

We define the surface velocity amplification as the ratio of the horizontal orbital velocity at the (linearised) surface at the point of focus, u(0,0,0), with vs without shear;

$$\operatorname{amp}_{0} = \frac{\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \,\omega(k) \tilde{\zeta}_{0}(k) [1 + f'(0; k)/k]}{\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \,\omega_{0}(k) \tilde{\zeta}_{0}(k)}$$
(72)

with $\omega_0(k) = \sqrt{gk}$ as usual, and noting that $f(z; k) \to 1$ without shear. In the presence of following shear where $U'_x(z)$ is primarily positive, the maximum of the horizontal velocity at focus u(0, z, 0) can lie below the surface. In this case we define a maximum amplification

$$\operatorname{amp}_{\max} = \max_{z} \left\{ \frac{u(0, z, 0)}{u_0(0, 0, 0)} \right\},\tag{73}$$

where u_0 is the horizontal velocity of the no-current case.

4.1 Long Gaussian group (narrow-band)

Assume now as in section 3.4 the initial shape $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)/aL = \sqrt{\pi/2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(k-k_0)^2 L^2]$ with $k_0 L \gg 1$. We may restrict ourselves to the upper range of the water column $|z| \ll k_0 L^2$, which is no significant limitation since velocities, which decay exponentially as $\exp(k_0 z)$, are negligible when $|z| \sim k_0 L^2 \gg L$. The Laplace integral approximation becomes identical as in in section 3.4 with

expansion around $k = k_0$, giving the orbital velocities as the real part of

$$u \approx \frac{ac(k_0)L}{\sqrt{L^2 + iB_0t}} \exp\left[ik_0 x - i\omega(k_0)t - \frac{(x - A_0t)^2}{2(L^2 + iB_0t)}\right] \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \left[f(z; k_0)e^{k_0z}\right];$$
(74a)

$$v \approx -\frac{ac(k_0)k_0L}{\sqrt{L^2 + iB_0t}} \exp\left[ik_0x - i\omega(k_0)t - \frac{(x - A_0t)^2}{2(L^2 + iB_0t)}\right] \frac{U_y'(z)f(z; k_0)e^{k_0z}}{\omega(k_0) - k_0U_x(z)};$$
(74b)

$$w \approx \frac{-iac(k_0)k_0L}{\sqrt{L^2 + iB_0t}} \exp\left[ik_0x - i\omega(k_0)t - \frac{(x - A_0t)^2}{2(L^2 + iB_0t)}\right] f(z; k_0)e^{k_0z}, \quad (74c)$$

with A_0, B_0 as in equation (54). We leave it to the reader write out the real part along the lines of equation (56) if desired. Correction terms of order $(x - A_0 t)/k_0 L$ enter far from the centre of the group at $x = A_0 t$.

In the narrowband case it is opportune to also define a surface-shear number

$$\Upsilon_0 = \frac{U'_x(0)}{\omega_0(k_0)}$$
(75)

681 as well as a current strength number

$$\mathfrak{U}_{0} = \frac{\max[U_{x}] - \min[U_{x}]}{c_{0}(k_{0})}.$$
(76)

Here $\omega_0(k_0) = k_0 c_0(k_0) = \sqrt{gk_0}$ as usual, and the functions max and min find extrema with respect to z.

In particular, for linear shear (13)

$$\Upsilon_{0,\text{lin.}} = \frac{2\sigma}{\sqrt{gk_0}} = 2 \text{FS}_{\text{lin.}} \cos \theta = 2\delta_{\text{lin.}},$$

⁶⁸⁴ (σ was defined in equation (16)) whereas \mathfrak{U}_0 is not defined in deep water. For ⁶⁸⁵ the exponential current profile in the Stewart & Joy weak-shear approximation, ⁶⁸⁶ see section 4.2.1.

4.2 Wave kinematics with arbitrary, weakly sheared current

⁶⁸⁹ In this section we derive expressions for the orbital velocities under a focusing ⁶⁹⁰ wave group on an arbitrary, weakly sheared current. Special cases of the final ⁶⁹¹ expressions, equations (83), will be simplified further in the following.

⁶⁹² Consider a focusing wave group on a current $\mathbf{U}(z) = \{U_x, U_y\}(z)$ which is ⁶⁹³ well described by the approximate theory first put forward by Stewart & Joy ⁶⁹⁴ [14, 42] as described in section 3.4.2. This is typically a very good approxi-⁶⁹⁵ mation even in strongly sheared oceanic flows (e.g. [20, 24, 26]). The orbital velocities of a linear plane wave of wave number k of either sign in the xzplane for such a situation have been found using assumptions of weak current [14, 42], although as discussed in section 2.4.1 these approximations are in fact valid for weakly sheared current, usefully measured via the small-shear parameter (or Froude number) $\delta(\mathbf{k})$ (see Eq. (20)).

The vertical orbital velocity to $\mathcal{O}(\delta)$ is [20, 42]

$$\tilde{w}(z,t; k) = \tilde{w}_0(0,t; k)e^{kz} [1 - \Delta(z; \mathbf{k})];$$
(77)

⁷⁰² plus terms of $\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$, \tilde{w}_0 is the vertical velocity without current (which can be ⁷⁰³ related to $\tilde{\zeta}_0$ via equation (70)), and

$$\Delta(z; \mathbf{k}) \equiv \frac{1}{c_0} \int_{-\infty}^{z} \mathrm{d}\tilde{z} \, U'_x(\tilde{z}) e^{2k(\tilde{z}-z)}.$$
(78)

 $_{704}$ Comparison with (20) reveals that

$$\Delta(0; \mathbf{k}) = \delta(\mathbf{k}),\tag{79}$$

⁷⁰⁵ hence $\Delta(z; \mathbf{k})$ is a generalisation of the small-shear Froude number $\delta(\mathbf{k})$ but ⁷⁰⁶ with contributions only from the wave-aligned current component $U_x(z)$ at ⁷⁰⁷ depths greater than |z|. (Note: Δ must not be confused with the quantity of ⁷⁰⁸ the same name in ref [20]). Clearly $\Delta \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta)$. The dependence of Δ and δ on ⁷⁰⁹ \mathbf{k} will often be suppressed. We will need the derivative

$$\Delta'(z) = -2k\Delta(z) + U'_x(z)/c_0.$$
(80)

The corresponding horizontal velocities, obtained via equation (67), are

$$\tilde{u}(z,t; \mathbf{k}) = i\tilde{w}_0(0,t; k)e^{kz} \left[1 + \Delta(z; \mathbf{k}) - U'_x(z)/\omega_0\right],$$
(81a)

$$\tilde{v}(z,t; \mathbf{k}) = -i\tilde{w}_0(0,t; k)e^{kz}U'_u(z)/\omega_0$$
(81b)

⁷¹¹ plus terms of order δ^2 .

In the formalism of equation (68),

$$f(z; k) = \frac{1 - \Delta(z; \mathbf{k})}{1 - \delta(\mathbf{k})}.$$
(82)

The function only occurs in equations (71) in the constellation $\omega(k)f(z; k) =$

⁷¹⁴ $\omega_0(k)[1 - \Delta(z; \mathbf{k})]$, using the weak-shear dispersion relation in equation (23). ⁷¹⁵ We shall also require the derivative $\omega f'(z) = 2k\omega_0\Delta(z; \mathbf{k}) - kU'_x(z)$ so that ⁷¹⁶ $\omega f'(0) = 2\omega_0 k\delta - kU'_x(0).$

For a group which at t = 0 focuses to a shape $\zeta(x, 0)$ the velocity fields are found by insertion into (71):

$$u(x,z,t) = 2\operatorname{Re}\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi}\omega_0(k)\tilde{\zeta}_0(k) \left[1 + \Delta(z; \mathbf{k}) - \frac{U'_x(z)}{\omega_0}\right]e^{kz+i\psi}; \quad (83a)$$

$$v(x,z,t) = -2U'_y(z) \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) e^{kz+i\psi};$$
(83b)

$$w(x,z,t) = 2 \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \omega_0(k) \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) [1 - \Delta(z; \mathbf{k})] e^{kz + i\psi},$$
(83c)

⁷¹⁹ plus corrections of order δ^2 ; here, $\psi = \psi(x, t; k)$.

The surface velocity amplification for an arbitrary $\mathbf{U}(z)$ satisfying $\delta(\mathbf{k}) \ll 1$ for all significantly contributing k, from equation (72), is now

$$\operatorname{amp}_{w.s.,0} = 1 + \frac{\int_0^\infty dk \operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)\}[\omega_0 \delta(\mathbf{k}) - U'_x(0)]}{\int_0^\infty dk \,\omega_0 \operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)\}}.$$
(84)

⁷²² 4.2.1 Gaussian wave group on exponential weak shear

⁷²³ Consider the same situation as in section 3.4.3: a Gaussian wave packet with ⁷²⁴ carrier wave number k_0 considerably greater than L^{-1} i.e., the group is fairly ⁷²⁵ narrowbanded. The current profile is exponential, (19) in the now familiar ⁷²⁶ weak-shear approximation. A subscript ' α ' will refer to the exponential current ⁷²⁷ as before, and a subscript 0 means evaluation at $k = k_0$. The velocity fields ⁷²⁸ are readily found from (83) by inserting $\{U'_x, U'_y\}(0) = \alpha\{U_{x0}, U_{y0}\}e^{\alpha z}$ and ⁷²⁹ $\Delta(z) = \Delta_{\alpha}(z)$ where

$$\Delta_{\alpha}(z) = \delta_{\alpha} e^{\alpha z} \tag{85}$$

with $\delta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$ from Eq. (33). We define the shorthand

$$\mathfrak{a} \equiv \alpha/k_0. \tag{86}$$

and note that for the exponential current the definitions (75) and (76) yield

$$\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} = \frac{U_{x0}}{c_0(k_0)}; \quad \Upsilon_{0\alpha} = \frac{\alpha U_{x0}}{\omega_0(k_0)} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha}; \quad \delta_{\alpha 0} = \frac{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha}}{\mathfrak{a}+2}.$$
(87)

We find from equations (74) and (85) — with (82) and noting that $c(k_0) = \omega_0(k_0)(1-\delta_{\alpha 0})/k_0$ in the Stewart & Joy approximation (23) — that the orbital velocities are approximated by

$$u(x,z,t) \approx \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{a\omega_0(k_0)L}{\sqrt{L^2 + iB_{0\alpha}t}} \left[1 - (1+\mathfrak{a})\delta_{\alpha 0}e^{\alpha z}\right]e^{\Psi_0(x,z,t)}\right\};$$
(88a)

$$v(x,z,t) \approx -\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{aU_y'(z)L}{\sqrt{L^2 + iB_{0\alpha}t}}e^{\Psi_0(x,z,t)}\right\};$$
(88b)

$$w(x,z,t) \approx \operatorname{Im}\left\{\frac{a\omega_0(k_0)L}{\sqrt{L^2 + iB_{0\alpha}t}} \left[1 - \delta_{\alpha 0}e^{\alpha z}\right]e^{\Psi_0(x,z,t)},\right\}$$
(88c)

735 with the shorthand

$$\Psi_0(x,z,t) = k_0 z + i k_0 x - i \omega(k_0) t - \frac{(x - A_{0\alpha} t)^2}{2(L^2 + i B_{0\alpha} t)},$$
(89)

⁷³⁶ and $\Upsilon_{0\alpha}$ from equation (87). $A_{0\alpha}$ and $B_{0\alpha}$ were given in equation (62). The ⁷³⁷ approximate expression (88a) is compared to the exact analytical expression ⁷³⁸ presented below in section 4.4.2 in figure 13.

The surface amplification is now easily found from equation (88a),

$$\operatorname{amp}_0 = 1 - (1 + \mathfrak{a}) \,\delta_{\alpha 0}. \tag{90}$$

Equation (88) demonstrates a striking observation mentioned above: when shear is opposing, i.e., $\Upsilon_0 > 0$, the maximum value of u is not necessarily at z = 0 but can be positioned below the surface. With the approximate expression (88a), the criterion for the maximum to lie below the surface that u'(z) < 0 at z = 0 — is readily found to be

$$(\mathfrak{a}+1)^2\delta_{\alpha 0} > 1 \tag{91}$$

745 or alternatively

$$\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} > \frac{\mathfrak{a}+2}{\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{a}+1)^2} \sim \mathfrak{a}^{-2} + \dots$$
(92)

⁷⁴⁶ with the current strength parameter $\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha}$ from equation (87). The last form ⁷⁴⁷ is the asymptotic expansion as $\mathfrak{a} \gg 1$, which is good to better than 10% for ⁷⁴⁸ $\mathfrak{a} \gtrsim 3.5$. A sufficient criterion for (92) to hold valid asymptotically as $\mathfrak{a} \to \infty$ ⁷⁴⁹ is thus simply that the maximum lies beneath the surface if

$$\mathfrak{a}^2\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} > 1. \tag{93}$$

⁷⁵⁰ Note that the large-a limit is not in contradiction to the weak-shear approxi-⁷⁵¹ mation if $\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} \ll 1$ since $\lim_{a\to\infty} \delta_{\alpha 0} = \mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha}$.

For $U_{x0} > 0$ the maximum value of u is located where u'(z) = 0, provided this occurs at a negative z, otherwise it is at z = 0. Differentiating (88a) we find the maximum value at focus to be at level $z_{\max,\alpha}$ and give amplification amp_{max,\alpha} as follows,

$$z_{\max,\alpha} = \min\left\{0, -\frac{1}{\alpha}\ln[(\mathfrak{a}+1)^2\delta_{\alpha 0}]\right\};$$
(94)

$$\operatorname{amp}_{\max,\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{1+\mathfrak{a}} \left[(\mathfrak{a}+1)^2 \delta_{\alpha 0} \right]^{-1/\mathfrak{a}}, & \text{if } (\mathfrak{a}+1)^2 \delta_{\alpha 0} > 1, \\ 1 - (\mathfrak{a}+1) \delta_{\alpha 0}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(95)

fig/amplification_sae_v2-eps-converted-to.pdf

Fig. 11 Velocity amplification for an exponential current. Marker shapes indicate values of $\delta_{\alpha 0}$ as quoted in the legend of panel (a), and graphs and markers are colour coded as the legend in panel (b) shows; both legends are common to all panels; we defined $c_{00} = c_0(k_0)$. The solid lines show the maximum amplification, while the dashed lines of the same colour show the surface amplification (visible only when the two are different). The small black dots show the weak-shear narrowband approximation of equation (95). The insets show the shape of the wave group at focus.

with $\delta_{\alpha 0}$ from equation (87). Asymptotes for $\mathfrak{a} \to \infty$ are

а

$$\operatorname{amp}_{\max,\alpha} \approx \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1 + \ln(\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha}\mathfrak{a}^2)}{\mathfrak{a}} + \dots, & \mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} > 0; \\ -\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} + 1 + \mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{a}^{-1}) & \mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} < 0, \end{cases}$$
(96)

757 while

$$\operatorname{amp}_{\max,\alpha} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} + \dots, \quad \mathfrak{a} \to 0.$$
(97)

Equation (95) is a main result of this paper. Although derived assuming a narrowband wave package, it approximates the velocity amplification to within a few percent already for relatively broadband (short) wave groups, $k_0L = 2$. As the inset of figure 11 shows, this Gaussian group is so short as to hardly be referred to as a "group" at all.

The close similarity between the four panels of figure 11 shows with clarity that the amplification factor is essentially determined by two nondimensional groups, the relative current strength $\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha}$ and the relative shear parameter **a**. Moreover, it is striking how closely the simple formulae (95) approximate the numerically calculated amplification for a wide range of parameters of the Gaussian wave group on an exponential profile, even when the underlying assumptions ($k_0 L \gg 1$ and $\delta_{\alpha 0} \ll 1$) are clearly violated.

4.3 Wave kinematics with arbitrary strongly sheared, weakly curved current

⁷⁷² When the current $U_{x0}(z)$ is not weakly sheared, i.e., $\delta(\mathbf{k}) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, an approx-⁷⁷³ imate solution for the vertical velocity is found by applying the method of ⁷⁷⁴ dominant balance to the Rayleigh equation (65) [20]

$$\tilde{w}(z,t; \mathbf{k}) = \tilde{w}_0(0,t; \mathbf{k}) \left[e^{kz} - \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\infty}^z \mathrm{d}\tilde{z} \frac{U_x''(\tilde{z})}{c(\mathbf{k}) - U_x(\tilde{z})} e^{k\tilde{z}} \sinh k(z-\tilde{z}) \right]$$
(98)

with c approximated by equation (24). With (67a) it follows that

$$\tilde{u}(z,t; \mathbf{k}) = i\tilde{w}_0(0,t; \mathbf{k}) \left[e^{kz} - \frac{1}{k} \int_{-\infty}^z \mathrm{d}\tilde{z} \frac{U_x''(\tilde{z})}{c(\mathbf{k}) - U_x(\tilde{z})} e^{k\tilde{z}} \cosh k(z-\tilde{z}) \right].$$
(99)

When $U_x(z)/c \ll 1$ these expressions can be reduced to equations (77) and 776 (81a), respectively, in the latter case noting that $\cosh \xi = -\sinh \xi + 2\exp(\xi)$. 777 When an exponential current (19) is inserted, the integral can be evaluated 778 in closed form and expressed in terms of a hypergeometric function. However, 779 the resulting expression is no simpler than the exact solution in this case with 780 no restrictions on shear or curvature, presented in 4.4.2. Due to the relative 781 complexity of the analytical expressions we will not pursue the weak-curvature 782 approximation further for the purposes of kinematics. 783

784 4.4 Exact linear solutions

⁷⁸⁵ Exact solutions to the Rayleigh equation (65) exist for nonzero ω only in ⁷⁸⁶ special situations [16]. We consider two cases: U being a linear or exponentially ⁷⁸⁷ decaying function of z.

788 4.4.1 Current with linear shear

⁷⁸⁹ Consider linear shear, $\mathbf{U}(z) = \{U_x, U_y\} = Sz\{\cos\theta, \sin\theta\}$ and $\omega = \sqrt{\varkappa} - \sigma$, ⁷⁹⁰ $\varkappa = k + \sigma^2/g$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}S\cos\theta$ as before. The linear-theory orbital velocities ⁷⁹¹ for a wave with wave vector $\mathbf{k} = \{k, 0\}$ on such a current are well known. Since ⁷⁹² $U''_x(z) = 0$, the Rayleigh equation (65) becomes near trivial and following [43] fig/VelLin.pdf

Fig. 12 Horizontal velocity profiles with linear shear current. The shear profile is expressed as $U_x(z) = 2\sigma z$ with, left to right, $\tilde{\sigma} = \{0.75, 0.5, 0.25, 0.125, 0, -0.125, -0.25, -0.5, -0.75\}$. The wave shape at focus, shown as inset, is $\zeta(x, 0, t)/a = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x^2/L^2)\cos(k_0x)$ with $k_0L = 3$, i.e., a maximum Froude-shear number $|FS_{lin.}| = 0.43$ according to equation (18).

⁷⁹³ (after a rotation of the coordinate system) gives the simple result

$$\tilde{w}(z,t; \mathbf{k}) = \tilde{w}(0,t; \mathbf{k})e^{kz}; \quad \tilde{u}(z; \mathbf{k}) = i\tilde{w}(0,t; \mathbf{k})e^{kz}.$$
(100a)

This is ostensibly the potential wave solution, which one obtains for a strictly 2-dimensional flow with constant vorticity [43], but note that \tilde{v} is not zero; instead

$$\tilde{v}(z,t; \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{iS\sin\theta}{\omega(\mathbf{k}) - kU_x(z)}\tilde{w}(0,t; \mathbf{k})e^{kz}.$$
(101)

This accords with [43] and describes a shifting and twisting of vortex lines as the plane wave passes. \tilde{v} vanishes when shear is zero or the current is parallel or antiparallel to the wave ($\theta = 0$ or π).

Thus for the focusing wave group one obtains (notice that with our convention, $\mathbf{k} = \{k, 0\}$ with k > 0)

$$w(x,z,t) = 2 \operatorname{Im} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \omega(k) \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) e^{kz} e^{i\psi}; \qquad (102a)$$

$$u(x,z,t) = 2\operatorname{Re} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \omega(k) \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) e^{kz} e^{i\psi}; \qquad (102b)$$

$$v(x,z,t) = -2\operatorname{Re}\int_0^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \frac{S\omega(k)\sin\theta}{\omega(k) - kU_x(z)} \tilde{\zeta}_0(k) e^{kz} e^{i\psi}.$$
 (102c)

At first glance it might seem as if u and w are not much affected by the 802 shear, having as they do the same structure as the expressions sans current. 803 However, the quantitative effect is highly significant, because as previously 804 discussed the frequency ω contained in ψ varies very significantly with the 805 sign of σ when the latter is not very small compared to \sqrt{qk} . Thus, being 806 proportional to $\omega(k)$, the orbital velocities u, w can be very significantly greater 807 for $\sigma < 0$ compared to $\sigma > 0$. Secondly an oblique angle between wave and 808 current makes for significant horizontal motion across the wave plane (also true 809 for currents of more general depth profile, provided vertical shear is non-zero, 810 according to equation (67b)). 811

For the linear profile the velocity is always highest at the surface, hence the velocity amplification is

$$\operatorname{amp}_{\operatorname{lin}} = \frac{\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k\,\omega(k)\operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)\}}{\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}k\,\omega_0(k)\operatorname{Re}\{\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)\}}$$
(103)

with $\omega(k)$ from equation (14). The case of a narrowband wave group is considered in section 4.5, in which case the amplification becomes particularly simple.

The qualitative difference in behaviour during focusing and defocusing was illustrated in figures 2 and 3. Figure 12 shows the horizontal velocity profiles beneath the focus point, u(0, z, 0) for linear shear currents of different strengths, for a Gaussian wave group with $k_0L = 3$. The qualitative shape of uremains the same, except amplified. The surface amplification varies between approximately 0.65 and 1.52 for the strongest opposing and following shear, respectively.

4.4.2 Current with exponential shear

In the case of an exponential current (19), Hughes & Reid [44] showed that the Rayleigh equation (65) permits the exact solution (see also [45] and Appendix B of [46])

$$\tilde{w}(z,t;\ k) = \tilde{W}(t;\ k)_2 F_1(\mathcal{A}_-, \mathcal{A}_+;\ \mathcal{R};\ \mathcal{W}(z)) e^{kz}$$
(104)

with $_2F_1$ being the hypergeometric function, W(t,k) follows from free-surface boundary conditions, and

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pm} = (k \pm \sqrt{\alpha^2 + k^2})/\alpha; \quad \mathcal{R} = 1 + 2k/\alpha; \quad \mathcal{W}(z) = \frac{kU_{x0}e^{\alpha z}}{\omega + kU_{x0}}. \tag{105}$$

fig/VelWeak4pnew.pdf

Fig. 13 Horizontal orbital velocity profiles at focus, u(0, z, 0), normalised by the surface value without shear, for a medium-bandwidth focusing wave, $k_0L = 3$ (a) and $k_0L = 5$ (b) on an exponential current, equation (19). Insets show the wave group shape at focus. Here $U_{x0} = 0.2 U_{ref}$ (solid lines) and $-0.2 U_{ref}$ (dashed lines). Comparison of exact solution [equations (67a) and (106)] (black lines) and the weak-shear narrowband approximation (red lines), equation (88). The values on the top of each curve refer to α/k_0 .

The horizontal velocity $\tilde{u}(z,t; k)$ is found from equations (67), $u = i\tilde{w}'/k$, giving

$$\tilde{w}'(z) = k\tilde{w}(z) - \frac{\alpha k U_{x0} \tilde{W}(t; k)}{(\omega + k U_{x0}) \mathcal{R}} e^{(k+\alpha)z} {}_2F_1(\mathcal{A}_-+1, \mathcal{A}_++1; \mathcal{R}+1, \mathcal{W}(z))$$
(106)

The dispersion relation to find $\omega(k)$ is implicit, given by the combined free-surface boundary condition (see [26])

$$\omega^2 \tilde{w}'(0) - k(gk - \omega \alpha U_{x0})\tilde{w}(0) = 0.$$
(107)

whose solution $\omega(k)$ is readily found numerically. Alternatively we may apply the Direct Integration Method [26] directly.

The solutions (104) and (106) are exact for linear waves regardless of how 836 strongly sheared the current is. The comparison of the exact solution using the 837 theory in this section and the approximate solution in section 4.2.1 is shown 838 in figure 13. The results demonstrate that the approximate expression gives 839 fairly accurate solution given a relatively weak shear current. Although the 840 exact solution (106) can be used without difficulty, the computation of the 841 hypergeometric function can be time consuming. Therefore, one may consider 842 the approximate expression instead. 843

4.5 Surface velocity amplification for long, Gaussian groups

⁸⁴⁶ Consider the case of a long, or narrowbanded, Gaussian group with carrier ⁸⁴⁷ wavenumber k_0 , as considered in section 3.4, i.e., $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)/a = \sqrt{\pi/2}L \exp[\frac{1}{2}(k-k_0)^2L^2]$, where $k_0 \gg 1$ (as we have seen, $k_0L \sim 5$ is sufficiently large). At ⁸⁴⁹ x = z = t = 0 the integrals in equation (72) now particularly simple in the ⁸⁵⁰ Laplace approximation, and we obtain

$$\operatorname{amp}_{0} \approx \frac{c(k_{0})}{c_{0}(k_{0})} \left[1 + \frac{1}{k_{0}} f'(0; k_{0}) \right].$$
 (108)

In other words a typical value of the amplification is, to leading order, the ratio of phase velocities at the carrier frequency with and without shear, which as discussed in section 3.1 can vary greatly for realistic shear currents, with a currection term playing a role if the profile $U_x(z)$ has significant curvature.

In particular, for linear shear $U_x(z) = Sz$, we have f'(z) = 0 (e.g. [17]) and with (14),

$$\operatorname{amp}_{\operatorname{lin.shear}} \approx \sqrt{1 + \frac{\sigma^2}{\omega_0^2}} - \frac{\sigma}{\omega_0} = \sqrt{1 + \operatorname{FS}^2_{\operatorname{lin.}} \cos^2 \theta} - \operatorname{FS}_{\operatorname{lin.}} \cos \theta \qquad (109)$$

where the shear-Froude number was defined in (18), taken here at $k = k_0$. This accords with equation (26) which was based on the difference in phase velocity only.

4.5.1 Velocity and amplification in real conditions: the Columbia River Estuary

We consider now the current and wave climate measured in the estuary of the Columbia River, as detailed in section 3.4.4. To make the study as realistic as possible, we devise a focusing wave based on the wave spectrum reported in reference [38] and generate a wave field from this. The power spectrum we use is shown in figure 14 (a).

fig/CRfigSpectrum.pdf

Fig. 14 (a) Power energy spectrum measured in the Columbia River delta [38], (b) initialvalue spectrum $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)$ based on S(f). Circular markers: measured data. Solid line: fitted function.

We devise a smooth initial-value spectrum $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)$ based on the measured wave spectrum S(f) with $f = \omega/2\pi$ is the wave frequency in cycles per second. In this paragraph only, we use dimensional units without an asterisk. Unlike for generating a random sea state [47], for a focusing wave group we can obtain an initial wave elevation spectrum $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)$ which resembles that which is measured, as follows. The discrete measured values $\{f_i, S_i\}$ are transformed to a set of discrete value pairs $\{k_i, \tilde{\zeta}_i\}$,

$$\sqrt{2S_i\Delta f} = \tilde{\zeta}_i\Delta k \tag{110a}$$

$$\tilde{\zeta}_i = \frac{\sqrt{2S_i\Delta f}}{\Delta\omega} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{\Delta k}\right)_i = \frac{\sqrt{2S_i\Delta f}}{4\pi^2\,\Delta f} \frac{g}{2f_i} \tag{110b}$$

where we used $\Delta \omega / \Delta k \approx d\omega / dk$, Δf is the distance between frequency values f_i , and we used $\omega = \sqrt{gk}$. We finally fit the set of points $\{k_i, \tilde{\zeta}_i\}$ to the spectrum of a broadband Gaussian group, (51), $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k) = \sum_{\pm} a \exp[-L^2(k \pm k_0)^2/2]$, which gives $k_0 = 0.13 \text{ rad/m}$ and 1/L = 0.087/mThe measured spectrum $S(\omega)$ is shown in panel (a) of figure 14, and the smooth $\tilde{\zeta}_0(k)$ is plotted along with the measurements, transformed with equation (110b) in figure 14(b).

The governing nondimensional parameters are thus $\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} = 0.18$, $\mathfrak{a} = 2.03$ 880 (ZT) and $\mathfrak{U}_{0\alpha} = 0.16$, $\mathfrak{a} = 3.05$ (KN). The shear-Froude number for current ZT 881 and KN are $\delta_{\alpha 0} = 0.092$ and 0.095, respectively, so although these currents are 882 frequently referred to as very strongly sheared in the context of natural flows 883 [31, 34, 35], the conditions lie safely in the weak-shear regime where Stewart & 884 Joy's approximation (sections 2.4.1 and 4.2) can be used. Moreover, although 885 the wave shape at focus is so broadband as to hardly be called a group (resem-886 bling the shape in the inset of figure 11a), the narrow-band approximation 887 (88a) is an excellent approximation to the velocity profiles at focus. 888

The resulting horizontal orbital velocity profile u(z, 0, 0) at focus is shown in figure 15(a) for the wave group propagating downstream, across and upstream on the Columbia River current as seen by an earth-fixed observer, corresponding to, respectively, maximally opposing, zero and maximally following shear, i.e., $\theta = 0$, $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\theta = \pi$. (Bear in mind that velocities in our formalism are measured in the system following the mean surface velocity; see figure 9).

The surface shape at focus is identical by construction, and the envelope 896 of the focusing and defocusing groups are virtually indistinguishable, yet the 897 difference in maximum orbital velocity is dramatic. The wave-induced orbital 898 velocity beneath the focus point, u(0,0,0), is increased and reduced by factors 899 of approximately 1.4 and 0.7 compared to the no-shear case for groups propa-900 gating upstream and downstream on the river, respectively. This corresponds 901 to the wave-induced dynamic pressure, at x = t = 0, $p_{\rm dyn} = \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2$, being 902 approximately doubled and halved, respectively, very significantly affecting 903 the force exerted on vessels and constructions. (For balance is worth bearing 904 in mind that waves propagating against the current are typically higher than 905 those propagating downstream in this location [38]). 906

907 5 Conclusions

We have considered the linearised theory of focusing long-crested wave groups 908 on shear currents of arbitrary vertical depth dependence, allowing an arbi-909 trary angle between the current and wave propagation. Although limited in 910 steepness, a number of insights into the way groups of waves focus and defo-911 cus can be gained. We derive a large number of approximate relations which 912 can explicitly reveal the underlying physics, while at the same time being use-913 ful tools in their own right, for instance for the generation of focusing wave 914 groups in a wave tank along the lines of [15]. 915

fig/VelCR.pdf

fig/CRAmpF.pdf

Fig. 15 Top: Horizontal orbital velocity u(0, z, 0) beneath the focus of a mediumbandwidth Gaussian group ($k_0L = 1.48$) in the presence of measured currents in the Columbia River Estuary, as measured by Zippel & Thomson (ZT, [38]) and Kilcher & Nash (KN, [39]), shifted so that U(0) = 0 and approximated by exponentials U(z) = $U_0[\exp(\alpha z) - 1]$. Values for $|U_{x0}|, \alpha, \mathfrak{a}$ and $\delta_{\alpha 0}$ for ZT and KN are found in the main text. Black lines show 'exact' numerical solutions, red lines are the weak-shear-narrowband approximation, equation (88a). Solid, thick, and dashed lines refer to $\cos \theta = 0, \pi/2$ and π , respectively. Bottom: velocity amplification as a function of the angle θ between wave propagation direction and current for the same two velocity profiles.

Particular attention is paid to two groups of currents: the current vary-916 ing linearly with depth, and currents of arbitrary depth dependence whose 917 effect on waves may be approximated using the theory by Stewart & Joy's [14] 918 and others, the criterion for which is that the depth-averaged shear is, in the 919 appropriate sense, sufficiently weak. For the linear current, exact and read-920 ily tractable solutions exist, allowing several classical results without shear 021 to be generalised. The "weak-shear" assumption behind the latter class of 922 currents is not a significant limitation in practice, since the vast majority of 923 oceanographic currents and wave spectra satisfy the appropropriate criterion 924 of validity. For instance in the Columbia River delta which we consider as 925 an example, the shear is frequently described as being very strongly sheared 026 [31, 34, 35], yet remains safely within the weak-shear assumption's range of 927 validity. The assumption is already in widespread use in ocean modelling (e.g. 928 [35]). 929

For the much used model of a current profile varying exponentially with depth — modelled as $U(z) = U_0[e^{\alpha z} - 1]$ with $\alpha > 0$ — the weak-shear approximation yields a number of broadly applicable, simple and closed-form approximate relations for the surface elevation of a progressing wave group, and its concomitant orbital velocity field.

Particular attention is paid to Gaussian wave groups which at focus takes 935 the shape of a carrier wave (wavenumber k_0) with a Gaussian envelope of width 936 L: $\zeta_0(x) \propto \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x^2/L^2) \cos k_0 x$. Assuming a long group — i.e., narrowband 937 in Fourier space — we may derive a wealth of relations which can describe 938 a wide range of realistic situations. Strikingly, the group does not need to be 939 particularly long (or narrowband): the narrowband results are excellent for 940 most practical purposes already $k_0 L = 3$, a group which at the point of focus 941 mainly consists of a single tall crest with deep troughs either side. 942

A key observation from studying the developing wave group is that while the shear current has modest effect on the evolution of the group envelope, the behaviour inside the group is far more affected. In opposing shear individual crests rise slowly and take longer to traverse the length of the group, while following shear causes the wave behaviour inside the group to appear more volatile, with individual crests and troughs rising and falling more rapidly.

Regarding the orbital wave motion beneath the surface, this difference in behaviour depending on the direction of sub-surface shear becomes even more important. For following shear, horizontal orbital velocities are significantly amplified compared to the case sans shear, and reduced in opposing shear. The amplification can significantly alter the wave forces acting on a body encountering the focusing group.

We derive a simple approximate relation for the velocity amplification beneath the focus point of a Gaussian wave group atop an exponential velocity profile, as a function of two nondimensional groups of parameters: the relative current strength $U_0\sqrt{k_0/g}$, and the vertical vs horizontal rate of variation, α/k_0 .

For illustration of these observed phenomena in a practical setting we have 060 considered waves in the mouth of the Columbia River, where depth-resolved 961 current measurements as well as measured wave spectra are available [38, 39]. 962 For a focusing wave with the same spectrum as that measured, i.e., hav-963 ing the same surface elevation $\zeta(x,0)$ at the point of focus, the horizontal 964 orbital velocity beneath the crest is increased by approximately 40% for fol-065 lowing shear (i.e., propagating upstream in an earth-fixed frame) compared 966 to a depth-uniform current, whereas for opposing shear (downstream propa-967 gation) the amplitude is reduced by about a factor 0.72. This corresponds to 968 the wave-induced dynamic pressure being approximately doubled and halved. 969 respectively, greatly affecting the forces such a focused group will exert on 070 vessels and structures. 971

⁹⁷² A Linear focusing theory in shallow water

973 A.1 Linear shear

⁹⁷⁴ The dispersion relation with finite depth h is now (e.g. [43]),

$$\omega(k) = \sqrt{gk \tanh kh + (\sigma \tanh kh)^2} - \sigma \tanh kh.$$
(111)

⁹⁷⁵ Following Refs. [25, 28], the surface elevation integral (11) can be solved ⁹⁷⁶ approximately in shallow water by expanding $\omega(k)$ in powers of h and k

$$\omega(k) = kc_h - k\sigma h + \frac{1}{2}k\sigma^2 g^{-\frac{1}{2}}h^{\frac{3}{2}} - g^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\frac{1}{6}g^2k^3 + \frac{1}{8}k\sigma^4)h^{\frac{5}{2}} + \frac{1}{3}k^3\sigma h^3 - g^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sigma^2(\frac{1}{4}g^2k^2 - \frac{1}{16}\sigma^4)h^{\frac{7}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{9}{2}})$$
(112a)

$$\equiv w_1 k - \frac{1}{3} w_3 k^3 + \dots \tag{112b}$$

977 with

$$w_1 = c_h - h\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 g^{-\frac{1}{2}}h^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{1}{8}g^{-\frac{3}{2}}k\sigma^4 h^{\frac{5}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(h^3);$$
(113a)

$$w_3 = \frac{1}{2}c_h h^2 - \sigma h^3 + \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{7}{2}}), \tag{113b}$$

where $c_h = \sqrt{gh}$ is the phase (and group) velocity in the shallow-water limit in absence of a shear current.

⁹⁸⁰ A.2 δ -function singularity in shallow water with linear ⁹⁸¹ current profile

⁹⁶² Consider the case of a group focusing to the delta function singularity, equation ⁹⁶³ (47), where the expansion (111) is inserted. We shall need the linear and cubic ⁹⁸⁴ in k. Evaluating the integral (47), the surface shape at any time t is then, approximately,

$$\zeta(x,t) = \frac{aL}{(w_3 t)^{1/3}} \operatorname{Ai}\left[\frac{x - w_1 t}{(w_3 t)^{1/3}}\right].$$
(114)

This is a direct generalisation of the result of refs. [25, 28] including a constant
 shear.

³⁹⁹ A.3 Gaussian wave group, arbitrary depth

For linear shear S and general depth h, the expressions for $A_0 = \omega'(k_0)$ and B₉₁ $B_0 = \omega''(k_0)$ which may be inserted into (56) are

$$A_{0} = -\frac{h\sigma}{C_{0}^{2}} + \frac{C_{0}S_{0} + (2\varkappa - k_{0})h}{2C_{0}^{2}\sqrt{T_{0}}}\sqrt{\frac{g}{\varkappa}};$$
(115a)

$$B_0 = \frac{2h^2 \sigma \mathcal{T}_0}{\mathcal{C}_0^2} - \sqrt{g \varkappa \mathcal{T}_0} \left[\frac{1}{4\varkappa^2} \left(\frac{hk_0}{\mathcal{C}_0 \mathcal{S}_0} - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{h^2}{\mathcal{C}_0^2} \left(2 - \frac{k_0}{\varkappa} \right) \right], \quad (115b)$$

992 with shorthand

 $C_0 \equiv \cosh k_0 h, \quad S_0 \equiv \sinh k_0 h, \quad T_0 \equiv \tanh k_0 h = S_0 / C_0,$ (116)

⁹⁹³ and shear-modified wave number

$$\varkappa = k_0 + \sigma^2 \mathcal{T}_0/g \longrightarrow \begin{cases} k_0 + \sigma^2/g & k_0 h \to \infty \\ k_0(1 + \sigma^2 h/g) & k_0 h \to 0 \end{cases}.$$
 (117)

994 (Note $\omega(k_0) = \sqrt{g \varkappa T_0} - \sigma T_0$ in this formalism).

In the shallow water regime, $k_0 h \equiv \xi_0 \ll 1$ we obtain

$$A_{0} = \sqrt{gh} - v_{\sigma}\xi_{0} + \frac{1}{2}(v_{\sigma}^{2}/c_{00})\xi_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}c_{00}(1 + \frac{1}{4}v_{\sigma}^{4}/c_{00}^{4})\xi_{0}^{\frac{5}{2}} + v_{\sigma}\xi_{0}^{3} + \dots$$
(118a)

$$k_0 B_0 = -c_{00} \xi_0^{\frac{5}{2}} + 2v_\sigma \xi_0^3 + \dots$$
(118b)

with $c_{00} = c_0(k_0) = \sqrt{g/k_0}$ and $v_{\sigma} = \sigma/k_0$. For example, from equation 996 (56) one sees that for a Gaussian package the time it takes for the group 997 to change significantly is $t \sim L^2/B_0 \approx (k_0 L^2/c_{00}) \xi_0^{-\frac{5}{2}}$, by which time the group has traveled of order $A_0 t/\lambda_0 \sim (k_0^2 L^2/2\pi) \xi_0^{-2}$ wavelengths of the carrier 998 999 wave. However, notice that the leading-order correction to the phase and group 1000 velocities are order $FS_{lin.}\xi_0^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and can be significantly affected by the shear even 1001 when ξ_0 is not extremely small. This is illustrated in Figure 16 in moderately 1002 shallow water, $\xi_0 = 0.2$: The group at focus (red graph) does not change shape 1003 perceptibly, but the phase velocity is clearly increased for $\sigma < 0$ and decreased 1004 for $\sigma > 0$. 1005

fig/Focus_Gaussian_Fs=0.5_shallow.pdf

Fig. 16 Waves focusing into a group with a Gaussian envelope in moderately shallow water, $k_0 h = 0.2$. The solid graphs show $\eta(x,t)/a$ for $\sqrt{gk_0}t$ from -45 to 45 in steps of 0.5 with the shape at t = 0 shown in red. Here $S = \sqrt{gk_0}$, $k_0 L = 3$, and $\theta = 0$ (top), $\pi/2$ (centre) and π (bottom).

1006 **Conflict of interest.** corresponding author avers that there is no conflict 1007 of interest.

Data availability. No new data was generated in the research reported, and
 all equations necessary to reproduce the results are included.

Acknowledgments. SÅE was supported in part by the European Union (ERC, WaTurSheD, project 101045299) and the Research Council of Norway (project 325114). ZZ acknowledges the support from China Scholarship Council through project 201906060137. YL acknowledges the financial support from the Research Council of Norway through a FRIPRO mobility grant (project no. 287398) and a POS-ERC grant (project no. 342480). Views and opinions expressed are those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the EuropeanUnion nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

1019 References

- [1] Kharif, C., Pelinovsky, E.: Physical mechanisms of the rogue wave phenomenon. European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids 22(6), 603–634 (2003)
- [2] Dysthe, K., Krogstad, H.E., Müller, P.: Oceanic rogue waves. Annu. Rev.
 Fluid Mech. 40, 287–310 (2008)
- [3] Onorato, M., Residori, S., Bortolozzo, U., Montina, A., Arecchi, F.: Rogue
 waves and their generating mechanisms in different physical contexts.
 Physics Reports 528(2), 47–89 (2013)
- Idampinessen, T.B., Swan, C.: A laboratory study of the focusing of transient and directionally spread surface water waves. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 457(2008), 971–1006 (2001)
- [5] Brown, M.G., Jensen, A.: Experiments on focusing unidirectional water
 waves. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 106(C8), 16917–16928
 (2001)
- [6] Grue, J., Clamond, D., Huseby, M., Jensen, A.: Kinematics of extreme
 waves in deep water. Applied Ocean Research 25(6), 355–366 (2003)
- [7] Vyzikas, T., Stagonas, D., Buldakov, E., Greaves, D.: The evolution of free
 and bound waves during dispersive focusing in a numerical and physical
 flume. Coastal Engineering 132, 95–109 (2018)
- [8] Adcock, T.A.A., Taylor, P.H.: Focusing of unidirectional wave groups
 on deep water: an approximate nonlinear schrödinger equation-based
 model. Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and
 Engineering Sciences 465(2110), 3083–3102 (2009)
- [9] Kharif, C., Pelinovsky, E., Talipova, T., Slunyaev, A.: Focusing of nonlinear wave groups in deep water. JETP Letters 73, 170–175 (2001)
- [10] Kharif, C., Abid, M., Touboul, J.: Rogue waves in shallow water in the
 presence of a vertically sheared current. Journal of Ocean Engineering
 and Marine Energy 3(4), 301–308 (2017)
- [11] Xin, Z., Li, X., Li, Y.: Coupled effects of wave and depth-dependent current interaction on loads on a bottom-fixed vertical slender cylinder.
 Coastal Engineering, 104304 (2023)

Springer Nature 2021 LATEX template

- 48 Wave focusing on a shear current
- [12] Zheng, Z., Li, Y., Ellingsen, S.Å.: Dispersive wave focussing on a shear
 current. Part 2: Weakly nonlinear theory. Submitted manuscript (2023)
- ¹⁰⁵⁴ [13] Touboul, J., Kharif, C.: Effect of vorticity on the generation of rogue ¹⁰⁵⁵ waves due to dispersive focusing. Natural Hazards **84**(2), 585–598 (2016)
- [14] Stewart, R.H., Joy, J.W.: HF radio measurements of surface currents.
 Deep Sea Research and Oceanographic Abstracts 21(12), 1039–1049 (1974). Elsevier
- [15] Clauss, G.F., Bergmann, J.: Gaussian wave packets: a new approach to
 seakeeping tests of ocean structures. Applied Ocean Research 8(4), 190–
 206 (1986)
- [16] Peregrine, D.H.: Interaction of water waves and currents. Advances in
 Applied Mechanics 16, 9–117 (1976)
- [17] Ellingsen, S.Å.: Initial surface disturbance on a shear current: The
 Cauchy-Poisson problem with a twist. Physics of Fluids 26(8), 082104
 (2014)
- [18] Akselsen, A.H., Ellingsen, S.: Weakly nonlinear transient waves on a shear current: Ring waves and skewed Langmuir rolls. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 863, 114–149 (2019)
- [19] Abdullah, A.J.: Wave motion at the surface of a current which has an exponential distribution of vorticity. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 51(3), 425–441 (1949)
- [20] Ellingsen, S.A., Li, Y.: Approximate dispersion relations for waves on arbitrary shear flows. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 122(12), 9889–9905 (2017)
- [21] Skop, R.A.: Approximate dispersion relation for wave-current interactions. Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering 113(2), 187–195 (1987)
- [22] Kirby, J.T., Chen, T.-M.: Surface waves on vertically sheared flows:
 approximate dispersion relations. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Oceans 94(C1), 1013–1027 (1989)
- [23] Bender, C.M., Orszag, S., Orszag, S.A.: Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers I: Asymptotic Methods and Perturbation Theory vol. 1. Springer, New York (1999)
- ¹⁰⁸⁵ [24] Banihashemi, S., Kirby, J.T., Dong, Z.: Approximation of wave action ¹⁰⁸⁶ flux velocity in strongly sheared mean flows. Ocean Modelling (2017)

- [25] Pelinovsky, E., Talipova, T., Kurkin, A., Kharif, C.: Nonlinear mechanism
 of tsunami wave generation by atmospheric disturbances. Natural Hazards
 and Earth System Sciences 1(4), 243–250 (2001)
- [26] Li, Y., Ellingsen, S.Å.: A framework for modelling linear surface waves on shear currents in slowly varying waters. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Oceans 124, 2527–2545 (2019)
- [27] Morse, P.M., Feshbach, H.: Methods of Theoretical Physics, Pt 1.
 McGraw-Hill, New York (1953)
- [28] Pelinovsky, E., Talipova, T., Kharif, C.: Nonlinear-dispersive mechanism
 of the freak wave formation in shallow water. Physica D: Nonlinear
 Phenomena 147(1-2), 83–94 (2000)
- [29] Neumann, G., Pierson, W.J.J.: Principles of Physical Oceanography.
 Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. (1966)
- [30] Magnusson, A.K., Donelan, M.A., Drennan, W.M.: On estimating
 extremes in an evolving wave field. Coastal Engineering 36(2), 147–163
 (1999)
- ¹¹⁰³ [31] Dong, Z., Kirby, J.T.: Theoretical and numerical study of wave-current ¹¹⁰⁴ interaction in strongly-sheared flows. Coast. Engn. Proc. **1**, 2 (2012)
- [32] Gonzalez, F.I., Rosenfeld, C.: Slar and in situ observations of ocean
 swell modification by currents and bathymetry at the Columbia River
 entrance. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing (6),
 598–602 (1984)
- [33] Moritz, H.R., Gelfenbaum, G.R., Kaminsky, G.M., Ruggiero, P., Oltman-Shay, J., Mckillip, D.J.: Implementing regional sediment management to sustain navigation at an energetic tidal inlet. In: Kraus, N.C., Rosati, J.D.
 (eds.) Sixth International Symposium on Coastal Engineering and Science of Coastal Sediment Process, pp. 1768–1786. American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, VA (2007). https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784409268
- [34] Kassem, S., Özkan-Haller, H.T.: Forecasting the wave-current interactions at the mouth of the Columbia River, OR, USA. Coastal Engineering
 Proceedings 1(33), 53 (2012). https://doi.org/10.9753/icce.v33.waves.53
- [35] Elias, E.P., Gelfenbaum, G., Van der Westhuysen, A.J.: Validation of a coupled wave-flow model in a high-energy setting: The mouth of the Columbia River. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 117(C9)
 (2012)
- ¹¹²² [36] Campana, J., Terrill, E.J., de Paolo, T.: The development of an inversion

Springer Nature 2021 LATEX template

50 Wave focusing on a shear current

- technique to extract vertical current profiles from X-band radar observations. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology 33(9), 2015–2028
 (2016)
- [37] Akan, Çiğdem, Moghimi, S., Özkan-Haller, H.T., Osborne, J., Kurapov,
 A.: On the dynamics of the Mouth of the Columbia River: Results from a three-dimensional fully coupled wave-current interaction model. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 122(7), 5218–5236 (2017)
- [38] Zippel, S., Thomson, J.: Surface wave breaking over sheared currents:
 Observations from the mouth of the Columbia River. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 122 (2017)
- [39] Kilcher, L.F., Nash, J.D.: Structure and dynamics of the Columbia River
 tidal plume front. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 115(C5) (2010)
- [40] Shrira, V.I.: Surface waves on shear currents: solution of the boundaryvalue problem. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 252, 565–584 (1993)
- [41] Morland, L.C., Saffman, P.G., Yuen, H.C.: Waves generated by shear
 layer instabilities. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A
 433(1888), 441–450 (1991)
- [42] Zakharov, V.E., Shrira, V.I.: Formation of the angular spectrum of wind
 waves. Soviet physics, JETP **71**, 1091–1100 (1990)
- [43] Ellingsen, S.Å.: Oblique waves on a vertically sheared current are rotational. European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids 56, 156–160 (2016)
- ¹¹⁴⁴ [44] Hughes, T.H., Reid, W.H.: On the stability of the asymptotic suction ¹¹⁴⁵ boundary-layer profile. Journal of Fluid Mechanics **23**(4), 715–735 (1965)
- [45] Abid, M., Kharif, C.: Free surface water-waves generated by instability
 of an exponential shear flow. Submitted manuscript, in review. https:
 //arxiv.org/abs/2305.11983 (2023)
- [46] Morland, L., Saffman, P.: Effect of wind profile on the instability of wind
 blowing over water. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 252, 383–398 (1993)

[47] Tucker, M., Challenor, P.G., Carter, D.: Numerical simulation of a random
sea: a common error and its effect upon wave group statistics. Applied
ocean research 6(2), 118–122 (1984)