

# **Micromechanical Estimates Compared to FE-Based Methods for Modelling the Behaviour of Micro-Cracked Viscoelastic Materials**

Sarah Abou Chakra, Benoît Bary, Eric Lemarchand, Christophe Bourcier,

Sylvie Granet, Jean Talandier

# **To cite this version:**

Sarah Abou Chakra, Benoît Bary, Eric Lemarchand, Christophe Bourcier, Sylvie Granet, et al.. Micromechanical Estimates Compared to FE-Based Methods for Modelling the Behaviour of Micro-Cracked Viscoelastic Materials. Modelling,  $2024$ ,  $5$  (2), pp.625-641.  $10.3390/mol$ elling5020033. hal-04680472

# **HAL Id: hal-04680472 <https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04680472v1>**

Submitted on 20 Nov 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)





# *Article* 1 **Micromechanical Estimates compared to FE-based Methods for** <sup>2</sup> **Modelling the Behaviour of Micro-cracked Viscoelastic Materi-** <sup>3</sup>  $\mathbf{a}$ **ls**

**Sarah Abou Chakra 1, \*, Benoît Bary <sup>2</sup> , Eric Lemarchand <sup>3</sup> , Christophe Bourcier <sup>4</sup> , Sylvie Granet <sup>5</sup> and Jean Talandier <sup>6</sup>** 5

- <sup>1</sup> Service de Recherche en Corrosion et Comportement des Matériaux, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, France ; 6 sarah.abouchakra@cea.fr 7
- <sup>2</sup> Service de Recherche en Corrosion et Comportement des Matériaux, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, France ; 8 benoit.bary@cea.fr 9
- 3 Laboratoire Navier, Ecole des Ponts ParisTech, France [; eric.lemarchand@enpc.fr](mailto:eric.lemarchand@enpc.fr) 10
- <sup>4</sup> Service de Génie Logiciel pour la Simulation, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, France ; christophe.bour- 11 cier@cea.fr 12
- Département ERMES, EDF R&D, France[, sylvie.granet@edf.fr](mailto:sylvie.granet@edf.fr) 13
- <sup>6</sup> ANDRA, France, jean.talandier@andra.fr 14
- **\*** Correspondence: sarah.abouchakra@cea.fr 15

**Abstract:** The purpose of this study is to investigate the effective behaviour of a micro-cracked ma- 16 terial whose matrix bulk and shear moduli are ruled by a linear viscoelastic Burgers model. The 17 analysis includes a detailed study of randomly oriented and distributed cracks displaying an overall 18 isotropic behavior, as well as aligned cracks resulting in a transversely isotropic medium. Effective 19 material properties are approximated with the assumption that the homogenized equivalent me- 20 dium exhibits the characteristics of a Burgers model, leading to the identification of short-term and 21 long-term homogenized modules in the Laplace-Carson space through simplified formulations. The 22 crucial advantage of this analytical technique consists in avoiding calculations of the inverse La- 23 place-Carson transform. The micromechanical estimates are validated through comparisons with 24 FE numerical simulations on 3D microstructures generated with zero-thickness void cracks of disk 25 shape. Intersections between randomly oriented cracks are accounted for, thereby highlighting po-<br>26 tential percolation phenomenon. The effects of micro-cracks on the material behavior are then stud- 27 ied with the aim of providing high-performance creep models for macrostructure calculations at a 28 moderate computation cost through the application of analytical homogenization techniques. 29

**Keywords:** Cracked media; Viscoelasticity; Burgers model; 3D numerical simulation 30

31

**Citation:** To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Received: date Revised: date Accepted: date Published: date



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

# **1. Introduction** 32

In the context of long-term deep disposal of nuclear waste in the Callovo-Oxfordian 33 (COx) claystone, the excavation of tunnels in the host rock inevitably leads to macroscopic 34 fracturing, raising potentially significant challenges for nuclear waste management [1–3]. 35 Characterizing the behavior of the COx claystone is complex, as various factors are in- 36 volved in determining the appropriate behaviour of the claystone. In particular, the creep 37 properties of the argillite play a crucial role in the crack sealing process [4], an anticipated 38 key phase expected to take place following the closure of the underground waste disposal 39 [5]. This study aims to provide a description for the creep phenomenon within a simpli- 40 fied linear viscoelastic framework. Furthermore, it is intended to model the behavior of a 41 fractured viscoelastic material by approximating its effective properties and identifying 42 the parameters by means of a macroscopic Burgers model [6]. For the purpose of validat- 43 ing the analytical approach, the results are compared with numerical simulations per- 44 formed using the finite element method with the  $Cast3M<sup>1</sup>$  software. This comparison be- 45 tween the two approaches offers key validation requirements when it comes to modelling 46 the macroscopic behavior of damaged materials while exploiting the use of multi-scale 47 approaches. The excavation of tunnels for the Cigéo project causes fracturing of the  $COx - 48$ claystone. Characterizing the cracking state through in situ observations provides means 49 for dimensioning the damaged zone and determining cracks orientations as a function of 50 distance from the excavated drifts. In the vicinity of the galleries, cracks orientations ap-<br>51 pear widely variable and random. With increasing distance from the drifts, cracks show 52 preferential orientations mostly parallel to the galleries [2]. 53

Several techniques are employed to accurately describe the complex phenomenon of 54 fracture in materials [7–11]. Alongside damage models, which incorporate the effect of 55 cracks by introducing a damage variable [12], methods based on continuum mechanics 56 can also capture the presence of cracking in a solid material [13]. In particular, microme- 57 chanical techniques based on the calculation of homogenized moduli can be used to esti- 58 mate the effect of fractures on the material macroscopic properties [14-16]. Cracks are 59 considered as heterogeneities distributed in the solid matrix, and solutions based on mi- 60 cromechanical estimates are presented to deduce homogenized properties. The approach 61 used by Budiansky and O'connell [17] involves the utilization of a crack density parameter 62 to characterize the fracturing effect, assuming that all cracks are circular with an identical 63 shape and size. The crack density parameter can be associated macroscopically with a 64 damage variable, whose effects are calculated from analytical homogenization schemes 65 [18]. In addition, other techniques, notably finite element modeling [19], can be used to 66 create microstructures representative of the fracturing condition, with considerably fewer 67 limitations in terms of design simplifications. This numerical approach can be directly 68 compared to analytical techniques obtained by approximation schemes, which is a key 69 objective of this study. The study of this study.

Materials subjected to constant loads can exhibit a delayed (creep) behavior, charac- 71 terized by continuous deformation over time. Linear viscoelastic models can depict such 72 effects in a simplified context [20]. Moreover, it is possible to use the Laplace-Carson trans- 73 form (LC) and proceed to operate with an equivalent linear elastic behavior in the Laplace- 74 Carson space. This provides a straightforward framework to recourse on analytical solu- 75 tions obtained with classical homogenization procedures developed for elastic materials 76 affected by the presence of cracks and/or heterogeneities [21]. For this purpose, the behav- 77 ior of the COX claystone is represented here by non-aging linear viscoelastic Burgers mod- 78 els combining Maxwell and Kelvin-Voigt models. Using a minimum number of parame- 79 ters, the Burgers model is indeed able to capture the main features of a viscoelastic mate-<br>80 rial behavior, particularly providing an adequate representation of the long-term charac- 81 teristics of the COx claystone. In linear viscoelastic applications, dealing with heterogene-<br>82 ous media considerably complexifies the formulated equations in LC space. This difficulty 83 renders model equation inversion into real time space challenging. Commonly, numerical 84 solutions, such as the collocation method, are used to provide effective resolutions to the 85 equations describing the material's behavior [22,23]. 86

The most classical approaches used to estimate the behavior of heterogeneous mate- 87 rials with homogenization techniques are based on the Eshelby solution [24]. These ap- 88 proaches have proved to be very efficient for approximating the effective behavior of such 89 materials in various contexts, including for micro-cracked linear elastic materials [19,25]. 90 In this case, an alternative approach has been proposed in [26], based on the linear rela- 91 tionship between macroscopic stress and the discontinuity of local displacements across 92 the crack. This approach will be explored in the present study, together with an approxi- 93 mation method assuming that the effective behavior of the material can be represented by 94 a set of Burgers models. This efficient method provides an analytical solution of the model 95

-

<sup>1</sup> http://www-cast3m.cea.fr/

equations in simplified forms, making inversion calculations analytically achievable. One 96 key advantage is that their parameters can be directly expressed as a function of the pa-<br>97 rameters of the viscoelastic models describing the sound material, and the crack density 98 parameter characterizing the cracking state. This differs from more classical approaches 99 based on the application of homogenization schemes in the LC space, which generally 100 requires a numerical inversion to characterize the model in the time space. The analytical 101 results will be compared to finite element simulations obtained on 3D samples generated 102 with disk-shaped voids of zero thickness, thus mimicking very accurately the behavior of 103 micro-cracked materials. In this context, the material's behavior will be analyzed by con- 104 sidering relevant cases of crack orientations including randomly oriented cracks as well 105 as a parallel crack distribution in an isotropic medium. 106

#### **2. Viscoelastic Burgers model** 107

The majority of bedrock masses, when subjected to a permanent stress, exhibit to 108 some degree a deferred behaviour, which is expressed by an increase in strain under con- 109 stant loading. This phenomenon indicates that a complete description of the constitutive 110 behavior of a rock mass must assume time-dependency. A rigorous approach is to use 111 viscoelastic or viscoplastic behavior laws to describe the evolution of creep phenomenon 112 for geomaterials [4,27,28]. In analyzing the behavior of the Callovo-Oxfordian claystone, 113 the effect of delayed long-term response of the material must be taken into account to 114 ensure an accurate representation of the COx claystone [29]. In this context, we focus 115 mainly on non-aging linear viscoelastic models, which allow establishing a linear relation 116 between the stress and strain in the Laplace-Carson space using the correspondence prin- 117 ciple. The development of the Burgers model equations provides an efficient representa- 118 tion of the material's short- and long-term behavior, while requiring a limited number of 119 parameters. It is intended to use this model to represent the behavior of the COx claystone. 120 The indices M and K designate respectively the Maxwell and Kelvin parts of the model, 121 while exponents s and d are attributed to the spherical and deviatoric parts of the model 122 (Figure 1). Moreover, we propose to use 2 different Burgers models to describe inde- 123 pendently the shear and bulk moduli denoted by  $\mu$  and  $k$ , respectively. 124



**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the Burgers model 126

$$
\frac{1}{k^*} = \frac{1}{k_M} + \frac{1}{p\frac{n_M^s}{3}} + \frac{1}{k_K + p\frac{n_K^s}{3}}; \qquad \frac{1}{\mu^*} = \frac{1}{\mu_M} + \frac{1}{p\frac{n_M^d}{2}} + \frac{1}{\mu_K + p\frac{n_K^d}{2}} \tag{1}
$$

Eq. 1 expresses the effective compressibility and shear moduli in Laplace-Carson 127 space, denoted by  $k^*$  and  $\mu^*$  respectively, where p is the LC variable. The eight parame- 128 ters of the Burgers Model can be distinguished in Eq. 1. The Maxwell part is represented 129 by four parameters, including spherical components  $(k_M, n_M^s)$  and deviatoric components 130  $(\mu_M, \eta_M^d)$ . Additionally, the Kelvin part of the model is also composed of four parameters, 131 with  $(k_K, \eta_K^s)$  describing the spherical parameters and  $(\mu_K, \eta_K^d)$  representing the deviatoric 132

components. The parameters of the Burgers models are identified through an analytical 133 resolution of the strain under constant stress loading, followed by comparisons with ex- 134 perimental creep testing results. Armand et al. [30] have published a series of creep tests 135 widely used in the literature to characterize this phenomenon. Cores of COx were ex- 136 tracted from the Meuse/Haute-Marne underground research laboratory and the samples 137 were drilled perpendicular to the bedding plane of the rock, as the COx claystone natu-<br>138 rally exhibits a transversely isotropic behavior. These tests include different series of load- 139 ings and have been designed to examine the deferred behavior of the material for different 140 loading conditions. The applied loadings are a function of the maximum deviatoric stress 141 that the material can withstand. The argillite is considered anisotropic and therefore its 142 properties change as a function of the imposed loading with respect to the bedding plane. 143 Here, for commodity the solid material will be considered isotropic, meaning that the 144 elastic moduli do not vary with respect to the bedding plane. The model parameters have 145 been identified under loading conditions corresponding to 75% of the maximum devia- 146 toric stress. The stress of the stress o

The use of numerical models with a number of parameters can render the precise 148 identification of each parameter from a single experimental test complex. Although some 149 simplifications can be achieved at the limits as time tends to zero or infinity, it remains 150 difficult to identify each parameter individually. Consequently, optimization methods 151 were employed to refine the model parameters. The least-squares method is used to opti- 152 mize the Burgers model parameters using standard Python libraries (Figure 2). The nor- 153 mal and tangential components of the strain tensor ( $\epsilon_{nn}$  and  $\epsilon_{tt}$  respectively) in the time 154 space are identified by calculating the inverse of the Carson Laplace transform of: 155

$$
\varepsilon^* = \frac{1+\nu^*}{E^*} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^* - \frac{\nu^*}{E^*} tr(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^*) \boldsymbol{I}
$$
 (2)

Where the superscript  $*$  stands for a quantity expressed in the LC space. The normal and 156 tangential strain components due to the application of a uniaxial constant stress  $\sigma_0$  can 157 be deduced in LC space from Eq. 2: 158

$$
\varepsilon_{nn}^* = \frac{\sigma_0}{E^*} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_{tt}^* = \frac{-\sigma_0 \nu^*}{E^*} \tag{3}
$$

Inversion of the normal strain  $\varepsilon_{nn}^*$  from LC space reads: 159

$$
\varepsilon_{nn} = \frac{\sigma_0}{E_M} + \frac{\sigma_0}{9k_M} \frac{t}{\left(\frac{\eta_M^S}{3k_M}\right)} + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\mu_M} \frac{t}{\left(\frac{\eta_M^d}{2\mu_M}\right)} + \frac{\sigma_0}{9k_K} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{3k_K}}\right) + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\mu_K} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{t}{2\mu_K}}\right)
$$
\nwith  $v_M = \frac{3k_M - 2\mu_M}{6k_M + 2\mu_M}$  and  $\frac{1}{E_M} = \frac{1}{9k_M} + \frac{1}{3\mu_M}$ 

This expression involves the eight parameters of the Burgers model and the time. 160



**Figure 2.** Identification of the Burgers model parameters based on experimental creep test results 162

Overall, the proposed model provides an acceptable representation of the argillite's be- 163 havior in both short and long term, see figure 2 for the comparison between experimental 164 and numerical results of a creep test. The characteristic parameters of the Burgers model 165 are summarized in the Table 1. 166

**Table 1.** Identified viscoelastic parameter of the COx claystone 167

<b>Parts</b>	k(GPa)	$\mu(GPa)$	$\eta^s(GPa.s)$	$\eta^d(GPa.s)$
<b>Maxwell</b>	6.872	3.870	$35.381 \cdot 10^{7}$	$12.463 \cdot 10^{7}$
Kelvin	7.308	3.099	$26.364 \cdot 10^6$	$4.020 \cdot 10^{6}$

#### **3. Representation of cracking in a viscoelastic material** 169

In this work, we make use of the approach proposed in [6,26,31,32] for considering 170 the presence of cracks, which is not directly based on Eshelby's classical approach [24], 171 although being very close. The interested reader is invited to refer to the cited papers for 172 more details that would be too lengthy to include here. 173

### *3.1. Isotropic crack distribution* 174

Considering an isotropic distribution of cracks in a solid matrix (Figure 3), where 175 cracks are characterized by the crack density parameter  $\epsilon$  in which N is the number of 176 cracks per unit volume of REV (Representative Elementary Volume) and  $\alpha$  is the crack 177 radius, assuming an identical circular shape and a uniform size to all cracks (Eq. 5). 178

$$
= Na3
$$
 (5)

The apparent effective stiffness tensor in the Laplace-Carson space defined by  $\mathbb{C}^{hom^*}$ 179 is therefore isotropic, expressed in the  $(J,K)$  basis in (Eq. 6). The tensors  $J$  and  $K$  are re- 180 spectively the projectors of the spherical and deviatoric parts of the 4th-order unit tensor. 181

 $\epsilon$ 

$$
\mathbb{C}^{\textit{hom}^*} = 3k^{\textit{hom}^*}\mathbb{J} + 2\mu^{\textit{hom}^*}\mathbb{K} \tag{6}
$$

182

161



**Figure 3.** Schematic representation of randomly oriented crack distribution in a solid matrix 184

The homogenized moduli  $k^{hom^*}$  and  $\mu^{hom^*}$  are calculated as a function of the crack den- 185 sity parameter  $\epsilon$ , taking into account two distinct loading conditions: spherical loading 186 which is used to determine the compressibility modulus, while deviatoric loading is used 187 to determine the shear modulus. A homogenized stiffness matrix is then constructed in 188 the LC space, reflecting the material cracking conditions [26]. 189

$$
\frac{1}{k^{hom^*}} = \frac{1}{k^*} + \frac{8\epsilon}{3} \frac{(1 - \nu^*)}{\mu^*}
$$
 (7)

$$
\frac{1}{\mu^{hom^*}} = \frac{1}{\mu^*} + \frac{32\epsilon}{\mu^*} \frac{(1 - \nu^*)(5 - \nu^*)}{45(2 - \nu^*)}
$$
(8)

To simplify, here the homogenized material behavior in the LC space is studied by 190 considering a general analogy with a homogenized macroscopic Burgers model, i.e. we 191 assume that the behavior of the homogenized material can be approached by Burgers 192 models. This makes it possible to identify the homogenized modules  $k^{hom^*}$  and  $\mu^{hom^*}$ 193 the LC space using a simplified form: 194

$$
\frac{1}{k^{hom^*}} = \frac{1}{k_M(\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{p \, \eta_M^s(\epsilon)/3} + \frac{1}{k_K(\epsilon) + p \, \eta_K^s(\epsilon)/3}
$$
(9)

$$
\frac{1}{\mu^{hom^*}} = \frac{1}{\mu_M(\epsilon)} + \frac{1}{p \, \eta_M^d(\epsilon)/2} + \frac{1}{\mu_K(\epsilon) + p \, \eta_K^d(\epsilon)/2}
$$
(10)

This maintains the same structure of the Burgers model formulated in Eq. 1. Proceed- 195 ing with a Taylor expansion of the expressions of the moduli with respect to the variable 196 p in the Carson space in the vicinity of 0 and infinity, the eight parameters of the Burgers 197 models can be identified as a function of the crack density parameter (Figure 4). A corre- 198 lation of the parameters as a function of crack density (Eq. 5) is established, as illustrated 199 in Figure 4. In summary, this approach provides an alternative method for characterizing 200 the behavior of a micro-cracked material in the Laplace-Carson space [6]. 201



**Figure 4.** Effective moduli calculation as a function of crack density, considering an isotropic crack 203 distribution in the solid matrix 204

183

Determining the parameter equations as a function of crack density for a macroscop- 205 ically cracked material of the Burgers type enables a straightforward formulation of the 206 homogenized moduli that define this problem. This approach can provide an explicit for-<br>207 mulation of the effective Burgers model equations in the time space as a function of the 208 parameters of the undisturbed material and the crack density parameter (Eq. 9 and 10). 209 This significantly reduces the complexity of the calculation of the modules in the time- 210 space, as it avoids the direct application of the inverse of the Laplace-Carson transform on 211 such equations. This in turn leads to the development of straightforward analytical solu-<br>212 tions, offering results comparable to those obtained by alternative numerical approaches 213 such as the finite element method [19] or the FFT approach, which also enable an analysis 214 of the effective moduli on microstructures [7,33]. 215

#### *3.2. Parallel crack distribution* 216

In this section, the effect of a random distribution of parallel cracks in a linear visco- 217 elastic material as described in the previous sections, will be discussed. In this case, a 218 transversely isotropic behavior is obtained (Figure 5). In this context, it is convenient to 219 work with the expression of the material compliance tensor in LC space  $\mathbb{S}^*(p)$  (Eq. 11), 220 which is the inverse of the stiffness tensor using the Walpole basis [34]. Using the Walpole 221 basis simplifies the expression of the viscoelastic compliance tensor in the case of anisot- 222 ropy, making the analysis of modules affected by the fracturing more convenient. 223

$$
\mathbb{S}^*(p) = \sum_{i=1}^6 s_i^*(p) \mathbb{E}_i
$$
 (11)

with:

$$
s_1^*(p) = \frac{1 + v^*}{2\mu^*(1 + v^*)} \; ; \qquad s_2^*(p) = \frac{1}{2\mu^*(1 + v^*)} \; ; \qquad s_3^*(p) = \frac{1}{2\mu^*}
$$
  

$$
s_4^*(p) = \frac{1}{2\mu^*} \; ; \; s_5^*(p) = s_6^*(p) = -\frac{v^*}{2\mu^*(1 + v^*)}
$$
 (12)

By decomposing the compliance tensor in the Walpole basis (Eq. 11), we obtain six scalars 224 with indexes i ranging from 1 to 6 denoted  $s_i^*(p)$ . The tensors  $\mathbb{E}_i$  are defined in accord- 225 ance with the specifications given in the Walpole basis [34]. Eq. 12 describes the expres- 226 sions of the scalars  $s_i^*(p)$  in the Laplace-Carson space. Note that the directions 227  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  respectively corresponds to the conventional directions in a Cartesian coordi- 228 nate system  $(x, y, z)$ . **n** is the direction normal to the fractures along  $e_1$  (Figure 5). 229



**Figure 5.** Parallel crack distribution in the solid matrix 231

As demonstrated e.g. in [26], the calculations highlight that for a transversely iso- 232 tropic medium, some of the modules are not influenced by the cracking state of the mate- 233 rial. This observation has been confirmed in an analysis of a linear elastic behaviour case, 234 where only the Young's modulus perpendicular to the bedding plane and the shear coef- 235 ficients were affected by the cracking [35]. Following the notation for the scalars indexes 236 in the Walpole's basis, the expression for the moduli  $s_2^{hom^*}$  and  $s_4^{hom^*}$  as a function of the 237 cracking density yields: 238

$$
s_2^{hom^*} = s_{M2}^e(\epsilon) + \frac{1}{p} s_{M2}^v(\epsilon) + (s_{K2}^e(\epsilon)^{-1} + p s_{K2}^v(\epsilon)^{-1})^{-1}
$$
(13)

$$
s_4^{hom^*} = s_{M4}^e(\epsilon) + \frac{1}{p} s_{M4}^v(\epsilon) + (s_{K4}^e(\epsilon)^{-1} + p s_{K4}^v(\epsilon)^{-1})^{-1}
$$
(14)

provided that  $s_2^{hom^*}$  and  $s_4^{hom^*}$  are the two moduli affected by the cracking [26]. The 239 exponents e and v are attributed to the elastic and viscous parts, respectively. The model 240 parameters are determined based on the assumption that the macroscopic model is essen- 241 tially of the Burgers type, allowing the parameters to be identified with simplified analyt- 242 ical expressions, analogous to the isotropic case discussed in the previous section. The 243 determination of  $s_2^{hom^*}$  is obtained by imposing a uniaxial tensile load along the normal 244 to the cracks, while that of  $s_4^{hom^*}$  requires a shear loading in the form  $\sigma$  ( $e_2 \otimes n + n \otimes$  245  $e_2$ ), e.g. with  $\sigma = 1MPa$ . As the other modules will not be affected by the cracks, it is 246 possible to attribute to them the modules of the undisturbed material without requiring 247 further calculations. 248



249

**Figure 6.** Determination of the moduli affected by cracking for the transversely isotropic case in the 250 Walpole basis as a function of crack density 251

The same identification technique as for the isotropic case was used, considering that the 252 homogenized behavior of the cracked material corresponds to a macroscopic Burgers 253 model, while accounting for the crack density parameter. Conserving the same structure 254 of the Burgers model formulation, it is possible to define a correlation of the 8 coefficients 255 in Eq. 13 and 14 as a function of crack density (Eq. 5) as shown in figure 6. The moduli 256 affected by cracking in a Cartesian coordinate system, such as  $E_1^{hom}$  and  $\mu_{12}^{hom}$ , can be 257 determined from calculations in the Walpole basis as a function of the coefficients  $s_2^{hom}$  258 and  $s_4^{hom}$  [34]. As mentioned above, investigating the transversely isotropic case is rep- 259 resentative of the in situ behavior of the fractured COx claystone, since in reality, fracture 260 formation in parts of the damaged zone is produced with a preferential orientation paral- 261 lel to the excavated drifts [2]. A more in-depth analysis of the analytical results is carried 262 out by comparing the results with the numerical simulations obtained with the Cast3M 263 finite element code. 264

## **4. Numerical simulations** 265

## *4.1. 3D microstructures and meshes* 266

The Burgers model is implemented in the Mfront<sup>2</sup> library, offering several ad- $\,$  267  $\,$ vantages and convenient access for Cast3M users. Mfront is a code generator that enables 268 convenient implementation of behavioral laws, which can be efficiently linked to Cast3M 269 to perform finite element calculations [36]. The parameters of the Burgers model have 270 been calibrated in the previous section. A simple uniaxial creep test with a loading of 271  $\Sigma_{11} = 1$  MPa is performed to verify the perfect agreement between analytical and numer- 272 ical results for the case where a homogeneous isotropic material is portrayed (Figure 7). 273



**Figure 7.** Cast3M verification of the conformity between the implemented Burgers model and the 275 analytical results, obtained following a creep test using the undisturbed material 276

The 3D sample generation procedure is based on a random distribution of inclusions 277 of prescribed shape and size in a box [37,38]. Disk-shaped cracks of zero thickness were 278 introduced as they are suitable for direct comparisons with analytical results [7,39,40]. 279 From this viewpoint, this generation procedure constitutes an improvement with respect 280 to the previous method in which the inclusions are constituted of volume inclusions of 281 non-zero thickness [19]. The geometry of the REV is periodic, which implies that periodic 282 boundary conditions can be applied. The 3D meshes with tetrahedral elements were gen- 283 erated according to the selected periodic geometries using an automatic software con- 284 nected to Salome<sup>3</sup> (Figure 8). In this study, we use the MG-CADSurf algorithm since it 285 allows us to generate periodic meshes. A mesh refinement is imposed systematically on 286 the surfaces of the cracks by increasing the density of elements, to improve the quality of 287 the simulation results. The technique employed to create the cracks is intended to be used 288 with specific interface elements of zero thickness, i.e. double-nodes are created at each 289 point of the cracks mesh. Here as the cracks are void, no interface elements are introduced, 290 allowing to drastically reduce the calculation time at the REV scale [7,40]. 291

-

<sup>2</sup> https://tfel.sourceforge.net/gallery.html

<sup>3</sup> https://www.salome-platform.org



**Figure 8.** Microstructure meshes generation in Salome with a crack density equal to 0.1 with 100 293 cracks distributed in the solid matrix (ratio of crack radius to cube side length is 20/200), consid- 294 ering a parallel crack distribution (left) and an isotropic crack distribution (right) in the REV 295

A series of test cases were examined featuring an increasing range of crack density 296 parameters, and comparisons were subsequently conducted with analytical results. Start- 297 ing with relatively low crack density parameters, the 4 crack density parameters investi- 298 gated are 0.05, 0.1, 0.15 and 0.2. A progressively increasing number of cracks has been 299 used, in order to keep a constant size of the micro-cracks in the REV. Thus, for the corre- 300 sponding testing cases, the number of cracks used is 50, 100, 150 and 200, respectively. 301 This is applicable to both isotropic and transversely isotropic cases. In order to achieve a 302 consistent isotropic behavior of the microstructure, the "Fibonacci sphere" method is used, 303 defining a uniform distribution of points on a sphere [41]. The number of these points is 304 equivalent to the number of cracks considered, and the uniformly distributed points on 305 the sphere serve to define the crack orientations in the microstructure (Figure 9). The 306 placement of the cracks however remains completely random in all considered cases. 307



308

Figure 9. Even distribution of points across the sphere in order to define crack orientations in the 309 microstructure and ensure an isotropic behavior 310

Sufficient numbers of micro-cracks must be included in the solid matrix to ensure a good 311 representativeness, which is why a comprehensive study must be carried out prior to cal- 312 culations to minimize errors attributable to the REV generation. 313



**Figure 10.** Evaluation of REV representativity by varying the number of cracks distributed in the 315 microstructure while keeping a constant crack density  $\epsilon = 0.2$ . The effective Young's modulus in 316 the direction normal to the cracking plane for the transverse isotropic case are calculated (left) as 317 well as the effective Young's modulus for the isotropic case (right) and periodic stress boundary 318 conditions were applied 319

The analysis of Figure 10 demonstrates that the microstructures generated are fairly rep- 320 resentative, and with minimized deviations, based on the calculation of the effective 321 Young's modulus as a function of time, whereas the number of cracks involved is varied 322 from 100 to 200 cracks while the crack density parameter is constant at 0.2. An exponential 323 evolution of the time steps was considered, using 100 time steps in total, ensuring a high 324 concentration of time steps at the early stages of the calculation where there is the greatest 325 variation in the material's behavior. The loading applied is a stress of  $\sigma = 1MPa$  with 326  $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \sigma \, \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1$ , constant with time. Simulations last around 2 hours on average in these 327 cases. The number of elements in the meshes in each case majorly affects the simulation 328 time. A mesh convergence study must be carried out to ensure that a sufficient number of 329 mesh elements is used to guarantee optimized results with minimized deviations. In this 330 case, convergence is achieved with a relatively low error of no more than 1% in cases 331 where the number of tetrahedral mesh elements averages 1.5 million. This is shown by 332 calculating the homogenized Young and shear modulus considering microstructures with 333 an increasing numbers of elements. Consequently, increasing the number of elements be- 334 yond this level has no significant effect on the investigated mechanical properties. The 335 calculations are illustrated for the last time step of 100 days (Table 2 and Table 3), with 336 periodic boundary conditions and material subjected to a constant stress of  $\sigma = 1MPa$ . 337 Two simulations are required to calculate the effective properties: one with  $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \sigma \ \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \sigma \mathbf{e}_2$  $e_1$  for the calculation of the homogenized Young's modulus, and the second one with 339  $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \sigma$  ( $\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1$ ) to determine the homogenized shear modulus. For all subse- 340 quent simulations, a mesh size of around 1.5 million elements was used. It's important to 341 note that the number of elements is strongly related to the number of cracks, and techni- 342 cally to the crack density, given that the mesh refinement is imposed to accurately describe 343 the cracks. 344

**Table 2.** Mesh convergence study considering an isotropic behavior: computation of the homoge- 345 nized Young's modulus and shear modulus. The relative error with respect to the last column is 346 given in parentheses 347

	Mesh 1	Mesh 2	Mesh 3	Mesh 4
Number of mesh	0.614 M	1.115 M	1.602 M	2.55 M
elements				
$E^{hom}(GPa)$	2.9877 (2.45%)	2.8543(1.78%)	$2.8941(0.41\%)$	2.9063
$\mu^{hom}(GPa)$	1.2299 (4.39%)	$1.2066(2.41\%)$	$1.1578(1.71\%)$	1.1781

	Mesh 1	Mesh 2	Mesh 3	Mesh 4
Number of mesh	0.675 M	0.919 M	1.88 <sub>M</sub>	2.88 M
elements				
$E_1^{hom}(GPa)$	$1.3627(6.71\%)$	1.4053 (3.79%)	1.4493 $(0.78\%)$	1.4607
$\mu_{12}^{hom}(GPa)$	0.8918(2.27%)	$0.9054(0.77\%)$	$0.9089(0.38\%)$	0.9125

**Table 3.** Mesh convergence study considering a transversely isotropic behavior: computation of the 348 effective out-of-plane Young's modulus  $E_1^{hom}$  and shear modulus  $\mu_{12}^{hom}$ . The relative error with 349 respect to the reference case (last column) is calculated. 350

The specific nature of imposed boundary conditions can also influence the numerical 352 results, and in this case three boundary conditions were tested: uniform displacement 353 boundary conditions (KUBC), uniform stress boundary conditions (SUBC) and finally pe- 354 riodic boundary conditions (PBC). Modules are calculated by applying a constant load of 355 stress equal to 1 MPa and the full load is applied from the very first time step. As expected, 356 the calculation results reported on Figure 11 show that the most rigid response is obtained 357 when imposing uniform displacement boundary conditions, while the softest response is 358 obtained when imposing homogeneous stress boundary conditions. Considering that pe- 359 riodic boundary conditions yield an intermediate response both when testing the isotropic 360 and transversely isotropic material, one assume that they provide the most accurate re- 361 sults and they will be used as a reference for comparison with analytical results. 362



Figure 11. Effects of the applied boundary conditions KUBC, SUBC and PBC on the calculated ho-<br>364 mogenized Young's modulus (a) and shear modulus (b) for the isotropic medium, and on the 365 Young's modulus in the direction normal to the crack (c) and the effective shear modulus (d) for the 366 transverse isotropic case, as a function of time 367

351

368

Starting with the transversely isotropic medium and applying periodic stress bound- 370 ary conditions, a uniaxial creep test is carried out under constant normal stress  $\Sigma_{11} = 371$ 1 *MPa*. The time steps intervals are identical to the ones defined in section 4.1. At  $t = 0$ , 372 the initial conditions correspond to the REV assumed at rest and without any loading. 373 At  $t = 0 +$ , the full loading is applied. Again, the size of REV meshes is around 1.5 million 374 elements, consistent with the mesh convergence study presented in section 4.1. Compari- 375 sons are subsequently conducted between numerical and analytical methods through the 376 calculation of homogenized moduli and the determination of the normal strain as a func- 377 tion of time. In the present case, the moduli affected by cracking are Young's modulus 378  $E_{11}$  and shear modulus  $\mu_{12} = \mu_{13}$ , considering the case of parallel cracks where the Ox 379 axis is the direction perpendicular to the corresponding fractures plane in a Cartesian ref- 380 erence coordinate system. The normal strain is calculated as a function of time for the 381 considered crack density parameter (Figure 12). The effective moduli are determined from 382 two separate simulations: the first involving an axial loading at constant stress  $\Sigma_{11} = 383$ 1 *MPa* to calculate the effective Young's modulus, and the second requiring a deviatoric 384 loading at  $\Sigma_{12} = 1$  MPa to assess the effective shear modulus (Figure 12). The analytical 385 approach however involves calculating the inverse of the Laplace transform, and thus re- 386 turning to temporal space. The inverse of the Laplace-Carson transform can be derived 387 directly from the simplified expressions outlined in the previous sections, since it follows 388 a classical form of the Laplace transforms, which can be analytically computed. The ana- 389 lytical results are deduced from the equations presented in section 3.2. For the transverse 390 isotropic case, homogenized moduli are calculated using Walpole's basis (Eq. 13 and 14), 391 which is used to determine subsequently the Young's modulus and the homogenized 392 shear modulus. 393



**Figure 12.** Comparison between numerical and analytical results with  $\epsilon = 0.05$  and a number of cracks equal to 50, the strain 395 under constant stress loading is calculated (a) as well as the homogenized moduli affected by the cracking for transverse isotropic 396  $\text{medium (b) and (c)}$  397

Initial results show that for the relatively low crack density parameter equal to 0.05, 398 whereby the material still retains a large proportion of its mechanical strength, the numer-<br>399 ical and analytical approaches produce very similar results for this case (Figure 12). How- 400 ever, upon investigating the cases with greater crack density parameter, the numerical 401 results gradually start to deviate from the analytical estimations for the transverse iso- 402 tropic case, as shown in Figure 13 which depicts the evolution of the creep strain as a 403 function of time for crack density parameters of 0.1, 0.15 and 0.2.  $\qquad \qquad 404$ 



**Figure 13.** Creep strain calculation featuring numerical-analytical comparisons with an increasing crack density of 0.1 (a), 0.15 (b) 406 and  $0.2$  (c)  $407$ 

In the final case where the crack density parameter is equal to 0.2, it becomes clear that  $408$ the numerical results are no longer comparable with the analytical ones (Figure 13 c)). In  $409$ this situation, a different and more appropriate analytical technique is required since the 410 previously described analytical method is only suitable for low crack density parameters. 411 In this regard, previous studies have demonstrated that, in the case of parallel cracks 412 within a linear elastic framework, the differential scheme gives very good results when 413 compared to numerical calculations using the finite element method [35]. This aspect will 414 be investigated in a future contribution. 415

#### *4.3. Isotropic case results* 416

We analyze in this section the creep response of the material when the cracks are 417 randomly oriented, assuming periodic stress boundary conditions. Identical simulation 418 conditions in this section are used to determine the properties of an isotropic medium 419 where the only difference relative to the transverse isotropic case presented in section 4.1 420 lies in the definition of cracks orientations. The first test is based on a REV with a defined 421 crack density parameter of 0.05 (Figure 14), and the numerical results in terms of normal 422 strain and effective moduli evolutions show good agreement with the analytical ones, 423 similarly to the transverse isotropic results. The analytical solution was obtained using the 424 simplified equations for homogenized moduli in Laplace-Carson space (Eq. 9 and 10), en- 425 abling direct calculation of the homogenized Young's modulus and shear modulus in real 426 time space. The normal strain  $\varepsilon_{nn}$  is calculated as a function of the Young's modu- 427 lus  $E^{hom}$  and the applied constant stress  $\sigma = 1MPa$  in LC space (Eq. 3). 428



**Figure 14.** Comparison between numerical and analytical results with  $\epsilon = 0.05$ , the normal strain under constant stress loading is 430 calculated (a) as well as the effective Young's modulus (b) and the shear modulus (c) for isotropically cracked medium 431

405

For the remaining analyses performed with crack density parameters equal to 0.1, 0.15 432 and 0.2, the results show that there is a better agreement between the analytical results 433 and the numerical ones than for the transverse isotropic case (Figure 15). Therefore, it can 434 be concluded that the validity domain of the analytical approach for this particular case 435 of isotropic behavior is extended to include more significantly damaged cases. 436



**Figure 15.** Influence of increasing the crack density on the normal strain determined from a creep test with  $\epsilon$  equal to 0.1 (a), 0.15 438 (b) and 0.2 (c). Numerical results are then compared to the analytical ones for the tested cases 439

Additional investigations were carried out with crack density parameters of 0.3 and 0.4 440 (Figure 16) for confirming the conclusion of the previous analysis. As shown in Figure 16, 441 the results were consistently similar, maintaining a relatively good agreement between 442 the analytical and numerical approaches even for higher crack density parameter. Conse- 443 quently, the validity of the analytical solution can be considered as reasonable up to crack 444 density parameter reaching 0.3-0.4. This is an important conclusion since the reliable ana- 445 lytical solution can be used with confidence to perform structure simulations, thus bene- 446 fiting from a significant reduction in computational time. The application of this analytical 447 approach within the framework of investigating the behavior of damaged claystone can 448 be advantageous for a simplified isotropic representation. As mentioned, this applies pri- 449 marily to situations where cracks are assumed to have a random orientation in some areas 450 of the damaged zone. 451



**Figure 16.** Results of a uniaxial creep test in an isotropic medium considering crack densities of 0.2, 453  $0.3$  and  $0.4$  454

437

455

#### **5. Discussion** 456

This research suggests an analysis of micro-cracked viscoelastic materials by com- 457 paring a numerical approach based on the finite element method and an analytical sim- 458 plified approach. This analytical approach consists in approximating the effective moduli 459 of the material in the Laplace Carson space by Burgers models, thereby providing analyt- 460 ical solutions that can be directly compared with the numerical calculations. One key ad- 461 vantage is that the parameters of these approximated Burgers models can be calculated as 462 a function of the parameters of the linear viscoelastic models defining the behavior of the 463 sound material, and the crack density parameter. This makes the approach adapted for 464 structure simulations as the models can be implemented as a classical behavior law. The 465 presented results include uniaxial creep tests, involving the calculation of normal strain 466 as well as the evaluation of homogenized moduli affected by the cracking. The analysis 467 for the transverse isotropic medium shows that the analytical approach only seems satis-<br>468 factory for crack density parameters below around 0.1-0.15. For higher values, the numer- 469 ical calculations diverge significantly from the analytical results. It is envisaged to inves- 470 tigate the use of more adapted analytical approaches for this case of parallel cracks such 471 as methods deriving from the differential scheme, as it has previously proven to be valid 472 in a linear elastic context [35]. 473

In the case of an isotropic crack distribution, the analytical results were consistently closer 474 to the numerical ones, including in the case of a crack density parameter up to  $0.4$ . In that  $475$ case, although the material is considered severely damaged, the analytical calculations 476 were still in line with the numerical results, proving the validity of this method in the 477 specific case of randomly oriented cracks in an isotropic medium. 478

Numerical approaches can be used to increase crack density parameter to values 479 greater than 1, which opens up possibilities for investigating phenomenon occurring in 480 severely damaged materials. As crack density increases, interactions between cracks in- 481 evitably intensify, creating microstructures highly dense in fractures. This can lead to the 482 formation of preferential cracking paths, particularly in the case of randomly oriented 483 cracks, generating a percolation phenomenon particularly interesting to explore. It would 484 also be pertinent to consider irregular crack shapes to achieve an accurate representation 485 of cracks in reality. Numerical approaches provide means of studying more complex crack 486 geometries, which can be compared with the conventional example of penny shaped 487 cracks. Overall, this study highlights the importance of combining analytical and numer- 488 ical approaches to fully understand the behavior of cracked materials, taking into account 489 the respective limitations of each method and exploiting the results to obtain a more ac- 490 curate and representative model.  $491$ 

### **6. Conclusions** 492

In this study, the combined application of numerical and analytical approaches was 493 explored in order to characterize the behavior of the cracked viscoelastic COx claystone. 494 This approach features a concrete application for representing the argillite in the context 495 of the Cigéo project devoted to the storage of nuclear waste. Indeed, cracking of the host 496 rock results from the excavation of the tunnels at 500m below ground level. Given the 497 significant creep properties of the claystone, it is essential to account for both cracking and 498 creep of the material. For simplification purposes, a linear viscoelastic behavior has been 499 adopted, which allows the application of the Laplace Carson transform. This reduces the 500 problem to a linear elastic behaviour in the Carson space, greatly simplifying the equa-<br>501 tions to provide straightforward analytical solutions. The Burgers model is selected as the 502 viscoelastic model as it is capable, with a minimum number of parameters, of providing 503 an appropriate representation of the behavior of the undamaged COx claystone. 504

In addition, two different cases were investigated, one involving an isotropic distri- 505 bution of cracks in the solid matrix, resulting in an isotropic behavior, and the second 506 featuring a parallel distribution of cracks, resulting in a transversely isotropic behavior of 507

the material. A simplified approach was used to calculate the homogenized moduli, as- 508 suming that the macroscopic behavior of the damaged material conforms to a Burgers 509 model. This approach makes the identification of the model parameters feasible as a func-<br>510 tion of the parameters of the sound material and the crack density parameter, using sim- 511 plified analytical expressions. While examining the transversely isotropic case, increasing 512 the crack density parameter induces a progressive divergence in the approaches, and the 513 analytical and numerical solutions are no longer fully comparable for parameters greater 514 than 0.1-0.15. Advanced numerical studies can be pursued by investigating the material's 515 behavior at crack densities greater than 0.2. The objective is to represent the behaviour of  $516$ a severely damaged material, particularly in the context of describing the damaged zone 517 behaviour for applications associated with the Cigéo project. With this background, two 518 different cases of crack orientations are examined, consistent with in situ observations of 519 the damaged zone. The first case involves a parallel crack distribution with a preferential 520

orientation, while the second features randomly oriented cracks in an isotropic matrix. 521

Ultimately, further testing with an isotropic medium showed that the analytical so- 522 lution gives fairly good results even for relatively high crack density parameters, as shown 523 when comparing it with the numerical results. Potentially, time-consuming calculations 524 of complex microstructures using the finite element method can be replaced by analytical 525 solutions, as they have been proven to provide very similar results in certain conditions. 526 Furthermore, this approach would provide basic solutions for multi-scale applications, in 527 order to obtain the macroscopic response of a cracked material. Further investigations 528 would involve examining different crack geometries, given that the crack shape can influ- 529 ence the calculations of the effective properties of the material. Alternatively, different 530 analytical approaches can be explored, particularly in the case of aligned cracks exhibiting 531 a transverse isotropic behavior. This could involve the use of homogenization schemes 532 that could produce results more consistent with the numerical ones. The application of 533 the differential scheme would be relevant, given its proven validity in the case of a trans- 534 versely isotropic medium in a linear elastic framework [19]. The application of this ap- 535 proach with the differential scheme in a linear viscoelastic context is currently being in- 536 vestigated, and will be discussed in a forthcoming contribution. 537

**Funding:** Special recognition is expressed to our external partners at Andra and EDF for 539 their financial support, which was essential to the realization of this project. 540 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest in this work. 541



- 7. J. Bluthé, B. Bary, and E. Lemarchand, "Contribution of FE and FFT-based methods to the determination of the effective elastic 556 and conduction properties of composite media with flat inclusions and infinite contrast," *International Journal of Solids and* 557 *Structures*, vol. 216, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2021.01.007. 558
- 8. J. J. Marigo, C. Maurini, and K. Pham, "An overview of the modelling of fracture by gradient damage models," *Meccanica*, vol. 559 51, no. 12, pp. 3107–28, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.1007/s11012-016-0538-4. 560
- 9. Y. J. Cao, W. Q. Shen, J. F. Shao, and W. Wang, "A novel FFT-based phase field model for damage and cracking behavior of 561 heterogeneous materials," *International Journal of Plasticity*, vol. 133, p. 102786, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.ijplas.2020.102786. 562
- 10. L. Dormieux and D. Kondo, "Stress-based estimates and bounds of effective elastic properties: The case of cracked media with 563 unilateral effects," *Computational Materials Science*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 173–9, Jul. 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.commatsci.2009.02.027. 564
- 11. A. Tsitova, F. Bernachy-Barbe, B. Bary, and F. Hild, "Experimental and numerical analyses of the interaction of creep with 565 mesoscale damage in cementitious materials," *Mechanics of Materials*, vol. 184, p. 104715, Sep. 2023, doi: 566 10.1016/j.mechmat.2023.104715. 567
- 12. J. Mazars, "A description of micro- and macroscale damage of concrete structures," *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, vol. 25, no. 568 5, pp. 729–37, Jan. 1986, doi: 10.1016/0013-7944(86)90036-6. 569
- 13. L. Dormieux, D. Kondo, and F. J. Ulm, "A micromechanical analysis of damage propagation in fluid-saturated cracked media," 570 *Comptes Rendus. Mécanique*, vol. 334, no. 7, pp. 440–6, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.crme.2006.05.007. 571
- 14. P. P. Castañeda and J. R. Willis, "The effect of spatial distribution on the effective behavior of composite materials and cracked 572 media," *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 1919–51, Dec. 1995, doi: 10.1016/0022-5096(95)00058-Q. 573
- 15. A. V. Hershey, "The Elasticity of an Isotropic Aggregate of Anisotropic Cubic Crystals," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 21, no. 574 3, pp. 236–40, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1115/1.4010899. 575
- 16. Z. Hashin, "The differential scheme and its application to cracked materials," *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, vol. 576 36, no. 6, pp. 719–34, Jan. 1988, doi: 10.1016/0022-5096(88)90005-1. 577
- 17. B. Budiansky and R. J. O'connell, "Elastic moduli of a cracked solid," *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 12, no. 2, 578 pp. 81–97, Jan. 1976. 579
- 18. J. F. Shao and J. W. Rudnicki, "A microcrack-based continuous damage model for brittle geomaterials," *Mechanics of Materials*, 580 vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 607–19, Oct. 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0167-6636(00)00024-7. 581
- 19. J. Bluthé, B. Bary, and E. Lemarchand, "Closure of parallel cracks: Micromechanical estimates versus finite element 582 computations," *European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids*, vol. 81, p. 103952, May 2020. 583
- 20. J. M. Ricaud and R. Masson, "Effective properties of linear viscoelastic heterogeneous media: Internal variables formulation and 584 extension to ageing behaviours," *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 46, no. 7, pp. 1599–606, Apr. 2009, doi: 585 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2008.12.007. 586
- 21. S. Beurthey and A. Zaoui, "Structural morphology and relaxation spectra of viscoelastic heterogeneous materials," *European* 587 *Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–16, Jan. 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0997-7538(00)00157-1. 588
- 22. R. Schapery, "A Simple Collocation Method for Fitting Viscoelastic Models to Experimental Data," Feb. 1962. 589
- 23. L. P. Ferreira, P. C. F. Lopes, R. Leiderman, F. T. S. Aragão, and A. M. B. Pereira, "An image-based numerical homogenization 590 strategy for the characterization of viscoelastic composites," *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 267, p. 112142, Apr. 591 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2023.112142. 592
- 24. J. D. Eshelby, "The Determination of the Elastic Field of an Ellipsoidal Inclusion, and Related Problems," *Proceedings of the Royal* 593 *Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, vol. 241, no. 1226, pp. 376–96, 1957. 594
- 25. L. Dormieux and D. Kondo, "Approche micromécanique du couplage perméabilité–endommagement," *Comptes Rendus* 595 *Mécanique*, vol. 332, no. 2, Art. no. 2, Feb. 2004, doi: 10.1016/j.crme.2003.11.003. 596
- 26. S. T. Nguyen, "Propagation de fissures et endommagement par microfissures des matériaux viscoélastiques linéaires non 597 vieillissants," phdthesis, Université Paris-Est, 2010. [Online]. Available: https://pastel.hal.science/tel-00598511 598
- 27. S. Cuvilliez, I. Djouadi, S. Raude, and R. Fernandes, "An elastoviscoplastic constitutive model for geomaterials: Application to 599 hydromechanical modelling of claystone response to drift excavation," *Computers and Geotechnics*, vol. 85, pp. 321–40, May 2017. 600
- 28. M. Mánica, A. Gens, J. Vaunat, and D. F. Ruiz, "A time-dependent anisotropic model for argillaceous rocks. Application to an 601 underground excavation in Callovo-Oxfordian claystone," *Computers and Geotechnics*, vol. 85, pp. 341–50, May 2017. 602
- 29. C. Zhang and T. Rothfuchs, "Experimental study of the hydro-mechanical behaviour of the Callovo-Oxfordian argillite," *Applied* 603 *Clay Science*, vol. 26, no. 1, Art. no. 1, Aug. 2004, doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2003.12.025. 604
- 30. G. Armand, N. Conil, J. Talandier, and D. M. Seyedi, "Fundamental aspects of the hydromechanical behaviour of Callovo- 605 Oxfordian claystone: From experimental studies to model calibration and validation," *Computers and Geotechnics*, vol. 85, pp. 606 277–86, May 2017. 607
- 31. S. T. Nguyen, "Generalized Kelvin model for micro-cracked viscoelastic materials," *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, vol. 127, pp. 608 226–34, Sep. 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.engfracmech.2014.06.010. 609
- 32. S. T. Nguyen, M. Vu, N. Vu, N. Thi Thu Nga, A. Gasser, and A. Rekik, "Generalized Maxwell model for micro-cracked 610 viscoelastic materials," *International Journal of Damage Mechanics*, vol. 26, Apr. 2015, doi: 10.1177/1056789515608231. 611
- 33. N. G. March, D. R. Gunasegaram, and A. B. Murphy, "Evaluation of computational homogenization methods for the prediction 612 of mechanical properties of additively manufactured metal parts," *Additive Manufacturing*, vol. 64, p. 103415, Feb. 2023, doi: 613 10.1016/j.addma.2023.103415. 614
- 34. L. J. Walpole, "Elastic Behavior of Composite Materials: Theoretical Foundations," in *Advances in Applied Mechanics*, vol. 21, C. 615 S. Yih, Ed., Elsevier, 1981, pp. 169–242. doi: 10.1016/S0065-2156(08)70332-6. 616
- 35. J. Bluthe, "Modélisation couplée et simulation numérique des phénomènes de colmatage des argilites," phdthesis, Paris Est, 617 2019. [Online]. Available: http://www.theses.fr/2019PESC1027 618
- 36. T. Helfer, B. Michel, J. M. Proix, M. Salvo, J. Sercombe, and M. Casella, "Introducing the open-source mfront code generator: 619 Application to mechanical behaviours and material knowledge management within the PLEIADES fuel element modelling 620 platform," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 70, no. 5, p. 994, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.camwa.2015.06.027. 621
- 37. B. Bary, C. Bourcier, and T. Helfer, "Analytical and 3D numerical analysis of the thermoviscoelastic behavior of concrete-like 622 materials including interfaces," *Advances in Engineering Software*, vol. 112, pp. 16–30, Oct. 2017, doi: 623 10.1016/j.advengsoft.2017.06.006. 624
- 38. T. Honório, B. Bary, and F. Benboudjema, "Multiscale estimation of ageing viscoelastic properties of cement-based materials: A 625 combined analytical and numerical approach to estimate the behaviour at early age," *Cement and Concrete Research*, vol. 85, pp. 626 137–55, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.03.010. 627
- 39. L. Charpin and A. Ehrlacher, "Estimating the poroelastic properties of cracked materials," *Acta Mech*, vol. 225, no. 9, pp. 2501– 628 19, Sep. 2014, doi: 10.1007/s00707-013-1082-0. 629
- 40. K. Vasylevskyi, B. Drach, and I. Tsukrov, "On micromechanical modeling of orthotropic solids with parallel cracks," *International* 630 *Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 144–145, pp. 46–58, Jul. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2018.02.038. 631
- 41. J. H. Hannay and J. F. Nye, "Fibonacci numerical integration on a sphere," *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, vol. 37, no. 48, p. 11591, Nov. 632 2004, doi: 10.1088/0305-4470/37/48/005. 633