

#### Controlling growth rate and band-gap by combining in situ measurements and reflectance model for molecular beam epitaxy fabrication

Pierre Gadras, Léopold Boudier, Bourdon Léo, Antoine Fées, Karim Ben Saddik, Guilhem Almuneau, Alexandre Arnoult

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Pierre Gadras, Léopold Boudier, Bourdon Léo, Antoine Fées, Karim Ben Saddik, et al.. Controlling growth rate and band-gap by combining in situ measurements and reflectance model for molecular beam epitaxy fabrication. PV School 2024 -Thematic school on Physics of Solar Cells, May 2024, Les Houches, France. 2024. hal-04710119

#### HAL Id: hal-04710119 https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04710119v1

Submitted on 26 Sep 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



In-situ monitoring in molecular beam epitaxy: Advanced material growth control through spectroscopic reflectance measurement

P. Gadras, L. Boudier, L. Bourdon, A. Fées, K. Ben Saddik, G. Almuneau, A. Arnoult

Feed-back control

Optical constants



Spectrometers

UV-Vis

NIR

Substrate

## **Motivations**

Better control over epitaxy process is a key element for the realization of high efficiency solar cells, and more generally, complex multilayer semiconductor structures. However, no universal practical tool has been implemented to date.

With EpiCentre, joint lab with Riber, we're working on combining in-situ measurements in molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) to achieve advanced materials growth control in real time.

✓ Surface morphology

Substrate temperature

✓ Cells fluxes

✓ Growth rates

Compositions

In-situ tools:



• Optical flux monitoring (OFM)

- Spectroscopic reflectometry
- Curvature measurement
- Band-edge measurement

## In-situ spectroscopic reflectance

<u>Goal:</u> Deduce layer thickness from spectroscopic reflectance measurement

Problem: Reflectance response depend on multiple parameters

- Optical indices dispersion
  - Temperature
  - Composition (alloy and doping)
- Thickness of the layer

How to separate those contributions to deduce materials parameters in real time ?



Band-gap, Doping



# High temperature Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1-x</sub>As optical indices

OFM

### Theoretical model for GaAs based on literature:

- Rakić's model [2]
- critical points variation in temperature [3]





Repeat over wavelength range:
 ➢ Optical indices dispersion with wavelength

### Problems:

Substrate hypothesis: fitting results are really dependent on the optical indices model for substrate  $n_{substrate} = f(T, doping)$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Experimental measurement

• Fitting requires growth of thick layer:

 $d_{layer} > \frac{\lambda}{2n}$  (1 period)

Optical indices dispersion of GaAs computed with our model at a) 25°C and b) 540°C, compared to measurements from literature. **Experimental:** 

Using fitting model complemented with ex-situ thickness measurement (XRD), we extract optical indices at growth temperature that we use to refine our n, k model.



## Perspectives

- Combining in-situ measurements:
  - Using  $n(\lambda, T)$  database to deduce growth rate from reflectance measurement
  - With in-situ curvature measurement to extract alloy composition

Feed-back control on the process parameters for complex multilayers (VCSEL)





• Development of in-situ curvature measurement and applications:

• Auto-matching: composition control of alloy for lattice match applications

Development of InGaAsN material for solar cell for spatial applications [4,5]

GaAs substrate 2.1 eV Al<sub>0.18</sub>Ga<sub>0.33</sub>In<sub>0.49</sub>P 1.7 eV Al<sub>0.23</sub>Ga<sub>0.77</sub>As 1.4 eV GaAs 1.2 eV Ga<sub>0.84</sub>In<sub>0.16</sub>As 0.95 eV Ga<sub>0.66</sub>In<sub>0.34</sub>As

Schematic of 3 junctions solar cell with *InGaAsN* sub-cell lattice matched on GaAs, from [5]

## More informations



Example of IMM solar cell, from [10]

• Strain monitoring during the growth of metamorphic heterostructures



### **References:**

[1] W. G. Breiland et K. P. Killeen, « A virtual interface method for extracting growth rates and high temperature optical constants from thin semiconductor films using *in situ* normal incidence reflectance », Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 78, n° 11, p. 6726-6736, déc. 1995, doi: 10.1063/1.360496.

[2] A. D. Rakić et M. L. Majewski, « Modeling the optical dielectric function of GaAs and AlAs: Extension of Adachi's model », Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 80, n° 10, p. 5909-5914, nov. 1996, doi: 10.1063/1.363586.
[3] P. Lautenschlager, M. Garriga, S. Logothetidis, et M. Cardona, « Interband critical points of GaAs and their temperature dependence », Phys. Rev. B, vol. 35, n° 17, p. 9174-9189, juin 1987, doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.35.9174.
[4] M. Levillayer et al., « As-Grown InGaAsN Subcells for Multijunction Solar Cells by Molecular Beam Epitaxy », IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics, vol. 11, n° 5, p. 1271, 2021, doi: 10.1109/JPHOTOV.2021.3093048.
[5] A. Aho et al., « Composition dependent growth dynamics in molecular beam epitaxy of GaInNAs solar cells », Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, vol. 124, p. 150-158, mai 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.solmat.2014.01.044.
[6] J. F. Geisz *et al.*, « Six-junction III–V solar cells with 47.1% conversion efficiency under 143 Suns concentration », *Nat Energy*, vol. 5, n° 4, p. 326-335, avr. 2020, doi: 10.1038/s41560-020-0598-5.

### Acknowledgments: RENATECH (French Network of Technology Platforms) at LAAS-CNRS

LAAS-CNRS

/ Laboratoire d'analyse et d'architecture des systèmes du CNRS



RENATECH réseau national des grandes centrales de technologies

Laboratoire conventionné avec

Université

de Toulouse