

Unveiling of a puzzling dual ionic migration in lead- and iodide-deficient halide perovskites (d-HPs) and its impact on solar cell J–V curve hysteresis

Liam Gollino, Daming Zheng, Nicolas Mercier, Thierry Pauporté

To cite this version:

Liam Gollino, Daming Zheng, Nicolas Mercier, Thierry Pauporté. Unveiling of a puzzling dual ionic migration in lead- and iodide-deficient halide perovskites (d-HPs) and its impact on solar cell J–V curve hysteresis. Exploration, 2023, 4, 10.1002 /EXP.20220156. hal-04755331

HAL Id: hal-04755331 <https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04755331v1>

Submitted on 27 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

DOI: 10.1002/EXP.20220156

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ezploration

Unveiling of a puzzling dual ionic migration in lead- and iodide-deficient halide perovskites (d-HPs) and its impact on solar cell *J–V* **curve hysteresis**

Liam Gollino¹ | Daming Zheng¹ | Nicolas Mercier² | Thierry Pauporté¹ | ©

1 Chimie-ParisTech, PSL Université, CNRS, Institut de Recherche de Chimie-Paris (IRCP), Paris cedex 05, France

2MOLTECH-Anjou, University of Angers, Angers, France

Correspondence

Thierry Pauporté, Chimie-ParisTech, PSL Université, CRNS, Institut de Recherche de Chimie-Paris (IRCP), UMR8247, 11 rue Pierre et Marie Curie, F-75231, Paris cedex 05, France. Email: thierry.pauporte@chimieparistech.fr

Funding information Agence Nationale de la Recherche, Grant/Award Number: ANR-18-CE05-00026

Abstract

Halide perovskite solar cells are characterized by a hysteresis between current–voltage (*J*–*V)* curves recorded on the reverse and on the forward scan directions, and the suppression of this phenomenon has focused great attention. In the present work, it is shown that a special family of 3D perovskites, that are rendered lead -and iodide- deficient (d-HPs) by incorporating large organic cations, are characterized by a large hysteresis. The strategy of passivating defects by K^+ , which has been successful in reducing the hysteresis of 3D perovskite perovskite solar cells, is inefficient with the d-HPs. By glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GD-OES), the existence of the classic iodide migration in these layers is unveiled, which is efficiently blocked by potassium cation insertion. However, it is also shown that it co-exists with the migration of the large organic cations characteristics of d-HPs which are not blocked by the alkali metal ion. The migration of those large cations is intrinsically linked to the special structure of the d-HP. It is suggested that it takes place through channels, present throughout the whole perovskite layer after the substitution of PbI⁺ units by the large cations, making this phenomenon intrinsic to the original structure of d-HPs.

KEYWORDS

glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy, halide perovskite, hysteresis, iodide-deficiency, ionic migration, lead-deficiency, solar cells

INTRODUCTION

The operation under light of halide perovskite solar cells (PSCs) is characterized by a hysteresis between current– voltage (*J*–*V)* curves recorded in the reverse scan direction and in the forward scan direction. Classically, the reverse scan is located above the forward one. It results in a higher power conversion efficiency (PCE) determined on the former compared to the latter. PSC *J*–*V* hysteresis was first reported in 2014 by Unger et al.^[1] Many studies have been dedicated to this puzzling effect since then, $[2-4]$ and four main hypotheses on its origin have been put forward: the ferroelectric effect,[4–9] the unbalanced charge transport/extraction between holes and electrons, $[10-12]$ the trap-assisted charge

recombination, $^{[13,14]}$ and the ionic migration. $^{[15-17]}$ (i) The ferroelectric effect is the spontaneous electric polarization induced under the application of an electric field. In hybrid halide perovskite (PVK), it is linked to the presence of polar molecules, such as $MA⁺$ in $MAPbI₃$. Because of this effect, after the reverse scan (to measure PSCs efficiency), an excess polarization would exist in the device and lead to a decreased *J_{SC}* during the forward scan. However, the ferroelectric effect is under debate and is questioned as the source of hysteresis in PSCs. While some groups reported a relationship between ferroelectric polarization and hysteresis,^[18-20] various researchers found that the ferroelectric domain relaxation is too quick (<1 ms) compared to *J*–*V* curve measurement (up to several minutes), $^{[21,22]}$ being thus not linked to the hysteresis

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2023} The Authors. *Exploration* published by Henan University and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

Exploration of

phenomenon.^[23–25] (ii) The unbalanced charge transport/extraction is caused by the unequal diffusion lengths and mobilities of charge carriers in the PSCs. If these two parameters are not correctly paired, it will cause a charge carrier accumulation either near electron transport layer (ETL)/PVK or hole transport layer (HTL)/PVK interfaces. Ultimately, it will result in a capacitance phenomenon that possibly produces hysteresis. (iii) The trap-assisted charge recombination has its origin in the defects within the PSCs (perovskite bulk, grain boundaries, ETL/PVK or PVK/HTL interfaces) leading to trap states. During the forward scan measurement, charge carriers are trapped within the defects/trap states and are gradually released when the scan is reversed. Such a release of charge carriers may induce a photocurrent and voltage delay and thus hysteresis between reverse and forward measurements. (iv) In PSCs, ions can migrate under polarization and accumulate near the charge transport layers, causing hysteresis under operation conditions. The main explanation remains the ionic migration occurring within the perovskite layer. Many groups reported the importance of ionic migration by observing slow transient effects.^[26-28] The quasi-reversible field assisted halide migration was revealed on a lateral cell using a synchrotronbased nanoprobe X-ray fluorescence technique.^[29] It also showed a vacancy-mediated migration using DFT simulation combined with photoluminescence (PL).^[29] However, direct experimental evidence was provided later. Glow-discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GD-OES) technique allowed the follow-up of iodide migration through the perovskite film thickness under the application of an electric field.^[30,31] In MAPbI3[−]*x*Cl*^x* perovskite, Lee et al. observed iodide and chloride migrations through polarization while lead (Pb^{2+}) and organic cations (MA^{+} , FA^{+}) remained static.^[32,33] This observation was consistent with theoretical calculations of activation energy for ion migration of each species $^{[24,34-37]}$. Recently, Pauporté et al. explained more precisely the link between iodide migration within the perovskite and hysteresis by correlating iodide migration and the value of the hysteresis amplitude.^[30]

Beyond the well-studied 3D and 2D hybrid halide perovskites, an additional hybrid perovskite family, named d-HPs, has been reported.^[38-43] Lead and iodide-deficient $MAPbI₃$ and $FAPbI₃$ have been prepared using hydroxyethylammonium $HO-(CH_2)_2-NH_3$ ⁺ $(HEA^+)^{[38-41]}$ and thioethylammonium $HS-(CH_2)_2-NH_3^+$ $(TEA^+)^{[39-41]}$ organic cations and have been integrated in PSCs. d -HP thin films based on MAPbI₃ and iodoethylammonium $I=(CH₂)₂—NH₃⁺ (IEA⁺),$ on one side,^[42] and on the dication 2-hydroxypropane-1,3-diaminium $+NH₃CH₂CH(OH)CH₂NH₃⁺, (PDA²⁺)$ and MAPbI₃ and FAPbI₃, on the other side,^[43] have been reported by our groups recently. These materials retain a 3D structure while inserting rather large cations in their lattice. In ref. [38–43], both experimental and theoretical structural studies of these compounds have concluded to an original 3D structure in which $PbI⁺$ units are replaced by the large cation, leading to the general formula $(A, A')_{1+x}Pb_{1-x}I_{3-x}$ $(A = MA^+$ or

 FA^+ ; $A^{\prime} = HEA^+$, TEA^+ , IEA^+ or PDA^{2+}). They have been prepared as crystals, powders, and crystallized thin films by spin-coating.[38–43] All d-HP based PSCs exhibited *J*–*V* curves with a large hysteresis.

Similar structures have also been reported by Kanatzidis et al. based on the use of other large cations such as ethylenediammonium,[44–50] propylenediammonium, and trimethylenediammonium,[51] and this family has been called "Hollow" perovskites. These new 3D materials are promising for optoelectronic applications, not only because of their reduced lead content, but also in view of the large flexibility of their chemical composition.

This article focuses on the $MAPbI_3-HEA$ and the $FAPbI_3-$ TEA systems. We first present an investigation of the *J*–*V* curves of solar cells prepared with d-HP absorber layers. We show that they are characterized by a large hysteresis which follows the same scan rate dependence as the classical 3D perovskite solar cells (PSCs). Glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GD-OES) technique combined with time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) has been then implemented to follow the species which are mobile under an electric field. Large organic cation used to form d-HPs has been found to migrate within the layer, in an opposite direction to I−, creating a coexistence of two different migrating species.

2 | **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We tested a large number of parameters for each system and the present work implements the optimized ones. We selected an *x* = 0.13 value ($\text{MA}_{0.68} \text{HEA}_{0.45}$) $\text{Pb}_{0.87} \text{I}_{2.87}$,^[38,39] named d-MAPI-HEA) for the d-MAPI-HEA system and a $x = 0.04$ value (TEA_{0.12}FA_{0.92})Pb_{0.96}I_{2.96},^[39] named d-FAPI-TEA)for the d-FAPI-TEA one. Such x values were shown, in our previous reports^[38–41] and preliminary studies, to give the best compromise between lead and iodide reduction, efficiency, and stability while retaining the d-HP structure.

Figure 1 compares typical *J–V* curves of $FTO/c-TiO₂/m-$ TiO₂/perovskite/Spiro-OMeTAD/Au PSCs using classical 3D MAPI and FAPI PVK absorbers and two d-HPs, one containing $MA⁺$ and $HEA⁺$ cations and the other prepared with FA⁺ and TEA⁺ cations. The associated *J–V* parameters and PCE are gathered in Table S1. In spite of many optimizations implemented in our group, $[40]$ the efficiency of the two d-HPs remains far below the ones of 3D-HPs with 11.5 % and 8.3 % for d-MAPI-HEA and d-FAPI-TEA, respectively Table S1, Supporting Information). Another feature of the d-HP PSCs is that they exhibit a larger hysteresis. Hysteresis has been quantified by the hysteresis index (HI) defined as:

$$
HI (\%) = \frac{(PCE_{rev} - PCE_{for}) \times 100}{PCE_{rev}} \tag{1}
$$

with PCE_{rev} and PCE_{for} being the PCE measured on the reverse scan and forward scan, respectively. While classic 3D MAPbI₃ and FAPbI₃-based PSCs exhibit rather low HI

FIGURE 1 A, MAPbI₃, B, d-MAPI-HEA, C, FAPbI₃, and D, d-FAPI-TEA devices (measured with a scan rate of 0.14 V s⁻¹).

values of 14.5 % and 17.9 %, respectively, the d-MAPI-HEA and d-FAPI-TEA ones have larger values of 39.4% and 43.4%, respectively Table S1. The insertion of large organic cations within $MAPbI₃$ and $FAPbI₃$ lattices during the formation of the d-HPs seems thus to be detrimental for the hysteresis. We then investigated the effect of the scan rate (v) on the HI parameter. Typical curves are gathered in Figure S1. A similar shape is found for 3D HPs and d-HPs. They are characterized by a minimum at 0.02–0.04 V s^{-1} , HI quickly increases then to a maximum at 0.05–0.14 V s⁻¹ before to slowly decrease with *v*. It is related to ion migration which induces an electric field that causes itself interfacial ion accumulation and has opposite direction depending on forward and reverse scans $[52-55]$. For a slow scan rate, ions have sufficient time to accumulate at the interface. Therefore, the built-in electric field is completely screened by the ion-induced electric field, making the *J–V* characteristics that are close for reverse and forward scans and then the hysteresis is weak. For a fast scan rate, ions do not have enough time to migrate so there is less ions accumulation. It results in a non-apparent built-in electric field for reverse and forward scans and then a weak hysteresis once again. For an in-between scan rate (close to the system response scan rate), it exists a large difference in ion density between reverse and forward scans, i.e. a difference in electric field which causes a larger *HI* value than for slower or faster scan rates. In view of the high HI recorded for d-HPs solar cells, we tested the employment of KCl as a soluble additive compound in the perovskite precursor solution. K^+ has

been shown to passivate the defects in the perovskite layer through which I can migrate.^[31] It results in small hysteresis in prepared 3D perovskite-based PSCs. This beneficial effect has been shown for $Cs_{0.1}FA_{0.9}PbI_3$ and $FAMAPbI_3$ perovskites for instance in ref. [30] results are given in Tables S2 and S3 for various KCl concentrations employed for the preparation of d-MAPI-HEA. Unfortunately, KCl did not improve the performance of the device and the hysteresis remained high. In the case of d-FAPI-TEA, we found a drop in the PCE and an unchanged HI Table S4 and Figure S2. To unveil the puzzling behaviour of d-HP, further investigations were implemented by glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GD-OES).

GD-OES is a powerful analytical technique that provides elemental depth profiles of layered structures. It is of special interest for the fast analysis of thin film-based devices such as perovskite solar cells.[31,56,57] Figures S3 shows the GD-OES profile of the two reference PVK solar cells. Figure S4 and S5 show GD-OES profiles of 3D d-HP solar cells. Au of the back contact is observed at the surface (pale yellow background) and the perovskite layer is localized from Pb and I traces (pale red background). The Ti signal appears later, in the perovskite layer domain because the mesoporous $TiO₂ ETL$ layer is filled with perovskite. In the case of d-FAPI-TEA PSC, sulphur was detected in the perovskite film (Figure S5). This element, which is the signature of TEA^+ , was homogeneously distributed throughout the perovskite film. On the other hand, HEA⁺ cation (HO-(CH₂)₂-NH₃⁺) could not be distinguished

FIGURE GD-OES working principle and curve profiles. A, Schematic illustration of the architecture of devices used to perform GD-OES measurements. The plasma beam penetrating progressively into the layers is depicted by black dashed lines. The 1.5 V battery used during polarization and ionic migration study is also shown. B-D, Normalized GD-OES iodide profile curves with polarization at 0 and +1,5 V for 20 s. B, MAPbI₃, C, d-MAPI-HEA, and D, d-MAPI-HEA PSCs prepared with 5% KCl. The curves are normalized to highlight the shift of I profiles. The HI value of the PSCs are indicated in the figures in B–D.

by our GD-OES system, since nitrogen and carbon elements, are also present in $MA⁺$ of the perovskite.

The next step was to polarize the cells just before to measure the GD-OES profile in order to observe the changes induced by the electric field (Figure 2A). We first followed the I[−] distribution across the perovskite layers after a polarization at 0 and at +1.5 V for 20 s. The corresponding GD-OES profiles are shown in Figures $2B$ and $2C$ for MAPbI₃ and d-MAPI-HEA, respectively. In both cases, we observed a splitting of the I profile with clearly a part of I which migrates toward the FTO electrode. For the $MAPbI₃$, that possesses a rather small hysteresis of 15 %, just a small shift in the iodide profile toward the right, i.e. the FTO electrode, was observed. It is consistent with the negative charge of the I^- ion (Figure 2B). The shift in the iodide profile was larger for d-MAPI-HEA without KCl that possesses a higher HI value of about 39 % (Figure 2C). The correlation between the increased iodide migration and the higher HI value is in line with our previous observations on other systems.[30] The GD-OES profiles prove the existence of iodide migration in d-MAPI-HEA perovskite.

We then investigated d-MAPI-HEA KC5, prepared with 5% KCl, by GD-OES (Figure S4B). The K profile presented a homogeneous distribution throughout the entire perovskite layer thickness (Figure S4C). We have also noted a Cl signal of the same amplitude as the noise (Figure S4C). GD-OES is an extremely sensitive technique, therefore a signal in the noise signifies an amount of concerned element less than 0.1 at.%,

which, in our case, indicates the complete elimination of Cl[−] upon annealing due to low sublimation temperature of Cl[−] compounds. After addition of KCl in d-MAPI-HEA, we saw that the iodide profiles measured after a polarization at 0 V and at 1.5 V overlapped (Figure 2D). This overlapping means that the iodide migration was successfully blocked by the passivation of defects by K^+ . The effect of KCl on d-MAPI-HEA hysteresis values are summarized in Tables S2 and S3, for the best cells and average, respectively. They show that this blocking does not suppress the *J–V* curves hysteresis and that *HI* remained high in the case of d-HPs.

We carried out a similar study for the d-FAPI-TEA samples (Figure 3 and Figure S5). SEM and XRD characterizations of the investigated films are provided in Figure S6. After application of a positive bias, the I trace was right-shifted for the pristine sample. On the other hand, the two traces overlapped for the sample with KCl additive. In this case, we also investigated a negative applied bias (Figure $3E$, F). The two traces were also overlapping, demonstrating the efficient iodide blocking. However, HI remained high since it was only reduced from 54% to 32 %. The puzzling result with the d-MAPI-HEA and d-FAPI-TEA systems is that if I[−] migration is blocked by K⁺ in both cases, their HI*s* remain high. This suggests that the additional organic cation species present in the d-HPs may be free to migrate in the structure.

In the investigated devices, we removed the Spiro-OMeTAD layer, which contains sulphur in Li-TFSI additive.

FIGURE Polarization effects on the GD-OES profiles. A,C,E, Profiles of iodide and B,D,F, TEA⁺ of d-FAPI-TEA before (black trace) and after (red trace) polarization. A, B, Pristine cell profiles with a polarization of 0 V and +1.5 V. C–F, Profiles for cells prepared with 5 mol% of KCl with a polarization of 0and +1.5 V C,D, and with a polarization of 0 V and −1.5 V E,F. The HI value of the PSCs are indicated in the figures.

Consequently, $TEA⁺$ cation was the only compound with sulphur left in the device. The S signal corresponds to the $TEA⁺$ signal. By looking at the $TEA⁺$ profiles (Figure 3B), an important shift to the left is observed after the positive polarization, meaning a migration of the $TEA⁺$ cations towards the Au electrode. The migration direction, opposite to the I[−] one, is in adequacy with the positive charge of the cation. It proves the co-existence of two migrating species within d-FAPI-TEA perovskite: I⁻ and TEA⁺. To add further proof and comprehend more deeply the importance of TEA⁺ migration within d-FAPI-TEA perovskite, the same experiment was performed with KCl treated cells. We investigated a positive (Figure 3D) and a negative polarization (Figure $3E$). By applying a positive polarization to the cell, a small shift of the $TEA⁺$ profile to the left was observed, indicating a way smaller migration than the one observed for pristine cell. Therefore, the TEA^+ migration is reduced by K^+ but not suppressed. The migration towards the Au electrode is difficult to observe as for low sputtering time, the GD-OES plasma is still unstable, leading to some shape effects for the signals at such low sputtering time. We also investigated the application of a negative polarization (Figure 3F). A larger shift was then observed compared to the one measured with a positive polarization. With this additional experiment, the proof of existence of TEA^+ migration (and its co-existence with I[−] migration) within d-FAPI-TEA perovskite was shown. We have seen that the $TEA⁺$ profile is homogeneously spread throughout the perovskite thickness (Figure S_5). This proves that the PbI⁺ units substitution by TEA⁺ cations would occur identically over the entirety of the perovskite layer and not at specific place. We can then

suppose that the created network is continuous and allows the displacement of TEA⁺ which is not blocked by K^+ because, in its presence, the d-HP structure is preserved. The TEA⁺ mobility and electric field feeling would be the reason behind the important HI value in d-FAPI-TEA.

We then investigated the migration speed of I^- and TEA⁺. We repeated the previous experiment on K^+ -free cells, but now the delay between the 20 s long polarization and the GD-OES measurement of the cells was varied. This delay is named relaxation time and is noted *τ*_{relax} hereafter. We followed the evolution of the profile for I[−] and TEA⁺ ions. The relaxation time was measured precisely from the moment the pristine cell (without KCl) was disconnected from the 1.5 V battery and the moment when the GD-OES profile measurement started. We set three different *τ*_{relax} at 18, 25, and 40 s, to be able to determine the relative migration speeds of the two mobile ions within the perovskite and link them to their role in the hysteresis of the final devices. The results are displayed in Figure 4. Regarding TEA+, for a short*τ*relax of 18 s, it migrates towards the Au electrode in agreement with its positive charge. For a higher τ_{relax} of 25 s, the profile is split into two peaks indicating two parts of TEA⁺: one still localized in the upper perovskite layer part and another fraction that has moved to recover its initial position. The splitting of the TEA⁺ profile indicates a clear relaxation of these ions between 18 and 25 s after polarization and the rather rapid movement of TEA⁺. For a τ_{relax} of 40 s, the black and red curves overlap meaning that the polarization effect on TEA⁺ has been cancelled. This cation has fully relaxed and has almost returned to its initial position then. On the other hand, iodide profiles

FIGURE Relaxation effects on the GD-OES profiles. GD-OES profile of iodide A,C,E, and TEA⁺ B,D,F, for a relaxation time of 18 s A,B, 25 s C,D, and 40 s (E,F) before (black trace) and after (red traces) polarization at +1.5 V.

did not significantly change upon the first 40 s of *τ*relax. All these results indicate a rather fast relaxation of $TEA⁺$ ions, while the I[−] ions have a slow relaxation after polarization stopping.

Our study concludes of a correlation between the HI value and the ionic migration. However, it seems that the part of hysteresis caused by TEA^+ is as or more important than the part of I−. This result is very surprising in view of the small amount of $TEA⁺$ presents within the perovskite lattice (11%) of organic cations). However, it could be explained by the presence of migration channels within the perovskite lattice created by $TEA⁺$ insertion. In fact, for a various amount of substitution $(x < 0.20)$, two hypotheses can be made, either a partial filling of all channels by $PbI⁺$ units of the reference structure $(x = 0.20$ – channels only filled by organic cations-), or the full filling of some channels by $PbI⁺$ units, some channels remaining lead and iodide-free (Figure S7). We favoured this last hypothesis in ref. [39], and the results obtained here fit with this hypothesis and would explain the ease for cations to migrate through the whole perovskite layer. We indeed proved that the $TEA⁺$ is homogeneously present in the entirety of the perovskite layer thickness (Figure S5). With the presence of such channels in the structure and throughout the whole layer, it becomes rather easy for cations such as $TEA⁺$ to migrate over the whole layer thickness and then finally cause important hysteresis in operating devices. The significantly higher I[−] anion amount compared to TEA⁺ cation (d-FAPI-TEA = $(TEA_{0.12}FA_{0.92})Pb_{0.96}I_{2.96})$ has to be considered and cannot be neglected. This will undeniably create an important built-in potential in the PSCs that will attract the $TEA⁺$

in the opposite direction of their initial migration once the electric field is shut off. It will cause them to rapidly come back to their initial position or a bit moved towards the FTO electrode. Indeed, the right shift observed after relaxing 25 s (Figure 4D) (accentuated by the normalization of the profile) would be then a consequence of the slower relaxation of iodide and to the negative charge accumulated near the ETL side. Such a phenomenon can also explain the fast relaxation measured for TEA+.

TRPL measurements were also conducted using FTO/c- $TiO₂/m-TiO₂/PVK$ substrates. The obtained decay curves were fitted using a triple exponential function to get the best coefficient of determination *R*2. The decay curves are depicted in Figure 5 while the used triple exponential function and the obtained extracted fitting parameters are gathered in Table S5. Using such a fitting especially allows the extraction of the parameter named τ_{fast} , that represents the non-radiative recombination at the ETL/PVK interface which reflects the speed of charge injection in the ETL. The lower the τ_{fast} value, the better the charge extraction, therefore, lower charge accumulation and interfacial recombination are expected. d-MAPI-HEA exhibits a higher *τ*_{fast} value of 2.60 ns compared to MAPbI₃ with a τ_{fast} value of 0.72 ns (\approx 4 times higher). A similar trend is observed for d-FAPI-TEA which disclosed 5.3 times higher *τ*_{fast} than FAPbI₃ (12.29 ns versus 2.31 ns). Such an increase of *τ*fast reveals a downgrading of the ETL/PVK interface for both d-MAPI-HEA and d-FAPI-TEA, compared to their classic 3D MAPbI₃ and FAPbI₃ analogues. Such a lower quality of this interface is responsible for a poor electron extraction by $TiO₂$ that leads to a charge accumulation that

FIGURE 5 Normalized time-resolved photoluminescence of PVK and d-HP samples. A, MAPbI₃ (black trace) and d-MAPI-HEA with and without additives (blue and red traces) films on FTO/c-TiO₂ substrates measured up to 80 ns. The full lines are the fit curves. B, Same as A, measured up to 1500 ns. C, Normalized time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) of FAPbI₃ (black trace) and d-FAPI-TEA (red trace) films on FTO/c-TiO₂ substrates measured up to 80 ns. D, Same as C, measured up to 1500 ns. The full lines are the fit curves.

will exacerbate the hysteresis of the devices (ii) phenomenon in the introduction).

3 | **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, our comprehensive investigation of d-HP based PSCs has allowed us to understand the origin of the large HI measured on these devices that strongly hinders their potential and development. The large cations (HEA^{+} , TEA^{+}) inserted in 3D perovskites to form d-HPs appear to migrate effectively within the perovskite layer, as well as I[−] ions, causing the co-existence of two ionic species migrations in the PSCs in opposite directions. This dual migration complexify greatly the hysteresis cancelation compared to classic 3D perovskites. It renders the use of d-HP in solar cells problematic due to low performances. We have shown the efficient I[−] blocking by K^+ and we have hypothesized that the organic cation migration occurs through what we call migration channels that exist due to the special structure of d-HPs in which PbI⁺ units are substituted by large organic cations. The substitution was found to occur throughout the entirety of the perovskite layer thickness, allowing the cation to move across these channels in the whole PVK layer. Moreover, we have shown that they have a faster relaxation than the I[−] anions which follow other pathways. It is attributed to the substan-

tially higher number of I[−] species compared to the big organic cations that will attract them towards the other side of the cell once the external electric field is shut off. The hysteresis problem is then intrinsic to the d-HPs and to date, it seems extremely complex to get rid of it. In addition, TRPL measurements suggest a poor electron charge extraction by $TiO₂$ that will lead to charge accumulation near the interface and exacerbate the hysteresis of the devices.

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

. Materials

Methylammonium iodide (MAI, 99.99%), formamidinium iodide (FAI, 99.99%), anatase $TiO₂$ NR30-D paste, and tris(2-1H-pyrazol-1-yl)−4-tert-butylpyridine)-cobalt(III) tri(bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide) (FK209 salt) were purchased from Greatcell Solar Materials. Lead iodide (PbI₂, 99.99%) was obtained from TCI Europe N.V. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 99.9% anhydrous), *N*,*N*-dimethyl formamide (DMF, 99.9% anhydrous), 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP, 99.5% anhydrous), chlorobenzene (99.8% anhydrous), potassium chloride (KCl, 99.5%), ammonium chloride $(NH₄Cl, 99.5%)$, 4-tert-butylpyridine (tBP, 99.0%) and bis(trifluoromethane) sulfonimide lithium salt (Li-TFSI,

Exploration of

99.0%) were bought from Sigma Aldrich. Titanium(IV) isopropoxide 99.995% was purchased from Thermoscientific. SpiroOMeTAD (99.8%) was bought from Borun New Material Technology Ltd. All these chemicals were used as received without further purification. 2.2 mm thick glass-FTO substrate (Pilkington TEC 8) was purchased from Xop Glass. Hydroxyethylammonium iodide (HEAI) was prepared as described in ref. [38]. Thioethylammonium iodide was prepared as described in ref. [39].

. Layers and devices preparation

The substrates were cut, etched, and cleaned as described elsewhere.^[56] A dense and thin $TiO₂$ blocking layer was then deposited by spray pyrolysis and a $TiO₂$ mesoporous layer was deposited by spin-coating.[57,58] 3D and d-HP perovskite films were subsequently deposited.

4.2.1 | $MAPbI₃$ perovskite

A perovskite precursor solution with a concentration of 1.35 m was prepared in a N_2 filled glovebox by mixing MAI (214.6 mg) and PbI_2 (622.3 mg) in DMSO (1 mL). The bottle was tightly capped, and the solution was stirred for 2 h at 100 $^{\circ}$ C. In a N₂-filled glovebox, we placed 40 μ L of this solution on top of the substrate before starting the spin-coating routine. The program used was: 1000 rpm with an acceleration of 200 rpm s^{-1} for 10 s followed by a second spinning at 6000 rpm with an acceleration of 4000 rpm s⁻¹ for 30 s. 100 *μ*L of chlorobenzene was dripped 30 s after the start of the spinning routine at a slow speed using a manual micropipette. The films were then annealed on a hotplate at 105◦C for 1 h under a N_2 atmosphere. The final mesoporous $TiO_2/MAPbI_3$ perovskite layer average thickness was about 510 nm.

4.2.2 d-MAPI-HEA perovskites

A perovskite precursor solution with a concentration of 1 M was prepared in a N_2 filled glovebox by dissolving HEAI (59.7 mg), MAI (143 mg), PbI_2 (461 mg) in a mixture of DMF (900 μ L) and DMSO (100 μ L). For the samples with 5 mol% of KCl, we added KCl (3.7 mg) and $NH₄Cl$ (15 mg) to the solution. The solution was stirred for 4 h at 50° C in a N₂-filled glovebox. 40 *μ*L of this solution was deposited on top of the substrate before starting the spin-coating routine. A two-step spin-coating program was used: first spinning at 1000 rpm with an acceleration of 500 rpm s^{-1} for 10 s followed by a second spinning at 5000 rpm with an acceleration of 1500 rpm s^{-1} for 30 s. For the quenching, 100 μ L of chlorobenzene was dripped 15 s after the start of the spinning routine using an electronic micropipette Eppendorf Xplorer with a defined and optimized ejection speed. The films were finally annealed at 105 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ for 1 h under a N₂ atmosphere.

For the study of KCl effect on the hysteresis, the same protocol was employed, except that various amounts of KCl were added to the precursor solution and $NH₄Cl$ was removed.

For precursor solutions with 5 / 9 / 13 mol% KCl, 3.7 / 6.7 / 9.7 mg of KCl were added. The final mesoporous $TiO₂/$ d-MAPI-HEA perovskite layer average thickness was about 545 nm.

4.2.3 | FAPbI₃ perovskite

A perovskite precursor solution with a concentration of 1.2 m was prepared in a N_2 -filled glovebox by mixing FAI (206 mg) and PbI_2 (553 mg) in a solvent mixture of DMF (800 μ L) and DMSO (200 μ L). The solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. About 40 *μ*L of this solution was placed on top of the substrate before starting the spin-coating. A two-step spincoating program was used: first spinning at 1000 rpm with an acceleration of 1000 rpm s^{-1} for 10 s to homogeneously spread the solution. Then the spinning was risen to 6000 rpm with an acceleration rate of 4000 rpm s−¹ for 30 s. 100 *μ*L of chlorobenzene was dripped 20 s after the start of the spinning routine using an electronic micropipette Eppendorf Xplorer with a defined and optimized ejection speed. The films were then annealed at 153 $^{\circ}$ C for 13 min under a N₂ atmosphere.^[59] The final mesoporous $TiO₂/ FAPbI₃$ perovskite layer average thickness was about 570 nm.

4.2.4 d-FAPI-TEA perovskites

A perovskite precursor solution with a concentration of 1.1 M was prepared in a N_2 -filled glovebox by mixing TEAI (37.6 mg) , FAI (189.1 mg) and PbI₂ (507 mg) in a solvent mixture of DMF (800 *μ*L) and NMP (200 *μ*L). The solution was stirred for 4 h at 50◦C. About 40 *μ*L of this solution was deposited on top of the substrate before starting the spin-coating. A two-step spin-coating program was used: first spinning at 1000 rpm for 10 s with an acceleration of 200 rpm s⁻¹ followed by a second spinning at 6000 rpm for 20 s with an acceleration of 4000 rpm s−¹ . 100 *μ*L of chlorobenzene was dripped 15 s after the start of the spinning routine using an electronic micropipette Eppendorf Xplorer with a defined and optimized ejection speed. The films were then annealed at 125 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ for 30 min under a N₂ atmosphere.

For the study of KCl on the hysteresis, the same protocol was used except that of KCl (4.1 mg, 5 mol%) was added to the precursor solution and no NH4Cl was added. The final mesoporous TiO₂/ d-FAPI-TEA perovskite layer average thickness was about 540 nm.

For the *J–V* curve measurement devices, a Spiro-OMeTAD (HTM) layer was deposited by spin-coating as described before.^[30] A gold back electrode was evaporated under vacuum.[59] The Spiro-OMeTAD layer was not present for the GD-OES migration studies.

. Devices characterizations

Glow Discharge Optical Emission Spectroscopy (GD-OES) analyses were performed using a HORIBA Jobin Yvon GD Profiler 2 equipment. This instrument was equipped with a

Exploration | 9 of 10

RF-generator (at 13.56 MHz), a standard HORIBA Jobin Yvon glow discharge source with a cylindrical anode of 4 mm internal diameter and two optical spectrometers (a polychromator and a monochromator) for fast-optical detection. The argon (Ar) plasma was generated at an Ar gas pressure of 420 Pa and an applied power of 17 W. The solar cell was mounted on an Oring at one side of the plasma chamber and used as a cathode. For the polarization experiment, a 1.5 V battery was connected to the samples with the help of crocodile clamps right next to the GD-OES apparatus. The cell was short circuited for the 0 V experiment. After polarization, the sample was placed in the GD-OES chamber and the measurement was started. The time between the polarization end and the GD-OES measurement start was about 15 s due to the chamber pumping and a preintegration time inherent to the apparatus. The TRPL curves were measured as described before.^[60]

The solar cells *J–V* curves were recorded by a Keithley 2410 digital source meter, using a voltage scan rate ranging between 0.02 V s $^{-1}$ and 0.4 V s $^{-1}$. The solar cells were illuminated with a solar simulator (Abet Technology Sun 2000) filtered to mimic AM 1.5G conditions (100 mW cm⁻²).^[61] The illuminated surface was delimited by a black mask with an aperture diameter of 3 mm. The power density was calibrated at 100 mW cm^{-2} by the use of a reference silicon solar cell.

More experimental details are given in the Supporting Information.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The manuscript was written through contributions of Liam Gollino and Thierry Pauporté. Liam Gollino, Daming Zheng, and Thierry Pauporté carried out the laboratory experimental research on perovskite films and solar cells including all the associated characterizations. Nicolas Mercier provided the HEAI and TEAI precursors. All authors read and amended the final version of the manuscript. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Liam Gollino and Thierry Pauporté thank Dr. Polina Volovitch and Florian Raffin for the access to the GD-OES apparatus. The ANR agency is acknowledged for financial support via the MORELESS project ANR-18-CE05-00026.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data are available on request from the authors.

ORCID

Daming Zheng <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6939-5675> *Thierry Pauporté* <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5906-8075>

REFERENCES

[1] E. L. Unger, E. T. Hoke, C. D. Bailie, W. H. Nguyen, A. R. Bowring, T. Heumüller, M. G. Christoforo, M. D. McGehee, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, 7, 3690.

- [2] S. van Reenen, M. Kemerink, H. J. Snaith, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2015, 6, 3808.
- [3] Z. Xiao, Y. Yuan, Y. Shao, Q. Wang, Q. Dong, C. Bi, P. Sharma, A. Gruverman, J. Huang, *Nat. Mater.* 2015, 14, 193.
- [4] D. Kang, N. Park, Adv. Mater. 2019, 31, 1805214.
- [5] C. Quarti, E. Mosconi, F. De Angelis, *Chem. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 6557.
- [6] J. Wei, Y. Zhao, H. Li, G. Li, J. Pan, D. Xu, Q. Zhao, D. Yu, *J. Phys. Chem.* Lett. 2014, 5, 3937.
- [7] Z. Gao, X.-F. Sun, Y.-Y. Wu, Y.-Z Wu, H.-L Cai, X. S. Wu, *J. Phys. Chem.* Lett. 2019, 10, 2522.
- [8] J. N. Wilson, J. M. Frost, S. K. Wallace, A. Walsh, *APL Mater*. **2019**, 7, 010901.
- [9] D. H. Song, M. H. Jang, M. H. Lee, J. H. Heo, J. K. Park, S. J. Sung, D. H. Kim, K. H Hong, S. H. Im, *J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys.* 2016, 49, 473001.
- [10] E. Edri, S. Kirmayer, A. Henning, S. Mukhopadhyay, K. Gartsman, Y. Rosenwaks, G. Hodes, D. Cahen, *Nano Lett.* 2014, 14, 1000.
- [11] B. Chen, M. Yang, S. Priya, K. Zhu, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, 7, 905.
- [12] W. A. Laban, L. Etgar, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2013, 6, 3249.
- [13] Y. Wang, H.-Y. Wang, M. Yu, L. M. Fu, Y. Qin, J. P. Zhang, X. C. Ai, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2015, 17, 29501.
- [14] H. Jin, E. Debroye, M. Keshavarz, I. G. Scheblykin, M. B. Roeffaers, J. Hofkens, J. A. Steele, *Mater. Horiz.* **2020**, 7, 397.
- [15] P. Liu, W. Wang, S. Liu, H. Yang, Z. Shao, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2019, 9, 1803017.
- [16] M. H. Futscher, J. M. Lee, L. McGovern, L. A. Muscarella, T. Wang, M. I. Haider, A. Fakharuddin, L. Schmidt-Mende, B. Ehrler, *Mater. Horiz.* 2019, 6, 1497.
- [17] J. J. Choi, X. Yang, Z. Norman, S. Billinge, J. S. Owen, *Nano Lett.* 2014, 14, 127.
- [18] P. Nandi, D. Topwal, N.-G. Park, H. Shin, *J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys.* 2020, , 493002.
- [19] W. Ma, X. Zhang, Z. Xu, H. Guo, G. Lu, S. Meng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2020, 12, 12275.
- [20] Q. Wali, M. Aamir, A. Ullah, F. J. Iftikhar, M. E. Khan, J. Akhtar, S. Yang, Chem. Rec. 2022, 22, e202100150.
- [21] B. Chen, M. Yang, X. Zheng, C. Wu, W. Li, Y. Yan, J. Bisquert, G. Garcia-Belmonte, K. Zhu, S. Priya, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2015, 6, 4693.
- [22] A. M. A. Leguy, J. M. Frost, A. P. McMahon, V. G. Sakai,W. Kochelmann, C. Law, X. Li, F. Foglia, A. Walsh, B. C. O'Regan, J. Nelson, J. Cabral, P. R. F. Barnes, *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 7124.
- [23] R. S. Sanchez, V. Gonzalez-Pedro, J. W. Lee, N. G. Park, Y. S. Kang, I. Mora-Sero, J. Bisquert, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2014, 5, 2357.
- [24] S. Meloni, T. Moehl, W. Tress, M. Franckevičius, M. Saliba, Y. H. Lee, P. Gao, M. K. Nazeeruddin, S. M. Zakeeruddin, U. Rothlisberger, M. Graetzel, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 10334.
- [25] H. Dixit, B. Boro, S. Ghosh, M. Paul, A. Kumar, T. Singh, *Phys. Status* Solidi A 2022, 219, 2100823.
- [26] P. Calado, A. M. Telford, D. Bryant, X. Li, J. Nelson, B. C. O'Regan, P. R. Barnes, *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 13831.
- [27] D. Walter, A. Fell, Y. Wu, T. Duong, C. Barugkin, N. Wu, T. White, K. Weber, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2018, 122, 11270.
- [28] C. Li, S. Tscheuschner, F. Paulus, P. E. Hopkinson, J. Kießling, A. Köhler, Y. Vaynzof, S. Huettner, Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 2446.
- [29] Y. Luo, P. Khoram, S. Brittman, Z. Zhu, B. Lai, S. P. Ong, E. C. Garnett, D. P. Fenning, Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1703451.
- [30] D. Zheng, T. Zhu, T. Pauporté, *Sol. RRL* 2021, 5, 2100010.
- [31] D. Zheng, P. Volovitch, T. Pauporté, *Small Methods* 2022, 6, 2200633.
- [32] H. Lee, S. Gaiaschi, P. Chapon, A. Marronnier, H. Lee, J. C. Vanel, D. Tondelier, J. E. Bourée, Y. Bonnassieux, B. Geffroy, *ACS Energy Lett*. 2017, 2, 943.
- [33] H. Lee, S. Gaiaschi, P. Chapon, D. Tondelier, J. E. Bourée, Y. Bonnassieux, V. Derycke, B. Geffroy, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2019, 123, 17728.
- [34] P. Delugas, C. Caddeo, A. Filippetti, A. Mattoni, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2016, 7, 2356.
- [35] C. Eames, J. M. Frost, P. R. F. Barnes, B. O'Regan, A. Walsh, M. S. Islam, *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 7497.
- [36] J. Haruyama, K. Sodeyama, L. Han, Y. Tateyama, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 10048.

Ezploration 10 of 10

- [37] J. M. Azpiroz, E. Mosconi, J. Bisquert, F. De Angelis, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2015, 8, 2118.
- [38] A. Leblanc, N. Mercier, M. Allain, J. Dittmer, V. Fernandez, T Pauporté, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 16067.
- [39] A. Leblanc, N. Mercier, M. Allain, J. Dittmer, T. Pauporté, V. Fernandez, F. Boucher, M. Kepenekian, C. Katan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2019, , 20743.
- [40] L. Gollino, N. Mercier, T. Pauporté, *Nanomaterials* 2023, 13, 1245.
- [41] L. Gollino, T. Pauporté, *Sol. RRL* **2021**, 5, 2000616.
- [42] T. Pauporté, L. Gollino, N. Mercier, *Proc. SPIE* 2023, 12422, 124220M.
- [43] L. Gollino, A. Leblanc, T. Pauporté, N. Mercier, *ACS Omega*, 2023, 8, 23870.
- [44] W. Ke, I. Spanopoulos, Q. Tu, Hadar, X. Li, G. S. Shekhawat, V. P. Dravid, M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, 141, 8627.
- [45] A. Senocrate, I. Spanopoulos, N. Zibouche, J. Maier, M. S. Islam, M. G. Kanatzidis, *Chem. Mater.* 2021, 33, 719.
- [46] W. Ke, C. C. Stoumpos, I. Spanopoulos, L. Mao, M. Chen, M. R. Wasielewski, M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 14800.
- [47] I. Spanopoulos, W. Ke, C. C. Stoumpos, E. C. Schueller, O. Y. Kontsevoi, R. Seshadri, M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, 140, 5728.
- [48] W. Ke, C. C. Stoumpos, M. Zhu, L. Mao, I. Spanopoulos, J. Liu, O. Y. Kontsevoi, M. Chen, D. Sarma, Y. Zhang, M. Wasielewski, M. G. Kanatzidis, *Sci. Adv.* 2017, 3, e1701293.
- [49] K. Jayanthi, I. Spanopoulos, N. Zibouche, A. A. Voskanyan, E. S. Vasileiadou, M. S. Islam, A. Navrotsky, M. G. Kanatzidis, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 2022, 144, 8223.
- [50] I. Spanopoulos, I. Hadar, W. Ke, P. Guo, E. M. Mozur, E. Morgan, S. Wang, D. Zheng, S. Padgaonkar, G. N. Manjunatha Reddy, E.A. Weiss, M. C. Hersam, R. Seshadri, R. D. Schaller, M. G. Kanatzidis,*J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 2021, 143, 7069.
- [51] W. Ke, C. C. Stoumpos, I. Spanopoulos, M. Chen, M. R. Wasielewski, M. G. Kanatzidis, *ACS Energy Lett.* 2018, 3, 1470.
- [52] E. L. Unger, E. T. Hoke, C. D. Bailie, W. H. Nguyen, A. R. Bowring, T. Heumüller, M. G. Christoforo, M. D. McGehee, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, 7, 3690.
- [53] D. A. Jacobs, Y. Wu, H. Shen, C. Barugkin, F. J. Beck, T. P. White, K. Weber, K. R. Catchpole, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 19, 3094.
- [54] Y. Rong, Y. Hu, S. Ravishankar, H. Liu, X. Hou, Y. Sheng, A. Mei, Q. Wang, D. Li, M. Xu, J. Bisquert, H. Han, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2017, 10, 2383.
- [55] J.-Y. Huang, Y.-W. Yang, W.-H. Hsu, E.-W. Chang, M.-H. Chen, Y.-R. Wu, *Sci. Rep.* 2022, 12, 1.
- [56] D. Zheng, F. Raffin, P. Volovitch, T. Pauporté, *Nature Commun* 2022, 13, 6655.
- [57] D. Zheng, T. Zhu, Y. Yan, T. Pauporté, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2022, 12, 2103618.
- [58] C. Magne, S. Cassaignon, G. Lancel, T. Pauporté, *Chem Phys Chem* 2011, 12, 2461
- [59] T. Pauporté, J. Finne, A. Kahn-Harari, D. Lincot, *Surf. Coat. Technol.* 2005, 199, 213.
- [60] T. Zhu, D. Zheng, J. Liu, L. Coolen, T. Pauporté, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2020, 12, 37197.
- [61] D. Zheng, C. Schwob, Y. Prado, Z. Ouzit, L. Coolen, T. Pauporté, *Nano* Energy 2022, 94, 106934.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: L. Gollino, D. Zheng, N. Mercier, T. Pauporté, *Exploration* 2024, 4, 20220156. <https://doi.org/10.1002/EXP.20220156>