

Exploiting Metabolic Vulnerability in Glioblastoma Using a Brain-Penetrant Drug with a Safe Profile

Audrey Burban, Cloe Tessier, Mathis Pinglaut, Joris Guyon, Johanna Galvis, Benjamin Dartigues, Maxime Toujas, Mathieu Larroquette, Artee Luchman, Samuel Weiss, et al.

To cite this version:

Audrey Burban, Cloe Tessier, Mathis Pinglaut, Joris Guyon, Johanna Galvis, et al.. Exploiting Metabolic Vulnerability in Glioblastoma Using a Brain-Penetrant Drug with a Safe Profile. 2024. hal-04833189

HAL Id: hal-04833189 <https://cnrs.hal.science/hal-04833189v1>

Preprint submitted on 12 Dec 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Exploiting a metabolic vulnerability in brain tumour stem cells using a brain-penetrant drug with safe profile

Audrey Burban¹. Cloe Tessier². Mathis Pinglaut¹. Joris Guyon^{3,4}, Johanna $Galvis¹$. Benjamin Dartigues⁵, Maxime Toujas², Mathieu Larroquette¹. H Artee Luchman^{6,7}, Samuel Weiss^{6,7}, Nathalie Nicot⁸, Barbara Klink^{9,10}, Macha Nikolski 1,5 . Lucie Brisson², Thomas Mathivet², Andreas Bikfalvi^{2*}, Thomas Daubon^{1*}, Ahmad Sharanek^{2**}

¹University of Bordeaux, CNRS, IBGC, UMR5095, Bordeaux, France

2 University of Bordeaux, INSERM, UMR1312, BRIC, BoRdeaux Institute of onCology,

Bordeaux, France

³CHU of Bordeaux, Service de Pharmacologie Médicale, Bordeaux, France

⁴ University of Bordeaux, INSERM, BPH, U1219, Bordeaux, France

5 Bordeaux Bioinformatic Center CBiB, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France

6 Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

7 Arnie Charbonneau Cancer Institute and Hotchkiss Brain Institute, University of Calgary,

Calgary, Alberta, Canada

8 LuxGen Genome Center, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Laboratoire national de santé, Dudelange, Luxembourg

9 National Center of Genetics (NCG), Laboratoire National de Santé (LNS), Dudelange,

Luxembourg

¹⁰Department of Cancer Research (DoCR), Luxembourg Institute of Health (LIH),

Luxembourg 1526, Luxembourg

*Corresponding author: andreas.bikfalvi@u-bordeaux.fr; thomas.daubon@u-bordeaux.fr

**Corresponding author (Lead author): ahmad.charanek@u-bordeaux.fr

Abstract

Glioblastoma (GB) remains one of the most treatment refractory and fatal tumour in humans. GB contains a population of self-renewing stem cells, the brain tumour stem cells (BTSC) that are highly resistant to therapy and are at the origin of tumour relapse. Here, we report, for the first time, that mubritinib potently impairs stemness and growth of patient-derived BTSCs harboring different oncogenic mutations. Mechanistically, by employing bioenergetic assays and rescue experiments, we provide compelling evidence that mubritinib acts on complex I of the electron transport chain to impair BTSC stemness pathways, self-renewal and proliferation. Global gene expression profiling revealed that mubritinib alters the proliferative, neural-progenitor-like, and the cell-cycling state signatures. We employed *in vivo* pharmacokinetic assays to establish that mubritinib crosses the blood-brain barrier. Using preclinical models of patient-derived and syngeneic murine orthotopic xenografts, we demonstrated that mubritinib delays GB tumourigenesis, and expands lifespan of animals. Interestingly, its combination with radiotherapy offers survival advantage to animals. Strikingly, thorough toxicological and behavioral studies in mice revealed that mubritinib does not induce any damage to normal cells and has a well-tolerated and safe profile. Our work warrants further exploration of this drug in in-human clinical trials for better management of GB tumours.

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GB) is the most common and aggressive primary tumour in the adult brain, leading to the majority of \sim 200,000 deaths related to the central nervous system tumours worldwide each year^{1, 2}. The current standard of care for GB patients includes surgical excision of the tumour followed by ionizing radiation (IR) and chemotherapy³. Despite intense efforts at targeting various signaling pathways, putative driver mutations and angiogenesis mechanisms, survival has not substantially improved beyond 18 months following diagnosis^{4,} ⁵. The main challenges underlying therapeutic failure are rooted to its inter- and intra-tumoural heterogeneity, resistance to therapy and relapse.

Intra-tumoural heterogeneity is thought to be promoted by the brain tumourstem cells (BTSCs), a population of self-renewing, multipotent, and tumour-initiating stem cells, that undergo dynamic state transitions^{6, 7}. BTSCs invade extensively throughout the brain, develop a hyperaggressive phenotype, resist therapies, and lead to tumour recurrence⁸. Therefore, developing novel approaches to suppress BTSCs are at the forefront of efforts to fight GB.

Metabolic dysregulation is one of the most important hallmarks in GB and contributes to therapy resistance⁹⁻¹¹. For decades, tumour metabolism was believed to rely heavily on aerobic glycolysis, a phenomenon known as the Warburg effect¹². However, in line with the complex heterogeneity of GB, recent reports evidenced the existence of multiple metabolic dependencies in GB where mitochondrial respiration is highlighted as an essential alternative source of energy and is shown to play a crucial role in GB tumourigenesis $13, 14$. Recent investigations have documented that GB cells also acquire functional mitochondria via tunnelling from other cancerous counterparts^{15, 16} or non-malignant host cells in the tumour microenvironment^{17, 18}, resulting in enhanced metabolic activity and augmented tumourigenicity. Single-cell RNA expression profiling has identified a mitochondrial subtype in GB tumours that exhibits a mitochondrial signature and is dependent on mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation

(OXPHOS) 19. Initially, it has been suggested that BTSCs rely heavily on OXPHOS to address their energy demands²⁰⁻²². Later studies have reinforced this paradigm and suggested that at least subtypes of BTSCs including the slow-cycling rely on mitochondrial respiration¹⁴. This highlights that molecules that are able to affect mitochondrial respiration might be an interesting effective therapeutic strategy to eradicate BTSCs and suppress this devastating tumour.

Due to the dependency of multiple tumours on mitochondrial respiration²³⁻²⁶, targeting this metabolic pathway has emerged as an attractive anticancer strategy²⁷⁻²⁹. However, the translation of the currently available OXPHOS inhibitors into clinical practice has been hindered by poor potency, e.g., metformin and other biguanides^{28, 30, 31}, or high toxicity, e.g., IACS-010759, oligomycin, rotenone, BAY 87-2243 and ASP413226, 29, 32, 33. Here, we investigated the impact of mubritinib on BTSC fate and tumourigenesis. Mubritinib was initially described as an ERBB2 inhibitor, but recently found to inhibit OXPHOS in acute myloid leukemia model $(AML)^{34}$. We report, for the first time, that mubritinib potently impairs stemness and growth of patient-derived BTSCs harboring different oncogenic mutations through targeting mitochondrial respiration. Importantly, we show that mubritinib is a brain penetrant drug that significantly suppresses GB tumour progression and sensitized their response to IR therapy. By performing profound toxicological and behavioral studies in preclinical animal models, we show that mubritinib has a well-tolerated and safe profile and holds promise for future clinical trials.

Results

Mubritinib is a potent inhibitor of OXPHOS in BTSCs

To determine the impact of mubritinib on the overall mitochondrial respiration in BTSCs, we examined oxygen consumption rate (OCR) as a measure of electron transport chain (ETC) activity. Multiple patient-derived BTSCs were treated with different concentrations of mubritinib and subjected to the ultra-sensitive real-time Resipher system (Lucid Scientific) or

to the high-resolution respirometer, Oroboros, to measure the OCR (**Fig 1a-f**). These analyses revealed a significant and a dose-dependent reduction in basal mitochondrial respiration in mubritinib-treated BTSCs compared to vehicle control starting at very low concentrations in the range of 20 nM (**Fig. 1a-f**). Next, we evaluated the impact of mubritinib on the bioenergetics fitness of BTSCs by assessing the maximal mitochondrial and spare respiratory capacity and found a significant decrease in these respiration parameters upon mubritinib treatment, suggesting that mubritinib alters the mitochondrial reserve in BTSCs (**Fig. 1d-f**). Then, we asked if mubritinib inhibition of mitochondrial respiration in BTSCs is through impairment of complex I of the ETC as previously reported mechanism in $AML³⁴$. To address this question, we performed rescue experiments using the Saccharomyces cerevisiae rotenone-insensitive NDI1 gene (**Fig. 1g**), and found that the ectopic expression of NDI1 gene in multiple BTSCs rescues the mubritinib-induced reduction in basal (**Fig. 1h-i**), maximal and spare respiratory capacity (**Fig. 1j-k**), suggesting that mubritinib impairs complex I activity to inhibit mitochondrial respiration in BTSCs.

Mubritinib impairs BTSC transcriptional state signatures and arrests their growth

Having established that mubritinib drastically impairs OXPHOS in BTSCs, and given the possible reliance of subsets of BTSC on OXPHOS, we thus asked if inhibition of OXPHOS by mubritinib impairs BTSC growth. We employed increasing concentrations of mubritinib (0.020 to 0.5 µM) on multiple patient-derived BTSC lines that harbour different genetic mutations and a murine BTSC line (mGB2) (**Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. 1a** and **Supplementary Table 1**). We observed a significant inhibition of BTSC growth in all the BTSCs tested at very low concentrations of mubritinib in nM range, following 4 days of treatment with mubritinib (**Fig. 2a**). Cell viability analysis revealed that BTSCs have different sensitivities to mubritinib treatment, we, therefore, asked whether it is correlated with specific genetic mutations in the patient-derived BTSCs (**Supplementary Table 1**). We observed that BTSCs harboring EGFR

mutations (EGFRvIII or activating G598V mutation) are more sensitive to mubritinib, with a lower area under curve (AUC) compared to BTSCs lacking the EGFR mutations (**Fig. 2b**). However, TP53 mutation and methylguanine methyltransferase (MGMT) status did not impact mubritinib sensitivity (**Supplementary Fig. 1b-c**). Next, we investigated whether mubritinib sensitivity could be correlated with the basal OCR of BTSCs. We observed a positive correlation between the basal OCR and sensitivity to mubritinib (**Fig. 2c**), suggesting that the inhibitory effect of mubritinib on BTSC growth is due to OXPHOS impairment. To validate this mechanism, we assessed the impact of mubritinib on BTSCs growth following ectopic expression of the NDI1 gene. We found that NDI1 rescues the mubritinib-induced reduction in BTSC growth (**Fig. 2d-e**).

We next asked if the decrease in cell number in response to mubritinib is due to inhibition of proliferation or induction of cell death. Annexin V/propidium iodide (PI) flow cytometry analysis revealed that there is no significant induction of cell death or early apoptosis in any of the BTSCs tested even at concentrations up to 10 µM of mubritinib (**Fig. 2f-g and Supplementary Fig. 1d**). On the other hand, by performing 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) assay, we observed a drastic reduction in the number of EdU-incorporating BTSCs in mubritinib-treated conditions (#53, #73 and #147) (**Fig 2h-i**). These results confirm that the decrease in cell number following mubritinib treatment is not due to cell death but it is likely attributed to inhibition of proliferation. To gain further mechanistic insights on changes induced by mubritinib in BTSCs, we performed RNA-seq analysis 24 h following mubritinib treatment (**Supplementary Fig. 2a-b**). Interestingly, mubritinib resulted in downregulation of pyrimidine metabolism pathway, and alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism-related pathways (**Fig. 2j and Supplementary Fig. 2c**). These metabolic pathways are tightly associated with ETC activity^{35, 36}. In support to the proliferation inhibition observed by mubritinib, RNAseq analysis revealed a significant downregulation of the proliferative (PPR) signature described by Garofano et al., ¹⁹ and is enriched in pathways associated with cell cycle progression, DNA replication and mitosis (**Fig. 2k**). Furthermore, analysis of Neftel et al.³⁷ cell state signatures of GB, revealed that mubritinib significantly downregulates the neural-progenitor-like cell (NPC1) state and the proliferative G1/S and G2/M states (**Fig. 2k**). GOBP enrichment analysis showed downregulation of cell-cycle related pathways such as cell cycle DNA replication and cell cycle checkpoint signaling (**Fig. 2l**). To validate the role of mubritinib on cell cycle deregulation, we performed functional cell cycle profiling using flow cytometric quantitation of DNA content by PI. A significant accumulation of cells in the G1 phase and a decrease in the percentage of cells in S phase were observed in mubritinib treated-BTSCs (**Fig. 2m-o**). Altogether, these data support that mubritinib alters mitochondrial activity to impair cycling of BTSCs.

Mubritinib impairs self-renewal and results in downregulation of stemness-related pathways

It has been suggested that OXPHOS activity maintains GSCs stemness^{21, 38, 39}. By analysis of RNAseq data, we observed that mubritinib downregulates the NPC state and the PPR signature that are enriched in markers of neural stem/progenitor cells. We thus asked if the impairment of mitochondrial respiration by mubritinib impacts BTSC self-renewal. To address this question, we subjected three patient-derived BTSC lines (#53, #73, #147) and the murine BTSC line (mGB2) to extreme limiting dilution assays (ELDA) in the absence and presence of mubritinib. ELDA analysis showed that mubritinib treatment robustly attenuated self-renewal in all the BTSC lines tested (**Fig. 3a-c and Supplementary Fig. 3a**). To further assess the impact of mubritinib on BTSC stemness, we assessed the levels of the different stemness markers in multiple BTSCs by immunoblotting. Our analysis revealed a strong reduction in the protein levels of the tested stemness markers i.e. SOX2, Olig2, Nestin and active (cleaved) Notch1 in BTSCs treated with mubritinib compared to control (**Fig. 3d-f and Supplementary**

Fig. 3b). Strikingly, immunoblotting analysis revealed that mubritinib treatment has no significant impact on the levels of stemness markers in non-oncogenic NSCs (**Supplementary Fig. 3c**). Altogether, our data suggest that mubritinib impairs self-renewal and stemness-related pathways specifically in BTSCs.

Mubritinib is a brain penetrant drug that impairs tumourigenesis in patient-derived BTSC xenografts and syngeneic models

We next set out to investigate the functional relevance of these findings to GB *in vivo*. Since insufficient drug exposure in the brain is one of the major hurdles in treatment of brain tumours, we thus asked whether mubritinib could cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB). To address this question, we designed pharmacokinetic experiments to assess the bioavailability of mubritinib in the blood and the brain. After single intraperitoneal injection with mubritinib, animals were sacrificed at different time points, plasma and brain samples were collected and subjected to mubritinib quantification by liquid chromatography / tandem mass spectrometry analysis (LC/MS/MS) (**Fig. 4a**). Striking, we found that mubritinib accumulates in the brain, at a brain/blood ratio of 2 to 3.4-fold after 12 h to 36 h, respectively (**Fig. 4b**). It reaches a Cmax of 2252 ng/mL after 4 h in the plasma, and 4325 ng/mL after 24 h in the brain (**Fig. 4b**). Importantly, after 36 h mubritinib was still detectable in both plasma and brain (**Fig. 4b**). These data highlight that mubritinib bypasses the BBB, accumulates in the brain and cleared slowly from the brain. Having established that mubritinib penetrates through the BBB, we therefore, investigated whether mubritinib could suppress brain tumours. To begin with, we intracranially implanted the murine-derived BTSCs (mGB2) into the brains of immunocompetent C57BL/6N mice (**Fig. 4c**). The animals were randomized to receive either mubritinib (6 mg/kg) or vehicle control intraperitoneally (**Fig. 4c**). Tumour formation was monitored by bioluminescent imaging of luciferase signal using an *in vivo* real-time optical imaging system (Biospace) (**Fig. 4d-e**). Luciferase imaging revealed a marked decrease in brain tumour growth in mubritinibtreated mice compared to vehicle treated-mice (**Fig 4d-e**). At ~40 days following surgery, mice receiving vehicle control formed malignant brain tumours and were at endpoints as assessed by major weight loss and neurological signs. Strikingly, mubritinib delayed mGB2 xenograft tumourigenesis and significantly extended the lifespan of animals (**Fig. 4f**). To confirm the effect of mubritinib treatment on GB tumour growth and animal survival, we performed parallel experiments with a patient-derived line (BTSC147), as described for mGB2. 100 days following intracranial implantation of BTSC147 in $RAGy2C^{-/-}$ mice, the group receiving vehicle-control formed malignant brain tumours while mice treated with mubritinib exhibited a significant delay in tumour formation with a significant ~3-fold decrease of luciferase activity (**Fig. 4g-h**). Importantly, mubritinib significantly extended the lifespan of animals bearing BTSC147 tumours (**Fig. 4i**). Using another patient-derived BTSC model (BTSC73), we validated the effect of mubritinib in expanding animal lifespan (**Fig. 4j**). Having established that mubritinib impairs stemness and self-renewal in BTSCs *in vitro,* we next asked whether it alters stemness in brain tumours *in vivo*. To address this question, we orthotopically inoculated BTSC73 into 8-week RAGγ2C[−]/[−] mice. After 7 days, mice were randomized into two treatment arms: vehicle control or mubritinib (6 mg/kg). After 30 days of surgeries, all mice were sacrificed, brains were sectioned and stained with Olig2 and SOX2. Strikingly, we observed a strong reduction in the number of Olig2 and SOX2 positive cells in mubritinib treated-mice (**Fig. 4k-l**). Altogether, these data demonstrate that mubritinib crosses the BBB, effectively delays GB growth, decreased GB stemness and conferred a survival advantage.

Targeting OXPHOS by mubritinib sensitizes GB tumours to IR

Recent proteomic analysis of GB tumours revealed an enrichment of OXPHOS-associated proteins in recurrent compared to primary GB tumours, suggesting that OXPHOS could be involved in GB treatment resistance⁴⁰. Furthermore, our RNAseq analysis showed that mubritinib downregulates homologous recombination related-pathway, a major pathway for

DNA repair that is involved in BTSC radioresistance (**Fig. 5a**) 41, 42. We thus asked whether BTSCs upregulate the mitochondrial respiration in response to IR-induced insults and DNA damage, and if inhibition of OXPHOS by mubritinib could improve GB response to IR. To address these questions, we first exposed multiple patient-derived BTSCs to either 2 Gy or 4 Gy of IR followed by OCR measurement via Resipher. We observed an increase in OCR in irradiated BTSCs compared to control cells (**Fig. 5b-c**). Next, we transplanted luciferase expressing-BTSC73 into the brain of immunodeficient mice. Mice were randomized to receive one of the four treatment arms: vehicle control, mubritinib (6 mg/kg), irradiation (2 cycles of 2 Gy) or a combination of mubritinib and IR (**Fig. 5d**). Luciferase imaging, at 21 days following surgeries, showed a delay in tumour formation in the group receiving either mubritinib or IR monotherapy, with a ~5-fold decrease in luciferase activity compared to control group. Interestingly, a much higher reduction $(\sim 14$ -fold) in luciferase activity was observed in mice receiving a combinational therapy of mubritinib and IR (**Fig. 5e-f**). The tumour volume was estimated by H&E staining 30 days after surgery. As observed by luciferase imaging, while IR alone and mubritinib alone decreased tumour volume compared to control, the combination of IR and mubritinib resulted in smaller tumours (**Fig. 5g-h**). Importantly, KM survival plots revealed that animals receiving the combination of IR and mubritinib had survival advantage over the animals receiving either IR or mubritinib monotherapy (**Fig. 5i-j**). Indeed, $a \sim 50\%$ extension of the survival rate was observed in mice receiving IR and mubritinib compared to the control group (**Fig. 5i-j**). To further validate these results, we implanted a second patientderived BTSCs (#53) into the brains of $RAGy2C^{-/-}$ mice. The medians of survival of mice were 57, 70 and 67 days in vehicle control, mubritinib and irradiated mice respectively. Interestingly, the median survival was extended to 84 days in mice receiving the combination of IR and mubritinib (**Fig. 5k-l**). Overall, these results suggest that combinational therapy of mubritinib and IR represents a promising strategy to treat GB tumours.

Mubritinib doesn't induce damage to healthy cells and has a well-tolerated profile

Having established that mubritinib impairs BTSC growth and tumourigenesis *in vitro* and *in vivo*, raised the questions of the impact of mubritinib on non-oncogenic normal cells. To address this question, we employed wild type human normal neural progenitor cells (NPCs), human hepatocytes (HepaSH) and murine post-mitotic primary mouse neurons (**Fig. 6a**). First, we assessed cell proliferation and viability in hNPCs, *in vitro*, following mubritinib treatment by using Calcein-AM/DAPI double staining to stain live and dead cells respectively (**Fig. 6b**). Interestingly, at concentrations where mubritinib causes inhibition of proliferation in BTSCs, no difference in number of live hNPCs was observed (**Fig. 6b-c**). Furthermore, by assessing the number of dead cells (DAPI-positive), we observed that mubritinib did not induce cell death in hNPCs (**Fig. 6b and d**). Next, we assessed the toxicity of mubritinib on hepatocytes and neurons. Phase-contrast examinations showed no morphological alterations in mubritinibtreated human hepatocytes compared to the hepatotoxic drug Bosentan (**Fig. 6e**). In addition, the MTT cell viability assays, revealed no significant decline in the viability of HepaSH (**Fig. 6f**) or mouse neurons (**Fig. 6g**) following mubritinib treatment.

Induction of toxicity in normal brain cells have been reported with many chemotherapeutic agents. For instance, the anti-GB drug carmustine, has been shown to impact normal brain cells, and increase the cell death in the lateral subventricular zone (SVZ) where the NPCs reside⁴³. We first assessed whether mubritinib treatment alters the healthy NPCs *in vivo*. Strikingly, we found that mubritinib had no apparent impact on the percentage of SOX2 positive cells NPCs (**Fig. 6h**). Furthermore, we performed immunostaining of cleaved caspase-3 (CC3) on brains from mubritinib-treated mice, and found no induction in apoptosis compared to vehicle-treated mice (**Fig. 6i)**. Altogether, the *in vitro* and *in vivo* data show that mubritinib impairs BTSCs without causing significant damages to healthy cells.

Next, we assessed the tolerability of mubritinib *in vivo*. We evaluated the systemic toxicity of mubritinib after 3 months (3 times/week) of repeated mubritinib treatment (6 mg/kg), by performing blood biochemical analysis and behavioral studies on mubritinib-treated mice (**Fig. 6j**). Assessment of body weight showed that mubritinib was well-tolerated at the tested dose without causing any loss of mice body weight (**Fig. 6k**). Through the blood biochemical analysis, we looked at liver function by measuring alanine amino transferase (ALT), aspartate amino transferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), albumin, total proteins and glucose levels (**Fig. 6l**); kidneys function by measuring creatinin, urea and uric acid (**Fig. 6m**); and pancreas function by measuring amylase (**Fig. 6n**). Strikingly, no significant changes in the levels of these markers have been observed in mubritinib-treated mice compared to vehicle control. In addition, no difference in LDH level has been observed, indicating no induction of any tissue damage following mubritinib treatment (**Fig. 6o**). Lactic acidosis is a frequently reported side effect and a major concern that leads to the termination of many clinical trials of OXPHOS inhibitors²⁹, we thus measured the level of lactic acid. Strikingly, mubritinib did not induce increase in the levels of lactic acid (**Fig. 6p**). Next, we performed a non-voluntary preclinical assay of fatigue-like behavior, the treadmill fatigue test, on mice following 3 months of repeated mubritinib treatment (**Fig. 6i**). After 2 sessions of training, the fatigue-test was performed. Interestingly, we did not detect any fatigue-like behavior in mubritinib-treated mice compared to control. In fact, the distances ran by the 2 groups of mice were the same (**Fig. 6q**). Taken together, these data indicated that at anti-GB effective doses, mubritinib has a welltolerated and safe profile. It could represent a promising safe therapeutic agent for the treatment of GB tumours.

Discussion

GB is the most aggressive and deadly brain tumour with dismal prognosis despite multimodal therapies⁴⁴. GB tumours exhibit remarkable cellular heterogeneity, with a population of BTSCs at the apex of the differentiation hierarchy⁴⁵. BTSCs display potent tumour-initiating capacity, and promote malignant behaviors associated with disease progression and relapse⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷. Therefore, targeting BTSCs is crucial for improving GB treatment and overcoming therapeutic resistance. In the present work, we established, for the first time, that mubritinib is a promising drug to target cancer stem cells in GB. We employed murine-derived and multiple patientderived BTSCs with different genetic background, and found that mubritinib suppresses BTSC stemness pathways, self-renewal and proliferation. Mechanistically, by employing mitochondria respiration assays and rescue experiments using the ectopic expression of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae NDI1 gene, we provide compelling evidence that mubritinib acts on complex I of the ETC to impair mitochondrial respiration and BTSC growth. By performing pharmacokinetics, we established that mubritinib is a brain-penetrant drug. Using patientderived BTSC orthotopic xenografts and syngeneic murine GB preclinical models, we have established that mubritinib delays GB tumourigenesis, sensitizes GB response to IR and expands lifespan of GB tumour-bearing animals. Importantly, biochemical, toxicological and behavioral studies showed that mubritinib exhibits a safe profile. Altogether, these data unravel that mubritinib is a potent drug to impair BTSCs and suppress GB tumourigenesis as a standalone therapy or in combination with IR.

Mubritinib, also known as TAK165, is a small molecule that was developed in the early 2000s and has been initially reported as a specific ERBB2 inhibitor⁴⁸. However, later studies demonstrated that gastric cancer cells that exhibit dramatic reduction in expression of various tyrosine kinase receptors (TKR), including HER2/ERBB2, are resistant to TKR inhibitors but sensitive to mubritinib. This suggests that mubritinib acts via alternative mechanisms that do not involve inhibition of HER2/ERBB2⁴⁹. In a more recent work, Baccelli and colleagues have demonstrated that mubritinib selectively inhibits growth of a subset of AML cells that rely on OXPHOS by inhibiting complex I of the $ETC³⁴$.

Prior to our study, the impact of mubritinib on BTSCs and GB tumourigenesis was not investigated. Here, we provide solid evidence that mubritinib alters BTSC growth, stemness and tumourigenesis through impairment of complex I and subsequently inhibition of OXPHOS. Interestingly, we tested the effect of mubritinib on a panel of patient-derived BTSCs and we found a higher sensitivity of EGFR-mutated BTSCs. EGFR alterations have been observed in nearly 41-57% of GB⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴ and have been reported to induce an aggressive feature of GB and worse prognosis in certain studies⁵³. Though EGFR mutated BTSCs were more sensitive, the EGFR, wild type BTSCs responded to mubritinib treatment suggesting that mubritinib could be used on a wide range of GB patients. An important feature of BTSCs is their metabolic plasticity, which allows them to shift between different metabolic pathways to survive nutrient deprivation or metabolic stress^{22, 55-58}. However, certain genetic mutations impair this metabolic fitness of BTSCs and renders them more sensitive to OXPHOS inhibitors. For instance, homozygous deletion of the key glycolytic enzyme enolase 1 that occurs in 3.3% of GB patients, showed reduced capacity for compensatory glycolysis and increased sensitivity to the complex I inhibitor IACS-01075959. The oncogenic gene fusions of FGFR3-TACC3 (F3-T3) was described in 3% of human GB cases⁶⁰ and is shown to activate OXPHOS and mitochondrial biogenesis and confers sensitivity to inhibitors of oxidative metabolism⁶¹. This suggests that mubritinib could be more effective on GBs harboring these genetic alterations.

Radioresistance has emerged as one of the major obstacles in GB therapy. BTSCs are central in conferring resistance to therapy and have been shown to be more radio-resistant than their non-stem cell counterparts in $GB^{45, 46, 62}$. We previously showed that the increase in cellular respiration and spare respiratory capacity in BTSCs confer resistance to IR therapy³⁹. In line with these studies, we show that BTSCs upregulate OXPHOS in response to IR, suggesting that BTSCs employ mitochondrial respiration to resist the IR insult. In radiology, targeting mitochondrial respiration is an emerging strategy to overcome radioresistance in the tumour

hypoxic regions. OXPHOS inhibition results in a reduction in oxygen consumption in the welloxygenated tumour, and therefore, an increase oxygen available for diffusion into hypoxic regions to improve radiation response^{63, 64}. Repurposing metformin as cancer treatment is already being tested in a range of clinical trials for a variety of cancers, and it's combination with TMZ and IR is being investigated as a therapeutic avenue for GB patients⁶⁵. However, it did not confer a clinical benefit in patients with recurrent or refractory GB^{66} . Furthermore, combination of metformin with chemoradiation did not improve overall or progression-free survival of patients with Non–Small Cell Lung Cancer⁶⁷. Given the low potency of metformin as an OXPHOS inhibitor, the outcome of these clinical trials is not surprising. Our findings support that the use of mubritinib in combination with IR may provide a promising new avenue for better treatment of GB patients.

Drugs targeting mitochondria are emerging as promising antitumour therapeutics in preclinical models. However, in the last decades clinical development of agents targeting metabolism has proven challenging. A few clinical trials on OXPHOS inhibitors such as BAY87-2243, ASP4132 and IACS-010759, has been terminated due to dose-limiting toxicities and narrow therapeutic window of these agents^{26, 28, 29}. Other clinical trials have failed due to the lack of anti-tumour effects68. In a very recent clinical trial, IACS-010759, was tested in patients with advanced solid tumours and AML29. Patients who were administered the drug developed unacceptable toxicities, including elevated blood lactate and peripheral neuropathy resulting in termination of the clinical trial²⁹. At lower doses, this drug was not effective. Importantly, Yap et al., showed that IACS-010759 induces behavioral and physiological changes indicative of peripheral neuropathy in mouse models $2⁹$, suggesting that preclinical models could predict these drug-induced injuries. In light of the outcome of these clinical trials, efforts should be directed towards thorough early evaluation of the safety of anticancer agents in preclinical context. Strikingly, our data showed that chronic mubritinib administration does not induce lactic

acidosis or any significant changes in the biochemical serum assays. Furthermore, chronic mubritinib treatment did not induce behavioral changes or fatigue in the animals. Interestingly, the important accumulation of mubritinib that we observed in the brain suggests its efficacy at low doses, and therefore minimized side effects. Therefore, our data with mubritinib purport the recent bleak view of targeting mitochondrial respiration as an anti-cancer strategy, and encourages to further thoroughly evaluate the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and safety profiles of this drug.

In summary, our study identifies mubritinib as brain-penetrant drug with a safe profile and strong anti-GB activity in preclinical models. Given that mubritinib is already completed a phase I clinical trial in the context of ERBB2+ solid tumours, our work encourages and warrants future investigations for clinical translation and repurposing mubritinib for better management of GB tumours.

Materials and methods

Animals

All animal experiments were conducted under the institutional guidelines and were approved by the local ethics committee (agreement number: C335222). Housing room temperature and relative humidity were adjusted to 22.0 ± 2.0 °C and 55.0 ± 10.0 %, respectively. The light/dark cycle was adjusted to 12 h lights-on and 12 h lights-off. Autoclaved water and irradiated food pellets were given ad libitum.

Patient-derived and murine BTSC cultures

The human BTSCs 12, 25, 50, 53, 73, and 147 were generated from patient GB tumours by Dr. Samuel Weiss team at the University of Calgary^{69, 70}. The P3 line was derived from a GB tumour as previously described⁷¹. Cells were characterized for major mutations in GB including EGFRvIII, p53, PTEN, and IDH1 status (**Supplementary Table 1**). The murine GB cells,

mGB2, were kindly provided by Dr. Peter Angel⁷². Prior to use, BTSCs were recovered from cryopreservation in 10% DMSO and cultured in low attachment flasks as spheres in DMEM/F12 media (Fisher Scientific, #11530566) supplemented with 2 mM GlutaMAX (ThermoFischer, $\#35050061$), 1X B-27 supplement (ThermoFischer, $\#12587010$), 2 μ g/ml heparin (Sigma-Aldrich, #H3149), 20 ng/ml EGF (Peprotech, #AF-100-15), 20 ng/ml bFGF (Peprotech, #AF-100-18B), 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Fisher Scientific, #11548876). All cell lines were tested negative for mycoplasma. All cells were kept in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C.

Mouse NSC cultures

Primary adult mouse NSC were isolated from the subventricular zone (SVZ) of 6 week-old C57BL/6N mouse brain, expanded *in vitro* and grown as neurospheres in low attachment flasks in DMEM/Ham's F-12 medium supplemented with 1X B-27 (ThermoFischer, #12587010), 20 ng/mL mEGF (Cell Signaling, #5331SC) and 20 ng/mL mFGF (Abbiotec, #600182)73.

ReNcell CX human neural progenitor cell cultures

The human NPC, ReNcell CX line, derived from the cortical region of human fetal brain tissue (Millipore, $\#SCC007$) were cultured as previously described⁷⁴. The ReNcell CX cells were cultured on matrigel-coated plates or flasks and maintained in DMEM/F12 media (Fisher Scientific, #11530566) supplemented with 2 mM GlutaMAX (ThermoFischer, #35050061), 1X B-27 supplement (ThermoFischer, #12587010), 2 µg/ml heparin (Sigma-Aldrich, #H3149), 20 ng/ml EGF (Peprotech, #AF-100-15), 20 ng/ml bFGF (Peprotech, #AF-100-18B) and 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Fisher Scientific, #11548876).

Human HepaSH hepatocytes cultures

Human HepaSH hepatocytes were prepared at Central Institute for Experimental Animals (Kawasaki, Japan), as previously described75. HepaSH cells derived from one human donor were used in this study. The vial content was transferred into a 50 ml tube containing 40 ml PBS and centrifuged at 200 x *g* for 2 min at 4°C. Cell pellet was then resuspended in recovery medium (Biopredic International, #MIL130) and centrifuged at 200 x *g* for 2 min at 4℃. The cell pellet was then resuspended in seeding medium (Biopredic International, #MIL221) composed of: Williams E GlutaMAXTM supplemented with 100 UI/mL penicillin, 100 μ g/mL streptomycin, 4 µg/mL bovine insulin and 10 % fetal calf serum. HepaSH cells were then plated on collagen I-coated plates. After 24 h, medium was discarded, and HepaSH cells were maintained in culture medium (Biopredic International, #MIL222) composed of: Williams E GlutaMAX™, supplemented with 100 UI/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 4 µg/mL bovine insulin and 50 µM hydrocortisone. Media were renewed every 2 days. HepaSH cells, media and supplements were kindly provided by Biopredic International.

Primary mouse cortical neuron cell cultures

Mouse cortical neurons were prepared from E17.5 mouse embryos. Briefly, brains were isolated from embryos under a dissecting microscope, the midbrain and thalamic tissues were gently removed to leave an intact hemisphere containing the cortex and hippocampus. The cortex was then dissected and dissociated using a papain solution (0.5mM of EDTA, 1mM L-Cysteine and 0.4mg/mL of papain (7 units of papain/mL) (Sigma, #76216)) at 37°C in a water bath for 25 min. Neurons purification was performed using the Neuron Isolation kit (Miltenyi, #130-115- 389) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After purification, neurons were plated at a density of $0.8x10^6$ cells per well of 6-well plates coated with 50 ug/mL Poly-D-Lysine (Thermofisher, #A38904-01) and 1ug/mL Laminin (Sigma, #L2020) in 2mL of Neurobasal media (Fisher Scientific, #11570556) supplemented with 1X B-27 supplement (ThermoFischer, #12587010), 100 U/ml penicillin-streptomycin (Fisher Scientific, #11548876), 2 mM GlutaMAX (ThermoFischer, #35050061). Half of the media was replaced by a fresh media every 2-3 days.

Ectopic expression of NDI1

The Lenti6.3/V5 NDI1 and pLenti6.3/V5 plasmids were kindly provided by Dr. Joseph Marszalek⁵⁹. HEK293T cells were transfected with 75 ug lentiviral plasmid (pLenti6.3/V5) NDI1 or pLenti6.3/V5), 50 µg PAX2 packaging plasmid and 20 µg of SD11 viral envelope plasmid to produce the viruses. 1×10^5 BTSCs were transduced in a 6-well plate with the virus. After overnight incubation, the virus was washed off and BTSCs were re-plated in BTSC media. Transduced cells were selected through growth in 2.5 µg/ml blasticidin.

BTSC treatment with mubritinib

BTSCs were dissociated to single cell suspension using Accutase solution (Fisher Scientific, #15323609), plated in low-attachment plates or flasks, and treated with mubritinib (Medchemexpress, #HY-13501) at the time of plating at the indicated concentration, or with vehicle (DMSO 0.1%) for the indicated time points.

Resipher real-time bioenergetic analysis

To measure oxygen consumption rate (OCR) as an indication of mitochondrial metabolic activity, a Resipher real-time cell analyzer (Lucid Scientific) was used. BTSCs were chemically dissociated using Accutase prior to plating. Cells were plated at a concentration of 1×10^5 cells/well in Nunc 96-well plates (Thermo Fischer Scientific, #10212811) in BTSC media. The plates were then incubated at 37 \degree C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ incubator for 1 h. Following incubation, BTSCs were placed on the Resipher system for real-time monitoring of the OCR in absence or presence of 3.0 μ M carbonyl cyanide-4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenylhydrazone (FCCP) to measure the basal and the maximal respiration, respectively. For mubritinib-treated conditions, following plating and the 1 h-incubation, mubritinib was added in absence or presence of 3.0 µM FCCP, and subjected to OCR monitoring.

High-resolution respirometry

Cellular oxygen uptake was quantified by high-resolution respirometry using the Oroboros® Oxygraph-2K (Oroboros Instruments, Innsbruck, Austria). Briefly, 24 h following treatment with mubritinib or vehicle control, BTSCs were chemically dissociated using Accutase, counted and suspended in BTSC medium at a concentration of 2 x 106 cells/ml. 500 ul of the cell suspension were transferred into the Oroboros chamber to allow measurement of the O2 concentration. The cell suspensions were continuously stirred at 750 rpm. Cellular respiration was quantified in terms of oxygen flux based on the rate of change of the O2 concentration in the chambers in absence or presence of FCCP to calculate the maximal respiration.

MTT assay

The MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) assay was performed to evaluate cytotoxicity of mubritini b^{76} . Briefly, the human hepatocytes and mouse neurons were seeded in 96-well plates and treated with various concentrations of mubritinib, in triplicates, for 4 days. After removing culture medium, 100 µl of serum-free medium containing 0.5 mg/ml MTT (Sigma, $\#M5655$) was added to each well and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. The water-insoluble formazan was dissolved in 100 µl dimethyl sulfoxide, and absorbance was measured at 570 nm.

Calcein AM cell viability assay

NPCs were plated in 24 well matrigel coated-plates at a density of 4 x 10⁴ cells/well and treated with mubritinib. 4 days after treatment, the number of live cells was estimated using Calcein-AM (ThermoFisher Scientific, #C1430) / DAPI double staining. The cells were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min with 0.5 µM of Calcein-AM and 0.1 ug/mL of DAPI. Images were acquired using a 4X objective on an Eclipe Ti Nikon microscope coupled with NIS analysis software, and a Hamamatsu Digital CCD C10600-10B camera. A minimum of 4 random fields were imaged and counted for each biological replicate. The number of live and dead cells was quantified with Fiji.

Live cell counting

BTSCs were dissociated to single cell suspension using Accutase. Cells were seeded at a density of 5 x104 cells/well, in low-attachment 6-well plates and treated with mubritinib. Cell number was evaluated 4 days post-plating by the PI cell viability flow cytometry assay using an Accuri C6 flow cytometer.

Cell death

Cell death was determined using TACS annexin V-FITC apoptosis detection kit (R&D systems, #4830-01-K) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 5 x10⁴ cells/well, were seeded in low-attachment 6-well plates and treated with mubritinib or vehicle control. After 4 days of treatment, co-staining with TACS annexin V-FITC and PI was performed on single cell suspension following the manufacturer's instructions. Single cell suspension was resuspended in 50 µL of the Annexin V incubation reagent containing 0.5 µL of Annexin V and 5 µL of PI. The fluorescence was analyzed by flow cytometry (Accuri C6 flow cytometer). Data were analyzed using the FlowJo software. Forward and side scatter density plots were used to exclude debris. Doublets were excluded by gating the events in forward scatter height versus forward scatter area. Density plot showing annexin V (X-axis) and PI (Y-axis) staining were used. The quadrant gating was adjusted according to the negative control (unstained cells). Apoptotic cells positive for Annexin V can be seen in the lower right quadrant and dead cells positive for both Annexin and PI in the upper right quadrant. Healthy cells negative for both stains are visualized in the lower left quadrant.

Ionizing radiation

For measurement of OCR following IR, BTSCs were dissociated to single cell suspension using Accutase. BTSCs were plated in low-attachment cell culture plates or flasks, and then irradiated with 2 or 4 Gy using the Microbeam Technology Irradiation System (XenX Xstrahl®). OCR was assessed 16 h post-irradiation using Resipher Real-time Cell Analyzer (Lucid Scientific).

Immunoblotting and antibodies

Total proteins were harvested in RIPA lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #A32959). Protein concentration was determined by Bradford assay (Sigma, #B6916), after which samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked in 5% bovine serum albumin in TBST, before sequential probing with primary antibodies and IR-Dye 680 (LI-COR Biosciences, #926-68020) or IR-Dye 800 (LI-COR Biosciences, #926-32212) labeled secondary antibodies. Target proteins were visualized using an Odyssey infra-red scanner (LI-COR). The densitometry of proteins was quantified using Image Studio Lite Software and normalized to tubulin or vinculin. The following antibodies were used: Nestin (1:100, Santa Cruz, #sc-23927), Notch1 (1:1000, Cell Signaling, #CST3608), Olig2 (1:2000, Abcam, #ab109186), SOX2 (1:200, Santa Cruz, #sc-365823), α-tubulin (1:10000, Sigma, #T5168) and Vinculin (1:5000, Invitrogen, #MA511690).

Extreme limiting dilution assay

Decreasing numbers of BTSCs per well (dose: 25, 12, 6, 3 and 1) were plated in a low attachment 96-well plate, with a minimum of 12 wells/dose, and treated with mubritinib or vehicle control. Seven days after plating, the presence of spheres in each well was recorded and analysis was performed using software available at http://bioinf.wehi.edu.au/software/elda/77 to define the percentage of stem cell frequency.

EdU proliferation assay

BTSCs were dissociated into single cell suspension using Accutase, counted and plated at a density of 5×10^4 cells per well in low-attachment 6-well plate. At the time of plating, BTSCs were treated with mubritinib or vehicle control for 4 days. Then, EdU was added to the culture for 1 h. BTSCs were dissociated into single cell suspension and 3×10^4 cells were plated on 48well plates coated with poly-D-Lysine (Thermofisher, #A38904-01). When cells adhered to the plate, they were washed with D-PBS, fixed, permeabilized and stained using the Click-iT EdU cell proliferation kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #C10340) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Images were acquired using a 10X objective on an Eclipe Ti Nikon microscope coupled with NIS analysis software, and a Hamamatsu Digital CCD C10600-10B camera. The proportion of cells that incorporated EdU was determined as the ratio of EdU positive cells to the total number of cells.

Cell cycle analysis

BTSCs were dissociated into single cell suspension using Accutase and 3×10^5 cells were plated in T25 flasks and treated with mubritinib. After 24 h, cells were dissociated to single cell suspension, harvested and fixed with 70% of ethanol overnight at 4°C. The cells were washed with 1X PBS and stained with FxCycle PI/RNase staining solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #F10797)78. The fluorescence was analyzed by flow cytometry (Accuri C6 flow cytometer). The fractions of G0/G1, S and G2 phase cells were determined using the Watson pragmatic algorithm of FlowJo software.

Whole-transcriptome analyses (RNA-seq)

BTSC147 was treated with 500 nM of mubritinib for 24 h. Total RNA was extracted with the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. A DNase treatment of RNA has been added before RNA Cleanup using the RNase-Free DNase set from Qiagen following manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA quality has been checked using a Fragment Analyzer Systems (Agilent Technologies), RQN were between 8.3 and 10. 100ng of total RNA were converted into Stranded mRNA Library for mRNA-sequencing by using the Illumina Stranded mRNA Ligation kit according to Illumina's instructions. Briefly, poly(A) tailed RNA are captured by Oligo (dT) beads. The purified $poly(A)$ RNA are fragmented and reverse transcribed into strand complementary cDNA. Then 3´end are adenylated for preparing fragment for the dual indexing adapter ligation. Purified products are selectively amplified for

library generation. All libraries have been quantified using a Qubit HS dsDNA kit (Thermofisher) and the library quality check has been performed using a High Sensitivity NGS Fragment Analysis Kit on a Fragment Analyzer System (Agilent Technologies). Indexed libraries were normalized and pooled to be sequenced on an Illumina Novaseq 6000 sequencer according to manufacturer's instructions with targeted conditions of 2 x 75bp and 25M reads/sample.

Quantification of mubritinib in the plasma and brain of mice

Following intraperitoneal injection of mice with mubritinib, brains and plasma were collected at different time points. 100 μ L of plasma or 100 mg of brain tissue were ground with 100 μ L of distilled water using a homogenizer. Then, 600 μ L of acetonitrile with $[^{13}C, {}^{2}H_{3}]$ -sorafenib (Darmstadt, Germany) were added and the samples were minced again. Samples were then centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 5 min. Supernatants were collected and subjected to liquid chromatography / tandem mass spectrometry analysis. Liquid chromatography was performed on an Acquity UPLC system (Waters, USA) linked to the MassLynx software. An Acquity Cortecs C18+ 1.6 μ m 2.1 × 50 mm column (Waters, USA) was used. The mobile Phase A was distilled water with acetic acid 1% and formic acid 0.1%; the mobile phase B was acetonitrile. The following gradient was applied: starting with 80% A/ 20% B mobile phases. B was increased to 40% from 0.2 to 0.4 min, to 60% from 0.4 to 0.6 min, to 80% from 0.6 to 0.8 min and to 90% from 0.8 to 1 min, maintained at 90% during 0.75 min, and then reduced to 20% from 2.1 min until the end of the analysis. The flow rate was 0.3 ml/min.

An Acquity TQD detector (Waters, Milford, USA) operated with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source in positive ion mode, using nitrogen as the nebulization and desolvation gas. The ESI ion source was operated at 150°C, with the desolvation temperature at 440°C, cone gas flow adjusted at 50 L/h, desolvation flow at 1000 L/h, and capillary voltage set up at 3.0 kV.

MS collision was carried out by argon at 3×10^{-3} mBar. Mass spectrometer settings are shown in **Supplementary Table 2**.

Stereotaxic injections and bioluminescent imaging

For intracranial injections, 3 x 10⁵ patient-derived BTSCs were stereotactically implanted into the right striata (2 mm lateral to the bregma, 1 mm ventral and 3 mm from the pial surface) of 8-week-old male $RAGy2C^{-/-}$ mice. For the syngeneic model, 5 x 10⁵ luciferase-expressing murine mGB2 cells were into the right striata (2 mm lateral to the bregma, 1 mm ventral and 3 mm from the pial surface) of 8-week-old male C57BL/6N. Mice were randomly assigned to the treatment or vehicle. Seven days post BTSCs injection, mice were administered intraperitoneally with 6 mg/kg mubritinib in 5% DMSO and corn oil suspension or vehicle control 3 days a week (Monday, Wednesday and Friday) until mice were sacrificed. Twelveand sixteen-days following cell implantation of BTSC73, mice received locally 2 Gy of IR using the Microbeam Technology Irradiation System (XenX Xstrahl®). To examine tumour volume, the animals were intraperitoneally injected with 200 µL of 15 mg/mL D-luciferin (Euromedex, #12507-AATD), anesthetized with isoflurane inhalation, and subjected to bioluminescence imaging using the PhotonIMAGER in vivo imaging system (Biospace Lab). All bioluminescent data were collected and analyzed using M3 vision software. For KM survival plots, mice were collected when they showed signs of tumour related illness.

Treadmill fatigue test

After 3 months of repeated mubritinib treatment, mice were familiarized and trained to the treadmill apparatus by 2 training sessions on 2 separate days as described⁷⁹. On the first day of training, mice allowed first to freely explore the treadmill for 3 min, then the treadmill was turned on at a speed of 3.0 m/min to allow the mice to begin walking. After ensuring that all mice began walking, a 10 min training session was started using the following parameters: start speed was set at 8 m/min; after 5 min, the speed was increased to 9 m/min; after 7 min, the speed was increased to 10 m/min and the session was stopped at 10 min. On the second day of training, a 15 min training session was performed using the following parameters: start speed was set at 10 m/min; after 5 min, the speed was increased to 11 m/min; after 10 min, the speed was increased to 12 m/min, and the treadmill was stopped after 15 min. For the test, the electric grid shockers that serve to encourage running of the mice were turned on and the test was performed as previously described⁷⁹. At exhaustion, the time and speed were recorded and the distance traversed was calculated. Exhaustion was defined as the point at which mice stopped running and remained on the electric grid shockers for more than 10 s. During the training sessions and the test, the treadmill angle of inclination was set to 5°.

Immunohistochemical staining and analyses

Immunohistochemical staining of brain tissue sections were performed following paraformaldehyde (PFA) fixation, paraffin embedding, sectioning and staining. Briefly, mice were subjected to transcardiac perfusion with 4% PFA diluted in PBS, then brains were collected and incubated for 24 h in 4% PFA. Brains were then, washed, dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. 5 um thick sections were performed using a microtome. Sections were then deparaffinized and subjected to antigen retrieval with tris-EDTA buffer (pH 9). Slides were then permeabilized with 0.4% Triton-X100 and blocked with 5% normal donkey serum in PBS-Tween (0.1%). The slides were, then, incubated with the following primary antibody diluted in PBS-Tween (0.1%) for 1 h at RT: anti-Olig2 (1:100, Abcam, #ab109186), anti-Cleaved caspase 3 (1:100, Cell signaling, #D175), anti-SOX2 (1:100, Proteintech, #66411-1). Slides were then washed and incubated with fluorescence-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen) at RT for 1 h, counterstained with 5 µg/ml of DAPI, mounted using ProLong Diamond Antifade Mountant (Fisher Scientific, #15205739), and imaged using a slide scanner (Hamamatsu Nanozoomer 2.0HT). For quantifications in tumours or healthy host brains, the percentage of positive cells was quantified using Fiji, and the average of 3 fields (minimum 18×10^3 nuclei)

on 3 coronal sections (n= 3 mice) is shown. To quantify the neural progenitor cells (NPCs) in the subventricular zone (SVZ), all the nuclei in SVZ are quantified.

To estimate the tumour volume, 5 µm coronal sections were collected every 100 µm over a 600 um span of the anterior-posterior axis of the brain ($n \geq 3$ mice). Hematoxylin-eosin staining was done and whole sections of the brain were imaged using the slide scanner (Hamamatsu Nanozoomer 2.0HT). Tumour volume is calculated using the following equation: $(L/3*(A1+\sqrt{1})$ $(A1*A2)+A2$). L is the distance between two sections and A the respective tumour area measured on each section. The volumes between each section are added to obtain the tumour volume on a distance of 600 µm.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA and Student's t-test, with the aid of GraphPad software 7. Two-tailed and unpaired t-tests were used to compare two conditions. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's or Dunnett's post hoc analyses were used for analyzing multiple groups. Data are shown as mean with standard error of mean (mean \pm SEM). The log-rank test was used for statistical analysis in the Kaplan-Meier survival plot. p-Values of equal or less than 0.05 were considered significant and were marked with an asterisk on the histograms. p-Values of less than 0.05 are denoted by *, p-values of less than 0.01 are denoted by **, and p-values of less than 0.001 are denoted by *** on the histograms.

References

- 1. Brain GBD, Other CNSCC. Global, regional, and national burden of brain and other CNS cancer, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol 2019;18:376-393.
- 2. Alexander BM, Ba S, Berger MS, et al. Adaptive Global Innovative Learning Environment for Glioblastoma: GBM AGILE. Clin Cancer Res 2018;24:737-743.
- 3. Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ, et al. Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 2005;352:987-96.
- 4. Gilbert MR, Dignam JJ, Armstrong TS, et al. A randomized trial of bevacizumab for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 2014;370:699-708.
- 5. Stupp R, Taillibert S, Kanner A, et al. Effect of Tumor-Treating Fields Plus Maintenance Temozolomide vs Maintenance Temozolomide Alone on Survival in Patients With Glioblastoma: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2017;318:2306- 2316.
- 6. Singh SK, Hawkins C, Clarke ID, et al. Identification of human brain tumour initiating cells. Nature 2004;432:396-401.
- 7. Prager BC, Bhargava S, Mahadev V, et al. Glioblastoma Stem Cells: Driving Resilience through Chaos. Trends Cancer 2020;6:223-235.
- 8. Chen J, Li Y, Yu TS, et al. A restricted cell population propagates glioblastoma growth after chemotherapy. Nature 2012;488:522-6.
- 9. Strickland M, Stoll EA. Metabolic Reprogramming in Glioma. Front Cell Dev Biol 2017;5:43.
- 10. Lokody I. Metabolism: reprogramming metabolic flux in glioma. Nat Rev Cancer 2014;14:706-7.
- 11. Agnihotri S, Zadeh G. Metabolic reprogramming in glioblastoma: the influence of cancer metabolism on epigenetics and unanswered questions. Neuro Oncol 2016;18:160-72.
- 12. Warburg O. On the origin of cancer cells. Science 1956;123:309-14.
- 13. Talasila KM, Rosland GV, Hagland HR, et al. The angiogenic switch leads to a metabolic shift in human glioblastoma. Neuro Oncol 2017;19:383-393.
- 14. Hoang-Minh LB, Siebzehnrubl FA, Yang C, et al. Infiltrative and drug-resistant slowcycling cells support metabolic heterogeneity in glioblastoma. EMBO J 2018;37.
- 15. Nakhle J, Khattar K, Ozkan T, et al. Mitochondria Transfer from Mesenchymal Stem Cells Confers Chemoresistance to Glioblastoma Stem Cells through Metabolic Rewiring. Cancer Res Commun 2023;3:1041-1056.
- 16. Pinto G, Saenz-de-Santa-Maria I, Chastagner P, et al. Patient-derived glioblastoma stem cells transfer mitochondria through tunneling nanotubes in tumor organoids. Biochem J 2021;478:21-39.
- 17. Watson DC, Bayik D, Storevik S, et al. GAP43-dependent mitochondria transfer from astrocytes enhances glioblastoma tumorigenicity. Nat Cancer 2023;4:648-664.
- 18. Salaud C, Alvarez-Arenas A, Geraldo F, et al. Mitochondria transfer from tumoractivated stromal cells (TASC) to primary Glioblastoma cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2020;533:139-147.
- 19. Garofano L, Migliozzi S, Oh YT, et al. Pathway-based classification of glioblastoma uncovers a mitochondrial subtype with therapeutic vulnerabilities. Nat Cancer 2021;2:141-156.
- 20. Skrtic M, Sriskanthadevan S, Jhas B, et al. Inhibition of mitochondrial translation as a therapeutic strategy for human acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Cell 2011;20:674-88.
- 21. Janiszewska M, Suva ML, Riggi N, et al. Imp2 controls oxidative phosphorylation and is crucial for preserving glioblastoma cancer stem cells. Genes Dev 2012;26:1926-44.
- 22. Vlashi E, Lagadec C, Vergnes L, et al. Metabolic state of glioma stem cells and nontumorigenic cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108:16062-7.
- 23. Sullivan LB, Gui DY, Hosios AM, et al. Supporting Aspartate Biosynthesis Is an Essential Function of Respiration in Proliferating Cells. Cell 2015;162:552-63.
- 24. Kuntz EM, Baquero P, Michie AM, et al. Targeting mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation eradicates therapy-resistant chronic myeloid leukemia stem cells. Nat Med 2017;23:1234-1240.
- 25. Stuani L, Sabatier M, Saland E, et al. Mitochondrial metabolism supports resistance to IDH mutant inhibitors in acute myeloid leukemia. J Exp Med 2021;218.
- 26. Xu Y, Xue D, Bankhead A, 3rd, et al. Why All the Fuss about Oxidative Phosphorylation (OXPHOS)? J Med Chem 2020;63:14276-14307.
- 27. Schockel L, Glasauer A, Basit F, et al. Targeting mitochondrial complex I using BAY 87-2243 reduces melanoma tumor growth. Cancer Metab 2015;3:11.
- 28. Janku F, Beom SH, Moon YW, et al. First-in-human study of IM156, a novel potent biguanide oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) inhibitor, in patients with advanced solid tumors. Invest New Drugs 2022;40:1001-1010.
- 29. Yap TA, Daver N, Mahendra M, et al. Complex I inhibitor of oxidative phosphorylation in advanced solid tumors and acute myeloid leukemia: phase I trials. Nat Med 2023;29:115-126.
- 30. Dykens JA, Jamieson J, Marroquin L, et al. Biguanide-induced mitochondrial dysfunction yields increased lactate production and cytotoxicity of aerobically-poised HepG2 cells and human hepatocytes in vitro. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 2008;233:203- 10.
- 31. Bridges HR, Jones AJ, Pollak MN, et al. Effects of metformin and other biguanides on oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria. Biochem J 2014;462:475-87.
- 32. Betarbet R, Sherer TB, MacKenzie G, et al. Chronic systemic pesticide exposure reproduces features of Parkinson's disease. Nat Neurosci 2000;3:1301-6.
- 33. Janku F, LoRusso P, Mansfield AS, et al. First-in-human evaluation of the novel mitochondrial complex I inhibitor ASP4132 for treatment of cancer. Invest New Drugs 2021;39:1348-1356.
- 34. Baccelli I, Gareau Y, Lehnertz B, et al. Mubritinib Targets the Electron Transport Chain Complex I and Reveals the Landscape of OXPHOS Dependency in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Cancer Cell 2019;36:84-99 e8.
- 35. Birsoy K, Wang T, Chen WW, et al. An Essential Role of the Mitochondrial Electron Transport Chain in Cell Proliferation Is to Enable Aspartate Synthesis. Cell 2015;162:540-51.
- 36. Bajzikova M, Kovarova J, Coelho AR, et al. Reactivation of Dihydroorotate Dehydrogenase-Driven Pyrimidine Biosynthesis Restores Tumor Growth of Respiration-Deficient Cancer Cells. Cell Metab 2019;29:399-416 e10.
- 37. Neftel C, Laffy J, Filbin MG, et al. An Integrative Model of Cellular States, Plasticity, and Genetics for Glioblastoma. Cell 2019;178:835-849 e21.
- 38. Iranmanesh Y, Jiang B, Favour OC, et al. Mitochondria's Role in the Maintenance of Cancer Stem Cells in Glioblastoma. Front Oncol 2021;11:582694.
- 39. Sharanek A, Burban A, Laaper M, et al. OSMR controls glioma stem cell respiration and confers resistance of glioblastoma to ionizing radiation. Nat Commun 2020;11:4116.
- 40. Tatari N, Khan S, Livingstone J, et al. The proteomic landscape of glioblastoma recurrence reveals novel and targetable immunoregulatory drivers. Acta Neuropathol 2022;144:1127-1142.
- 41. Lim YC, Roberts TL, Day BW, et al. Increased sensitivity to ionizing radiation by targeting the homologous recombination pathway in glioma initiating cells. Mol Oncol 2014;8:1603-15.
- 42. Xu J, Wu PJ, Lai TH, et al. Disruption of DNA Repair and Survival Pathways through Heat Shock Protein Inhibition by Onalespib to Sensitize Malignant Gliomas to Chemoradiation Therapy. Clin Cancer Res 2022;28:1979-1990.
- 43. Dietrich J, Han R, Yang Y, et al. CNS progenitor cells and oligodendrocytes are targets of chemotherapeutic agents in vitro and in vivo. J Biol 2006;5:22.
- 44. Van Meir EG, Hadjipanayis CG, Norden AD, et al. Exciting new advances in neurooncology: the avenue to a cure for malignant glioma. CA Cancer J Clin 2010;60:166- 93.
- 45. Lathia JD, Mack SC, Mulkearns-Hubert EE, et al. Cancer stem cells in glioblastoma. Genes Dev 2015;29:1203-17.
- 46. Bao S, Wu Q, McLendon RE, et al. Glioma stem cells promote radioresistance by preferential activation of the DNA damage response. Nature 2006;444:756-60.
- 47. Guryanova OA, Wu Q, Cheng L, et al. Nonreceptor tyrosine kinase BMX maintains self-renewal and tumorigenic potential of glioblastoma stem cells by activating STAT3. Cancer Cell 2011;19:498-511.
- 48. Nagasawa J, Mizokami A, Koshida K, et al. Novel HER2 selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor, TAK-165, inhibits bladder, kidney and androgen-independent prostate cancer in vitro and in vivo. Int J Urol 2006;13:587-92.
- 49. Grygielewicz P, Dymek B, Bujak A, et al. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition confers resistance to selective FGFR inhibitors in SNU-16 gastric cancer cells. Gastric Cancer 2016;19:53-62.
- 50. Cancer Genome Atlas Research N. Comprehensive genomic characterization defines human glioblastoma genes and core pathways. Nature 2008;455:1061-8.
- 51. Snuderl M, Fazlollahi L, Le LP, et al. Mosaic amplification of multiple receptor tyrosine kinase genes in glioblastoma. Cancer Cell 2011;20:810-7.
- 52. Ekstrand AJ, James CD, Cavenee WK, et al. Genes for epidermal growth factor receptor, transforming growth factor alpha, and epidermal growth factor and their expression in human gliomas in vivo. Cancer Res 1991;51:2164-72.
- 53. Heimberger AB, Hlatky R, Suki D, et al. Prognostic effect of epidermal growth factor receptor and EGFRvIII in glioblastoma multiforme patients. Clin Cancer Res 2005;11:1462-6.
- 54. Brennan CW, Verhaak RG, McKenna A, et al. The somatic genomic landscape of glioblastoma. Cell 2013;155:462-77.
- 55. Peiris-Pages M, Martinez-Outschoorn UE, Pestell RG, et al. Cancer stem cell metabolism. Breast Cancer Res 2016;18:55.
- 56. Snyder V, Reed-Newman TC, Arnold L, et al. Cancer Stem Cell Metabolism and Potential Therapeutic Targets. Front Oncol 2018;8:203.
- 57. Sancho P, Barneda D, Heeschen C. Hallmarks of cancer stem cell metabolism. Br J Cancer 2016;114:1305-12.
- 58. Dando I, Dalla Pozza E, Biondani G, et al. The metabolic landscape of cancer stem cells. IUBMB Life 2015;67:687-93.
- 59. Molina JR, Sun Y, Protopopova M, et al. An inhibitor of oxidative phosphorylation exploits cancer vulnerability. Nat Med 2018;24:1036-1046.
- 60. Singh D, Chan JM, Zoppoli P, et al. Transforming fusions of FGFR and TACC genes in human glioblastoma. Science 2012;337:1231-5.
- 61. Frattini V, Pagnotta SM, Tala, et al. A metabolic function of FGFR3-TACC3 gene fusions in cancer. Nature 2018;553:222-227.
- 62. Diehn M, Cho RW, Lobo NA, et al. Association of reactive oxygen species levels and radioresistance in cancer stem cells. Nature 2009;458:780-3.
- 63. Zannella VE, Dal Pra A, Muaddi H, et al. Reprogramming metabolism with metformin improves tumor oxygenation and radiotherapy response. Clin Cancer Res 2013;19:6741-50.
- 64. Benej M, Hong X, Vibhute S, et al. Papaverine and its derivatives radiosensitize solid tumors by inhibiting mitochondrial metabolism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2018;115:10756-10761.
- 65. Mazurek M, Litak J, Kamieniak P, et al. Metformin as Potential Therapy for High-Grade Glioma. Cancers (Basel) 2020;12.
- 66. Yoon WS, Chang JH, Kim JH, et al. Efficacy and safety of metformin plus low-dose temozolomide in patients with recurrent or refractory glioblastoma: a randomized, prospective, multicenter, double-blind, controlled, phase 2 trial (KNOG-1501 study). Discov Oncol 2023;14:90.
- 67. Skinner H, Hu C, Tsakiridis T, et al. Addition of Metformin to Concurrent Chemoradiation in Patients With Locally Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: The NRG-LU001 Phase 2 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol 2021;7:1324-1332.
- 68. Alistar A, Morris BB, Desnoyer R, et al. Safety and tolerability of the first-in-class agent CPI-613 in combination with modified FOLFIRINOX in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer: a single-centre, open-label, dose-escalation, phase 1 trial. Lancet Oncol 2017;18:770-778.
- 69. Cusulin C, Chesnelong C, Bose P, et al. Precursor States of Brain Tumor Initiating Cell Lines Are Predictive of Survival in Xenografts and Associated with Glioblastoma Subtypes. Stem Cell Reports 2015;5:1-9.
- 70. Chesnelong C, Hao X, Cseh O, et al. SLUG Directs the Precursor State of Human Brain Tumor Stem Cells. Cancers (Basel) 2019;11.
- 71. Sakariassen PO, Prestegarden L, Wang J, et al. Angiogenesis-independent tumor growth mediated by stem-like cancer cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:16466-71.
- 72. Costa B, Fletcher MNC, Boskovic P, et al. A Set of Cell Lines Derived from a Genetic Murine Glioblastoma Model Recapitulates Molecular and Morphological Characteristics of Human Tumors. Cancers (Basel) 2021;13.
- 73. Rasool D, Soleimani VD, Jahani-Asl A. Isolation of Adult Mouse Neural Stem Cells and Assessment of Self-Renewal by ELDA. Methods Mol Biol 2022;2515:343-354.
- 74. Kim YH, Choi SH, D'Avanzo C, et al. A 3D human neural cell culture system for modeling Alzheimer's disease. Nat Protoc 2015;10:985-1006.
- 75. Uehara S, Higuchi Y, Yoneda N, et al. HepaSH cells: Experimental human hepatocytes with lesser inter-individual variation and more sustainable availability than primary human hepatocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2023;663:132-141.
- 76. Sharanek A, Burban A, Burbank M, et al. Rho-kinase/myosin light chain kinase pathway plays a key role in the impairment of bile canaliculi dynamics induced by cholestatic drugs. Sci Rep 2016;6:24709.
- 77. Hu Y, Smyth GK. ELDA: extreme limiting dilution analysis for comparing depleted and enriched populations in stem cell and other assays. J Immunol Methods 2009;347:70-8.
- 78. Sharanek A, Burban A, Hernandez-Corchado A, et al. Transcriptional control of brain tumor stem cells by a carbohydrate binding protein. Cell Rep 2021;36:109647.
- 79. Dougherty JP, Springer DA, Gershengorn MC. The Treadmill Fatigue Test: A Simple, High-throughput Assay of Fatigue-like Behavior for the Mouse. J Vis Exp 2016.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from Fondation de France (N° 261439), Cancéropôle GSO

(N° 2023-E5), Association pour la Recherche sur les Tumeurs Cérébrales (N° 283008), Institut

National du Cancer PLBIO (N° 227441 and N° 241284) to AS, AB, TD and AB. AS and AB

were supported by a fellowship from the Fondation de France. Cloe Tessier is a recipient of the NewMoon PhD fellowship (Bordeaux University) and Region Aquitaine. We thank staff (Marie-Alix Derieppe, Julie Martineau, Marcia Campistron and Marie-Paule Algeo) at the animal core facility "Animalerie Mutualisee de Talence", for assistance with studies involving mice. We thank the authors of Molina et al.⁵⁹, for NDI1 plasmids. We thank Dr. Peter Angel for providing the mGB2 cell line. We thank Dr. Deborah Tribouillard-Tanvier for assistant with the Treadmill studies. We thank Dr. Arnaud Mourier for assistance and access to the Oroboros apparatus. We are grateful to Biopredic International (Saint Grégoire, France) and the Central Institute for Experimental Animals (Kawasaki, Japan), for kindly providing the HepaSH cells. We thank Biopredic International for providing all the required media and supplements for HepaSH cells.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Mubritinib inhibits mitochondrial respiration through complex I. (**a-c**) Patientderived BTSCs, BTSC53 (a), BTSC73 (b) and BTSC147 (c) were subjected to Resipher analysis to measure the basal oxygen consumption rate (OCR) following mubritinib treatment. (**d-e**) Maximal mitochondrial respiration and spare respiratory capacity (SRC) were measured in BTSC53 (d) and BTSC73 following mubritinib treatment using Resipher system (e). (**f**) Oxygene (O2) flux was measured using an Oroboros system. (**g**) Schematic diagram of the mitochondrial electron transport chain with ectopic NDI1 expression is presented. (**h-i**) BTSC53 (h) and BTSC73 (i) expressing vector control (CTL) or NDI1 were treated with vehicle control or 500 nM of mubritinib and subjected to Resipher analysis to measure the basal OCR. (**j-k**) Maximal respiration and SRC were measured using Resipher system in BTSC53- (j) and BTSC73- (k) expressing vector control (CTL) or NDI1 treated with either vehicle control or 500 nM mubritinib. Data are presented as the means \pm SEM, n = 3. One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test vs vehicle CTL (a-f), one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (h-k). $*_p$ < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Figure 2. Mubritinib decreases proliferation of BTSCs without induction of cell death. (**a**) Multiple patient-derived BTSCs, BTSC12, BTSC25, BTSC50, BTSC53, BTSC73, BTSC147 and P3, were exposed to increasing concentrations of mubritinib (0 to 500 nM) for 4 days, following by live cell counting. (**b**) The area under the mubritinib dose-response curves (AUC) of wild-type EGFR (wt) BTSCs $(\#12, \#25, \#50, P3)$ and mutated (mut) EGFR BTSCs $(\#53, \#56, P3)$ #73 and #147) were plotted. (**c**) Pearson correlation analysis of basal oxygen consumption rate (OCR) and the percentage of live cells in BTSC following 4 days treatment with 20 nM of mubritinib was performed. (**d-e**) The number of live cells in BTSC53- (d) and BTSC73 (e) expressing vector control or NDI1 following 4 days of 500 nM mubritinib treatment was measured. (**f-g**) The percentages of dead cells (PI positive) and early apoptotic cells (Annexin V positive and PI negative) were measured by Annexin V/PI double staining followed by flow cytometry in BTSCs treated with increasing concentrations of mubritinib for 4 days. (**h-i**) EdU incorporation was analyzed by immunofluorescence imaging in BTSCs (#53, #73 and #147) after 4 days of 500 nM mubritinib treatment. Representative images of EdU (red) staining are shown (h). Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). The number of EdU positive cells was quantified with Fiji software (i). (**j-l**) Gene set enrichment analysis of deregulated genes in BTSC147 treated with 500 nM of mubritinib for 24 h demonstrates enrichment for gene sets corresponding to pyrimidine metabolism (**j**), NPC1, G2M and G1S states defined by Neftel et al.; the proliferative (PPR) signature defined by Garofano et al. (k) and cell-cycle related pathways (l). (**m-o**) Cell cycle distribution was assessed by flow cytometry after PI staining in BTSC53 (m), BTSC73 (n) and BTSC147 (o) following 24 h of treatment with 500 nM of mubritinib. Data are presented as the means \pm SEM, n = 3. One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test vs vehicle CTL (a), unpaired two-tailed t test (b, i, m-o), one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (d, e). $\frac{1}{2}p < 0.05$, $\frac{1}{2}p < 0.01$, $\frac{1}{2}p < 0.001$.

Figure 3. Mubritinib impairs BTSC stemness properties. (a-c) BTSC53 (a), BTSC73 (b) and BTSC147 (c) were subjected to extreme limiting dilution analysis to estimate the stem cell frequencies (SFC) following treatment with 500 nM mubritinib or vehicle control. (**d-f**) BTSC53 (d), BTSC73 (e) and BTSC147 (f) were treated for 4 days with 500 nM of mubritinib or vehicle control and subjected to immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated on the blots. Vinculin and Tubulin were used as loading control. Densitometric quantification of Nestin, cleaved Notch1, Olig2 and SOX2 protein level normalized to their corresponding loading control are presented. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM, n = 3. Unpaired two-tailed t test (a-c). One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's vs vehicle CTL, $\sp{\ast}p < 0.05$, $\sp{\ast} \sp{\ast}p < 0.01$, $\sp{\ast} \sp{\ast}p <$ 0.001.

Figure 4. Mubritinib, a brain penetrant drug, delays BTSCs tumourigenesis. (a-b) Schematic diagram of the pharmacokinetic analysis is shown (a). C57BL/B6N mice were treated by I.P with a single dose of mubritinib (6 mg/kg). Brain and plasma were collected at different time point (0.5 h to 48 h) after injection. Mubritinib was quantified by LC-MS/MS (b). (**c**) Schematic diagram of the experimental procedure in which luciferase-expressing mGB2 cells (500K) were intracranially injected into C57BL/B6N mice. One week after implantation mice were randomized in 2 groups: vehicle control or mubritinib (6 mg/kg). Mice were treated 3 times per week (Monday, Wednesday and Friday). Luciferase imaging was used to follow tumour progression. (**d-e**) Bioluminescence images (d) and quantification of luciferase activity (e) 6 weeks after implantation are presented. n = 8 mice. (**f**) Kaplan–Meier (KM) survival plot was graphed to evaluate mice lifespan in each group, mice were collected at end stage ($n = 7$) mice). (**g-i**) Luciferase-expressing BTSC147 were intracranially injected into RAGγ2C^{-/−} mice. Bioluminescence images (g) and quantification of luciferase activity (h) 9 weeks after implantation are presented ($n = 9$ mice). KM survival plot was graphed to assess animal lifespan (n = 9 mice) (i). (**j**) BTSC73 were intracranially injected into RAGγ2C[−]/[−] mice and KM survival plot was graphed to assess animal lifespan between vehicle and mubritinib treated mice ($n = 5$) mice). (**k-l**) Representative immunofluorescence images and the quantification of Olig2 (green) (k) and SOX2 (red) (l) positive cells in BTSC73 intracranial xenografts in mice treated with mubritinib or vehicle are shown. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). The number of Olig2 and SOX2 positive cells was quantified with Fiji software ($n = 3$ mice). Scale bar = 100 μ m. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. Unpaired two-tailed t test (e, h, k and l), log-rank test (f, i and j). **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Figure 5. Mubritinib sensitizes GB tumour to ionizing radiation. (**a**) Gene set enrichment analysis of deregulated genes in BTSC147 treated with 500 nM of mubritinib for 24 h demonstrates enrichment for gene sets corresponding to homologous recombination. (**b-c**) 2 Gy or 4 Gy irradiated BTSC73 (b) and BTSC147 (c) were subjected to Resipher analysis to measure the basal oxygen consumption rate (OCR) 16 h following irradiation. (**d**) Schematic diagram of the experimental procedure in which luciferase-expressing BTSC73 cells (300K) were intracranially injected into RAGγ2C^{-/−} mice. One week after implantation mice were randomized in 2 groups: vehicle control or mubritinib (6 mg/kg). Mice were treated 3 times per week (Monday, Wednesday and Friday). At day 12, mice from the 2 groups were randomized to receive or not IR (2 Gy). (**e-f**) Bioluminescence images (e) and quantification of luciferase activity (f) 3 weeks after implantation are presented. n = 5 mice. (**g-h**) H&E stainings were performed at day 30 (g). Scale bar, 1mm. Tumour volume was estimated based on H&E stainings (h). (**i**) Kaplan–Meier (KM) survival plot was graphed to evaluate mice lifespan in each group, mice were collected at end stage $(n = 6$ mice). (**j**) Survival extensions of mice bearing BTSC73-derived tumours treated with mubritinib, IR, or mubritinib + IR relative to those treated with the vehicle control were calculated. (**k-l**) BTSC53 were intracranially injected into $RAGy2C^{-/-}$ mice. KM was plotted to evaluate mice lifespan in each group (k), and survival extensions were calculated (l) ($n = 5$ mice). Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (b, c, f, h, j and l) and log-rank test (i and k). **p 0.01 , ***p 0.001 .

Figure 6. Mubritinib does not impact normal non-oncogenic cells and has a safe profile *in vivo***.** (**a**) Schematic diagram of the *in vitro* analysis of mubritinib on normal cells. (**b-d**) Human neural progenitor cell line (hNPC) was treated with increasing concentration of mubritinib (0 to 500 nM) for 4 days and subjected to Calcein-AM/DAPI double staining. Representative images are shown (b). Scale bars = $100 \mu m$. The number of live cells (calcein-am positive) (c) and dead cells (DAPI positive) (d) were quantified by Fiji software. (**e-f**) The human hepatocytes, HepaSH, were treated for 4 days with mubritinib or the hepatotoxic drug, Bosentan. Phase-contrast images were captured after 4 days (e). Scale bars = $100 \mu m$. Cytotoxicity was measured by MTT after 4 days (f). (**g**) Cell viability of mouse cortical neurons (mNeurons) was measured by MTT after 4 days of mubritinib treatment. (**h-i**) Representative immunofluorescence images of SOX2 (h) and cleaved caspase 3 (CC3) (i) in the SVZ of mouse brains with indicated treatments are shown ($n = 3$ mice). Scale bars = 100 μ m. The number of SOX2 positive cells was quantified with Fiji software (n = 3 mice). (**j**) Schematic diagram of the *in vivo* toxicological studies is presented. Biochemical analysis and treadmill fatigue test were performed on $RAGy2C^{-/-}$ mice treated for 3 months with vehicle control or mubritinib (6 mg/kg), 3 times per week (Monday, Wednesday and Friday). (**k**) Body weight of mice is presented (n = 5 mice). (**l-p**) Biochemical analyses to evaluate liver (l), kidney (m), pancreatic function (n), tissue damage (o) and lactate acidosis (p) were performed ($n = 3$ mice). (o) Treadmill fatigue test was performed and the distances ran by mubritinib-treated group and vehicle control were measured ($n = 5$ mice). Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM.

Supplementary Figure 1. Mubritinib decreases proliferation of patient- and murinederived BTSCs without induction of cell death. (**a**) The murine glioblastoma cells, mGB2, were exposed to increasing concentrations of mubritinib (0 to 500 nM) for 4 days, following by live cell counting. (**b**) The area under the mubritinib dose-response curves (AUC) of wild-type (wt) TP53 BTSCs (#50 and P3) and mutated (mut) TP53 BTSCs (#12, #25, #53, #73 and #147) were plotted. (**c**) The area under the mubritinib dose-response curves (AUC) of unmethylated MGMT BTSCs (#12, P3 and #147) and methylated MGMT BTSCs (#50, #53, and #73) were plotted. The BTSC25 are not included in this comparison since they are hemi-methylated. (**d**) The percentages of dead cells (PI positive) and early apoptotic cells (Annexin V positive and PI negative) were measured by Annexin V/PI double staining followed by flow cytometry in BTSCs treated with increasing concentrations of mubritinib for 4 days. One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test vs vehicle CTL (a), unpaired two-tailed t test (b, c). $***p < 0.001$.

Supplementary Figure 2. Gene expression profiling of mubritinib-treated BTSCs. (a-c)

Control and mubritinib-treated BTSC147 were subjected to RNA-sequencing analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) of RNA-seq data is shown (a). Heatmap illustration of hierarchical clustering of the overall gene expression profiles is presented (b). Gene set enrichment analysis of deregulated genes in BTSC147 treated with 500 nM of mubritinib for 24 h demonstrates enrichment for gene sets corresponding to alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism-related pathways (c).

Supplementary Figure 3. Mubritinib impairs BTSC stemness properties without affecting the non-oncogenic NSCs. (a) mGB2 cells were subjected to extreme limiting dilution analysis to estimate the stem cell frequencies (SFC) following treatment with increasing concentrations of mubritinib (0 to 500 nM) or vehicle control. (**b**) mGB2 cells were treated for 4 days with 500 nM of mubritinib or vehicle control and subjected to immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated on the blots. Vinculin was used as loading control. Densitometric quantifications of Olig2 and SOX2 protein level normalized to their corresponding loading control are presented. (**c**) Non-oncogenic normal NSCs were treated for 4 days with 500 nM of mubritinib or vehicle control and subjected to immunoblotting using the antibodies indicated on the blots. Tubulin was used as loading control. Data are presented as the mean \pm SEM, n = 3. One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's vs vehicle CTL (a), Unpaired two-tailed t test (b), $*_p$ < 0.05, $*_p$ < 0.01, $***p < 0.001$.

Figure 1

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

