

Deafness: from genetic architecture to gene therapy

Christine Petit, Crystel Bonnet, Saaïd Safieddine

▶ To cite this version:

Christine Petit, Crystel Bonnet, Saaïd Safieddine. Deafness: from genetic architecture to gene therapy. Nature Reviews Genetics, 2023, 24, pp.665-686. 10.1038/s41576-023-00597-7. pasteur-04097478

HAL Id: pasteur-04097478 https://pasteur.hal.science/pasteur-04097478

Submitted on 10 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Deafness: from genetic architecture to gene therapy

Christine Petit^{1,2†}, Crystel Bonnet¹ and Saaïd Safieddine^{1,3}

¹Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Inserm, Institut de l'Audition, F-75012 Paris,

France

²Collège de France, F-75005 Paris, France

³Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, F-75016 Paris, France

[†]e-mail: christine.petit@pasteur.fr

Christine Petit: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9069-002X

Crystel Bonnet: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1916-9119

Saaid Safieddine: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6159-0572

Abstract

Progress in deciphering the genetic architecture of human sensorineural hearing impairment (SNHI), also commonly referred to as sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) and multidisciplinary studies of mouse models have led to the elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying auditory system function, primarily in the cochlea, the mammalian hearing organ. These studies have provided unparalleled insights into the pathophysiological processes involved in SNHI, paving the way for the development of inner ear gene therapy based on gene replacement, gene augmentation or gene editing. The application of these approaches in preclinical studies over the past decade has highlighted key translational opportunities and challenges for achieving effective, safe and sustained inner ear gene therapy to prevent or cure monogenic forms of SNHI and associated balance disorders.

[H1] Introduction

The tremendous improvements in interindividual communication based on the sense of hearing during the course of *Homo sapiens* evolution have culminated in the development of language and music. Hearing has, thus, become the primary sense for human communication, with its potential correlate of enhanced sociability. Sensorineural hearing impairment (SNHI) results from defective sound processing in the auditory sensory system, which can occur anywhere from the cochlea (FIG. 1) to the primary auditory cortex and associated auditory cortices. The causal defects can

occur at any time, from early development *in utero* through to middle age and beyond in forms known as age-related hearing loss (ARHL) or presbycusis.

SNHI is the most frequent sensorineural disability and a major public health problem in terms of both impact and frequency. A permanent bilateral elevation of the hearing threshold >40 decibels hearing level (dB HL) in the better ear (in individuals aged ≥15 years), is usually considered to constitute a disabling hearing impairment ¹.. Hearing impairment of this magnitude affects approximately 1.33 in every 1,000 neonates in developed countries, as demonstrated by neonatal hearing screening². Profound congenital or prelingual SNHI (hearing threshold ≥90 dB HL) impedes spontaneous oral language development and learning acquisitions; school difficulties also affect children with moderate-to-severe SNHI. ARHL, which may appear as early as the age of 40 years and initially manifests as an impairment of hearing in noisy environments, is by far the most prevalent form of SNHI; its frequency increases exponentially with age, affecting 15.4% of people aged in their 60s³. It disrupts social links and can cause depression, loss of autonomy and cognitive decline⁴. Hearing impairment beginning during and after middle age is now recognized as the major modifiable risk factor for dementia⁵⁻⁷.

SNHI can be caused by genetic and environmental factors, and ageing. Environmental risk factors include prenatal so-called TORCH (toxoplasmosis, rubella, cytomegalovirus (CMV) and herpes simplex virus) infections and some drugs (for example, aminoglycoside antibiotics, platinum chemotherapy agents and opioids). Smoking and comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension are also major risk factors for ARHL. However, overexposure to loud sound in occupational or recreational settings is the most harmful environmental factor⁸, with over 1 billion people between the ages of 12 and 35 years at risk of permanent hearing impairment due to unsafe listening practices³.

Over the past three decades, efforts to decipher the genetic architecture of human SNHI have elucidated the molecular mechanisms underlying the development and function of the auditory system, leading to the identification of hundreds of monogenic forms of SNHI⁹. In particular the cochlea had eluded all previous attempts at molecular characterization, owing to the paucity of its cell types rendering biochemical and classic molecular genetic approaches largely ineffective. The use of mouse models of hereditary deafness has proved essential for deciphering the functional role of various deafness genes¹⁰, with the vast majority of models

reproducing the characteristics of the hearing defect caused by orthologous deafness genes in humans. In-depth studies of these models with multidisciplinary approaches have uncovered the molecular structures and mechanisms underlying the key functions of the cochlea.

SNHI is currently an incurable disorder. Prostheses such as hearing aids and cochlear implants are the only corrective options available for mild-to-severe and profound hearing impairment, respectively. However, speech perception with these prostheses remains poor in noisy environments — the prevailing condition in everyday life — and cochlear implants also have a low performance for music perception. Moreover, hearing aids, which work principally as sound amplifiers, fail to provide a satisfactory understanding of speech even in quiet environments for some deafness forms¹¹. These limitations have driven the search for preventive and curative approaches to SNHI. Advances in our understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying monogenic forms of deafness, mostly based on mouse models, have naturally fostered research aiming to treat their root causes by gene therapy. This field is currently booming, spurring hopes for clinical trials in the near future.

In this Review, we first discuss studies that have deciphered the genetic architecture of human SNHI, including monogenic and polygenic forms of deafness, and review resulting insights into the molecular physiology of the cochlea in health and disease. We then focus on recent advances in the development of inner ear gene therapy for monogenic deafness forms, discussing approaches based on gene replacement/augmentation and genome editing strategies. We also emphasize the specific challenges and unresolved issues that must be addressed for inner ear gene therapy to meet high-quality standards for efficacy and safety worldwide.

[H1] Genetic architecture of human SNHI

Over 50% of cases of congenital hearing impairment in developed countries are hereditary². Isolated (non-syndromic) hearing impairment accounts for about 70% of these hereditary cases. These deafness forms are almost exclusively monogenic. Congenital (or prelingual) severe-to-profound deafness displays mostly autosomal recessive inheritance (DFNB forms). By contrast, forms with an onset from late childhood onwards are generally progressive and less severe and display mostly autosomal dominant inheritance (DFNA forms).

[H2] Monogenic forms of deafness

The first steps towards identifying the causal genes for isolated deafness were taken in the early to mid-1990s. At the time, only a few families with SNHI had been reported. Genetic linkage analysis to define the shortest genomic localization interval of a SNHI gene, a prerequisite for identifying the causal gene at the time, was often compromised. Indeed, the transmission of several deafness genes within individual affected families in developed countries was not unlikely, owing to the high frequency of unions between young deaf people usually attending the same specialized schools as well as between normal-hearing children of deaf parents. Together with the absence of reliable clinical criteria for discriminating between the various forms of isolated deafness, this impeded intrafamilial segregation analyses of causal deafness genes.

Profound hearing impairment is exclusively sensorineural, whereas less severe hearing impairment may be either sensorineural or conductive. There was evidence to suggest that inherited profound congenital, prelingual forms of SNHI were mostly DFNB forms, hence the rationale for concentrating genetic studies on profoundly deaf families living in countries with high consanguinity rates. Moreover, the likelihood of several deafness genes segregating within the same family could be minimized by focusing on consanguineous deaf families living in geographic isolation, as such communities are generally founded by only a few individuals. This strategy led to the identification of the first DFNB locus, DFNB1, in individuals from northern Tunisia¹², using the then recently completed short-tandem repeat linkage map of the human genome¹³ and homozygosity mapping. The *DFNB1* locus comprises two genes that encode protein subunits of gap junction channels: GJB2, which encodes connexin 26¹⁴. Harmful variants in GJB2 lead to DFNB1, (this deafness form being named after the locus), which is by far the most frequent form of congenital severeto-profound inherited SNHI worldwide (with the exception of some sub-Saharan African countries), with a prevalence of up to 50% of these cases in some regions 15. Other DFNB forms were also identified in large consanguineous families from Indonesia (Bali)¹⁶, Pakistan^{17–19} and the Middle East^{20,21}.

Causal human SNHI genes were then identified via two major approaches. In the first, genes preferentially expressed in the cochlea were expected to play an essential role in this organ and were thus considered as candidate deafness genes. They were selected from cDNA subtraction libraries and evaluated by matching with human deafness-associated loci²²⁻²⁵. In the second, human orthologues of mouse deafness genes located in chromosomal syntenic regions were tested as candidate genes²⁶⁻²⁹. In addition, a few human genes responsible for isolated deafness were identified by direct sequencing of a small candidate genomic region³⁰ or based on their involvement in syndromic deafness 14,30,31. Deafness genes have rarely been identified on the basis of functional indications, the most notable exception being myosins, which were recognized early on to be encoded by several mouse and human deafness genes, suggesting a key role for tension forces in cochlear development and physiology^{26,27,32,33}. By 2001, the year in which the first draft of the human genome sequence was released, 12 causal genes for DFNB forms had been identified. These genes are now known to account for about three-quarters of unrelated DFNB cases from consanguineous families around the Mediterranean Sea³⁴. Some pathogenic variants have particularly high carrier frequencies, estimated at 1.5% worldwide and up to 3% in some countries for the 35delG variant of GJB2, for example 15,35,36. A selective advantage for heterozygous carriers of pathogenic GJB2 variants was suggested, specifically a role in resistance to skin and gut epithelial infections, as both keratinocytes and enterocytes express connexin 26, a role subsequently supported by clinical studies^{37,38}.

The rapid development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, and of whole-exome sequencing in particular, greatly facilitated and sped up the identification of deafness genes causing rare SNHI forms, in particular when only small families could be studied. Today, 77 DFNB-causing genes are known.

With respect to DFNA-causing genes, the *DFNA1* locus responsible for post-lingual progressive hearing loss was identified in a large kindred in Costa Rica³⁹, and today, 52 DFNA-causing genes are known. The genes initially identified as responsible for DFNB forms are increasingly also being recognized as being responsible for DFNA forms. In addition, five chromosome X-linked genes, one chromosome Y-linked gene, five mitochondrial genes and one modifier gene of a DFNB gene have been reported. To date, a total of 130 genes causal for early-onset forms of deafness has been identified, according to the <u>Hereditary Hearing Loss resource</u> (https://hereditaryhearingloss.org/). About 30% of DFNB cases in nonconsanguineous families remain unresolved³⁴; this percentage is higher for DFNA cases⁴⁰.

For monogenic syndromic deafness, hundreds of forms have been described, and about 300 causal genes have been identified, many of which have since also been reported to cause isolated deafness⁴¹. The causal genes for <u>Usher syndrome</u> (USH) warrant particular attention, as this syndrome is the most frequent monogenic multisensory disorder. Its most severe form (USH1), which combines congenital profound SNHI, balance defects and retinitis pigmentosa leading to blindness, is caused by defects of five USH1 genes. Studies of these genes provided the first insight into the key components of the cochlear sensory cells, the hair cells (HCs), which were found to be major constituents of the mechanoelectrical transduction machinery (Fig. 1)^{23,30,42–45}.

Molecular diagnostics for early-onset monogenic forms have been integrated into everyday clinical settings in some countries. As a result, *de novo* mutations have recently been reported to contribute to a substantial fraction of SNHI cases⁴⁶.

With respect to gene–environment interactions, a few monogenic forms of noise-induced hearing loss have been identified in both humans and mice^{47–51}.

[H2] Polygenic forms of deafness

Genetic factors account for roughly half the phenotypic variance of ARHL⁵². Following initial studies of association with candidate genes selected on the basis of their biological plausibility, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) were performed with dense SNP_-based human genetic maps, assuming that ARHL is polygenic, like other common disorders⁵³. To date, about 80 candidate ARHL-predisposing genes^{53–55} have been reported, establishing the polygenic component of ARHL inheritance. The advent of gene therapy, which is particularly suitable for monogenic disorders, has encouraged the search for monogenic forms of ARHL. Pathogenic monoallelic variants of genes responsible for juvenile DFNA forms have been detected in 20–25% of familial and sporadic cases of ARHL characterized by onset of hearing impairment at about 50 years of age and an absence of comorbidity and environmental risk factors⁵⁶. Despite its high frequency, our genetic knowledge of ARHL remains limited, particularly regarding the expected contribution of GWAS-associated variants to the elucidation of pathophysiological mechanisms⁵⁷ and the prediction of ARHL risk.

Genetic variants associated with noise-induced hearing loss have been identified mostly through association studies with candidate genes in humans⁵⁸ and

by GWAS on panels of recombinant inbred strains in mice⁵⁹. One of the main findings emerging from these genetic studies is the existence of a continuum across the various forms of SNHI, based on evidence for the involvement of some causal or susceptibility genes in several monogenic and/or polygenicforms of SNHI, early and late isolated forms^{54,56}, syndromic forms (for instance, four of the five USH1 genes are also responsible for isolated deafness), noise-induced hearing loss⁶⁰ or other inner ear disorders, such as Meniere's disease of the indings suggest that these genes may be candidate hub genes for SNHI pathogenic pathways.

[H2] Genetic approaches to decipher cochlear molecular physiology

Multiprotein complexes that form key cochlear structures and act in molecular pathways essential to cochlear functions have been identified by interdisciplinary studies of mouse models of monogenic forms of human deafness and analyses of the proteins encoded by deafness genes, including their interaction protein networks. The auditory mechanoelectrical transduction machinery is one of the best examples (FIG. 1f); all its known components were identified as the products of genes responsible for USH1 or isolated forms of deafness (Supplementary Table 1). Further examples are provided by the composition and hitherto unrecognized critical roles of the various sets of fibrous links interconnecting the stereocilia within the hair bundle, the sound-reception structure of the hair cells⁶², or attaching the stereocilia to the overlying tectorial membrane (Fig. 1d), or the molecular assemblies underlying the ultra-fast glutamate exocytosis of inner hair cells (IHCs)^{63,64} (Fig. 1e), which are the genuine auditory sensory cells (see FIG.1 legend). Prestin, a voltage-sensitive membrane motor protein of the outer hair cells (OHCs) underlying their key function in the amplification of sound-evoked stimulation, is a notable exception 65, as it was identified solely by cDNA subtraction. Finally, microRNAs are known to lead to transcript destabilization and translation inhibition. Genetic approaches identified miR-96 as responsible for a progressive post-lingual DFNA form of deafness in humans and progressive hearing loss in the diminuendo mouse mutant^{66,67}, through hair cell defects^{68,69}.

The cochlear cell types, subcellular compartments and structures that express deafness genes — or contain their encoded proteins and/or were recognized as targets of gene defects in mouse mutants — are compiled in Supplementary Table 1. Seven classes were defined based on the cell types or structures affected: the hair

bundles of IHCs and OHCs in class 1; the nucleus, cytoplasmic soma and cell–cell junctions of IHCs and OHCs in class 2; IHC-auditory neuron synapses and other subcellular compartments of auditory neurons and associated glial cells in class 3; hair cell-supporting cell junctions and other subcellular compartments of the supporting cells in class 4; stria vascularis, spindle and root cells, spiral ligament and spiral limbus (fibrocytes) and endolymphatic sac in class 5; and extracellular matrices in class 6. Class 7 contains ubiquitously distributed or unknown defects. The distribution of deafness genes between these classes reveals that deafness forms often affect a single cochlear cell type, mostly hair cells, or a single extracellular matrix, such as the tectorial membrane (FIG.1d). However, some forms affect several cell subtypes from the same type of cochlear cells, such as various supporting cells or, for example, supporting cells, stria vascularis epithelial cells and fibrocytes. About ~30% of these deafness forms are associated with vestibular defects (Supplementary Table 1). Such forms are overrepresented in class 1, as cochlear and vestibular hair bundles share morphological and physiological characteristics.

We have defined five major groups of cochlear functions that are each defective in several deafness forms; they account for more than half of all deafness forms (67/125): (i) mechanoelectrical transduction by the hair bundle; (ii) transcriptional regulation and post transcriptional modifications; (iii) actin cytoskeleton dynamics and associated proteins; (iv) ion homeostasis; and (v) energy and redox homeostasis, inflammation and immunity (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1). Only one category of sensorineural deafness, auditory neuropathies or auditory neuropathy spectrum disorder (ANSD)⁷⁰, is currently distinguishable from other deafness forms on the basis of clinical characteristics (Table 1 for causal deafness genes and Supplementary Table 1 for the cells targeted).

In principle, all these inherited forms of deafness could benefit from inner ear gene therapy. Such therapies may be the only way to deal with genetic defects of structural proteins. For several of these inherited forms, especially those involving ion homeostasis, energy and redox homeostasis, inflammation and immunity, pharmacological approaches may be an alternative (particularly for forms with occasional acute phases). Cell therapy approaches for genetic defects leading to irreversible cochlear cell damage (in hair cells, primary auditory neurons, cochlear blood–labyrinth barrier in the stria vascularis, or spiral ligament fibrocytes) may benefit from cell regeneration using transplanted autologous mesenchymal stem

cells⁷¹, and treatment of hair cells may also benefit from *in situ* transdifferentiation of supporting cells⁷² with mitotic regeneration⁷³, in association with gene therapy to treat the newly generated cells.

[H1] Towards inner ear gene therapy

Mouse models of various monogenic forms of human deafness have successfully provided proof of concept for positive effects of inner ear gene therapy, as reported in several recent reviews^{74–80}. For an accurate appreciation of the potential transferability of the results of these studies to humans, we first highlight some of the features of the auditory system, focusing particularly on those that differ between mice and humans and, thus, merit particular attention.

[H2] Challenges posed by inner ear therapy

The mouse and human auditory systems display major heterochrony. The timing of inner ear interventions in mouse models for congenital profound deafness is therefore problematic. In humans, hearing onset occurs in utero, at about 4.5 months of gestation⁸¹ whereas mice are born deaf and do not begin to hear until postnatal day 12 (P12). The maturation of the human cochlea is well-advanced at midgestation and complete at birth, with only subtle refinements of some structures occurring thereafter⁸². By contrast, the mouse cochlea is morphologically and functionally fully immature at birth, with most cochlear cell types being at early stages of differentiation. The mouse cochlea is considered to be mature at around P20⁸³, even though the hair cell-primary auditory neuron synapses do not reach full maturation until about P2884. The first clinical trials of inner ear gene therapy for congenital profound deafness will probably involve postnatal interventions (as fetal interventions require the development of dedicated expertise and entail some risk). It follows that, for proof-of-concept studies to be performed at a similar stage of cochlear maturation in mouse models (that is, in the fully mature cochlea), inner ear interventions would need to be performed from approximately P20 onwards.

The period of auditory cortex plasticity determines the upper limit of the therapeutic window in congenitally deaf children. Before hearing onset, spontaneous bursts of auditory neuron electrical activity assist the assembly of the central neuronal circuits, including those underlying the <u>tonotopic</u> (frequency) maps in the various relay nuclei of the central auditory pathway and auditory cortex⁸⁵. At hearing

onset, a period of plasticity (also known as the critical period) opens, driven by the acoustic environment; this plasticity is particularly marked in the auditory cortex, which displays representational maps of the various features of sound86. During this period, the neuronal microcircuits adapt to environmental sounds through sequential windows of plasticity, each tuned to different sound features, beginning with a robust effect on the organization of cortical tonotopic maps^{87,88}. Hearing rehabilitation with cochlear implants in children affected by congenital profound deafness is highly instructive: the development of hearing in children fitted with cochlear implants before the age of 7 years allows spontaneous oral language acquisition, with higher quality of hearing perception and language skills reported for children receiving an implant before the age of 3 years^{89,90}. These findings suggest that the auditory critical period begins to close at about this age. Cochlear implantation in congenitally profoundly deaf children performed after 7 years results in poorer auditory perception and speech skills. These conclusions would apply to gene therapy approaches. In mice, the period of auditory cortex plasticity for tonotopic maps extends around hearing onset from P11 to P1488, suggesting that, even if cochlear function is fully restored (as assessed by in vivo electrical cochlear responses), for interventions beyond P14 auditory perception may not reach the level of performance observed in wild-type mice.

Studies of animal models of later-onset deafness forms (which, like affected humans, initially hear normally and become progressively deaf) have suggested that, after normal development, the auditory cortex becomes disorganized, with a reorganization of the established tonotopic maps and a decrease in the temporal processing acuity of sound⁹¹. The restoration of cochlear neuron output signals may partly fade these cortical changes⁸⁸. Evidence from cochlear implantations in adults who become profoundly deaf also indicates that some cortical plasticity (albeit less than during the critical period) persists in adulthood⁹². At first glance, proof-of-concept studies for positive effects of gene therapy in the corresponding mouse models might thus be easier to transfer to humans. However, additional factors may come into play in both species, such as genomic variants affecting cochlear ageing or susceptibility to environmental factors such as noise.

Finally, contrary to a widespread misconception, the inner ear is not an isolated organ. In particular, observations that recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) injected into the perilymphatic compartment guinea pig cochlea was

detectable in the contralateral cochlea⁹³ have long raised questions about the safety of inner ear gene therapy. Indeed, therapeutic agents injected into the cochlea can diffuse out of the sensory organ into the cerebrospinal fluid via the cochlear aqueduct, with a potential risk of these agents entering the brain parenchyma. In humans, the patency (permeability) of the cochlear aqueduct is generally more limited and decreases with age⁹⁴. This consideration should guide the choice of delivery routes for inner ear gene therapy and the design of gene therapy agents (see below). Similarly, contrary to the immune privilege initially thought to prevail in the cochlea, the presence of resident or colonizing macrophages and their roles in cochlear tissue maintenance and injury responses are now well established⁹⁵.

[H2] A decade of preclinical gene therapy studies

We adopt here the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) definition of gene therapy as "a technique that modifies a person's genes to treat or cure disease" thus excluding transcriptional and post-transcriptional modifications. We, therefore, focus here on proof-of-concept studies demonstrating positive effects on hearing in mouse models of human deafness obtained by gene replacement, augmentation or editing (Fig. 2). The outcomes of RNA-targeting approaches are briefly mentioned, as they may contribute to the interpretation of gene therapy results.

The selection of gene therapy methods for early- or late-onset forms of SNHI is guided by the nature of the causal variants and their functional impact. Most known causal variants are involved in early-onset forms of SNHI. About 82% of them are single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), the others being deletions (~10%), insertions (~5%) or duplications (~3%)⁷⁹. Variants of genomic regulatory sequences affecting the expression of causal deafness genes and variable numbers of tandem repeats have only very rarely been reported, but molecular diagnosis, increasingly including whole-genome sequencing, should ensure they no longer escape detection⁶⁹. Pathogenic variants may be loss-of-function, whereby they disrupt the production or activity of the gene product, gain-of-function, whereby they result in an altered gene product with a new molecular function, a new pattern of expression, or dominant-negative variants. Loss-of-function variants can be compensated by gene replacement or corrected by gene editing. Alleles carrying gain-of-function or dominant-negative variants can be inactivated or corrected by gene editing, or their effects countered by increasing wild-type protein production through gene

augmentation to interfere with the protein encoded by the mutant allele. Gene editing and replacement may need to be combined if the gene with the allele to be inactivated is a haploinsufficient gene; this sensitivity to gene dosage remains incompletely documented for many deafness genes.

Forty-one proof-of-concept studies for positive effects of gene therapy approaches in deaf mouse mutants (41 of 46 reported studies; interventions using the same gene therapy agent at different time points in the same mouse model being counted as a single proof-of-concept) have already been obtained (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2). These proofs of concept concern 32 mouse models, 29 for DFNB forms and 3 for DFNA forms, involving 21 deafness genes in total.

[H2] Gene replacement and gene augmentation

Gene replacement and augmentation involve the transfer of a functional, wild-type version of the coding cDNA sequence derived from the causal SNHI gene (or, occasionally, a paralogous gene) into target cells. The cDNA can be integrated into the genome at a specific site or may persist in a non-integrated form. Viral and nonviral methods for therapeutic agent delivery to the inner ear are discussed in BOX 1. published proof-of-concept In all but one of the studies gene replacement/augmentation for inherited forms of SNHI⁹⁷, the therapeutic cDNA was delivered with an AAV vector, resulting in its maintenance as a stable episome within transduced cells (FIG. 2Aa). Given the diversity of cochlear cell types directly affected in the various deafness forms (Supplementary Table 1), AAV capsids with various cell tropisms are required; this has led to the development of AAV capsid engineering (BOX 1). One disadvantage of AAV vectors is their low packaging capacity, with cargos limited to 4.8 kb, but the longer sequences of many deafness genes can be accommodated with dual-AAV strategies (FIG. 2Ab and BOX 1). The therapeutic cDNAs with a poly A tail were either restricted to the coding sequence or also included the 5' untranslated region (UTR) and/or 3'-UTR sequences⁹⁸ (Supplementary Table 2). The promoters using drove ubiquitous expression of therapeutic cDNA and were therefore expected to yield high, non-regulated levels of therapeutic agent expression in transduced cells, with possible toxicity. Others concerns include the presence of pre-existing antibodies and T-cell immunity to AAVs, and the innate immune response to AAVs, which may limit the outcomes of AAV-mediated gene therapy⁹⁹ (see also BOX 1). The delivery routes for therapeutic

agents affect the efficiency of cochlear cell transduction, the tonotopic distribution (FIG. 1) of the effects and the safety of the intervention with results that may differ between animal species and cochlear development/maturation stages¹⁰⁰. Several routes for injecting therapeutic agents directly into the mouse inner ear have been developed (see below and FIG. 3).

The 34 positive proof-of-concept studies, based on gene replacement (31 studies) and gene augmentation (3 studies), concerned 18 deafness genes, and the vast majority addressed class 1 and 3 cell defects (29/34), with the others dealing with class 2 and 5 defects (Supplementary Table 1). Most of these studies involved injections through the round window membrane (RWM) (FIG. 1c,d and FIG. 3); cochleostomy has given highly variable results 101,102. Two routes of injection into the vestibule — canalostomy and direct injection into the utricle — were recently reported to increase cochlear transduction efficiency 103,104 (see FIG. 3 and the legend for the methods proposed in humans). All these proofs of concept were obtained in mice carrying recessive causal variants modelling human DFNB forms. All were due to loss of gene function variants, with the exception of the Tmc1^{Bth/Bth} mouse mutant, which carries the Beethoven (Bth) mutation of Tmc1, a semi-dominant gain-offunction variant (Table 2). *Tmc1* encodes the transmembrane channel-like 1 (TMC1) protein, a component of the mechanoelectrical transduction channel pore of both IHCs and OHCs¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷. All mouse models were congenitally deaf except the TgAC1;Clrn1^{-/-} mutant, a model for USH3A, which displays delayed-onset, progressive hearing loss ⁹⁸.

One key feature emerging from these studies is that successful interventions are restricted to a short time window. For all mouse models, positive effects have been reported for inner ear interventions only when performed during the early neonatal period, mostly on P0–P2, occasionally extending to P7 (Table 2). Given the timing of these interventions, these proof-of-concept studies were therefore assessing the prevention, but not the cure, of hearing impairment (see above). However, certain cochlear defects (histological or electrophysiological abnormalities) detected before therapeutic gene expression have been shown to be alleviated or corrected in a few studies^{101,116,123,127,133}. Interventions performed shortly before hearing onset (from P8 to P11) (4/34) were ineffective, even for gene therapy agents that showed preventive effects following early neonatal interventions in the same mouse models via the same delivery routes.

As discussed above, for proof-of-concept of a cure for SNHI to be transferable to humans, positive effects would need to be observed for interventions performed from ~P20 onwards. To date, genuine cures of a hearing impairment have been obtained in proof-of-concepts for only two mouse mutants: *Vglut3*^{-/-} (also known as *Slc17a8*^{-/-}) mice (the orthologous human gene causes DFNA25, with no DFNB form reported to date)¹⁰², and *Otof*^{-/-} mice, a model for DFNB9^{112,113}. Hearing restoration has even been reported for interventions on P30 in *Otof*^{-/-} mice¹¹² and on P35, P56 and P140 for *Vglut3*^{-/-} mice¹¹⁴. These congenitally profoundly deaf mouse mutants are the only two in which SNHI is caused by IHC synaptic vesicle protein defects preventing neurotransmitter (glutamate) release; they notably present only minor morphological abnormalities of the ribbon pre-synapse (FIG. 1e), which are partly corrected by gene therapy.

Another key issue regarding the potential clinical transfer of proof-of-concept studies is the durability of the effects obtained. Firstly, data for the long-term durability of positive effects are often lacking, as the treated mice were frequently followed for no more than a few weeks or months after the intervention. Half the proof-of-concept studies reported a decline or disappearance of the positive effects on hearing within 2 months of the intervention, although technical improvements have driven better results in recent years. In eight of the 34 proof-of-concept studies involving gene replacement/augmentation, positive effects on hearing persisted for at least 4 months, albeit often with a progressive elevation of hearing threshold for certain sound frequencies 98,99,104,107,123,127,131,132. Remarkably, in the *TgAC1;Clm1*-/- mouse model, which presents a delayed-onset progressive DFNB form of deafness, strong and stable hearing improvement persisted at the final hearing assessment, 5 months after the neonatal intervention 100.

The effect of gene replacement/augmentation on the vestibular defects associated with hearing defects (Table 1) has not been systematically reported. However, in all studies with a positive effect on hearing lasting at least 4 months that were associated with vestibular deficits (5 of 8 studies), the neonatal inner ear gene therapy interventions fully prevented the development of balance defects, with this effect declining little, if at all, right up to the last assessment (up to 15 months after the intervention in one study¹¹⁰). Moreover, in another proof-of-concept study, gene replacement intervention on P14 and P30 effectively restored balance despite having no positive effect on hearing¹¹⁸. These results highlight the contrasting effects of

inner ear gene replacement on mouse cochlear and vestibular defects. Phenotype correction is much more effective in the vestibule than in the cochlea, with a much wider therapeutic window for intervention and a longer persistence of therapeutic effects.

Finally, an important limitation of gene replacement strategies is the production of different protein isoforms from some causal deafness genes. Transfer of the isoform with the most crucial role has been shown to provide a *bona fide* solution 111,119,120.

[H2] Gene editing

In gene editing, the endogenous gene is modified within its native genomic context, preserving its normal spatiotemporal pattern of expression and pre-mRNA splicing^{121–124}. Gene editing can be applied to any gene, regardless of the size of the transcription product(s), and the resulting genome modifications should be permanent.

[H3] CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing

The CRISPR-Cas system, an RNA-guided adaptive immunity system present in many bacteria and archaea¹²⁵, has provided a highly versatile, effective and easy-touse gene-editing technology 126,127 with the potential for curing genetic diseases. Programmable DNA endonucleases such as CRISPR-Cas generate DNA doublestrand breaks (DSBs), which are highly recombinogenic. The type of DSB repair mechanism — homology-directed repair (HDR) or non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) — expressed by transduced cells determines the outcome of CRISPR-Cas activity (Fig. 2Ba). HDR^{128,129}, which resolves DSBs with an endogenous or exogenous homologous DNA donor template (sDNA or dsDNA), is a high-fidelity repair pathway that can correct mutations or integrate large DNA fragments (gene replacement). However, the highly accurate HDR is restricted to mitotic cells, functioning principally during the S and G2 phases of the cell cycle, whereas the error-prone NHEJ operates throughout the cell cycle and is the principal DSB repair mechanism even in dividing cells. At birth, cochlear cells are post-mitotic in mice¹³⁰ (with the exception of the tympanic border cells, FIG. 1)¹³¹ and humans^{82,132}, theoretically restricting postnatal gene editing of these cells by CRISPR-Cas9 to NHEJ-mediated loss-of-function edits, which are aimed at silencing targeted alleles.

Liu and coworkers were the first to achieve proof-of-concept for CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing in the mouse cochlea¹³³ and then for editing a deafness gene in a mouse model of a progressive form of human deafness (DFNA36)¹³⁴. Since then, four additional studies in which pathogenic alleles affecting hair cells (class 1 and 2 defects) were silenced using CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing have reported positive effects on hearing in mouse models of progressive DFNA forms of deafness 105,108, ^{126,128}. All involved early neonatal interventions (P0-P3), thus assessing the prevention or alleviation of hearing impairment. Three studies analysed Tmc1^{Bth/+} mutants^{134,137,138}, a mouse model of DFNA36 (caused by the p.Met418Lys variant in the orthologous human gene 139), in which hearing impairment begins after hearing onset. In Tmc1^{Bth/+} mice, the p.Met412Lys variant is responsible for the progressive hearing-loss phenotype, which is first detected at 3-4 weeks. Progressive hearing loss results from decreased expression of *Tmc2*, a paralogue of *Tmc1*, from 1 week after birth, leading to the disappearance of its compensation of the *Tmc1* mutation. In the initial proof-of-concept study, the authors injected a ribonucleoprotein complex (RNP) complex of purified Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (SpCas9) protein and Tmc1 single guide RNA (sgRNA) into the cochlea (FIG. 3, Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2) of P2 Tmc1Bth/+ mice125. One month after the cochlear intervention, selective disruption of the Bth allele with insertion/deletions (indels) was observed, together with a slowing of both hair-cell degeneration and progression of hearing impairment, particularly for mid-range sound frequencies (8-23 kHz). The second study used a Staphylococcus aureus Cas9 (SaCas9) variant, SaCas9-KKH, in AAV2/Anc80L65, which transduces IHCs very efficiently and OHCs less efficiently (Table 2 and BOX 1). This approach achieved 10-fold higher levels of Tmc1 gene editing compared with the first study¹²⁵ and almost completely prevented hearing impairment at low- and some mid-range frequencies (5-15 kHz)¹³⁷. The third proofof-concept used SpCas9 and AAV2/9-PHP.B, which has a higher OHC transduction efficiency than AAV2/Anc80L65, preventing hearing impairment at low- and midrange frequencies 138. The duration of the positive effects differed between the studies, decreasing strongly 2 months after intervention for the RNP-based study¹³⁴, but persisting, almost unchanged, for all frequencies but the highest, up to 6 months (the last day of hearing exploration) for the two AAV-based studies 137,138.

Two other proof-of-concept studies with positive effects obtained by CRISPR– Cas9 editing were performed in mice carrying either a semi-dominant mutation in the IHC- and OHC-expressed myosin 6-encoding gene ($Myo6^{C442\,Y/+}$) or a dominant mutation in the OHC-expressed Kv7.4 voltage-gated potassium channel subunit gene ($Kcnq4^{W276S/+}$)^{135,136}. A post-hearing onset of deafness was documented only for $Myo6^{C442\,Y/+}$. The $Myo6^{C442\,Y}$ allele was silenced by rAAV2/9-PHP.B, encoding SaCas9 and sgRNA, whereas the $Kcnq4^{W276S}$ allele was silenced by a dual rAAV2/Anc80L65 vector encoding split-intein SpCas9 and sgRNA. However, the effect had decreased considerably at 5 months for $Myo6^{C442\,Y/+}$ and no longer persisted after 7 weeks for $Kcnq4^{W276S/+}$ (Table 2 and BOX 1).

Owing the genotoxicity of the DSBs, cells have evolved multiple pathways for their repairs. Those allowing to correct defective genes in post-mitotic cells would considerably extend the therapeutic potential of CRISPR-Cas9 for post-natal gene therapy intervention for deafness, provided the DSB repair pathway is active in postmitotic cochlear cells. These pathways are being actively explored, the only pathway well explored thus far is based on HDR but up to recent data¹⁴⁰, was sought to be active only in S and G2 phases. As a result, the microhomology-mediated end-joining (MMEJ) (in some respects related to end-joints DNA DSB repair systems, the classical NHEJ (cNHEJ)), being active without DNA template and throughout the cell cycle¹⁴¹ that is including in post-mitotic cells, although being prone to erros was considered as a possible alternative option. Taken into account in Delphi'prediction for gRNA proposed to have the highly efficient correction, selected gRNAs were shown to promote mutation correction and duplication suppression ¹⁴²Interestingly, mutation correction by CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing through MMEJ repair has already produced encouraging results in retinal post-mitotic cells in a mouse model of inherited retinal degeneration¹⁴³. Furthermore, efficient repair of frameshift mutations by NHEJ-mediated CRISPR-Cas9 acting without template but with selected guide RNAs was recently demonstrated in vivo, in post-mitotic hair cells¹⁴⁴. An inner ear intervention on P2 in Pcdh15av3J/av3J mice carrying a biallelic single-nucleotide insertion leading to a frameshift mutation in the gene encoding PCDH15, a component of the mechanoelectrical transduction machinery, was shown to restore the coding frame in about half the major edit products; the effect on hearing threshold was modest, albeit significant, but was strong on the balance defects 144.

[H3] Base editing and prime editing

Two strategies expanding CRISPR–Cas9-based gene-editing technologies have recently been developed: base-editing^{145,146} and prime-editing¹⁴⁷. Both aim to correct pathogenic variants without generating DSBs, thereby avoiding all the uncontrolled editing outcomes. They are efficient in post-mitotic cells.

Cytosine base editors (CBEs) use a catalytically dead SpCas9 (dCas9, which lacks nuclease activity) fused to a single-stranded DNA-specific cytidine deaminase domain, acting as an editor, and a sgRNA complementary to the target genomic DNA^{145,146} (FIG. 2Bb). The CBE converts cytosine to uracil, which base pairs like thymine. The cytidine deaminase domain has also been replaced by a laboratoryevolved single-stranded DNA adenosine deaminase, acting as an adenosine base editor (ABE)¹⁴⁸. This enzyme converts adenosine within the editing window into inosine, which base pairs like quanine. The resulting heteroduplexes are resolved by cellular DNA mismatch repair mechanisms installing pairing based on the complementary DNA strand, resulting in the conversion of the C•G pair into a T•A pair 145 and of the A•T pair into a G•C pair 148 for CBE and ABE, respectively. Overall, 47% of pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants causing hearing impairment are transition SNVs (according to the ClinVar database) and could, therefore, potentially be corrected by base editing (79% with an ABE and 21% with a CBE)^{79,149}. Inner-ear gene-base editing was first reported in 2017, with the aim of achieving hair cell regeneration 150,151. A single base-editing approach addressing an inherited form of SNHI has been reported to date 152 (Table 2). The effect of the CBE was investigated in the Baringo mouse mutant (Tmc1Y182C/Y182C), which carries a biallelic loss-offunction variant of Tmc1 (c.545A>G; p.Tyr182Cys). Baringo mutants have been reported to be profoundly deaf by the age of 4 weeks. Injections of the gene therapy agent on P1 had a modest effect on hearing thresholds, for all frequencies, in 4week-old mice; this effect had almost completely disappeared within 2 weeks¹⁵².

First reported in 2019^{147,153}, prime editing involves a Cas9 nickase (H840A) fused to a reverse transcriptase (the Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase), an RNA-dependent DNA polymerase acting as an editor. This enzyme uses a prime-editing guide RNA (pegRNA) as a template for polymerization of a complementary edited DNA strand, which is then introduced into the target DNA (FIG. 2Bc). As in base editing, the heteroduplex is resolved by mismatch repair mechanisms. As prime editing can operate on all SNVs including transversions, it is possible to introduce any type of DNA base-pair substitution. It can also introduce

small insertions (up to 44 bp) and deletions (up to 80 bp), eliminating such indel genomic variants¹⁴⁷ (FIG. 2Bc). No proof-of-concept studies have been reported for prime editing in deaf mouse mutants, probably reflecting the need to improve the efficiency of this gene therapy approach *in vivo*^{154,155}. However, according to the ClinVar database, 53% of all pathogenic or potentially pathogenic variants causing monogenic hearing impairment could be repaired only by prime editing (half these variants being transversion SNVs, the other half being small indels^{79,149}).

[H2] RNA-targeting approaches

A few proof-of-concept studies for positive effects on hearing have been obtained with RNA-targeting methods in mouse models of human deafness. They used antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs), small interfering RNA (siRNA)-related or RNA-editing approaches. One of the earliest studies in a mouse model of human congenital hereditary deafness (Ush1c^{tm1Bkts/tm1Bkts}) concerned a form of USH1, USH1C, caused by a biallelic exonic cryptic 5' splice donor site variant of the harmonin gene (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 2); in this proof-of-concept, an ASO was used as a steric blocker to mask the cryptic splice site¹⁵⁶. Interventions on P1 have been reported to improve hearing substantially, with only a slight decline after 6 months, and to abolish associated vestibular defects up to the age of 2 years¹⁵⁷. By contrast, no effect on hearing was detected for ASO or gene augmentation interventions on P10¹¹¹. These negative results, despite positive effects of these two therapeutic agents for interventions on P0–P2, suggest that the failure to achieve preventive effects is due to the occurrence of irreversible cochlear damage between P2 and P10.

A siRNA complementary to the mutated *Tmc1* transcript of the *Tmc1*^{Bth/+} mutant¹⁵⁸ synthesized from a rAAV-expressing a precursor, a pri-miRNA scaffold (also referred to as artificial miRNA-miTmc1)¹⁵⁸, prevented the development of hearing impairment following inner ear interventions in P0-P2 *Tmc1*^{Bth/+} mutant mice (Table 2)¹⁵⁸. This effect persisted for up to 8 months, but began to decline at about 5.5 months¹⁵⁸. As seen above, a CRISPR-Cas9 gene-editing approach silencing the mutant allele of *Tmc1*^{Bth/+} mice at the same age also prevented hearing impairment, but with a more pronounced effect on hearing that persisted unchanged for up to six months¹³⁷. Interventions with artificial miRNA-miTmc1 performed shortly after hearing onset at P15/P16 resulted in only a modest effect on hearing impairment, restricted to

low frequencies, and interventions at 2 months led to no recovery or slowing of the progression of hearing loss¹⁵⁹. Results for CRISPR–Cas9 interventions performed after hearing onset in the same mouse model should help to determine whether irreversible cochlear cell damage has already occurred by these timepoints.

Finally, several RNA-editing approaches for mRNA degradation or correction have emerged¹⁶⁰. Among them, an RNA-guided RNA endonuclease acting with a sgRNA to cleave complementary mRNA was recently shown to partially prevent hearing loss after P1–P2 intervention in *Tmc1*^{Bth/+} mutants¹⁶¹.

[H2] Moving from mouse to human

Determining the genetic architecture of SNHI and advances in gene therapy technology have naturally led to gene therapy emerging as a very attractive approach for treating inherited forms of deafness. The vast majority of proof-of-concept studies positive effects hearing only have reported on for neonatal replacement/augmentation interventions performed no later than P7 (that is, before normal hearing onset at P12) in mouse models of human congenital forms of deafness, thereby establishing the feasibility of hearing impairment prevention, but not cure. Moreover, given the major heterochrony between the auditory systems of mice and humans, these gene therapy interventions for preventing congenital deafness in humans would need to be performed in utero. Remarkably, two exceptions have emerged: the curing of hearing impairment in Otof /- mice 112,113, a model of the DFNB9 form of human congenital deafness, and in Vglut3^{-/-} mice, a model of DFNA25^{102,114}.

These results are particularly promising and should shed light on the difficulties encountered in attempts to cure SNHI in mouse models of other human forms of congenital deafness. These difficulties might be simply explained by inner ear therapeutic interventions after P7 being highly traumatic. However, the success achieved with the same delivery routes in $Otof^{\prime-}$ mice and $Vglut3^{-\prime-}$ makes this assumption highly unlikely. The most straightforward explanation would be the existence of irreversible damage to the cells directly affected by the gene defect before P8, with any loss of cochlear cells occurring at later time points in the mouse models of human deafness tested 116,118,138. Consistent with this hypothesis, $Otof^{\prime-}$ and $Vglut3^{-\prime-}$ mice are the only known mutants with SNHI caused by IHC synaptic vesicle defects affecting release of the neurotransmitter glutamate. Morphological

defects are also minimal in these mutants and are at least partly reversed by gene therapy. Furthermore, most mouse models of congenital forms of human deafness display abnormalities in utero, whereas the defective exocytosis of Otof /- mice occurs postnatally¹⁶². The absence of rescue of the hearing phenotype for interventions performed after P7 in mouse models in which vestibular defects were effectively cured even by interventions on P30, is also consistent with the occurrence of irreversible cochlear damage¹¹⁸. However, indirect effects of damaged target cells on their neighbouring cells — which cannot be reversed by treating only the target cells — may also contribute to the failure to cure hearing impairment in other mouse models. Both Otof'- and Valut3^{-/-} mice also escape this possibility to at least some extent: the partial postsynaptic recovery of primary auditory neurons suggests that the post-synapse remains sufficiently plastic to respond to the restoration of presynaptic function 112,114. Another possible explanation is differences in the impact of defective IHC glutamate release (in Otof and Valut3- mice) and impaired mechanoelectrical transduction activity (overrepresented in proof-of-concept studies to date) on subtype specification of the primary auditory neurons, occurring during the postnatal prehearing period¹⁶³. Certain pathophysiological manifestations known to be stronger in the cochlea than in the vestibule, such as inflammation or oxidative stress, may be involved⁹⁵. Finally, the early postnatal environment of pups may exacerbate the consequences of genetic defects in some mutant mice. Some of these causes may be of no relevance to humans: for instance, cochlear stimulation (via air or soft-tissue conduction) may aggravate some cochlear abnormalities in mice, which are highly vocal and produce very loud sounds from birth to weaning at about P20.

The maintenance of hearing improvement is another critical issue for the translation of preclinical studies into clinical trials. Long-term effects have rarely been described. Long-lasting positive effects have been reported for the cure of hearing impairment by gene replacement in *Otof*^{-/-} and *Vglut3*^{-/-} mice, and for the prevention (interventions on P0 to P2) of two forms of progressive hearing loss with post-hearing onset, *Tmc1*^{Bth/+} and *TgAC1;Clrn1*^{-/-}, through CRISPR–Cas9-mediated mutated allele silencing and gene replacement, respectively^{100,137,138}. Plausible explanations for the decline of positive effects over time include the development of adverse effects, such as inflammatory and immune responses or toxic accumulations of the proteins encoded by some therapeutic genes (with expression driven by strong ubiquitous

promoters in gene replacement/augmentation strategies). The long-term persistence of positive effects if the initial preventive or curative effect is sufficiently extensive to counter the pervasive negative effect of untreated defective cells has been proposed as a unifying explanation. This hypothesis is supported by experimental data¹³⁷; achieving full initial recovery may, therefore, be seen as a major objective.

The current difficulties in curing hearing impairment by gene therapy in mouse models of human congenital deafness highlight the need to improve our understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms operating throughout the cochlea in the various forms of deafness and over long time periods. In parallel, given our current inability to cure these deafness forms within a therapeutic window transferable to babies or young children, we must be prepared for the probable need to associate complementary therapeutic adjustments with gene therapy to ensure the successful cure of these forms of hereditary deafness. The results currently available also highlight the need for models of human hereditary deafness in mammals with auditory systems more closely resembling those of humans in terms of both developmental timing and physiological characteristics (especially with respect to sound frequency range detection, the perception of low-frequency sound (<2 kHz) being particularly crucial for speech understanding, whereas such frequencies are not detected by mice); such models are currently scarce 164,165.

By contrast, attempts to develop gene therapy for later-onset, generally progressive DFNA forms are gaining momentum⁵⁶. Gene therapy for monogenic DFNA and DFNB forms with a postlingual onset of hearing impairment (in children to middle-aged adults (early age-related hearing loss)) should, indeed, have several advantages. It should benefit from the existence of a broad therapeutic time window for interventions, taking into account both the timing of the onset of cochlear defects and the time at which auditory cortical maps and phonological representations become established. The time window for interventions for such forms would limit dissemination of the gene therapy agent into the brain parenchyma, as there is a progressive occlusion of communication between the cochlear perilymph and cerebrospinal fluid in humans. Several POCs have already been obtained for positive effects in mouse models of some DFNA forms with a post-hearing onset. However, the interventions in these POC studies were performed during the early neonatal period, the effects therefore being preventive but not curative, and transfer to humans would imply in utero intervention. Future studies extending these results by

demonstrating a preventive effect of gene therapy interventions performed after hearing onset in mutant mouse models are therefore required for the development of postnatal gene therapy interventions in humans. However, the success of gene therapy in this context will depend on diagnosis being made as closest as possible with respect to the first signs of hearing impairment. This will be easiest for familial cases but will undoubtedly require the development of highly sensitive clinical tests, which will also be essential for assessments of the benefits of gene therapy. Interestingly, recent results have revealed that some deafness forms hitherto classified as congenital display an overlooked progressivity; moreover, some cases escape detection in neonatal auditory screening tests, suggesting a possible postnatal onset 166.

One unexpectedly robust feature emerging from proof-of-concept studies is the amenability of congenital inherited vestibular defects to gene therapy. Whatever the strategy used — gene therapy or RNA-based therapy — congenital vestibular defects associated with deafness are much more efficiently prevented than cochlear defects and can even be cured. The cochlea was derived from the vestibule during evolution, subsequently acquiring characteristics potentially accounting for this differential response to gene therapy. Notably, the vestibular hair cells can spontaneously regenerate, at least in embryonic and newborn mice 167,168, whereas cochlear hair cells have lost this capacity 169,170. The higher-frequency responses of cochlear cells than of vestibular hair cells, together with the specific tonotopically tuned structure and function of cochlear cells, may translate into more stringent requirements for functional restoration than those of impaired vestibular hair cells. The results obtained in mouse models, with highly effective long-term effects lasting the entire lifetime of the animal, and a wide therapeutic window for curative interventions¹¹⁸, suggest that gene therapy providing a permanent solution to genetic vestibular disorders in humans is within reach. Surprisingly, the impact of balance disorders on health is largely underappreciated 171. Balance disorders of vestibular origin are a common clinical problem in the elderly and may compromise independence, as they increase the risk of falls and injury and are associated with considerable morbidity. Moreover, balance disorders at any age, even in the young, are markedly aggravated when associated with visual impairment, as in USH1.

[H1] Conclusions

With the very promising preclinical results obtained with gene replacement approaches in a mouse model Otof^{/-}of DFNB9, we are rapidly approaching clinical trials of gene therapy for this congenital deafness form. Later onset progressive forms of deafness, whether DFNA or DFNB forms, appear to be particularly favourable for future development of gene therapy. As discussed above, other opportunities should emerge once the timing of irreversible direct or indirect cochlear damage has been determined for various inherited deafness forms and their underlying causes clarified for correcting strategies being associated. For optimizing gene therapy procedures, additional knowledge will also be required, to broaden and deepen our view of the underlying pathophysiological processes, to decipher the regulatory sequences controlling the expression of the therapeutic agents for preventing the possible deleterious effects of their ectopic or uncontrolled expression through the design of specific promoters, and to characterize the signalling pathways operating in the various types of cochlear cells to ensure their long-term survival. In this respect, the ongoing development of cochlear single cell transcriptomic atlases and inner ear organoids should be particularly instructive. Such knowledge will also greatly benefit the development of other therapeutic approaches for SNHI.

REFERENCES

- Olusanya, B. O., Davis, A. C. & Hoffman, H. J. Hearing loss grades and the International classification of functioning, disability and health. *Bull World Health Organ* 97, 725–728 (2019).
- 2. Bussé, A. M. L. *et al.* Prevalence of permanent neonatal hearing impairment: systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis. *Int J Audiol* **59**, 475–485 (2020).
- 3. World report on hearing. https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/world-report-on-hearing.
- 4. Lin, F. R. et al. Hearing loss and incident dementia. Arch Neurol 68, 214–220 (2011).
- 5. Panza, F., Solfrizzi, V. & Logroscino, G. Age-related hearing impairment-a risk factor and frailty marker for dementia and AD. *Nat Rev Neurol* **11**, 166–175 (2015).
- Livingston, G. *et al.* Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. *Lancet* **390**, 2673–2734
 (2017).

- 7. Deal, J. A. *et al.* Hearing treatment for reducing cognitive decline: Design and methods of the Aging and Cognitive Health Evaluation in Elders randomized controlled trial. *Alzheimers Dement* (N Y) 4, 499–507 (2018).
- 8. Basner, M. *et al.* Auditory and non-auditory effects of noise on health. *Lancet* **383**, 1325–1332 (2014).
- Petit, C. Genes responsible for human hereditary deafness: symphony of a thousand. *Nat Genet* 14, 385–391 (1996).
- 10. Richardson, G. P., de Monvel, J. B. & Petit, C. How the genetics of deafness illuminates auditory physiology. *Annu Rev Physiol* **73**, 311–334 (2011).
- Giraudet, F. et al. Rapid exhaustion of auditory neural conduction in a prototypical mitochondrial disease, Friedreich ataxia. Clin Neurophysiol 129, 1121–1129 (2018).
- 12. Guilford, P. *et al.* A non-syndrome form of neurosensory, recessive deafness maps to the pericentromeric region of chromosome 13q. *Nat Genet* **6**, 24–28 (1994).
- Dib, C. et al. A comprehensive genetic map of the human genome based on 5,264 microsatellites. Nature 380, 152–154 (1996).
- 14. Kelsell, D. P. *et al.* Connexin 26 mutations in hereditary non-syndromic sensorineural deafness.

 Nature 387, 80–83 (1997).
- 15. Chan, D. K. & Chang, K. W. GJB2-associated hearing loss: systematic review of worldwide prevalence, genotype, and auditory phenotype. *Laryngoscope* **124**, E34-53 (2014).
- 16. Friedman, T. B. *et al.* A gene for congenital, recessive deafness DFNB3 maps to the pericentromeric region of chromosome 17. *Nat Genet* **9**, 86–91 (1995).
- 17. Veske, A. *et al.* Autosomal recessive non-syndromic deafness locus (DFNB8) maps on chromosome 21q22 in a large consanguineous kindred from Pakistan. *Hum Mol Genet* **5**, 165–168 (1996).
- 18. Riazuddin, S. et al. Dominant modifier DFNM1 suppresses recessive deafness DFNB26. *Nat Genet* **26**, 431–434 (2000).

- 19. Ansar, M. *et al.* A novel autosomal recessive non-syndromic deafness locus (DFNB35) maps to 14q24.1-14q24.3 in large consanguineous kindred from Pakistan. *Eur J Hum Genet* **11**, 77–80 (2003).
- 20. Chaïb, H. *et al.* A gene responsible for a sensorineural nonsyndromic recessive deafness maps to chromosome 2p22-23. *Hum Mol Genet* **5**, 155–158 (1996).
- 21. Walsh, T. *et al.* From flies' eyes to our ears: Mutations in a human class III myosin cause progressive nonsyndromic hearing loss DFNB30. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**, 7518–7523 (2002).
- 22. Yasunaga, S. *et al.* A mutation in OTOF, encoding otoferlin, a FER-1-like protein, causes DFNB9, a nonsyndromic form of deafness. *Nat Genet* **21**, 363–369 (1999).
- 23. Verpy, E. *et al.* A defect in harmonin, a PDZ domain-containing protein expressed in the inner ear sensory hair cells, underlies Usher syndrome type 1C. *Nat Genet* **26**, 51–55 (2000).
- 24. Verpy, E. *et al.* Mutations in a new gene encoding a protein of the hair bundle cause non-syndromic deafness at the DFNB16 locus. *Nat Genet* **29**, 345–349 (2001).
- 25. Zwaenepoel, I. *et al.* Otoancorin, an inner ear protein restricted to the interface between the apical surface of sensory epithelia and their overlying acellular gels, is defective in autosomal recessive deafness DFNB22. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**, 6240–6245 (2002).
- 26. Weil, D. *et al.* Defective myosin VIIA gene responsible for Usher syndrome type 1B. *Nature* **374**, 60–61 (1995).
- 27. Gibson, F. *et al.* A type VII myosin encoded by the mouse deafness gene shaker-1. *Nature* **374**, 62–64 (1995).
- 28. Kurima, K. *et al.* Dominant and recessive deafness caused by mutations of a novel gene, TMC1, required for cochlear hair-cell function. *Nat Genet* **30**, 277–284 (2002).
- 29. Vreugde, S. *et al.* Beethoven, a mouse model for dominant, progressive hearing loss DFNA36.

 Nat Genet **30**, 257–258 (2002).

- 30. Bork, J. M. *et al.* Usher syndrome 1D and nonsyndromic autosomal recessive deafness DFNB12 are caused by allelic mutations of the novel cadherin-like gene CDH23. *Am J Hum Genet* **68**, 26–37 (2001).
- 31. Li, X. C. *et al.* A mutation in PDS causes non-syndromic recessive deafness. *Nat Genet* **18**, 215–217 (1998).
- 32. Avraham, K. B. *et al.* The mouse Snell's waltzer deafness gene encodes an unconventional myosin required for structural integrity of inner ear hair cells. *Nat Genet* **11**, 369–375 (1995).
- 33. Wang, A. *et al.* Association of unconventional myosin MYO15 mutations with human nonsyndromic deafness DFNB3. *Science* **280**, 1447–1451 (1998).
- 34. Abu Rayyan, A. *et al.* Genomic analysis of inherited hearing loss in the Palestinian population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 20070–20076 (2020).
- 35. Denoyelle, F. *et al.* Clinical features of the prevalent form of childhood deafness, DFNB1, due to a connexin-26 gene defect: implications for genetic counselling. *Lancet* **353**, 1298–1303 (1999).
- 36. Adadey, S. M. *et al.* Connexin Genes Variants Associated with Non-Syndromic Hearing Impairment: A Systematic Review of the Global Burden. *Life (Basel)* **10**, E258 (2020).
- 37. D'Adamo, P. *et al.* Does epidermal thickening explain GJB2 high carrier frequency and heterozygote advantage? *Eur J Hum Genet* **17**, 284–286 (2009).
- 38. Simpson, C., Kelsell, D. P. & Marchès, O. Connexin 26 facilitates gastrointestinal bacterial infection in vitro. *Cell Tissue Res* **351**, 107–116 (2013).
- 39. Leon, P. E., Raventos, H., Lynch, E., Morrow, J. & King, M. C. The gene for an inherited form of deafness maps to chromosome 5q31. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**, 5181–5184 (1992).
- 40. Sheffield, A. M. & Smith, R. J. H. The Epidemiology of Deafness. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **9**, a033258 (2019).
- 41. Hereditary Hearing Loss and Its Syndromes Third Edition | European Journal of Human Genetics. https://www.nature.com/articles/ejhg201667.

- 42. Weil, D. *et al.* The autosomal recessive isolated deafness, DFNB2, and the Usher 1B syndrome are allelic defects of the myosin-VIIA gene. *Nat Genet* **16**, 191–193 (1997).
- 43. Bitner-Glindzicz, M. *et al.* A recessive contiguous gene deletion causing infantile hyperinsulinism, enteropathy and deafness identifies the Usher type 1C gene. *Nat Genet* **26**, 56–60 (2000).
- 44. Ahmed, Z. M. *et al.* Mutations of the protocadherin gene PCDH15 cause Usher syndrome type 1F. *Am J Hum Genet* **69**, 25–34 (2001).
- 45. Weil, D. *et al.* Usher syndrome type I G (USH1G) is caused by mutations in the gene encoding SANS, a protein that associates with the USH1C protein, harmonin. *Hum Mol Genet* **12**, 463–471 (2003).
- 46. Klimara, M. J. *et al.* De novo variants are a common cause of genetic hearing loss. *Genet Med*24, 2555–2567 (2022).
- 47. Yan, D. et al. Mutation of the ATP-gated P2X(2) receptor leads to progressive hearing loss and increased susceptibility to noise. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 2228–2233 (2013).
- 48. Brown, K. D. *et al.* Activation of SIRT3 by the NAD⁺ precursor nicotinamide riboside protects from noise-induced hearing loss. *Cell Metab* **20**, 1059–1068 (2014).
- 49. Delmaghani, S. *et al.* Hypervulnerability to Sound Exposure through Impaired Adaptive Proliferation of Peroxisomes. *Cell* **163**, 894–906 (2015).
- 50. Gilels, F., Paquette, S. T., Beaulac, H. J., Bullen, A. & White, P. M. Severe hearing loss and outer hair cell death in homozygous Foxo3 knockout mice after moderate noise exposure. *Sci Rep* **7**, 1054 (2017).
- 51. Mao, H. & Chen, Y. Noise-Induced Hearing Loss: Updates on Molecular Targets and Potential Interventions. *Neural Plast* **2021**, 4784385 (2021).
- 52. Van Eyken, E., Van Camp, G. & Van Laer, L. The complexity of age-related hearing impairment: contributing environmental and genetic factors. *Audiol Neurootol* **12**, 345–358 (2007).

- 53. Bowl, M. R. & Dawson, S. J. Age-Related Hearing Loss. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **9**, a033217 (2019).
- 54. Wells, H. R. R. *et al.* GWAS Identifies 44 Independent Associated Genomic Loci for Self-Reported Adult Hearing Difficulty in UK Biobank. *Am J Hum Genet* **105**, 788–802 (2019).
- 55. Ivarsdottir, E. V. *et al.* The genetic architecture of age-related hearing impairment revealed by genome-wide association analysis. *Commun Biol* **4**, 706 (2021).
- 56. Boucher, S. *et al.* Ultrarare heterozygous pathogenic variants of genes causing dominant forms of early-onset deafness underlie severe presbycusis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **117**, 31278–31289 (2020).
- 57. Tam, V. *et al.* Benefits and limitations of genome-wide association studies. *Nat Rev Genet* **20**, 467–484 (2019).
- 58. Miao, L. *et al.* An overview of research trends and genetic polymorphisms for noise-induced hearing loss from 2009 to 2018. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* **26**, 34754–34774 (2019).
- 59. Lavinsky, J. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies nox3 as a critical gene for susceptibility to noise-induced hearing loss. *PLoS Genet* **11**, e1005094 (2015).
- 60. Chen, X.-M. *et al.* The Role of Genetic Variants in the Susceptibility of Noise-Induced Hearing Loss. *Front Cell Neurosci* **16**, 946206 (2022).
- 61. Gallego-Martinez, A., Requena, T., Roman-Naranjo, P. & Lopez-Escamez, J. A. Excess of Rare Missense Variants in Hearing Loss Genes in Sporadic Meniere Disease. *Front Genet* 10, 76 (2019).
- 62. Richardson, G. P. & Petit, C. Hair-Bundle Links: Genetics as the Gateway to Function. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **9**, a033142 (2019).
- 63. Safieddine, S., El-Amraoui, A. & Petit, C. The auditory hair cell ribbon synapse: from assembly to function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **35**, 509–528 (2012).
- 64. Rutherford, M. A., von Gersdorff, H. & Goutman, J. D. Encoding sound in the cochlea: from receptor potential to afferent discharge. *J Physiol* **599**, 2527–2557 (2021).

- 65. Zheng, J. *et al.* Prestin is the motor protein of cochlear outer hair cells. *Nature* **405**, 149–155 (2000).
- 66. Lewis, M. A. *et al.* An ENU-induced mutation of miR-96 associated with progressive hearing loss in mice. *Nat Genet* **41**, 614–618 (2009).
- 67. Mencía, A. *et al.* Mutations in the seed region of human miR-96 are responsible for nonsyndromic progressive hearing loss. *Nat Genet* **41**, 609–613 (2009).
- 68. Geng, R. *et al.* The microRNA-183/96/182 Cluster is Essential for Stereociliary Bundle Formation and Function of Cochlear Sensory Hair Cells. *Sci Rep* **8**, 18022 (2018).
- 69. Avraham, K. B. et al. The noncoding genome and hearing loss. Hum Genet 141, 323–333 (2022).
- 70. Starr, A. & Rance, G. Auditory neuropathy. *Handb Clin Neurol* **129**, 495–508 (2015).
- 71. Kanzaki, S., Toyoda, M., Umezawa, A. & Ogawa, K. Application of Mesenchymal Stem Cell

 Therapy and Inner Ear Regeneration for Hearing Loss: A Review. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, 5764 (2020).
- 72. Bramhall, N. F., Shi, F., Arnold, K., Hochedlinger, K. & Edge, A. S. B. Lgr5-positive supporting cells generate new hair cells in the postnatal cochlea. *Stem Cell Reports* **2**, 311–322 (2014).
- 73. Lee, M. P. & Waldhaus, J. In vitro and in vivo models: What have we learnt about inner ear regeneration and treatment for hearing loss? *Mol Cell Neurosci* **120**, 103736 (2022).
- 74. Ren, Y., Landegger, L. D. & Stankovic, K. M. Gene Therapy for Human Sensorineural Hearing Loss. *Front Cell Neurosci* **13**, 323 (2019).
- 75. Omichi, R., Shibata, S. B., Morton, C. C. & Smith, R. J. H. Gene therapy for hearing loss. *Hum Mol Genet* **28**, R65–R79 (2019).
- 76. Delmaghani, S. & El-Amraoui, A. Inner Ear Gene Therapies Take Off: Current Promises and Future Challenges. *J Clin Med* **9**, E2309 (2020).
- 77. Farooq, R., Hussain, K., Tariq, M., Farooq, A. & Mustafa, M. CRISPR/Cas9: targeted genome editing for the treatment of hereditary hearing loss. *J Appl Genet* **61**, 51–65 (2020).
- 78. Bankoti, K. *et al.* Advances and challenges in adeno-associated viral inner-ear gene therapy for sensorineural hearing loss. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* **21**, 209–236 (2021).

- 79. Ding, N., Lee, S., Lieber-Kotz, M., Yang, J. & Gao, X. Advances in genome editing for genetic hearing loss. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* **168**, 118–133 (2021).
- 80. Qi, J. *et al.* Current AAV-mediated gene therapy in sensorineural hearing loss. *Fundamental Research* (2022) doi:10.1016/j.fmre.2022.08.015.
- 81. Chang, M. & Kanold, P. O. Development of Auditory Cortex Circuits. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* **22**, 237–259 (2021).
- 82. Meenderink, S. W. F., Shera, C. A., Valero, M. D., Liberman, M. C. & Abdala, C. Morphological Immaturity of the Neonatal Organ of Corti and Associated Structures in Humans. *J Assoc Res Otolaryngol* **20**, 461–474 (2019).
- 83. Lim, D. J. & Anniko, M. Developmental morphology of the mouse inner ear. A scanning electron microscopic observation. *Acta Otolaryngol Suppl* **422**, 1–69 (1985).
- 84. Liberman, L. D. & Liberman, M. C. Postnatal maturation of auditory-nerve heterogeneity, as seen in spatial gradients of synapse morphology in the inner hair cell area. *Hear Res* **339**, 12–22 (2016).
- 85. Babola, T. A. *et al.* Homeostatic Control of Spontaneous Activity in the Developing Auditory System. *Neuron* **99**, 511-524.e5 (2018).
- 86. Michalski, N. & Petit, C. Central auditory deficits associated with genetic forms of peripheral deafness. *Hum Genet* **141**, 335–345 (2022).
- 87. Zhang, L. I., Bao, S. & Merzenich, M. M. Persistent and specific influences of early acoustic environments on primary auditory cortex. *Nat Neurosci* **4**, 1123–1130 (2001).
- 88. Schreiner, C. E. & Polley, D. B. Auditory map plasticity: diversity in causes and consequences.

 *Curr Opin Neurobiol 24, 143–156 (2014).
- 89. Kirk, K. I. *et al.* Effects of age at implantation in young children. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol Suppl* **189**, 69–73 (2002).
- 90. Kral, A., Dorman, M. F. & Wilson, B. S. Neuronal Development of Hearing and Language: Cochlear Implants and Critical Periods. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **42**, 47–65 (2019).

- 91. Eggermont, J. J. Acquired hearing loss and brain plasticity. *Hear Res* **343**, 176–190 (2017).
- 92. Pienkowski, M. & Eggermont, J. J. Cortical tonotopic map plasticity and behavior. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **35**, 2117–2128 (2011).
- 93. Lalwani, A. K., Walsh, B. J., Reilly, P. G., Muzyczka, N. & Mhatre, A. N. Development of in vivo gene therapy for hearing disorders: introduction of adeno-associated virus into the cochlea of the guinea pig. *Gene Ther* **3**, 588–592 (1996).
- 94. Salt, A. N. & Hirose, K. Communication pathways to and from the inner ear and their contributions to drug delivery. *Hear Res* **362**, 25–37 (2018).
- 95. Warchol, M. E. Interactions between Macrophages and the Sensory Cells of the Inner Ear. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* **9**, a033555 (2019).
- 96. Sherkow, J. S., Zettler, P. J. & Greely, H. T. Is it 'gene therapy'? J Law Biosci 5, 786–793 (2018).
- 97. Takeda, H. *et al.* Prenatal electroporation-mediated gene transfer restores Slc26a4 knock-out mouse hearing and vestibular function. *Sci Rep* **9**, 17979 (2019).
- 98. Geng, R. *et al.* Modeling and Preventing Progressive Hearing Loss in Usher Syndrome III. *Sci Rep* 7, 13480 (2017).
- 99. Verdera, H. C., Kuranda, K. & Mingozzi, F. AAV Vector Immunogenicity in Humans: A Long Journey to Successful Gene Transfer. *Mol Ther* **28**, 723–746 (2020).
- 100. Ivanchenko, M. V. *et al.* AAV-S: A versatile capsid variant for transduction of mouse and primate inner ear. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* **21**, 382–398 (2021).
- 101. György, B. *et al.* Rescue of Hearing by Gene Delivery to Inner-Ear Hair Cells Using Exosome-Associated AAV. *Mol Ther* **25**, 379–391 (2017).
- 102. Akil, O. et al. Restoration of hearing in the VGLUT3 knockout mouse using virally mediated gene therapy. *Neuron* **75**, 283–293 (2012).
- 103. Lee, J. *et al.* Efficient viral transduction in mouse inner ear hair cells with utricle injection and AAV9-PHP.B. *Hear Res* **394**, 107882 (2020).

- 104. Yoshimura, H., Shibata, S. B., Ranum, P. T. & Smith, R. J. H. Enhanced viral-mediated cochlear gene delivery in adult mice by combining canal fenestration with round window membrane inoculation. *Sci Rep* **8**, 2980 (2018).
- 105. B, P. et al. TMC1 Forms the Pore of Mechanosensory Transduction Channels in Vertebrate Inner Ear Hair Cells. *Neuron* **99**, (2018).
- 106. Jia, Y. *et al.* TMC1 and TMC2 Proteins Are Pore-Forming Subunits of Mechanosensitive Ion Channels. *Neuron* **105**, 310-321.e3 (2020).
- 107. Akyuz, N. *et al.* Mechanical gating of the auditory transduction channel TMC1 involves the fourth and sixth transmembrane helices. *Sci Adv* **8**, eabo1126 (2022).
- 108. Askew, C. et al. Tmc gene therapy restores auditory function in deaf mice. Sci Transl Med 7, 295ra108 (2015).
- 109. Chien, W. W. *et al.* Gene Therapy Restores Hair Cell Stereocilia Morphology in Inner Ears of Deaf Whirler Mice. *Mol Ther* **24**, 17–25 (2016).
- 110. Emptoz, A. *et al.* Local gene therapy durably restores vestibular function in a mouse model of Usher syndrome type 1G. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, 9695–9700 (2017).
- 111. Pan, B. *et al.* Gene therapy restores auditory and vestibular function in a mouse model of Usher syndrome type 1c. *Nat Biotechnol* **35**, 264–272 (2017).
- 112. Akil, O. *et al.* Dual AAV-mediated gene therapy restores hearing in a DFNB9 mouse model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 4496–4501 (2019).
- 113. Al-Moyed, H. *et al.* A dual-AAV approach restores fast exocytosis and partially rescues auditory function in deaf otoferlin knock-out mice. *EMBO Mol Med* **11**, e9396 (2019).
- 114. Zhao, X., Liu, H., Liu, H., Cai, R. & Wu, H. Gene Therapy Restores Auditory Functions in an Adult Vglut3 Knockout Mouse Model. *Hum Gene Ther* **33**, 729–739 (2022).
- 115. Chang, Q. et al. Virally mediated Kcnq1 gene replacement therapy in the immature scala media restores hearing in a mouse model of human Jervell and Lange-Nielsen deafness syndrome.
 EMBO Mol Med 7, 1077–1086 (2015).

- 116. Isgrig, K. *et al.* Gene Therapy Restores Balance and Auditory Functions in a Mouse Model of Usher Syndrome. *Mol Ther* **25**, 780–791 (2017).
- 117. Wu, X. et al. Gene therapy via canalostomy approach preserves auditory and vestibular functions in a mouse model of Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome type 2. Nat Commun 12, 697 (2021).
- 118. Nist-Lund, C. A. *et al.* Improved TMC1 gene therapy restores hearing and balance in mice with genetic inner ear disorders. *Nat Commun* **10**, 236 (2019).
- 119. Michalski, N. *et al.* Harmonin-b, an actin-binding scaffold protein, is involved in the adaptation of mechanoelectrical transduction by sensory hair cells. *Pflugers Arch* **459**, 115–130 (2009).
- 120. Grillet, N. *et al.* Harmonin mutations cause mechanotransduction defects in cochlear hair cells.

 *Neuron 62, 375–387 (2009).
- 121. Fierz, B. & Poirier, M. G. Biophysics of Chromatin Dynamics. *Annu Rev Biophys* **48**, 321–345 (2019).
- 122. Shivashankar, G. V. Mechanical regulation of genome architecture and cell-fate decisions. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* **56**, 115–121 (2019).
- 123. Pascual-Garcia, P. & Capelson, M. Nuclear pores in genome architecture and enhancer function.

 *Curr Opin Cell Biol 58, 126–133 (2019).
- 124. Nozawa, R.-S. & Gilbert, N. RNA: Nuclear Glue for Folding the Genome. *Trends Cell Biol* **29**, 201–211 (2019).
- 125. Ishino, Y., Krupovic, M. & Forterre, P. History of CRISPR-Cas from Encounter with a Mysterious Repeated Sequence to Genome Editing Technology. *J Bacteriol* **200**, e00580-17 (2018).
- 126. Jinek, M. *et al.* A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science* **337**, 816–821 (2012).
- 127. Mali, P. et al. RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. Science 339, 823–826 (2013).
- 128. Rouet, P., Smih, F. & Jasin, M. Expression of a site-specific endonuclease stimulates homologous recombination in mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **91**, 6064–6068 (1994).

- 129. Jasin, M. & Rothstein, R. Repair of strand breaks by homologous recombination. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **5**, a012740 (2013).
- 130. Chen, P. & Segil, N. p27(Kip1) links cell proliferation to morphogenesis in the developing organ of Corti. *Development* **126**, 1581–1590 (1999).
- 131. Jan, T. A. *et al.* Tympanic border cells are Wnt-responsive and can act as progenitors for postnatal mouse cochlear cells. *Development* **140**, 1196–1206 (2013).
- 132. Lim, R. & Brichta, A. M. Anatomical and physiological development of the human inner ear.

 Hear Res 338, 9–21 (2016).
- 133. Zuris, J. A. *et al.* Cationic lipid-mediated delivery of proteins enables efficient protein-based genome editing in vitro and in vivo. *Nat Biotechnol* **33**, 73–80 (2015).
- 134. Gao, X. *et al.* Treatment of autosomal dominant hearing loss by in vivo delivery of genome editing agents. *Nature* **553**, 217–221 (2018).
- 135. Noh, B. *et al.* In vivo outer hair cell gene editing ameliorates progressive hearing loss in dominant-negative Kcnq4 murine model. *Theranostics* **12**, 2465–2482 (2022).
- 136. Xue, Y. et al. Gene editing in a Myo6 semi-dominant mouse model rescues auditory function.

 Molecular Therapy (2021) doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2021.06.015.
- 137. György, B. *et al.* Allele-specific gene editing prevents deafness in a model of dominant progressive hearing loss. *Nat Med* **25**, 1123–1130 (2019).
- 138. Wu, J. et al. Single and Dual Vector Gene Therapy with AAV9-PHP.B Rescues Hearing in Tmc1 Mutant Mice. *Mol Ther* **29**, 973–988 (2021).
- 139. Zhao, Y. *et al.* A novel DFNA36 mutation in TMC1 orthologous to the Beethoven (Bth) mouse associated with autosomal dominant hearing loss in a Chinese family. *PLoS One* **9**, e97064 (2014).
- 140. Kohama, Y. *et al.* Adeno-associated virus-mediated gene delivery promotes S-phase entry-independent precise targeted integration in cardiomyocytes. *Sci Rep* **10**, 15348 (2020).

- 141. Biehs, R. et al. DNA Double-Strand Break Resection Occurs during Non-homologous End Joining in G1 but Is Distinct from Resection during Homologous Recombination. Mol Cell 65, 671-684.e5 (2017).
- 142. Shen, M. W. *et al.* Predictable and precise template-free CRISPR editing of pathogenic variants.

 *Nature 563, 646–651 (2018).
- 143. Nishiguchi, K. M., Fujita, K., Miya, F., Katayama, S. & Nakazawa, T. Single AAV-mediated mutation replacement genome editing in limited number of photoreceptors restores vision in mice. *Nat Commun* **11**, 482 (2020).
- 144. Liu, L. *et al.* Template-independent genome editing in the Pcdh15av-3j mouse, a model of human DFNB23 nonsyndromic deafness. *Cell Rep* **40**, 111061 (2022).
- 145. Komor, A. C., Kim, Y. B., Packer, M. S., Zuris, J. A. & Liu, D. R. Programmable editing of a target base in genomic DNA without double-stranded DNA cleavage. *Nature* **533**, 420–424 (2016).
- 146. Nishida, K. *et al.* Targeted nucleotide editing using hybrid prokaryotic and vertebrate adaptive immune systems. *Science* **353**, aaf8729 (2016).
- 147. Anzalone, A. V. *et al.* Search-and-replace genome editing without double-strand breaks or donor DNA. *Nature* **576**, 149–157 (2019).
- 148. Gaudelli, N. *et al.* Programmable base editing of A•T to G•C in genomic DNA without DNA cleavage. *Nature* **551**, (2017).
- 149. Niggemann, P., György, B. & Chen, Z.-Y. Genome and base editing for genetic hearing loss. *Hear Res* **394**, 107958 (2020).
- 150. Rees, H. A. *et al.* Improving the DNA specificity and applicability of base editing through protein engineering and protein delivery. *Nat Commun* **8**, 15790 (2017).
- 151. Yeh, W.-H., Chiang, H., Rees, H. A., Edge, A. S. B. & Liu, D. R. In vivo base editing of post-mitotic sensory cells. *Nat Commun* **9**, 2184 (2018).
- 152. Yeh, W.-H. *et al.* In vivo base editing restores sensory transduction and transiently improves auditory function in a mouse model of recessive deafness. *Sci Transl Med* **12**, eaay9101 (2020).

- 153. Chen, P. J. & Liu, D. R. Prime editing for precise and highly versatile genome manipulation. *Nat Rev Genet* (2022) doi:10.1038/s41576-022-00541-1.
- 154. Ferreira da Silva, J. et al. Prime editing efficiency and fidelity are enhanced in the absence of mismatch repair. *Nat Commun* **13**, 760 (2022).
- 155. Chen, P. J. *et al.* Enhanced prime editing systems by manipulating cellular determinants of editing outcomes. *Cell* **184**, 5635-5652.e29 (2021).
- 156. Lentz, J. J. *et al.* Rescue of hearing and vestibular function by antisense oligonucleotides in a mouse model of human deafness. *Nat Med* **19**, 345–350 (2013).
- 157. Lentz, J. J. *et al.* Direct Delivery of Antisense Oligonucleotides to the Middle and Inner Ear Improves Hearing and Balance in Usher Mice. *Mol Ther* **28**, 2662–2676 (2020).
- 158. Shibata, S. B. *et al.* RNA Interference Prevents Autosomal-Dominant Hearing Loss. *Am J Hum Genet* **98**, 1101–1113 (2016).
- 159. Yoshimura, H., Shibata, S. B., Ranum, P. T., Moteki, H. & Smith, R. J. H. Targeted Allele

 Suppression Prevents Progressive Hearing Loss in the Mature Murine Model of Human TMC1

 Deafness. *Mol Ther* 27, 681–690 (2019).
- 160. Cox, D. B. T. et al. RNA editing with CRISPR-Cas13. Science 358, 1019–1027 (2017).
- 161. Zheng, Z. *et al.* Preventing autosomal-dominant hearing loss in Bth mice with CRISPR/CasRx-based RNA editing. *Sig Transduct Target Ther* **7**, 1–13 (2022).
- 162. Beurg, M. *et al.* Control of exocytosis by synaptotagmins and otoferlin in auditory hair cells. *J Neurosci* **30**, 13281–13290 (2010).
- 163. Sun, S. *et al.* Hair Cell Mechanotransduction Regulates Spontaneous Activity and Spiral Ganglion Subtype Specification in the Auditory System. *Cell* **174**, 1247-1263.e15 (2018).
- 164. Menchaca, A. *et al.* Otoferlin gene editing in sheep via CRISPR-assisted ssODN-mediated Homology Directed Repair. *Sci Rep* **10**, 5995 (2020).
- 165. Crispo, M. *et al.* Generation of a Human Deafness Sheep Model Using the CRISPR/Cas System. *Methods Mol Biol* **2495**, 233–244 (2022).

- 166. Carlson, R. J. *et al.* Association of Genetic Diagnoses of Childhood-Onset Hearing Loss With Cochlear Implant Outcomes. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* e224463 (2023) doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2022.4463.
- 167. Burns, J. C., Cox, B. C., Thiede, B. R., Zuo, J. & Corwin, J. T. In vivo proliferative regeneration of balance hair cells in newborn mice. *J Neurosci* **32**, 6570–6577 (2012).
- 168. Wang, T. *et al.* Lgr5+ cells regenerate hair cells via proliferation and direct transdifferentiation in damaged neonatal mouse utricle. *Nat Commun* **6**, 6613 (2015).
- 169. Forge, A., Li, L., Corwin, J. T. & Nevill, G. Ultrastructural evidence for hair cell regeneration in the mammalian inner ear. *Science* **259**, 1616–1619 (1993).
- 170. Warchol, M. E., Lambert, P. R., Goldstein, B. J., Forge, A. & Corwin, J. T. Regenerative proliferation in inner ear sensory epithelia from adult guinea pigs and humans. *Science* **259**, 1619–1622 (1993).
- 171. Paplou, V., Schubert, N. M. A. & Pyott, S. J. Age-Related Changes in the Cochlea and Vestibule:

 Shared Patterns and Processes. *Front Neurosci* **15**, 680856 (2021).
- 172. Oestreicher, D., Picher, M. M., Rankovic, V., Moser, T. & Pangrsic, T. Cabp2-Gene Therapy

 Restores Inner Hair Cell Calcium Currents and Improves Hearing in a DFNB93 Mouse Model.

 Front Mol Neurosci 14, 689415 (2021).
- 173. Iizuka, T. *et al.* Perinatal Gjb2 gene transfer rescues hearing in a mouse model of hereditary deafness. *Hum Mol Genet* **24**, 3651–3661 (2015).
- 174. Kim, M.-A. *et al.* Methionine Sulfoxide Reductase B3-Targeted In Utero Gene Therapy Rescues Hearing Function in a Mouse Model of Congenital Sensorineural Hearing Loss. *Antioxid Redox Signal* **24**, 590–602 (2016).
- 175. Rankovic, V. *et al.* Overloaded Adeno-Associated Virus as a Novel Gene Therapeutic Tool for Otoferlin-Related Deafness. *Front Mol Neurosci* **13**, 600051 (2020).
- 176. Tang, H. *et al.* Hearing of Otof-deficient mice restored by trans-splicing of N- and C-terminal otoferlin. *Hum Genet* **142**, 289–304 (2023).

- 177. Lu, Y.-C. *et al.* Gene therapy with a synthetic adeno-associated viral vector improves audiovestibular phenotypes in Pjvk-mutant mice. *JCI Insight* **7**, e152941 (2022).
- 178. Kim, M.-A. *et al.* Gene therapy for hereditary hearing loss by SLC26A4 mutations in mice reveals distinct functional roles of pendrin in normal hearing. *Theranostics* **9**, 7184–7199 (2019).
- 179. Tao, Y. et al. AAV-ie-K558R mediated cochlear gene therapy and hair cell regeneration. Signal Transduct Target Ther **7**, 109 (2022).
- 180. Shubina-Oleinik, O. *et al.* Dual-vector gene therapy restores cochlear amplification and auditory sensitivity in a mouse model of DFNB16 hearing loss. *Sci Adv* **7**, eabi7629 (2021).
- 181. Taiber, S. et al. Neonatal AAV gene therapy rescues hearing in a mouse model of SYNE4 deafness. EMBO Mol Med 13, e13259 (2021).
- 182. Dulon, D. *et al.* Clarin-1 gene transfer rescues auditory synaptopathy in model of Usher syndrome. *J Clin Invest* **128**, 3382–3401 (2018).
- 183. György, B. *et al.* Gene Transfer with AAV9-PHP.B Rescues Hearing in a Mouse Model of Usher Syndrome 3A and Transduces Hair Cells in a Non-human Primate. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* **13**, 1–13 (2019).
- 184. Jiang, F. & Doudna, J. A. CRISPR-Cas9 Structures and Mechanisms. *Annu Rev Biophys* **46**, 505–529 (2017).
- 185. Shibata, S. B., Yoshimura, H., Ranum, P. T., Goodwin, A. T. & Smith, R. J. H. Intravenous rAAV2/9 injection for murine cochlear gene delivery. *Sci Rep* **7**, 9609 (2017).
- 186. Yamasoba, T., Yagi, M., Roessler, B. J., Miller, J. M. & Raphael, Y. Inner ear transgene expression after adenoviral vector inoculation in the endolymphatic sac. *Hum Gene Ther* **10**, 769–774 (1999).
- 187. Flores García, M. de L., Llata Segura, C. de la, Cisneros Lesser, J. C. & Pane Pianese, C. Endolymphatic Sac Surgery for Ménière's Disease Current Opinion and Literature Review. *Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol* **21**, 179–183 (2017).

- 188. Li, C. & Samulski, R. J. Engineering adeno-associated virus vectors for gene therapy. *Nat Rev Genet* **21**, 255–272 (2020).
- 189. Tabebordbar, M. *et al.* Directed evolution of a family of AAV capsid variants enabling potent muscle-directed gene delivery across species. *Cell* **184**, 4919-4938.e22 (2021).
- 190. Ahmad, S., Chen, S., Sun, J. & Lin, X. Connexins 26 and 30 are co-assembled to form gap junctions in the cochlea of mice. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **307**, 362–368 (2003).
- 191. Everett, L. A., Morsli, H., Wu, D. K. & Green, E. D. Expression pattern of the mouse ortholog of the Pendred's syndrome gene (Pds) suggests a key role for pendrin in the inner ear. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 9727–9732 (1999).
- 192. Ivanchenko, M. V. *et al.* Preclinical testing of AAV9-PHP.B for transgene expression in the non-human primate cochlea. *Hear Res* **394**, 107930 (2020).
- 193. Andres-Mateos, E. *et al.* Choice of vector and surgical approach enables efficient cochlear gene transfer in nonhuman primate. *Nature Communications* **13**, 1359 (2022).
- 194. Lai, Y. *et al.* Efficient in vivo gene expression by trans-splicing adeno-associated viral vectors.

 Nat Biotechnol 23, 1435–1439 (2005).
- 195. Akil, O. Dual and triple AAV delivery of large therapeutic gene sequences into the inner ear.

 Hear Res 394, 107912 (2020).
- 196. Li, J., Sun, W., Wang, B., Xiao, X. & Liu, X.-Q. Protein trans-splicing as a means for viral vector-mediated in vivo gene therapy. *Hum Gene Ther* **19**, 958–964 (2008).
- 197. Ahmed, K. S. *et al.* Liposome: composition, characterisation, preparation, and recent innovation in clinical applications. *J Drug Target* **27**, 742–761 (2019).
- 198. Kalluri, R. & LeBleu, V. S. The biology, function, and biomedical applications of exosomes. *Science* **367**, eaau6977 (2020).
- 199. Meng, W. *et al.* Prospects and challenges of extracellular vesicle-based drug delivery system: considering cell source. *Drug Deliv* **27**, 585–598 (2020).

- 200. Hudry, E. *et al.* Exosome-associated AAV vector as a robust and convenient neuroscience tool. *Gene Ther* 23, 380–392 (2016).
- 201. György, B., Fitzpatrick, Z., Crommentuijn, M. H. W., Mu, D. & Maguire, C. A. Naturally enveloped AAV vectors for shielding neutralizing antibodies and robust gene delivery in vivo. *Biomaterials* **35**, 7598–7609 (2014).
- 202. Cheng, M. *et al.* Neutralizing antibody evasion and transduction with purified extracellular vesicle-enveloped AAV vectors. *Hum Gene Ther* (2021) doi:10.1089/hum.2021.122.
- 203. Sato, Y. T. *et al.* Engineering hybrid exosomes by membrane fusion with liposomes. *Sci Rep* 6,21933 (2016).
- 204. Lin, Y. *et al.* Exosome-Liposome Hybrid Nanoparticles Deliver CRISPR/Cas9 System in MSCs. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* **5**, 1700611 (2018).
- 205. Chuang, Y.-F. *et al.* Approach for in vivo delivery of CRISPR/Cas system: a recent update and future prospect. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **78**, 2683–2708 (2021).
- 206. Jeng, J.-Y. *et al.* AAV-mediated rescue of Eps8 expression in vivo restores hair-cell function in a mouse model of recessive deafness. *Molecular Therapy Methods & Clinical Development* **26**, 355–370 (2022).
- 207. Maeda, Y., Fukushima, K., Nishizaki, K. & Smith, R. J. H. In vitro and in vivo suppression of GJB2 expression by RNA interference. *Hum Mol Genet* **14**, 1641–1650 (2005).
- 208. Yu, Q. et al. Virally expressed connexin26 restores gap junction function in the cochlea of conditional Gjb2 knockout mice. *Gene Ther* **21**, 71–80 (2014).
- 209. Guo, J. *et al.* GJB2 gene therapy and conditional deletion reveal developmental stagedependent effects on inner ear structure and function. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* **23**, 319–333 (2021).
- 210. Wang, L. *et al.* Fetal antisense oligonucleotide therapy for congenital deafness and vestibular dysfunction. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 5065–5080 (2020).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Paul Avan for fruitful discussions on physiological aspects and Nicolas Michalski, Sedigheh Delmaghani and Jacques Boutet de Monvel for critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank Charlotte Calvet (IdA) for help with the figures and Elliot Gomard for assistance with cochlea drawings (*Communication Institutionnelle et Image, Institut Pasteur*). This work was supported by *Fondation pour l'Audition* (FPA IDA05 to CP; FPA IDA08 to SS), Ile de France (*DIM Thérapie génique* to CP and SS), EARGENCURE (ANR-17-CE18-0027 to SS), PRESAGE (ANR-21-CE14-0075 to CP), RHU AUDINNOVE (ANR-18-RHUS-0007 to CP and SS).

Table 1. Physiological defects of human monogenic forms of isolated earlyonset sensorineural deafness

Gene	Protein name	Deafness form	OMIM
symbol			identifier
Auditory m	nechanoelectrical transduction machinery		
CDHR15	Cadherin-related 15	DFNB23 USH1F	605514
CDHR23	Cadherin-related 23	DFNB12 USH1D	602092
CIB2	Calcium and integrin-binding family member 2	DFNB48	605564
CLRN1 [#]	Clarin-1	USH3A	606397
CLRN2	Clarin-2	DFNB117	619174
LHFPL5	LHFPL tetraspan subfamily member 5 protein	DFNB66/67	609427
LRTOMT	Transmembrane O-methyltransferase	DFNB63	612414
MYO7A*	Unconventional myosin-VIIa	DFNB2/DFNA11	276903
		USH1B	
TMC1	Transmembrane channel-like protein 1	DFNB7/11/DFNA36	606706
TMIE	Transmembrane inner ear expressed protein	DFNB6	607237
USH1C	Harmonin	DFNB18 USH1C	605242
USH1G [#]	Scaffold protein containing ankyrin repeats and SAM domain	USH1G	607696
Transcripti	ional regulation and post-transcriptional modifications	I	
BDP1	Transcription factor TFIIIB component B double prime	DFNB112	607012
	homolog		
ESRP1	Epithelial splicing regulatory protein 1	DFNB109	612959
ESRRB	Steroid hormone receptor ERR2	DFNB35	602167
EYA4	Eyes absent homolog 4	DFNA10	603550
GRHL2	Grainyhead-like protein 2 homolog	DFNA28	608576
LMX1A	LIM homeobox transcription factor 1-alpha	DFNA7	600298
MIR96	miRNA96	DFNA50	611606
POU3F4	POU domain, class 3, transcription factor 4	DFNX2	300039

REST RE1-silencing transcription factor DFNA27 600571 SIX1 Homeobox protein SIX1 DFNA23 601205 TRRAP Transformation/transcription domain-associated protein DFNA75 603015 WBP2 WW domain-binding protein 2 DFNB107 609662 Actin cytoskeleton dynamics and associated proteins* DFNA10 102560 Actin cytoskeleton dynamics and associated proteins* DFNA1 602121 DIAPH1 Protein diaphanous homolog 3 AUNA1 609122 BLMO03 ELMO domain-containing protein 3 DFNB88 615427 EPS812 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 DFNB102 600206 EPS812 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein DFNB36 606351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 606351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 MYH9 Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA1 606808 MYH9 Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA1 606808 MYY19 Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA2<	POU4F3	POU domain, class 4, transcription factor 3	DFNA15	602460
TRRAP Transformation/transcription domain-associated protein DFNA75 603015 WBP2 WW domain-binding protein 2 DFNB107 606962 Actin cytoskeleton dynamics and associated proteins* DFNA20/26 102560 Actin, cytoplasmic 2 DFNA20/26 102560 DIAPH1 Protein diaphanous homolog 1 DFNA1 602121 DIAPH3 Protein diaphanous homolog 3 AUNA1 609129 ELMOD3 ELMO domain-containing protein 3 DFNB88 615427 EPS8 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 DFNB102 600206 EPS8L2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB106 614988 ESPN Espin DFNB36 603351 603351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNB36 604799 MYH9 Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA1 60868 MY903A Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA2 600970 MY076* Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MY077A* Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DF	REST	RE1-silencing transcription factor	DFNA27	600571
WBP2 WW domain-binding protein 2 DFNB107 606962 Actin cytoskeleton dynamics and associated proteins* Actin, cytoplasmic 2 DFNA20/26 102560 DIAPH1 Protein diaphanous homolog 1 DFNA1 602121 DIAPH3 Protein diaphanous homolog 3 AUNA1 609129 ELMOD3 ELMO domain-containing protein 3 DFNB88 615427 EPS8 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 DFNB102 600206 EPS8L2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB106 614988 ESPN Espin DFNB36 606351 606351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 MY91 Myosin-14 DFNA17 160775 MY941 Myosin-14 DFNA17 160775 MY940 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB30/DFNA1 606808 MYO3A Myosin-14 DFNB20/DFNA11 276903 MY07A** Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB30/DFNA11 276903 MY07B Unconventional myosin-VI	SIX1	Homeobox protein SIX1	DFNA23	601205
Actin cytoskeleton dynamics and associated proteins* ACTG1 Actin, cytoplasmic 2 DFNA20/26 102560 DIAPH1 Protein diaphanous homolog 1 DFNA1 602121 DIAPH3 Protein diaphanous homolog 3 AUNA1 609129 ELMOD3 ELMO domain-containing protein 3 DFNB88 615427 EPS8 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 DFNB102 600206 EPS8L2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB36 606351 ESPN Espin DFNB36 606351 HOMER2** Homer protein homolog 2 DFNB36 606351 MYH9 Myosin-14 DFNB36 606358 MYO3A Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA1 60806 MYO3A Myosin-18 DFNB30/DFNA2 600970 MYO7A** Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A** Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Firimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB78 <t< td=""><td>TRRAP</td><td>Transformation/transcription domain-associated protein</td><td>DFNA75</td><td>603015</td></t<>	TRRAP	Transformation/transcription domain-associated protein	DFNA75	603015
ACTG1 Actin, cytoplasmic 2 DFNA20/26 102560 DIAPH1 Protein diaphanous homolog 1 DFNA1 602121 DIAPH3 Protein diaphanous homolog 3 AUNA1 609129 ELMOD3 ELMO domain-containing protein 3 DFNB88 615427 EPS8 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 DFNB102 600206 EFS8L2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB36 604361 EFSPN Espin DFNB36 604361 HOMER2** Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 MYH9 Myosin-9 DFNA17 160775 MYY03A Myosin-1lla DFNB30/DFNA1 606808 MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB30/DFNA1 606808 MYO7A** Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB20 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho	WBP2	WW domain-binding protein 2	DFNB107	606962
DIAPH1 Protein diaphanous homolog 1 DFNA1 602121 DIAPH3 Protein diaphanous homolog 3 AUNA1 609129 ELMOD3 ELMO domain-containing protein 3 DFNB88 615427 EPSB Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 Ibe DFNB102 600206 EPSBL2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB106 614988 ESPN Espin DFNB36 606351 606351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNB46 604799 MYH9 Myosin-14 DFNBA17 160775 MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNBA4A 608568 MYO3A Myosin-11a DFNB30/DFNA1 608608 MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB30/DFNA11 276903 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21	Actin cytos	keleton dynamics and associated proteins ^a		
DIAPH3 Protein diaphanous homolog 3 AUNA1 609129 ELMOD3 ELMO domain-containing protein 3 DFNB88 615427 EPS8 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 DFNB102 600206 EFS8L2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB106 614988 ESPN Espin DFNB36 606351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 MYH9 Myosin-9 DFNA17 160775 MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA1 606808 MYO3A Myosin-114 DFNB30/DFNA1 606808 MYO3A Myosin-114 DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB79 613354 RDX <th< td=""><td>ACTG1</td><td>Actin, cytoplasmic 2</td><td>DFNA20/26</td><td>102560</td></th<>	ACTG1	Actin, cytoplasmic 2	DFNA20/26	102560
ELMOD3 ELMO domain-containing protein 3 DFNB88 615427 EPS8 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 DFNB102 600206 EPS8L2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB106 614988 ESPN Espin DFNB36 606351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 MYH9 Myosin-9 DFNA17 160775 MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA1 606808 MYO3A Myosin-Illa DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB2/DFNA11 276903 MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN	DIAPH1	Protein diaphanous homolog 1	DFNA1	602121
EPS8 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8 DFNB102 600206 EPS8L2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB106 614988 ESPN Espin DFNB36 606351 HOMER2* DFNA68 604799 MYH9 Myosin-9 DFNA17 160775 MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNB30/DFNA1 608608 MYO3A Myosin-Illa DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO77A* Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB79 613354 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB31 USH2D	DIAPH3	Protein diaphanous homolog 3	AUNA1	609129
EPSBL2 Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like protein 2 DFNB106 614988 ESPN Espin DFNB36 606351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 MYH9 Myosin-9 DFNA17 160775 MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNA4A 608568 MY03A Myosin-Illa DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MY06A Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MY07A* Unconventional myosin-VIIa DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MY075A Unconventional myosin-VII DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB3 602666 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TPRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin <th< td=""><td>ELMOD3</td><td>ELMO domain-containing protein 3</td><td>DFNB88</td><td>615427</td></th<>	ELMOD3	ELMO domain-containing protein 3	DFNB88	615427
Protein 2 Protein 2 Protein 2 Protein 2 Protein 3 Protein 4 Protein 4 Protein 4 Protein 5 Protein 5 Protein 6 Protein 7 Protein 8 Prot	EPS8	Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8	DFNB102	600206
ESPN Espin DFNB36 606351 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 MYH9 Myosin-9 DFNA17 160775 MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNA4A 608568 MYO3A Myosin-Illa DFNB30/DFNAi 60808 MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO77A* Unconventional myosin-VIIa DFNB3/DFNA11 276903 MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNR3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB24 179410 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN	EPS8L2	Epidermal growth factor receptor kinase substrate 8-like	DFNB106	614988
HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 MYH9 Myosin-9 DFNA17 160775 MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNA4A 608568 MYO3A Myosin-Illa DFNB30/DFNAi 60808 MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VII DFNB2/DFNA11 276903 MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB14/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB28 609761 TPRN Taperin DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73		protein 2		
MYH9 Myosin-9 DFNA17 160775 MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNA4A 608568 MYO3A Myosin-Illa DFNB30/DFNAi 60808 MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VIIa DFNB2/DFNA11 USH1B 276903 MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* 0 108733 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5	ESPN	Espin	DFNB36	606351
MYH14 Myosin-14 DFNAAA 608568 MYO3A Myosin-Illa DFNB30/DFNAi 608808 MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VIIa DFNB2/DFNA11 276903 MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whiriin DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whiriin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* OFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* 0FNB32 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 <tr< td=""><td>HOMER2*</td><td>Homer protein homolog 2</td><td>DFNA68</td><td>604799</td></tr<>	HOMER2*	Homer protein homolog 2	DFNA68	604799
MYO3A Myosin-Illa DFNB30/DFNAi 606808 MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VIIa DFNB2/DFNA11 276903 MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNB2 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB2B 603324 <th< td=""><td>МҮН9</td><td>Myosin-9</td><td>DFNA17</td><td>160775</td></th<>	МҮН9	Myosin-9	DFNA17	160775
MYO6 Unconventional myosin-VI DFNB37/DFNA22 600970 MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VIIa DFNB2/DFNA11 276903 MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB28 609761 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNB2 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB103 607293 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNB2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409	MYH14	Myosin-14	DFNA4A	608568
MYO7A* Unconventional myosin-VIIa DFNB2/DFNA11 USH1B 276903 MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNB3 602666 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNB47	МҮОЗА	Myosin-IIIa	DFNB30/DFNAi	606808
MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB1A/DFNA3A 121011 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNB2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNB97	MYO6	Unconventional myosin-VI	DFNB37/DFNA22	600970
MYO15A Unconventional myosin-XV DFNB3 602666 PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 </td <td>MYO7A*</td> <td>Unconventional myosin-VIIa</td> <td>DFNB2/DFNA11</td> <td>276903</td>	MYO7A*	Unconventional myosin-VIIa	DFNB2/DFNA11	276903
PLS1 Plastin-1/Fimbrin DFNA76 602734 RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNB60			USH1B	
RDX Radixin DFNB24 179410 RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Except Passage PBNB73 606412 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB103 607293 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2	MYO15A	Unconventional myosin-XV	DFNB3	602666
RIPOR2 Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2 DFNB104/DFNA21 611410 TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB103 607293 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendr	PLS1	Plastin-1/Fimbrin	DFNA76	602734
TPRN Taperin DFNB79 613354 TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNB31 USH2D 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 607293 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB1A/DFNA3A 121011 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	RDX	Radixin	DFNB24	179410
TRIOBP TRIO and F-actin-binding protein DFNB28 609761 WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB103 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB1A/DFNA3A 121011 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	RIPOR2	Rho family-interacting cell polarization regulator 2	DFNB104/DFNA21	611410
WHRN Whirlin DFNB31 USH2D 607928 Cochlear ion homeostasis³ ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB1A/DFNA3A 121011 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	TPRN	Taperin	DFNB79	613354
Cochlear ion homeostasis* ATP2B2 Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2 DFNA82 108733 BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB1A/DFNA3A 121011 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	TRIOBP	TRIO and F-actin-binding protein	DFNB28	609761
ATP2B2Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2DFNA82108733BSNDBarttinDFNB73606412CLIC5Chloride intracellular channel protein 5DFNB103607293GJB2Gap junction beta-2 proteinDFNB1A/DFNA3A121011GJB3Gap junction beta-3 proteinDFNA2B603324HGFHepatocyte growth factorDFNB39142409HOMER2*Homer protein homolog 2DFNA68604799KCNQ4Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4DFNA2A603357METHepatocyte growth factor receptorDFNB97164860P2RX2P2X purinoceptor 2DFNA41600844SLC12A2Solute carrier family 12 member 2DFNA78600840SLC22A4Solute carrier family 22 member 4DFNB60604190SLC26A4PendrinDFNB4605646			DFNB31 USH2D	607928
BSND Barttin DFNB73 606412 CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB1A/DFNA3A 121011 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	Cochlear io	n homeostasis ^a		
CLIC5 Chloride intracellular channel protein 5 DFNB103 607293 GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB1A/DFNA3A 121011 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	ATP2B2	Plasma membrane calcium-transporting ATPase 2	DFNA82	108733
GJB2 Gap junction beta-2 protein DFNB1A/DFNA3A 121011 GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	BSND	Barttin	DFNB73	606412
GJB3 Gap junction beta-3 protein DFNA2B 603324 HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	CLIC5	Chloride intracellular channel protein 5	DFNB103	607293
HGF Hepatocyte growth factor DFNB39 142409 HOMER2* Homer protein homolog 2 DFNA68 604799 KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	GJB2	Gap junction beta-2 protein	DFNB1A/DFNA3A	121011
HOMER2*Homer protein homolog 2DFNA68604799KCNQ4Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4DFNA2A603357METHepatocyte growth factor receptorDFNB97164860P2RX2P2X purinoceptor 2DFNA41600844SLC12A2Solute carrier family 12 member 2DFNA78600840SLC22A4Solute carrier family 22 member 4DFNB60604190SLC26A4PendrinDFNB4605646			DFNA2B	603324
KCNQ4 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily KQT member 4 DFNA2A 603357 MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646		Hepatocyte growth factor	DFNB39	142409
MET Hepatocyte growth factor receptor DFNB97 164860 P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646				604799
P2RX2 P2X purinoceptor 2 DFNA41 600844 SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	KCNQ4		DFNA2A	603357
SLC12A2 Solute carrier family 12 member 2 DFNA78 600840 SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646			DFNB97	164860
SLC22A4 Solute carrier family 22 member 4 DFNB60 604190 SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646		·		600844
SLC26A4 Pendrin DFNB4 605646	SLC12A2		DFNA78	600840
	SLC22A4	Solute carrier family 22 member 4	DFNB60	604190
SLC26A5 Prestin DFNB61 613865	SLC26A4	Pendrin	DFNB4	605646
	SLC26A5	Prestin	DFNB61	613865

TMPRSS3	Transmembrane protease serine 3	DFNB8/10	605511
Cochlear er	ergy and redox homeostasis, inflammation and immunity ^a	l	
AIFM1	Apoptosis-inducing factor 1, mitochondrial	DFNX5	300169
CLPP	ATP-dependent Clp protease proteolytic subunit,	DFNB81	601119
	mitochondrial		
DIABLO	Diablo IAP-binding mitochondrial protein	DFNA64	605219
GRXCR1	Glutaredoxin domain-containing cysteine-rich protein 1	DFNB25	613283
GRXCR2	Glutaredoxin domain-containing cysteine-rich protein 2	DFNB101	615762
GSDME	Gasdermin-E	DFNA5	608798
IFNLR1	Interferon lambda receptor 1	DFNA2C	607404
KARS1	LysinetRNA ligase	DFNB89	613916
MSRB3	Methionine-R-sulfoxide reductase B3	DFNB74	613719
MTRNR1	Mitochondrially encoded 12S RNA	-	561000
MTTS1	Mitochondrially encoded tRNA serine 1	-	590080
NARS2	Probable asparaginetRNA ligase, mitochondrial	DFNB94	612803
NLRP3	NACHT, LRR and PYD domains-containing protein 3	DFNA34	606416
OSBPL2	Oxysterol-binding protein-related protein 2	DFNA67	606731
PJVK	Pejvakin	DFNB59	610219
PNPT1	Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase 1, mitochondrial	DFNB70	610316
Auditory ne	uropathy spectrum disorders ^b	<u> </u>	
AIFM1	Apoptosis-inducing factor 1, mitochondrial	DFNX5	300169
CABP2	Calcium-binding protein 2	DFNB93	607314
CLRN1	Clarin-1	USH3A	606397
DIAPH3	Protein diaphanous homolog 3	AUNA1	609129
NARS2	Probable asparaginetRNA ligase, mitochondrial	DFNB94	612803
OTOF	Otoferlin	DFNB9	603681
PJVK	Pejvakin	DFNB59	610219
ROR1	Inactive tyrosine-protein kinase transmembrane receptor	DFNB108	602336
	ROR1		
SLC17A8	Vesicular glutamate transporter 3	DFNA25	607557
TBC1D24	TBC1 domain family member 24	DFNB86/DFNA65	613577
TMEM43	Transmembrane protein 43	AUNA3	612048
		l .	1

^aClasses of cochlear physiological defects underlying human monogenic forms of isolated sensorineural deafness. 74 of 125 defective genes can be assigned to one (exceptionally two) of the five classes. ^bHuman monogenic forms of isolated sensorineural deafness contributing to auditory neuropathy spectrum disorder. These forms are characterized by an impairment of speech recognition that is more pronounced than predicted from the auditory threshold. They result from a desynchronization of neural conduction in the auditory pathways. For the various causal deafness genes, see their target cells in Supplementary Table 1.

Table 2. Proofs-of-concept for inner ear gene therapy in mouse models of human deafness [Au: This Table is still very large, so I removed mention of RNA targeting approaches; we can try to see if it will fit in the final layout but may need to reduce size further.]

Gene	Mouse models	Age	Therapeutic agents	Diseased	Outcomes ^a	Re
		at		cells		f.
		delive		targeted		
		ry				
Cabp2	Cabp2 ^{-/-}	P5-	AAV2/1-hCMV/hBA-Cabp2-P2A-	IHC	Partial	172
		P7	eGFP-WPRE-bGH		prevention of	
			AAV9-PHP.eB-hCMV/hBA-		HI for up to 2	
			Cabp2-P2A-eGFP-WPRE-bGH		months	
	Gjb2 ^{fl/fl} P0-Cre	P0	AAV1-CMV-Gjb2-IRES-hrGFP	SC,	Partial	173
				fibrocytes	prevention of	
				of the	HI for up to 3	
				spiral	months	
				limbus and		
				spiral		
				ligament		
Kcne1	Kcne1 ^{-/-}	P0-	AAV1-CB7-Kcne1	SV	Substantial	117
		P2		marginal	prevention of	
				cells and	HI up to 5	
				vestibular	months,	
				dark cells	decline	
					thereafter;	
					prevention of	
					vestibular	
					dysfunction	
					up to 6	
					months	
Kcnq1	Kcnq1 ^{-/-}	P0-	AAV1-CB7-Kcnq1	SV	Substantial	115
		P2		marginal	prevention of	
				cells	HI up to 4	
					months;	
					effect almost	
					entirely	
					absent at 7	
					months	
Kcnq4	Kcnq4 ^{W276S/+}	P1-	Dual-vector ^b : AAV2/Anc80L65-	OHC	Prevention of	135
•	·	P3	U6-sgRNA-split–N-Cas9-N-intein		HI up to 7	
			and AAV2/Anc80L65-C-intein-		weeks for all	
			split-C-Cas9-bGH		frequencies	
Lhfpl5(Tm	Lhfpl5 ^{-/-}	P0-	exo-AAV1-CBA-HA- <i>Lhfpl5</i> -IRES-	IHC, OHC,	Prevention of	101

hs)		P2	GFP	SGN	HI (limited)	
					and of some	
					vestibular	
					dysfunction,	
					up to 4	
					weeks	
Msrb3	Msrb3 ^{-/-}	E12.5	rAAV2/1-CMV- <i>MsrB3-GFP</i>	IHC, OHC	Near full	174
					prevention of	
					HI up to 4	
					weeks	
Myo6	Myo6 ^{C442Y/+}	P0-	AAV-PHP.eB-SaCas9-KKH-	IHC, OHC	Substantial	136
IVIYOO	Myoo	P2	My06-g2	inic, onic	and partial	
		F2	<i>My00-</i> g2			
					prevention of	
					HI for low-	
					and mid-	
					range, and	
					high	
					frequencies,	
					respectively;	
					major	
					decrease in	
					effect at 5	
					months	
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P10;	Dual-vector: AAV2-quadY-F-	IHC	months Full	112
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P10; P17;	Dual-vector: AAV2-quadY-F- CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and	IHC		112
Otof	Otof ^{/-}			IHC	Full	112
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17;	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and	IHC	Full prevention of	112
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17;	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6	112
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months	
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV-	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal	
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up	
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter-	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up	
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30 P6- P7	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter- WPRE-pA	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks	113
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30 P6- P7	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof-	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks	113
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30 P6- P7	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof-	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up	113
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full	113
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7	CBA- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A- <i>Otof</i> -Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA- <i>Otof</i> -Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH Dual-vector: AAV9/PHP.B-CMV-	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full prevention of	113
Otof	Otof ^{/-}	P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA-Otof-Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA-Otof-Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH Dual-vector: AAV9/PHP.B-CMV- hOtof-n_intein and	IHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full	113
Otof		P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA-Otof-Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA-Otof-Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH Dual-vector: AAV9/PHP.B-CMV- hOtof-n_intein and AAV9/PHP.B-CMV-hOtof-c- signal_intein		Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full prevention of HI up to 6 months	113
	Otof ^{/-} Pcdh15 ^{av3J/av3J}	P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7 P0- P2	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA-Otof-Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA-Otof-Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH Dual-vector: AAV9/PHP.B-CMV- hOtof-n_intein and AAV9/PHP.B-CMV-hOtof-c-	IHC, OHC	Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal	175
		P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7 P0- P2	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA-Otof-Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA-Otof-Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH Dual-vector: AAV9/PHP.B-CMV- hOtof-n_intein and AAV9/PHP.B-CMV-hOtof-c- signal_intein		Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention of	175
		P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7 P0- P2	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA-Otof-Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA-Otof-Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH Dual-vector: AAV9/PHP.B-CMV- hOtof-n_intein and AAV9/PHP.B-CMV-hOtof-c- signal_intein		Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention of HI up to 4-5	175
		P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7 P0- P2	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA-Otof-Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA-Otof-Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH Dual-vector: AAV9/PHP.B-CMV- hOtof-n_intein and AAV9/PHP.B-CMV-hOtof-c- signal_intein		Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention of HI up to 4-5 weeks; full	175
		P17; P30 P6- P7 P5- P7 P0- P2	CBA-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2-quadY-F-SA-Otof-Cter Dual-vector: AAV2/6-CMV- eGFP-P2A-Otof-Nter-SD and AAV2/6-SA-Otof-Cter- WPRE-pA AAV9/PHP.B-CMV/hBA-fl-Otof- WPRE-bGH Dual-vector: AAV9/PHP.B-CMV- hOtof-n_intein and AAV9/PHP.B-CMV-hOtof-c- signal_intein		Full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention up to 3 weeks Partial prevention up to 5.5 weeks Almost full prevention of HI up to 6 months Marginal prevention of HI up to 4-5	175

					function up to	
					2 months	
Pjvk	Pjvk ^{-/-}	P3	AAV2/8-CB7-Pjvk-IRES-EGFP	IHC, OHC,	Partial	49
				SGN	preventionof	
					HI for low- to	
					mid-range	
					frequencies	
					up to 3	
					weeks	
	Pjvk ^{G292R/G292R}	P0-	Anc80L65-CMV-PJVK-EGFP-	-	Substantial	177
		P1	WPRE-bGH		prevention of	
					HI up to 3	
					weeks with	
					decrease at 1	
					month;preve	
					ntion of	
					balance	
					defects up to	
					4 months	
Slc17a8	Vglut3 ^{-/-}	P1-	AAV1-CBA-Vglut3-WPRE-bGH	IHC	Full	102
(Vglut3)		P3			prevention of	
					HI; effect	
					maintained	
					up to 9	
					months	
		P10-			Full	
		12			restoration of	
					hearing	
					[Au:OK?]	
		P3	AAV8-CMV-Vglut3-Flag-GFP	-	Full	114
					prevention of	
					HI up to 3	
					months	
		P35;			Substantial	
		P56			restoration of	
					hearing	
					[Au:OK?]	
		P140			Partial	
					restoration of	
					hearing	
Slc26aA4	Slc26a4 ^{-/-}	E12.5	rAAV2/1-CMV-Slc26a4-tGFP-pA	Epithelial	Prevention of	178
	SIc26a4 ^{tm1Dontuh/tm1}			cells of the	HI (up to 3-5	
	Dontuh			endolymph	weeks) with	
				atic sac,	major decline	

				sensory	at 16–21	
				epithelia of	weeks;	
				utricle,	instability of	
				saccule	hearing	
				and SCC,	phenotype;	
				putative	no prevention	
				spindle	of vestibular	
				and root	dysfunction	
	Slc26a4 ^{-/-}	E11.5	pCNN/ SloSes 4 Fofo pA	cells	Efficient	97
	3/02084	E11.5	pCMV-Slc26a4-Egfp-pA	Cells		
					prevention of	
					HI and	
					vestibular	
					defects up to	
					4.5 weeks	470
Slc26aA5	Prestin knockout	P3	AAVie-K558R-CAG-prestin-	OHC	Modest	179
			WPRE-SV40		prevention of	
					HI up to 4	
					weeks	
Strc	Strc ^{Δ/Δ}	P1	Dual-vector ^b : AAV9/PHP.B-	OHC	Partial	180
			CMV-Strc-n_intein		prevention of	
			AAV9/PHP.B-CMV-Strc-c-		HI up to 4	
			signal_intein		weeks	
Syne4	Syne4 ^{/-}	P0-	AAV2/9.PHP.B.CMV.3xFLAG.Sy	ОНС	Near-full	181
		P1.5	ne4.bGH		prevention of	
					HI up to 4	
					weeks; effect	
					declining at 3	
					months	
Tmc1	Tmc1 ^{∆/∆}	P0-	AAV2/1-CBA- <i>Tmc1</i>	IHC, OHC	Partial	108
Tmc2	Tmc1 ^{∆/∆}	P2	AAV2/1-CBA-Tmc1		prevention of	
	Tmc1 ^{Bth/Bth}		AAV2/1-CBA-Tmc2		HI up to 2	
					months	
	$Tmc 1^{\Delta V\Delta}$	P0-	AAV2/Anc80L65-CMV- <i>Tmc1</i> -	IHC, OHC,	Modest	118
		P1,	WPRE	VHC	prevention of	
		P4,	AAV2/1-CMV- <i>Tmc1</i> -WPRE		HI (P0–P1)	
		P7,	AAV2/Anc80L65-CMV- <i>Tmc1</i> -		decreasing	
		P14	WPRE		from 6	
		' ' ' '	AAV2/Anc80L65-CMV- <i>Tmc2</i> -		weeks; Very	
			WPRE		modest	
			VVIINE			
					prevention (D4): almost	
					(P4); almost	
					no effect	
					(DZ)	
					(P7); no effect (P14)	

				[Au: What	
				about P4?]	
$Tmc 1^{\Delta \Delta} Tmc 2^{\Delta \Delta}$	P0-			Almost full	
	P1,			prevention of	
	P14,			balance	
	P30			defects up to	
				6 weeks (P0-	
				P1), partial	
				prevention	
				(P14, P30)	
Tmc1 ^{Bth/+}	P0-	SpCas9:Tmc1-mut3	IHC, OHC	Substantial	134
	P2;	RNP complex		prevention	
	P42			for low- and	
				mid-range	
				frequencies	
				at 4 weeks	
				(P0-P2	
				injection),	
				decreasing at	
				2 months;	
				similar	
				efficacy of	
				editing for	
				P0-P2 (via	
				CO) and P42	
				(via CA)	
				injections	
Tmc1 ^{Bth/+}	P1	AAV2/Anc80L65-CMV-SaCas9-	IHC, OHC	Almost full	137
		KKH-U6-gRNA-4.2		prevention of	
				HI for low-	
				and mid-	
				range	
				frequencies	
				up to 6	
				months	
Tmc1 ^{Y182C/Y182C} T	P1	Dual-vector ^b : AAV2/Anc80L65-	IHC, OHC	Partial	152
$mc2^{\Delta/\Delta}$		Cbh-spCas9-AID.BE3.9max-		prevention of	
		sgRNA1		HI for low	
				frequencies	
				up to 4	
				weeks,	
				followed by	
				rapid decline	

	Tmc1 ^{∆/∆}	P1;	AAV2/9-PHP.B.CMV-Tmc1-	IHC, OHC	Substantial	138
		P7	WPRE		prevention of	
			Dual-vector:		HI for low-	
			AAV2/9-PHP.B-CMV-SpCas9		and mid-	
			AAV2/9-PHP.B-U6-gRNA15-		range	
			CMV-GFP		frequencies	
					up to 4	
					weeks; effect	
					stable for 3	
					months; very	
					modest	
					prevention	
					for P7	
					injection	
	Tmc1 ^{Y182C/Y182C}				Substantial	
					prevention of	
					HI for low-	
					and mid-	
					range	
					frequencies	
					up to 4	
					weeks	
	Tmc1 ^{Btn/+}				Prevention of	
					worsening of	
					HI for low-	
					and mid-	
					range	
					frequencies	
					from 4 to 24	
					weeks	
Ush1c	Ush1c ^{tm1Bkts/tm1Bkts}	P0-	AAV2/Anc80L65.CMV.EGFP:har	IHC, OHC,	Substantial	111
		P1	monin-b1	VHC	prevention of	
					HI (P1	
					injection) up	
					to 3 months;	
					low	
					frequency	
					responses	
					persisting up	
					to 6 months	
					Prevention of	
					balance	
					defects for up	
					to 6 weeks	
				1	_	

Ush1g	Ush1g ^{-/-}	P2.5	AAV2/8-CAG-Sans-IRES-GFP-		Partial	110
	-		bGH		prevention of	
					HI up to 4	
					months;	
					restoration of	
					balance up to	
					15 months	
Ush3a	CIrn ^{ex4-/-}	P1-P3	AAV2/8-CAG- <i>Clrn1</i> -IRES-GFP	IHC, OHC	Modest	182
	Clrn1 ^{ex4fl/fl} Myo15-				prevention of	
	Cre				HI	
					Full	
					prevention of	
					HI for all	
					frequencies;	
					effect	
					declining	
					from 8.5	
					weeks	
	Clrn1 ^{-/-}	P0	AAV9-PHP.B-CBA- Clrn1-	-	Modest	183
		P1	WPRE-bGH		prevention of	
					HI for low	
					frequencies	
					at 4 weeks	
	Clrn1 ^{-/-}	P1-	AAV2-CBA- <i>Clrn1</i> ±UTRs	-	Prevention of	98
	TgAC1;Clrn1 ^{-/-c}	P3	AAV8-CBA-Clrn1±UTRs		progressive	
					HI (+UTRs)	
					up to 3	
					months	
	TgAC1;Clrn1 ^{-/-c}	P1	AAV-S-CBA-opti <i>Clrn1</i> -bGH	IHC, OHC,	Complete	100
				SGN	prevention of	
					HI for low-	
					and mid-	
					range	
					frequencies	
					up to 5	
					months	
Whrn	whrn ^{wi/wid}	P1-P5	AAV2/8-CMV-Whrn-GFP	IHC, OHC,	Modest	116
				VHC	prevention of	
					HI up to 4	
					months.	
					Almost full	
					prevention of	
					balance	
					defects up to	
				<u> </u>	'	

		4 months	

Proof-of-concept for inner ear gene therapy based on gene replacement (blue), gene augmentation (green) and gene editing (CRISPR-Cas9 (red), base editing (orange)) in mouse models of human forms of deafness. The nomenclature for therapeutic agents is that used in the corresponding publications. aOutcomes are based on auditory thresholds analysed by determining auditory brainstem responses and with vestibular tests. The maintenance of the effects is evaluated by the determination of auditory thresholds in mice until the end point of the study. ^bDual AAV using a split-intein delivery system. ^cTgAC1: a transgene that delays the onset of hearing impairment due to a biallelic loss-of-function Clrn1 variant in TgAC1;Clrn1-1- mice. dShort and long isoforms of whirlin are absent. AID: activation-induced cytidine deaminase; bGH: bovine growth hormone polyadenylation signal; Bth: Beethoven mutation; CA: canalostomy; CBA: CMV immediate-early enhancer and chicken β-actin promoter, and CB7 and CAG derivatives (CB7 is identical to CBA but with a shortened CMV early enhancer element; CAG contains the CMV immediate-early enhancer and the chicken β-actin promoter, first exon and first intron followed by the rabbit β -globin splice acceptor site); CBE: cytosine base editor; Cbh: chicken β -actin hybrid; CMV: cytomegalovirus; CO: cochleostomy; eGFP: enhanced GFP; EUGO: electroporation-mediated transuterine gene transfer into otocysts; fl-Otof: full-length Otoferlin cDNA; fl/fl: flox/flox; GFP: green fluorescent protein; HA: hemagglutinin; hBA: human beta actin; hair cell: hair cell; hCMV: human cytomegalovirus, also called human beta herpes virus 5; HI: hearing impairment; hOtof: human Otoferlin; hrGFP: humanized Renilla reniformis GFP; IHC: inner hair cells; IRES: internal ribosome entry site; JLNS1: Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome type 1; JLNS2: Jervell and Lange-Nielsen syndrome type 2; KKH: E782K/N968K/R1015H; ND: not documented; OHC: outer hair cells; pA: polyadenylation signal; PSCC: posterior-semicircular canal/canalostomy; RNP: ribonucleotide protein; RWM: round window membrane; SA: splice acceptor site; SaCas9: Staphylococcus aureus Cas9; SC: supporting cells; SCC: semicircular canal; SD: splice donor site; SGN: spiral ganglion neuron; SM: scala media; SpCas9: Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9; SV: stria vascularis; TM: tympanic membrane; tGFP: turbo GFP; VHC: vestibular hair cells; WPRE: woodchuck hepatitis virus post-transcriptional regulatory element.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the structure and functions of the inner ear.

a. Representation of the human ear, consisting of the outer, middle and inner ear. The outer ear collects sound waves, inducing tympanic membrane vibrations. The ossicular chain of the middle ear transfers these vibrations to the cochlea, a fluidfilled spiral tube organ. b. The mammalian inner ear contains the cochlea, the hearing organ, and the vestibule, the balance organ, consisting of two otolithic organs, the saccule and the utricle, and three semicircular canals. The cochlea analyses sound frequencies; high and low sound frequencies are processed at the base and apex of the organ, respectively. The relationship between sound frequency and detection position along the longitudinal cochlear axis results in a spatially distributed cochlear frequency map, the tonotopic map. c. The cochlea has three fluid compartments or scalae: the scala media (or cochlear duct), the central compartment filled with endolymph, and the scalae vestibuli and tympani filled with perilymph. The organ of Corti, houses the auditory sensory cells — the inner hair cells (IHCs) and the outer hair cells (OHCs) (red) — and their supporting cells (blue). d. The organ of Corti lies on the basilar membrane and is covered by the tectorial membrane. The hair cells of the organ of Corti are connected at their apical surface by tight junctions, as well as with their flanking supporting cells (blue), forming a physiological barrier that extends to the marginal cells of the stria vascularis and the Reissner's membrane, isolating the endolymphatic compartment (ionic composition similar to that of the intracellular medium: high K⁺ and low Na⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ concentrations) from the perilymph (ionic composition resembling extracellular fluids, very similar to cerebrospinal fluid). The hair cells are crowned by the hair bundle, the mechanoreceptive structure to sound (boxed); the different cell types include supporting cells (1); root cells (2); spindle cells (3); fibrocytes of the spiral limbus (4); fibrocytes of the spiral ligament (5); and tympanic border cells (6). e. IHC-primary auditory neuron synapse with synaptic vesicles (with the transmembrane proteins Otoferlin and VGLUT3) tethered to a presynaptic electron-dense ribbon. f. The stereocilia, stiff microvilluslike actin-filled processes, form the hair bundle. A cilium, the kinocilium, is associated with the stereocilia during hair bundle development (not shown). The stereocilia are organized into three rows of increasing height. Sound stimulation induces shearing between the tectorial and basilar membranes, causing the hair bundle to deflect around the insertion point of the stereocilia into the apical hair cell surface. The resulting hair bundle oscillations modulate tip-link (TL) tension. The TL is an extracellular fibrous link connecting the tip of each stereocilium from the short and middle rows to the shaft of the immediately adjacent stereocilium in the taller row. The lower end of each TL is tethered to the mechanoelectrical transduction channels. Sound-induced hair bundle deflection by tensioning the TL opens the mechanoelectrical transduction channel, leading to K⁺ and Ca²⁺ influx from the endolymph. In response to the resulting hair cell depolarization, the IHCs release the neurotransmitter glutamate, and the OHCs actively amplify their mechanical response (a process essential for the high sensitivity of hearing). The proteins of the mechanoelectrical transduction machinery are represented. g. The stria vascularis (SV), a three-cell layer epithelium, generates the endocochlear potential (+80 to 100 mV) driving mechanoelectrical currents into the hair cells. It also secretes K⁺ into the endolymph. Ion channels and transporters, all encoded by deafness genes, are represented.

Fig 2. Inner ear gene therapy methods. A-Gene replacement: (a) a recombinant AAV (rAAV) with a cargo consisting of a promoter, a cDNA encoded by the therapeutic gene and a polyadenylation signal (pA); (b) a dual AAV approach based on trans-splicing strategy. One rAAV contains a promoter, the 5'-half of the cDNA and a splicing donor (SD) element; the other one includes a splicing acceptor (SA) element, the 3'-half of the cDNA and a pA. ITR-mediated DNA concatemerization of the 2 rAAVs allowing transplicing between SD and SA elements, to remove unwanted sequences from the transcript. A dual approach based on trans-splicing strategy can be associated with recombination via recombinogenic sequences (RS, in gray) shared by the two rAAVs as illustrated for the Otof gene¹¹². B- Gene editing: (a) CRISPR-Cas9 editing: Cas9 with the sgRNA unwind the target genomic DNA double helix with the sgRNA pairing the non-PAM strand. The NUC lobe of Cas9 is shown with its HNH (green) and RuvC (yellow) nuclease and PAM-interacting (pink) domains. The HNH and RuvC domains cleave the non-PAM and PAM DNA strands, respectively, creating a blunt DSB, repaired by NHEJ introducing small indels or possibly corrected by HDR using a donor DNA. SpCas9 cleaves (scissor) DNA at a fixed distance of 3 bp upstream from the PAM motif. Adapted from Jian and Doudna¹⁸⁴. (b) Base editing: cytosine base-editing (CBE) and adenine base-editing (ABE) catalyse the transition of cytosine to uridine (U) and adenosine to inosine (I), respectively, using a sgRNA complementary to the non-PAM strand of the target genomic DNA and a Cas9 derivative, here a Cas9 nickase (Cas9n (D10A)) fused with a single-stranded DNA-specific cytidine deaminase domain (CBE) (orange) or an adenosine deaminase domain (ABE) (purple) binding to the PAM strand, the edit strand. U and I pair as T and G respectively, converting C•G into a T•A and A•T into a

G•C. (c) Prime editing. It uses a Cas9 nickase (Cas9n (H480A)) fused with a reverse transcriptase (RT) (blue) and a prime-editing guide RNA (pegRNA). The 5'end sequence of the pegRNA complementary to the non-PAM DNA strand of the target genomic DNA, directs the Cas9 nickase to cleave the PAM DNA strand at a specific site in the target genomic DNA and its 3'end sequence hybridizes with the PAM strand forming a RT primer-binding site and a template sequence for the RT editing. It results in transitions and transversions, small insertions and deletions.

Fig. 3: Routes for the delivery of inner ear gene therapy. Schematic representation of the five main routes for inner ear gene therapy in the mouse using direct injection (indicated by syringes): (1) Round window membrane (RWM) delivery route. After middle ear surgery, the injected therapeutic agent directly diffuses into the scala tympani perilymph and then the vestibular perilymphatic spaces (see FIG 1) (2) Cochleostomy, with delivery of the agent to the scala tympani and/or the scala media endolymph with diffusion to vestibular endolymph. (3) Canalostomy, which involves surgical drilling into a vestibular semicircular canal (usually the posterior canal), with the therapeutic agent injected into the perilymphatic vestibular spaces then diffusing to perilymphatic cochlear spaces and/or injected into the vestibular endolymphatic compartment with diffusion in the scala media. (4) Injection into the utricle protuberance directly targeting the endolymphatic compartment. (5) Local intravenous injection. Injections via canalostomy or cochleostomy have been reported to perform slightly better than RWM injection 109,115,116. The combination of RWM injection and canal fenestration, creating inner ear flow, has been shown to outperform canalostomy or RWM injection alone 104. Utricle injection was found to be more efficient than RWM, cochleostomy or canalostomy 135. Of note, systemic approach was only efficient in early postnatal days 185. In humans, the endolymphatic sac (6) delivery route to the endolymph is the option primarily explored 94,186,187; the oval window (OW) (7) delivery route to the endolymph and the RWM (1) perilymphatic route used for cochlear implantation are also considered. Black arrows within the cochlear aqueduct indicate transfer from the scala tympani perilymph to the cerebrospinal fluid, with the possibility of therapeutic agents spreading to the cerebral parenchyma. Adapted from Margarete Anna Überfuh DOI: 10.5282/edoc.24957.

Box 1. Viral and non-viral therapeutic gene delivery

Several recombinant viruses have been tested as possible vectors for therapeutic gene transfer into the inner ear. AAVs — linear single-stranded DNA viruses — are currently the preferred option, due to their non-pathogenicity and limited immunogenicity in humans⁹⁹. Natural capsid serotypes (AAV1-12) and pseudoserotypes of these viruses have been studied, and strategies have been developed for modifying AAV capsids to extend or restrict their cellular tropism, increase their efficiencyand reduce their immunogenicity transduction by genetic engineering^{188,189}. AAVs transducing the IHCs, or both IHCs and OHCs very efficiently in mice are now available ⁷⁸ but their transduction is rarely restricted to hair cells. AAVs targeting the other cochlear cell types — epithelial cells (various SC and SV cell types) and fibrocytes — have yet to reach this standard. AAVs transducing several cell types, required for the treatment of genetic forms of deafness affecting several cochlear cell types 190,191, remain few in number. Finally, before their use in humans can be envisaged, explorations of the cell tropism of AAVs in the cochlea of non-human primates (NHP) at various ages are required; such validation has been reported for only a few hair cell-transducing AAVs to date 100,183,192,193.

The major drawback of AAVs is their small packaging capacity (~4.7 kb), limiting the size of the therapeutic sequence. Many deafness genes are much longer (up to 15 kb, for *USH2A*). Cotransduction with two AAVs can double the length of the therapeutic sequence to be delivered, through the generation of concatemers of rAAVs via their <u>inverted terminal repeats</u> (ITRs)¹⁹⁴; triple-AAV strategies make it possible to use even longer therapeutic sequences, of up to ~14 kb¹⁹⁵. In dual-AAV strategies, one AAV contains the 5' cDNA fragment and a tail-tagged splicing donor sequence, and the other contains the 3' cDNA fragment preceded by a splicing acceptor sequence. Splicing across the ITR junction leads to efficient mRNA production. This approach has been successfully used to restore hearing postnatally^{112,113} and at adult¹¹² stages in a mouse model of DFNB9, with a defective *Otof* gene, which encodes otoferlin via a 6.9 kb mRNA. An alternative dual-AAV approach based on protein-trans-splicing mediated by split-inteins¹⁹⁶ has been developed and was recently used to prevent HI in mouse models of DFNB16 and DFNA2A^{180,135} (Table 2).

Liposomes, lipid nanoparticle (LNP), dendrimers and carbon nanotubes, the principal non-viral vehicles for gene therapy, have the major advantage of being able to accommodate large therapeutic nucleic-acid molecules. Liposomes are highly

versatile¹⁹⁷ for clinical applications, but their unnatural lipid content can render them toxic. Considerable efforts have therefore been made to modify the composition of these phospholipid vesicles to limit their toxicity. However, the low transfection rates achieved with liposomes may limit their use. Exosomes have recently been developed as alternative non-viral vehicles 198,199. Surface modifications can increase exome functionality, by increasing transfection rates and cell-specific targeting. Exosomes can also encapsulate AAVs to form exosome-associated AAVs (Exo-AAVs) carrying rAAV genomes. These vectors have a higher transduction efficiency than conventional AAVs²⁰⁰, are more resistant to neutralizing anti-AAV antibodies, and elicit no anti-AAV antibody response 201,202. When tested in the cochlea, Exo-AAV1 outperformed AAV1 in terms of OHC transduction rate¹⁰¹. Moreover, an Exo-AAV1 approach partially rescued the hearing phenotype in the Lhfpl5-/-/Tmhs-/mouse model of DFNB67¹⁰¹. However, exosomes have a smaller oligonucleotide molecule packaging capacity (~6 kb) than liposomes. Efforts have, therefore, been made to develop hybrid exosome-liposome nanoparticles capable of accommodating large nucleic acid molecules, including the CRISPR-Cas9 system, and in which the natural lipids of the exosome mitigate the cytotoxicity of the unnatural lipids of the liposome²⁰³⁻²⁰⁵.

GLOSSARY

AAV

Adeno-associated virus is a single-stranded DNA virus from which recombinant AAVs (rAAVs) are engineered for DNA delivery to target cells. rAAVs being non-pathogenic are widely used as vectors for gene therapy.

Antisense oligonucleotides

Antisense oligonucleotides (ASO) are short synthetic single-stranded strings of nucleotides (15 to 30 nucleotides) designed to target other nucleotide sequences, usually coding or non-coding RNAs. They can mediate target RNA degradation by recruiting RNase H for cleavage of the ASO-RNA duplexes or act as steric blockers, masking complementary sequences on RNAs and potentially interfering with several RNA processing steps. They can modulate exon splicing through steric blocker activity, including the masking of cryptic splice sites in mutated pre-mRNA.

Canalostomy

Surgical approach to the inner ear involving fenestration of a vestibule semicircular canal, generally the posterior semicircular canal, used in inner ear gene therapy interventions in mice.

Cochlear implant

This surgically implanted neuroprosthesis is an electrical device that processes sounds and transforms acoustic stimulation into electrical stimulation delivered directly to the primary auditory neurons via electrodes inserted into the cochlea.

Conductive

Conductive hearing impairment results from the defective transmission of sound waves to the cochlea due to external and/or middle-ear defects.

Dominant-negative variant

The product of a mutated gene carrying a variant with a dominant-negative effect has not only lost its function, but it also interferes in a deleterious manner with the function of the normal, wild-type gene product present within the same cell of heterozygous organisms.

Episome

A genetic determinant (such as the DNA of some bacteriophages) that can replicate autonomously in bacterial cytoplasm or as an integral part of the chromosomes.

Gene editing

Gene editing refers to processes that change genomic DNA by adding, removing or altering sequences. Gene-editing technologies produced site-specific modifications.

Gene replacement

Gene replacement is a procedure for correcting the effects of defective genes by transferring a normal copy of the gene into the targeted diseased cells.

Genetic architecture

The genetic architecture of disease is the underlying genetic basis of its phenotypic features. It includes all the causal variants, the magnitude of their effects, their frequency and their interactions with each other and with environmental factors.

Genome-wide association study

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are a genetic approach for identifying genetic risk factors for diseases or particular traits, through the scanning of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across the genome for association with these phenotypes.

Haploinsufficient gene

A haploinsufficient gene must have two normally functioning alleles for leading to a normal phenotype.

Hearing aid

A hearing aid is an auditory prosthesis, an electrical device amplifying sound at selected frequencies.

Hearing impairment

In this Review, the terms 'hearing impairment' and 'deafness' are used interchangeably (deafness being not restricted to profound hearing impairment). Hearing impairment in humans is classified as mild, moderate, moderately severe, severe and profound, for threshold elevations ranging from 26–40 dB hearing level (HL), 41–55 dB HL, 56–70 dB HL, 71–90 dB HL and >90 dB HL, respectively, relative to normal human hearing thresholds.

Hearing threshold

Auditory thresholds refer to pure-tone audiometry (PTA) testing the audibility threshold, that is the minimal intensity a sound of a given frequency must reach in order to be detected. It is measured in dB SPL (sound pressure level), with 0 dB SPL corresponding to 20 μ Pa. In clinical context, thresholds are obtained at 4 frequencies of 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 kHz and are expressed in dB on the Hearing Level (dB HL) scale. 0dB HL represents for each frequency the average auditory threshold of individuals with normal hearing.

Heterochrony

Evolutionary change in timing and rates of developmental processes

Homozygosity mapping

Homozygosity mapping identifies genomic regions containing causal mutations for recessively inherited disease through homozygous polymorphic markers coinherited with the disease. Homozygous regions are generally large for recent consanguineous unions and shorter in cases of ancestral population homozygosity.

Menière's disease

A chronic inner-ear disorder characterized by episodes of vertigo (dizzy spells), tinnitus (perception of sound without an external sound stimulus) and hearing loss.

Ribbon pre-synapse

A synaptic ribbon is an electron-dense structure present in the sensory cells of various sensory systems, to which synaptic vesicles are tethered. In the mature auditory system, presynaptic ribbons are present only in IHCs. Each ribbon

presynapse faces the post-synaptic ending of the single dendrite of the primary afferent neuron.

siRNA

Small-interfering RNAs are the molecular effectors of RNA interference (RNAi). These double-stranded small RNAs, 19–25 base-pairs long, produced by the cleavage of longer double-stranded RNAs, can be used to inhibit transcription, degrade RNA and repress mRNA translation.

SNP

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are single-base genomic variants. A variant is considered to be an SNP if its frequency exceeds 1% in a large population of unrelated individuals.

Stria vascularis

The stria vascularis is a highly specialized three-layered epithelium of the lateral wall of the cochlear duct housing a dense capillary network. The stria vascularis is involved in K⁺ secretion into the endolymph and the generation of endocochlear potential.

Tonotopic

Tonotopic organization expresses gradients in the representation (maps) of sound properties, such as their frequency-to-place representation, or frequency maps of pure tone stimuli, from the cochlea to the primary auditory cortex and in all the nuclei of the auditory sensory pathway in between.

Usher syndrome

Usher syndrome (USH) is a multisensory disorder inherited in an autosomal recessive mode, with three clinical subtypes (USH1-3). USH1 is the most severe subtype; it combines congenital severe-to-profound sensorineural deafness, balance defects of vestibular origin and retinitis pigmentosa leading to blindness.

Highlighted references

1- Petit, C. Genes responsible for human hereditary deafness: symphony of a thousand. *Nat. Genet.* **14**, 385–391 (1996).

This review marks the emergence of the genetics of human sensorineural deafness, highlighting the potential value of deciphering genetic architecture for elucidating the molecular mechanisms underlying cochlear development and physiology.

2- Jinek, M. *et al.* A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science* **337**, 816–821 (2012).

This study shows how the two RNAs of the CRISPR/Cas system direct target DNA cleavage by the Cas9 endonuclease at a specific site, and establishes the possibility of engineering them as a single guide RNA.

3- Anzalone, A. V. *et al.* Search-and-replace genome editing without double-strand breaks or donor DNA. *Nature* **576**, 149–157 (2019).

This paper describes a highly versatile new genome-editing method: prime editing. An engineered reverse transcriptase fused to a catalytically impaired Cas9 uses a prime editing guide RNA as a template for modifying single nucleotides or introducing indels in the target DNA, without generating double-strand DNA breaks.

4- Akil, O. *et al.* Restoration of hearing in the VGLUT3 knockout mouse using virally mediated gene therapy. *Neuron* **75**, 283–293 (2012).

This work was the first to establish proof-of-concept for positive effects on hearing of gene replacement mediated by AAV1 in the inner ear of congenitally deaf mouse mutants.

5- Pan, B. *et al.* Gene therapy restores auditory and vestibular function in a mouse model of Usher syndrome type 1c. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 264–272 (2017).

In this mouse model of a syndromic form of deafness, inner ear gene augmentation was shown to result in both substantial preventive effects on hearing impairment and the rescue of the balance defect persisting over several months.

6- Gao, X. *et al.* Treatment of autosomal dominant hearing loss by *in vivo* delivery of genome editing agents. *Nature* **553**, 217–221 (2018).

This study was the first to demonstrate the editing of a deafness gene in a mouse model of human deafness. In this dominant progressive form of deafness, CRISPR/Cas9 editing resulted in inactivation of the mutant allele significantly preventing the development of hearing loss.

7- Akil, O. *et al.* Dual AAV-mediated gene therapy restores hearing in a DFNB9 mouse model. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **116**, 4496–4501 (2019).

This study was the first to report a reversion of congenital hearing impairment in a mouse model of human deafness by gene therapy inner ear interventions performed after hearing onset. The gene replacement strategy used was the first reported dual-AAV vector strategy for transducing cochlear cells with a therapeutic gene exceeding the AAV packaging capacity.

8- Emptoz, A. *et al.* Local gene therapy durably restores vestibular function in a mouse model of Usher syndrome type 1G. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **114**, 9695–9700 (2017).

In this mouse model of a syndromic form of deafness, inner ear gene replacement resulted in a limited prevention of hearing impairment but persistent full rescue of the balance defect.

9- György, B., Nist-Lund, C., Pan, B. *et al.* Allele-specific gene editing prevents deafness in a model of dominant progressive hearing loss. *Nat Med* **25**, 1123–1130 (2019).

In this study, CRISPR-Cas9 editing efficiency for a deafness gene in a mouse model of a human form of deafness was high, effectively preventing hearing loss at low frequencies over close to one year.

10- Yeh, W.-H. *et al. In vivo* base editing restores sensory transduction and transiently improves auditory function in a mouse model of recessive deafness. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **12**, eaay9101 (2020).

This study reports the first proof-of-concept for the correction of a causal mutation of a deafness gene by base editing in an animal model of human deafness. The correction of a biallelic loss-of-function variant transiently prevented hearing loss at some frequencies.

Related links:

Van Camp, G., Smith, R. J. H. Hereditary Hearing Loss Homepage https://hereditaryhearingloss.org